



HAL
open science

Rock slope failure in the Western Alps: A first comprehensive inventory and spatial analysis

Sylvain Blondeau, Yanni Gunnell, David Jarman

► To cite this version:

Sylvain Blondeau, Yanni Gunnell, David Jarman. Rock slope failure in the Western Alps: A first comprehensive inventory and spatial analysis. *Geomorphology*, 2021, 380, pp.107622. 10.1016/j.geomorph.2021.107622 . hal-04065884

HAL Id: hal-04065884

<https://hal.science/hal-04065884v1>

Submitted on 22 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Rock slope failure in the Western Alps: a first comprehensive inventory and spatial analysis**

2

3 S. Blondeau^{a,*}, Y. Gunnell^a, D. Jarman^b

4

5 ^{a,*} Sylvain Blondeau (corresponding author), Department of Geography, université Lumière Lyon 2,
6 Environnement, Ville, Société, CNRS UMR 5600, F-69676 Bron, France

7 ^a Yanni Gunnell, Department of Geography, université Lumière Lyon 2, Environnement, Ville, Société,
8 CNRS UMR 5600, F-69676 Bron, France

9 ^b David Jarman, Mountain Landform Research, Ross-shire, Scotland

10

11 **Abstract**

12 Rock slope failure (RSF) occurs in different contexts but is typically reported either as (i) single-
13 category inventories or (ii) single-site geotechnical monographs. Few studies have sought to evaluate
14 the spatial incidence of all modes of RSF conjointly, and to infer scenarios of regional landscape
15 evolution from observed patterns of cumulative rock slope overstepping. Here we present the
16 results of a systematic inventory of rock avalanches, rockfalls, rockslides, and gravitational rock slope
17 deformations in the Western Alps (France, Italy, Switzerland) conducted using satellite imagery made
18 available in Google Earth as a detection tool, and aided by preliminary ground-truth checks. The
19 inventory totals 1446 montane RSFs, impacting 9.1% of the study area. Underpinned by GIS tools, the
20 study further examines the spatial distribution of RSF with consideration for (i) predisposing factors
21 (typically: lithology, geological structure), and (ii) preparatory factors (geomorphological process
22 regimes that drives a given slope segment to the point of failure). The latter encompass slower
23 variables (e.g., long-term crustal stress regime, cumulative residence time above equilibrium line
24 altitudes) and faster variables (e.g., short-span glacier-related stresses, permafrost thaw, seismicity).
25 RSF density patterns helped to define seven RSF super-hotspots (large diversity of RSF modes, up to
26 50% of displaced rock masses / unit area), which define the most intensely overstressed areas of the

27 Western Alps. These super-hotspots occur at sites where highly dynamic, thick, warm-based glaciers
28 above the equilibrium line either intersected (middle Maurienne) or followed the strike of (middle
29 Isère) N–S bands of highly susceptible lithologies and structures during the Quaternary. The
30 widespread incidence of rock slope deformation (cumulative area: 1760 km², i.e. nearly 3 times the
31 total of the other three RSF categories combined) appears further correlated with the low tectonic
32 activity of the orogen and with its areas dominated by an extensional tectonic regime west of the
33 Penninic Frontal Thrust. This contrasts with seismically active orogens, e.g. New Zealand’s Southern
34 Alps, where rock slope deformation is scarce compared to rock avalanches and shallow landslides.

35

36 Key words: rockfall; rockslide; rock avalanche; rock slope deformation; slope evolution cycle; Alps

37

38 **1 Introduction**

39 Rock slope failure (RSF) in mountain environments is the sudden or gradual release into the sediment
40 cascade of large masses of bedrock from valley sides, plateau rims or mountain crests as a result of
41 gravity-driven slope rupture. Sometimes referred to as ‘mass movement in bedrock’ (Brideau and
42 Roberts, 2015), RSF has gained recognition as a prominent erosional process during the Quaternary
43 (e.g. Ballantyne, 2002; Korup, 2005, 2006; McColl, 2012; Pánek and Klimeš, 2016).

44 The catastrophic collapse of entire mountain sides has been widely recorded also in historical times.
45 In the Alps, Eisbacher and Clague (1984) documented 137 such events, including some debris-flow-
46 related disasters and glacier-related mass movement not relevant to this study. Famously, the Mt.
47 Granier mountain-side collapse in 1248 CE (Savoie, France) engulfed settlements south of Chambéry
48 in 500 M m³ of limestone and marl. Rock avalanches at Valpola (1987, Italy, igneous rocks) and Randa
49 (1991, Switzerland, gneiss and schist) each involved ~30 M m³, with major impacts on infrastructure
50 (Sartori et al., 2003; Crosta et al., 2004). Collapses of La Suche mountain into the eastern end of Lake
51 Geneva in 563 CE, and possibly of another during the Bronze Age, triggered destructive tsunamis
52 (Kremer et al., 2012, 2014).

53 Very large deforming masses may also accelerate and collapse, as with the Sierre (Switzerland) rock
54 avalanche (Pedrazzini et al., 2013). Monitoring of actively creeping sites is thus becoming widespread
55 (e.g., Project Slow2Fast, Oppikofer et al., 2017; <https://slow2fast.unimib.it/en/ricerca/>). In the
56 Belledonne massif (France, Fig. 1), deformation at Mt. Rognier started before 12 ka and is still active
57 at a few mm/yr (Hippolyte et al., 2012); while Séchilienne, a 50 M m³ mass, has been creeping for ~6
58 ka, with current slip rates of 20–150 mm/yr and approaching 1 m/yr in the core (Schwartz et al.,
59 2017).

60 These RSF phenomena were first recognised as a distinctive class of geomorphological event in the
61 European Alps (Heim, 1932; Abele, 1974; von Poschinger, 2002). Their slope-deforming and ridge-
62 splitting precursors were first described in the Carpathians (Zischinsky, 1966; Nemčok, 1972), and
63 later also in the Alps (Forcella, 1984; Mortara and Sorzana, 1987; Crosta and Zanchi, 2000). Beyond
64 these well-known high-magnitude, low-frequency events, the existence of widespread montane RSF
65 is only now becoming recognised as an endemic response to major system perturbations (McColl,
66 2012), notably erosion pulses (fluvial or glacial) induced by tectonic uplift or climate change. The
67 present RSF stock in the Alps and cognate ranges is thus inferred to be largely inherited from a
68 lateglacial and postglacial peak, albeit capable of reactivation (e.g. Goguel and Pachoud, 1981),
69 including by anthropogenic disturbances (e.g., the Elm disaster; Heim, 1932). These RSF populations
70 have gone largely unnoticed and unmapped because they are often inaccessible, invisible from
71 below, difficult to detect and delimit when at incipient stages, and confusable with other mimicking
72 erosional and depositional landforms. The potential of RSF spatial incidence analysis in studies of
73 glaciation history, climate, or tectonics has scarcely been explored.

74 Although copious 'landslide inventories' are available for most European countries (e.g., van den
75 Eeckhaut and Hervas, 2012), they are generally unsystematic, and mainly drawn from areas of threat
76 to settlements and infrastructure. They seldom distinguish discrete RSFs—which are by definition in
77 bedrock and above a threshold size—from abundant minor rockfalls, endemic slumping in high-
78 plasticity rock types, and failures in regolith (Wood et al., 2020). Being more report-based than

79 survey-driven, they omit most metastable ('dormant') RSF sites that may undergo reactivation at
80 some point. Area studies generally focus on landslide hazard zoning (e.g. Guzzetti et al., 2008;
81 Bălteanu et al., 2010), but systematic identification of RSF over large areas has only recently become
82 efficiently practicable with the advent of high-resolution, easily-interrogated satellite imagery.

83 Globally, comprehensive montane RSF inventories only exist as yet for a few ranges and uplands,
84 notably Iceland (Whalley et al., 1983; Peras et al., 2016), parts of the Scandes (Braathen et al., 2004;
85 Böhme et al., 2011), the New Zealand Alps (Korup, 2005; Allen et al., 2011), the eastern Pyrenees
86 (Jarman et al., 2014), and the British mountains (Jarman and Harrison, 2019). In more elevated
87 ranges, the emphasis has remained on large-scale and catastrophic RSF (e.g. Strom and Korup, 2006;
88 Antinao and Gosse, 2009; Hewitt, 2009). Additionally, very few studies have sought to evaluate the
89 spatial incidence of all modes of RSF in relation to possible causes and to the landscape evolution of
90 the montane area. Within the European Alps, previous inventories (Fig. 1A) have mainly addressed
91 smaller areas (e.g., Malatrait, 1975; Thiéry 2007; Martinotti et al., 2011; Fioraso, 2017), or local
92 events of notable impact in historical time (Eisbacher and Clague, 1984). The groundbreaking wider
93 study of the whole Alpine range by Crosta et al. (2013) addressed the deep-seated gravitational slope
94 deformation (DSGSD) subset of RSF. A notably comprehensive inventory and analysis of the large
95 Upper Rhône catchment also focused on slope deformation (Tonini et al., 2014; Pedrazzini et al.,
96 2015).

97 Here presented is the first systematic database of RSF of all major modes, namely rockfall, rock
98 avalanche, rockslide, and rock slope deformation (i.e., DSGSD) across a major sector of the European
99 Alps (Fig. 1B). The primary aim was to map all RSFs that can be identified from remote imagery
100 available chiefly in Google Earth, creating a database with appropriate standard parameters and
101 using low-cost methods. It is beyond the intended scope of the study to determine the age, mode of
102 evolution, or case by case cause of failure, let alone the current rate of slip or hazard potential for
103 which some sites are being monitored (Fig. 1C). Readers motivated by the aforementioned list of
104 topics may nonetheless find advantage in using the new database to conduct future studies at a

105 subset of locations of their choosing. Interactive Map File 1 provides a fully geolocated map of the
106 inventory. Until much more is known about a wide sample of sites, however, the principal purpose of
107 acquiring such a comprehensive regional dataset is aimed at understanding what might have caused
108 RSF in the past, yielding the extant population. The data is subjected to a GIS-based spatial analysis
109 that relates RSF location and RSF polygon size to a wide range of topographic, tectonic, and
110 palaeoclimatic variables in a search to understand predisposing factors and causal relations over a
111 range of geographic scales and spatial resolutions. The heuristic value of this broad-scope approach
112 to the slope evolution cycle is tested in the final Discussion, where sharp contrasts in mountain
113 landscape evolution between the European Alps and the Southern Alps of New Zealand are
114 highlighted and explained in the light of the factorial analysis conducted in the Western Alps.

115 **2 Study area: the Western Alps**

116 **2.1. Study area boundaries**

117 The broad transect through the Western Alps presented here spans a representative gamut of Alpine
118 geology, topography, climate, and intensity of glaciation. The study area (Fig. 1A, B) covers ~27,500
119 km² and is bounded on the north by Lake Geneva, Mont Blanc, and the Val d'Aosta, and on the south
120 by a classic geological limit in Alpine geology (note in Fig. 1A the noticeable change in topographic
121 elevation and relief pattern), south of which extensive outcrops of marl and shale (the 'Vocontian
122 Basin', Fig. 2), all highly susceptible to endemic, and usually relatively shallow, landsliding, slumping,
123 and badlands development, make for an entirely separate physiographic environment. Historically
124 treated as such, the excluded southern region has been intensively mapped already (e.g. Thiery,
125 2007; El Bedoui et al., 2011), and widely monitored for active slope hazards (e.g. Malatrait and
126 Sabatier, 1996; Palis et al., 2017). The range is almost 200 km wide, between abrupt mountain fronts
127 of the Prealpine massifs and above the Po basin. The study area includes parts of the upper Rhône
128 and Aosta valleys, within areas previously inventorised by Pedrazzini et al. (2015) and Martinotti et
129 al. (2011), respectively (Fig. 1A).

130

131 **2.2. Alpine landscape attributes of potential relevance to RSF**

132 The aim of this study was to investigate the extant population of RSF in the regional landscape as a
133 legacy and symptom of cumulative rock slope overstepping. Mapping the spatial patterns of slope
134 overstepping could then be used as an exploratory tool for interpreting long-term landscape
135 evolution from a perspective, and on a scale, previously unaddressed in this mountain environment.
136 Characteristic physical features of the study area itemised below have been selected for their
137 potential influence on RSF incidence, geographic distribution, and areal density. Hypothesis testing of
138 these possible causal relationships through spatial analysis will be implemented in the Methods
139 section. Given that information on the age of the RSF population in its majority is currently
140 unobtainable, the obvious null hypothesis would postulate that RSF occurrence is entirely random,
141 rendering any spatial associations vulnerable to a scenario of RSF having actually responded to
142 entirely different factors over time. The Results and Discussion will show that meaningful inferences
143 can still be made from spatial patterns without prior knowledge of individual RSF age, not least
144 because the most widespread mode of RSF in the study area, namely slope deformation, is most
145 often a diachronous phenomenon that has no unique 'age' (e.g. Schwartz et al., 2017).

146
147 *2.2.1 Conceptual framework for investigating factors of RSF in the Alps context*

148 McColl (2015) has provided a causal framework for analysing landslides in general that distinguishes
149 'preconditions', 'preparatory factors', and 'triggers'.

- 150 (i) Preconditions, or predisposing factors, are inherent attributes of the failed or failing slope:
151 chiefly the geological variables (structure, lithology, rock mass strength), and topographic
152 features (elevation, aspect). Brideau and Roberts (2015) and Stead and Wolter (2015)
153 provide overviews.
- 154 (ii) Preparatory factors refer to a concatenation of circumstances and processes necessary to
155 bring a given slope segment—in contrast to other adjacent segments—to the point of failure.
- 156 (iii) triggers are the 'on-the-day' events provoking actual failure or reactivation.

157 The main difference between triggers (e.g., the individual rainstorm) and preparatory factors is the
158 time frame of reference: triggers are instantaneous events, and for that reason mostly unknowable
159 in the context of individual RSF (particularly of slope deformation) because, unlike many smaller
160 landslides from report-based catalogues, their age is either undocumented, or documented as
161 incremental (Schwartz et al., 2017), or documented as random (Gallach, 2019) throughout the
162 Holocene period. Triggers, therefore, will not be addressed in this study. Preparatory factors, in
163 contrast, operate over a longer period before the slope failure event, building up to state changes
164 such as an increase in slope height or steepness, stress caused by glacial debuitressing, a reduction in
165 inherent rock strength (e.g., by permafrost thaw), loading of the slope, and all forms of ‘slope
166 fatigue’.

167 Here we introduce an additional nuance among preparatory factors based on a distinction between
168 slower and faster variables. We thus term (i) ‘stressors’ the shorter-cycle and shorter-range
169 geomorphological factors acting on a slope or valley side (e.g., glacier retreat, aspect-related
170 permafrost thaw, the seismic cycle on an active fault, increments of fluvial incision into weak
171 material, etc.); and (ii) ‘drivers’ the more powerful underlying changes in environmental context,
172 which have a regional and pervasive rather than local and site-specific impact on the behaviour of
173 crustal masses. Drivers would thus encompass slower processes likely to enhance overall
174 gravitational potential such as regional rock uplift (to which river channel incision into bedrock would
175 be a response), crustal stress vectors such as long-term denudation rates (quantified, e.g., by
176 thermochronology), but also radiation-driven processes such as long-term climate change and its
177 effects (e.g., glacio-isostasy, global-change related permafrost thaw). Drivers thus address long-term
178 forcing factors, and in that sense are more uniquely relevant to RSF (particularly massive rock slope
179 deformation) than to shallow landslides or to the blockfall–rockfall spectrum. In the context of a
180 regional-scale study of RSF incidence, they also broaden the scope of factor analysis because
181 variations in the relative intensity of drivers are mappable on length scales that exceed the
182 geographical scale of the RSF site or valley side.

183 Stressors are thus, at least in part, local expressions and shorter-term manifestations of drivers. In a
184 glaciated mountain range, for example, glacier-related stressors over the long term will vary
185 depending on where massifs occur in relation to the regional icefield flowline divide (which in the
186 Western Alps roughly coincides with the modern drainage divide along the Italy–France political
187 border: Fig. 1B). This should cumulatively (e.g. over the entire Quaternary) affect the residence times
188 of certain valleys in the ice accumulation zone compared to other valleys that spend longer in the
189 ablation zone or experience earlier deglaciation and overall longer periods of ice-free conditions.
190 Similarly, earthquakes trigger RSFs; but elevated seismicity over a wider area may be associated with
191 high tectonic strain, which in this instance qualifies as a stressor rather than a trigger. Both classes of
192 process, stressors and drivers, will be addressed in this study.

193 194 2.2.2 *Lithology and structure*

195 The geological structure and evolution of the Western Alps is well known (e.g., de Graciansky et al.,
196 2011; Pfiffner, 2014) and results from underthrusting of the European Plate beneath the overriding
197 Apulian (African) Plate. *Although properties of rock mass fabric and strength relevant to RSF*
198 *incidence vary considerably within and between mapped lithological units, the region* consists of
199 three broad lithological groups (Fig. 2):

- 200 (i) crystalline basement, mostly orthogneiss and granite displaying brittle behaviour, in two parallel
201 bands: the western, or External Crystalline Massifs of Hercynian heritage; and the eastern, or
202 Internal Crystalline Massifs, also Hercynian but with Alpine metamorphic overprints;
- 203 (ii) metasedimentary rocks, mainly of detrital origin, and displaying outcrop-scale ductile behaviour;
- 204 (iii) a sedimentary fold-and-thrust belt: Mesozoic cover rocks dominated by alternating limestone
205 and marl, chiefly confined to the Prealpine massifs and ceasing at the southern boundary of the
206 study area. Occasional overthrust klippen from the internal zones cap these series (Fig. 2).

