

## Physcomitrium patens SMXL homologs are PpMAX2-dependent negative regulators of growth

Ambre Guillory, Mauricio Lopez-Obando, Khalissa Bouchenine, Philippe Le Bris, Alain Lecureuil, Jean-Paul Pillot, Vincent Steinmetz, Francois-Didier Boyer, Catherine Rameau, Alexandre de Saint Germain, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Ambre Guillory, Mauricio Lopez-Obando, Khalissa Bouchenine, Philippe Le Bris, Alain Lecureuil, et al.. Physcomitrium patens SMXL homologs are PpMAX2-dependent negative regulators of growth. 2023. hal-04070429

## HAL Id: hal-04070429 https://hal.science/hal-04070429

Preprint submitted on 15 Apr 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### 1 Physcomitrium patens SMXL homologs are PpMAX2-dependent negative

#### 2 regulators of growth

3 Ambre Guillory<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Mauricio Lopez-Obando<sup>1,3</sup>, Khalissa Bouchenine<sup>1</sup>, Philippe Le Bris<sup>1</sup>, Alain

4 Lécureuil<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Paul Pillot<sup>1</sup>, Vincent Steinmetz<sup>4</sup>, François-Didier Boyer<sup>4</sup>, Catherine Rameau<sup>1</sup>,

- 5 Alexandre de Saint Germain<sup>1</sup>, Sandrine Bonhomme<sup>1,\*</sup>
- <sup>1</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin (IJPB), 78000,
  Versailles, France.
- 8 <sup>2</sup>LIPME, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, CNRS, Castanet-Tolosan 31326, France.
- 9 <sup>3</sup>Institut de biologie moléculaire des plantes (IBMP), CNRS, University of Strasbourg,12 rue du
- 10 Général Zimmer, Strasbourg, France.
- <sup>4</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, UPR 2301, 91198, Gif-

12 sur-Yvette, France.

13 \*Corresponding authors: <u>sandrine.bonhomme@inrae.fr</u> and ambre.guillory@inrae.fr

#### 14 Short title

15 SMXLs are negative regulators of the MAX2-dependent pathway in *Physcomitrium patens* 

#### 16 Abstract

17 SMXL proteins are a plant-specific clade of type I HSP100/Clp-ATPases. SMXL genes are found in virtually all land plants' genomes. However, they have mainly been studied in angiosperms. In 18 19 Arabidopsis thaliana, three SMXL functional subclades have been identified: SMAX1/SMXL2, 20 SMXL345 and SMXL678. Out of these, two subclades ensure transduction on endogenous hormone 21 signals: SMAX1/SMXL2 are involved in KAI2-ligand (KL) signaling, while SMXL678 are involved in 22 strigolactones (SLs) signaling. Many questions remain regarding the mode of action of these proteins, 23 as well as their ancestral role. In light of recent discoveries in the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha, we 24 addressed this second question by investigating the function of the four SMXL genes of the moss 25 *Physcomitrium patens.* We demonstrate that PpSMXL proteins are negative regulators of growth, 26 involved in the likely conserved ancestral MAX2-dependent KL signaling pathway. However, PpSMXL 27 proteins expressed in Arabidopsis thaliana unexpectedly cannot replace SMAX1/SMXL2 function in KL signaling, whereas they can functionally replace SMXL4/5 and restore root growth. Therefore, the 28 29 molecular function of SMXL could be conserved, but not their interaction network. Moreover, one 30 PpSMXL clade also positively regulates transduction of the SL signal in *P. patens*, this function most probably having an independent evolutionary origin to angiosperms SMXL678. 31

32

#### 33 Introduction

34 Strigolactones (SLs) are butenolide compounds with an early origin in land plant evolution (Delaux et 35 al. 2012; Kyozuka et al. 2022; Bonhomme and Guillory 2022). In angiosperms, these molecules have first been identified as rhizospheric signals with both negative and positive outcomes for the producing 36 plant: SLs stimulate seed germination of root parasitic plants (Cook et al. 1966) but also promote 37 38 Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis (Akiyama et al. 2005) by boosting AM fungi mitochondrial metabolism and thus hyphae growth (Besserer et al. 2006; Besserer et al. 2008). SLs are also employed 39 as phytohormones in angiosperms, where they play diverse roles, in particular regulating plant 40 architecture (for review see (Machin et al. 2020)). Notably, SLs have a largely documented ability to 41 42 repress axillary branching, by inhibiting axillary bud activity (Gomez-Roldan et al. 2008; Umehara et 43 al. 2008; Jiang et al. 2013; Kerr et al. 2021).

44 Phylogenetic studies suggest that the SL biosynthesis pathway is ancient, genes encoding most SL 45 biosynthesis hormones being found both in land plants and some algae (Delaux et al. 2012; Walker et al. 2019). The CAROTENOID CLEAVAGE DIOXYGENASE 8 (CCD8) enzyme is essential for SL 46 47 biosynthesis, since its product, carlactone (CL), is considered to be a key precursor for SLs (Alder et al. 2012). Genetic studies have demonstrated that CCD8 function, first established in angiosperms models, 48 49 is probably conserved in bryophytes, as this function was demonstrated at least in the moss 50 Physcomitrium patens (P. patens) (Proust et al. 2011; Decker et al. 2017) and in the liverwort 51 Marchantia paleacea (Radhakrishnan et al. 2020; Kodama et al. 2022). However, this conservation has 52 not been proven yet for algae CCD8 homologs (Walker et al. 2019) and so far, SL synthesis has only 53 been described in land plants. In P. patens, SLs have a hormonal function, since PpCCD8-derived 54 compounds repress filament branching and elongation (Proust et al. 2011; Hoffmann et al. 2014), repress 55 gametophore (leafy shoot) basal branching (Coudert et al. 2015) and enhance resistance to phytopathogenic fungi (Decker et al. 2017). Still, the exact molecule with a hormonal role has not been 56 57 identified yet (Lopez-Obando et al. 2021). In contrast, in M. paleacea, the recently characterized SL 58 Bryosymbiol (BSB) does not function as a hormone but is an essential rhizospheric signal needed for 59 AM symbiosis establishment (Kodama et al. 2022).

In angiosperms, SL perception is achieved by the receptor DWARF14 (D14). SL perception triggers 60 D14's binding to the F-box protein MORE AXILLARY GROWTH 2 (MAX2), and subsequent 61 62 targeting of repressor proteins (Bennett et al. 2016). These repressors are encoded by a small gene family, and called SUPPRESSOR OF MAX2 (SMAX)1-LIKE (SMXL) in Arabidopsis (Stanga et al. 63 2013; Soundappan et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2015), and DWARF53 (D53) in rice (Jiang et al. 2013). 64 SMXL6, 7, and 8 are key repressors of the SL pathway for branching control (Soundappan et al. 2015; 65 Wang et al. 2015). SMAX1 and SMXL2 are repressors in a parallel signaling pathway controlling seed 66 germination and seedling development (Stanga et al. 2016). This latter pathway also involves the MAX2 67

68 F-box protein, and the KARRIKIN INSENSITIVE 2 (KAI2) receptor (Conn and Nelson 2016), an

- 69 ancestral paralog of D14. While the existence of an endogenous hormonal KAI2-ligand (KL) is expected
- 70 (Waters et al. 2015; Conn and Nelson 2016; Sun et al. 2016), the identity of molecule(s) activating the
- 71 so-called KL pathway is still unknown.

72 As described in angiosperms, response to KL or SL requires the degradation of respective SMXL 73 repressors (SMAX1 or SMXL/D53) by the 26S proteasome, via the action of an SCF complex 74 containing MAX2. SMAX1 and SMXL/D53 are large proteins with several conserved structural 75 domains: a double Clp-N domain in N terminus and two ATPase domains (D1 and D2), separated by a 76 middle region (M). The D2 domain is split into D2a and D2b subdomains by an ethylene-responsive 77 element binding factor amphiphilic repression (EAR) motif (for review see (Temmerman et al. 2022)). 78 In the D2a subdomain lies a specific degron motif (RGKT or P loop), necessary for KL/SL-triggered 79 SMXL degradation (Zhou et al. 2013; Khosla et al. 2020). Their activity as repressors partly relies on the EAR motif mediating transcriptional repression (Liang et al. 2016; Ma et al. 2017). Angiosperms 80 possess a third subclade of SMXL proteins, SMXL345, involved in cell differentiation, in a MAX2-81 82 independent manner (Wallner et al. 2017; Moturu et al. 2018; Wallner et al. 2020).

Members of gene families associated with SL or KL signaling are found in all plants (Walker et al. 83 84 2019), with the exception of the D14 SL receptor gene, which likely emerged in the flowering plant 85 lineage. Outside seed plants, putative receptors are homologs to KAI2 (Bythell-Douglas et al. 2017). 86 Thanks to reverse genetics, SL and KL signaling pathways have been partially described in bryophyte 87 models (Proust et al. 2011; Decker et al. 2017; Mizuno et al. 2021; Kodama et al. 2022; Kyozuka et al. 2022; Bonhomme and Guillory 2022). In Marchantia polymorpha, a KAI2 and MAX2-dependent 88 89 pathway was recently identified, that regulates thallus growth, and where the unique MpSMXL homolog acts as a repressor (Mizuno et al. 2021). In the moss P. patens, we have previously shown that the 90 91 homolog of MAX2 is not necessary for response to SLs but is involved in the response to red light and 92 possibly in KL signaling (Lopez-Obando et al. 2018; Lopez-Obando et al. 2021). The KAI2 gene family 93 is extended in *P. patens*, comprising candidate receptors for SLs (GJM clade), and candidate receptors 94 for the KL (euKAI2 clade). Thus, both SL (MAX2-independent) and KL (MAX2-dependent) pathways are present in P. patens, in contrast to M. polymorpha (Lopez-Obando et al. 2021). Also contrasting 95 96 with the single SMXL copy of *M. polymorpha*, there are four *PpSMXL* genes. Hence, we have sought to determine whether SMXL proteins' role in the ancestral KL signaling pathway is conserved in P. 97 98 *patens*, and whether the seemingly moss-specific SL signaling pathway also relies on SMXL proteins.

Here, we show that the four PpSMXL proteins all display the ancestral SMXL domain organization, as
well as motifs matching the respective EAR and RGKT consensus (Walker et al. 2019). All four
homologs appear to play a negative role downstream of PpMAX2 in the putative KL signaling pathway
of *P. patens*. Introduction of moss *PpSMXL* genes in Arabidopsis failed to induce functional

complementation of the Atsmax1 mutant, suggesting PpSMXL are not able to form a functional 103 signaling complex with AtKAI2 and AtMAX2. Our results nonetheless support the hypothesis of the 104 105 ancestral role of SMXL proteins being KL response. Moreover, two PpSMXL proteins could act as 106 positive actors of SL signaling and thus constitute a level of crosstalk between the SL and the PpMAX2dependent KL pathway. The finding that none of these SMXL homologs are repressors in the SL 107 signaling pathway supports the hypothesis that the mechanism triggering SL signal transduction 108 109 diverged between P. patens and seed plants, even though the same family of receptors was recruited in 110 both lineages (Bythell-Douglas et al. 2017; Lopez-Obando et al. 2021). Furthermore, our work supports the hypothesis that the use of SLs as hormones is not an ancestral land plant trait and might not be shared 111 112 by seedless plants outside of bryopsid mosses (Kodama et al. 2022).

113

#### 114 **Results**

#### 115 Phylogeny and expression analysis reveal two clades of SMXL genes in P. patens

We recovered four SMXL genes from *Physcomitrium patens* (formerly *Physcomitrella patens*) 116 genome on Phytozome (V3.6) as the first four results of a BLAST against *P. patens* proteome, using the 117 118 full protein sequence of AtSMAX1 (encoded by AT5G57710.1). The predicted PpSMXL proteins 119 contain the four regions characteristic of the SMXL family: a double ClpN N-terminal domain (N) and 120 two ATPase domains (D1 and D2) separated by a long middle domain (M) ((Temmerman et al. 2022) 121 and Supplemental Figure S1). As per a previous extensive analysis of SMXL proteins phylogeny (Walker et al. 2019), proteins encoded by Pp3c2 14220 and Pp3c1 23530 (here respectively named as 122 *PpSMXLA* and *PpSMXLB*) correspond to a SMXL clade that is specific to bryopsid mosses, while those 123 124 encoded by Pp3c9 16100 and Pp3c15 16120 (PpSMXLC and PpSMXLD herein) correspond to a SMXL clade that is common to all mosses, including the sphagnopsida (Supplemental Figure S2). At 125 the amino acid level, PpSMXLC and PpSMXLD show 72% identity and PpSMXLA and PpSMXLB 126 127 61%, whereas comparisons between proteins of the two different clades give 27-29% identity. This suggests these two PpSMXL clades might have been evolving separately since the emergence of the 128 129 bryopsid lineage.

