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#### Abstract

We consider a one-dimensional McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equation with potential and interaction terms depending on unknown parameters. The sample path is continuously observed on a time interval $[0,2 T]$. We assume that the process is in stationary regime. As this distribution is not explicit, the exact likelihood does not lead to computable estimators. To overcome this difficulty, we consider a standard kernel estimator of the invariant density based on the sample path on $[0, T]$ and obtain original properties of this estimator. Then, we derive an explicit approximate likelihood using the sample path on $[T, 2 T]$, including the kernel estimator of the invariant density and study the associated estimators of the unknown parameters. We prove their consistency and asymptotic normality with rate $\sqrt{T}$ as $T$ grows to infinity. Several classes of models illustrate the theory.
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## 1. Introduction

Stochastic systems of $N$ interacting particles and their mean-field limits for large $N$, the McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equations (SDEs), were first described in [38]. They appeared later in various areas of applications: for the modelling of granular media in statistical physics ([8]), in neurosciences (see e.g. [18], [3]), for population dynamics and ecology ([43], [9]), for epidemics dynamics ([4], [21]), in finance (see e.g. $[26]$ and the references therein).
During the past decades, most contributions were devoted to their probabilistic properties (see e.g. among many references [50], [42], [6], [7], [27], [34]).

During the same period, statistical inference for these models remained unstudied except [32]. But recently, the interest for this topic is growing in two directions. Either, statistical studies are based on the direct observation of large interacting particle systems: see e.g. [19], [20], [26], [12], [5], [14], [2], [45]. Or, statistical inference is based on the observation of the mean-field limit, the McKean-Vlasov process. Indeed, observing the whole $N$-particles system might seem too

[^0]demanding or unrealistic for large $N$. Thus, inference for the limiting process of one typical particle for large $N$ is now the subject of several contributions: see e.g. [48], [23],[24], [25], [46], [37]. This latter point of view is adopted in this paper. More precisely, consider the one-dimensional McKean-Vlasov stochastic differential equation (SDE)
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X_{t}=-b\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d t-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi\left(\beta, X_{t}-y\right) \mu_{t}^{\theta}(d y) d t+\sigma d W_{t}, \quad X_{0}=\eta \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\left(W_{t}\right)$ is a Wiener process, $\eta$ is independent of $\left(W_{t}\right)$ and $\mu_{t}^{\theta}$ is the distribution of $X_{t}$. The functions $b(\alpha, x), \Phi(\beta, x)$ depend on an unknown parameter $\theta=(\alpha, \beta)$ belonging to a convex set $\Theta_{\alpha} \times \Theta_{\beta} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d^{\prime}}$. The potential term $b(\alpha, x)$ is an usual drift term describing the geometry of the space and $\Phi(\beta, x)$ is an interaction term describing the interaction between particles in the original system. A solution of (1) is a couple $\left(\left(X_{t}, \mu_{t}^{\theta}\right), t \geq 0\right)$ composed with a process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ and a family of distribution $\left(\mu_{t}^{\theta}\right)$ satisfying (1). When defined, $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is a time-inhomogeneous Markov process. Assumptions for existence and uniqueness of a solution to (1) and existence and uniqueness of an invariant distribution are known. Below, we assume that (1) admits an invariant distribution $\pi_{\theta}$ and that the process is in stationary regime, i.e. $\eta \sim \pi_{\theta}$.
Our aim is to estimate $\theta$ from a continuous observation of $\left(X_{t}\right)$ throughout a time interval $[0,2 T]$ with asymptotic framework $T \rightarrow+\infty$.
A large number of contributions is concerned with statistical inference for ergodic diffusions (see e.g. the books [35], [31], [33], [30] and a lot of papers (see e.g. [1], [16], [17], [29], [44], [41]).

For what concerns McKean-Vlasov SDEs in stationary regime, papers are not so numerous: to our knowledge, we can refer to [48], [46] and [25] where the special McKean-Vlasov model with no potential term and with odd polynomial interaction term is considered. The McKean-Vlasov SDE studied here is much more general than in the latter paper and the approach quite different. Assuming that the initial variable $\eta$ of process (1) follows the invariant distribution implies that, for all $t \geq 0$, the marginal distribution $\mu_{t}^{\theta}(d y)$ is constant and equal to the invariant distribution $\pi_{\theta}(d y)$. Therefore, the drift term of (1) does no longer depend on $t$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \rightarrow S(\theta, x):=-b(\alpha, x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(\beta, x-y) \pi_{\theta}(d y)=-b(\alpha, x)-\Phi(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nevertheless, the invariant distribution is not explicitely known so that, although the exact likelihood can be theoretically studied, it does not lead to computable estimators. To overcome this difficulty, we start by studying a kernel estimator $\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)$ of the invariant density based on the sample path $\left(X_{t}, t \in[0, T]\right)$. This estimator is studied in [10], [36], [35], [16], [49]. Its noteworthy property is that its variance rate is $\sqrt{T}$, i.e. the parametric rate which is important for our parametric setting. Nevertheless, the existing results concerning this estimator cannot be directly applied. For our purpose, we study a weighted mean integrated risk fitted to our problem and moreover we prove a convergence in distribution result which, up to our knowledge, are new results of intrinsic interest. In a second step, we propose an approximate likelihood based on the sample ( $X_{t}, t \in[T, 2 T]$ ) where the unknown invariant density of the drift $S(\theta, x)$ is replaced by the kernel estimator, thus leading to the approximate drift:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}_{T}(\theta, x)=\widehat{S}(\theta, x)=-b(\alpha, x)-\Phi(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we study the asymptotic properties of the associated estimators of $\theta$ and prove their consistency and asymptotic normality with rate $\sqrt{T}$. Examples of classes of models illustrate the theory.

Section 2 presents our assumptions to ensure existence and uniqueness of a solution to (1) and existence of an invariant density. We prove a useful bound for the invariant density (Proposition 1) and obtain that the process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ in stationary regime is identical to an ergodic diffusion
(Proposition 2). Section 3 is devoted to statistical results. The statistical assumptions are presented. Then, we study the theoretical exact maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of $\theta$, prove its consistency an asymptotic normality and exhibit the asymptotic Fisher information (Section 3.1). Section 3.2 concerns the kernel estimator $\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)$ based on ( $X_{t}, t \in[0, T]$ ) (Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Corollary 1). In Section 3.3, we define our approximate likelihood (contrast) which is the conditional likelihood of $\left(X_{t}, t \in[T, 2 T]\right)$ given $X_{T}$ where the unknown invariant distribution is replaced by the kernel estimator $\widehat{\pi}_{T}$. We study the associated pseudo-Hessian matrix (Proposition 6) and pseudo-score function (Theorem 3). We conclude by stating the consistency and asymptotic normality of our maximum contrast estimator (MCE). In Section 4, several classes of models are detailed where we compare the asymptotic variances of the MCE and the exact MLE. Section 5 is devoted to lemmas (Lemmas 1 and 2) which are the backbone of the proofs of Section 3. Lemma 2 is especially difficult to obtain. Section 6 gives concluding remarks. Section 7 is an appendix where we recall results from [22] concerning a central limit theorem for ergodic diffusions and properties of the infinitesimal generator, properties used all along the paper. Section 8, 9 and 10 contains all proofs.

## 2. Assumptions and preliminaries.

In the sequel, the notation $\lesssim$ means $\leq$ up to a constant. Let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\alpha, x)=\int_{0}^{x} b(\alpha, y) d y, \quad W(\beta, x)=\int_{0}^{x} \phi(\beta, y) d y \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that, for all $\alpha \in \Theta_{\alpha}$ and all $\beta \in \Theta_{\beta}$, these functions satisfy:

- [H1] The function $W(\beta,$.$) is even. The functions x \rightarrow V(\alpha, x)$ and $x \rightarrow W(\beta, x)$ are $C^{2}$ and convex, one of the two being strictly convex: there exist constants $K(\alpha)$ and $\lambda(\beta)$ such that

$$
\forall x, \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}(\alpha, x) \geq K(\alpha) \geq 0, \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}(\beta, x) \geq \lambda(\beta) \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad K(\alpha)+\lambda(\beta)>0
$$

- [H2] The functions $x \rightarrow \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\alpha, x), \frac{\partial W}{\partial x}(\beta, x)$ are locally Lipschitz with polynomial growth, i.e. there exist $c=c(\alpha, \beta)>0, \ell=\ell(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that

$$
\left.\left.\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\alpha, x)\right.\right)-\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\alpha, y)\right) \left.\left|+\left|\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}(\beta, x)-\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}(\beta, y)\right| \leq c\right| x-y \right\rvert\,\left(1+|x|^{\ell}+|y|^{\ell}\right) .
$$

- [H3] The functions $x \rightarrow \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\alpha, x), \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}(\alpha, x), \frac{\partial W}{\partial x}(\beta, x), \frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}(\beta, x)$ have $\ell$ polynomial growth: there exists a constant $c=c(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$
\left|\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\alpha, x)\right|+\left|\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}}(\alpha, x)\right|+\left|\frac{\partial W}{\partial x}(\beta, x)\right|+\left|\frac{\partial^{2} W}{\partial x^{2}}(\beta, x)\right| \leq c\left(1+|x|^{\ell}\right)
$$

According to [39], [6], [11],[27], under [H1]-[H3], equation (1) admits a unique solution $\left(\left(X_{t}, \mu_{t}^{\theta}\right)\right), t \geq$ 0 ). For what concerns invariant distributions, two cases are to be distinguished. If $V(\alpha,$.$) is$ strictly convex $(K(\alpha)>0)$, model (1) admits a unique invariant distribution $\pi_{\theta}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x<+\infty$. If $V(\alpha,.) \equiv 0$, (1) admits a one-parameter family of invariant distributions, the parameter being the expectation of the distribution. Thus, the invariant distribution is unique once its expectation is specified (see also more details in [25]).
If the initial variable $X_{0}$ of (1) follows an invariant distribution, then, for all $t, \mathcal{L}\left(X_{t}\right)=\mathcal{L}\left(X_{0}\right)$. This is why, in what follows, to cover all cases, we assume

- [H4] Either [H1]-[H2] hold with $K(\alpha)>0$ and $X_{0}=\eta$ follows the unique invariant distribution $\pi_{\theta}$ of (1) or $V(\alpha,.) \equiv 0$, [H1]-[H2] hold with $\lambda(\beta)>0$ and $X_{0}=\eta \sim \pi_{\theta}$, where $\pi_{\theta}$ is the unique centered invariant distribution. In the latter case, $\pi_{\theta}$ is symmetric.

Under [H4], the invariant distribution $\pi_{\theta}$ has density $\pi_{\theta}(x)$ given as the solution of the implicit equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\theta}(x)=\frac{1}{Z_{\theta}} \exp \left[-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}}\left(V(\alpha, x)+W(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}(x)\right)\right], \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{\theta}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp \left[-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}} V(\alpha, y)+W(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}(y)\right] d y$.
Contrary to classical SDEs, the invariant distribution is not explicit. Nevertheless, we have:
Proposition 1. Under [H4], $V(\alpha, x)+W(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \geq(K(\alpha)+\lambda(\beta)) \frac{x^{2}}{2}+a x+b \quad(a=$ $\left.\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(\alpha, 0)-\lambda(\beta) \int y \pi_{\theta}(y) d y, b=\frac{\lambda(\beta)}{2} \int y^{2} \pi_{\theta}(y) d y\right)$. Thus, the invariant distribution satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\theta}(x) \lesssim \exp \left[-\frac{(K(\alpha)+\lambda(\beta))}{\sigma^{2}}\left(x+\frac{a}{K(\alpha)+\lambda(\beta)}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an obvious consequence, the invariant distribution has moments of any orders and (6) implies that, for all $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp (k x)\left(\pi_{\theta}(x)\right)^{\varepsilon} d x<+\infty . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This property is crucially used in proofs for various values of $\varepsilon$.
Under [H4], the initial variable $\eta$ follows an invariant distribution $\pi_{\theta}(x) d x$. Then, the distribution $\mathcal{L}\left(X_{t}\right)=\mu_{t}^{\theta}(d y)$ satisfies that

$$
\forall t \geq 0, \mu_{t}^{\theta}(d y)=\pi_{\theta}(y) d y
$$

Therefore, the following holds (see (2)):
(8) $d X_{t}=-\left(b\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right)+\Phi(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}\left(X_{t}\right)\right) d t+\sigma d W_{t}=S\left(\theta, X_{t}\right) d t+\sigma d W_{t}, \quad X_{0}=\eta \sim \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$.

Proposition 2. Assume [H4] and consider the stochastic differential equation (see (2))

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Y_{t}=S\left(\theta, Y_{t}\right) d t+\sigma d W_{t} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ is a positive recurrent diffusion with stationary density given by (5). If $Y_{0} \sim \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$, it is ergodic. Moreover,

- If $Y_{0} \neq X_{0},\left(Y_{t}\right) \not \equiv\left(X_{t}\right)$.
- If $Y_{0}=X_{0}=\eta \sim \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$, then $X_{t}=Y_{t}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

The result simply follows from the uniqueness of solutions. Thus, under [H4], $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is equal to the solution of a classical SDE in stationary regime and is ergodic. This result has important consequences. One can apply to $\left(X_{t}\right)$ results for classical ergodic SDEs. If $f$ satisfies $\int|f(x)| \pi_{\theta}(x) d x<+\infty$, the ergodic theorem (see e.g. [47], Chap. V-7), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} f\left(X_{s}\right) d s \rightarrow_{a . s .} \int f(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

More results are given in Section 7. They rely on the infinitesimal generator of the SDEs (8):

$$
\begin{equation*}
L g=\left(\sigma^{2} / 2\right) g^{\prime \prime}-\left(b(\alpha, .)+\Phi(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}(.)\right) g^{\prime} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $L$ acts on $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)$ and is defined on the domain $\mathcal{D}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}=\left\{g \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right), g^{\prime} \text { abs. continuous, } L g \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right), \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} g^{\prime}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x)=0\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Estimation Results.

From now on, we assume that [H4] holds for all $\theta$ and study the estimation of $\theta=(\alpha, \beta)$ from the continuous observation of $\left(X_{t}, t \in[0,2 T]\right)$ satisfying (8).