207 The tectonic structure comprises a series of arcuate belts made up of palaeogeographic ‘Domains’
208 subdivided into ‘Zones’ (Fig. 2). To simplify, an external Helvetic nappe system (Helvetic Domain) is

209 overridden from the east by an internal Penninic nappe system—itself embracing the Valais (Lower
210 Penninic), Briançonnais (Middle Penninic), and Piemontais and Austro-alpine (Upper Penninic)
211 domains. Metamorphic intensity increases from west to east, from the low-grade metamorphism of
212 the Helvetic Domain to the high-pressure greenschist facies of the Briançonnais Zone, onward to the
213 low-temperature facies of the Schistes lustrés, and lastly to the high-temperature facies of the
214 Internal Crystalline Massifs.

215 The external/internal nappe systems are separated by the west-vergent Penninic Frontal Thrust
216 (PFT). The PFT is a lithospheric-scale discontinuity offsetting the Moho, and thus one of the primary
217 tectonic boundaries of the Alps. To its east, within the Penninic system, the east-vergent
218 Briançonnais Backthrust (BB) separates the detrital continental rocks of the Briançonnais Domain
219 from the overridden Piemontais and Austro-Alpine Domains, notably the oceanic flysch and
220 ophiolites of Queyras and Mt. Viso.

221 Wherever the stratigraphy consists of a sedimentary sequence in which resistant caprock overlies
222 fallible strata, typically carbonate rocks over marl or clay (a prominent situation in the Prealpine
223 massifs), slope undermining in the weaker rocks explains a number of historic RSFs, including the Mt.
224 Granier rock avalanche and the Moucherotte DSGSD (Vercors massif, near Grenoble; Debelmas,
225 1979).

226

227 *2.2.3 Neotectonics*

228 Present tectonic activity in the study area is relatively subdued because crustal convergence between
229 Europe and Africa has substantially slackened (e.g., Calais et al., 2000; Sue et al., 2007; Nocquet et
230 al., 2016). A tripartite stress regime has evolved since the late Neogene (Fig. 2 inset):

- 231 (i) extensional deformation within the internal (Penninic) domains, where normal faulting has been
232 reactivating the synorogenic thrusts and backthrusts (Tricart et al., 2006; Sue et al., 2007);
- 233 (ii) transpressive stresses and strike-slip faults in broad swathes on either side (Dauphinois Zone,
234 External Crystalline Massifs, most of the Prealps; Thouvenot et al., 2003).

235 (iii) compressive stress persisting only locally in the Prealpine massifs and along the Po Basin margin,
236 as convergence continues to propagate outward along the edges of the pro-wedge, into (for
237 example) the Jura (e.g. Sue et al., 2007).

238 The prevailing post-orogenic extension along the alpine spine could be attributed to gravitational
239 spreading of the most thickened part of the crust beneath the Middle Penninic Domain (Delacou et
240 al., 2004), and perhaps to displacement or tear of a deeper lithospheric root (Kästle et al., 2019).

241 Current tectonic displacement rates in all these strain modes are low (2–5 mm/yr; Nocquet et al.,
242 2016). Rock uplift in the Alps is overall potentially attributable to tectonic shortening, lithospheric
243 delamination, and crustal unloading caused by deglaciation and erosion (Sternai et al., 2019).
244 Although the component of viscoelastic response to deglaciation is strongly model-dependent,
245 reasonable estimates of contributions to total uplift rates by postglacial rebound in the Western Alps
246 are 30–50% (Sternai et al., 2019). Overall, however, maximum surface uplift rates detected during
247 the last 30 years do not exceed a modest 2 mm/yr, peaking along the range axis in the Beaufortain
248 and Vanoise areas (Nocquet et al., 2016; Sternai et al., 2019) and reducing almost to zero in the
249 southern half of the study area.

250 Long-term Neogene crustal uplift and erosion are quantifiable by thermochronology. Recent data
251 compilations suggest two clear tectonic realms with contrasting exhumation histories in the Western
252 Alps (Schildgen et al., 2018):

253 (i) external (Helvetic Domain), with exhumation peaking after 7 Ma for (U–Th)/He, after 10–15 Ma
254 for apatite fission-track (AFT), and after 20 Ma for zircon fission-track (ZFT) data;

255 (ii) internal (Penninic Domain), with a pre-20 Ma exhumation peak for both AFT and ZFT ages.

256 Across the Penninic Frontal Thrust separating these two realms, a steep gradient in
257 thermochronological ages is evident (Agliardi et al., 2013, Fig. 4 therein; Schildgen et al., 2018). In the
258 Penninic Domain, intermediate ages imply slow, steady denudation since early Miocene time after a
259 paroxysm during the Oligocene. In the Helvetic Domain, the younger ages imply rapid unroofing in
260 late Miocene–early Pliocene times followed by slower denudation rates, yielding today's highest

261 summits. Localised younger exhumation of valley floors is attributed to Pleistocene glacial incision
262 (Valla et al., 2012).

263

264 *2.2.4 Modern seismicity*

265 Seismicity is known to generate RSF (Jibson, 2009, 2013), particularly rock avalanches and rockfalls
266 (Gosar, 2017; Roback et al., 2018). Modern seismicity in the Western Alps, however, is low, with no
267 events since 1900 exceeding the M_L 6.0 threshold considered necessary to provoke substantial RSF
268 (Tiwari and Ajmera, 2017). Only three earthquakes $> M_L$ 5 occurred in the French sector, the largest
269 (Vercors, 1962) registering M_L 5.3 (data from sismalp.osug.fr). Five M_S 4.5–5.5 events are reported in
270 the Cottian Alps of Italy (Perrone et al., 2010). The importance of seismicity should nonetheless not
271 be discounted outright because slopes at the threshold of failure could be triggered by small
272 earthquakes.

273

274 *2.2.5 Topography and glaciation*

275 In glaciated mountains, the principal palaeoclimatic stimulant is cyclical glacial erosion, with valley
276 deepening and hillslope steepening together compromising rock mass stability. In the Western Alps,
277 RSF is thus expected to be predominantly paraglacial (Ballantyne, 2002), although RSF can develop
278 widely in unglaciated mountain areas (Pánek et al., 2015). The study area is dominated by massifs of
279 classic alpine character, with arêtes, cirques, and deep steep-sided troughs in resistant rocks, but
280 areas of more subdued intermediate relief, with broad interfluves persisting above more open
281 valleys, also occur. The study area also embraces the Prealps massifs and Po basin foothills,
282 characterised by fluvially-incised plateaux or dissected terrain and where glacial impact has been
283 more limited. Many of the highest summits lie along the main Rhône–Po drainage divide, where
284 maximum altitudes typically exceed 3000 m. They attain >4000 m in the Mont Blanc, Ecrins, and Gran
285 Paradiso massifs. At over 4800 m, Mont Blanc is a unique pop-up of granite and rhyolite squeezed
286 between reverse faults (Boutoux et al., 2016; Bergemann et al., 2019).

287 The extent to which these valleys have been excavated fluvially or glacially over the Pleistocene is
288 important for slope stress history. The extant RSF response to the evolution of the alpine relief may
289 thus bear the influence of three timescales: (i) long-term decay from some original glacial resetting of
290 slopes; (ii) propagation or perpetuation of failure at locations where previous RSF has left unstable
291 slopes; or (iii) a fresh response to new increments of incision provoked by some change in ice
292 dispersal or fluvial adjustment.

293 During the Pleistocene maxima, ice cover was predominantly constrained by the topography, only
294 locally overtopping secondary divides to form ice domes and with the pre-existing valley system
295 efficiently conducting ice flow away from the main watershed towards the Rhône and Po piedmonts.
296 Thus, at the time of the Late Pleistocene most extensive glaciation (MEG), independent domes only
297 formed in the Vanoise, Mont Blanc, and Ecrins massifs (Coutterand 2010, 2017). Consequently, local
298 ice diffluentes and transfluences shaped only a few, mid-level glaciated cols across the Alpine icefield
299 flowline divide such as Mont Cenis and Petit Saint-Bernard. This contrasts with mountain ranges with
300 extensive glacial breaching (e.g., Patagonian Andes; Norway; Scottish Highlands).

301 The thickest iceways were the Isère and Arc glaciers (Coutterand, 2010). The least glaciated massifs,
302 skirted by the large ice streams, were the Chartreuse and Vercors, which internally possessed mainly
303 short, east-facing cirque glaciers. Today, less than 1% of the study area is glaciated (Gardent et al.,
304 2014).

305

306 *2.2.6. Modern precipitation and permafrost*

307 Precipitation patterns in the study area are strongly influenced by the Westerlies and conform to a
308 gradient of decreasing rainfall from the Prealps in the west to the internal massifs in the east. This
309 pattern, which divides the area into two climatic halves roughly coincidental with the trace of the
310 Penninic Frontal Thrust (see Suppl. Fig. 1A), defines a wetter Helvetic Domain and a drier Penninic
311 Domain. This conformation likely prevailed during much of the Holocene, and the inventory aims to

312 test whether mean annual precipitation has any control over the areal density, diversity or size of
313 RSF.

314 Mountain permafrost in the Western Alps today persists at elevations above ~2700 m a.s.l. (Bodin et
315 al., 2015; Marcer et al., 2017). In the Mont Blanc massif, for example, at 10 m depth present-day
316 measured rock temperatures are -4.5 and -1.5 °C on north- and south-facing slopes, respectively
317 (Bodin et al., 2015). The RSF inventory will be examined in relation to records of permafrost
318 occurrence and behaviour, under the intuitive assumption that permafrost countervails or delays
319 RSF.

320 **3 Methods**

321 **3.1 Google Earth Pro and other RSF identification tools**

322 The RSF inventory was constructed entirely from satellite imagery provided by the Earth navigation
323 tool Google Earth Pro™. Only sites detectable on that imagery were included, with no unsystematic
324 augmentation from field visits, geological maps, or the literature. This ensures consistency for
325 analysis rather than selectively optimising completeness, although any inventory is likely to evolve as
326 new sites are discovered. About 12% of the inventory has been ground-truthed, providing familiarity
327 with RSF forms and contexts, and confirming its general validity without adjusting it for individual
328 cases.

329 Satellite imagery is increasingly favoured over aerial photography and DEM imagery for large-scale
330 RSF reconnaissance (e.g., Crosta et al., 2013; Jarman et al., 2014; Tsou et al., 2015; Pánek et al., 2016,
331 2018). Among its advantages, the imagery provided by Google Earth is open-access and simple to
332 navigate, with full flexibility for angle of view and magnification down to resolutions (in the study
333 area) of roughly 1:2000. Relief-enhancement and sharpening tools can assist in confirming RSF
334 indicators. True-colour representation of vegetation and drainage assists detection of anomalous
335 landforms, not available on DEM imagery. Google Earth also valuably provides a library of ‘historical
336 imagery’ from earlier satellite passes, which can offer alternative sun or snow highlights, or avoid
337 swaths affected by cloud cover or dullness. Aerial imagery resources provided by the Institut

338 Géographique National (IGN) data portal and the Bing Maps website were also inspected as backup,
339 but their static nature (no navigation tools) limits their value.

340 Two chief difficulties have been encountered in identifying RSF from Google Earth. Firstly, where
341 they occur or extend beneath tree cover, some under-reporting or uncertainty as to extent is likely,
342 notably with slope deformation features. LiDAR would address this problem (Ortuño et al., 2017).
343 Secondly, there is potential risk of mimicry between RSFs and other landforms, sometimes only
344 resolvable by field inspection. Thus a debris cone may resemble a single-event rockslide lobe; an
345 apparent antiscarp may be a selectively eroded bedrock rib; and rock glaciers may mimic—or grow
346 out of—rock-avalanche deposits. Delicate deformation features may be utilised or obscured by
347 access tracks and ski domain-moulding.

348 For the concept of rock slope failure to have geomorphological utility, a lower RSF threshold size has
349 to be adopted to distinguish RSFs as discrete, infrequent, organised events from abundant minor
350 slips and rockfalls (limiting case: the single fallen block). Most RSF studies and inventories have such
351 a necessarily arbitrary threshold, explicit or implicit. The threshold size necessary to distinguish RSFs
352 on that basis (typically 0.01–0.03 km² in other studies) was found for this study area to be ~0.02 km².
353 Below this it became increasingly difficult to consistently discriminate smaller features. The
354 impracticality of managing a dataset at lower threshold levels is evident from the high frequency of
355 mostly small rockfalls on Mont Blanc alone, for example, with 640 events recorded in just 10 years
356 (Ravanel et al., 2017). Although time-consuming, the procedure followed utilising Google Earth on
357 that basis is considered to yield a comprehensive and consistent coverage of the entire study area.

358 General topographic variables were extracted from the 1 arcsecond (~30 m ground resolution) ALOS
359 World 3D elevation model (DEM), with a relative vertical accuracy given as ≤ 5 m. The ALOS DEM was
360 tested by zooming in on known RSF features: some more obvious ones, such as split ridges, could be
361 recognised, but not in a systematically reliable way. The IGN DEM (25 m ground resolution) was also
362 tested because of recent LiDAR-derived upgrades to 5 m resolution coverage, but only of certain
363 valley floors and their adjacent valley footslopes. This unsystematic 5 m coverage provided an

364 opportunity to test for RSF indices at elevations coinciding with forest cover. A dozen features
365 suggesting slope antiscarps were observed in total but, for consistency reasons, not included in the
366 inventory. Their small number would indicate that RSF, in its vast majority, departs from areas
367 situated above the modern treeline. Inspection of French geological maps, which provide standard
368 symbols for landslides (all types, undifferentiated), came in further support of this appraisal. This
369 preliminary observation would require to be updated in the future as 5 m DEM coverage becomes
370 more widely available.

371

372 **3.2 RSF typology adopted for this study**

373 RSF is adopted here as an umbrella term spanning the full range of failure modes, from long-travel
374 rockfall to in situ deformation. Classifications devised for engineering geology purposes (notably
375 Varnes, 1978; Hungr et al., 2011) are too complex for large regional inventories. Experience shows
376 that only a few main categories can be usefully discriminated (e.g. Jarman, 2006; McColl, 2012;
377 Pedrazzini et al., 2015; Ortuño et al., 2017). Unfortunately, standardisation of terms and definitions is
378 elusive. A major difficulty is whether the term RSF includes rock slope deformation (RSD), more
379 commonly termed DSGSD. In practice, many sites display elements of both deformation and sliding,
380 which are merely different manifestations of slope response to overstressing. The view adopted here
381 is that failure occurs when the rock mass loses cohesion, regardless of its state or stage along a
382 continuum towards ultimate disintegration or collapse, whether rapid or slow.

383 For the Alps context, this inventory adopts a four-category typology (Fig. 3), retaining rock
384 avalanches in view of their historical prominence in the Alps despite their relative scarcity:

385 (i) Rock avalanche (RA): this involves the rapid displacement of large rock masses (Evans et al.,
386 2006), with long runouts and complete fragmentation. Striking historic examples in the study
387 area are Mt. Granier (Pachoud, 1991; Fig. 3: RA1), Madeleine (Pollet, 2004), Lauvitel (Delunel et
388 al., 2010; Fig. 3: RA2), and Ciantiplagna (Fioraso and Baggio, 2013). RAs are recognisable from the

389 imagery as bold cavities with large masses of unsorted debris reaching the slopefoot or filling the
390 valley floor, sometimes forming landslide dams.

391 (ii) Rockfall (RF): this is on a continuum with rock avalanches but involves smaller volumes and
392 shorter travel distance (Raveland and Deline, 2011), often because rockfall lacks the excess
393 mobility, and therefore the long runout, of RAs. RF shows up on the imagery as a discrete deposit
394 of coarse debris (Fig. 3: RF1, RF2). Difficulties may arise when distinguishing (a) single-event
395 landforms from incremental accumulations; (b) source scars, if planar shears have left no clear
396 cavities; and (c) older rockfalls blending into rugged terrain, or evolved into rock glaciers (Jarman
397 et al., 2013).

398 (iii) Rockslide (RS): this mode is characterised by a clearly defined headscarp (or rupture scars) and
399 slipped mass (Fig. 3: RS1, RS2), which commonly projects out from adjacent slope profiles. Travel
400 distance is often short, preserving rock mass coherence with only limited disintegration,
401 sometimes evolving uphill-facing scarplets during arrested descent, with a bold toe rampart and
402 bulges. A basal sliding surface is conventionally inferred, which is typically planar (translational
403 sliding) but may be curved (roto-translational) in less competent rocks (Maltrait, 1975; Hibert et
404 al., 2012).

405 (iv) Rock slope deformation (RSD). The acronym RSD is adopted here as a neutral, non-genetic (i.e.,
406 purely descriptive), and concise term of general application that befits the limitations of imagery-
407 based reconnaissance compared to the context of field identification, which would allow to more
408 fully ascertain the deep-seated and gravitational (rather than tectonic) nature of the
409 deformation. RSD entails sagging or creeping of the valleyside, without developing a clearly
410 defined and displaced failed mass. RSDs may display substantial headscarps, with open fissuring,
411 and anticarps shaping wide trenches (extensional variant); or may have only closed fractures,
412 step-scarps and saw-toothed anticarps (compressional variant); these variants (Jarman, 2006)
413 are not applied here as they require field discrimination. RSDs can be very large, extending for
414 tens of kilometres along valleysides. They can generate spectacular landform assemblages with

415 ridge rents, double or even triple crests where the source fracture daylight behind (creating a
416 false anticarp), and concavo-convex profiles with bulging mid- to toe-slopes (Fig. 3: RSD1, RSD2;
417 e.g. Martinotti et al., 2011; Agliardi et al., 2012). Other key indicators include lineament swarms
418 (Ustaszewski et al., 2008) and basal springlines (Fig. 3: RSD3, RSD4). RSDs may extend to
419 considerable depths (~300 m: Dramis and Sorriso-Valvo, 1994; Crosta et al., 2013), but their deep
420 structure usually lacks documentation. Although discrete basal sliding surfaces are often
421 depicted, rock slopes more plausibly deform over a sequence of deformable layers, a mesh of
422 joints (Jarman and Ballantyne, 2002), or zones of crush (as proven in Jettan boreholes, N.
423 Norway; see Vick et al., 2020, and Fig. 23 therein). The lateral margins of RSD are often diffuse,
424 but accurate boundaries can be delineated with confidence when the detection criteria listed
425 above interrupt or fade within the search area. The imagery does not always allow high
426 precision, but the very large size of each individual RSD unit nonetheless compensates overall for
427 any loss of precision that might arise, and has no strong incidence on the aims and scope of this
428 study (seeking precision greater than two decimal places for RSD surface area, in km², is
429 purposeless).