To further explore the putative functional divergence between these two clades, we checked for differences in the expression of the four *PpSMXL* genes. For each *PpSMXL* gene, the region preceding the start codon was cloned upstream of the GUS coding sequence and this construct was introduced into wild-type (WT) moss plants. By staining two-week-old plants from *proPpSMXL:GUS* lines, we found that *PpSMXLA* promoter is hardly active at this age, as staining was only observed at the tip of phyllids (Figure 1A). *PpSMXLC* and *PpSMXLD* promoters seem to be much more active since GUS staining was noted in most of the tissues (Figure 1A). Unfortunately no *proPpSMXLB:GUS* line was obtained. We 137 then investigated these genes' expression along vegetative development, using RT qPCR. With this

138 method, *PpSMXLB* transcripts were detected and we could confirm that gene expression is generally

139 lower in the *PpSMXLA/B* clade than in the *PpSMXLC/D* clade (Figure 1B). Indeed, compared to the

140 mean expression level of *P. patens* genes which is around 16 reads per Kilobase of transcript per million

141 mapped reads (RPKM), *PpSMXLC/D* levels are approximately 18 RPKM and *PpSMXLA/B* levels are

around 2 and 6, respectively (Perroud et al. 2018). We could not distinguish any significant changes in

transcript levels across *protonema* development, but *PpSMXL* transcript levels all tended to be higher in

- 144 mature gametophores and/or rhizoids at later developmental stages (Figure 1B).
- 145

#### 146 *Ppsmxl* loss-of-function mutants do not display a constitutive SL response phenotype

To investigate the function of the four *PpSMXL* genes in *P. patens* and their potential 147 involvement in the SL/PpKAI2L-GJM or KL/PpMAX2 pathway, we employed two CRISPR-Cas9-148 based mutagenesis strategies. In the first one, we used only one guide RNA targeted against the CDS 149 region to obtain *Ppsmxl* mutants with frameshift or small insertions/deletions (Lopez-Obando et al. 150 151 2016b). We ensured obtaining knock-out mutants by devising a second strategy using two guide RNAs, 152 with one targeting each untranslated region (UTR) (Supplemental Figure S1; Supplemental Table S1). 153 Mutations giving rise to a complete deletion of the CDS are noted as *Ppsmxl* (Supplemental Figure S3 154 and S4). Reasoning that the four PpSMXL proteins grouping into two clades could reflect different 155 functions (Supplemental Figure S2 and (Walker et al. 2019)), we first sought double mutants for each clade, e.g.  $Ppsmxl(\Delta)ab$  and  $Ppsmxl(\Delta)cd$  mutants. Single and double mutants in the PpSMXLA/B clade 156 were highly similar to the WT when grown under white light (Figure 2, A and B and Supplemental 157 158 Figure S5). On the other hand, both *Ppsmxlcd* and *Ppsmxl\Delta cd* double mutants showed markedly 159 enhanced protonema extension, resulting in very large plants (Figure 2, A and B), even surpassing the 160 *Ppccd8* mutant in some experiments (Supplemental Figure S5). Single *Ppsmxl\Delta c* and *Ppsmxld* mutants also tended to be larger than WT, although not to the same level as double mutants (Figure 2, A and B). 161 162 Thus, it appears *PpSMXLC/D* loss of function results in a protonema extension phenotype opposite to 163 the *Ppmax2-1* mutant, which would be compatible with PpSMXLC/D proteins acting as repressors 164 downstream of PpMAX2.

We assayed the phenotypes of the *Ppsmxl* mutants in the dark and continuous red-light, in conditions that allowed us to clearly distinguish mutants affected in the SL pathway from those affected in the PpMAX2-dependent KL pathway (Lopez-Obando et al. 2018; Lopez-Obando et al. 2021). Compared to WT, SL deficient (*Ppccd8*) and SL insensitive (*Ppkai2Lgjm*) mutants elongate more caulonema filaments in the dark. In contrast, KL mutants (*Ppmax2* and *eu-KAI2* clade) elongate fewer filaments than WT in the dark, and develop taller gametophores than WT as a result of an altered photomorphogenesis response to red light (Lopez-Obando et al. 2021).

When grown vertically in the dark, *PpSMXLA/B* clade mutants tended to grow as many 172 caulonema filaments as WT, of similar length (Supplemental Figure S6). On the contrary, *PpSMXLC/D* 173 174 clade mutants developed more and longer caulonema filaments than WT, similarly to Ppccd8 175 (Supplemental Figure S6). Additionally, when grown under continuous red light, *PpSMXLA/B* clade mutants showed a similar phenotype to WT, while *PpSMXLC/D* mutants had smaller and more stunted 176 gametophores, again opposite to the *Ppmax2-1* mutant (Figure 2, C to F). Counting phyllid number 177 178 allowed estimating phytomere length, which was shorter for PpSMXLC/D clade mutants than for any 179 genotype (including *Ppccd8*) (Supplemental Figure S7), suggesting constitutive other 180 photomorphogenesis. Overall, *Ppsmxl* mutants' phenotypic characterization suggests that (1) *PpSMXLC* 181 and *PpSMXLD* act redundantly to limit protonema growth, at least partly by limiting caulonema 182 filaments number and length; (2) PpSMXLC and PpSMXLD activate gametophore photomorphogenesis 183 under red light; (3) *PpSMXLA* and *PpSMXLB* play a very modest role (if any) in regulation of protonema and gametophore growth in various light conditions. Taken together, the results indicate that *Ppsmxlcd* 184 185 mutants display largely opposite phenotypes to the *Ppmax2-1* mutant, suggesting that PpSMXLC/D 186 would act redundantly as repressors of the PpMAX2-dependent KL signaling pathway.

187 Our first mutagenesis strategy also granted us few higher order *Ppsmxl* mutants, of which we 188 characterized one triple mutant (*Ppsmxla1b1d1*) and one quadruple mutant (*Ppsmxla2b2c1d2*). It should 189 be noted that the *Ppsmxlc1* mutation in the quadruple mutant might not cause a loss of function of 190 *PpSMXLC*, as it removed four residues of the protein (not in a predicted functional motif) and did not 191 induce a frameshift (Supplemental Figure S4). Both of these mutants displayed highly restrained growth 192 and browning of the protonema, and only rare stunted gametophores were produced (Supplemental 193 Figure S5A). Moreover, these higher order mutants grew few agravitropic curled caulonema filaments 194 in the dark (Supplemental Figure S6).

195

#### 196 *PpSMXL* overexpressing lines display phenotypes alike *Ppmax2-1*

197 To further explore the putative role of PpSMXL as repressors of the PpMAX2-dependent pathway, we examined the phenotype of stable P. patens transgenic lines overexpressing PpSMXLA and 198 199 *PpSMXLC* with a N-terminal GFP tag under the maize ubiquitin promoter (*pZmUbi:GFP-PpSMXL*) 200 lines). We checked the *GFP-PpSMXL* fusion transcript overexpression by semi-quantitative RT-PCR 201 (Supplemental Figure S8A). For clarity, we simplified the notation of these lines as OE-SMXLA and 202 OE-SMXLC, respectively. When grown under standard conditions in white light, these lines were 203 significantly less radially extended than the WT (Figure 3, A and B). OE-SMXLA and OE-SMXLC 204 displayed a growth phenotype similar to that of *Ppmax2-1*, with less (but bigger) gametophores than in WT (Figure 3A and Supplemental Figure S8B). Furthermore, when we examined the phenotype of these 205 206 lines under red-light continuous illumination, we likewise found that these OE lines behaved very

similarly to *Ppmax2-1* (Figure 3, C-D) with very elongated gametophores. Thus, in red light conditions,

- 208 OE-PpSMXL lines show an opposite phenotype to that of *Ppsmxl* mutants, at least of the *PpSMXLC/D*
- 209 clade (comparing Figure 2, C-F to Figure 3, C-D). These features point to *PpSMXLC* but also *PpSMXLA*
- acting downstream of *PpMAX2* and playing an opposite role to *PpMAX2* in development.
- 211

#### 212 *PpSMXL* loss-of-function does not restore WT growth in the *Ppccd8* background

213 To test a putative role of PpSMXL genes in the SL pathway, we combined *Ppccd8* and *Ppsmxl* 214 mutations. Replicating our first CRISPR mutagenesis strategy in the original Ppccd8 SL deficient background, we obtained Ppccd8 Ppsmxlab, Ppccd8 Ppsmxlcd, and Ppccd8 Ppsmxlabcd mutants. 215 Following the growth of these *Ppccd8 Ppsmxl* mutants (Figure 4; Supplemental Figure S9A), we noticed 216 217 that mutation of neither SMXL clade restored protonema extension to WT levels. Strikingly, the 218 mutation of all four PpSMXL genes, which had a dramatic negative effect on growth in the WT 219 background (Supplemental Figure S5 and S6), was completely circumvented by the *Ppccd8* mutation, and the Ppccd8 Ppsmxlabcd quintuple mutant showed a phenotype similar to Ppccd8. (Figure 4; 220 Supplemental Figure S9B). It is however worthy of note that the *Ppsmxlc9* mutation in the *Ppccd8* 221 222 Ppsmxlabcd mutant does not lead to a frameshift, similarly to the Ppsmxlc1 mutation (Supplemental 223 Figure S4), therefore the PpSMXLC protein is likely still functional in this mutant. These observations 224 indicate that PpSMXL proteins are not acting as repressors in the SL pathway. In addition, the lack of 225 functional PpSMXLA, B and D proteins appears to be detrimental only when endogenous SL are 226 present.

227

#### 228 *PpSMXL* mutations partially restore *Ppmax2-1* mutant phenotypes

To confirm the genetic relationship between *PpSMXL* and *PpMAX2*, we tested whether *Ppsmxl* 229 230 loss of function could restore a WT phenotype in a Ppmax2 background. Ppsmxlab mutants in the 231 Ppmax2-1 background were obtained, but no Ppsmxlcd mutants. Therefore, we instead mutated 232 PpMAX2 in one of the  $Ppsmxl\Delta cd$  mutants, using the CRISPR-Cas9 system, with five guide RNAs 233 targeting PpMAX2 (Supplemental Figure S10 Supplemental Table S1). Using this approach, we successfully obtained a new *Ppmax2* mutant allele (*Ppmax2-16*) in the *Ppsmxl*∆*cd* mutant background. 234 When we examined the protonema extension of the Ppmax2 Ppsmxl mutants, we found that Ppsmxlab 235 236 mutations had no effect on *Ppmax2* mutant phenotype while *Ppsmxlcd* mutations could partially suppress the dramatic growth decrease caused by *PpMAX2* loss of function (Figure 5, A-B). By contrast, 237 under red light, both *Ppsmxlab* and *Ppsmxlcd* mutations could partially restore the excessive elongation 238 of gametophores caused by *PpMAX2* loss of function (Figure 5, C-D). 239

Altogether, phenotype analysis led us to hypothesize that all four PpSMXL proteins could act as repressors of the PpMAX2-dependent KL pathway, with both *PpSMXL* clades acting redundantly in the regulation of gametophore elongation in red light, while *PpSMXLC/D* would play a predominant role under standard light conditions.

244

#### 245 Loss of PpSMXL C/D function dampens the response to SL mimic but not to KL mimic

246 We then explored whether  $Ppsmxl(\Delta)$  mutants have a modified phenotypic response to GR24 247 enantiomers. To investigate this response, we relied on the test of filament growth in the dark, which consists in counting the caulonema filaments after enantiomer application (Hoffmann et al. 2014; Lopez-248 Obando et al. 2021). When treated with 1 µM (+)-GR24, WT and Ppccd8 plants developed significantly 249 250 less caulonema filaments, which is the typical phenotypic response to SL treatment ((Lopez-Obando et 251 al. 2021) and Figure 6A). When investigating the response of *PpsmxlA/B* clade mutants to (+)-GR24, it appeared that all *Ppsmxl* single mutants and *Ppsmxlab* double mutants could respond to this SL mimic, 252 253 apparently as much as the WT (Figure 6A; Supplemental Figure S11A and S11B). The response of 254 *Ppsmxlcd* double mutants to (+)-GR24 was less pronounced than that of *Ppsmxlab*, considering several 255 independent assays (Figure 6A; Supplemental Figure S11C). PpSMXLC and PpSMXLD play redundant 256 roles in responding to (+)-GR24, as response was not disturbed in single *Ppsmxlc* and *Ppsmxld* mutants 257 (Supplemental Figure S11B). This suggested that PpSMXLC/D could mediate at least part of the SL 258 response. In addition, single *Ppsmxlc* mutants developed slightly but significantly more filaments than 259 WT in control conditions (Supplemental Figure S11B), possibly indicating that *PpSMXLC* plays a 260 predominant role over *PpSMXLD* in the regulation of filament number.