Throughout this study, we assume that $\sigma^{2}$ is fixed, known or unknown, but since it is identifiable from the continuous observation of $\left(X_{t}\right)$ on $[0,2 T]$, we are not concerned by its estimation. Clearly, the invariant density of $\left(X_{t}\right)$ defined by the implicit equation (5) also depends on $\sigma^{2}$. Since it is fixed, we omit for sake of clarity, its dependence with respect to $\sigma^{2}$ and just write $\pi_{\theta}($.$) , as well as in all statistical notations used in the sequel.$

We detail first a maximum likelihood approach (Section 3.1) which is theoretical. Then, we propose a tractable contrast method which relies on a nonparametric estimator of the invariant density whose properties are studied in Section 3.2. The contrast is studied in Section 3.3.

We denote by $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$ the distribution on $C([0,2 T])$ of $\left(X_{t}, t \in[0,2 T]\right)$ and by $\theta_{0}$ the true value of the parameter. For $M$ a matrix or a vector, we denote by $M^{\prime}$ its transpose.
Recall the notation (2) and set

$$
\begin{align*}
K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(S(\theta, x)-S\left(\theta_{0}, x\right)\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta_{0}}(x) d x  \tag{13}\\
\widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{b(\alpha, x)-b\left(\alpha_{0}, x\right)+\left[\Phi(\beta, .)-\Phi\left(\beta_{0}, .\right)\right] \star \pi_{\theta_{0}}(x)\right\}^{2} \pi_{\theta_{0}}(x) d x \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

These two quantities are well defined since, under [S1], $b(\alpha,$.$) and \Phi(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}$ have polynomial growth and, by (6) $\pi_{\theta}$ has moments of any order. Statistical assumptions are required.

- [S0] The parameter space $\Theta=\Theta_{\alpha} \times \Theta_{\beta}$ is compact and the true value $\theta_{0}$ belongs to $\AA \odot$.
- [S1] The functions $(\alpha, x) \rightarrow V(\alpha, x)$ and $(\beta, x) \rightarrow W(\beta, x)$ are respectively defined on $U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $U_{\beta} \times \mathbb{R}$ where $U_{\alpha}$ (resp. $U_{\beta}$ ) is an open set containing $\Theta_{\alpha}$ (resp. $\Theta_{\beta}$ ) and are such that all the derivatives
$(\alpha, x) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^{i+j} V}{\partial x^{i} \partial \alpha^{j}}(\alpha, x), \quad(\beta, x) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^{i+j} W}{\partial x^{i} \partial \beta^{j}}(\beta, x)$ exist, are continuous respectively on $U_{\alpha} \times$ $\mathbb{R}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.U_{\beta} \times \mathbb{R}\right)$ and have polynomial $\ell$-growth with respect to $x$, uniformly in $\alpha, \beta$.
- [S2] $\left\{K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)=0\right\} \Rightarrow\left\{\theta=\theta_{0}\right\}$.
- [S3] For all $\alpha, \beta$, and for $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d^{\prime}}$,

$$
\left\{z_{1} \cdot \nabla_{\alpha} S(\theta, x)+z_{2} \cdot \nabla_{\beta} S(\theta, x) \equiv 0\right\} \Rightarrow\left\{z_{1}=z_{2}=0\right\}
$$

where $\nabla_{\alpha}$ (resp. $\nabla_{\beta}$ ) denotes the gradient vector w.r.t. $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) and $x . y$ denotes the Euclidian scalar product of two vectors $x, y$.

- $[\mathrm{S} 4]\left\{\widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)=0\right\} \Rightarrow\left\{\theta=\theta_{0}\right\}$.
- [S5] For all $\alpha, \beta$, and for $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d^{\prime}}$,

$$
\left\{z_{1} \cdot \nabla_{\alpha} b(\alpha, x)+z_{2} \cdot\left[\nabla_{\beta} \Phi(\beta, .)\right] \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \equiv 0\right\} \Rightarrow\left\{z_{1}=z_{2}=0\right\} .
$$

Assumptions [S0]-[S1] are standard for MLEs or MCEs. As we can see from the expression (15), the likelihood is not explicitly known so that the MLE is not computable. Therefore, we introduce an explicit contrast (pseudo-likelihood) leading to computable estimators. Assumptions [S2][S3] are the identifiability conditions for the MLE (resp. [S4]-[S5] for the MCE). Assumption [S3] (resp. [S5]) concerns the invertibility of the Fisher (resp. pseudo-Fisher ) information matrix required for the asymptotic normality of the MLE (resp. MCE). By direct computation, comparing (13) and (14) is not straightforward, except on specific examples (see Section 4). Below, Proposition 3 (resp. Proposition 5) states that [S2] ensures the consistency of the MLE (resp. [S4] of the MCE). Therefore, the statistical theory ensures that if [S4] holds, [S2] holds.
3.1. Maximum Likelihood Estimator based on ( $X_{t}, t \in[0, T]$ ) and ( $X_{t}, t \in[0,2 T]$ ). To estimate $\theta$ from ( $X_{t}, t \in[0, T]$ ), let us first look at the conditional log-likelihood of ( $X_{t}, t \in[0, T]$ ) given $X_{0}$. The Girsanov formula holds for ( $X_{t}$ ) and using (2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{T}(\theta)=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} S\left(\theta, X_{t}\right) d X_{t}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} S^{2}\left(\theta, X_{t}\right) d t\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2), we see that $S(\theta, x)$ depends on $\pi_{\theta}$ given by the implicit equation (see (5)) and is not explicit. Therefore, (15) cannot lead to computable estimators of $\theta$ since the derivatives of the $\log$-likelihood depend on the derivatives w.r.t. $\theta$ of $\pi_{\theta}$. Nevertheless, a theoretical study of the MLE is possible. A first step is the following proposition which determines the rate of the problem and the parameters that can be identifiable on this model.

Proposition 3. Assume [H4], [S1]. Then, as $T$ tends to infinity, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{0}}$, using (13),

$$
\frac{1}{T}\left[\ell_{T}(\theta)-\ell_{T}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right] \rightarrow_{a . s .}-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left[S(\theta, x)-S\left(\theta_{0}, x\right)\right]^{2} \pi_{\theta_{0}}(x) d x=-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)
$$

The proof of Proposition 3 is standard as $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is identical to $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ an ergodic diffusion process, and therefore satisfies (10). Now, let us define the MLE as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\hat{\theta}}_{T}=\arg \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \ell_{T}(\theta) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under [ S 0$]-[\mathrm{S} 2], K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)$ possesses a unique minimum at $\theta=\theta_{0}$, which leads to the consistency of $\widehat{\hat{\theta}}_{T}$. Moreover, Proposition 3 implies that the estimation rate of $\theta$ is $\sqrt{T}$ as for ergodic diffusions.

We can define the $\left(d+d^{\prime}\right)$ matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{11}(\theta) & I_{12}(\theta) \\
{\left[I_{12}(\theta)\right]^{\prime}} & I_{22}(\theta),
\end{array}\right), \text { where }  \tag{17}\\
& I_{11}(\theta)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_{i}}(\theta, x) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_{k}}(\theta, x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)_{i, k=1, \ldots, d}, \\
& I_{12}(\theta)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_{i}}(\theta, x) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_{j}}(\theta, x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)_{i=1, \ldots, d ; j=1 \ldots d^{\prime}} \\
& I_{22}(\theta)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_{j}}(\theta, x) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_{\ell}}(\theta, x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)_{j, \ell=1, \ldots, d^{\prime}}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha_{i}}(\theta, x)=\frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{i}}(\alpha, x)+\Phi(\beta, .) \star \frac{\partial \pi_{\theta}}{\partial \alpha_{i}}(\theta, x), \frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_{j}}(\theta, x)=\left[\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{j}}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}\right](\theta, x)+\left[\Phi(\beta, .) \star \frac{\partial \pi_{\theta}}{\partial \beta_{j}}\right](\theta, x) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then, under [S1], the Fisher information matrix associated with $\ell_{T}(\theta)$ is $\sigma^{-2} I(\theta)$ (see Proposition 4). As usual, [S2] implies [S3] as $\left.K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta_{0}+h\right)=h^{\prime} I\left(\theta_{0}\right) h+o(\|h\|)^{2}\right)$ but the reverse is not true.

Note that $\sigma^{2}$ implicitly appears in the $I_{i j}(\theta), i, j=1,2$ through $\pi_{\theta}$. As $S(\theta,$.$) depends on \pi_{\theta}$, the derivation of the MLE requires, additionally to the ability of differentiating $\pi_{\theta}$ w.r.t $\theta$, the knowledge of $\sigma^{2}$. This is specific to McKean-Vlasov diffusions. We can state:
Proposition 4. Assume [H4], [S0]-[S2]-[S3]. Then, the MLE $\widehat{\hat{\theta}}_{T}$ is consistent and $\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\hat{\theta}}_{T}-\theta_{0}\right)$ converges in distribution under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{0}}$ to the Gaussian law $\mathcal{N}_{d+d^{\prime}}\left(0, \sigma^{2} I^{-1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)$.

The proof of this result is standard. However, the result remains theoretical as the MLE is not computable. Besides, one cannot easily check either [S2] or [S3] (see Section 4).

In order to compare the estimators derived from the two methods (the MLE and the MCE below), assume now that the observation is $\left(X_{t}, t \in[0,2 T]\right)$. We know that, under the same assumptions as in Proposition 4, $\sqrt{2 T}\left(\widehat{\hat{\theta}}_{2 T}-\theta_{0}\right)$ converges in distribution under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{0}}$ to the Gaussian law $\mathcal{N}_{d+d^{\prime}}\left(0, \sigma^{2} I^{-1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)$. Therefore, $\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\hat{\theta}}_{2 T}-\theta_{0}\right)$ converges in distribution under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{0}}$ to the Gaussian law $\mathcal{N}_{d+d^{\prime}}\left(0, \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} I^{-1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)$.
3.2. Nonparametric estimator of the invariant density. When there is no ambiguity, we drop in this section the index 0 and write $\theta$ instead of $\theta_{0}$.
Before studying the second estimation method, we need properties for the nonparametric estimator of $\pi_{\theta}($.$) that we plug in S(\theta, x)$.
Let $K$ a nonnegative continuous even function with support $[-A, A], A>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int K(v) d v=1, \quad \int v^{2} K(v) d v=\sigma_{K}^{2}<+\infty, \quad \text { and set } K_{h}(x)=(1 / h) K(x / h) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, a kernel density estimator of $\pi_{\theta}(x)$ is, if $h_{T}$ is a bandwidth satisfying $h_{T}=o(1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} K_{h_{T}}\left(X_{t}-x\right) d t \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that he function $\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)$ is a probability density.
For $n$ i.i.d. observations, the mean integrated risk of a kernel density estimator is well known (see e.g. [51], [13]). The rate of the integrated square-bias term is a power of the bandwidth and the rate of the integrated variance term is $(n h)^{-1}$, lower than the parametric rate. For i.i.d. observations on $[0, T]$ of diffusions paths with fixed $T$, similar results on the estimation of $\pi_{T}(x)=T^{-1} \int_{0}^{T} p_{t}\left(x_{0}, x\right) d t$ where $p_{t}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ is the transition density and $x_{0}$ the initial value, have been obtained by [40].
For a kernel estimator such as $\widehat{\pi}_{T}$ based on the continuous observation of a one-dimensional stationary process, this risk was firstly investigated in [10], see also for the case of ergodic diffusion processes, [36], [35], [16] for pointwise risk or [49] for sup-norm risk or [15]. The results are different from the i.i.d. case. Indeed, under appropriate assumptions, the variance rate of the kernel estimator is the parametric rate $T^{-1}$ which is unusual for nonparametric density estimation. This is why it is interesting in our model to replace the unknown density $\pi_{\theta}$ by the kernel estimator $\widehat{\pi}_{T}$ as this substitution will not affect the rate of convergence of our parametric estimators. However, these results are not sufficient for our purpose and we need to study a weighted mean integrated risk fitted to our problem together with a convergence in distribution result which, up to our knowledge, have not yet been studied.

Theorem 1. Assume [H4]. We have, for all $p \geq 0$, using (18), (19),
(1) There exists a continuous function $f_{\theta}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that

$$
B_{T}^{2}(p, \theta)=B_{T}^{2}(p)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)-\pi_{\theta}(x)\right)^{2} d x \leq h_{T}^{4} \sigma_{K}^{4} \sup _{|t| \leq A} f_{\theta}(t)
$$

(2) There exists a constant $C_{\theta}(p)$ such that

$$
\left.V_{T}(p, \theta)\right)=V_{T}(p)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Var}_{\theta}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)\right)\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) d x \leq \frac{C_{\theta}(p)}{T} .
$$

The invariant density is twice continuously differentiable by [H1] so that the rate of the bias term is consistent with previous results. The second result on the variance term is new and difficult to obtain. It strongly relies on property (7) of the invariant distribution.

Theorem 2. Assume [H4], [S1]. Then, if $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^{1}$ function such that $\psi$ and $\psi^{\prime}$ have polynomial growth, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(y)\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right) d y=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} g_{\psi}^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right)+O_{P}\left(\sqrt{T} h_{T}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{\psi}$ satisfies $L g_{\psi}(y)=-\left(\psi(y)-\int \psi(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y\right):=-\psi_{c}(y), L$ is the infinitesimal generator defined in (11), so that, using Remark 1 of the Appendix (Section 7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\psi}^{\prime}(x)=2 \sigma^{-2} \pi_{\theta}^{-1}(x) \int_{-\infty}^{x} \psi_{c}(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y=-2 \sigma^{-2} \pi_{\theta}^{-1}(x) \int_{x}^{+\infty} \psi_{c}(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above result is original and useful for the study of the asymptotic properties of our MCE.
Corollary 1. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2, if $\sqrt{T} h_{T}=o(1)$, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$, as $T$ tends to infinity,

$$
\sqrt{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(y)\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\pi_{\theta}(y)\right) d y \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2} \int\left(g_{\psi}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)
$$

As our aim is parametric inference, we do not seek a square bias-variance compromise. But, we need a sufficient condition to erase the bias and obtain a $\sqrt{T}$ global rate.
3.3. Maximum contrast estimator. To derive this estimator, we use the interval $[0, T]$ to build the nonparametric estimator $\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)$ of $\pi_{\theta}(x)$ and substitute, in the conditional likelihood of $\left(X_{t}, t \in[T, 2 T]\right)$, given $X_{T}, \pi_{\theta}(x)$ by $\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)$. Recall (see (3)):

$$
\widehat{S}_{T}(\theta, x)=\widehat{S}(\theta, x)=-b(\alpha, x)-\Phi(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)
$$

and consider the pseudo-likelihood or contrast

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{T}(\theta)=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{s}\right) d X_{s}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(\widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{s}\right)\right)^{2} d s\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stochastic integral is well defined as $\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)$ is computed using $\left(X_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq T\right)$. The following proposition clarifies the identifiability assumption [S3] associated with the contrast (22).