430 The terminology around RSD is not fully settled. Although DSGSD (and its many variants) has gained
431 currency (Crosta and Zanchi, 2000; Pànek and Klimeš, 2015) and is arguably more suitable than
432 'sackungen' (Němčok, 1972), 'slope tectonics', or 'rock mass creep', the binding concept of depth is
433 commonly undefined. Terms such as 'rock instabilities' (Böhme et al., 2011) and 'unstable rock
434 slopes', which attempt to identify sites that are 'pre-failure' in the narrow definition of Hungr et al.
435 (2011), are unhelpful, with their implied corollary that 'failed' rockslides and rock avalanches are
436 somehow not unstable or at least metastable. Conversely, Vick et al. (2020) adopt the term 'RSD', but
437 then extend it to include rockslide masses translated on clear foliation surfaces, with all modes of RSF
438 under an umbrella of 'progressive failure'.

439 Readers are thus invited to hold RSD in this study as synonymous with DSGSD, but only provisionally
440 and tentatively given that the methodology relies on imagery-based reconnaissance criteria. The

441 detection of RSD really requires additional fieldwork in order to establish whether the landform truly
442 is a DSGSD or, instead, results from tectonic deformation. As documented above (Sections 2.2.3,
443 2.2.4), the chances of encountering coseismic scarplets in the Western Alps are low, but choosing to
444 label as RSD a population of DSGSD-like slope deformations on remote imagery was deemed suitably
445 neutral, objective, and prudent.

446 The simple four-category scheme employed here has the overall advantage of being on a diminishing
447 scale of (i) travel distance and (ii) degree of mass disintegration. Many compound sites display such
448 transitions (e.g. RS2' in Figure 3), progressing from incipience, via movement to partial collapse, and
449 are here categorised by their primary mode (usually RSD). As uncertainty in RSF detection remains
450 inevitable, including in the field, each site was also probability-rated. Detection uncertainty arises
451 with degradation over time and in weaker rocks, with forest concealment and anthropogenic
452 disturbance, with mimicry and equifinality in landforms of other origins, with diffuse margins, and
453 with the difficulty of distinguishing incipient RS and RSD source scarps and fractures from selectively
454 eroded lineaments. RSF probability rating was based on a relative scale from 1 to 3 (1: highly
455 probable; 2: probable; 3: less probable), and the site catalogue was independently critiqued on that
456 basis by the three co-authors.

457

458 **3.3 GIS database production and spatial analysis methods**

459 The ALOS DEM was used for computing hillslope gradients and local relief across the raster grid.
460 Altitude, slope angle and local relief values were then binned into statistical classes and plotted
461 against the topographic attributes of the RSF polygons (Pánek et al., 2018). This provides a relative
462 density distribution of RSF within a given class of elevation, slope angle or local relief values on a
463 valley side.

464 The RSFs identified in Google Earth were manually outlined as polygons in the geographical
465 information system ArcGIS™. The geographic coordinates of each RSF centroid were obtained
466 directly from the DEM layer in the GIS and checked for consistency in Google Earth. Distribution

467 maps were produced for each RSF category. RSF location was analysed with respect to topographic,
468 geological, and palaeoenvironmental variables retrieved from a variety of data sources, enabling
469 spatial patterns to be identified and possible causes inferred. Attribute tables generated in the GIS
470 and variables obtained directly from other information sources produced the following primary data:

471 (i) surface area: calculated from the ALOS DEM using the WGS 1984 / UTM32N spatial reference
472 frame. Massif areas were calculated from their boundaries depicted in Fig. 1B.

473 (ii) RSF aspect: obtained directly from Google Earth, thus allowing plots of RSF surface area as a
474 function of aspect to be generated. Slope aspect maps were also produced from the DEM for the
475 entire study area, allowing RSF aspect to additionally be compared with the total aspect
476 distribution of hillslopes.

477 (iii) RSF headscarp altitudes, and centroid altitudes in the specific case of RSD. The relevance of
478 distinguishing between the two—essentially in the case of the larger RSD—is that a number of
479 RSF headscarps and rupture scars occur in the formerly supraglacial zone, whereas their
480 centroids lie below valley-glacier trimlines.

481 (iv) Lithology: this was recorded for each RSF by headscarp or scar location, except by polygon
482 centroid for RSDs, from BRGM 1:50,000 scale digital maps (Bureau des Recherches Géologiques et
483 Minières), and for the Italian sector from the map by Piana et al. (2017). Consistent regional (and
484 cross-border) overviews are provided by non-digital 1:250,000 BRGM maps that cover the entire
485 study area, and by a simplified 1:250,000 lithological map by Gidon (1977) used as a control. The
486 detailed BRGM maps discriminate 178 bedrock types (Suppl. Fig. 2A), some very minor. For the
487 purpose of this study, these pre-ordained rock types were at first aggregated into 55 classes in
488 order to approach the more tractable number of categories shown on the 1:250,000 scale maps
489 (Suppl. Table 1). This shortlist was in turn used for further simplification measures, either into
490 very broad types based on mass mechanical properties (such as brittle vs. ductile) or
491 conventional rock type (4 categories: igneous, metamorphic, sedimentary/carbonate, and
492 sedimentary/detrital) for a regional overview; or simplified to a lesser degree into 15 finer

493 taxonomic classes (Suppl. Table 1; Suppl. Fig. 2B) for closer analysis and trying to capture in
494 greater detail the influence of RSF ‘preconditions’ as defined in Section 2.2.1. Note that the
495 geological map taxonomies in the case of metamorphic rocks tend to emphasise protolith rather
496 than metamorphic texture, thus a mapped greywacke unit may in fact be weakly metamorphic
497 semischist.

498 (v) Structure: major tectonic lineaments were taken from the mid-range 1:250,000 scale BRGM
499 maps. This reference frame was chosen because it provides the only scale at which mapped
500 lineaments cover the entire study area in a consistent way. Given the regional scope of this
501 inventory of RSF, local alpine faults or fractures depicted in 1:50,000 scale maps were only
502 retained if also present on the 1:250,000 scale maps.

503 (vi) Variations in regional tectonic stress field: mapped after Delacou et al. (2004) and Sue et al.
504 (2007).

505 (vii) Variations in magnitudes of instrumentally measured surface uplift: after Nocquet et al. (2016).

506 (viii) Seismic activity: Thouvenot and Fréchet (2006) provides epicentre location, local magnitude,
507 focal depth and date of every earthquake exceeding $M_L 2$ since 1989 from the SISMALP database.
508 This very short time span is not statistically valid for higher-magnitude events with much longer
509 return periods, and can of course only be treated as a guide to variations in ‘background’ levels.

510 (ix) Ice thickness: this was calculated by netting the present DEM topography from the contoured
511 map of the Late Pleistocene MEG by Coutterand (2010), where ice thickness was reconstructed
512 from trimlines, moraines and nunataks. Ice thickness and iceway width provide a notional
513 understanding of relative valley-side stresses and thus the potential intensity of rock slope
514 damage revealed after deglaciation.

515 (x) Modern rainfall pattern: mapped after Gottardi (2009).

516 These various thematic layers were entered into the GIS and co-registered and georeferenced, with
517 the RSF data points likewise georeferenced and used as an overlay. RSF spatial incidence was
518 examined in relation to each thematic layer as a means of testing the explanatory power of each

519 variable listed above. In doing so, the RSFs were analysed from two perspectives: as point
520 distributions, and based on RSF polygon areas, in each case using the kernel density function
521 advocated by Silverman (1998) and readily available in ArcGIS 10.7.1. This spatial clustering metric is
522 expressed in km^{-2} and produces smoothed density gradient maps where clumpiness depends on the
523 imposed search radius. Through trial and error, the optimal search radius for this study area and
524 dataset was 10 km.

525

526 **4. Results**

527 **4.1 Spatial incidence of RSF**

528 *4.1.1 RSF type, size, area affected, and spatial density*

529 The inventory documented by remote imagery comprises 1416 RSFs (Fig. 4; Table 1). Of these, 1306
530 are rated highly probable, 88 probable, and only 22 less probable. Rockslides (RS) and rock slope
531 deformations (RSD) predominate, with 596 and 558 cases respectively (42% and 39%). Disintegrated
532 failures, i.e., rockfalls (RF) and rock avalanches (RA), together comprise 262 cases (18%), but with
533 only 15 ascertained rock avalanches among them. This three-way split in main types is almost
534 identical to that in the only other comparable study of this size, for the Scottish Highlands (Jarman
535 and Harrison, 2019).

536 Individual RSF sizes range from the 0.02 km^2 threshold to 43 km^2 . Fig. 5A also indicates 53 RSDs larger
537 than 8 km^2 , and 47 RS larger than 2.5 km^2 . A typical lognormal size–frequency distribution is obtained
538 (Fig. 5B; cf. Wood et al., 2020). Sharp fall-offs in the various categories below 0.05 km^2 (Fig. 5C)
539 indicate sizes below which detecting them on the imagery becomes more difficult. Rockfalls are
540 naturally smallest, with an average extent of 0.22 km^2 . Rockslides and rock avalanches attain
541 averages of 0.99 km^2 and 2.42 km^2 , respectively. RSDs are typically very much larger, with an average
542 area of 3.15 km^2 . The largest RSD (43 km^2) is the Vallée des Belleville (Vanoise), extending over the
543 entire eastern valley side and progressing from in situ fissuring to slumping.

544 RSF from this inventory impacts 8.8% of the total mountain area (Table 1; but see Section 5.1).
545 Densities within massifs vary widely from <3% in most of the Prealps to a remarkable 21–23% in the
546 Parpaillon, Beaufortain and Escreins massifs. Even higher densities have been mapped at catchment
547 scale from fieldwork and other types of imagery, e.g., 38% in the Susa/Chisone valleys (Fioraso,
548 2017), but the present regional study does not drill down to that level of resolution. These dramatic
549 variations in spatial incidence are discussed in Section 4.4.

550

551 *4.1.2 RSF in relation to morphometry and topography*

552 The peak frequency of RSF (by headscarp altitude) lies in the 1500–2300 m a.s.l. belt (Fig. 5D).
553 Rockslides tend to be lower, with a peak at 1700 m, and RSD ~1900 m, both with Gaussian
554 distributions. Rockfall scars are widely distributed but generally higher, with a slight peak at 2700 m
555 (Fig. 5E).

556 The link between RSF occurrence and valley-side slope is less clear-cut, perhaps partly because pre-
557 failure slopes have been relaxed by the actual RSF. The larger types (RS/RSD) range widely between
558 10 and 40° (Fig. 5F). A secondary peak of 65–80° for RSD can be discounted as an artefact of the DEM
559 mapping routine, where headscarps are extensive enough to register as prevailing ‘source area’
560 slopes in their own right—as for example at the Dérochoir (Haut-Giffre massif; Goguel and Pachoud,
561 1981).

562 Local available relief (a proxy for magnitude of valley incision) does not appear to be a strong control,
563 with RSF being broadly distributed across intermediate rather than extreme local relief (Fig. 5G).
564 Local relief in the Western Alps can exceed 3000 m, yet there are no RSFs where local relief exceeds
565 2500 m, probably because the more extreme relief associates with high-strength rock types less
566 vulnerable to RSF (e.g. granite in the still-glaciated Mont Blanc and Ecrins massifs). Differences
567 between RSF modes can, however, be distinguished, with RSD generally falling in the 900–1400 m
568 local relief band, RS slightly higher at 800–1700 m, while RA and RF occur randomly.

569 Slope aspect is a stronger control, with RSF occurring preferentially (by area) on NW–W–SW
570 exposures (Fig. 5H) and south aspects favouring rockslides (RS). The more northerly aspects support
571 the smaller, disintegrated types (RA, RF; Fig. 5I), partly because the prevalence of more intricate
572 cirque terrain is uncondusive to large-scale RSF, and partly because more intense periglaciation
573 (frost-shattering) favours these modes.

574

575 **4.2 RSF incidence and geological factors**

576 *4.2.1 Lithology*

577 The results here (Figs. 4, 6) confirm that outcrop geology is a strong influence but not a controlling
578 one, as range-wide inventories commonly find (e.g. Jarman and Harrison, 2019): lithology
579 predisposes but does not predetermine. At a secondary level, rock type significantly influences RSF
580 mode and its agency in landscape shaping.

581 Assigning RSFs regardless of size to the four aggregated lithological classes (Fig. 4) confirms the
582 affinity of RSF with metamorphic rocks (e.g., Ballantyne, 2002; Hewitt, 2009), which may be greater
583 still if some detrital rocks display semischist fabrics as a result of weak metamorphism: metamorphic
584 rocks (n = 809), detrital rocks (n = 353), carbonate rocks (n = 216), plutonic rocks (n = 38).

585 Figure 6 provides a finer rock classification based on 15 classes aggregated out of the BRGM's
586 1:50,000 scale digital maps (see also Suppl. Table 1, Suppl. Fig. 2B). The map shows that schist, flysch,
587 and weakly metamorphosed rocks derived from detrital sedimentary protolith (i.e., sandstone,
588 greywacke) are among the most susceptible, whether in the Helvetic or Penninic domains shown in
589 Figure 2. Granite, gneiss, migmatite and limestone are among the least affected by RSF, in conformity
590 with known tendencies reported elsewhere.

591 In Figure 7, the role of lithology is quantified by relating the percentage of each rock type affected by
592 RSF to the percentage of that rock-type in the region, by area (rock categories are identical to those
593 of Figure 6). RSF lithology ratios show the strength of associations by descending order of the red
594 bars (presented separately for the French and Italian sectors since the lithology databases use

595 different classifications; Fig. 7A, B). For example (in France): 39% of all RSF by area is in schist (green
596 bar), schist only occupies 16.5% of the region (blue bar), 24% of schist outcrop area is impacted by
597 RSF (red bar).

598 The strongest associations by area, in both the Italian and French sectors, are clearly with the various
599 detrital rocks, both sedimentary and metamorphic (once gabbro and basalt are excluded as having
600 tiny outcrops). Conversely (again leaving aside lithologies of limited extent) RSF is substantially
601 underrepresented on limestone and marl, which account for 34% and 13.5% of the French sector
602 respectively: RSF impacts only 2.1% of these lithologies.

603 The Italian sector (Fig. 7B), displays a broadly similar sequence of relative impacts, with one
604 interesting statistical difference: schist is even more predominant both as a rock-type and as a host
605 for RSF, yet the relative impact (14% affected: red bar in Fig. 7B) is slightly less than west of the
606 drainage divide. This may reflect the fact that schist outcrops in Italy extend to lower altitudes, in
607 perhaps more fluvially-dissected relief where potential for RSF, and especially large-scale RSD, is thus
608 more limited than in the more elevated and glacially dissected terrain of the inner Alps.

609 At massif scale, marked differences in susceptibility emerge within lithological classes. Thus in
610 carbonate rocks, it is unsurprising that RSF densities are low in the Prealpine massifs, with massive
611 reef limestone plateaus (Urgonian facies) mainly exposing perimeter escarpments to RSF. Conversely,
612 other limestone facies, including calcarenite, display moderately higher RSF densities in more
613 dissected massifs such as Cerces, and notably in the valley of Chamonix (Chablais–Haut-Giffre),
614 deeply entrenched amid some of the highest relief of the study area. Meanwhile, granite massifs
615 such as Belledonne display only scattered handfuls of RSFs. RSDs are particularly rare in these
616 crystalline outcrops. Where present, their main distinction compared to many other RSD occurrences
617 is the conspicuousness of slope antiscarps.

618 The broad-scale correlations presented above should, of course, not understate the importance of
619 the lithological fine grain, which is often critical and can be areally extensive but evades the scale of
620 analysis chosen for this study. For example, massive sandstones usually resist RSF but may be

621 mobilised on intercalations of siltstone or clay (or their metamorphic equivalents). Likewise, flysch is
622 a fine-layered alternation of sandstone and shale, and thus effectively a dual lithology, enhancing
623 propensity to failure at favourable angles of dip. Thus in the extensive 'Zone houillère' of the
624 Western Alps (Fig. 2), with its heterolithic successions of Mesozoic detrital rocks sometimes
625 displaying semischist texture (Gidon, 1977), such internal contrasts will be more relevant to RSF than
626 geological map units suggest at face value. At still finer spatial scales, the internal fabric of bedding
627 planes, cleavage partings, and joint sets of metamorphic rocks are critical in reducing rock mass
628 strength and shaping failure envelopes with sliding and release surfaces. Different modes of RSF will
629 be promoted depending on the angle at which they intersect the topography.

630 In summary, assigning RSFs to mapped lithologies is only a first-order sorting procedure, with
631 geology becoming more influential at finer scales in controlling mode of failure, local preferential
632 orientations, and degree of disintegration. The full significance of lithology can only be determined at
633 field survey level.

634 635 *4.2.2 Geological structure, including faults and thrusts*

636 RSF incidence is routinely assigned to mappable surface lithology, yet the real locus of failure may lie
637 deeper in the stratigraphy or structure, and goes unrecorded in map view. This three-dimensional
638 mapping problem is evident in the Alps. The influential lithology—weaker, deformable, or
639 impervious—often underlies the surface rock type. This also occurs where soluble substrates
640 engender karstic processes promoting subsidence and failure, as with thick evaporites beneath
641 magmatic rocks at Friolin, in Savoie (Rovera, 1993).

642 The three-dimensional conformations summarised above are exacerbated where folding, faulting
643 and thrusting juxtapose contrasting lithologies, but no useful conclusions could be drawn from the
644 pattern of RSFs in relation to mapped faults, with only 10% of the RSF population (17% by surface
645 area) contacting them (Fig. 8). The intensely faulted Prealps, for example, almost entirely lack RSF. In
646 contrast to faults, however, the major alpine thrust fronts have 17% of the RSF population (36% by

647 area) touching or intersecting them (Fig. 8). Since thrusts are not noted seismic loci, but are exploited
648 by valleys, higher RSF incidence responds to thrusts bringing susceptible lithologies into gravitational
649 juxtaposition. This occurs in two modes:

650 (i) direct structural superimposition: for example, around the Annes klippe (capping the Bornes–
651 Aravis massif; see Figs. 1, 2, 8); or at Valloire, where the PFT brings overriding detrital sediments
652 onto weaker flysch.