261 In our previous assays, the use of (-)-GR24 or KAR<sub>2</sub> treatment to trigger the KL pathway gave 262 non-reproducible results, though sometimes tending to increase the filament number (Lopez-Obando et 263 al. 2021). Therefore, we instead chose to evaluate the effect of (-)-desmethyl-GR24, described as a 264 better mimic for KL in both Arabidopsis and Marchantia (Yao et al. 2021). In similar assays of filament 265 growth in the dark, increasing doses of (–)-desmethyl-GR24 (0.01 to  $10 \,\mu$ M) significantly increased the 266 number of filaments in WT and Ppccd8 mutant, but not in Ppmax2-1 mutant (Supplemental Figure S12A 267 and S12B). Unexpectedly, the eu-KAI2 clade mutant, affected in PpKAI2L-A to E genes encoding 268 putative KL receptors, was responsive to the (-)-desmethyl-GR24 treatment, however not in all assays 269 (Supplemental Figure S12 A and S12B). We still considered (-)-desmethyl-GR24 our best mimic for 270 the KL in P. patens. We tested the effect of (-)-desmethyl-GR24 on Ppsmxl mutants and observed that 271 both clade A/B and clade C/D Ppsmxl double mutants were able to respond to this compound (here tested at 1 and 10 µM, Figure 6B). However, considering several independent assays with 1 µM (-)-272 desmethyl-GR24, the increase of the filament number was lower for the *Ppsmxlcd* double mutant than 273 for the *Ppsmxlab* double mutant (Figure 6B, Supplemental Figure S12C). This observation leads to the 274

hypothesis that all four *PpSMXL* genes may play a redundant role in response to (–)-desmethyl-GR24,
at least in this experimental setup (in the dark).

277

#### 278 *PpSMXL* transcript levels are downregulated in response to activation of the SL signaling pathway

We wondered if a differential transcriptional regulation of all four *PpSMXL* genes could explain the different responses of moss mutants to SL and KL mimics. We therefore compared the transcript levels of *PpSMXL* genes in WT to that in the *Ppccd8* mutant and in the *Ppmax2-1* mutant, and assayed the effect of (+)-GR24 application. Because we previously found that the transcriptional response of SL-related genes was strongly affected by light and enhanced in the dark (Lopez-Obando et al. 2016a; Lopez-Obando et al. 2018), we assessed this response in both dark and light conditions.

285 We observed that all four *PpSMXL* genes have much higher transcript levels in the dark than in the light, in WT, in the Ppccd8 SL synthesis mutant, and in the Ppmax2-1 mutant (Supplemental Figure 286 S13, comparing the y-axis in left versus right panels). In control conditions, all four PpSMXL genes 287 were downregulated in the Ppmax2-1 mutant compared to WT in the dark (Supplemental Figure S13 A-288 289 D, left panels), and also in the light for *PpSMXLC* and *PpSMXLD* (Supplemental Figure S13 A-D, right panels). This suggests that the PpMAX2-dependent KL pathway upregulates the expression of *PpSMXL* 290 genes. In light conditions, *PpSMXLC* and *D* transcript levels in control conditions were higher in *Ppccd8* 291 compared to WT, indicating that endogenous SLs repress the expression of these two genes. 292 Accordingly, the (+)-GR24 treatment down-regulated PpSMXLC and D transcript levels, in both WT 293 294 and *Ppccd8* mutant (Supplemental Figure S13, C and D, right panels). Surprisingly, in the *Ppmax2-1* 295 mutant, transcript levels of PpSMXLC and D were upregulated by the (+)-GR24 treatment in light conditions (Supplemental Figure S13, C and D). Considered together, these results show that *PpSMXL* 296 297 genes' transcript levels are mainly down-regulated by light and that PpMAX2 function leads to enhanced 298 *PpSMXL* transcription. Hence, expression of *PpSMXL* genes could be induced by activation of the KL 299 pathway. In addition, the down-regulation of *PpSMXLC* and *PpSMXLD* transcripts by SLs further 300 suggests possible crosstalk with the SL pathway.

301

#### 302 **PpSMXL** proteins are localized mainly in the nucleus, and not degraded following SL application

Functional nuclear localization signals (NLS) were found in a part of the N-terminal region of AtSMXL7 (Liang et al., 2016) AtSMAX1 (Khosla et al. 2020) and OsSMAX1 proteins (Choi et al. 2020). This sequence enriched in residues with basic sidechains is broadly conserved in the four PpSMXL proteins, suggesting they are also located in the nucleus (Supplemental Figure S14). To determine the subcellular localization of PpSMXL proteins, we transiently overexpressed RFP-PpSMXL fusion proteins under the 35S promoter in *Nicotiana benthamiana* epidermal cells stably expressing CFP tagged H2b histone (thus all nuclei were marked by CFP, Supplemental Figure S15). A clear nuclear signal was observed for all four PpSMXL (Supplemental Figure S15A, S15C, S15E, S15G), but some cytoplasmic signal was also observed for RFP fusions with PpSMXLA, PpSMXLB and PpSMXLC. Thus, either the PpSMXL are actually nucleo-cytosolic, or high expression of RFP-PpSMXL under p35S led to proteins in excess leaking out of the nuclear compartment.

314 In angiosperms, the RGKT degron motif is responsible for SMXL proteasomal degradation in presence of SL (Zhou et al. 2013). Since the RGKT motif was found in PpSMXLC and PpSMXLD 315 316 proteins (RGRT in PpSMXLA and PpSMXLB, Supplemental Figure S1; Supplemental Figure S15I), 317 we obtained constructs where this motif was deleted. In N. benthamiana leaves transiently expressing p35S:RFP-PpSMXL constructs, we found similarly high levels of RFP-PpSMXL fusion proteins 318 whether the degron motif was present or deleted ( $\Delta$ RGRT and  $\Delta$ RGKT lines, Supplemental Figure 319 S15B, S15D, S15F, S15H). Nonetheless, the additional cytosolic RFP signal seemed increased in leaves 320 321 expressing the  $\Delta$ RGKT versions of PpSMXL proteins, especially for PpSMXLB and PpSMXLD.

Using our stable P. patens transgenic lines overexpressing PpSMXL (pZmUbi:GFP-PpSMXL 322 323 lines), we could confirm the nuclear localization of PpSMXLA, PpSMXLC and PpSMXLD, even 324 though the GFP signal was not restricted to this compartment for PpSMXLC and PpSMXLD (Figure 325 7). The GFP-PpSMXLB fusion led to a faint and more diffuse cytoplasmic signal. We then tested 326 whether the SL treatment had an effect on GFP-PpSMXL protein stability and/or localization in P. patens. We could not see any effect of a 20 minute-long 1 µM (+)-GR24 treatment on the signal observed 327 in the *pZmUbi:GFP-PpSMXLA* line (Supplemental Figure S16A). When introduced into the *Ppmax2-1* 328 mutant background, the GFP-PpSMXLA fusion also led to fluorescent nuclei, that were easier to spot 329 330 (Supplemental Figure S16B-C). In this background as well, the 1  $\mu$ M (+)-GR24 treatment had no effect on the fluorescent signal (Supplemental Figure S16C). These results support the hypothesis that at least 331 PpSMXLA is not a negative regulator of the SL pathway. 332

333

#### 334 **PpSMXL** proteins interact with players of the PpMAX2-dependent pathway

Since we hypothesized the PpSMXL proteins to act in the PpMAX2-dependent KL pathway, we tested putative interactions of these proteins with PpMAX2. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assays in *P. patens* protoplasts were unsuccessful. However, when overexpressed in *N. benthamiana* cells, each of the four PpSMXL proteins interacted with PpMAX2 when respectively fused to complementary parts of the eYFP fluorescent protein (Figure 8, A-D; Supplemental Figure S17). The eYFP signal was lower for PpSMXLA and PpSMXLC, than for PpSMXLB and PpSMXLD, but still indicated a nuclear interaction with PpMAX2 (Figure 8, A, C). Interestingly, we also found that PpSMXLB and PpSMXLD could potentially form homo-oligomers(Figure 8, E, F).

344 Using the same technique, we investigated the interactions of PpSMXL proteins with PpKAI2L proteins,

- among which the previously reported putative receptors for SL and KL pathways (Lopez-Obando et al.
- 346 2021). Among the PpKAI2L proteins we tested in BiFC (at least one from each clade), only PpKAI2L-
- 347 C from eu-KAI2 clade could interact with PpSMXL proteins, moreover the four of them (Figure 8, G-
- 348 J). This is consistent with our previous demonstration that PpSMXL proteins act in the PpMAX2-
- 349 dependent pathway since eu-KAI2 genes are also involved in this pathway (Lopez-Obando et al. 2021).
- 350 To conclude, BiFC assays revealed interactions involving PpSMXL, PpMAX2 and eu-KAI2 putative
- 351 KL receptors. It is also interesting to note that, while PpSMXL proteins could also be present in the
- 352 nucleosol in this experimental system, the BiFC signal was strictly restricted to the nuclear compartment.
- 353 Altogether, our data in *P. patens* allow us to propose a model for PpSMXL role in moss development,
- highlighting a possible crosstalk between SL and KL-MAX2 pathway (Figure 9 and see discussion).
- 355

## Expression of PpSMXL proteins rescues SMAX4/5 function, but not SMAX1 or SMXL6/7/8 function in *Arabidopsis*

In order to investigate the putative conservation of SMXL proteins function along land plant evolution, we conducted functional complementation assays in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. To this end, one PpSMXL protein from each clade (PpSMXLB and PpSMXLC) was expressed in Arabidopsis *smxl* mutants, under the control of appropriate native promoters from AtSMAX1, AtSMXL5, and AtSMXL6 for *Atsmax1*, *Atsmxl4*,5 double and *Atsmxl678* triple mutant complementation, respectively. Furthermore, as positive controls for complementation, the Arabidopsis *SMAX1*, *SMXL5 and SMXL6* genes, driven by their promoter, were introduced into *Atsmxl* mutants.

First, complementation of AtSMXL4/5 function was tested by complementation assays under AtSMXL5 promoter, by measuring the primary root length of young seedlings (Figure 10). We found that all three PpSMXLB and three out of four PpSMXLC expressing lines (Supplemental Figure S18A) had similar root length as WT Arabidopsis plants and as the mutant plants complemented with native *AtSMXL5*. This surprising result suggests that both SMXL clades of *P. patens* have retained the same KL and SL-signaling independent function as Arabidopsis SMXL homologs.

Then, we explored the ability of PpSMXL proteins to functionally replace AtSMAX1, that is to say their capacity to integrate into the KL signaling pathway of Arabidopsis. Complementation of AtSMAX1 function was assayed by measuring hypocotyl length of *Atsmax1-2* mutant seedlings expressing PpSMXLB or PpSMXLC (Supplemental Figure S18B) and grown in low light for 11 days (Supplemental Figure S19A). Here we could only achieve partial complementation of *Atsmax1-2*  decreased hypocotyl length by reintroducing *pSMAX1:SMAX1*, furthermore only in two out of our three
independent transgenic lines (*pSMAX1:SMAX1* #20.6 and #38.1). However, the case of plants
expressing *PpSMXLB/C* was still different, as none of the independent lines was even partly restored.
Some of them even appeared to develop shorter hypocotyls (*e. g. pSMAX1:PpSMXLB* # 5.4). Thus,
although the used *pSMAX1* promoter sequence may not be enough efficient, it seems reasonable to
conclude that PpSMXL proteins are not able to replace SMAX1 in Arabidopsis KL signaling.

Finally, we explored whether PpSMXL proteins could functionally replace AtSMXL6, by 382 383 comparing the shoot phenotype of mature transgenic Atsmx1678 plants expressing PpSMXLB or PpSMXLC (Supplemental Figure S18C) to that of the untransformed Atsmx1678 mutant (Supplemental 384 385 Figure S19, B-D). Several shoot phenotypes were assessed, such as plant height, caulinary secondary branch (C2) number, and leaf shape (width). One transformed line out of three independent lines 386 expressing PpSMXLB (#13.2) showed partial complementation of both plant height and C2 number 387 phenotype (Supplemental Figure S19, B-C). However, this line showed no complementation of the leaf 388 width. Leaf width was partially complemented in another transformed line expressing PpSMXLB (#7.7). 389 None of the three independent lines expressing PpSMXLC showed any complementation of shoot 390 phenotype. Hence, it appears PpSMXL proteins are not able to replace SMXL6 in Arabidopsis SL 391 392 signaling either.

393

#### 394 Discussion

395 SL involvement in mycorrhization and plant architecture implies that these molecules played 396 major roles in land plant evolution, for adaptation to land conditions and then for the emergence of seed 397 plants. The knowledge of SL roles and associated cellular pathways in non-vascular plants is necessary 398 to understand how these compounds could have contributed to the process of terrestrialization.