Proposition 5. Assume [H4], [S1]. Then, as $T$ tends to infinity, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{0}}$, the contrast defined in (22) with $\widehat{\pi}_{T}$ given in (19), satisfies (see (14)),

$$
\frac{1}{T}\left[\Lambda_{T}(\theta)-\Lambda_{T}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right] \rightarrow_{a . s .}-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)
$$

Analogously, Assumption [S4] implies [S5]. The identifiability assumption for $\Lambda_{T}(\theta)$ is not the same as for $\ell_{T}(\theta)$ as $\widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right) \neq K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)$. The comparison of $\widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)$ and $K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)$ is not straightforward. In Section 4, examples are given where it is possible.
Now define the maximum contrast estimator (MCE) associated with (22) by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\theta}_{T}=\arg \sup _{\theta \in \Theta} \Lambda_{T}(\theta) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contrary to the MLE, this MCE does not require the knowledge of $\sigma^{2}$ and is explicit.
Under [S0]-[S1]and [S4], we deduce standardly from Proposition 5 that the MCE is consistent.
To obtain the limiting distribution of the normalized MCE $\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{T}-\theta_{0}\right)$, we have to study the derivatives of the contrast with respect to the $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{j}, j=1, \ldots d\right), \beta=\left(\beta_{k}, k=1 \ldots, d^{\prime}\right)$. This requires properties of the difference

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{S}(\theta, x)-S(\theta, x)=-\Phi(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)(x) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define the $\left(d+d^{\prime}\right) \times\left(d+d^{\prime}\right)$ pseudo Hessian matrix $\mathcal{I}_{T}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{I}_{T, 1,1} & \mathcal{I}_{T, 1,2} \\ {\left[\mathcal{I}_{T, 1,2}\right]^{\prime}} & \mathcal{I}_{T, 2,2}\end{array}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{I}_{T, 1,1}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\left.\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}\right)}(\theta)\right)_{1 \leq j, j^{\prime} \leq d}, \mathcal{I}_{T, 2,2}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\left.\partial \beta_{k} \partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}\right)}(\theta)\right)_{1 \leq k, k^{\prime} \leq d^{\prime}} \\
\mathcal{I}_{T, 1,2}=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \beta_{k}}(\theta)\right)_{1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq k \leq d^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 6. Assume [H4], [S1]. As $T$ tends to infinity, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$, the normalized pseudo Hessian matrix $\frac{1}{T} \mathcal{I}_{T}(\theta)$ converges to $-\sigma^{-2} \mathcal{I}(\theta)$ where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{I}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{I}_{1,1}(\theta) & \mathcal{I}_{1,2}(\theta) \\
\left.\mathcal{I}_{1,2}(\theta)\right]^{\prime} & \mathcal{I}_{2,2}(\theta)
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { with }  \tag{25}\\
\left.\mathcal{I}_{1,1} \theta\right)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}(\alpha, x) \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}(\alpha, x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)_{1 \leq j, j^{\prime} \leq d}, \\
\mathcal{I}_{1,2}(\theta)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}(\alpha, x) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)_{1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq k \leq d^{\prime}} \\
\mathcal{I}_{2,2}(\theta)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)_{1 \leq k, k^{\prime} \leq d^{\prime}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$ is invertible if and only if, for $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d^{\prime}}$,

$$
z^{\prime} \mathcal{I}(\theta) z=0 \Rightarrow\left\{z_{1}=z_{2}=0\right\}
$$

Now

$$
z^{\prime} \mathcal{I}(\theta) z=\int\left(z_{1} \cdot \nabla_{\alpha} b(\alpha, x)+z_{2} \cdot \nabla_{\beta} \phi(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}(x)\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x .
$$

Therefore, $z^{\prime} \mathcal{I}(\theta) z=0 \Leftrightarrow z_{1} \cdot \nabla_{\alpha} b(\alpha, x)+z_{2} \cdot \nabla_{\beta} \phi(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \equiv 0$. Thus, [S5].
Let us study now the pseudo-score function. For this, define $j=1, \ldots, d, k=1, \ldots, d^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{j}(\theta, y)=\int \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}(\alpha, x) \Phi(\beta, x-y) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x ; \quad \Psi_{j, c}(\theta, y)=\Psi_{j}(\theta, y)-\int \Psi_{j}(\theta, z) \pi_{\theta}(z) d z \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{k}(\theta, y)=\int \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \Phi(\beta, x-y) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x ; \quad \Xi_{k, c}(\theta, y)=\Xi_{k}(\theta, y)-\int \Xi_{k}(\theta, z) \pi_{\theta}(z) d z \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 9, there exist functions $g_{j}, h_{k}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
L g_{j}(\theta, .)(y)=-\Psi_{j, c}(\theta, y) ; \quad L h_{k}(\theta, .)(y)=-\Xi_{k, c}(\theta, y), \quad j=1, \ldots, d ; k=1, \ldots, d^{\prime} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, define the square $d+d^{\prime}$ matrix

$$
\mathcal{H}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{H}_{11}(\theta) & \mathcal{H}_{12}(\theta)  \tag{29}\\
{\left[\mathcal{H}_{12}(\theta)\right]^{\prime}} & \mathcal{H}_{22}(\theta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_{11}, \mathcal{H}_{12}, \mathcal{H}_{22}$ are respectively the $d \times d, d \times d^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime} \times d^{\prime}$ matrices for $j, j^{\prime}=1, \ldots, d$, $k, k^{\prime}=1, \ldots, d^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{H}_{11}\left(j, j^{\prime}\right)=\int g_{j}^{\prime}(\theta, x) g_{j^{\prime}}^{\prime}(\theta, x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x, \mathcal{H}_{12}(j, k)=\int g_{j}^{\prime}(\theta, x) h_{k}^{\prime}(\theta, x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x \\
\mathcal{H}_{22}\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)=\int h_{k}^{\prime}(\theta, x) h_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}(\theta, x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

Here, $g_{j}^{\prime}(\theta, x), h_{k}^{\prime}(\theta, x)$ denote the derivatives of $g_{j}(\theta, x), h_{k}(\theta, x)$ w.r.t. $x$ (see (26), (27), (28)).

Theorem 3. Assume [H4], [S1] and that $h_{T}$ tends to 0 in such a way that $h_{T} \sqrt{T}=o(1)$. Then, $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}}\binom{\nabla_{\alpha} \Lambda_{T}(\theta)}{\nabla_{\beta} \Lambda_{T}(\theta)}$ satisfies that, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$, for $j=1, \ldots, d ; k=1, \ldots, d^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha_{j}}(\theta) & =-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}}\left(\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}+\int_{0}^{T} g_{j}^{\prime}\left(\theta, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}\right)+o_{P}(1), \\
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\theta) & =-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}}\left(\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t}+\int_{0}^{T} h_{k}^{\prime}\left(\theta, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}\right)+o_{P}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}}\binom{\nabla_{\alpha} \Lambda_{T}(\theta)}{\nabla_{\beta} \Lambda_{T}(\theta)}$ converges in distribution to $\mathcal{N}_{d+d^{\prime}}(0, \Sigma(\theta))$ with, using (25), (29),

$$
\Sigma(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma_{11}(\theta) & \Sigma_{12}(\theta)  \tag{30}\\
{\left[\Sigma_{12}(\theta)\right]^{\prime}} & \Sigma_{22}(\theta)
\end{array}\right), \text { where } \quad \Sigma_{i j}(\theta)=\sigma^{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{i j}(\theta)+\mathcal{H}_{i j}(\theta)\right) \text { for } i, j=1,2 .
$$

To clarify the previous results, let us describe the main terms occurring in $\frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta)$ assuming $d=d^{\prime}=1$. We have, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} & \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta)=-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}-A_{2, T}+o_{P}(1), \\
A_{2, T} & =\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) \sqrt{T}\left(\Phi(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\right)\left(X_{t}\right) \\
& =\sqrt{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\pi_{\theta}(y)\right) \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) \Phi\left(\beta, X_{t}-y\right) d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) \Phi\left(\beta, X_{t}-y\right) d y$ by its limit $\Psi(\theta, y)=\int \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha, x) \Phi(\beta, x-y) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ yields that the main term of $A_{2, T}$ is equal to

$$
A_{2, T}=\sqrt{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(\theta, y)\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\pi_{\theta}(y)\right) d y+o_{P}(1)=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} g^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+o_{P}(1),
$$

where, applying Theorem 2, $g$ satisfies $L g=-\Psi_{c}(\theta,$.$) . Finally \frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta)$ is the sum of two uncorrelated terms and converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable with variance $\Sigma_{11}=\sigma^{2} \int\left[\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha, x)\right)^{2}+\left(g^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}\right] \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$ using the central limit theorem (32).
Now, the convergence in distribution of the MCE derives classically from the previous results.
Proposition 7. Assume [H4], [S0]-[S1], [S4]-[S5]. The MCE defined in (23) is consistent and satisfies that, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{0}}$, using (25), (30),

$$
\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{T}-\theta_{0}\right) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}_{d+d^{\prime}}\left(0, \mathcal{I}^{-1}\left(\theta_{0}\right) \Sigma\left(\theta_{0}\right) \mathcal{I}^{-1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)
$$

Note that this variance satisfies, using (29), $\sigma^{-2}\left(\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\theta)+\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\theta) \mathcal{H}(\theta) \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\theta)\right)$.

## 4. Examples

Example 1 is the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck McKean-Vlasov process. Example 2 deals with the case where $b(\alpha, x)=\alpha b(x)$ is linear in the parameter $\alpha$ and $\Phi(\beta, x)=\beta x, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. For this family of models, we can compare $K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right), \widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)$ and $I(\theta), \mathcal{I}(\theta)$. In Example 3, we consider $b(\alpha, x) \equiv 0$ and $x \rightarrow \Phi(\beta, x)$ an odd polynomial. Thus, we compare the present approach with the one developped in [25], which is devoted to the study of McKean-Vlasov models with nul potential term and odd polynomial interaction term. For sake of simplicity, we focus on the
comparison of the estimators $\widehat{\hat{\theta}}_{T}(16)$ (instead of $\widehat{\hat{\theta}}_{2 T}$ ) and $\widehat{\theta}_{T}$ (23).
Example 1. $V(\alpha, x)=\alpha x^{2} / 2, W(\beta, x)=\beta x^{2} / 2, \alpha>0, \beta>0$.
Equation (1) is $d X_{t}=-\alpha X_{t} d t-\beta\left(X_{t}-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} X_{t}\right) d t+\sigma d W_{t},\left((23)\right.$ so that $\mathbb{E}_{\theta} X_{t}=\mathbb{E}_{\theta} X_{0} e^{-\alpha t}$. Therefore, the invariant distribution is necessarily centered, and

$$
d X_{t}=-(\alpha+\beta) X_{t} d t+\sigma d W_{t} .
$$

The invariant distribution $\pi_{\theta}$ is the Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2} / 2(\alpha+\beta)\right)$ and $S(\theta, x)=$ $-(\alpha+\beta) x$. Here, $K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)=\left[\alpha+\beta-\left(\alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}\right)\right]^{2} \int x^{2} \pi_{\theta_{0}}(x) d x=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2\left(\alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}\right)}\left[\alpha+\beta-\left(\alpha_{0}+\beta_{0}\right)\right]^{2}$. The only identifiable parameter is $\tau=\tau(\theta)=\alpha+\beta$ ([S2], [S3] not satisfied). The MLE of $\tau$ is

$$
\widehat{\hat{\tau}}=-\frac{\int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d X_{t}}{\int_{0}^{T} X_{t}^{2} d t} \quad \text { and } \sqrt{T}(\widehat{\widehat{\tau}}-\tau) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0,2 \tau)
$$

Let us look at the contrast $\Lambda_{T}(\theta)$. We have $\widehat{S}(\theta, x)=-\alpha x-\beta \int(x-y) \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y) d y=-(\alpha+\beta) x-$ $\beta \int y \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y) d y=S(\theta, x)-\frac{\beta}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t \quad$ since

$$
\int y \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y) d y=\frac{1}{T h_{T}} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y K\left(\frac{y-X_{t}}{h_{T}}\right) d y=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(X_{t}+v h_{T}\right) K(v) d v=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t .
$$

We have $\widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)=K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)$. With $\tau=\tau(\theta)=\alpha+\beta$,

$$
\left.\left.\sigma^{2} \Lambda_{T}(\tau, \beta)=\int_{T}^{2 T}\left[-\tau X_{t}-\beta \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t\right] d X_{t}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left[-\tau X_{t}-\beta \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t\right]^{2} d t\right)
$$

Thus, the MCE satisfies
$\frac{1}{T} \mathcal{I}_{T}\binom{\widehat{\tau}_{T}}{\widehat{\beta}_{T}}=\frac{1}{T}\binom{\int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s} d X_{s}}{-\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t \int_{T}^{2 T} d X_{s}}$ with $\mathcal{I}_{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-\int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s}^{2} d s & \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t \int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s} d s \\ \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t \int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s} d s & -\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t\right)^{2}\end{array}\right)$.
For given $T, \mathcal{I}_{T} / T$ is invertible but, as $T$ grows to infinity, it converges to the non invertible $\operatorname{matrix}\left(\begin{array}{cc}-\sigma^{2} / 2 \tau & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. After some computations, we find

$$
\widehat{\tau}_{T}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s}^{2} d s-\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} X_{t} d t\right)^{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} X_{t} d X_{t}+\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} d X_{s} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s} d s\right)
$$

By the ergodic theorem, $\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t$ converges almost surely to $\int y \pi_{\theta}(y) d y=0$. Then, we apply the result of Proposition 8 to $f_{c}(x)=x$ with $L g(x)=\left(\sigma^{2} / 2\right) g^{\prime \prime}-(\alpha+\beta) x g^{\prime}$. The function $g(x)=x /(\alpha+\beta)$ satisfies $L g(x)=-x$. Therefore, $\sigma^{2}\left(f_{c}\right)=\sigma^{2} /(\alpha+\beta)^{2}$. Thus,

$$
\sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{t} d t\right) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} X \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\sigma^{2} /(\alpha+\beta)^{2}\right)
$$

This allows to study $\widehat{\tau}_{T}$ and we can prove that $\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\tau}_{T}-\widehat{\widehat{\tau}}_{T}\right)=o_{P}(1)$. We can compute $\widehat{\beta}_{T}$, but there is no result for it. Note that $z_{1} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha, x)+z_{2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}(x)=\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) x \equiv 0$ does not imply $z_{1}=z_{2}=0$. So [S5] is not satisfied.