653 (ii) karstic processes: averred subsurface rock solution in thick evaporite layers contributes to
654 chronic instability in Beaufortain (Rovera, 1993), Aosta (Martinotti et al., 2011), and probably
655 other areas requiring field-based documentation.

656 On a regional structural scale, RSF is markedly more abundant in the internal Penninic Domain, east
657 of the PFT, than in the external Helvetic Domain (Table 2). Thus RSF is three times as widespread east
658 of the PFT, with RSD over four times more widespread. However, the study area west of the PFT
659 includes extensive tracts of lower elevation, plateaus, broad troughs, and uncondusive lithology,
660 notably in the Prealps.

661

662 *4.2.3 Seismicity*

663 ‘Postglacial rebound scarps’ at Grands Moulins (Belledonne) and Peisey-Nancroix (Vanoise)
664 (Hippolyte et al., 2006, 2009, 2012), and lineament swarms in the Swiss Alps, have been previously
665 interpreted as seismic features (Sébrier et al., 1997; Persaud and Pfiffner 2004). Ustaszewski et al.
666 (2008), however, preferred to view such ‘composite faults’ as partly gravitational rebound breakout
667 fractures, thus caused by an RSF-related process not requiring seismicity. Debate about the local
668 influence of seismicity on slope instability in the Western Alps is thus unsettled, but the inventory
669 here, presented in Figure 9 as earthquake magnitude to focal-depth ratio rather than simple
670 earthquake intensity (M_L), shows an almost total lack of correlation between the spatial incidences of
671 RSF and current seismicity on a regional scale. Thus the ‘seismic arcs’ defined by Thouvenot et al.
672 (2003) and Sue et al. (2007) bear no clear relation to the high-density RSF areas. In the Piemontais

673 arc, the Sampeyre earthquake swarm area (Godano et al., 2013) displays very few RSF occurrences.
674 Associations with seismicity in the Briançonnais arc and along the strike-slip Belledonne boundary
675 fault are barely more compelling, although creep acceleration in the well-monitored Séchilienne
676 active RSF, close to the Belledonne Fault, was reported during the 1999 M_L 3.5 Laffrey earthquake
677 (Pothérat and Effendiantz, 2009). RSF incidence also appears to associate with some earthquake
678 swarms, as in Belleville valley (Vanoise), the Aiguilles Rouges and Haut-Giffre massifs, and upper
679 Ubaye valley (Guyoton et al., 1990; Jenatton et al., 2007), but this could be a coincidence with fault-
680 exploiting topography. Likewise, the 2005 and 2017 low-magnitude tremors at Vallorcine and La
681 Chapelle recorded shallow hypocentres and occurred within an area of current landslide activity; but
682 this could also be coincidence rather than cause. Given, finally, that most historic and contemporary
683 RSFs in the Western Alps, whether large or small, have no evident seismic trigger (Eisbacher and
684 Clague, 1984; Frayssines and Hantz, 2006; Tatard et al., 2010), it seems overall that modern
685 seismicity plays a minor role, if any, in the distribution of RSF in the Western Alps.

686

687 *4.2.4 Regional tectonics and uplift*

688 RSF distribution bears some spatial association with the three main modes of tectonic strain
689 prevalent since the late Neogene and documented in Section 2.2.3 (Fig. 10): (i) extensional stress
690 occurs across 36% of the study area and hosts 69% of RSF by surface area, whereas (ii) strike-slip to
691 transtensional fault motion affects 56% of the study area but hosts only 30% of the RSF population.
692 The former operates within a broad core astride the main drainage divide, whereas the latter applies
693 to the Prealps and other peripheral massifs. Lastly, (iii) compressive stress only affects 8% of the
694 study area, again in lower-relief peripheral massifs, and a mere 1.2% of the RSF population.

695 The dome of instrumentally-measured current surface uplift rates (Fig. 11) centres on Beaufortain–
696 Vanoise, which is undoubtedly an RSF concentration (on susceptible schists), but since similar
697 concentrations occur outside the dome, where uplift rates dwindle to negligible values, there is no
698 exclusive or unique causal connection between modern uplift differentials and RSF density.

699

700 **4.3 Climatic controls on RSF incidence**

701

702 Assigning palaeoclimatic causes is inherently difficult when most RSFs are undated, and because
703 many sites fail progressively over thousands of years. They can be continuing, slow deformations;
704 dormant (metastable) masses; or displacements accelerating to final collapse. Undated extant sites
705 may thus be circumstantially assigned as Lateglacial (Ballantyne, 2013), post-Last Glacial Maximum
706 (LGM) (Augustinus, 1995), post-Little Ice Age (Holm et al., 2004; Oppikofer et al., 2008), or
707 contemporary (Blikra et al., 2006). Yet even where dates are resolved, causation may remain blurred.
708 In the Mont Blanc massif, ~50 supraglacial rockfall scars have ^{10}Be exposure ages ranging from 7 ka to
709 the LIA (Gallach, 2019), suggesting so far little more than a random succession of events.

710 Given the almost total absence of correlation found between modern rainfall patterns and RSF
711 distribution in the Western Alps (Suppl. Figure 1A even suggests an inverse relationship: drier massifs
712 host the greatest areal extent of RSF), we dwell here instead on the incidence of other climatic
713 variables: past glaciation, and permafrost.

714

715 *4.3.1 Glaciation and deglaciation*

716 In this heavily glaciated study area, 77% of RSF-related landforms are located within the boundaries
717 of the most extensive glaciation (MEG) mapped by Coutterand (2010), thus primarily in or on the
718 rims of glaciated valleys (Fig. 12). The largest RSDs are in valleys where ice thicknesses exceeded 1
719 km, notably the Maurienne, Tarentaise, Susa, and Aosta valleys. **Physical debuttreassing (removal of
720 ice support) undoubtedly plays its part in the timing of RSF, but is probably too simplistic to be
721 defined as a unique cause (McColl, 2015; Grämiger et al., 2017). At Séchilienne, for example,
722 debuttreassing by Romanche glacier downwasting was not an immediate cause given the ~6 ka
723 recorded onset of slope deformation, long after valley deglaciation ca. 13 ka (Schwartz et al., 2017).
724 The paraglacial connection with RSF occurrence is thus nominally very widespread, but chronological
725 work on the inventory would be required to take matters further.**

726 A more unusual feature of the regional data, however, is the substantial minority of RSFs (23%, n =
727 321) that occur in differing modes either above (supraglacial: n = 270) or beyond (extraglacial: n = 51)
728 the boundaries of the Late Pleistocene MEG (Fig. 12). The majority of supraglacial RSFs (59%, n = 188)
729 are of the RF/RA variety on possible nunatak peaks and crests above the mapped ice limits (Fig. 12B),
730 and are thus responding to periglacial stresses. More unexpectedly, 68 supraglacial RSDs occur as
731 split ridges, ridge rents, and ridgetop grabens. Although these RSDs only have visible expression
732 above the MEG trimlines, some may have propagated upwards from destabilised lower slopes, with
733 inferrable basal failure zones extending down into them (Fig. 13; see also El Bedoui et al., 2011). Such
734 cases are thus still paraglacial. Where, however, RSDs are confined to higher levels, they can
735 associate with broader ridges where slope stress disequilibria are more pronounced (Gerber and
736 Scheidegger, 1973). RSD typically occurs on one flank, but occasionally both as at Mont Rognier
737 (Belledonne massif; Hippolyte et al., 2012) and in the Aiguilles Rouges massif. The widely illustrated
738 'pagoda-shaped ridges' of Beck (1968) can arise thus, with true antiscarp outbreaks on both rims, but
739 are more often false antiscarps where the headscarp daylight behind the crest, as at Croix du
740 Berger, Beaufortain (Fig. 14). Ridge spreading is probably as much a response to long-term uplift and
741 valley incision as to cumulative glacial overstepping, and it remains to be seen whether RSF dating
742 could truly bring clarity to the issue of distinguishing these two causes.

743 The 3.6% of extraglacial RSFs occur in two contrasting contexts. In the unglaciated valleys and
744 foothills of Italy (Chambeyron, Cottian Alps, Mt. Viso; Fig. 1B for location), RS and RSD occur in
745 susceptible detrital and metamorphic rocks, notably the Schistes lustrés (Figs. 2, 6, 7B; Piana et al.,
746 2017) and other schists and metavolcanic rocks (e.g., Mt. Viso). This is often in, or above, V-shaped
747 valleys or ravines. Where removed from direct fluvial undercutting, these may be considered a
748 'parafluvial' (rather than 'paraglacial') mode of RSF, as identified in the eastern Pyrenees (Jarman et
749 al., 2014). In the southwestern Prealpine massifs (Vercors, Dévoluy; Fig. 1B for location), RSF occurs
750 primarily on escarpments owing little to glacial or fluvial steepening, and is simply contributing to the
751 longer-term slope recession process endemic to most mountain rockfaces.

752

753 *4.3.2 Permafrost thaw*

754 As suggested by Figures 5H and 5I, the greatest influence of periglacial activity may relate to slope
755 aspect. Southerly aspects broadly favour RSF (see also Pedrazzini et al., 2015; Jarman and Harrison,
756 2019) perhaps because of greater diurnal and seasonal thermal stressing (heat and frost jacking). The
757 19% of RSFs which are supraglacial clearly fall within the periglacial zone (above at least 2000 m
758 a.s.l.), where freeze-thaw processes have been most intense, but this does not, however, necessarily
759 mean they can be attributed specifically to permafrost degradation (see Fig. 13, for a counter-
760 example concerning a large RSD). Although this inventory demonstrates a preponderance of
761 relatively smaller RSFs in this zone—59% are rockfalls, and most of the 15 rock avalanches occur in
762 that elevation band—regional mapping of undated events cannot expect to discriminate the
763 influence of permafrost thaw-related stressors. Permafrost thaw is commonly an important cause of
764 minor slope instability (Harris et al., 2009; Matthews et al., 2018; Wood et al., 2020); i.e., it mainly
765 releases abundant minor landslides and rockfalls, usually below the RSF threshold size relevant to
766 this study (Ravanel and Deline, 2011; Ravanel et al., 2017). This is possibly confirmed by the slight
767 frequency peak of RF occurrence ca. 2700 m (Fig. 5E), which is the current lower limit of permafrost
768 in the Western Alps. Its role in mobilising deeper deformations, however, is more speculative.

769

770 **4.4 Mapping overstressed slope areas in the Western Alps**

771 Here we take a spatial density approach to explore why RSF should occur intensely in some parts of
772 the Western Alps and sparsely in others, after allowing for certain lithologies being more resistant to
773 failure. Identifying such variations in spatial incidence may illuminate the significance of RSF as an
774 indicator of unusually active landscape evolution processes. For example, Jarman and Harrison
775 (2019) identified eight pronounced clusters of RSF in the Scottish Highlands. They were associated
776 with loci where concentrated erosion of bedrock (CEB) could be inferred to have destabilised
777 adjacent slopes by more than routine debuitressing-related or glacio-isostatic stress release. In that

778 regional study, CEB chiefly impacted glacial breaches of main icesheet flowline divides. Glacial
779 breaching being rare in the Western Alps, the search here is for comparable drivers and stressors.
780 An immediate problem in defining such clusters is that mapping numbers of sites per unit area will
781 play down the contribution of areally-extensive rockslides and rock slope deformation zones, while
782 mapping the area affected by RSF per square kilometre unduly favours them. Cumulatively,
783 numerous small but frequent RSFs may contribute more failed material to the slope and fluvial
784 systems for export, and a larger number of local vulnerabilities for exploitation, thus greatly
785 enhancing future erosion. In tectonically inactive mountains, however, where RF- or RA-triggering by
786 earthquakes is infrequent, this may not be a core consideration. Conversely, large slope
787 deformations may have very long residence times. In terms of delivery to the sediment cascade, or of
788 pinpointing more immediate hazards to human activity, either of these approaches has its relative
789 merits. Both bases were therefore analysed for comparison, and are presented here.

790

791 *4.4.1 RSF point-array clusters*

792 Treating RSF incidence first as a point array, Fig. 15A clearly demonstrates pronounced density–
793 sparsity contrasts across the study area. The top band of point-density (or kernel density) contour
794 values identifies seven clusters (dark blue) encompassing 33.5% of the RSF population and covering
795 9.5% of the study area. When all RSF modes are considered together (Fig. 15A), clusters of highest
796 RSF diversity (i.e., co-occurrence of RA, RF, RS and/or RSD within a small area) occur as two
797 elongated bands along the strike of the Penninic Frontal Thrust in the Beaufortain and Ceres
798 massifs, with likewise high-density polygons in the Grandes Rousses massif, the Parpaillon, and close
799 to the Alpine drainage divide in the eastern Escreins massif and the southwestern Cottian Alps /
800 eastern Queyras (Fig. 1B for location).

801 Some marked differences between RSF types become apparent in Figures 15C–E: the very small rock
802 avalanche (RA) population is rather randomly scattered, whereas the rockfall (RF) population shows a
803 few local concentrations (Fig. 15C). These trends overall suggest no strong predisposition among rock

804 types to RF and RA, both modes appearing to occur relatively evenly in all of the tectonic zones
805 defined by Fig. 2. Rockslide densities (RS) show strong peaks in most clusters, are fairly evenly
806 distributed between the Helvetic and Penninic domains, but are notably rare in the Prealps and more
807 generally in massifs dominated by brittle lithologies (e.g., Mont Blanc, Grand Paradis, Ecrins,
808 Devoluy). RSDs are prominent in all the clusters, if (naturally, given their size) less intensely. They are
809 overwhelmingly more abundant in the Penninic Domain.

810

811 *4.4.2 Area-based RSF hotspots*

812 Turning to area impacted by RSF rather than point density, the top band valuably focuses the clusters
813 into six 'RSF hotspots' (Fig. 15B, and numbered 1 to 6 in red in Figure 15F). The term hotspot is
814 borrowed here from ecology to characterise areas of high species richness (as with the clusters
815 defined in Section 4.4.1), but here also emphasising abundance in terms of quantity of rock mass
816 displacement (a substitute for biomass in the hotspot analogy). These hotspots cover 2080 km², i.e.,
817 7.5% of the study area and 16% of the overthrust Penninic Domain. RSF by area covers on average
818 half (48%) of the hotspot territory (i.e., in any given hotspot area, only half the rock masses are not
819 displaced), and collectively the six hotspots embrace 41% of the study area's RSF inventory by
820 surface area. Almost half the impact is thus concentrated within only 7.5% of the total study area. It
821 can be asserted on that basis that 7.5% of the study area is highly disproportionately overstressed by
822 gravitational rock mass displacement, for which predisposing factors, drivers and stressors may be
823 explored.

824 While at first glance the clusters (Fig. 15A) and hotspots (Fig. 15B) may appear to be two ways of
825 mapping the same reality, there are in fact significant differences between their patterns, as shown
826 when they are displayed together as in Figures 15F and 15G: whether first- or second-band, the
827 clusters of the Helvetic Domain, for example, fade completely, as do collections of other smaller
828 sites. Because of the weighting of RSF areally in favour of RSD, the strong Beaufortain 'Cluster 7'
829 recentres over Tarentaise (i.e. middle Isère River valley) and becomes 'Hotspot 1', while 'Cluster 9'

830 (Vanoise) is pulled southward to straddle the Maurienne (i.e. middle to lower Arc River valley). The
831 extensive eastern 'Cluster 11' splits into 'Hotspot 5' (eastern Escreins and eastern Queyras massifs)
832 and 'Hotspot 3' (which, like 'Cluster 7', is pulled northward and straddles the valley of the east-
833 flowing Dora Riparia between Mont Cenis and the Cottian Alps).

834 Overall, the most striking features of RSF hotspot distribution in Figures 15B, G, and F are as follows:

835 (i) they all occur east of the Penninic Frontal Thrust, i.e., within the Penninic Domain (Table 2);

836 (ii) they are mostly aligned on, or close to the leading edge of, the PFT; or, in the case of 'Hotspot 3',
837 of the Briançonnais Backthrust;

838 (iii) they cluster in the region corresponding to the core of the Pleistocene ice accumulation zone,
839 i.e., to areas within the Penninic Domain which resided longer above the ELA than at many places
840 in the Helvetic Domain, and thus where glacier erosive power exerted the largest stresses on
841 preglacial slopes. The regional ELA during the Late Pleistocene most extensive glaciation (MEG)
842 was located by Coutterand (2010) around 1300 ± 50 m (Fig. 12A)—as opposed to 3000–3100 m at
843 present in the Grandes Rousses and Vanoise massifs (slightly lower in the Mont Blanc: 2900 m;
844 Six and Vincent, 2014). ELAs between MEG periods would rise rapidly, migrating east and most
845 often remaining confined to the Penninic Domain (Séguinot et al., 2018); accordingly, large areas
846 of the icefield ablation zone coincided mostly with the Helvetic Domain.

847 The two most striking differences between clusters and hotspots in Fig. 15F are as follows:

848 (i) clusters are mostly massif-centered, whereas hotspots straddle some major glacial valleys, where
849 typically RSD is prevalent.

850 (ii) while clusters tend to straddle the PFT and, in the Chambeyron massif, the Briançonnais
851 Backthrust (Fig. 15A), hotspots are displaced away from these major thrust fronts and,
852 conspicuously, away from the Helvetic Domain—which, as a result, entirely lacks RSF hotspots.

853 The significance of these various associations is further developed in the Discussion in light of Figures
854 15F and 15G and other results presented in this Section 4.

855

856 **5 Discussion**

857 **5.1 Comparisons between this RSF inventory and previous datasets**

858 The inventory presented here can be compared with five existing published datasets covering all or
859 part of the study area, while recognising that their goals and methods were different, and that data
860 sources have improved considerably.