# Phylogeny and expression profiles suggest a differential regulation of clade A/B and clade C/D *PpSMXL*

It has already been shown that bryopsid mosses have an additional SMXL clade compared to 401 402 other non-seed plants (Walker et al. 2019). We report evidence of this in P. patens, in which 403 *PpSMXLA/B* belong to this divergent clade, which could potentially be neofunctional, as we have shown 404 earlier for some PpKAI2-L homologs (Lopez-Obando et al. 2021). The split between PpSMXLA and *PpSMXLB*, and between *PpSMXLC* and *PpSMXLD* on the other hand, is probably quite recent given the 405 406 high similarity of encoded proteins in each given clade (Supplemental Figure S2). PpSMXLA/B 407 moreover have shorter D1 and M domains compared to PpSMXLC/D (Supplemental Figure S1) and lack the typical degron motif (Supplemental Figure S1 and S15). The consensus RGKT sequence is also 408 409 modified in some flowering plants SMXL where the motif is nonetheless functional (OsSMAX1 (Zheng et al. 2020)). However, among the sequences examined, PpSMXLA/B are the only one lacking the K
residue, which is functionally relevant in Walker A motifs as it is necessary for phosphate binding
(Bianchi et al. 2012). Inversely, the EAR motif is conserved in all four PpSMXL proteins, thus
transcriptional regulation could be a uniting feature between the two clades.

Expression level of *PpSMXLA/B* is lower than that of *PpSMXLC/D* in the protonema (Figure 1). This could indicate that only the C/D clade has a relevant function in the protonema, which is supported by phenotypic analysis of *Ppsmxl* mutants. All four *PpSMXL* genes are more highly expressed in older gametophores and/or rhizoids than in filaments, implying that PpSMXL function becomes more important as *P. patens* switches from early vegetative development to mature vegetative development. Furthermore, available RNAseq data (Ortiz-Ramirez et al. 2016), indicate that *PpSMXL* transcripts are even more abundant in spores.

421

#### 422 *PpSMXL* genes are mainly regulated by light and the PpMAX2-dependent pathway

423 *PpSMXL* gene expression is mainly downregulated by light, and only modestly sensitive to (+)-GR24 treatments (Supplemental Figure S13). In the light, all four genes tend to be downregulated by 424 425 (+)-GR24 in WT plants. This trend becomes more evident in the SL deficient *Ppccd8* background, but 426 solely for *PpSMXLC* and *PpSMXLD*, which accordingly have elevated transcript levels in controltreated *Ppccd8* compared to WT. Thus, it appears that only *PpSMXLC* and *PpSMXLD* are significantly 427 repressed by (+)-GR24 and endogenous SLs alike, in the light. The moderate effects of (+)-GR24 428 429 enantiomer on PpSMXLC and PpSMXLD expression are of similar amplitude as those reported for 430 angiosperms SMXL genes (Stanga et al. 2013; Kerr et al. 2021). However, the feedback regulation exerted by SL on *PpSMXL* transcript levels is opposite to that in angiosperms, since we observed a 431 downregulation of these genes. We relied on the *Ppmax2* mutant as a proxy to explore the effect of the 432 433 KL pathway on *PpSMXL* gene expression (Supplemental Figure S13). We found that all four *PpSMXL* genes are downregulated in the *Ppmax2* mutant compared to WT in the dark, suggesting that KL 434 435 signaling would have a positive effect on the transcription of these genes. In the light, only *PpSMXLC* 436 and *PpSMXLD* showed lower transcript levels in the *Ppmax2* mutant compared to WT. This observation 437 is comparable to what was reported for *M. polymorpha*, the unique *MpSMXL* gene being downregulated in Mpmax2 background (Mizuno et al. 2021). We could hypothesize that PpSMXL proteins bind to the 438 439 promoters of the *PpSMXL* genes to repress their transcription, as previously described for angiosperm 440 SMXL proteins (Wang et al. 2020). In *Ppmax2*, PpSMXL protein levels are likely very high (from our 441 results, since OE-PpSMXL lines mimic *Ppmax2* phenotype), which would lead to low transcript levels 442 in this mutant. Finally, it was puzzling to observe that (+)-GR24 treatment increased PpSMXLC and 443 *PpSMXLD* expression in the *Ppmax2-1* mutant, when the opposite was seen in *Ppccd8*. Thus, SL could somehow prevent the KL-triggered induction of *PpSMXL* transcript levels, perhaps by stabilizing
PpSMXL proteins (Figure 9 and see below).

446

#### 447 **PpSMXL** proteins take part in nuclear signaling complexes

448 The presence of predicted NLS sequences, as well as an EAR motif in the four PpSMXL proteins hint at a nuclear localization (Supplemental Figure S1, Supplemental Figure S14). We have 449 450 shown that all four PpSMXL are indeed located in the nucleus, albeit not exclusively (Figure 7, 451 Supplemental Figures S15). For the moment, we cannot exclude that, as many proteins carrying an NLS, PpSMXL proteins could actually shuttle between nucleus and cytosol, perhaps until a specific signal 452 (KL?) induces their retention into the nuclear compartment. Still, this partial nuclear localization is 453 enough to enable the interaction of PpSMXLs with proteins in the nucleus (namely PpMAX2 and 454 455 PpKAI2L-C, Figure 8). It would be interesting in the future to explore whether the EAR motif grants PpSMXL proteins a function in transcriptional regulation. Notably, we could determine if they can 456 interact directly with DNA, like has been demonstrated for AtSMXL6 (Wang et al. 2020), and which 457 458 loci are targeted. In particular, it would be interesting to see whether PpSMXL are able to directly 459 downregulate their own expression, as is the case for AtSMXL6 that binds to the ATAACAA motif in 460 the promoter region of AtSMXL6 (Wang et al. 2020).

461

# 462 PpSMXL proteins are not highly sensitive to SL levels and their sensitivity to KL probably 463 depends on light

464 We report herein that none of the four PpSMXL proteins are rapidly degraded in response to (+)-GR24, not even PpSMXLA, which transcripts are increased by treatment with this enantiomer in 465 466 the dark (Supplemental Figure S13 and Supplemental Figure S16). Furthermore, when put in the 467 Ppmax2 background, GFP-PpSMXLA fusion protein was also found insensitive to the (+)-GR24 468 treatment (Supplemental Figure S16C). The absence of (+)-GR24 triggered degradation is probably not 469 the result of an insufficient amount of (+)-GR24 and/or treatment duration, as (1) AtSMXL6 is almost 470 completely degraded after 20 minutes of (±)-GR24 2µM in p35S:AtSMXL6-GFP seedling (Wang et al. 2015); (2) AtSMXL7 is significantly decreased after only 10 minutes of a 1  $\mu$ M (±)-GR24 treatment in 471 p35S:AtSMXL7-YFP Arabidopsis roots (Soundappan et al. 2015); (3) as little as 12 minutes are 472 473 necessary for pOsAct:D53-GFP degradation in rice roots (Zhou et al. 2013). Thus, PpSMXL proteins 474 do not behave similarly to AtSMXL6/7 or D53 proteins and they seem insensitive to both the SL mimic (+)-GR24 and endogenous SL from both *P. patens* (GFP lines, Figure 7 and Supplemental Figure S16) 475 and N. benthamiana (RFP transient expression lines, Supplemental Figure S15). While their stability in 476 477 N. benthamiana could be explained by an incompatibility with the angiosperm MAX2, PpSMXLs are

also stable in *P. patens*. This indicates that PpSMXL proteins are likely not degraded in the context of
SL signaling. Likewise, deletion of the degron motif (or degron-like for PpSMXLA/B) has no effect
since these proteins are stable (Supplemental Figure S15).

481 The stability of PpSMXLA and PpSMXLC in P. patens lines, in the WT background where 482 PpMAX2 is functional, suggests that the whole pool of PpSMXL proteins is not degraded in response 483 to the endogenous compound(s) perceived via PpMAX2 either (putative KL). However, it is important 484 to note that observation of GFP fluorescence was carried out on *P. patens* lines that were previously 485 incubated in low-light conditions or even in the dark for a few hours (Figure 7, Supplemental Figure S16). Since we observed that the intensity of the GFP-PpSMXL signal tended to be lower or even 486 487 undetectable in high light conditions, it is tempting to hypothesize an activation of the PpMAX2-488 dependent KL signaling pathway by light, which would lead to PpSMXL degradation. Hence, reported enhanced expression of *PpKAI2-Like* (Lopez-Obando et al. 2016a), *PpMAX2* (Lopez-Obando et al. 489 490 2018), and *PpSMXL* genes in the dark (Supplemental Figure S13), would be a mean to keep KL signaling 491 active in the absence of light.

There might be another link between PpSMXL proteins and SL response. Indeed, we have observed that triple/quadruple *Ppsmxl* mutants display a very striking phenotype seemingly resulting from protonema growth limitation coupled to early senescence. However, this phenotype was fully alleviated by the *Ppccd8* mutation (Figure 4), suggesting that endogenous SLs produced via PpCCD8 activity are responsible. We previously showed that SLs have toxic effects at high concentrations on moss growth (Lopez-Obando et al. 2018). Since the *Ppccd8* single mutant does not display this phenotype, we can also infer that PpSMXL proteins would have a protective effect against SL toxicity.

499

#### 500 *Ppsmxl* loss of function mutants contradict PpSMXL acting as repressors of SL response

501 At first glance, it is evident that clade C/D Ppsmxl mutants do not display a constitutive SL 502 response phenotype, instead they are alike the SL deficient *Ppccd8* mutant (Figure 2; Supplemental 503 Figure S7). This could be indicative of either a positive role of PpSMXLC/D in SL signaling or a 504 negative role of these proteins in PpMAX2-dependent signaling. The phenotype of clade A/B mutants 505 is more comparable to WT, which does not rule out the possibility of PpSMXLA/B acting as repressors 506 of SL signaling (Figure 2). However, both A/B clade and C/D clade loss-of-function in the Ppccd8 507 background result in mutants that are like Ppccd8 (Figure 4; Supplemental Figure S9). If PpSMXL proteins were repressors of SL signaling, the loss of repression should circumvent the absence of 508 509 endogenous SL and we could expect restoration to a WT-like phenotype. Therefore, PpSMXL are most 510 likely not involved in the repression of the SL response. From our various assays, it appears instead that PpSMXLC and PpSMXLD act redundantly to enable the response to (+)-GR24 in dark-grown 511 512 caulonema (Figure 6; Supplemental Figure S11), but are not the sole positive regulators of this response.

513 This hypothesis would be in line with the observed repression of PpSMXLC/D gene expression by SL

(Supplemental Figure S13). Indeed, the SL signal would be transduced through PpSMXLC/D proteins,
which would inhibit the expression of their genes, permitting a negative feedback regulation of SL

516 response (Figure 9).

517 *Ppsmxl* and *Ppsmxl*∆ mutations in the same genes have comparable effects on phenotype. Thus,
518 shortened proteins produced from *Ppsmxl* alleles are not functional, even when most of the D1 domain,
519 thought to mediate interaction with receptors (Khosla et al. 2020), is (putatively) still present for the
520 PpsmxlA, PpsmxlB and PpsmxlC mutant proteins (Supplemental Figures S4).

521

#### 522 *PpSMXL* are negative actors of the PpMAX2-dependent pathway

Two major points of evidence show that PpSMXL proteins are collectively involved in the 523 PpMAX2-dependent signaling pathway and exert a negative role in this pathway: (1) P. patens lines 524 525 overexpressing *PpSMXLA* or *PpSMXLC* are phenotypically similar to the *Ppmax2-1* mutant (Figure 3); 526 (2) Loss of function of either PpSMXL clade partially restores developmental disturbances caused by 527 loss of *PpMAX2* function (Figure 5). Although we did not obtain triple or quadruple *Ppsmxl* mutants in 528 the *Ppmax2-1* background, we hypothesize that the four PpSMXL proteins act in an additive fashion to 529 repress PpMAX2-dependent signaling. (3) PpSMXL proteins can indeed interact with components of the PpMAX2-dependent pathway: PpMAX2 itself, but also PpKAI2L-C (Figure 8). 530

We also found that both clades *Ppsmxl* double mutants are able to respond to the KL mimic (–)desmethyl-GR24, although this response seemed reduced in *Ppsmxlcd* mutants (Figure 6, Supplemental Figure S12C). Thus, the two *PpSMXL* clades likely have a redundant function in the context of PpMAX2-dependent signaling, with PpSMXLC/D playing a prevalent role in the growth conditions we examined. This hypothesis needs to be confirmed by testing the ability of higher order mutants to respond to (–)-desmethyl-GR24, but the dramatic phenotype of these mutants makes them challenging to work with.

PpMAX2 is involved in the induction of *PpSMXL* expression, while PpSMXL proteins are
likely targets of PpMAX2-dependent degradation. Therefore, PpSMXL could constitute a level of
negative feedback regulation in the PpMAX2-dependent pathway. Since this induction by PpMAX2 is
especially prevalent in the dark, negative feedback might be more active in the absence of light.
Nevertheless, the PpMAX2-dependent regulation of *PpSMXL* expression needs to be further clarified.