Example 2. Consider the class of models $b(\alpha, x)=\alpha b(x), \alpha>0$, with $b$ twice continuously differentiable, $\Phi(\beta, x)=\beta x$ with $\alpha b^{\prime}(x)+\beta \geq K>0, b^{\prime \prime}(x) \not \equiv 0$. Assumption [H4] is satisfied
and the model has a unique invariant density $\pi_{\theta}$,

$$
\pi_{\theta}(x)=\frac{1}{Z_{\theta}} \exp \left[-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\alpha \int_{0}^{x} b(y) d y+\beta\left(\frac{x^{2}}{2}-x \gamma_{1}(\theta)\right)\right)\right],
$$

where $\gamma_{1}(\theta)=\int y \pi_{\theta}(y) d y$ is not explicitly known and $Z_{\theta}$ is the unknown normalization constant. Now, we compare $K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right), \widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)$ and $I(\theta), \mathcal{I}(\theta)$.
The equation for $X_{t}$ is given by

$$
X_{t}=X_{0}+\alpha \int_{0}^{t}\left[b\left(X_{s}\right)+\beta\left(X_{s}-\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left(X_{s}\right)\right)\right] d s+\sigma W_{t}
$$

When $X_{0} \sim \pi_{\theta}$, the process is in stationary regime. Thus, taking expectations yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int b(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right) & =\int\left[\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right) b(x)+\left(\beta-\beta_{0}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)+\beta\left(\gamma_{1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right]^{2} \pi_{\theta_{0}}(x) d x\right. \\
& =\widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)+\beta^{2}\left(\gamma_{1}(\theta)-\gamma_{1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that $\widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)=0$. Then, for all $x,\left(\alpha-\alpha_{0}\right) b(x)+\left(\beta-\beta_{0}\right)\left(x-\gamma_{1}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=0\right.$. Since $b^{\prime \prime}$ is not identically nul, this implies $\alpha=\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta=\beta_{0}$. Thus, by the contrast $\Lambda_{T}(\theta)$, both parameters are identifiable. One sees that, if [S4] holds, [S2] holds. So, both parameters are also identifiable by the likelihood method. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} \ell_{T}(\theta) & =-\int_{0}^{T}\left[\alpha b\left(X_{t}\right)+\beta\left(X_{t}-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right) d X_{t}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left[\alpha b\left(X_{t}\right)+\beta\left(X_{t}-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right]^{2} d t\right.\right. \\
\sigma^{2} \Lambda_{T}(\theta) & =-\int_{T}^{2 T}\left[\alpha b\left(X_{t}\right)+\beta\left(X_{t}-\widehat{m}_{T}\right) d X_{t}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left[\alpha b\left(X_{t}\right)+\beta\left(X_{t}-\widehat{m}_{T}\right)\right]^{2} d t\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\widehat{m}_{T}=T^{-1} \int_{0}^{T} X_{s} d s$. Here, we again see that the MLE of $\theta$ is not explicitly computable as there is no explicit expression of the function $\theta \rightarrow \gamma_{1}(\theta)$ and its derivatives w.r.t. $\theta=(\alpha, \beta)$. Nevertheless, we can compute the Fisher information matrix which is $\sigma^{-2} I(\theta)$, where, using (31) and (17), $I(\theta)=\left(I_{i j}(\theta)\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{11}(\theta)=\int b^{2}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x+\left(\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta)\right)^{2}, I_{22}(\theta)=\int\left(x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x+\left(\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \beta}(\theta)\right)^{2}, \\
& I_{12}(\theta)=\int b(x)\left(x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x+\beta^{2} \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta) \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \beta}(\theta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $I(\theta)=\int\binom{b(x)}{x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)}\left(b(x), \quad x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x+\binom{\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta)}{\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \beta}(\theta)}\left(\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta), \quad \beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \beta}(\theta)\right)$.
Hence, for $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)^{\prime}, z^{\prime} I(\theta) z=0$ is equivalent to for all $x, z_{1}\left(b(x)+\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta)\right)+z_{2}\left(x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)+\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \beta}(\theta)\right)=0$. This, in turn implies, since $b^{\prime \prime}(x) \not \equiv 0$, $z_{1}=z_{2}=0$. So the matrix $I(\theta)$ is invertible. The MLE converges to $\mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2} I^{-1}(\theta)\right)$.

Contrary to the MLE, the MCE of $\theta$ is explicit and solution of the linear system:
$-\mathcal{I}_{T}\binom{\widehat{\alpha}_{T}}{\widehat{\beta}_{T}}=\binom{\int_{T}^{2 T} b\left(X_{t}\right) d X_{t}}{\int_{T}^{2 T}\left(X_{t}-\widehat{m}_{T}\right) d X_{t}}$ with $\mathcal{I}_{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\int_{T}^{2 T} b^{2}\left(X_{t}\right) d t & \int_{T}^{2 T} b\left(X_{t}\right)\left(X_{t}-\widehat{m}_{T}\right) d t \\ \int_{T}^{2 T} b\left(X_{t}\right)\left(X_{t}-\widehat{m}_{T}\right) d t & \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(X_{t}-\widehat{m}_{T}\right)^{2} d t\end{array}\right)$.

The matrix $\mathcal{I}_{T} / T$ converges as $T$ tends to infinity to (see (25))

$$
\mathcal{I}(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\int b^{2}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x & \int\left(x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right) b(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x \\
\int\left(x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right) b(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x & \int\left(x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x
\end{array}\right)
$$

The relation $z_{1} b(x)+z_{2}\left(x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right) \equiv 0$ implies $z_{1}=z_{2}=0$ : [S4] is satisfied and $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$ is invertible. In this model, we can compute the functions $g, h$ of Theorem 3. Indeed, we have, using (31),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi(\theta, y)=\beta \int b(x)(x-y) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x=\beta \int x b(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x \\
& \Xi(\theta, y)=\int\left(x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right) \beta(x-y) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x=\beta \int x\left(x-\gamma_{1}(\theta)\right) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $y \rightarrow \Psi(\theta, y)$ and $y \rightarrow \Xi(\theta, y)$ are constant so that $g \equiv h \equiv 0$. Therefore, $\Sigma(\theta)=\sigma^{2} \mathcal{I}(\theta)$. The asymptotic variance of the MCE is equal to $\left(\sigma^{2} \mathcal{I}(\theta)\right)^{-1}$. Note that

$$
I(\theta)=\mathcal{I}(\theta)+\binom{\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta)}{\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \beta}(\theta)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta), & \left.\beta \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \beta}(\theta)\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

This shows that there is a loss of information when using the contrast $\Lambda_{T}(\theta)$ instead of the exact log-likelihood which is not surprising.

Example 3. $b(\alpha, x) \equiv 0, \Phi(\beta, x)=\beta_{1} x+\beta_{3} x^{3}$ with $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{3}\right), \beta_{1}>0, \beta_{3} \geq 0$. Assume that $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is in centered stationary regime. The invariant distribution $\pi_{\beta}$ is not only centered by also symmetric (see [H4]). Using this property, we obtain, with $\gamma_{i}(\beta)=\int y^{i} \pi_{\beta}(y) d y$,

$$
\Phi(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\beta}(x)=\int\left[\beta_{1}(x-y)+\beta_{3}(x-y)^{3}\right] \pi_{\beta}(x) d x=\left(\beta_{1}+3 \beta_{3} \gamma_{2}(\beta)\right) x+\beta_{3} x^{3} .
$$

Let us first study the MLE. If $\left(\beta_{10}, \beta_{30}\right)$ denotes the true value of the parameter,

$$
K\left(\beta_{0}, \beta\right)=\int\left[\beta_{1} x+\beta_{3}\left(x^{3}+3 x \gamma_{2}(\beta)\right)-\left(\beta_{10} x+\beta_{30}\left(x^{3}+3 x \gamma_{2}\left(\beta_{0}\right)\right)\right)\right]^{2} \pi_{\beta_{0}}(x) d x
$$

Therefore, $K\left(\beta_{0}, \beta\right)=0$ implies that $\beta_{3}=\beta_{30}$ and $\beta_{1}-\beta_{10}+3 \beta_{30}\left(\gamma_{2}(\beta)-\gamma_{2}\left(\beta_{0}\right)\right)=0$. Thus, Assumption [S2] holds if

$$
[C 1]: \forall \beta_{1}>0, \beta_{3} \geq 0,1+3 \beta_{3} \frac{\partial \gamma_{2}}{\partial \beta_{1}}(\beta) \neq 0
$$

Due to the presence of $\gamma_{2}(\beta), \Phi(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\beta}(x)$ is not explicit so the MLE cannot be computed. We can compute $I(\beta)$ (see (17)) and we get, for $z=\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$,
$z^{\prime} I(\beta) z=\int x^{2}\left(z_{1}\left(1+3 \beta_{3} \frac{\partial \gamma_{2}}{\partial \beta_{1}}(\beta)\right)+z_{2}\left(3 \gamma_{2}(\beta)+x^{2}+3 \beta_{3} \frac{\partial \gamma_{2}}{\partial \beta_{3}}(\beta)\right)^{2} \pi_{\beta}(x) d x\right.$.
Condition [C1] implies that $z^{\prime} I(\beta) z \neq 0$. The Fisher information matrix is obtained by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{11}(\beta)=\left(1+3 \beta_{3} \frac{\partial \gamma_{2}}{\partial \beta_{1}}(\beta)\right)^{2} \gamma_{2}(\beta) \\
& I_{12}(\beta)=\left(1+3 \beta_{3} \frac{\partial \gamma_{2}}{\partial \beta_{1}}(\beta)\right)\left(3\left(\beta_{3} \frac{\partial \gamma_{2}}{\partial \beta_{3}}(\beta)+\gamma_{2}(\beta)\right) \gamma_{2}(\beta)+\gamma_{4}(\beta)\right) \\
& I_{22}(\beta)=\gamma_{6}(\beta)+6 \gamma_{2}(\beta) \gamma_{4}(\beta)+9 \gamma_{2}(\beta)\left(\gamma_{2}(\beta)+\beta_{3} \frac{\partial \gamma_{2}}{\partial \beta_{3}}(\beta)\right)^{2}+6 \beta_{3} \gamma_{4}(\beta) \frac{\partial \gamma_{2}}{\partial \beta_{3}}(\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now study the Maximum Contrast method. We have $\widetilde{K}\left(\beta_{0}, \beta\right)=\int\left[\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{10}\right) x+\left(\beta_{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\beta_{30}\right)\left(x^{3}+3 x \gamma_{2}\left(\beta_{0}\right)\right)\right]^{2} \pi_{\beta_{0}}(x) d x$. Therefore, Assumption [S4] is satisfied and $\beta_{1}, \beta_{3}$ are identifiable.

Note that, if $\beta_{1}, \beta_{3}$ are identifiable with the contrast method (i.e. can be consistently estimated), $\beta_{1}, \beta_{3}$ are identifiable with the likelihood method. Thus, [S3] holds and this shows that [C1] holds.

We have:

$$
\frac{\partial \Phi(\beta, .)}{\partial \beta_{1}} \star \pi_{\beta}(x)=x, \frac{\partial \Phi(\beta, .)}{\partial \beta_{3}} \star \pi_{\beta}(x)=x^{3}+3 x^{2} \gamma_{2}(\beta)
$$

We can compute $\mathcal{I}(\beta)($ see $(25))$.

$$
\mathcal{I}(\beta)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma_{2}(\beta) & \gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \gamma_{2}^{2}(\beta) \\
\gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \gamma_{2}^{2}(\beta) & \gamma_{6}(\beta)+6 \gamma_{2}(\beta) \gamma_{4}(\beta)+9 \gamma_{2}^{3}(\beta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus, $z^{\prime} \mathcal{I}(\beta) z=\int x^{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\left(3 \gamma_{2}(\beta)+x^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \pi_{\beta}(x) d x \Rightarrow$ Assumption [S5] is satisfied.
Let us study $\Lambda_{T}(\beta)$. Setting $\widehat{m}_{i}(T)=\int y^{i} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y) d y$, we obtain that

$$
\Phi(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)=\beta_{1} \widehat{\Gamma}_{1}(x)+\beta_{3} \widehat{\Gamma}_{2}(x)
$$

with $\widehat{\Gamma}_{1}(x)=x-\widehat{m}_{1}(T)$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_{2}(x)=x^{3}-3 x^{2} \widehat{m}_{1}(T)+3 x \widehat{m}_{2}(T)-\widehat{m}_{3}(T)$.
Therefore, the $\operatorname{MCE}\left(\widehat{\beta}_{1}, \widehat{\beta}_{3}\right)$ satisfies

$$
-\widehat{\Gamma}_{T}\binom{\widehat{\beta}_{1}}{\widehat{\beta}_{3}}=\binom{\int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{\Gamma}_{1}\left(X_{s}\right) d X_{s}}{\int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{\Gamma}_{2}\left(X_{s}\right) d X_{s}} \text { with } \widehat{\Gamma}_{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{\Gamma}_{1}^{2}\left(X_{s}\right) d s & \int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{\Gamma}_{1}\left(X_{s}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{2}\left(X_{s}\right) d s \\
\int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{\Gamma}_{1}\left(X_{s}\right) \widehat{\Gamma}_{2}\left(X_{s}\right) d s & \int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{\Gamma}_{2}^{2}\left(X_{s}\right) d s
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can compute $\Sigma(\beta)$. First, let us obtain $\mathcal{H}(\beta)$. Since the odd moments of $\pi_{\beta}$ are nul,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{1}(\beta, y) & =\beta_{1} \gamma_{2}(\beta)+\beta_{3} \gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \beta_{3} \gamma_{2}(\beta) y^{2} \Rightarrow \Xi_{1, c}(\beta, y)=3 \beta_{3} \gamma_{2}(\beta)\left(y^{2}-\gamma_{2}(\beta)\right) \\
\Xi_{3}(\theta, y) & =\beta_{1}\left(\gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \gamma^{2}(\beta)\right)+\beta_{3}\left(\gamma_{6}(\beta)+3 \gamma_{2}(\beta) \gamma_{4}(\beta)\right)+3 \beta_{3}\left(\gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \gamma_{2}^{2}(\beta)\right) y^{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \Xi_{3, c}(\beta, y)=3 \beta_{3}\left(\gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \gamma_{2}^{2}(\beta)\right)\left(y^{2}-\gamma_{2}(\beta)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $g(\beta, y)$ denote the solution of $L g(y)=-\left(y^{2}-\gamma_{2}(\beta)\right)$.
Then, $h_{1}(\beta, y)=3 \beta_{3} \gamma_{2}(\beta) g(\beta, y)$ and $h_{3}(\beta, y)=3 \beta_{3}\left(\gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \gamma_{2}^{2}(\beta)\right) g(\beta, y)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}(\beta) & =9 \beta_{3}^{2} \int\left(g^{\prime}(\beta, y)\right)^{2} \pi_{\beta}(y) d y\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\gamma_{2}^{2}(\beta) & \gamma_{2}(\beta)\left(\gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \gamma_{2}^{2}(\beta)\right) \\
\gamma_{2}(\beta)\left(\gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \gamma_{2}^{2}(\beta)\right) & \left(\gamma_{4}(\beta)+3 \gamma_{2}^{2}(\beta)\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
\Sigma(\beta) & =\sigma^{2}(\mathcal{I}(\beta)+\mathcal{H}(\beta))
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the asymptotic variance of the MCE is $\sigma^{2}\left(\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\beta)+\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\beta) \mathcal{H}(\beta) \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\beta)\right)$.
This example is a special case of a previous work where estimation for ergodic McKean-Vlasov equations with polynomial interactions and no potential term was investigated ([25], Example 3 of Section 3.5.) The estimators, say $\left(\tilde{\beta}_{1}, \tilde{\beta}_{3}\right)$ are obtained by means of an explicit relation linking them to the empirical moments of $\left(X_{t}\right)$. Set $\hat{\gamma}_{2}(T)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{s}^{2} d s$, then

$$
\binom{\tilde{\beta}_{1}}{\tilde{\beta}_{3}}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -3 \hat{\gamma}_{2}(T) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \Psi_{T}^{-1}\binom{\int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s} d X_{s}}{\int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s}^{3} d X_{s}} \text { where } \Psi_{T}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s}^{2} d s & \int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s}^{4} d s \\
\int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s}^{4} d s & \int_{T}^{2 T} X_{s}^{6} d s
\end{array}\right)
$$