861 The 1:50,000 scale geological maps of France, for example, comprehensively map 'landslides', but
862 unfortunately only as a standard symbol, with no indication of landslide type. About 40% of
863 landslides on maps are not bedrock RSFs; they are often small and seated in regolith. Conversely, this
864 study has identified ~250 previously unmapped RSFs in the French sector, some of them very large.
865 This may partly reflect a convention that RSDs are generally not mapped as 'landslides' unless there
866 is tangible displacement of a failed rock mass. For example, a major RSF complex identified in this
867 study is on the SW face of the Grand Coin (Maurienne valley, Vanoise massif; Fig. 4 for location), with
868 impressive deformation progressing to rockslide and rockfall. Within the area covered by that
869 1:50,000 geological sheet (Saint-Jean-de-Maurienne, see Fig. 4), the inventory identifies 55 RSFs, of
870 which 17 cannot be correlated with BRGM landslide symbols (thus a 30% detection increase is gained
871 for that area).

872 Turning to imagery-based studies, the range-wide RSD inventory of Crosta et al. (2013) utilised early
873 versions of Google Earth, sometimes of poorer quality and before historical imagery provided
874 multiple passes. In the French sector of the Western Alps, Crosta et al. (2013) recorded 73 DSGSDs,
875 now augmented by 247 further RSDs from this study (current inventory total: $n = 306$). These
876 additions include well-documented active sites such as Séchilienne, and other conspicuous examples
877 shown in Fig. 3 (RSD1–RSD4). However, in the Italian sector Crosta et al. (2013) recorded 88 sites,
878 albeit supplemented by sources additional to Google Earth, of which 15 could not be included in the
879 present inventory as they were undetectable from the imagery, even with prior knowledge of their
880 existence. Since the density of RSD in the present inventory (6.3%) is only slightly greater than their
881 Alps-wide figure (5.6%), it suggests Crosta et al. underreported the French side of the study area.

882 The studies by Tonini et al. (2014) and Pedrazzini et al. (2015) of the Upper Rhône valley cover all RSF
883 modes and display limited overlap with the present study area (Fig. 1A), thereby providing narrow
884 scope for comparison. Discrepancies in the overlapping area (south of the Rhône River) are
885 negligible. The present inventory identifies at most four new RSFs (Fig. 16), evidenced by chaotic
886 debris deposits and vegetation contrasts on the imagery, and by highly irregular contours on
887 1:25,000 scale topographic maps. The newly detected RSD, indicated by ridge-splitting on the
888 imagery, is adjacent to a known rockslide (Plancherel and Broquet, 1998). Conversely, remote
889 (LiDAR) and field mapping of the Aosta valley by Martinotti et al. (2011) captures two large RSDs at
890 Hône and Villeneuve, not detectable on the imagery by the present study because they occur below
891 the treeline.

892 A valuable earlier overview by Eisbacher and Clague (1984) of catastrophic RSF in recorded history
893 identifies 15 locations in the Western Alps of France. Since it focuses on events affecting settlements
894 and infrastructure, four were not detectable on the imagery and thus not included in the inventory:
895 the rock avalanches of Tête Noire, Salvan and Pointe d'Autigny (Haut-Giffre; Chablais), and the Lullin
896 rockslide (Chablais) (Fig. 1C for location). Two other cases were retro-included in the inventory
897 because they have geomorphological impacts still visible on the imagery, with recognition criteria
898 widened by experience. The first is Mt. Granier (1248 CE). Despite being the largest rock avalanche
899 by volume in the region (500 M m³), the fertile limestone debris was soon reclaimed and now
900 supports the famed vineyards of Apremont and Les Aymes, no longer resembling RA runout and
901 only leaving unusually convoluted elevation contours on maps as a potential clue. The second is the
902 Bourg d'Oisans palaeolake outburst of 1219 CE (Bailly-Maître et al., 1997), which inundated Grenoble
903 after destroying a rock avalanche dam impounding the Infernet valley (Taillefer massif). Only traces
904 of this event now remain. Both Granier and Infernet have left prominent scars but no obvious
905 cavities, and are not easily readable as RSFs in the absence of a conspicuously related debris mass.
906 Finally, nine RSFs monitored by CEREMA (Fig. 1C) were originally missed for being too small or within

907 forest, but prove detectable on the imagery by zooming in at sufficient magnification to see the
908 fissure-like lineaments.

909 In summary, the 30 RSFs added here to the inventory as detectable by refined study criteria (but not
910 included in the maps of this publication) are (i) the 9 CEREMA-monitored sites; (ii) the 4 undetected
911 RSFs described by Eisbacher and Clague (1984); (iii) the Hône and Villeneuve sites in Val d'Aosta; and
912 (iv) the 15 additional RSDs reported by Crosta et al. (2013) in Italy. They raise the total RSF inventory
913 for the Western Alps from 1416 to 1446. They comprise 85 km², increasing the total RSF area from
914 2441 km² to 2526 km² and the RSF footprint from 8.8% to 9.1% (Table 1).

915

916 **5.2 Ranking controls on rock slope susceptibility to failure**

917 While focusing on a much smaller area, but including (as in this study) all modes of slope instability,
918 Maltrait (1975) recognised the intractable aspects and various pitfalls of multivariate analysis,
919 including the difficulty of defining truly independent variables that would avoid autocorrelation and
920 circular reasoning. He opted instead for a more descriptive approach based on chosen case studies.
921 Crosta et al. (2013) later applied discriminant, principal component, and cluster analysis to define
922 predisposing and controlling factors of DSGSD incidence across the European Alps. They identified
923 foliated metamorphic rocks (especially metapelites), Late Pleistocene ice thickness, local relief, slope
924 size, drainage density, and (estimated) river stream power as the local parameters most positively
925 correlated to DSGSD occurrence. Although such analysis might be appropriate for single RSF modes,
926 the four disparate modes (RF, RA, RS and RSD) and scales of this study cannot safely be integrated to
927 explain the highly skewed spatial distribution of RSF revealed in Figure 15. Even with the single-mode
928 RSF study of Crosta et al. (2013), the authors conceded that the predisposing factor of lithology,
929 chiefly foliated metamorphic rocks, was by far the most dominant (and, therefore, once allowed for,
930 explains little else). Crosta et al. (2013) were also careful to acknowledge that the secondary
931 controlling parameters are strongly interrelated and cannot easily be disentangled. Although
932 commonly used for shallow landslides in small geographical areas where the key concern is to link

933 predisposing factors to triggers rather than to drivers and stressors, transposing the methods of
934 landslide susceptibility mapping to RSF is neither straightforward nor entirely relevant given that (i)
935 RSF triggers are most often unknown, and (ii) RSF populations are smaller than in the case of small,
936 shallow landslides—thus making RSF also less amenable to robust statistical inference.

937 Considering that RSF susceptibility mapping and RSF factor ranking mostly end in stalemate, greater
938 insight can instead be gained from the data by mapping finite areas of slope oversteering (Section
939 4.4), i.e., areas where massive strain relaxation by gravitational processes is interpreted as a
940 symptom of spatially focused bedrock fatigue and failure. Such zones of extreme mass wasting are
941 areas where positive feedback caused by various stressors further weakens the deforming region, in
942 turn perpetuating the deformation and susceptibility to slope failure. Mapping such areas on a
943 regional scale is a step towards explaining why they occur where they do, and to this end we focus
944 on the areas of overlap between the previously presented clusters (Section 4.4.1) and hotspots
945 (Section 4.4.2), naming them in this context super-hotspots.

946 947 **5.3 RSF super-hotspots**

948 Spatial density characteristics answering simultaneously to the criteria of hotspots (Fig. 15B) and
949 band-1 clusters (Fig. 15A), are named here super-hotspots. They are shown as cross-hatched areas of
950 overlap in Figures 15F and 15G, and labelled *I* to *VII* (Roman numerals) in Figure 15F. More than just
951 statistical constructs, the super-hotspots define unique spatial arenas that are sufficiently small, and
952 thus suitably tractable, for attempting to interpret RSF occurrence and capture causal patterns on a
953 level that transcends the single-RSF monograph. Super-hotspots are areas where displaced masses of
954 rock, predominantly in the form of RSDs, are the most pervasive, and where contribution of failed
955 material to the sediment cascade and to local rivers is potentially highest within a region. Based on
956 Figures 15F and 15G, the geographic location and other attributes of the seven super-hotspots of the
957 study area are summarised in Table 3.

959 The locations of these seven, exceedingly overstressed slope areas in the Western Alps can be
960 interpreted from the perspective of first-order geological predisposition as well as drivers and
961 stressors. Thus super-hotspot *I*, for example, encompasses the closely-spaced Lower Penninic and
962 Middle Penninic thrusts and their thrust fronts (visible in Fig. 8), i.e. the Valais, Sub-Briançonnais, and
963 Briançonnais zones (Figs. 2, 15G), juxtaposing structurally stacked, rapid successions of highly
964 susceptible, ductile schist- or semischist-fabric lithologies derived from sedimentary detrital
965 protolith: typically flysch, greywacke, fine sandstone, bedded conglomerate, marl, claystone, and
966 evaporites (Fig. 6). As shown in Fig. 12A, the middle Isère valley (alias Tarentaise) is also a glacial
967 accumulation zone where LGM valley ice was thickest (up to 2 km). Along with super-hotspot *II*
968 (middle Arc valley, alias Maurienne; Fig. 15F), the pattern suggests that the most intensely
969 overstressed areas of the Western Alps have occurred at sites where highly dynamic, thick, warm-
970 based glaciers above the equilibrium line altitude in E–W transverse valleys, such as the Arc and
971 Isère, either intersected (Maurienne) or followed the strike of (Tarentaise) N–S bands of susceptible
972 lithologies and structures (Figs. 5, 15G). The wide and low-gradient valleys of the Helvetic Domain to
973 the west could accommodate large masses of ice, but these lay for relatively much longer periods
974 below the regional ELA, and west of the susceptible lithologies typical of the Penninic Domain.

975 The remaining six super-hotspots *III* to *VII* can be analysed on a similar basis from an inspection of
976 Table 3. The outstanding features of super-hotspots arising from Table 3 are listed as follows:

977 (i) They all occur in the Penninic Domain or along its tectonic boundary, the PFT, where the crust
978 passed its peak of intense denudation somewhat earlier than the Helvetic Domain (Schildgen et
979 al., 2018), and thus finds itself in a state of relative post-orogenic relaxation dominated by an
980 extensional stress regime (Fig. 10). These regional, long-term state changes qualify here as
981 drivers of RSF promotion.

982 (ii) They mostly occur between the Penninic Frontal Thrust and the Briançonnais Backthrust, i.e.,
983 where the pre-Alpine continental margins were most intensely squeezed by syntectonic

984 convergence and where the crust is thickest; super-hotspots *V* and *VI*, which lie east of the
985 Briançonnais Backthrust, are relative exceptions.

986 (iii) Lithology is a constant denominator of RSF susceptibility, from two perspectives: rock type, with
987 flysch and Schistes lustrés as the two main players by areal extent; and fine-scale outcrop
988 heterogeneity, where three-dimensional outcrop geometry and juxtaposition of susceptible
989 lithologies multiply opportunities for bedrock decohesion, slope undercutting, and mass failure
990 (type areas: Beaufortain, parts of the Vanoise, Arves, and Cerces; Fig. 1B for location). The Lower
991 and Middle Penninic zones and Ultra-Dauphinois Zone (Fig. 2) focus these characteristics.

992 (iv) Recent seismicity plays no palpable part in RSF super-hotspot location. The Parpaillon massif, for
993 example, is situated outside the adjacent Briançonnais seismic arc as well as the Ubaye seismic
994 swarm. Finer analysis of the structural aspects would require different tools and scales.

995 (v) Massif altitude criteria highlight preferential RSF occurrence at intermediate rather than at
996 higher or lower elevations. This ‘goldilocks’ feature is perhaps characteristic of the unit rock-mass
997 strength intrinsic to the ductile rocks in which the RSFs are most abundant, widespread, and
998 diverse. This critical attribute is evident, for example, in the Guisane River valley (super-hotspot
999 *V*), where crystalline rocks such as granite are situated outside polygon *V* (i.e., a little further
1000 west in the Ecrins Massif) and uphold summits and ridges that are easily 1000 m higher than
1001 within polygon *V*.

1002 (vi) Relative relief within the super-hotspot area of interest augments gravitational potential for RSD.
1003 It also generates overall longer slopes, thus with greater opportunities for rock failure following
1004 other RSF modes. Large magnitudes of relative relief are clearly not a control on RSF, with thus
1005 another ‘goldilocks’ effect in the internal massifs of the Upper Penninic Domain (super-hotspots
1006 *IV* to *VII*). RSF, therefore, thrives in areas of intermediate relief. This probably highlights an upper
1007 limit to the capacity for relatively uniform masses of ductile rock to sustain deep valleys
1008 alongside elevated summits without succumbing to relief reduction by mass wasting—a ‘rock-
1009 mass-strength buzz-saw’ effect acting as a critical limit on relief (where changes in rock uplift rate

1010 are expected to influence erosion rates through adjustments in the frequency and magnitude of
1011 slope failure). Areas of maximum local relief in the study area (up to 2500 m in the presence of
1012 RSF, see Fig. 5G), attain 3060 m in the crystalline massifs (Mont Blanc, Ecrins, southern
1013 Belledonne). These External Crystalline Massifs are areas of RSF scarcity, although rockfall below
1014 the detection threshold of this study may be active in such areas. The exceptions in Table 3 are
1015 the middle Maurienne ('twin' super-hotspots II and III) and middle Tarentaise (super-hotspot I),
1016 where overdeepening of these transverse valleys by stream power and Quaternary glaciers was
1017 clearly substantial.

1018 (vii) Causes of slope oversteering appear unsystematic from one area to the next. Paraglacial
1019 processes would appear most plausible in the middle Tarentaise (I), where ice was thickest; and
1020 RSF prevalence has perhaps been exacerbated by more active surface uplift in the Beaufortain
1021 and Vanoise massifs (in Figure 11, maximum rates of surface uplift encompass hotspots 1 and 2,
1022 and thus also super-hotspots I and II). This spatial coincidence is notionally consistent with more
1023 intense glacial erosion and greater isostatic rebound in these massifs, even though it does not
1024 readily explain other hotspots and clusters. Oversteering by thick and dynamic ice in association
1025 with high relief sets the middle Tarentaise super-hotspot in a similar league to the upper Rhône
1026 (Pedrazzini et al., 2015) and Val d'Aosta (Martinotti et al., 2011), neither fully included in the
1027 present study but equally good candidates to super-hotspot status.

1028 Two smaller, secondary super-hotspots, which coincide with the intersection between a hotspot and
1029 a second-band cluster, can also be defined: such is the case for 'Hotspot 1' and 'Cluster 17', and
1030 'Hotspot 3' and 'Cluster 19', respectively (Figs. 15F, G). These small areas are not listed in Table 3 but
1031 correspond to: a portion of the north Vanoise, and to the boundary between NE Cerces and western
1032 Mont Cenis (Plaiocene icefield flowline divide), respectively.

1033 Detailed analysis of second-band clusters (Figs. 15A, F, G) and first-band clusters that do not intersect
1034 hotspots (e.g. Cluster 8, and parts of Cluster 10 and Cluster 11) would yield meaningful
1035 interpretations only from field studies and inclusion of considerations such as three-dimensional

1036 geology and local structure. Drilling down to such levels of detail is beyond the scope of this
1037 macroscopic regional study, but Figure 12A shows that both these areas share the characteristic of
1038 having hosted the thickest Late Pleistocene ice. Given also their positions close to the drainage divide
1039 and to internal to massifs still hosting glaciers, they resided for long periods above the ELA.
1040 Accordingly, further research into the two small super-hotspots situated on the Alpine drainage
1041 divide could also provide insights into a possible contribution to overstepping by glacial breaching of
1042 the icefield divide, such as reported in the case of the Scottish icesheet by Jarman and Harrison
1043 (2019).

1044

1045 **5.4 The top 100 largest rockslides and slope deformation areas**

1046 The RSF inventory displays a conventional lognormal size distribution (Fig. 5B), with the usual tail-off
1047 at threshold sizes for each type. While the RSDs can exceed 40 km², they are not exceptionally large
1048 by global standards, or even extreme within the Alps. The largest RSD and rockslide units populate
1049 the upper whiskers of the box plots in Fig. 5A (by coincidence: n = 100). They are geologically and
1050 geographically located in Figures 6 and 15F, respectively. Their outstanding features are as follows:

1051 (i) they are not confined to the Penninic Domain, as about 30% of them occur in the Helvetic
1052 Domain;

1053 (ii) they only partly concentrate in hotspots (65% of RSDs occur in hotspots) and, in that context
1054 or otherwise, often associate with large glacial troughs formed by transverse valleys (middle
1055 Tarentaise, Val d'Aosta, upper Dora Riparia);

1056 (iii) they are otherwise mostly quite scattered and, as such, invite a case by case investigation of
1057 three-dimensional geological constraints and structure best appreciated in the field.

1058 (iv) The Helvetic Domain is largely devoid of large RSDs but hosts almost half of the top 100
1059 rockslides. The low RSD numbers are notionally consistent with the fact that the larger
1060 valleys of the Helvetic Domain during the Quaternary most often extended below the
1061 regional ELA (External Crystalline Massifs excepted), thereby restricting their exposure to

1062 intense glacial erosion. Control factors for the large rockslides are difficult to generalise and
1063 would call for case by case investigation of local predisposing and preparatory factors. The
1064 much higher annual precipitation in the Helvetic Domain compared to the Penninic Domain
1065 (see Suppl. Fig. 1B) could be a contributing cause, but the connection seems tenuous.

1066 (v) out of the 47 largest rockslides, 13 are previously unreported, and only four out of the 53
1067 RSDs are likewise new to this inventory. The 81 remaining biggest sites were thus mostly
1068 already known, but chiefly in the sense of having been marked by a generic landslide symbol
1069 on geological maps rather than of having been classified, studied, dated, and monitored.