543

#### 544 PpSMXL could be a bridge linking SL signaling and PpMAX2-dependent signaling

It is interesting to note that "de-repression" of PpMAX2-dependent signaling (Ppsmxlcd 545 mutants) and "silencing" of SL signaling (Ppccd8 mutant) have very similar effects on developmental 546 547 pattern of the protonema (more extended) and gametophores (smaller, especially in red light) (Figure 2). This, together with the opposite effects of (+)-GR24 (SL mimic) and (-)-desmethyl-GR24 (KL 548 mimic) on dark-grown caulonema in WT, indicates that these two pathways likely regulate the same 549 processes in opposite manners. In addition, we have previously shown that the *Ppmax2* mutation is 550 551 epistatic to *Ppccd8* (Lopez-Obando et al. 2018). As suggested in this article, the phenotype of *Ppmax2* would phenocopy a constitutive response to SL, which, according to our present data, would result from 552 553 an overaccumulation of PpSMXL proteins. This is compatible with a model where SL and KL signaling 554 converge on PpSMXL proteins and regulate their stability/function in opposite manners (Figure 9).

555 One of the processes seemingly regulated by both SL and PpMAX2-dependent pathways, is 556 probably cell division, as demonstrated for the *Ppccd8* mutant (Hoffmann et al., 2014). Increased cell 557 division and filaments branching still must be confirmed in *Ppsmxl* mutants, however, it is supported by 558 the observation that double *Ppsmxl* mutants of both clades (tend to) develop more caulonema filaments 559 than WT in the dark (Figure 6; Supplemental Figure S6). Furthermore, the observation that phenotypic 560 response to (+)-GR24 is not seen in the absence of PpSMXLC/D in some experiments suggests that SL 561 act at least partially via the PpMAX2-PpSMXL pathway. However, as we have seen herein as well as 562 previously (Lopez-Obando et al. 2021), loss of PpMAX2 function or loss of function in all euKAI2clade does not abolish response to (+)-GR24. Hence, SL signaling does not solely rely on the PpMAX2-563 dependent pathway, and we can hypothesize that perception of SL by PpKAI2-L proteins of the (GJM) 564 clade does not act via a regulation of the PpMAX2-dependent pathway but nonetheless requires 565 566 functional PpSMXLC/D. A tempting explanation would be that PpKAI2-L proteins of the GJM clade could interact with and stabilize PpSMXLC/D proteins, thus acting downstream of PpMAX2 and 567 leading to opposite effects on phenotype (Figure 9). While we did not find any direct interaction between 568 569 PpKAI2-LG/J and PpSMXLC/D using BiFC, the hypothesis that an unknown interactor is needed to 570 permit this interaction is still open.

571

#### 572 Does PpSMXLC have a specific role among PpSMXL proteins?

We noted that higher-order *Ppsmxl* mutants display highly disturbed growth of gametophores and rapid browning of the protonema, suggesting that extreme activation of the PpMAX2-dependent pathway is very detrimental to viability. The observation that the *Ppccd8* mutation can circumvent the dramatic effect of *Ppsmxlabcd* loss of function (PpSMXLC is probably still functional in the presented mutant) (Figure 4; Supplemental Figure S9), together with our previous hypothesis of SL repressing PpMAX2-dependent signaling, implies that accumulation of PpSMXLC in the absence of other PpSMXL is detrimental to development. Under this hypothesis, in the *Ppccd8* mutant, inhibition of the 580 PpMAX2-pathway by endogenous SL would be lifted and PpSMXLC would be degraded in a
581 PpMAX2-dependent manner.

582

#### 583 SMXL proteins likely retained a common molecular function along evolution

584 We have shown through our complementation assays in Arabidopsis that PpSMXLB/C expression in the Atsmxl45 mutant was enough to restore primary root length to a WT level. 585 586 Interestingly, it has been reported before that, in Arabidopsis itself, SMXL proteins from different clades 587 can ensure equivalent function if expressed with the same spatio-temporal profile. Indeed, pAtSMXL5:AtSMAX1 expression in smx145 could also complement root growth (Wallner et al. 2017). 588 Hence, all SMXL might have actually kept the same ancestral molecular "growth regulating" function 589 that has been described for SMXL4/5. In angiosperms, this function would have (1) become KL/SL 590 591 regulated for SMAX1 and SMXL678 clades through the evolutive gain of interaction with KAI2/KAI2L receptors and with MAX2, (2) become differentially regulated in various types of tissues and 592 593 developmental contexts through major changes in regulatory sequences.

594 On the other hand, the observation that PpSMXL expression does not complement the *Atsmax1* 595 mutant is not surprising, given that our previous attempt to complement the *Atmax2* mutant with 596 PpMAX2 was also unsuccessful (Lopez-Obando et al. 2018). Hence, we could think that, despite MAX2 597 and SMAX1/PpSMXL acting in a conserved KL signaling pathway in both species, the interface for 598 MAX2/SMXL interaction is simply not compatible between Arabidopsis and *P. patens* proteins. The 599 same could be concluded from the absence of complementation in the *smxl678* mutant.

600 The MpKAI2a/MpMAX2 pathway, regulating thallus and gemmae growth in *M. polymorpha* through the degradation of the unique MpSMXL repressor (Mizuno et al. 2021) is so far the "simplest" 601 form described of the above-described ancestral growth regulating function. It is interesting to note that 602 603 in M. polymorpha, like in P. patens, this pathway is regulated by light. M. polymorpha does not 604 synthesize SLs (no CCD8), and therefore the crosstalk between SL and KL pathways that we highlight 605 in P. patens cannot be observed. This crosstalk is also apparently absent in M. paleacea though it 606 synthesizes BSB, likely because of the absence of the required receptor for SL as hormones (Kodama 607 et al. 2022). Therefore, *P. patens* would represent a specific case among bryophytes where the SL and 608 KL pathway are interconnected. The identity of both SL and KL signals remains to be discovered.

609

#### 610 Methods

#### 611 Cultivation of *Physcomitrium patens* Gransden

Unless otherwise explicitly stated in legends, experiments were always carried out on PpNO3 medium 612 (corresponds to the minimal medium described by Ashton et al., 1979), in the following control 613 conditions: 25°C during daytime and 23°C at night, 50% humidity, long days conditions with 16 hours 614 615 of day and 8 hours of night (quantum irradiance of  $\sim 80 \,\mu mol/m^2/s$ ). Multiplication of tissues from young 616 protonema fragments prior to every experiment is done in the same conditions but using medium with 617 higher nitrogen content (PpNH<sub>4</sub> medium, PpNO<sub>3</sub> medium supplemented with 2.7 mM NH<sub>4</sub> tartrate). For 618 red light experiments, plants were grown on PpNO<sub>3</sub> medium in Magenta pots at 25°C, in continuous 619 red-light (~45  $\mu$ mol  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/s). Cellophanes of appropriate sizes were used for monitoring of 620 protonema extension kinetics, as well as for the cultures launched in 6-well plates for gene expression 621 studies (see a detailed protocol in our methods chapter titled "Methods for medium-scale study of the 622 biological effects of strigolactone-like molecules on the moss Physcomitrella patens"). Analysis of 623 caulonema growth in the dark was performed in 24-well plates, with  $\sim$ 2 weeks of growth in control 624 conditions before incubation ( $\pm$  treatment) in the dark and placed vertically for ~10 days (see the same 625 method chapter).

#### 626 *P. patens* gene expression analyses by qPCR

Total P. patens RNA was extracted and rid of contaminant genomic DNA using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit 627 and on-column DNAse I treatment (Qiagen), following supplier's indications. cDNA was obtained using 628 the Maxima<sup>TM</sup> H Minus retrotranscriptase (ThermoFisher), from 50-250 ng of total RNA. cDNA extracts 629 630 were diluted at 1/5-1/8 before use. RT qPCR was performed in a 384-well thermocycler 631 (QuantStudioTM<sup>5</sup>, ThermoFisher), using SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad) and 632 appropriate primers. The thermocycler was programmed to run for 3 min at 95°C, followed by 40-45 633 cycles of 10 sec at 95°C and 30 sec at 60°C. Each biological replicate was run twice to assess technical variation. Expression of genes of interest was normalized by two reference genes among PpElig2 634 635 (Pp3c14 21480), PpAPT (Pp3c8 16590) and PpACT3 (Pp3c10 17080) (all three are expressed at similar levels (Le Bail et al. 2013). Relative expression was calculated as  $RE = 2-CT_{gene}/2-CT_{ref}$  where 636 CT<sub>ref</sub> is the mean value of the two reference genes. For the study of *PpSMXL* genes' expression across 637 638 development (figure 2), WT P. patens was cultivated in petri dishes from fragmented tissues, or in 639 Magenta pots (for 35 days). The following tissues were collected: protonema at 6 days (mostly 640 chloronema in our culture conditions), at 10 days (mix of chloronema and caulonema), and at 14 days (mix of chloronema, caulonema and buds), and mature gametophores and rhizoids at 35 days. Four 641 642 biological replicates were used for each timepoint. For the "response to GR24" experiment, plants were 643 cultivated from fragmented protonema in 6-well plates for 2 weeks in control conditions, then 644 transferred in the dark for one week, and treated with 1  $\mu$ M (+)-GR24, or 0.01% DMSO in the dark for 645 6 hours. Six biological repeats were used for each genotype and treatment. For the "response to light" 646 experiment, 2-week-old WT, *Ppccd8* and *Ppmax2-1* were similarly transferred in the dark for 5 days, and then either kept in the dark for 24 hours, kept in control white light conditions for 24 hours, or placed 647

648 under constant red-light for 1, 6 or 24 hours. Six biological repeats were used for each genotype and649 treatment.

#### 650 Cloning of *PpSMXL* CDS and promoters

651 Coding sequence of each *PpSMXL* gene was amplified on WT *P. patens* Gransden cDNA, using Phusion 652 DNA polymerase (ThermoFisher), following provided instructions and using primers with attB1 and 653 attB2 extensions (respectively on the forward and reverse primer, see Supplemental Table 1). A similar 654 strategy was used to amplify promoter sequences (full 5'UTR and 1000 bp upstream, from Phytozome 655 V3.1). Both CDS and promoters were then integrated into the pDONR207 plasmid using BP clonase II 656 mix (Thermofisher). pDONR207 plasmids containing *PpSMXL* CDS were submitted to PCR-mediated 657 mutagenesis to obtain  $\Delta$ RGK/RT versions.

#### 658 CRISPR-Cas9 mediated mutagenesis

Coding sequences of *PpSMXL* and *PpMAX2* were used to search for CRISPR RNA (crRNA) contiguous 659 660 to a PAM motif recognized by Streptococcus pyogenes Cas9 (NGG), using the webtool CRISPOR V4 against P. patens genome Phytozome V9 (http://crispor.tefor.net/). crRNAs located in the first third of 661 the coding sequence, with highest possible specificity score, and fewest possible predicted off-targets, 662 663 were selected. Small constructs containing each crRNA fused to either the proU6 or the proU3 snRNA 664 promoter in 5' U3 or U6 promoter (Collonnier et al. 2017), and to the tracrRNA in 3', encased between attB1/attB2 GateWay recombination sequences, were synthesized by Twist Biosciences. These inserts 665 were then cloned into pDONR207 vectors. Polyethylene glycol-mediated protoplast transformation was 666 performed with multiple pDONR207-sgRNA according to the protocol described by Lopez-Obando et 667 668 al., 2016. Mutations of the *PpSMXL* genes were confirmed by PCR amplification of *PpSMXL* loci around the recognition sequence of each guide RNA and sequencing of the PCR products. Alternatively, 669 670 for *PpSMXL* genes, a second strategy was employed where crRNAs were designed in the 5' and 3'UTR 671 sequences, to completely remove the coding sequence of *PpSMXL* genes from the genome when used together. Mutants obtained from this second strategy were genotyped by monitoring the size and 672 673 sequence of amplicons spanning from the 5'UTR to the 3'UTR.

#### 674 Generation of *proPpSMXL:GUS*, *proZmUbi:GFP-PpSMXL* and control *proZmUbi:flag-GFP* lines

proPpSMXL:GUS constructs were obtained by LR recombination of pDONR207 plasmids containing 675 *PpSMXL* promoters with the pMP1301 destination vector previously described (Lopez-Obando et al., 676 2018). This method could not be employed for the promoter of PpSMXLA, which had to be amplified 677 with a NotI forward primer and a AscI reverse primer and was subcloned into the pTOPO-blunt II vector 678 (ThermoFisher), and then directly inserted into a NotI-AscI digested pMP1301. proZmUbi:GFP-679 *PpSMXL* constructs were obtained by LR recombination of pDONR207 plasmids containing *PpSMXL* 680 681 coding with the pMP1335 destination sequences vector

- 682 (http://labs.biology.ucsd.edu/estelle/Moss files/pK108N+Ubi-mGFP6-GW.gb). Similarly, the
- 683 proZmUbi:flag-GFP construct was obtained using the pMP1382 destination vector. These plasmids
- 684 were used independently to transform WT P. patens Gransden, together with pDONR207 containing
- 685 sgRNA recognizing Pp108 homology sequences contained in the three pMP vectors and appropriate
- 686 Cas9 and selection plasmids (Lopez-Obando et al., 2016b). Obtained G418 resistant lines were screened
- 687 for insertion using PCR (with proPpSMXL forward and GUS reverse, GFP forward and PpSMXL
- 688 reverse, or proZmUbi forward and GFP reverse primers, respectively).