This estimator is consistent and the asymptotic variance of $\sqrt{T}(\tilde{\beta}-\beta)$ is $\sigma^{2}\left(\Sigma_{1}(\beta)+\Sigma_{2}(\beta)\right)$ with $\Sigma_{1}(\beta)=\mathcal{I}(\beta)^{-1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}(\beta)=9 \beta_{3}^{2} \int\left(g^{\prime}(\beta, y)\right)^{2} \pi_{\beta}(y) d y\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
Thus there is a slight loss of information when using the present nonparametric approach leading to $\left(\hat{\beta}_{1}, \hat{\beta}_{3}\right)$ instead of the approach of $[25]$ leading to $\left(\tilde{\beta}_{1}, \tilde{\beta}_{3}\right)$.
Of course, the method of [25] only works when $b(\alpha, x) \equiv 0$ and $\Phi(\beta, x)$ is an odd polynomial. The present method works with a non nul potential term and a more general interaction term. To be complete, we have seen that $\widehat{m}_{1}(T)=T^{-1} \int_{0}^{T} X_{s} d s$. For $i=2,3$, the moments $\widehat{m}_{i}(T)$ of $\widehat{\pi}_{T}$ satisfy, using that $K$ is even and $\sigma_{K}^{2}=\int z^{2} K(z) d z<\infty$,
$\widehat{m}_{2}(T)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} d s \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(X_{s}+h z\right)^{2} K(z) d z=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{s}^{2} d s++\sigma_{K}^{2} h_{T}^{2}$,
$\widehat{m}_{3}(T)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} d s \int\left(X_{s}+h z\right)^{3} K(z) d z=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} X_{s}^{3} d s++3 \sigma_{K}^{2} h_{T}^{2} \widehat{m}_{1}(T)$.
As $T \rightarrow \infty$, by the ergodic theorem, $\widehat{m}_{1}(T) \rightarrow \int y \pi_{\beta}(y) d y=0, \widehat{m}_{2}(T) \rightarrow \int y^{2} \pi_{\beta}(y) d y=\gamma_{2}(\beta)$ and $\widehat{m}_{3}(T) \rightarrow \int y^{3} \pi_{\beta}(y) d y=0$. Therefore $\frac{1}{T}\left(\widehat{\Gamma}_{T}-\Psi_{T}\right)=o_{P}(1)$.

## 5. Main lemmas

Proposition 5, Proposition 6 and Theorem 3 rely on the following Lemmas. Lemma 2 is especially difficult to obtain and used for Theorem 3. These lemmas use Propositions 1 and 2.

Lemma 1. Assume [H4] and that $\varphi, H, G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have polynomial growth, then, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{11}(\varphi, H)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \varphi\left(X_{s}\right) H \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s=O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right), \\
& D_{12}(\varphi, H)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \varphi\left(X_{s}\right) H \star\left(\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s=O_{P}\left(h_{T}^{2}\right), \\
& D_{21}(H, G)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} H \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) G \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s=O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right), \\
& D_{22}(H, G)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} H \star\left(\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) G \star\left(\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s=O_{P}\left(h_{T}^{4}\right), \\
& D_{23}(H, G)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} H \star\left(\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) G \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s=O_{P}\left(\frac{h_{T}^{2}}{\sqrt{T}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2. Assume [ $\left.H_{4}\right]$ and that $\varphi, H: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ have polynomial growth. Then, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{T} D_{11}(\varphi, H) & =\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \varphi\left(X_{s}\right) H \star\left(\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}\right)\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s \\
& =\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} g^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+O_{P}\left(h_{T} \sqrt{T}\right)+O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $g$ solution of $L g=-\Psi_{\theta, c}, \Psi_{\theta}(y)=\int \varphi(x) H(x-y) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x, \Psi_{\theta, c}(x)=\Psi_{\theta}(x)-\int \Psi_{\theta}(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y$.
The reason why we separate Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 is that Lemma 1 is enough to study the second derivatives of $\Lambda_{T}(\theta)$ w.r.t. the parameters whereas Lemma 2 is required to study the first derivatives. We take $h_{T}=o\left(T^{-1 / 2}\right)$ so that the middle term of $\sqrt{T} D_{11}(\varphi, H)$ tends to 0 .

## 6. Concluding remarks

In this paper, we study the estimation of $\theta=(\alpha, \beta)$ for the process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ given by (1) when the process is in stationary regime. Thus the distribution of $X_{t}$ is constant and equal to the invariant distribution $\pi_{\theta}$. In such a case, $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is equal to an ergodic diffusion. The exact MLE of $\theta$ obtained from the continuous observation of $\left(X_{t}\right)$ on $[0, T]$ can be studied theoretically but does not lead to computable estimators since the drift term of $\left(X_{t}\right)$ depends on $\pi_{\theta}$ and therefore is not explicitly known. To overcome this difficulty, we assume that $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is observed on the time interval $[0,2 T]$ and we build an explicit contrast based on the conditional likelihood of ( $X_{t}, t \in[T, 2 T]$ given $X_{T}$, where $\pi_{\theta}$ in the drift is replaced by a nonparametric estimator $\widehat{\pi}_{T}$ computed from ( $X_{t}, t \in[0, T]$ ). This leads us to study a weighted mean integrated risk for $\widehat{\pi}_{T}$ yielding a new result for this estimator. Then, we prove that the MCE is asymptotically Gaussian with rate $\sqrt{T}$ with explicit iasymptotic variance. Several classes of models are discussed.

In the continuation of this work, it is interesting to consider the same estimation problem for discrete observations or multidimensional McKean-Vlasov diffusions.
Here, our assumptions ensure uniqueness of an invariant distribution. But concrete models having more than one invariant distribution are proposed in [18] or [28] (see also [46]). The estimation problem in this case certainly raises new considerations, which are worthwhile.

## 7. Appendix

Based on the ergodic theorem (10), the central limit theorem for stochastic integrals (see e.g. [35], Chap.1) can be proved and states that, if $f \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} f\left(X_{s}\right) d W_{s} \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \int f^{2}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the central limit theorem associated with (10), i.e. the limiting distribution of $\sqrt{T}\left(\frac{1}{T}\left(\int_{0}^{T} f\left(X_{s}\right) d s-\int f(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)\right.$. The results below can be found in [22].
Let $L$ denote the infinitesimal generator of the $\operatorname{SDE}$ (9) defined in (11). The operator $L$ acts on $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)$ and is defined on the domain $\mathcal{D}$ (see (12)). Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L g=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2 \pi_{\theta}}\left(g^{\prime} \pi_{\theta}\right)^{\prime} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 8. Assume [H4]. Let $f \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)$, set $f_{c}=f-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$ and denote by $\langle., .\rangle_{\pi_{\theta}}$ the scalar product of $\mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)$. If $f_{c} \in \operatorname{Range}(\mathcal{D})$, where Range $(\mathcal{D})=L(\mathcal{D})$ is the image of $\mathcal{D}$ by $L$, then, as $T$ tends to infinity, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} f_{c}\left(X_{s}\right) d s \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\left(f_{c}\right)\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma^{2}\left(f_{c}\right)=-2\left\langle f_{c}, g\right\rangle_{\pi_{\theta}}$ and $g$ is any element of $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying $L g=f_{c}$. Moreover,

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} f_{c}\left(X_{s}\right) d s\right) \rightarrow \sigma^{2}\left(f_{c}\right)
$$

The relation $-2\left\langle f_{c}, g\right\rangle_{\pi_{\theta}}=-2\langle L g, g\rangle_{\pi_{\theta}}=\sigma^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(g^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$ holds.
Proposition 8 is exactly Theorem 2.2 in [22]. It is a well known result on ergodic diffusions. In the proofs, result (34) will be clarified when used.
Corollary 2. Let $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{p}$ be functions belonging to Range $(\mathcal{D})$ such that $\int h_{j}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x=0$, for $j=1, \ldots, p$. Define

$$
V_{\theta}\left(h_{i}, h_{j}\right)=4 \sigma^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_{\theta}^{-1}(x)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} h_{i}(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y \int_{-\infty}^{x} h_{j}(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y\right) d x
$$

so that $\sigma^{2}\left(h_{i}\right)=V_{\theta}\left(h_{i}, h_{i}\right)$. The vector $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} h_{i}\left(X_{s}\right) d s, i=1, \ldots, p\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}_{p}(0, V(\theta))$ with $V(\theta)=\left(V_{\theta}\left(h_{i}, h_{j}\right), 1 \leq i, j \leq p\right)$.

Corollary 2 is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 8 using the Cramér-Wold device. The following proposition is little known, and its proof is given below.

Proposition 9. Assume [H4]. Let $\gamma_{\theta}(x)=2 \sigma^{-1}\left(b(\alpha, x)+\Phi(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}(x)\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma_{\theta}^{-1}(x)=0, \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \gamma_{\theta}^{-1}(x)=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that Range $(\mathcal{D})=\left\{h \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right), \int h(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x=0\right\}$ so that the central limit theorem associated to (10) holds for all $f \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 9. We rely on results stated in Proposition 2.2 in [22]. First note that $\forall g \in \mathcal{D}, \int L g(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x=0$ (see (12)). Thus Range $(\mathcal{D}) \subset\left\{h \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right), \int h(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x=\right.$ $0\}$. But the other inclusion does not hold except if the process is $\rho$-mixing, that is if its generator has a spectral gap. In [22], it is proved that condition (35) is a necessary and sufficient condition for $\rho$-mixing (Proposition 2.8). However, this proposition is stated for SDEs with locally Lipschitz coefficients having linear growth, which were standard assumptions for classical SDEs.

Here, this assumption is not satisfied by $\left(Y_{t}\right)$ defined in (9). Nevertheless, this assumption is not mandatory, the only requirement being that the SDE admits a unique strong solution and an invariant distribution. (see the proof in the Appendix p.1074-1077). Therefore, we can apply these results here and check that $\gamma_{\theta}$ satisfies (35) to get Proposition 9.
Set, for the proof, $b(\alpha, x)=b(x), \Phi(\beta, x)=\Phi(x), \pi_{\theta}(x)=\pi(x), K(\alpha)=K, \lambda(\beta)=\lambda$ and $\gamma(x)=2 \sigma^{-1}(b(x)+\Phi \star \pi(x))$.
We have $\gamma(x)=2 \sigma^{-1}\left(V^{\prime}(x)+W^{\prime} \star \pi\right)$. As $V^{\prime \prime}(x) \geq K$, for $x \geq 0, V^{\prime}(x) \geq K x+V^{\prime}(0)$. For $x \leq 0, V^{\prime}(x) \leq K x+V^{\prime}(0)$. As $W^{\prime \prime}(x) \geq \lambda$ and $W^{\prime}$ is odd, we have for $x \geq 0, W^{\prime}(x) \geq \lambda x$. For $x \leq 0, W^{\prime}(x) \leq \lambda x$. Thus, for $x \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(x)+W^{\prime} \star \pi(x) \geq(K+\lambda) x+V^{\prime}(0)-\lambda \int y \pi(y) d y \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma(x)=+\infty$ as $K+\lambda>0$. Analogouly, for $x \leq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\prime}(x)+W^{\prime} \star \pi(x) \leq(K+\lambda) x+V^{\prime}(0)-\lambda \int y \pi(y) d y \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \gamma(x)=-\infty$. Hence, $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \gamma^{-1}(x)=0$.
Remark 1. Using (33) and (12), we can solve $L g=f_{c}=f-\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y$ and obtain

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2}{\sigma^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x)} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{c}(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y=-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x)} \int_{x}^{+\infty} f_{c}(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y
$$

The above relation holds since $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{c}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x=0$. By Proposition 9, Condition (35) holds, so that, for all $f \in \mathbb{L}^{2}\left(\pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right)$, the integral

$$
\sigma^{2}\left(f_{c}\right)=\sigma^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(g^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x=4 \sigma^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_{\theta}^{-1}(x)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} f_{c}(y) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y\right)^{2} d x<\infty
$$

We can choose $g(u)=\int_{0}^{u} g^{\prime}(v) d v$. Note that $\sigma^{2}\left(f_{c}\right)<\infty$ is not obvious as $\int \pi_{\theta}^{-1}(x) d x=+\infty$.

## 8. Proofs of Section 2.

Proof of Proposition 1. Under the assumptions of the proposition, (1) admits a unique invariant distribution having a finite second order moment. For the proof, set $V(\alpha,)=$.$V ,$ $W(\beta,)=W,. \pi_{\theta}=\pi, K(\alpha)=K, \lambda(\beta)=\lambda$.