1070 The size threshold adopted here is clearly an arbitrary statistical derivation of Fig. 5A. Séchilienne, for
1071 example, lies just below the threshold (although field studies have shown that Séchilienne is actually
1072 larger than the conservative figure provided by this study using Google Earth), and is one of the most
1073 threatening RSDs of the Western Alps. The inventory results nonetheless show that RSF size and
1074 recognised RSF hazard intensity are almost entirely uncorrelated: this is apparent in the fact that
1075 none of the 38 dated and/or monitored sites listed in Fig. 1C—apart from Aiguilles de Grives—belong
1076 to the top size category defined here. CEREMA, for example, is monitoring smaller hazardous
1077 occurrences, some of them (see Section 5.1) not detectable by the remote methods used in this
1078 study. Such a result also suggests that a proportion of the hitherto unreported RSFs revealed by this
1079 study may be hazardous regardless of their size—a topic requiring to be investigated in finer detail
1080 but beyond the scope of this work.

1081

1082 **5.5 The Western Alps in global context**

1083 The overall spatial incidence of RSF in the study area appears to be considerably greater than in the
1084 few other mountain ranges for which densities are available, in all cases derived from systematic RSF
1085 mapping, and summarised in Table 4. The already high density of 5.6% obtained for DSGSD alone in
1086 the European Alps by Crosta et al. (2013) is now further increased to 6.3% in the Western Alps (Table
1087 1), aided by closer scrutiny with better imagery. These contrasts, however, must be viewed with

1088 caution, since the study methods and criteria vary enormously. Thus the result for RSF in the
1089 Southern Alps of New Zealand derives predominantly from traditional field mapping, and almost half
1090 the surveyed area is till-covered or alluvial plains. The glaciation–landsliding cycle has nonetheless
1091 been well studied in New Zealand, where coseismic landsliding is endemic and large (but shallow)
1092 landslides dominate sediment production. The predominant lithologies are susceptible greywackes
1093 and schists, and the mountain range is noted for its intense seismic activity and tectonic
1094 deformation. The slope evolution cycle characteristic of New Zealand has been summarised by
1095 Davies (2017) as follows, and provides a meaningful counterpoint to the features and conditions
1096 highlighted in this study:

1097 (i) seismic shaking is amplified on mountain ridges and peaks (Büch et al., 2010), potentially
1098 initiating large landslides and implying that ridge elevations might be higher if seismicity
1099 were absent. In Europe’s Western Alps, such a kind of ‘seismic buzz-saw’ is inexistent, and
1100 limitation to relief appears instead to be set by the widespread occurrence of susceptible
1101 ductile bedrock being subjected to extensional stresses, of which RSF (particularly RSD) is a
1102 widespread symptom.

1103 (ii) In New Zealand, the generation of deep-seated failure surfaces, probably by resonant
1104 response of the upper mountain ridges to the 1–10 Hz frequencies that contain most seismic
1105 energy, is believed to result in characteristically more bowl-shaped and deeper landslide
1106 source areas than in the case of aseismic landslides. Within the western European Alps, and
1107 without detailed field work, this distinction is difficult to appreciate from the remote data
1108 acquired for the present study, but could be a topic for further investigation.

1109 (iii) As in any glaciated mountain range, during major glaciations the presence of ice caps and
1110 thick valley glaciers will tend to buttress the higher slopes because, at the high strain rates
1111 associated with seismic shaking, ice behaves as a strong rigid solid. The net effect is the
1112 reduction of coseismic landsliding during glacial periods, which in the New Zealand Alps

1113 allows mean elevations to increase by uplift while valleys are being deepened by glacial
1114 erosion, thereby increasing total relief substantially (e.g., the fjords).

1115 (iv) Deglaciation is associated with increased coseismic landsliding, perhaps generating a
1116 prevalence of large Holocene landslide 'events', all occurring within a narrow time band in
1117 the case of New Zealand. Such a pattern seems less likely in the Western Alps, with evidence
1118 of continuous, self-perpetuating displacement on large RSDs such as Séchilienne (Schwartz et
1119 al., 2017), and rockfall occurring on Mont Blanc throughout the Holocene to the Present
1120 (Gallach, 2019). Thus in spite of the fact that New Zealand's Southern Alps were extensively
1121 glaciated during the LGM, limited geomorphological evidence of this remains in the form of
1122 characteristic glacial landforms. The reason is that 20,000 years of uplift and landslide-driven
1123 denudation since the LGM (average rate of several mm/yr) have eroded at least 100 m of
1124 land surface and generated a modern landscape dominated by mass-movement
1125 morphologies. The legacy in the Western Alps is clearly more nuanced, hybrid and, as a
1126 result, difficult to interpret in categorical ways.

1127 Until comparable data become available for more ranges spanning orogenic ages and uplift rates, it
1128 will remain difficult to advocate more clear-cut or systematic reasons for the prevalence of RSF in the
1129 Western Alps. Existing candidates for comparison would include the Carpathians, which are
1130 comparably 'alpine' in origin and possess extensive susceptible lithologies. They are only half the
1131 height of the Alps, and often display cirque rather than full-scale valley glaciation. The eastern
1132 Pyrenees also have less relief and glacial imprint (dry, sunny climate), and additionally rather lack
1133 conducive lithologies (Jarman et al., 2014). The Scottish Highlands are an ancient orogen reduced to
1134 moderate relief, yet with significant glaciation and abundant susceptible geology can attain high local
1135 densities of RSF (Jarman and Harrison, 2019).

1136 In summary, RSD and RS appear to be more widespread in portions of mountain belts (i) that have
1137 attained a post-orogenic stage in which crustal extension has taken over as the dominant regime; (ii)
1138 where seismicity is limited; (iii) where intensities of crustal denudation slackened sooner (Penninic

1139 Domain) rather than later (Helvetic Domain) during the Neogene and Quaternary (Schildgen et al.,
1140 2018); and (iv) where current surface uplift magnitudes are low to moderate (Fig. 11). This profile fits
1141 the extensive Penninic Domain of the Western Alps, which hosts a small proportion (<7.5% of the
1142 total study area) of exceptionally overstressed areas controlled by factors summarised in Table 3. In
1143 mountain ranges that are still undergoing high-frequency and high-magnitude seismicity with rapid
1144 increases in relative relief, such as the Fiordland of New Zealand (Schildgen et al., 2018), valley-
1145 shaping processes are granted insufficient time to widen out (fluvially and glacially) and thus to
1146 generate slope stresses capable of provoking, for example, RSD. Catastrophic rock avalanches, which
1147 are rare in the Western Alps (n = 15, perhaps slightly more: see Section 5.1), would thus be
1148 signatures of tectonically more active glaciated orogens such as the Himalaya (Hewitt et al., 2008)
1149 and New Zealand's Southern Alps (Allen et al., 2011; Davies, 2017)—where coseismic rockfalls and
1150 shallow landsliding are likewise intense.

1151 In the more outstanding cases of hotspots 1, 2, and 3 (Figs. 15F, G), there may be scope for testing
1152 the hypothesis associating slope failure in these intensely overstressed areas with concentrated
1153 erosion of bedrock (CEB) as propounded by Jarman and Harrison (2019) in the Scottish context. The
1154 concept is based on antecedents addressed from the perspective of rock mechanics (Matheson and
1155 Thomson, 1973) and goes beyond glacio-isostatic recovery (which, in any case, in the Western Alps is
1156 pervasive and endemic: Sternai et al., 2019) to geographically-focused bedrock rebound of valley
1157 floors, which can be locally intense and has repercussions on the mechanical response of valley
1158 slopes and rims (Matheson and Thomson, 1973). To achieve differential rebound stresses large
1159 enough to provoke and perpetuate RSF super-hotspots, CEB would have to be intense in both space
1160 and time, and preferably within the last glacial cycle(s). This would require fast rates of Quaternary
1161 valley incision, such as appears to have been the case, for example, in the upper Rhône valley (Valla
1162 et al., 2012). If so, this implies that glacial incision can occasionally or locally operate at a
1163 'catastrophic' rate, with the most conspicuous concentrated erosion of bedrock of the study area
1164 occurring along the transverse Alpine valleys of the middle Maurienne and middle Tarentaise, i.e., at

1165 the intersection between areas of peak E–W glacial erosion and N–S bands of the weakest rocks of
1166 the Alpine fabric: typically the ‘Zone Houillère’ and the tight succession of Middle and Lower Penninic
1167 thrust units.

1168

1169 **6 Conclusions**

1170 (i) Almost 1450 RSFs were inventoried in the Western Alps study area, impacting about 9% of the
1171 region’s land surface. This ratio is substantially higher than in the few previous comparable
1172 range-wide studies, and not easy to explain beyond the classic predisposing influence of rock
1173 susceptibility.

1174 (ii) The remote identification appears comprehensive but would be enlargeable with field mapping,
1175 which would ideally utilise LiDAR imagery in forest areas. The 22 ‘less probable’ RSFs of the
1176 inventory would require ground control, but it can be anticipated that, with improved detection
1177 possibilities in the future, the inventory on average will grow rather than shrink. Overall, the
1178 panoptic approach provided here, i.e., addressing RF, RA, RS and RSD together rather than
1179 separately, forms a comprehensive basis for future local monographs and for undertaking
1180 instrumental monitoring (Blondeau et al., 2019).

1181 (iii) In roughly 40% / 40% / 20% proportions, the RSF population comprises rock slope deformations
1182 (RSD); coherent, shorter-travel rockslides (RS); and disintegrated longer-travel rockfalls (RF, RA).
1183 The surprisingly tiny subset of rock avalanches would suggest a lack of system energy compared
1184 with more active ranges where coseismic landslides are endemic.

1185 (iv) The inventory displays the usual broad association between RSF and the more vulnerable
1186 lithologies, which are chiefly metasedimentary phyllites but here equally include all clastic
1187 sediments—weakly and un-metamorphosed when present. However, geology really only
1188 predisposes and does not account for large spatial variations in overstepping within these rock
1189 types. There are significant RSF occurrences on most other lithologies, some of them large, and
1190 future studies could focus on conducting field-based analyses within each major lithology.

- 1191 (v) Three-dimensional geology is influential but hard to assign from two-dimensional geological
1192 maps. As a result, either the effective underlying strata go unmapped; or intra-rockmass
1193 lithological and fabric variations driving local RSF incidence occur at scales too small to map other
1194 than in field reports. Likewise, Quaternary deposits (e.g. talus, moraine) may mask the RSF-
1195 generating bedrock of interest. Focused field investigations, beyond the scope of this
1196 reconnaissance study, are required.
- 1197 (vi) Standard factors including topography, fault and fracture alignments, seismicity, glaciation
1198 extent, and periglaciation have variable influence on RSF spatial distribution, best investigated at
1199 local scale and from the field. Slope aspect has an effect, but also at local, not massif scale.
- 1200 (vii) Although the RSF population is primarily paraglacial in context, a small minority ($n = 51$, i.e.
1201 $\sim 3.6\%$) occurs beyond the Late Pleistocene maximum ice limits. These occurrences thus belong
1202 to a fluvial or parafluvial context and, as such, requires further investigation.
- 1203 (viii) Analysing RSF distribution by density of occurrence yielded twenty-two point-density clusters
1204 containing 0.1 to 0.22 RSF/km² in any of the four RSF modes. These clusters are thus areas of
1205 high landslide geodiversity, of some interest to hazard investigation as well as to geoheritage
1206 concerns (educational value for geotourism when a diversity of landslide types co-occur in a
1207 small area). They are distributed across the Penninic Domain in the east as well as the Helvetic
1208 Domain in the west. Mapping and monitoring each of these in finer detail is work for future local
1209 monographs.
- 1210 (ix) Analysing RSF distribution by area impacted sharpens RSF clustering into six 'hotspots' (7.5% of
1211 the study area), all located in the overthrust Penninic Domain and covering 41% by area of the
1212 total RSF inventory.
- 1213 (x) The intersection between clusters and hotspots, which overlap in some key areas, defines nine
1214 RSF super-hotspots (seven major and two subsidiary). Super-hotspots are areas where
1215 contribution of failed bedrock material to the sediment cascade and to local rivers is potentially
1216 very high, and where displaced (but temporarily arrested) masses of rock, predominantly in the

1217 form of RSDs, are the most extensive. At the scale of the Western Alps, these overstressed areas
1218 are where landscape-scale erosion rates most intensely refresh the gradient of the landslide-
1219 dominated slopes and release larger-than-average volumes of gravitationally-released debris into
1220 the sediment cascade.

1221 (xi) Super-hotspots occur among uniform or highly heterogeneous outcrops of susceptible ductile
1222 lithologies, and exclusively in areas of the Penninic Domain—independently documented as
1223 being in a state of post-orogenic crustal extension. Overstressing by the thickest warm-based
1224 iceways of the region during the LGM (and presumably earlier) is verified for the steeper portions
1225 of the strike-transverse Isère and Arc valleys, which remained longest above the regional
1226 equilibrium line altitude (ELA); but less conspicuously elsewhere, and even less so in the Helvetic
1227 Domain where large valley glaciers during the Quaternary were most often in a state of
1228 accumulation below the ELA despite being wide and extensive. A finer analysis of these patterns
1229 would gain from being articulated with detailed knowledge about the chronology and spatial
1230 fluctuations of local ELAs on a massif-by-massif basis, thus contributing to test with greater
1231 precision than outlined here the strength of association between ELA position and RSF incidence.

1232 (xii) A ‘goldilocks’ effect applies to super-hotspot areas, whereby the highest area densities of RSF
1233 occur in massifs where elevation and relative relief are intermediate rather than large or small.

1234 (xiii) The contribution from modern seismicity is negligible; but a strong spatial association
1235 between hotspots and the Penninic Frontal Thrust, Briançonnais Backthrust and other subsidiary
1236 Alpine thrust fronts, especially in the case of RSD, is remarkable (~36% of RSF by area intersects a
1237 mapped thrust front), confirming the long-lasting state of stress at these tectonic boundaries also
1238 reported more widely in the rest of the Alps by Crosta et al. (2013).

1239 (xiv) The largest 100 RSFs are scattered across the region according to criteria that only partly
1240 meet those tentatively relevant to the hotspot approach. Their analysis would require a
1241 monographic, field-based approach beyond the scope of this study. In terms of natural hazards,
1242 the link between RSF size and threat intensity is very weak (Fig. 1C): whereas none of the 14

1243 historically catastrophic RSFs inventoried by Eisbacher and Clague (1984) were particularly large,
1244 and while CEREMA currently monitors RSFs of a size falling within the interquartile range of the
1245 inventory, many large RSDs could remain metastable for the next several thousand years.

1246 (xv) Drilling down to massif- or valley-scale monographs on the basis of the broad inventory
1247 presented in this study, it would be fruitful to further evaluate its findings by (1) investigating
1248 tectonic signals and rock mass behaviours within and between all clusters and hotspots; (2)
1249 performing hypsometric and finer-scale morphometric analysis to detect conducive
1250 topographies; and (3) identifying in finer local detail processes and contexts that generate
1251 concentrated erosion of bedrock (CEB), such as: in locally overdeepened troughs; or on the
1252 Alpine drainage divide where glacial breaches at two subsidiary super-hotspots may have
1253 occurred, possibly amplifying rebound stresses sufficiently to promote unusually intense RSF.

1254 (xvi) A comparison between the Western Alps, where rockslides and slope deformation areas are
1255 abundant, and New Zealand's Southern Alps, where instead coseismic rockfalls and rock
1256 avalanches are widespread, highlights the importance of regional tectonic regime in controlling
1257 landscape evolution style and character. It hypothetically suggests two contrasting evolutionary
1258 models of mountain relief under a 'buzz-saw effect' whereby changes in rock uplift rate influence
1259 erosion rates through adjustments in the frequency and magnitude of slope failure: one buzz-
1260 saw effect is primarily controlled by limits in rock mass strength, attenuating or cancelling glacio-
1261 isostatic or other potential drivers of ongoing uplift (Alps); the other is controlled by active
1262 tectonics and seismicity (Fiordland).

1263
1264 **Acknowledgements.** We thank H el ene Tissoux at the Bureau des Recherches G eologiques et
1265 Mini eres for providing a set of vector 1:50,000 scale geological maps of most of the study area, and
1266 Fran ois Thouvenot for providing access to the SISMALP earthquake database. Two anonymous
1267 reviewers provided thoughtful comments for which we are very grateful.

1268

1269 **References**

- 1270 Agliardi, F., Crosta, G.B., Frattini, P., 2012. Slow rock-slope deformation. In: Clague, J.J., Stead, D.
1271 (eds), Landslides: types, mechanisms and modeling. Cambridge University Press, pp. 207–221.
- 1272 Agliardi, F., Crosta, G.B., Frattini, P., Malusà, M.G., 2013. Giant non-catastrophic landslides and the
1273 long-term exhumation of the European Alps. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 365, 263–274.
- 1274 Allen, S.K., Cox, S.C., Owens, I.F., 2011. Rock avalanches and other landslides in the central Southern
1275 Alps of New Zealand: a regional study considering possible climate change impacts. *Landslides* 8,
1276 33–48.
- 1277 Antinao, J.L., Gosse, J., 2009. Large rockslides in the Southern Central Andes of Chile (32–34.5°S):
1278 tectonic control and significance for Quaternary landscape evolution. *Geomorphology* 104, 117–
1279 133.
- 1280 Augustinus, P., 1995. Rock mass strength and the stability of some glacial slopes. *Z. Geomorph.* 39,
1281 55–68.
- 1282 Bailly-Maître, M.C., Montjuvent, G., Mathoulin, V., 1997. Les quatre anciens lacs de l'Oisans (Alpes
1283 françaises du Nord). *Rev. Géogr. Alp.* 85, 33–52.
- 1284 Ballantyne, C.K., 2002. Paraglacial geomorphology. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 21, 1935–2017.
- 1285 Ballantyne, C.K., 2013. Lateglacial rock-slope failures in the Scottish Highlands. *Scot. Geogr. J.* 129,
1286 67–84.
- 1287 Bălteanu, D., Chendeş, V., Sima, M., Enciu, P., 2010. A country-wide spatial assessment of landslide
1288 susceptibility in Romania. *Geomorphology* 124, 102–112.
- 1289 Beck, A.C., 1968. Gravity faulting as a mechanism of topographic adjustment; *N. Z. J. Geol. Geophys.*
1290 11, 191–199.
- 1291 Bergemann, C.A., Gnos, E., Whitehouse, M.J., 2019. Insights into the tectonic history of the Western
1292 Alps through dating of fissure monazite in the Mont Blanc and Aiguilles Rouges Massifs.
1293 *Tectonophysics* 750, 203–212.