#### 689 GUS staining

- 690 Two to six independent G418 resistant lines with verified GUS insertion into the genome were obtained
- 691 for each *PpSMXL* genes except *PpSMXLB* and used for histochemical analyses. GUS staining of two-
- 692 week-old *P. patens* plants was carried out following the protocol detailed by Yuji Hiwatashi on the
- 693 NIBB PHYSCObase website (<u>http://moss.nibb.ac.jp/protocol.html</u>).

#### 694 Generation of BiFC constructs

Gateway cloned inserts of genes of interest were integrated into pbiFP vectors using LR Clonase II mix (ThermoFisher). Inserts containing a STOP codon were cloned in pbiFP2 and pbiFP3, those not containing a STOP were cloned in pbiFP1 and pbiFP4 (when possible, all four vectors were obtained for a given gene). Resulting vectors were electroporated into *Escherichia coli* DH10B cells and clones were selected on spectinomycin. In phase integration of the coding sequence relative to the half eYFP tag was checked by sequencing insert's ends. The previously published GLOBOSA/DEFICIENS interaction (Azimzadeh et al. 2008) was consistently used a positive control of eYFP reconstruction.

#### 702 Agroinfiltration of Nicotiana benthamiana leaves

pbiFP plasmids containing the genes of interest were electroporated into Agrobacterium tumefaciens 703 704 strain C58C1. Agrobacteria were incubated for 18 hours at 28°C under constant agitation and then 705 pelleted, washed twice, and resuspended in infiltration buffer (13 g/L S-medium (Duchefa Biochemie) 706 and 40 g/L sucrose, pH 5.7 with KOH) to attain an OD600 value of 0.5. To enhance transient expression 707 of RFP-PpSMXL and BiFC fusion proteins, the P19 viral suppressor of gene silencing from tomato 708 bushy stunt virus was co-expressed. Equal volumes of needed bacterial cultures were mixed and 709 infiltrated into the abaxial epidermis of 4-5-week-old Nicotiana benthamiana leaves. After incubation at 25 °C (16 h light/8 h dark) for 4 days, leaves were harvested on wet paper and kept in similar 710 temperature and hygrometry conditions for short-term preservation until observation. 711

#### 712 Confocal microscopy observations

- 713 Fragments of *P. patens proZmUBI:GFP-PpSMXL* plants and infiltrated parts of *Nicotiana benthamiana*
- leaves were both observed on a TCS SP5 inverted or on a TCS SP8 Upright confocal microscopy system

715 (Leica), with a 20X objective. GFP fluorescence was acquired in the 495nm-520nm  $\lambda$  range, eYFP in

- the 525nm-540nm range, RFP in the 570nm-610nm range and CFP in the 465nm-505nm range. Signals
- 717 in the 700nm-750nm range were attributed to chlorophyll autofluorescence. Lasers used for excitation
- have a peak wavelength of 488nm (GFP), 514nm (YFP), 458nm (CFP) and 561nm (RFP).

#### 719 Constructs and generation of Arabidopsis transgenic lines

720 The expression vectors for transgenic Arabidopsis were constructed by MultiSite Gateway Three-721 Fragment Vector Construction kit (Invitrogen). AtSMAX/SMXL and PpSMXL constructs were tagged 722 with m-Citrine protein at their C-terminus. Lines were resistant to hygromycin. For the Arabidopsis 723 SMAX1 (AT5G57710), SMXL5 (A5G57130) and SMXL6 (AT1G07200) promotors cloning, a 3128-bp 724 fragment upstream from SMAX1 start codon, a 3028-bp fragment upstream from SMXL5 start codon and a 3058-bp fragment upstream from SMXL6 start codon were amplified from Col-0 genomic DNA 725 726 using the primers described in Supplemental Table S1, and were cloned into the pDONR-P4P1R vector, 727 using Gateway recombination (Invitrogen) as described in (Lopez-Obando et al. 2021). The m-Citrine 728 tag was cloned into pDONR-P2RP3 (Invitrogen) as described in (de Saint Germain et al. 2016). 729 AtSMAX1, AtSMXL5 and AtSMXL6 CDS were PCR amplified from Arabidopsis Col-0 cDNA and 730 with the primers specified in Supplemental Table S1 and then recombined into the pDONR221 vector 731 (Invitrogen). PpSMXLB and PpSMXLC CDS were obtained as described above. The suitable 732 combinations of AtSMXL native promoters, AtSMXL or PpSMXL CDS, and m-Citrine tag were cloned 733 into the pH7m34GW final destination vectors by using the three fragment recombination system (Karimi 734 et al. 2007) and were thusly named pSMAX1:SMAX1, pSMAX1:PpSMXLB, pSMAX1:PpSMXLC, pSMXL5:SMXL5, pSMXL5:PpSMXLB, pSMXL5:PpSMXLC, pSMXL6:SMXL6, pSMXL6:PpSMXLB 735 736 and pSMXL6: PpSMXLC. Transformation of Arabidopsis smax1-1, smx14-1 smx15-1 and smx16/7/8 mutants was performed according to the conventional floral dipping method (Clough and Bent 1998), 737 738 with Agrobacterium strain GV3101. For each construct, at least 12 independent T1 lines were isolated, and then 2 to 4 lines were selected in T2 for showing a 3:1 segregation (single T-DNA insertion). 739 740 Phenotypic analysis shown in Figure 10 and Supplemental Figures S18 and S19 were performed on the T3 homozygous lines. 741

#### 742 Plant materials, growth conditions, and phenotypic assays

743 *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants used in this study originated from the Columbia (Col-0) ecotype 744 background. *smax1-2* and *smx1678* Arabidopsis mutants are gift Dave Nelson (University of California, 745 Riverside), and the Arabidopsis *smx14-5* mutant gift from Thomas Greb (Heidelberg University, 746 Heidelberg). The transgenic lines are described above. For *Arabidopsis thaliana* shoot branching assays, 747 the plants were grown in greenhouse. Experiments were carried out in summer, under long photoperiods 748 (15-16 h per day); daily temperatures fluctuated between 18 °C and 25 °C. Peak levels of PAR were 749 between 700 and 1000 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Plants were watered twice a week with tap water. The number of

caulinary branch and plant height was scored when the plants were 35 days old. Leaf Morphology Assay 750 was performed as described in (Soundappan et al. 2015) on the 5th leaf of each plant marked with 751 752 indelible marker at 3 weeks post-germination. Plants were cultivated as for branching assay. 12 plants 753 were then used for Kruskal Wallis Dunn post-hoc test VS Col0. Hypocotyl elongation assays were 754 performed as described in (Guercio et al. 2022) with the following modification: 11-day-old seedlings 755 were photographed and hypocotyl lengths were quantified using ImageJ. 2 plates of 20 to 24 seeds were 756 sown for each genotype. The data from the 45 seedlings were then used for a one-way ANOVA de 757 Welch, Dunnett T3 posthoc VS Col0 (n = 45-129, p < 5%). For lateral Root Assay seedlings were grown 758 on 3 different plates in the same media and condition as for hypocotyl assay. Roots were analyzed at 15 759 days post-germination (dpg). Primary root length was then measured using ImageJ. 24 seedlings per 760 genotype were used for Kruskal Wallis followed by a Dunn post-hoc test. 761

762 Chemicals

GR24 enantiomers and (-)-desmethyl-GR24 were produced by V Steinmetz and F-D Boyer using
organic synthesis and chiral separation as described in (de Saint Germain et al. 2021). Chemicals were
diluted in DMSO.

766

#### 767 **RT-PCR analysis in Arabidopsis**

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analyses of Arabidopsis transformants were performed from leaf or seedling RNAs extracted and rid of contaminant genomic DNA using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit and on-column DNAse I treatment (Qiagen), following supplier's indications. cDNA was obtained using the H Minus retrotranscriptase (ThermoFisher), from 250 ng of total RNA. cDNA extracts were diluted twice, and 1 $\mu$ L was used for each PCR reaction. The thermocycler was programmed to run for 3 min at 95 °C, followed by 28 cycles of 30 sec at 95 °C, 30 sec at 56 °C and 40 sec at 72 °C.

#### 774 Statistical analysis of results

Kruskal-Wallis, Mann-Whitney and post-hoc Dunn, Dunnett or Tukey multiple comparisons tests 775 776 (details in figures legends) were carried out either in R 3.6.3 or in GraphPad Prism 8.4.2. Tests employed were mostly non-parametric as normality of distributions and/or homoscedasticity among groups could 777 778 not be confirmed in most experiments (Kruskal-Wallis tests for multiple comparisons and Mann-779 Whitney for single comparisons, unless otherwise stated in legends). For some gene expression 780 experiments, data points were excluded based on an outliers' search (Grubb's, a=0.05) on in GraphPad 781 Prism 8.4.2. Unless otherwise defined, used statistical significance scores are as follow: # 0.05≤p<0.1, \*  $0.01 \le p \le 0.05$ , \*\*  $0.001 \le p \le 0.01$ , \*\*\*  $p \le 0.001$ . Same letters scores indicate that  $p \ge 0.05$  (non-significant 782 783 differences).

#### 784 Accession Numbers

785 Moss sequences used in the present article can be found on Phytozome (P. patens Gransden genome,

786 V3.1 version). *PpSMXLA* is *Pp3c2\_14220*, *PpSMXLB* is *Pp3c1\_23530*, *PpSMXLC* is *Pp3c9\_16100* and

787 *PpSMXLD* is *Pp3c15\_16120*. *PpMAX2* corresponds to *Pp3c17\_1180*, *PpCCD8* to *Pp3c6\_21520*,

788 *PpAPT* to *Pp3c8\_16590*, *PpACT3* to *Pp3c10\_17080*, and *PpElig2* corresponds to *Pp3c14\_21480*.

#### 789 Authors contributions

A.G and S.B are the main authors of the manuscript and A.G performed most of the experiments.
Some steps in genotyping mutant and transgenic lines and support with molecular cloning was given by
P.L.B, K.B, A.L and S.B helped for moss mutant phenotyping and J-P. P for transgenic Arabidopsis
phenotyping. V.S and F-D. B furnished SL and KL analogs. Plasmids used for *Ppsmxl* mutant generation
in the first strategy, as well as higher order *Ppsmxl* mutants were obtained by M.L-O. A.G, S.B, A.S.G,
and C.R all contributed to experimental design and to the argumentation developed herein.

796

#### 797 Acknowledgements

798 We thank Dave Nelson (University of California, Riverside) for the generous gift of Arabidopsis 799 smax1-2 and smxl678 mutants, as well as for the SMXL6p:SMXL6-GFP construct. We thank Thomas Greb (Heidelberg University, Heidelberg) for the kind gift of Arabidopsis *smxl4-5* mutant. We thank 800 801 Fabien Nogué (IJPB, Versailles) for his helpful advice and for giving us guide RNAs targeting the Pp108 non-coding locus. We thank Martine Pastuglia (IJPB, Versailles) for the gift of BiFC control constructs 802 803 and Michael J. Prigge (University of California San Diego, La Jolla) for nicely sending us the pMP 804 destination vectors. This work has benefited from the support of IJPB's Plant Observatory technological platforms. 805

806

#### 807 Fundings

808 The IJPB benefits from the support of Saclay Plant Sciences-SPS (ANR-17-EUR-0007). This work

has benefited from a French State grant (Saclay Plant Sciences, reference n° ANR-17-EUR-0007,

810 EUR SPS-GSR) managed by the French National Research Agency under an Investments for the

811 Future program integrated into France 2030 (reference n° ANR-11-IDEX-0003-02).

812

#### 813 References

Akiyama K, Matsuzaki K, Hayashi H (2005) Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching in arbuscular
 mycorrhizal fungi. Nature 435 (7043):824-827. doi:10.1038/nature03608