By [H1], for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, V(x) \geq K \frac{x^{2}}{2}+V^{\prime}(0) x$. And, as $W$ is even, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, W(x) \geq \lambda \frac{x^{2}}{2}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
W \star \pi(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}} W(x-y) \pi(y) d y \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \frac{(x-y)^{2}}{2} \pi(y) d y \\
& =\lambda \frac{x^{2}}{2}-\lambda x \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \pi(y) d y+\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^{2} \pi(y) d y . \quad \text { Hence, the result. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## 9. Proofs of Section 3

We first study the properties of the nonparametric estimator of $\pi_{\theta}$.
9.1. Proofs of Section 3.2. We study the properties of the nonparametric estimator of $\pi_{\theta}$ under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. (1) The beginning of the proof is classical (see Proposition 3.3 in [13] or [51]). Set $\pi_{\theta}=\pi, \mathbb{E}_{\theta}=\mathbb{E}$. We have:

$$
\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h_{T}}(y-x) \pi(y) d y=K_{h_{T}} \star \pi(x)=\int K(v) \pi\left(x+v h_{T}\right) d v
$$

By the Taylor formula, $\pi\left(x+v h_{T}\right)-\pi(x)=v h_{T} \int_{0}^{1} \pi^{\prime}\left(x+\tau v h_{T}\right) d \tau$. As $\int v K(v) d v=0$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)-\pi(x)=\int v h_{T} K(v) F(x, v) d v, \quad F(x, v)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[\pi^{\prime}\left(x+\tau v h_{T}\right)-\pi^{\prime}(x)\right] d \tau
$$

We apply the generalized Minkowski inequality which states that, for all $r$,

$$
\left[\int_{X}\left(\int_{V} F(x, v) d \nu(v)\right)^{r} d \mu(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \leq \int_{V}\left(\int_{X} F^{r}(x, v) d \mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} d \nu(v)
$$

with $r=2, d \mu(x)=\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) d x, d \nu(v)=|v| K(v) d v$. This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left(\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)-\pi(x)\right)^{2} d x \leq h_{T}^{2}\left(\int|v| K(v) d v\left[\int F^{2}(x, v)\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) d x\right]^{1 / 2}\right)^{2} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we apply a second time the generalized Minkowski inequality with $r=2, d \mu(x)=(1+$ $\left.|x|^{p}\right) d x, d \nu(\tau)=1_{[0,1]}(\tau) d \tau$. This yields

$$
\left[\int F^{2}(x, v)\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) d x\right]^{1 / 2} \leq \int_{0}^{1} d \tau\left(\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left[\pi^{\prime}\left(x+\tau v h_{T}\right)-\pi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Now, we study, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left(\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left[\pi^{\prime}(x+t)-\pi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Using the Taylor formula and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields $\left[\pi^{\prime}(x+t)-\pi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} \leq t^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left[\pi^{\prime \prime}(x+u t)\right]^{2} d u$ where

$$
\left.\pi^{\prime \prime}(x)=\pi(x) h(x), \quad h(x)=-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}}\left[b^{\prime}(x)+\Phi^{\prime} \star \pi(x)\right]+\frac{4}{\sigma^{4}}[b(x)+\Phi \star \pi(x)]^{2}\right]
$$

By [S1], we check that $|h(x)| \lesssim\left(1+|x|^{2 \ell}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\left[\pi^{\prime}(x+t)-\pi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} \lesssim t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \pi^{2}(x+u t)\left(1+x^{2 \ell}+(u t)^{2 \ell}\right) d u
$$

This implies,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left[\pi^{\prime}(x+t)-\pi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} d x & \lesssim t^{2} \int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \pi^{2}(x+u t)\left(1+x^{2 \ell}+(u t)^{2 \ell}\right) d u \\
& \lesssim t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d u \int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \pi^{2}(x+u t)\left(1+x^{2 \ell}+(u t)^{2 \ell}\right) d x \\
& \lesssim t^{2} \int_{0}^{1} d u \int\left(1+|y-u t|^{p}\right) \pi^{2}(y)\left(1+(y-u t)^{2 \ell}+t^{2 \ell}\right) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, $|y-u t|^{p} \lesssim\left(|y|^{p}+|t|^{p}\right)$ and $(y-u t)^{2 \ell} \lesssim\left(y^{2 \ell}+t^{2 \ell}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\left.\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left[\pi^{\prime}(x+t)-\pi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{2} d x \lesssim t^{2} \int\left(1+\left(|y|^{p}+|t|^{p}\right)\right)\left(1+y^{2 \ell}+t^{2 \ell}\right)\right) \pi^{2}(y) d y=t^{2} f(t)
$$

where $f$ is a positive continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$. Next, using (38)

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left(\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)-\pi(x)\right)^{2} d x \lesssim h_{T}^{2}\left[\int d v|v| K(v) \int_{0}^{1} d \tau\left|\tau v h_{T}\right| \sqrt{f\left(\tau v h_{T}\right)} d \tau\right]^{2} .
$$

We note that $0<h_{T}<1, K$ has compact support $[-A, A]$ and finally obtain

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left(\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)-\pi(x)\right)^{2} d x \lesssim h_{T}^{4}\left(\int v^{2} K(v) d v\right)^{2} \sup _{t \in[-A, A]} f(t) .
$$

(2) Next, we study the mean integrated variance term. The proof uses definitions (12), (33), Proposition 1, Proposition 9 and Remark 1. Let $F_{\pi}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \pi(v) d v$ and set $h_{T}=h, K_{h_{T}}=K_{h}$ (see (13)). Recall that $K$ is even.
We start by a property of the invariant distribution. For all $(x, z)$,

$$
\frac{\pi(z)}{\pi(x)}=\exp \left\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}}[V(z)-V(x)+W \star \pi(z)-W \star \pi(x)]\right\} .
$$

Using (36), we get, for $0 \leq x \leq z$,

$$
V(z)-V(x)+W \star \pi(z)-W \star \pi(x) \geq \int_{x}^{z}[(K+\lambda) t+c] d t=\frac{(K+\lambda)}{2}\left(z^{2}-x^{2}\right)+c(z-x),
$$

where $K=K(\alpha), \lambda=\lambda(\beta), c=\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}(0)-\lambda \int y \pi(y) d y$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for } \quad 0 \leq x \leq z, \quad \frac{\pi(z)}{\pi(x)} \leq \exp [C(z-x)], \quad C=-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}} c . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, using (37),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for } \quad z \leq x \leq 0, \quad \frac{\pi(z)}{\pi(x)} \leq \exp [C(z-x)], \quad C=-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2}} c . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we have:

$$
\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)-\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T}\left(K_{h}\left(X_{t}-x\right)-\mathbb{E} K_{h}\left(X_{t}-x\right)\right) d t .
$$

For each $x$, we look for $f_{x} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $L f_{x}(y)=-\left[K_{h}(y-x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h}(z-x) \pi(z) d z\right]$. Using (33) and Remark 1, we take $f_{x}(u)=\int_{0}^{u} g_{x}(v) d v$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{x}(u) & =\frac{2}{\sigma^{2} \pi(u)} \int_{-\infty}^{u}\left(K_{h}(y-x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h}(z-x) \pi(z) d z\right) \pi(y) d y \\
& =-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2} \pi(u)} \int_{u}^{+\infty}\left(K_{h}(y-x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h}(z-x) \pi(z) d z\right) \pi(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{x}(u) & =-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2} \pi(u)}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{u} K_{h}(y-x) \pi(y) d y-F_{\pi}(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h}(z-x) \pi(z) d z\right] \\
& =-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2} \pi(u)}\left[\int_{u}^{+\infty} K_{h}(y-x) \pi(y) d y-\left(1-F_{\pi}(u)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h}(z-x) \pi(z) d z\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Ito formula,

$$
f_{x}\left(X_{T}\right)-f_{x}\left(X_{0}\right)=\sigma \int_{0}^{T} g_{x}\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t}+\int_{0}^{T} L f_{x}\left(X_{t}\right) d t
$$

Therefore,

$$
\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)-\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)=-\frac{\sigma}{T} \int_{0}^{T} g_{x}\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t}+\frac{1}{T}\left[f_{x}\left(X_{T}\right)-f_{x}\left(X_{0}\right)\right] .
$$

This implies

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)-\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(x)\right)^{2} \leq 2 \frac{\sigma^{2}}{T} \mathbb{E} g_{x}^{2}\left(X_{0}\right)+\frac{4}{T^{2}} \mathbb{E} f_{x}^{2}\left(X_{0}\right)
$$

Therefore, it remains to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \mathbb{E} g_{x}^{2}\left(X_{0}\right) d x<+\infty, \quad J=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \mathbb{E} f_{x}^{2}\left(X_{0}\right) d x<+\infty \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider first $I$ :

$$
I=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{x}^{2}(u) \pi(u) d u d x=\frac{4}{\sigma^{4}}\left(I_{+}+I_{-}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{+} & =\int_{u>0} \frac{1}{\pi(u)} d u \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left[\int_{u}^{+\infty}\left(K_{h}(y-x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h}(z-x) \pi(z) d z\right) \pi(y) d y\right]^{2} d x \\
& \leq 2\left(I_{+}^{(1)}+I_{+}^{(2)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{+}^{(1)} & =\int_{u>0} \frac{1}{\pi(u)} d u \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left(\int_{u}^{+\infty} K_{h}(y-x) \pi(y) d y\right)^{2} d x \\
I_{+}^{(2)} & =\int_{u>0} d u \frac{\left(1-F_{\pi}(u)\right)^{2}}{\pi(u)} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h}(z-x) \pi(z) d z\right]^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{-} & =\int_{u<0} \frac{1}{\pi(u)} d u \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left[\int_{-\infty}^{u}\left(K_{h}(y-x)-\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h}(z-x) \pi(z) d z\right) \pi(y) d y\right]^{2} d x\right. \\
& \leq 2\left(I_{-}^{(1)}+I_{-}^{(2)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{-}^{(1)} & =\int_{u<0} \frac{1}{\pi(u)} d u \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left(\int_{-\infty}^{u} K_{h}(y-x) \pi(y) d y\right)^{2} d x \\
I_{-}^{(2)} & =\int_{u<0} d u \frac{\left(F_{\pi}(u)\right)^{2}}{\pi(u)} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{h}(z-x) \pi(z) d z\right]^{2} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider first $I_{+}^{(1)}$. By the change of variables $v=(y-x) / h$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{+}^{(1)} & =\int_{u>0} \frac{1}{\pi(u)} d u \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left(\int_{(x+h v) \geq u} K(v) \pi(x+h v) d v\right)^{2} d x \\
& =\int_{u>0, v, v^{\prime} \in[-A, A]} \frac{1}{\pi(u)} K(v) K\left(v^{\prime}\right) d u d v d v^{\prime} \int_{(x+h v) \geq u,\left(x+h v^{\prime}\right) \geq u} \pi(x+h v) \pi\left(x+h v^{\prime}\right)\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (39), for $0 \leq u \leq x+h v, \frac{\pi(x+h v)}{\pi(u)} \leq \exp C(x+h v-u)$ and for $0 \leq u \leq x+h v^{\prime}, \frac{\pi\left(x+h v^{\prime}\right)}{\pi(u)} \leq$ $\exp C\left(x+h v^{\prime}-u\right)$. This implies, for $0<h<1, v, v^{\prime} \in[-A, A]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi(x+h v) \pi\left(x+h v^{\prime}\right) & \left.\leq \pi^{3 / 2}(u) \pi^{1 / 2}\left(x+h v^{\prime}\right) \exp \left[(3 C / 2)(x-u)+C h v+(C / 2) h v^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \pi^{3 / 2}(u) \pi^{1 / 2}\left(x+h v^{\prime}\right) \exp [(3 C / 2)(x-u)] \exp (3 A|C| / 2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{+}^{(1)} \leq \\
& \int_{u>0,-A \leq v, v^{\prime} \leq A} e^{(-2 C u)} \frac{\pi^{3 / 2}(u)}{\pi(u)} K(v) K\left(v^{\prime}\right) d u d v d v^{\prime} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{[(3 C / 2) x)]} \pi^{1 / 2}\left(x+h v^{\prime}\right)\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $v^{\prime} \in[-A, A]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp [(3 C / 2) x)\right] \pi^{1 / 2}\left(x+h v^{\prime}\right)\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) d x \lesssim \\
& \left.\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp [(3|C| / 2)(|z|+A))\right] \pi^{1 / 2}(z)\left(1+(|z|+A)^{p}\right) d z:=B<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{+}^{(1)} \lesssim B \int_{u>0, v, v^{\prime} \in[-A, A]} \exp (-2 C u) \pi^{1 / 2}(u) K(v) K\left(v^{\prime}\right) d u d v d v^{\prime} \\
& =B \int_{u>0} \exp (-2 C u) \pi^{1 / 2}(u) d u<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we look at the other term $I_{+}^{(2)}$. It holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{+}^{(2)} & =\int_{u>0} d u \frac{\left(1-F_{\pi}(u)\right)^{2}}{\pi(u)} \int_{v, v^{\prime} \in[-A, A]} K(v) K\left(v^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right)\left[\pi(x+h v) \pi\left(x+h v^{\prime}\right) d x d v d v^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.\lesssim \int_{u>0} d u \frac{\left(1-F_{\pi}(u)\right)^{2}}{\pi(u)} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(1+(|z|+A)^{p}\right)\right) \pi(z) d z \lesssim \int_{u>0} d u \frac{\left(1-F_{\pi}(u)\right)^{2}}{\pi(u)},
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\pi$ is bounded.
Now,

$$
\int_{u>0} d u \frac{\left(1-F_{\pi}(u)\right)^{2}}{\pi(u)}=2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{d u}{\pi(u)} \int_{u<v<v^{\prime}} \pi(v) \pi\left(v^{\prime}\right) d v d v^{\prime}
$$

For $0 \leq u \leq v \leq v^{\prime}$, using (39),

$$
\pi(v) \pi\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\left[\pi(v) \pi\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 4}\left[\pi(v) \pi\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right]^{3 / 4} \leq\left[\pi(v) \pi\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 4}[\pi(u)]^{3 / 2} \exp \left(C\left(v+v^{\prime}-2 u\right) .\right.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{u>0} d u \frac{\left(1-F_{\pi}(u)\right)^{2}}{\pi(u)} \leq 2 \int_{0}^{+\infty} \pi^{1 / 2}(u) \exp (-2 C u) d u\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} \exp (C v) \pi^{1 / 4}(v) d v\right)^{2}<+\infty
$$