- 1294 Blondeau, S., Thiery, Y., Gunnell, Y., Jarman, D., 2019. Les ruptures de versant rocheux : de
1295 l'observation à l'évaluation du risque, *Géosciences* 23, 14–29.
- 1296 Blikra, L.H., Longva, O., Braathen, A., A.da, E., Dehls, J.F., Stalsberg, K., 2006. Rock slope failures in
1297 Norwegian fjord: examples, spatial distribution and temporal pattern. In: Evans, S.G., Scarascia,
1298 Mugnozsa, G., Strom, A., Hermanns, R.L. (eds): *Landslides from massive rock slope failure.*
1299 *NATO Science Series IV, Earth and Environmental Sciences* 49, Springer, pp. 475–496.
- 1300 Bodin, X., Schoeneich, P., Deline, P., Ravel, L., Magnin, F., Krysiecki, J.M., Echelard, T., 2015. Le
1301 permafrost de montagne et les processus géomorphologiques associés : évolutions récentes dans
1302 les Alpes françaises. *Rev. Géogr. Alp.* 103, <http://journals.openedition.org/rga/2806>.
- 1303 Boeckli, L., Brenning, A., Gruber, S., Noetzli, J., 2012. Permafrost distribution in the European Alps:
1304 calculation and evaluation of an index map and summary statistics. *Cryosphere* 6, 807–820.
- 1305 Böhme, M., Saintot, A., Henderson, I.H.C., Henriksen, H., Hermanns, R.L., 2011. Rock slope
1306 instabilities in Sogn and Fjordane County, Norway: a detailed structural and geomorphological
1307 analysis. In: Jaboyedoff, M. (Ed.), *Slope tectonics.* *Geol. Soc. Lond., Spec. Publ.* 351, 97–111.
- 1308 Boutoux, A., Bellahsen, N., Nanni, U., Pik, R., Verlaquet, A., Rolland, Y., Lacombe, O., 2016. Thermal
1309 and structural evolution of the external Western Alps: insights from (U–Th–Sm)/He
1310 thermochronology and RSCM thermometry in the Aiguilles Rouges/Mont Blanc Massifs.
1311 *Tectonophysics* 683, 109–123.
- 1312 Braathen, A., Blikra, L.H., Berg, S.S., Karlsen, F., 2004. Rock-slope failures in Norway; type, geometry,
1313 deformation mechanisms and stability. *Nor. J. Geol.* 84, 67–88.
- 1314 Brideau, M.A., Roberts, N.J., 2015. Mass movement in bedrock. In: Davies, T. (Ed.), *Landslide hazards,*
1315 *risks, and disasters.* Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 43–90.
- 1316 Büch, F., Davies, T.R.H., Pettinga, J.R., 2010. The Little Red Hill seismic experimental study:
1317 Topographic effects on ground motion at a bedrock-dominated mountain edifice. *Bull. Seismol.*
1318 *Soc. Am.* 100, 2219–2229.

1319 Calais, E., Bayer, R., Chéry, J., Cotton, F., Flouzat, M., Jouanne, F., Martinod, J., Mathieu, F., Scotti, O.,
1320 Tardy, M., Vigny, C., 2000. REGAL: a permanent GPS network in the French Western Alps —
1321 Configuration and first results. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 331, 435–442.

1322 Coutterand, S., 2010. Etude géomorphologique des flux glaciaires dans les Alpes nord–occidentales
1323 au Pléistocène récent. Du maximum de la dernière glaciation aux premières étapes de la
1324 déglaciation. Unpubl. PhD thesis, Université de Savoie, 468 p.

1325 Coutterand, S., 2017. Les glaciers des Alpes. Vandelle, 176 p.

1326 Crosta, G., Zanchi, A., 2000. Deep seated slope deformations: huge, extraordinary, enigmatic
1327 phenomena. In: Bromhead, E., Dixon, N. (Eds.), *Landslides in research, theory and practice*, Proc.
1328 8th Int. Symp. on Landslides, Cardiff. Thomas Telford, London, pp. 351–358.

1329 Crosta, G.B., Chen, H., Lee, C.F., 2004. Replay of the 1987 Val Pola Landslide, Italian Alps.
1330 *Geomorphology* 60, 127–146.

1331 Crosta, G.B., Frattini, P., Agliardi, F., 2013. Deep seated gravitational slope deformations in the
1332 European Alps. *Tectonophysics* 605, 13–33.

1333 Davies, T.R.H., 2017. Mountain process geomorphology: conceptual progress in the Southern Alps.
1334 In: Shulmeister, J. (Ed.), *Landscape and Quaternary environmental change in New Zealand*. Atlantis
1335 *Advances in Quaternary Science* 3, Atlantis Press, pp. 205–233.

1336 Debelmas, J., 1979. Découverte géologique des Alpes du Nord. BRGM Editions, Orléans, 84 p.

1337 Delacou, B., Sue, C., Champagnac, J.D., Burkhard, M., 2004. Present-day geodynamics in the bend of
1338 the western and central Alps as constrained by earthquake analysis. *Geophys. J. Int.* 158, 753–
1339 774.

1340 Deline, P., Alberto, W., Broccolato, M., Hungr, O., Noetzli, J., Ravel, L., Tamburini, A., 2011. The
1341 December 2008 Crammont rock avalanche, Mont Blanc massif area, Italy. *Nat. Haz. Earth Syst.*
1342 *Sci.*, 11, 3307–3318.

1343 Delunel, R., Hantz, D., Braucher, R., Bourlès, D., Schoeneich, P., Deparis, J., 2010. Surface exposure
1344 dating and geophysical prospecting of the Holocene Lauvitel rock slide (French Alps). *Landslides* 7,
1345 393–400.

1346 Dramis, F., Sorriso-Valvo, M., 1994. Deep-seated gravitational slope deformations related landslides
1347 and tectonics. *Eng. Geol.* 38, 231–243.

1348 Eeckhaut van den, M., Hervás, J., 2012. State of the art of national landslide databases in Europe and
1349 their potential for assessing landslide susceptibility, hazard and risk. *Geomorphology* 139–140,
1350 545–548.

1351 Eisbacher, G.H., Clague, J.J., 1984. Destructive mass movements in high mountains: hazard and
1352 management. *Geol. Surv. Canada Paper* 84–16, 229 p.

1353 El Bedoui, S., Bois, T., Jomard, H., Sanchez, G., Lebourg, T., Trics, E., Guglielmi, Y., Bouissou, S.,
1354 Chemenda, A., Rolland, Y., Corsini, M., Pérez, J.L., 2011. Paraglacial gravitational deformations in
1355 the SW Alps: a review of fields investigations, ¹⁰Be cosmogenic dating and physical modelling. In
1356 Jaboyedoff, M. (Ed.), *Slope Tectonics*, *Geol. Soc. Lond., Spec. Publ.* 351, pp. 11–25.

1357 Evans, S.G., Scarascia–Mugnozza, G., Strom, A.L., Hermanns, R.L., Ischuk, A., Vinnichenko, S., 2006.
1358 Landslides from massive rock slope failure and associated phenomena. In: Evans, S.G., Scarascia,
1359 Mugnozza, G., Strom, A., Hermanns, R.L. (eds), *Landslides from massive rock slope failure*,
1360 *NATO Science Series IV, Earth and Environmental Sciences* 49, Springer, pp. 3–52.

1361 Fioraso, G., 2017. Impact of massive deep-seated rock slope failures on mountain valley morphology
1362 in the northern Cottian Alps (NW Italy). *J. Maps* 13, 575–587.

1363 Fioraso, G., Baggio, P., 2013. Geological map of the Mount Ciantiplagna rock avalanche (Chisone
1364 valley, Italian Western Alps). *J. Maps* 9, 336–342.

1365 Forcella, F., 1984. The sackung between Mount Padrio and Mount Varadega, Central Alps, Italy: a
1366 remarkable example of slope gravitational tectonics. *Méditerranée* 51, 81–92.

1367 Frayssines, M., Hantz, D., 2006. Failure mechanisms and triggering factors in calcareous cliffs of the
1368 Subalpine ranges (French Alps). *Eng. Geol.* 86, 256–270.

1369 Fréchet, J., Thouvenot, F., Frogneux, M., Deichmann, N., Cara, M., 2010. The M_w 4.5 Vallorcine
1370 (French Alps) earthquake of 8 september 2005 and its complex aftershock sequence. J. Seismol.
1371 15, 43–58.

1372 Gallach, X., 2019. Reconstitution de la fréquence des écroulements rocheux post-LGM dans le massif
1373 du Mont Blanc. Unpubl. PhD thesis, Communauté universitaire Grenoble-Alpes, 250 p.

1374 Gardent, M., Rabatel, A., Dedieu, J.P., Deline, P., 2014. Multitemporal glacier inventory of the French
1375 Alps from the late 1960s to the late 2000s. Glob. Planet. Change 120, 24–37.

1376 Gidon, M. 1977. Carte géologique simplifiée des Alpes occidentales (scale: 1:250,000). BRGM and
1377 Editions Didier Richard.

1378 Godano, M., Larroque, C., Bertrand, E., Courboulex, F., Deschamps, A., Salichon, J., Blaud-Guerry, C.,
1379 Fourteau, L., Charléty, J., Deshayes, P., 2013. The October–November 2010 earthquake swarm
1380 near Sampeyre (Piedmont region, Italy): A complex multicluster sequence. Tectonophysics 608,
1381 97–111.

1382 Goguel, B., 1989. Le glissement du Friolin, en Savoie : un mouvement majeur révélé par les
1383 photographies. Rev. Fr. Géotech. 48, 55–64.

1384 Goguel, J., Pachoud, A., 1981. Les mouvements de terrain du versant sud du massif de Platé, Haute–
1385 Savoie, France. Bulletin de Liaison du Laboratoire des Ponts et Chaussées, XXVIe Congrès
1386 géologiques international, pp. 15–25.

1387 Gosar, A., 2017. Earthquake–induced rockfalls caused by 1998 M_w 5.6 earthquake in Krn Mountains
1388 (NW Slovenia) and ESI 2007 intensity scale. In: Mikos, M., Tiwari, B., Yin, Y., Sassa, K. (eds),
1389 Advancing culture of living with landslides, vol. 4. Springer, pp. 131–139.

1390 Gottardi, F., 2009. Estimation statistique et réanalyse des précipitations en montagne. Utilisation
1391 d'ébauches par types de temps et assimilation de données d'enneigement. Application aux grands
1392 massifs montagneux français. PhD thesis, Institut National Polytechnique, Grenoble, 144 p.

1393 Graciansky, P.C. de, Roberts, D.G., Tricart, P., 2011. The Western Alps, from rift, to passive margin
1394 to orogenic belt: an integrated geoscience overview. Elsevier, 398 p.

1395 Grämiger, L.M., Moore, J.R., Gischig, V.S., Ivy-Ochs, S., Loew, S., 2017. Beyond debuttressing:
1396 mechanics of paraglacial rock slope damage during repeat glacial cycles. *J. Geophys. Res., Earth*
1397 *Surf.* 122,1004–1036.

1398 Guyoton, F., Fréchet, J., Thouvenot, F., 1990. La crise sismique de janvier 1989 en Haute-Ubaye
1399 (Alpes-de-Haute-Provence, France) : étude fine de la sismicité par le nouveau réseau SISMALP.
1400 *Compte Rendu de l'Académie des Sciences, Paris*, 311, 985–991.

1401 Guzzetti, F., Ardizzone, F., Cardinali, M., Galli, M., Reichenbach, P., Rossi, M., 2008. Distribution of
1402 landslides in the Upper Tiber River basin, central Italy. *Geomorphology* 96, 105–122.

1403 Harris, C., Arenson, L.U., Christiansen, H.H., Etzelmüller, B., Frauenfelder, R., Gruber, S., Haeberli, W.,
1404 Hauck, C., Hölzle, M., Humlum, O., Isaken, K., Kääh, A., Kern-Lütschg, M.A., Lehning, M.,
1405 Matsuoka, N., Murton, J.B., Nötzli, J., Phillips, M., Ross, N., Seppälä, M., Springman, S.M., Vonder
1406 Mühl D., 2009. Permafrost and climate in Europe: monitoring and modelling thermal,
1407 geomorphological and geotechnical responses. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 92, 117–171.

1408 Heim, A., 1932. *Der Bergsturz und Menschenleben*. Fretz und Wasmuth, Zürich, 218 p.

1409 Hewitt, K., 2009. Catastrophic rock slope failures and late Quaternary developments in the Nanga
1410 Parbat–Haramosh massif, upper Indus basin, northern Pakistan. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 28, 1055–1069.

1411 Hewitt, K., Clague, J.J., Orwin, J.F., 2008. Legacies of catastrophic rock slope failures in mountain
1412 landscapes. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 87, 1–38.

1413 Hibert, C., Grandjean, G., Bitri, A., Travelletti, J., Malet, J.P., 2012. Characterizing landslides through
1414 geophysical data fusion: Example of the La Valette landslide (France). *Eng. Geol.* 128, 23–29.

1415 Hippolyte, J.C., Brocard, G., Tardy, M., Nicoud, G., Boulès, D., Braucher, R., Ménard, G., Souffaché,
1416 B., 2006. The recent fault scarps of the Western Alps (France): Tectonic surface ruptures or
1417 gravitational sacking scarps? A combined mapping, geomorphic, levelling, and ¹⁰Be dating
1418 approach. *Tectonophysics* 418, 255–276.

- 1419 Hippolyte, J.C., Bourlès, D., Braucher, R., Carcaillet, J., Léanni, L., Arnold, M., Aumaître, G., 2009.
1420 Cosmogenic ¹⁰Be dating of a sackung and its faulted rock glaciers, in the Alps of Savoy (France).
1421 *Geomorphology* 108, 312–320.
- 1422 Hippolyte, J.C., Bourlès, D., Léanni, L., Braucher, R., Chauvet, F., Lebatard, A.E., 2012. ¹⁰Be ages reveal
1423 >12 ka of gravitational movement in a major sackung of the Western Alps (France).
1424 *Geomorphology* 171–172, 139–153.
- 1425 Holm, K., Bovis, M., Jakob, M., 2004. The landslide response of alpine basins to post–Little Ice Age
1426 glacial thinning and retreat in southwestern British Columbia. *Geomorphology* 57, 201–216.
- 1427 Hungr, O., Leroueil, S., Picarelli, L., 2014. The Varnes classification of landslide types, an update.
1428 *Landslides* 11, 167–194.
- 1429 Jarman, D., Ballantyne, C.K., 2002. Beinn Fhada, Kintail: a classic example of paraglacial rock slope
1430 deformation. *Scot. Geogr. J.* 118, 59–68.
- 1431 Jarman D., 2006. Large rock slope failures in the Highlands of Scotland: characterisation, causes and
1432 spatial distribution. *Eng. Geol.* 83, 161–182.
- 1433 Jarman D, Wilson P, Harrison, S., 2013. Are there any relict rock glaciers in Britain? *J. Quat. Sci.* 28,
1434 131–143.
- 1435 Jarman, D., Calvet, M., Corominas, J., Delmas, M., Gunnell, Y., 2014. Large–scale rock slope failure in
1436 the eastern Pyrenees: identifying a sparse but significant population in paraglacial and parafluvial
1437 contexts. *Geogr. Ann., Ser. A: Phys. Geogr.* 96, 357–391.
- 1438 Jarman, D., Harrison, S., 2019. Rock slope failure in the British Mountains. *Geomorphology* 340, 202–
1439 233.
- 1440 Jenatton, L., Guiguet, R., Thouvenot, T., Daix, N., 2007. The 16,000-event 2003–2004 earthquake
1441 swarm in Ubaye (French Alps). *J. Geophys. Res.* 112, DOI: 10.1029/2006JB004878.
- 1442 Jibson, R.W., 2009. Using landslides for paleoseismic analysis. In: McCalpin, J.P. (Ed.),
1443 *Paleoseismology*. Academic Press, New York, pp. 565–601.

- 1444 Jibson, R.W., 2013. Mass-movement causes: earthquakes. In: Shroder, J. (Editor in Chief), Marston,
1445 R.A., Stoffel, M. (eds), *Treatise on geomorphology*. Academic Press, San Diego, vol. 7, Mountain
1446 and Hillslope Geomorphology, pp. 223–229.
- 1447 Kästle, E.D., Rosenberg, C., Boschi, L., Bellahsen, N., Meier, T., El-Sharkawy, A., 2019. Slab break-offs
1448 in the Alpine Subduction Zone. *Solid Earth Disc.*, 16 p., <https://doi.org/10.5194/se-2019-17>.
- 1449 Korup, O., 2005. Distribution of landslides in southwest New Zealand. *Landslides* 2, 43–51.
- 1450 Korup, O., 2006. Effects of large deep-seated landslides on hillslope morphology, western Southern
1451 Alps, New Zealand. *J. Geophys. Res.* F01018, doi:10.1029/2004JF000242.
- 1452 Kremer, K., Simpson, G., Girardclos, S., 2012. Giant Lake Geneva tsunami in AD 563. *Nature Geosci.*,
1453 5, 756–757.
- 1454 Kremer, K., Marillier, F., Hilbe, M., Simpson, G., Dupuy, D., Yrro, B.J.F., Rachoud-Schneider, A.M.,
1455 Corboud, P., Bellwald, B., Wildi, W., Girardclos, S., 2014. Lake dwellers occupation gap in Lake
1456 Geneva (France–Switzerland) possibly explained by an earthquake–mass movement–tsunami
1457 event during Early Bronze Age. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 385, 28–39.
- 1458 Malatrait, A., 1975. Analyse et classement des mouvements gravitaires – Feuille St Jean de
1459 Maurienne au 1/50 000e. Unpubl. PhD thesis, Université de Grenoble, 219 p.
- 1460 Malatrait, A., Sabatier, F., 1996. Le glissement de la Montagne des Piniès à l’origine des coulées de
1461 Boulc-en-Diois (Drôme) : évolution et mécanismes. *Rev. Fr. Géotech.* 75, 45–53.
- 1462 Marcer, M. Bodin, X., Brenning, A., Schoeneich, P., Charvet, R., Gottardi, F., 2017. Permafrost
1463 favorability index: spatial modeling in the French Alps using a rock glacier inventory. *Front. Earth*
1464 *Sci.*, DOI: 10.3389/feart.2017.00105.
- 1465 Martinotti, G., Giordan, D., Giardino, M., Ratto, S., 2011. Controlling factors for deep-seated
1466 gravitational slope deformation (DSGSD) in the Aosta Valley (NW Alps, Italy). In: Jaboyedoff, M.
1467 (Ed.), *Slope tectonics*. Geol. Soc. Lond., Spec. Publ. 351, pp. 113–131.
- 1468 Matheson, D.S., Thomson, S., 1973. Geological implications of valley rebound. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* 10,
1469 961–978.