- Alder A, Jamil M, Marzorati M, Bruno M, Vermathen M, Bigler P, Ghisla S, Bouwmeester H, Beyer P,
   Al-Babili S (2012) The path from beta-carotene to carlactone, a strigolactone-like plant
   hormone. Science 335 (6074):1348-1351. doi:10.1126/science.1218094
- Azimzadeh J, Nacry P, Christodoulidou A, Drevensek S, Camilleri C, Amiour N, Parcy F, Pastuglia M,
   Bouchez D (2008) Arabidopsis TONNEAU1 proteins are essential for preprophase band
   formation and interact with centrin. Plant Cell 20 (8):2146-2159. doi:tpc.107.056812 [pii]
- 822 10.1105/tpc.107.056812
- Bennett T, Liang Y, Seale M, Ward S, Muller D, Leyser O (2016) Strigolactone regulates shoot
  development through a core signalling pathway. Biol Open 5 (12):1806-1820.
  doi:10.1242/bio.021402
- Besserer A, Becard G, Jauneau A, Roux C, Sejalon-Delmas N (2008) GR24, a synthetic analog of
   strigolactones, stimulates the mitosis and growth of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus
   Gigaspora rosea by boosting its energy metabolism. Plant Physiol 148 (1):402-413
- Besserer A, Puech-Pages V, Kiefer P, Gomez-Roldan V, Jauneau A, Roy S, Portais JC, Roux C, Becard
   G, Sejalon-Delmas N (2006) Strigolactones stimulate arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi by
   activating mitochondria. PLoS Biol 4 (7):e226
- Bianchi A, Giorgi C, Ruzza P, Toniolo C, Milner-White EJ (2012) A synthetic hexapeptide designed to
   resemble a proteinaceous P-loop nest is shown to bind inorganic phosphate. Proteins 80
   (5):1418-1424. doi:10.1002/prot.24038
- Bonhomme S, Guillory A (2022) Synthesis and Signalling of Strigolactone and KAI2-Ligand signals in
   bryophytes. J Exp Bot. doi:10.1093/jxb/erac186
- Bythell-Douglas R, Rothfels CJ, Stevenson DWD, Graham SW, Wong GK, Nelson DC, Bennett T
  (2017) Evolution of strigolactone receptors by gradual neo-functionalization of KAI2
  paralogues. BMC biology 15 (1):52. doi:10.1186/s12915-017-0397-z
- Choi J, Lee T, Cho J, Servante EK, Pucker B, Summers W, Bowden S, Rahimi M, An K, An G,
  Bouwmeester HJ, Wallington EJ, Oldroyd G, Paszkowski U (2020) The negative regulator
  SMAX1 controls mycorrhizal symbiosis and strigolactone biosynthesis in rice. Nat Commun
  11 (1):2114. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16021-1
- Clough SJ, Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation
   of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J 16 (6):735-743. doi:10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00343.x
- Collonnier C, Guyon-Debast A, Maclot F, Mara K, Charlot F, Nogué F (2017) Towards mastering
   CRISPR-induced gene knock-in in plants: Survey of key features and focus on the model
   Physcomitrella patens. Methods 121-122:103-117. doi:10.1016/j.ymeth.2017.04.024
- Conn CE, Nelson DC (2016) Evidence that KARRIKIN-INSENSITIVE2 (KAI2) Receptors may
   Perceive an Unknown Signal that is not Karrikin or Strigolactone. Front Plant Sci 6:1219.
   doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.01219
- Cook CE, Whichard LP, Turner B, Wall ME, Egley GH (1966) Germination of Witchweed (Striga lutea
   Lour.): Isolation and Properties of a Potent Stimulant. Science 154 (3753):1189-1190
- Coudert Y, Palubicki W, Ljung K, Novak O, Leyser O, Harrison CJ (2015) Three ancient hormonal cues
   co-ordinate shoot branching in a moss. Elife 4. doi:10.7554/eLife.06808
- de Saint Germain A, Clave G, Badet-Denisot MA, Pillot JP, Cornu D, Le Caer JP, Burger M, Pelissier
  F, Retailleau P, Turnbull C, Bonhomme S, Chory J, Rameau C, Boyer FD (2016) An histidine
  covalent receptor and butenolide complex mediates strigolactone perception. Nat Chem Biol 12
  (10):787-794. doi:10.1038/nchembio.2147
- de Saint Germain A, Jacobs A, Brun G, Pouvreau JB, Braem L, Cornu D, Clavé G, Baudu E, Steinmetz
  V, Servajean V, Wicke S, Gevaert K, Simier P, Goormachtig S, Delavault P, Boyer FD (2021)
  A Phelipanche ramosa KAI2 protein perceives strigolactones and isothiocyanates
  enzymatically. Plant Commun 2 (5):100166. doi:10.1016/j.xplc.2021.100166
- Becker EL, Alder A, Hunn S, Ferguson J, Lehtonen MT, Scheler B, Kerres KL, Wiedemann G, SafaviRizi V, Nordzieke S, Balakrishna A, Baz L, Avalos J, Valkonen JPT, Reski R (2017)
  Strigolactone biosynthesis is evolutionarily conserved, regulated by phosphate starvation and
  contributes to resistance against phytopathogenic fungi in a moss, Physcomitrella patens. New
  Phytol 216 (2):455-468. doi:10.1111/nph.14506

- Belaux PM, Xie X, Timme RE, Puech-Pages V, Dunand C, Lecompte E, Delwiche CF, Yoneyama K,
   Becard G, Sejalon-Delmas N (2012) Origin of strigolactones in the green lineage. New Phytol
   195 (4):857-871. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04209.x
- Gomez-Roldan V, Fermas S, Brewer PB, Puech-Pages V, Dun EA, Pillot JP, Letisse F, Matusova R,
  Danoun S, Portais JC, Bouwmeester H, Becard G, Beveridge CA, Rameau C, Rochange SF
  (2008) Strigolactone inhibition of shoot branching. Nature 455 (7210):189-194.
  doi:10.1038/nature07271
- Guercio AM, Torabi S, Cornu D, Dalmais M, Bendahmane A, Le Signor C, Pillot JP, Le Bris P, Boyer
   FD, Rameau C, Gutjahr C, de Saint Germain A, Shabek N (2022) Structural and functional
   analyses explain Pea KAI2 receptor diversity and reveal stereoselective catalysis during signal
   perception. Commun Biol 5 (1):126. doi:10.1038/s42003-022-03085-6
- Hoffmann B, Proust H, Belcram K, Labrune C, Boyer FD, Rameau C, Bonhomme S (2014)
  Strigolactones inhibit caulonema elongation and cell division in the moss Physcomitrella patens.
  PLoS One 9 (6):e99206. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0099206
- Jiang L, Liu X, Xiong G, Liu H, Chen F, Wang L, Meng X, Liu G, Yu H, Yuan Y, Yi W, Zhao L, Ma
  H, He Y, Wu Z, Melcher K, Qian Q, Xu HE, Wang Y, Li J (2013) DWARF 53 acts as a repressor
  of strigolactone signalling in rice. Nature 504 (7480):401-405. doi:10.1038/nature12870
- Jones D.T., Taylor W.R., and Thornton J.M. (1992) The rapid generation of mutation data matrices from
   protein sequences. *Computer Applications in the Biosciences* 8: 275-282.
- Karimi M, Bleys A, Vanderhaeghen R, Hilson P (2007) Building blocks for plant gene assembly. Plant
   Physiol 145 (4):1183-1191. doi:10.1104/pp.107.110411
- Kerr SC, Patil SB, de Saint Germain A, Pillot JP, Saffar J, Ligerot Y, Aubert G, Citerne S, Bellec Y,
  Dun EA, Beveridge CA, Rameau C (2021) Integration of the SMXL/D53 strigolactone
  signalling repressors in the model of shoot branching regulation in Pisum sativum. Plant J 107
  (6):1756-1770. doi:10.1111/tpj.15415
- Khosla A, Morffy N, Li Q, Faure L, Chang SH, Yao J, Zheng J, Cai ML, Stanga J, Flematti GR, Waters
  MT, Nelson DC (2020) Structure-Function Analysis of SMAX1 Reveals Domains That Mediate
  Its Karrikin-Induced Proteolysis and Interaction with the Receptor KAI2. Plant Cell 32
  (8):2639-2659. doi:10.1105/tpc.19.00752
- Kodama K, Rich MK, Yoda A, Shimazaki S, Xie X, Akiyama K, Mizuno Y, Komatsu A, Luo Y, Suzuki
  H, Kameoka H, Libourel C, Keller J, Sakakibara K, Nishiyama T, Nakagawa T, Mashiguchi K,
  Uchida K, Yoneyama K, Tanaka Y, Yamaguchi S, Shimamura M, Delaux PM, Nomura T,
  Kyozuka J (2022) An ancestral function of strigolactones as symbiotic rhizosphere signals. Nat
  Commun 13 (1):3974. doi:10.1038/s41467-022-31708-3
- Wumar S., Stecher G., Li M., Knyaz C., and Tamura K. (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary
   Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35:1547 1549.
- 906 Kyozuka J, Nomura T, Shimamura M (2022) Origins and evolution of the dual functions of
   907 strigolactones as rhizosphere signaling molecules and plant hormones. Curr Opin Plant Biol
   908 65:102154. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2021.102154
- Le Bail A, Scholz S, Kost B (2013) Evaluation of reference genes for RT qPCR analyses of structure specific and hormone regulated gene expression in Physcomitrella patens gametophytes. PLoS
   One 8 (8):e70998. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070998
- Liang Y, Ward S, Li P, Bennett T, Leyser O (2016) SMAX1-LIKE7 Signals from the Nucleus to
   Regulate Shoot Development in Arabidopsis via Partially EAR Motif-Independent
   Mechanisms. Plant Cell 28 (7):1581-1601. doi:10.1105/tpc.16.00286
- 915 Lopez-Obando M, Conn CE, Hoffmann B, Bythell-Douglas R, Nelson DC, Rameau C, Bonhomme S
  916 (2016a) Structural modelling and transcriptional responses highlight a clade of PpKAI2-LIKE
  917 genes as candidate receptors for strigolactones in Physcomitrella patens. Planta 243 (6):1441918 1453. doi:10.1007/s00425-016-2481-y
- 919 Lopez-Obando M, de Villiers R, Hoffmann B, Ma L, de Saint Germain A, Kossmann J, Coudert Y,
  920 Harrison CJ, Rameau C, Hills P, Bonhomme S (2018) Physcomitrella patens MAX2
  921 characterization suggests an ancient role for this F-box protein in photomorphogenesis rather
  922 than strigolactone signalling. New Phytol 219 (2):743-756. doi:10.1111/nph.15214

- 923 Lopez-Obando M, Guillory A, Boyer FD, Cornu D, Hoffmann B, Le Bris P, Pouvreau JB, Delavault P, 924 Rameau C, de Saint Germain A, Bonhomme S (2021) The Physcomitrium (Physcomitrella) patens PpKAI2L receptors for strigolactones and related compounds function via MAX2-925 dependent and -independent pathways. Plant Cell 33 (11):3487-3512. 926 927 doi:10.1093/plcell/koab217
- Lopez-Obando M, Hoffmann B, Géry C, Guyon-Debast A, Téoulé E, Rameau C, Bonhomme S, Nogué
   F (2016b) Simple and Efficient Targeting of Multiple Genes Through CRISPR-Cas9 in
   Physcomitrella patens. G3 (Bethesda) 6 (11):3647-3653. doi:10.1534/g3.116.033266
- Ma H, Duan J, Ke J, He Y, Gu X, Xu TH, Yu H, Wang Y, Brunzelle JS, Jiang Y, Rothbart SB, Xu HE,
  Li J, Melcher K (2017) A D53 repression motif induces oligomerization of TOPLESS
  corepressors and promotes assembly of a corepressor-nucleosome complex. Science advances
  3 (6):e1601217. doi:10.1126/sciadv.1601217
- Machin DC, Hamon-Josse M, Bennett T (2020) Fellowship of the rings: a saga of strigolactones and other small signals. New Phytol 225 (2):621-636. doi:10.1111/nph.16135
- Mizuno Y, Komatsu A, Shimazaki S, Naramoto S, Inoue K, Xie X, Ishizaki K, Kohchi T, Kyozuka J
  (2021) Major components of the KARRIKIN INSENSITIVE2-dependent signaling pathway are
  conserved in the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha. Plant Cell 33 (7):2395-2411.
  doi:10.1093/plcell/koab106
- 941 Moturu TR, Thula S, Singh RK, Nodzynski T, Vareková RS, Friml J, Simon S (2018) Molecular
  942 evolution and diversification of the SMXL gene family. J Exp Bot 69 (9):2367-2378.
  943 doi:10.1093/jxb/ery097
- 944 Ortiz-Ramirez C, Hernandez-Coronado M, Thamm A, Catarino B, Wang M, Dolan L, Feijo JA, Becker
   945 JD (2016) A Transcriptome Atlas of Physcomitrella patens Provides Insights into the Evolution
   946 and Development of Land Plants. Mol Plant 9 (2):205-220. doi:10.1016/j.molp.2015.12.002
- Perroud PF, Haas FB, Hiss M, Ullrich KK, Alboresi A, Amirebrahimi M, Barry K, Bassi R, Bonhomme
  S, Chen H, Coates JC, Fujita T, Guyon-Debast A, Lang D, Lin J, Lipzen A, Nogué F, Oliver
  MJ, Ponce de León I, Quatrano RS, Rameau C, Reiss B, Reski R, Ricca M, Saidi Y, Sun N,
  Szövényi P, Sreedasyam A, Grimwood J, Stacey G, Schmutz J, Rensing SA (2018) The
  Physcomitrella patens gene atlas project: large-scale RNA-seq based expression data. Plant J 95
  (1):168-182. doi:10.1111/tpj.13940
- Proust H, Hoffmann B, Xie X, Yoneyama K, Schaefer DG, Nogue F, Rameau C (2011) Strigolactones
   regulate protonema branching and act as a quorum sensing-like signal in the moss
   Physcomitrella patens. Development 138 (8):1531-1539. doi:10.1242/dev.058495
- Radhakrishnan GV, Keller J, Rich MK, Vernié T, Mbadinga Mbadinga DL, Vigneron N, Cottret L,
  Clemente HS, Libourel C, Cheema J, Linde AM, Eklund DM, Cheng S, Wong GKS,
  Lagercrantz U, Li FW, Oldroyd GED, Delaux PM (2020) An ancestral signalling pathway is
  conserved in intracellular symbioses-forming plant lineages. Nat Plants 6 (3):280-289.
  doi:10.1038/s41477-020-0613-7
- Soundappan I, Bennett T, Morffy N, Liang Y, Stanga JP, Abbas A, Leyser O, Nelson D (2015) SMAX1 LIKE/D53 Family Members Enable Distinct MAX2-Dependent Responses to Strigolactones
   and Karrikins in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 27 (11):3143-3159. doi:10.1105/tpc.15.00562
- Stanga JP, Morffy N, Nelson DC (2016) Functional redundancy in the control of seedling growth by the karrikin signaling pathway. Planta 243 (6):1397-1406. doi:10.1007/s00425-015-2458-2
- Stanga JP, Smith SM, Briggs WR, Nelson DC (2013) SUPPRESSOR OF MORE AXILLARY
   GROWTH2 1 controls seed germination and seedling development in Arabidopsis. Plant
   Physiol 163 (1):318-330. doi:10.1104/pp.113.221259
- Sun YK, Flematti GR, Smith SM, Waters MT (2016) Reporter Gene-Facilitated Detection of
   Compounds in Arabidopsis Leaf Extracts that Activate the Karrikin Signaling Pathway. Front
   Plant Sci 7:1799. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01799
- 972 Temmerman A, Guillory A, Bonhomme S, Goormachtig S, Struk S (2022) Masks Start to Drop:
   973 Suppressor of MAX2 1-Like Proteins Reveal Their Many Faces. Front Plant Sci 13:887232.
   974 doi:10.3389/fpls.2022.887232
- 975 Umehara M, Hanada A, Yoshida S, Akiyama K, Arite T, Takeda-Kamiya N, Magome H, Kamiya Y,
   976 Shirasu K, Yoneyama K, Kyozuka J, Yamaguchi S (2008) Inhibition of shoot branching by new
   977 terpenoid plant hormones. Nature 455 (7210):195-200. doi:10.1038/nature07272