The term $I_{-}$can be treated analogously using (40). Hence the first integral $I$ of (41) is finite. We turn to the second one.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J=\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \pi(u)\left(\int_{0}^{u} g_{x}(v) d v\right)^{2} d x d u \leq J_{+}+J_{-}, \quad \text { with } \\
J_{+}=\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \int_{u>0} u \pi(u) \int_{0}^{u} g_{x}^{2}(v) d v d u d x, \quad J_{-}=\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \int_{u<0} \pi(u)|u| \int_{u}^{0} g_{x}^{2}(v) d v d u d x .
\end{gathered}
$$

We only treat $J_{+}$as $J_{-}$is analogous. We have

$$
g_{x}(v)=-\frac{2}{\sigma^{2} \pi(v)}\left[\int_{x+h z \geq v} K(z) \pi(z h+x) d z-\left(1-F_{\pi}(v)\right) \int K(z) \pi(x+z h) d z\right],
$$

so that

$$
g_{x}^{2}(v) \leq \frac{4}{\sigma^{4}} \frac{1}{\pi^{2}(v)}\left[\left(\int_{x+h z \geq v} K(z) \pi(z h+x) d z\right)^{2}+\left(1-F_{\pi}(v)\right)^{2}\left(\int K(z) \pi(x+z h) d z\right)^{2}\right] .
$$

Thus, $J_{+} \leq \frac{4}{\sigma^{4}}\left(J_{+}^{(1)}+J_{+}^{(2)}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{+}^{(1)}=\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \int_{u>0} \pi(u) u \int_{0}^{u} \frac{1}{\pi^{2}(v)}\left[\left(\int_{x+h z \geq v} K(z) \pi(z h+x) d z\right)^{2}\right] d u d v d x \\
& J_{+}^{(2)}=\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \int_{u>0} \pi(u) u \int_{0}^{u} \frac{1}{\pi^{2}(v)}\left(1-F_{\pi}(v)\right)^{2}\left(\int K(z) \pi(x+z h) d z\right)^{2} d u d v d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We look at $J_{+}^{(1)}$.

$$
J_{+}^{(1)}=\int\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \int_{u>0} \pi(u) u \int_{0}^{u} \frac{1}{\pi^{2}(v)} \int_{x+z h \geq v, x+z^{\prime} h \geq v} K(z) K\left(z^{\prime}\right) \pi(z h+x) \pi\left(z^{\prime} h+x\right) d z d z^{\prime} d u d v d x .
$$

For $0<v<u$ and $v \leq x+z h, v \leq x+z^{\prime} h$, we write
$\frac{\pi(u) \pi(x+z h) \pi\left(x+z^{\prime} h\right)}{\pi^{2}(v)}=\pi^{1 / 3}(u)\left[\frac{\pi(u)}{\pi(v)}\right]^{2 / 3}\left[\frac{\pi(x+z h)}{\pi(v)}\right]^{2 / 3}\left[\frac{\pi\left(x+z^{\prime} h\right)}{\pi(v)}\right]^{2 / 3}\left[\pi(x+z h) \pi\left(x+z^{\prime} h\right)\right]^{1 / 3}$
where
$\left[\frac{\pi(u)}{\pi(v)}\right]^{2 / 3}\left[\frac{\pi(x+z h)}{\pi(v)}\right]^{2 / 3}\left[\frac{\pi\left(x+z^{\prime} h\right)}{\pi(v)}\right]^{2 / 3} \leq \exp (2 / 3) C(u-v) \exp \left((2 / 3) C(x+z h-v) \exp \left((2 / 3) C\left(x+z^{\prime} h-v\right)\right.\right.$.
Therefore, as $\pi$ is bounded,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{+}^{(1)} \lesssim \int_{u>0} u \pi^{1 / 3}(u) \int_{0}^{u} \exp (-2 C v) d v \\
& {\left[\int K(z) K\left(z^{\prime}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}}[\pi(x+z h)]^{1 / 3}\left(1+|x|^{p}\right) \exp \left[(2 / 3) C\left(x+\left(z+z^{\prime}\right) h\right] d x\right] d z d z^{\prime} d u\right.} \\
& \left.\lesssim \int_{u>0} u \pi^{1 / 3}(u) \int_{0}^{u} \exp (-2 C v) d v d u \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi^{1 / 3}(y)(1+|y|+A)^{p}\right) \exp [(2 / 3) C y] d y<+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

The fact that $J_{+}^{(2)}<+\infty$ is simpler and omitted.
Therefore, we conclude that (41) holds which implies the result
Proof of Theorem 2. Set $\pi_{\theta}=\pi, \mathbb{E}_{\theta}=\mathbb{E}$ again. We study

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{T}=\int d y \sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right) \psi(y) d y \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with, for some non negative $c,|\psi(x)|+\left|\psi^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq c\left(1+|x|^{c}\right)$. Set

$$
F_{h}(\xi, y)=K_{h}(\xi-y)-K_{h} \star \pi(y)=K_{h}(\xi-y)-\int K(v) \pi(y+v h) d v
$$

Thus, $I_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} S_{h_{T}}\left(X_{s}\right) d s$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{h}(\xi) & =\int d y \psi(y) F_{h}(\xi, y)=\int K(\tau) \psi(\xi+\tau h) d \tau-\int d y \psi(y) \int K(v) \pi(y+v h) d v \\
& =S(\xi)+\int K(\tau)[\psi(\xi+\tau h)-\psi(\xi)] d \tau-\int d y \psi(y) \int K(v)[\pi(y+v h)-\pi(y)] d v \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

and $S(\xi)=\psi(\xi)-\int \psi(y) \pi(y) d y$. As $\psi$ is $C^{1}$ and $0<h<1$,

$$
|\psi(\xi+h \tau)-\psi(\xi)|=\left|h \tau \int_{0}^{1} \psi^{\prime}(\xi+h u \tau) d u\right| \lesssim|h \tau|\left(1+|\xi|^{c}+|\tau|^{c}\right)
$$

Therefore, as $K$ is compactly supported,

$$
\left|\int K(\tau)[\psi(\xi+\tau h)-\psi(\xi)] d \tau\right| \lesssim|h|\left(\left(1+|\xi|^{c}\right) \int|K(\tau)| d \tau+\int|K(\tau)||\tau|^{c} d \tau\right) \lesssim|h|\left(1+|\xi|^{c}\right)
$$

For the third term of (43), we can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int d y \psi(y) \int K(v)[\pi(y+v h)-\pi(y)] d v & =\int d y \psi(y) \int K(v) h v \int_{0}^{1} \pi^{\prime}(y+h \tau v) d \tau d v \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} d \tau \int h v K(v) d v \int d z \psi(z-h \tau v) \pi^{\prime}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

By our assumptions, $\left|\pi^{\prime}(z)\right| \lesssim \pi(z)\left(1+|z|^{c}\right)$ and for $v \in[-A, A],|\psi(z-h \tau v)| \lesssim\left(1+|z|^{c}+A^{c}\right)$. This yields $\int d y \psi(y) \int K(v)[\pi(y+v h)-\pi(y)] d v \lesssim|h|$. Therefore,

$$
\left|S_{h}(\xi)-S(\xi)\right| \lesssim|h|\left(1+|x|^{c}\right)
$$

This yields:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T}\left[S_{h_{T}}\left(X_{s}\right)-S\left(X_{s}\right)\right] d s\right)^{2} \leq T \int\left[S_{h_{T}}(x)-S(x)\right]^{2} \pi(x) d x=T h_{T}^{2} O(1)
$$

which implies

$$
I_{T}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} S\left(X_{s}\right) d s+O\left(\sqrt{T} h_{T}\right)
$$

By Propositions 8 and 9 , we can find $g \in \mathbb{L}^{2}(\pi)$ such that $L g=-S$ with $g(x)=\int_{0}^{x} g^{\prime}(u) d u, g^{\prime}$ given by (21) and

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} S\left(X_{s}\right) d s=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} g^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\left(g\left(X_{0}\right)-g\left(X_{T}\right)\right.
$$

where $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\left(g\left(X_{0}\right)-g\left(X_{T}\right)=O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right)\right.$ as $\left(X_{t}\right)$ is stationary and $g \in \mathbb{L}^{2}(\pi)$.
Therefore, (42) can be written as

$$
I_{T}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} g^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right)+O_{P}\left(\sqrt{T} h_{T}\right)
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.
Proof of Corollary 1. We write

$$
\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\pi(y)\right)=\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right)+\sqrt{T}\left(\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\pi(y)\right) .
$$

Next, choosing $p-2 c>1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int \psi(y) \sqrt{T}\left(\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\pi(y)\right) d y\right)^{2} & \leq \int \frac{\psi^{2}(y)}{\left.1+|y|^{p}\right)} d y \times \int d y\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\pi(y)\right)\right)^{2}\left(1+|y|^{p}\right) d y\right. \\
& \lesssim T h_{T}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\int \psi(y) \sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\pi(y)\right) d y=\int \psi(y) \sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right) d y+O\left(\sqrt{T} h_{T}^{2}\right)
$$

Thanks to Theorem 2 and the central limit theorem (32), the proof of Corollary 1 is complete.
9.2. Proofs of Section 3.3. Assumptions [H2]-[H3] ensure that all the functions used in the proofs below satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. As for the likelihood, we have to study $\Lambda_{T}(\theta)-\Lambda_{T}\left(\theta_{0}\right)$.

Proof of Proposition 5. We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2}\left(\Lambda_{T}(\theta)-\Lambda_{T}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right) & =-\frac{1}{2} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(\widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{s}\right)-\widehat{S}\left(\theta_{0}, X_{s}\right)\right)^{2} d s \\
& +\int_{T}^{2 T}\left(\widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{s}\right)-\widehat{S}\left(\theta_{0}, X_{s}\right)\right) \Phi\left(\beta_{0}, .\right) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta_{0}}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s \\
& +\sigma \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(\widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{s}\right)-\widehat{S}\left(\theta_{0}, X_{s}\right)\right) d W_{s}=A_{T}+B_{T}+C_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{0}}$, by Lemma 1 ,

$$
\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(\Phi(\beta, .)-\Phi\left(\beta_{0}, .\right)\right) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta_{0}}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s=O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right)+O_{P}\left(h_{T}^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore, $\frac{1}{T} A_{T} \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2} \int\left[-b(\alpha, x)+b\left(\alpha_{0}, x\right)-\left(\Phi(\beta, .)-\Phi\left(\beta_{0}, .\right)\right) \star \pi_{\theta_{0}}(x)\right]^{2} \pi_{\theta_{0}}(x) d x$.
For the second term, $\frac{1}{T} B_{T}=O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right)+O_{P}\left(h_{T}^{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$ by Lemma 1 .
For $C_{T}$, we have that $\frac{1}{T}\left\langle C_{T}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{T} A_{T}$, so that, by the central limit theorem for stochastic integrals, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} C_{T}$ converges in distribution. This implies $\frac{1}{T} C_{T} \rightarrow 0$.
Joining these results yields that, under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta_{0}}$, using (14), $\frac{1}{T}\left(\Lambda_{T}(\theta)-\Lambda_{T}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \widetilde{K}\left(\theta_{0}, \theta\right)$.
Proof of Proposition 6. To obtain the limiting distribution of the normalized MCE under $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}$, we need study the derivatives of the contrast with respect to the parameters $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{j}, j=\right.$ $1, \ldots d), \beta=\left(\beta_{k}, k=1 \ldots, d^{\prime}\right)$. Using (3) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha_{j}}(\theta) & \left.\left.=-\int_{T}^{2 T}\left[\frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right)\right]\right] d X_{t}+\int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right)\right] d t \\
\sigma^{2} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\theta) & =-\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) d X_{t}+\int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}(\theta) & =-\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d X_{t} \\
& +\int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d t-\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d t \\
\sigma^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \beta_{k}}(\theta) & =-\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d t \\
\sigma^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \beta_{k} \partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\theta) & =-\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k} \partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) d X_{t}+\int_{T}^{2 T} \widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k} \partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) d t \\
& -\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, using that $\widehat{S}(\theta, x)-S(\theta, x)=-\Phi(\beta,.) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)(x)($ see $(24))$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}(\theta) & =-\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) \Phi(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{t}\right) d t-\sigma \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial^{2} b}{\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d W_{t} \\
& -\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d t=A_{T}+B_{T}+C_{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 yields that $\frac{1}{T} A_{T}$ is $o_{P}(1)$. By the central limit theorem for stochastic integrals, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} B_{T}$ converges in distribution so that $\frac{1}{T} B_{T}$ is $o_{P}(1)$.
The last term satisfies $\frac{1}{T} C_{T} \rightarrow-\int \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}(\alpha, x) \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j^{\prime}}}(\alpha, x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$ a.s..
Lemma 1 and the ergodic theorem yield that $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{T} \frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha_{j} \partial \beta_{k}}(\theta) \rightarrow_{a . s .}-\int \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha_{j}}(\alpha, x) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \beta_{k} \partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\theta) & =\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k} \partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) \Phi(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)(x) d t+\sigma \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k} \partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t} \\
& -\int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\beta, .) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, the first two terms of the equation above are $o_{P}(T)$ and $\frac{\sigma^{2}}{T} \frac{\partial^{2} \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \beta_{k} \partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\theta) \rightarrow-\int \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k}}(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta_{k^{\prime}}}(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$.

Proof of Theorem 3. To simplify notations, we do the proof for $d=d^{\prime}=1$. We have:

$$
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta)=-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right) d W_{t}+\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right)\left[\Phi(\beta, .) \star\left(\sqrt{T}\left(\pi_{\theta}-\widehat{\pi}_{T}\right)\right)\left(X_{t}\right) d t\right.
$$

Using the notations of Lemmas 1 and 2, we can write:

$$
-\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right)\left[\Phi(\beta, .) \star\left(\sqrt{T}\left(\pi_{\theta}-\widehat{\pi}_{T}\right)\right)\left(X_{t}\right) d t=\sqrt{T} D_{11}\left(\varphi_{0}, H_{0}\right)+\sqrt{T} D_{12}\left(\varphi_{0}, H_{0}\right)\right.
$$

with

$$
\varphi_{0}=\frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha, .), H_{0}=\Phi(\beta, .)
$$

Thus
$\sqrt{T} D_{11}\left(\varphi_{0}, H_{0}\right)+\sqrt{T} D_{12}\left(\varphi_{0}, H_{0}\right)=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} g^{\prime}\left(\theta, X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+O_{P}\left(h_{T} \sqrt{T}\right)+O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right)+O_{P}\left(\sqrt{T} h_{T}^{2}\right)$
where $g(\theta,$.$) is given by L g(\theta,).(y)=-S(y)$ with

$$
S(y)=\Psi(\theta, y)-\int \Psi(\theta, z) \pi_{\theta}(z) d z, \quad \Psi(\theta, y)=\int \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha, x) \Phi(\beta, x-y) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x
$$

and $L$ is the infinitesimal generator given by (11)-(33). Therefore,

$$
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \alpha}(\theta)=-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{2 T}\left(\mathbf{1}_{[T, 2 T]}(t) \frac{\partial b}{\partial \alpha}\left(\alpha, X_{t}\right)+\mathbf{1}_{[0, T]}(t) g^{\prime}\left(\theta, X_{t}\right)\right) d W_{t}+o_{P}(1) .
$$

Next,
$\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \beta}(\theta)=-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta,.) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t}+\int_{T}^{2 T}\left(\widehat{S}\left(\theta, X_{s}\right)-S\left(\theta, X_{s}\right)\right) \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta,.) \star \widehat{\pi}_{T}\left(X_{s}\right)$
Therefore, using (2),(24)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \beta}(\theta) & =-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t} \\
& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}\left(X_{s}\right) \times \Phi(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s \\
& -\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) \Phi(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s \\
& -\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The third integral is in the form of $\left.D(H, G)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} H \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{t}\right) G \star \widehat{\left(\pi_{T}\right.}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{t}\right) d t$ which is $O_{P}(1 / \sqrt{T})$ by Lemma 1 .
We have $\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left[\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{t}\right)\right]^{2} d t=o_{P}(1)$ by Lemma 1 , so that,

$$
\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta, .) \star\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t}=o_{P}(1) .
$$

The second term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{2, T} & =-\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}\left(X_{s}\right) \times \Phi(\beta, .) \star\left(\sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}-\pi_{\theta}\right)\right)\left(X_{s}\right) d s \\
& =\sqrt{T} \int\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\pi_{\theta}(y)\right) \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}\left(X_{s}\right) \times \Phi\left(\beta, X_{s}-y\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $\Xi(\theta, y)=\int \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta,.) \star \pi_{\theta}(x) \times \Phi(\beta, x-y) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x$, we have, applying Theorem 2

$$
B_{2, T}=-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} h^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+o_{P}(1)
$$

with $h$ satisfying $\left.L h=-\left(\Xi(\theta,)-.\int \Xi_{( } \theta, y\right) \pi_{\theta}(y) d y\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_{T}}{\partial \beta}(\theta)=-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{T}^{2 T} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \beta}(\beta, .) \star \pi_{\theta}\left(X_{t}\right) d W_{t}-\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} h^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+o_{P}(1) .
$$

The convergence in distribution follows from the central limit theorem (32).

## 10. Proofs of Section 5.

## Proof of Lemma 1.

$\diamond$ We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{11}(\varphi, H) & \left.=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \varphi\left(X_{s}\right)\left[\int H\left(X_{s}-y\right)\right)\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right) d y\right] d s \\
& \left.=\int\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right)\left[\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \varphi\left(X_{s}\right) H\left(X_{s}-y\right)\right) d s\right] d y
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that, for all $x,|\varphi(x)|+|H(x)| \leq c\left(1+|x|^{c}\right)$.
Therefore, $|\varphi(x) H(x-y)| \lesssim\left(1+|x|^{2 c}\right)\left(1+|y|^{2 c}\right)$. Choosing $p-4 c>1$, this implies

$$
\left|D_{11}(\varphi, H)\right| \lesssim\left[\int\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right)^{2}\left(1+|y|^{p}\right) d y \int \frac{\left(1+\mid y^{2 c}\right)^{2}}{1+|y|^{p}} d y\right]^{1 / 2} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(1+\left|X_{s}\right|^{2 c} d s\right.
$$

Now, $\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(1+\left|X_{s}\right|^{2 c}\right) d s=O_{P}(1)$ and by the concavity of $x \rightarrow \sqrt{x}$ and Proposition 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[\int\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right)^{2}\left(1+|y|^{p}\right) d y\right]^{1 / 2} \leq \\
& {\left[\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \int\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right)^{2}\left(1+|y|^{p}\right) d y\right]^{1 / 2}=O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\diamond$ The proof for $D_{12}(\varphi, H)$ is identical except that we replace $\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)$ by $\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-$ $\pi_{\theta}(y)$ and use Proposition 1 instead of Proposition 2.
$\diamond$ We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{21}(H, G) & \left.\left.=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left[\iint H\left(X_{s}-y\right)\right)\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right) G\left(X_{s}-z\right)\right)\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(z)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(z)\right) d y d z\right] d s \\
& \left.=\iint\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right)\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(z)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(z)\right)\left[\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} H\left(X_{s}-y\right)\right) G\left(X_{s}-z\right) d s\right] d y d z
\end{aligned}
$$

Using that $H^{2}(x-y) \lesssim\left(1+|x|^{2 c}\right)\left(1+y^{2 c}\right)$ and $G^{2}(x-z) \lesssim\left(1+|x|^{2 c}\right)\left(1+z^{2 c}\right)$ yields

$$
\left.\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} H\left(X_{s}-y\right)\right.\right) G\left(X_{s}-z\right) d s \left\lvert\, \lesssim \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(1+X_{s}^{2 c}\right) d s\left[\left(1+y^{2 c}\right)\left(1+z^{2 c}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}\right.
$$

Thus, choosing $p$ such that $p-2 c>1$ and applying Proposition 2 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D_{21}(H, G)\right| & \lesssim\left[\int\left|\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right|\left(1+y^{2 c}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]^{2} \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(1+X_{s}^{2 c}\right) d s \\
& \lesssim \int\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right)^{2}\left(1+|y|^{p}\right) d y \int \frac{1+y^{2 c}}{1+|y|^{p}} d y \frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T}\left(1+X_{s}^{2 c}\right) d s \\
& =O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\diamond$ For $D_{22}(H, G)$, we proceed analogously applying Proposition 1 .
$\diamond$ For $D_{23}(H, G)$, we use the Cauchy Schwarz inequality and the above to conclude.

Proof of Lemma 2. By assumption, there exists a constant $c>0$ such that, for all $x$, $|\varphi(x)|+|H(x)| \leq c\left(1+|x|^{c}\right)$. We can write

$$
\sqrt{T}\left(D_{11}(\varphi, H)=I_{T}+J_{T},\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{T} & =\int d y \sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right) \psi_{\theta}(y) d y, \quad \psi_{\theta}(y)=\int \varphi(x) H(x-y) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x \\
J_{T} & =\int d y \sqrt{T}\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right) \Delta_{T}\left(X_{s}, y\right) \\
& \text { where } \quad \Delta_{T}\left(X_{s}, y\right)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2 T} \varphi\left(X_{s}\right) H\left(X_{s}-y\right) d s-\psi_{\theta}(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The term $I_{T}$ has been studied in Theorem 2 and satisfies

$$
I_{T}=\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{0}^{T} g^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d W_{s}+O_{P}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right)+O_{P}\left(\sqrt{T} h_{T}\right)
$$

with $g$ given in Lemma 2. We now prove that $J_{T}=O(1 / \sqrt{T})$.
We have

$$
\left.J_{T}^{2} \leq \int\left(1+|y|^{p}\right) T\left(\widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right)-\mathbb{E}_{\theta} \widehat{\pi}_{T}(y)\right)^{2} d y \times \int \Delta_{T}^{2}\left(X_{s}, y\right) \frac{d y}{\left(1+|y|^{p}\right)}
$$

We have already seen that the first factor above is $O_{P}(1)$. It remains to check that the second one tends to 0 for well chosen $p$.
Set

$$
L(\xi, y)=\varphi(\xi) H(\xi-y)-\psi_{\theta}(y) .
$$

Define $G_{y}$ such that $L G_{y}=-L(\xi, y)$, i.e. $G_{y}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} G_{y}^{\prime}(\xi) d \xi$ with

$$
G_{y}^{\prime}(\xi)=\frac{-2}{\sigma^{2} \pi_{\theta}(\xi)} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} L(z, y) \pi_{\theta}(z) d z=\frac{2}{\sigma^{2} \pi_{\theta}(\xi)} \int_{\xi}^{+\infty} L(z, y) \pi_{\theta}(z) d z
$$

We have

$$
\mathbb{E} \Delta_{T}^{2}\left(X_{s}, y\right) \leq \frac{2 \sigma^{2}}{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(G_{y}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x+\frac{4}{T^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{y}^{2}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x
$$

Let us set

$$
\mathcal{I}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+|y|^{p}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(G_{y}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right] d y, \quad \mathcal{J}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+|y|^{p}}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{y}^{2}(x) \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right] d y
$$

We have $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}^{+}+\mathcal{I}^{-}$where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{+} & =\frac{4}{\sigma^{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+|y|^{p}}\left[\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\pi_{\theta}(x)}\left(\int_{x}^{+\infty} L(z, y) \pi_{\theta}(z) d z\right)^{2} d x\right] d y \\
\mathcal{I}^{-} & =\frac{4}{\sigma^{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{1+|y|^{p}}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{1}{\pi_{\theta}(x)}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} L(z, y) \pi_{\theta}(z) d z\right)^{2} d x\right] d y
\end{aligned}
$$

We only treat $\mathcal{I}^{+}$as the other one is analogous. By the assumptions of polynomial growth, we have $|L(z, y)| \lesssim 1+|y|^{2 c}+|z|^{2 c}$ and if $z<z^{\prime},\left|L(z, y) L\left(z^{\prime}, y\right)\right| \lesssim 1+|y|^{4 c}+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{4 c}$. Therefore, we
can write:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{+} & =\frac{4}{\sigma^{4}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}, x>0, z>x, z^{\prime}>x} \frac{1}{1+|y|^{p}} \frac{1}{\pi_{\theta}(x)} L(z, y) L\left(z^{\prime}, y\right) \pi_{\theta}(z) \pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right) d y d x d z d z^{\prime} \\
& =\frac{8}{\sigma^{4}} \int_{0<z<z^{\prime}} \pi_{\theta}(z) \pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\pi_{\theta}(x)} d x\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d y}{1+|y|^{p}} L(z, y) L\left(z^{\prime}, y\right)\right) d z d z^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $p-4 c>1$, this yields, using (39),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\mathcal{I}^{+}\right| & \lesssim \int_{0<z<z^{\prime}} \pi_{\theta}(z) \pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right) \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\pi_{\theta}(x)} d x\left(1+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{4 c}\right) d z d z^{\prime} \\
& \lesssim \int_{z>0} \pi_{\theta}(z)\left[\pi_{\theta}(z)\right]^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\pi_{\theta}(x)} d x \int_{z}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{\pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{\pi_{\theta}(z)}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(1+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{4 c}\right)\left[\pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} d z^{\prime} \\
& \lesssim \int_{z>0} \pi_{\theta}(z)\left[\pi_{\theta}(z)\right]^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{\pi_{\theta}(x)} d x e^{-(C / 2) z} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{(C / 2) z^{\prime}}\left(1+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{4 c}\right)\left[\pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} d z^{\prime} d z \\
& \lesssim \int_{z>0}\left[\pi_{\theta}(z)\right]^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{\pi_{\theta}(z)}{\pi_{\theta}(x)} d x e^{-(C / 2) z} d z=\int_{z>0}\left[\pi_{\theta}(z)\right]^{1 / 2} \int_{0}^{z} e^{C(z-x)} d x e^{-(C / 2) z} d z \\
& \lesssim \int_{z>0}\left[\pi_{\theta}(z)\right]^{1 / 2}\left(\left|e^{(C / 2) z}-e^{-(C / 2) z}\right|\right) d z<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we look at $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{J}^{+}+\mathcal{J}^{-}$with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}^{+} & =\int \frac{1}{1+|y|^{p}}\left[\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left(\int_{0}^{x} G_{y}^{\prime}(v) d v\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right] d y \\
\mathcal{J}^{-} & =\int \frac{1}{1+|y|^{p}}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(\int_{x}^{0} G_{y}^{\prime}(v) d v\right)^{2} \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right] d y
\end{aligned}
$$

We have, for $p-4 c>1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}^{+} & \leq \int \frac{1}{1+|y|^{p}}\left[\int_{0}^{+\infty} x \int_{0}^{x}\left[G_{y}^{\prime}(v)\right]^{2} d v \pi_{\theta}(x) d x\right] d y \\
& \lesssim \int \frac{1}{1+|y|^{p}}\left(\int_{x>0,0<v<x, v<z<z^{\prime}} d x d v d z d z^{\prime}\left|L(z, y) L\left(z^{\prime}, y\right)\right| \frac{\pi_{\theta}(x) \pi_{\theta}(z) \pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{\pi_{\theta}^{2}(v)}\right) d y \\
& \lesssim \int_{x>0,0<v<x, v<z<z^{\prime}} d x d v d z d z^{\prime}\left(1+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{4 c}\right) \frac{\pi_{\theta}(x) \pi_{\theta}(z) \pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{\pi_{\theta}^{2}(v)}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can write, for $0<v<x, v<z<z^{\prime}$, using (39),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\pi_{\theta}(x) \pi_{\theta}(z) \pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{\pi_{\theta}^{2}(v)} & \left.\left.\left.=\left[\pi_{\theta}(x)\right]^{1 / 3}\left[\frac{\pi_{\theta}(x)}{\pi_{\theta}(v)}\right]^{2 / 3}\right]\left[\frac{\pi_{\theta}(z)}{\pi_{\theta}(v)}\right]^{2 / 3}\right]\left[\frac{\pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)}{\pi_{\theta}(v)}\right]^{2 / 3}\right]\left[\pi_{\theta}(z) \pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 3} \\
& \lesssim\left[\pi_{\theta}(x)\right]^{1 / 3}\left[\pi_{\theta}(z) \pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) C(x-v)} e^{(2 / 3) C(z-v)} e^{(2 / 3) C\left(z^{\prime}-v\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}^{+} & \lesssim \int_{x>0,0<v<x, v<z} d x d v d z\left(x\left[\pi_{\theta}(x)\right]^{1 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) C z} e^{-C v}\left[\pi_{\theta}(z)\right]^{1 / 3} \int_{z}^{+\infty}\left[\pi_{\theta}\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 3}\left(1+\left|z^{\prime}\right|^{4 c}\right) e^{(2 / 3) C z^{\prime}} d z^{\prime}\right) \\
& \lesssim \int_{0}^{+\infty} x\left(\int_{0}^{x} e^{-C v} d v\right)\left[\pi_{\theta}(x)\right]^{1 / 3} d x \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[\pi_{\theta}(z)\right]^{1 / 3} e^{(2 / 3) C z} d z<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

We can proceed analogously for $\mathcal{J}^{-}$.
Finally, we find that $J_{T}=O_{P}((1 / \sqrt{T})$.
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