- Walker CH, Siu-Ting K, Taylor A, O'Connell MJ, Bennett T (2019) Strigolactone synthesis is ancestral
   in land plants, but canonical strigolactone signalling is a flowering plant innovation. BMC
   biology 17 (1):70. doi:10.1186/s12915-019-0689-6
- Wallner ES, López-Salmerón V, Belevich I, Poschet G, Jung I, Grünwald K, Sevilem I, Jokitalo E, Hell
  R, Helariutta Y, Agustí J, Lebovka I, Greb T (2017) Strigolactone- and Karrikin-Independent
  SMXL Proteins Are Central Regulators of Phloem Formation. Curr Biol 27 (8):1241-1247.
  doi:10.1016/j.cub.2017.03.014
- Wallner ES, Tonn N, Shi D, Jouannet V, Greb T (2020) SUPPRESSOR OF MAX2 1-LIKE 5 promotes
   secondary phloem formation during radial stem growth. Plant J 102 (5):903-915.
   doi:10.1111/tpj.14670
- Wang L, Wang B, Jiang L, Liu X, Li X, Lu Z, Meng X, Wang Y, Smith SM, Li J (2015) Strigolactone
  Signaling in Arabidopsis Regulates Shoot Development by Targeting D53-Like SMXL
  Repressor Proteins for Ubiquitination and Degradation. Plant Cell 27 (11):3128-3142.
  doi:10.1105/tpc.15.00605
- Wang L, Wang B, Yu H, Guo H, Lin T, Kou L, Wang A, Shao N, Ma H, Xiong G, Li X, Yang J, Chu
   J, Li J (2020) Transcriptional regulation of strigolactone signalling in Arabidopsis. Nature 583
   (7815):277-281. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2382-x
- Waters MT, Scaffidi A, Moulin SL, Sun YK, Flematti GR, Smith SM (2015) A Selaginella
  moellendorffii Ortholog of KARRIKIN INSENSITIVE2 Functions in Arabidopsis
  Development but Cannot Mediate Responses to Karrikins or Strigolactones. Plant Cell 27
  (7):1925-1944. doi:10.1105/tpc.15.00146
- Yao J, Scaffidi A, Meng Y, Melville KT, Komatsu A, Khosla A, Nelson DC, Kyozuka J, Flematti GR,
  Waters MT (2021) Desmethyl butenolides are optimal ligands for karrikin receptor proteins. New Phytol 230 (3):1003-1016. doi:10.1111/nph.17224
- Zheng J, Hong K, Zeng L, Wang L, Kang S, Qu M, Dai J, Zou L, Zhu L, Tang Z, Meng X, Wang B, Hu
  J, Zeng D, Zhao Y, Cui P, Wang Q, Qian Q, Wang Y, Li J, Xiong G (2020) Karrikin Signaling
  Acts Parallel to and Additively with Strigolactone Signaling to Regulate Rice Mesocotyl
  Elongation in Darkness. Plant Cell 32 (9):2780-2805. doi:10.1105/tpc.20.00123
- Zhou F, Lin Q, Zhu L, Ren Y, Zhou K, Shabek N, Wu F, Mao H, Dong W, Gan L, Ma W, Gao H, Chen J, Yang C, Wang D, Tan J, Zhang X, Guo X, Wang J, Jiang L, Liu X, Chen W, Chu J, Yan C, Ueno K, Ito S, Asami T, Cheng Z, Lei C, Zhai H, Wu C, Wang H, Zheng N, Wan J (2013) D14-SCF(D3)-dependent degradation of D53 regulates strigolactone signalling. Nature 504 (7480):406-410. doi:10.1038/nature12878

1011

### Figure 1



**Figure 1 Expression of** *PpSMXL* genes along *P. patens* vegetative development. A, GUS staining of 2-week old *proPpSMXL:GUS* plants. Scale bars = 500  $\mu$ m. B, Transcript levels of the four *PpSMXL* genes, relative to the two reference genes *PpACT3* (*Pp3c10\_17080*) and *PpAPT* (*Pp3c8\_16590*). RT-qPCR data used for the analysis was extracted from 4 biological replicates, each with 2 technical repeats. Each point represents the mean of two technical repeats. Some points were excluded from analysis following an outliers identification test carried out in GraphPad Prism (version 8.4.2). Statistical significance scores of comparisons among tissues are indicated as bold letters (Kruskal-Wallis test followed by a Dunn *post-hoc* test, *p*<5%). Note the difference in expression values on y axes.

Figure 2

С

Ε



В

**Figure 2 Phenotypes of the** *Ppsmxl* **mutants.** *Ppsmxl* mutants were grown in white light long day conditions (A-B) , or under continuous red light (C-F).

A, Three-week-old plants grown in the light on low nitrogen content medium, without underlying cellophane. Bar = 5 mm. B, Diameters of six-week-old plants; n=35-49 plants of each genotype grown on 7 individual plates. Statistical significance of comparisons between all genotypes are indicated by bold symbols; left panel: Kruskal Wallis followed by a Dunn *post-hoc* test, n=42-49, p<5%; right panel: standard ANOVA followed by a Tukey *post-hoc* test, n=20-35, p<5%). C, E, Examples of gametophores from two-month-old plants grown in red light. Bar = 3 mm D, F, Gametophore height measured for 30 two-month-old gametophores of each genotype. Statistical significance of comparisons between all genotypes are indicated by bold symbols, Kruskal Wallis followed by a Dunn *post-hoc* test, n $\geq$ 30, p<5%.



Figure 3 Growth of *proZmUbi:GFP-PpSMXL* lines. (A-B): Plant extension in control conditions. A, Representative individuals after 5 weeks of growth. Scale bar = 1 cm. B, Diameters were measured from 6 weekold plants, n = 21-35, grown on at least 3 different plates. Statistical significance of comparisons between all genotypes are indicated as bold symbols (Welch ANOVA followed by a Dunnett post-hoc test, p<5%). (C-D) Gametophore height in red light. C, Representative individuals after two months culture under continuous red light. Scale bar = 1 mm. D, Gametophore height was measured for n = 28-30 two-month-old gametophores of each genotype. Statistical significance of comparisons between all genotypes are indicated by bold symbols (standard ANOVA followed by a Tukey *post-hoc* test, p<5%).



Figure 4 Genetic analysis of *PpSMXL* relationship with *PpCCD8*. A, Phenotype of three-week-old plants on low nitrogen content medium (without underlying cellophane). Scale bar = 5 mm. B, Extension phenotype of some of these mutants on low nitrogen content medium (with underlying cellophane), after 5 weeks growth. Statistical significance of comparisons relative to WT at the last time point is shown as bold black letters (Kruskal Wallis followed by a Dunn *post-hoc* test, n = 35-40, p<5%).



Figure 5 Genetic analysis of *PpSMXL* relationship with *PpMAX2*. (A-B): Plant extension in control conditions. A, Representative individuals after 5 weeks of growth. Bar = 1 cm. B, Plant diameters of the mutants grown on low nitrogen content medium with underlying cellophane for 5 weeks. N  $\geq$  35 individuals grown on 7 different plates. (C-D) Gametophore height in red light. C, Representative gametophores after two months culture under constant red light. Scale bar = 3 mm. D, Gametophore height was measured for 30 two-month-old gametophores of each genotype. Each point represents a measurement. N  $\geq$  43 gametophores from 3 different Magenta boxes. (B,D): Statistical significance of comparisons between all genotypes are indicated by bold letters (Kruskal Wallis followed by a Dunn *post-hoc* test, p<0.05).





B, Response to (–)-desmethyl-GR24: n = 24 two-week-old plants of each genotype were mock treated with 0,01% DMSO (grey), 1  $\mu$ M of (–)-desmethyl-GR24 (orange) or 10  $\mu$ M of (–)-desmethyl-GR24 (brown). Statistical significance of comparisons of control groups relative to WT is shown as black symbols (Kruskal Wallis followed by a Dunn *post-hoc* test). Statistical significance of comparisons between control and treated for each genotype is shown as orange symbols (Kruskal Wallis tests followed by Tukey *post-hoc* tests).

A and B. For all statistical analyses, p-values are reported as \* 0.01≤p<0.05, \*\* 0.001≤p<0.01, \*\*\* p<0.001.



**Figure 7 Subcellular localization of GFP-PpSMXL fusion proteins in** *P. patens.* Confocal images of protonema filaments of transgenic *P. patens* lines expressing *proZmUbi:GFP-PpSMXL* fusions as indicated on the left. Right images are overlays of autofluorescence (red) and GFP (green) channels. Bar = 50  $\mu$ m. Arrow points to a nucleus.



▲ PpSMXLC/PpKAI2L-C

▲ PpSMXLD/PpKAI2L-C

**Figure 8 PpSMXL protein interaction assays in** *Nicotiana benthamiana.* All four PpSMXL can interact with PpMAX2 (A-D). PpSMXLB and PpSMXLD can form homo-oligomers (E,F). All four PpSMXL can interact with PpKAI2L-C (G-J). Below each tryptic of images, the first indicated protein is fused to the N-terminal part of eYFP, while the second protein is fused to the C-terminal part (both tags are fused at the N-terminal end of *P. patens* proteins). Colocalization of CFP-H2b and eYFP biFC signals are pointed at with white arrows on. Merge are overlays of CFP (blue), YFP (green) and bright field images. Bar = 50  $\mu$ m. Tested interactions with DEFICIENS and GLOBOSA proteins from *Antirrhinum major* (negative controls) are shown in Supplemental Figure S17. The previously published GLOBOSA/DEFICIENS interaction (Azimzadeh et al., 2008) was consistently used a positive control of eYFP reconstruction.



**Figure 9 Current model of KL and SL signaling in** *P. patens.* SLs and putative KL signal are mimicked by (+)-GR24 and (–)-desmethyl-GR24 respectively. The two pathways have opposite effects on protonema extension and gametophore development. In contrast to angiosperms, only the KL pathway is PpMAX2 dependent. All four PpSMXL are likely suppressors of the KL pathway, though their PpMAX2-dependent degradation following KL/ (–)-desmethyl-GR24 perception has not been demonstrated (question mark). Green dotted arrow indicates that PpSMXL could be stabilized by SLs. A further level of regulation through possible transcriptional regulation of *PpSMXL* gene transcription by PpSMXL proteins has not been represented.



Figure 10 Atsmxl4/5 mutant root phenotype is complemented by PpSMXLB and PpSMXLC. A, Root length was measured from 15 day-old seedlings grown vertically on 0.5 x MS with 1% sucrose. 20 to 40 seedlings per genotype, grown on 3 different plates. Statistical significance of comparisons relative to WT is shown as black symbols and statistical significance of comparisons relative to the double mutant *smxl4,5* is shown as red symbols (Kruskal Wallis followed by a Dunn *post-hoc* test, p < 5%). B, Representative seedlings from each genotype. Scale bar = 1 cm