1470 Matthews, J.A., Winkler, S., Wilson, P., Tomkins, M.D., Dortch, J.M., Mourne, R.W., Hill, J.L., Owen,
1471 G., Vater, A.E., 2018. Small rock-slope failures conditioned by Holocene permafrost degradation: a
1472 new approach and conceptual model based on Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating,
1473 Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *Boreas* 47, 1144–1169.

1474 McColl, S.T., 2012. Paraglacial rock-slope stability. *Geomorphology* 153–154, 1–16.

1475 McColl, S.T., 2015. Landslide causes and triggers. In: Davies, T. (Ed.), *Landslide hazards, risks, and*
1476 *disasters*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 17–42.

1477 Mortara, G., Sorzana, P.F., 1987. Fenomeni di deformazione gravitativa profonda nell'Arco Alpino
1478 Occidentale Italiano. Considerazioni lito-strutturali e morfologiche. *Boll. Soc. Geol. Ital.* 106, 303–
1479 314.

1480 Němčok, A., 1972. Gravitational slope deformation in high mountains. *Proceedings of the 24th*
1481 *International Geological Congress, Montreal, 13*, pp. 132–141.

1482 Nocquet, J.M., Sue, C., Walpersdorf, A., Tran, T., Lenôtre, N., Vernant, P., Cushing, M., Jouanne, F.,
1483 Masson, F., Baize, S., Chéry, J., van der Beek, P.A., 2016. Present-day uplift of the western Alps.
1484 *Sci. Rep.* 6. DOI: 10.1038/srep28404.

1485 Oppikofer, T., Jaboyedoff, M., Keusen, H.R., 2008. Collapse at the eastern Eiger flank in the Swiss
1486 Alps. *Nature Geosci.* 1, 531–535.

1487 Oppikofer, T., Saintot, A., Hermanns, R.L., Böhme, M., Scheiber, T., Gosse, J., Dreias, G.M., 2017.
1488 From incipient slope instability through slope deformation to catastrophic failure—Different
1489 stages of failure development on the Ivasnasen and Vollan rock slopes (western Norway).
1490 *Geomorphology* 289, 96–116.

1491 Ortuño, M., Guinau, M., Calvet, J., Furdada, G., Bordonau, J., Ruiz, A., Camafort, M., 2017. Potential
1492 of airborne LiDAR data analysis to detect subtle landforms of slope failure: Portainé, Central
1493 Pyrenees. *Geomorphology* 295, 364–382.

1494 Pachoud, A., 1991. Une catastrophe naturelle majeure : l'écroulement du Mont Granier dans le
1495 massif de la Chartreuse au XIIIe siècle. *La Houille Blanche* 5, 327–332.

- 1496 Palis, E., Lebourg, T., Tric, E., Malet, J.P., Vidal, M., 2017. Long-term monitoring of a large deep-
1497 seated landslide (La Clapière, south-east French Alps): initial study. *Landslides* 14, 155–170.
- 1498 Pánek, T., Mentlík, P., Ditchburn, B., Zondervan, A., Norton, K., Hradecký, J., 2015. Are sackungen
1499 diagnostic features of (de)glaciated mountains? *Geomorphology* 248, 396–410.
- 1500 Pánek, T., Klimeš, J., 2016. Temporal behavior of deep-seated gravitational slope deformations: a
1501 review. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 156, 14–38.
- 1502 Pánek, T., Korup, O., Lenart, J., Hradecký, J., Břežný, M., 2018. Giant landslides in the foreland of the
1503 Patagonian Ice Sheet. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 194, 39–54.
- 1504 Pedrazzini, A., Humair, F., Jaboyedoff, M., Tonini, M., 2015. Characterization and spatial distribution of
1505 gravitational slope deformations in the upper Rhone catchment (Western Swiss Alps). *Landslides*
1506 13, 1–19.
- 1507 Peras, A., Decaulne, A., Cossart, E., Coquin, J., Mercier, D., 2016. Distribution and spatial analysis of
1508 rockslides failures in the Icelandic Westfjords: first results. *Géomorph. Rel. Proc. Env.* 22, 25–35.
- 1509 Perrone, G., Eva, E., Solarino, S., Cadoppi, P., Balestro, G., Fioraso, G., 2010. Seismotectonic
1510 investigations in the inner Cottian Alps (Italian Western Alps): an integrated approach.
1511 *Tectonophysics* 496, 1–16.
- 1512 Persaud, M., Pfiffner, O.A., 2004. Active deformation in the eastern Swiss Alps: Post-glacial faults,
1513 seismicity and surface uplift. *Tectonophysics* 385, 59–84
- 1514 Pfiffner, A.O., 2014. *Geology of the Alps*. Wiley, 376 p.
- 1515 Piana, F., Fioraso, G., Irace, A., Mosca, P., d’Atri, A., Barale, L., Falletti, P., Monegato, G., Morelli, M.,
1516 Tallone, S., Vigna, G.B., 2017. Geology of Piemonte region (NW Italy, Alps-Apennines interference
1517 zone). *J. Maps* 13, 395–405.
- 1518 Plancherel R., Broquet P., 1998. Geological map of Samoëns–Pas de Morgins (1:50,000 scale),
1519 Explanatory handbook. BRGM editions, Orléans, 112 p.
- 1520 Pollet, N., 2004. Significance of source areas and deposits in the analysis of highspeed rock
1521 movements: Alpine examples. *Bull. Eng. Geol. Env.* 63, 353–365.

1522 Poschinger von, A., 2002. Large rockslides in the Alps: a commentary on the contributions of G. Abele
1523 (1937–1994) and a review of some recent developments. *Rev. Eng. Geol.* 15, 237–255.

1524 Pothérat, P., Effendiantz, L., 2009. Néotectonique et grands mouvements de versant. Le cas de
1525 Séchilienne (Isère, France). *Bull. Eng. Geol. Env.* 68, 567–577.

1526 Ravanel, L., Deline, P., 2011. Climate influence on rockfalls in high–Alpine steep rockwalls: the north
1527 side of the Aiguilles de Chamonix (Mont Blanc massif) since the end of the ‘Little Ice Age’. *The*
1528 *Holocene* 21, 357–365.

1529 Ravanel, L., Magnin, F., Deline, P., 2017. Impacts of the 2003 and 2015 summer heatwaves on
1530 permafrost–affected rock–walls in the Mont Blanc massif. *Sci. Total Env.* 609, 132–143.

1531 Roback, K., Clark, M.C., West, J., Zekkos, D., Gen, L., Gallen, S.F., Chamlagain, D., Godt, J., 2018. The
1532 size, distribution, and mobility of landslides caused by the 2015 M_w 7.8 Gorkha earthquake, Nepal.
1533 *Geomorphology* 301, 121–138.

1534 Rovera, G., 1993. Instabilité des versants et dissolution des évaporites dans les Alpes internes :
1535 l’exemple de la montagne de Friolin (Peisey-Nancroix, Savoie). *Rev. Géogr. Alp.* 81, 71–84.

1536 Sartori, M., Baillifard, F., Jaboyedoff, M., Rouiller, J.-D., 2003. Kinematics of the 1991 Randa
1537 rockslides (Valais, Switzerland). *Nat. Haz. Earth Syst. Sci.* 3, 423–433.

1538 Schildgen, T., van der Beek, P.A., Sinclair, H., Thiede, R.C., 2018. Spatial correlation bias in late-
1539 Cenozoic erosion histories derived from thermochronology. *Nature* 559, 89–93.

1540 Schwartz S., Zerathe S., Jongmans D., Baillet L., Carcaillet J., Audin L., Dumont T., Bourlès D., Braucher
1541 R., Lebrouc V., 2017. Cosmic ray exposure dating on the large landslide of Sechilienne (Western
1542 Alps): a synthesis to constrain the slope evolution. *Geomorphology*, 278, 329–344.

1543 Sébrier, G., Ghafiri, A., Blès, J.-L., 1997. Paleoseismicity in France: fault trench studies in a region of
1544 moderate seismicity. *J. Geodyn.*, 24, 207–217.

1545 Séguinot, J., Juvet, G., Huss, M., Funk, M., Ivy-Ochs, S., Preusser, F., 2018. Modelling last glacial cycle
1546 dynamics in the Alps. *The Cryosphere Discuss.*, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-2018-8>.

1547 Silverman, B.W., 1998. Density estimation for statistics and data analysis. Chapman and Hall, 175 p.

1548 Six, D., Vincent, C., 2014. Sensitivity of mass balance and equilibrium line altitude to climate change
1549 in the French Alps. *J. Glaciol.* 60, 874–878.

1550 Stead, D., Wolter, A., 2015. A critical review of rock slope failure mechanisms: the importance of
1551 structural geology. *J. Struct. Geol.* 74, 1–23.

1552 Sternai, P., Sue, C., Husson, L., Serpelloni, E., Becker, T.W., Willett, S.D., Faccenna, C., Di Giulio, A.,
1553 Spada, G., Jolivet, L., Valla, P., Petit, C., Nocquet, J.M., Walpersdorf, A., Castelltort, S., 2019.
1554 Present-day uplift of the European Alps: evaluating mechanisms and models of their relative
1555 contributions. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 190, 589–604.

1556 Strom, A.L., Korup, O. 2006. Extremely large rockslides and rock avalanches in the Tien Shan,
1557 Kyrgyzstan. *Landslides* 3, 125–136.

1558 Sue, C., Delacou, B., Champagnac, J.D., Allanic, C., Tricart, P., Burkhard, M., 2007. Extensional
1559 neotectonics around the bend of the Western/Central Alps: an overview. *Int. J. Earth Sci.* 96,
1560 1101–1129.

1561 Tatard, L., Grasso, J.R., Helmstetter, A., Garambois, S., 2010. Characterization and comparison of
1562 landslide triggering in different tectonic and climatic settings. *J. Geophys. Res., Earth Surf.* 115,
1563 DOI: 10.1029/2009JF001624.

1564 Thiery Y., 2007. Landslide susceptibility in the Barcelonnette basin (French South Alps):
1565 morphodynamic cartography, spatial analysis and probabilistic modelling. Unpubl. PhD thesis,
1566 Université de Caen.

1567 Thouvenot, F., Fréchet, J., Jenatton, L., Gamond, J.F., 2003. The Belledonne Border Fault:
1568 identification of an active seismic strike–slip fault in the western Alps. *Geophys. J. Int.* 155, 174–
1569 192.

1570 Thouvenot, F., Fréchet, J., 2006. Seismicity along the northwestern edge of the Adria microplate. In
1571 Pinter, N., Grenczy, G., Weber, J., Stein, S., Medak, D. (eds), *The Adria Microplate: GPS geodesy,*
1572 *tectonics and hazards.* NATO Science Series (IV), Earth and Environmental Sciences 61, pp. 335–
1573 349.

1574 Tiwari, B. Ajmera, B., 2017. Landslides Triggered by Earthquakes from 1920 to 2015. In Mikos, M.,
1575 Tiwari, B., Yin, Y., Sassa, K. (eds), *Advancing culture of living with landslides*, volume 5, WLF 2017.
1576 Springer, pp. 5–15.

1577 Tonini, M., Pedrazzini, A., Penna, I., Jaboyedoff, M., 2014. Spatial pattern of landslides in the Swiss
1578 Rhône Valley. *Nat. Haz.* 73, 97–110.

1579 Tricart, P., Lardeaux, J.M., Schwartz, S., Sue, C., 2006. The late extension in the inner western Alps: a
1580 synthesis along the south–Pelvoux transect. *Bull. Soc. Géol. Fr.* 77, 299–310.

1581 Truche M., 2019. Le glissement de terrain du Pas de l’Ours. Dixièmes Journées Nationales de
1582 Géotechnique et de Géologie de l’Ingénieur, Comité Français de Géologie de l’Ingénieur et de
1583 l’Environnement. Oral presentation, Lyon, October 3, 2019.

1584 Tsou, C.Y., Chigira, M., Matsushi, Y., Chen, S.C., 2015. Deep-seated gravitational deformation of
1585 mountain slopes caused by river incision in the Central Range, Taiwan: spatial distribution and
1586 geological characteristics. *Eng. Geol.* 196, 126–138.

1587 Ustaszewski, M.E., Hampel, A., Pfiffner, O., 2008. Composite faults in the Swiss Alps formed by the
1588 interplay of tectonics, gravitation and postglacial rebound: an integrated field and modelling
1589 study. *Swiss J. Geosci.* 101, 223–235.

1590 Valla, P.G., van der Beek, P.A., Shuster, D.L., Braun, J., Herman, F., Tassan–Got, L., Gautheron, C.,
1591 2012. Late Neogene exhumation and relief development of the Aar and Aiguilles Rouges massifs
1592 (Swiss Alps) from low-temperature thermochronology modeling and $^4\text{He}/^3\text{He}$
1593 thermochronometry. *J. Geophys. Res.* 117, F01004, Doi: 10.1029/2011JF002043.

1594 Varnes, D.J., 1978. Slope movement types and processes. In: Schuster, R.L., Křížek, R.J. (eds),
1595 *Landslides, Analysis and Control*, Spec. Rep. 176, Transportation Research Board. National
1596 Academy of Sciences, Washington, D.C., pp. 11–33.

1597 Vengeon, J.M., Couturier, B., Antoine, P., 1999. Déformations gravitaires post glaciaires en terrains
1598 métamorphiques. Comparaison des indices de déformation du versant sud de la Toura (Saint-

1599 Christophe-enOisans, France) avec le phénomène de rupture interne du versant sud du Mont Sec
1600 (Séchilienne, France). Bull. Eng. Geol. Env. 57, 387–395.

1601 Vick, L.M., Böhme, M., Rouyet, L., Bergh, S.G., Corner, G.D., Lauknes, T.R., 2020. Structurally
1602 controlled rock slope deformation in northern Norway. Landslides, DOI 10.1007/s10346-020-
1603 01421-7.

1604 Whalley, W.B., Douglas, G.R., Jonsson, A., 1983. The magnitude and frequency of large rock slides in
1605 Iceland during the postglacial. Geogr. Ann. Ser. A: Phys. Geogr. 65, 99–109.

1606 Wood, J.L., Harrison, S., Reinhardt, L., 2020. Landslide inventories for climate impacts research in the
1607 European Alps. Geomorphology 228, 398–408.

1608

1609 **Figure captions**

1610

1611 Fig. 1. The Western Alps study area: key characteristics. A: Alps context, with areas covered by
1612 previous work. The whole-range studies by Crosta et al. (2013) and Eisbacher and Clague (1984) were
1613 restricted to DGS/GSD and catastrophic historical mass movements, respectively. B: study area with
1614 main river, massif, and other place names mentioned in the text. Elevation data source: ALOS World
1615 3D elevation model. C: additional site identification sources; RSF monitored by CEREMA (Centre
1616 d'Etudes et d'expertise sur les Risques, l'Environnement, la Mobilité et l'Aménagement) for hazard
1617 potential (1–17); recorded by Eisbacher and Clague (1984) (18–31), and documented by radiometric
1618 dating methods (32–38).

1619

1620 Fig. 2. Tectonic zones of the Western Alps, and modern crustal stress regimes (inset). The units
1621 correspond to pre-Alpine palaeogeographic subdivisions today crushed into tectonic belts with
1622 distinct characteristics. Source: (Gidon, 1977), modified and updated. Note the thin bands of the
1623 Ultra-Dauphinois, Sub-Briançonnais and Valais zones forming a closely-spaced, foreland-vergent
1624 imbricate system overthrust on the Helvetic domain along the Penninic Frontal Thrust. Dominant

1625 lithologies within each zone are provided in Figure 6. Inset: documented stress field in the Western
1626 Alps; 1: compressive; 2: extensional; 3: strike-slip (after Delacou et al., 2004).

1627

1628 Fig. 3. Illustrations of the RSF classification based on satellite and field images from the study area.
1629 Rock avalanches (RA), rockfalls (RF), rockslides (RS), and rock slope deformation (RSD) are labelled
1630 accordingly in image pairs. RF1 (runout: 650 m) is in highly fractured dolomitic limestone. Note that
1631 RS1 displays hummocky surface topography reminiscent of an earthflow (high plasticity of the
1632 bedrock); RS2 is a compound site involving more displacement than just downhill creep: clear
1633 rupture scars (scarps 3–5 m high) forming a joined-up arc despite limited travel distance, and shallow
1634 secondary landslides. Both RS sites are in highly fissile Jurassic shale and calcareous sand. Satellite
1635 imagery: as provided by Google Earth. Credits: S. Blondeau.

1636

1637 Fig. 4. RSF inventory of the Western Alps in relation to topography. RSF is endemic in the main
1638 massifs, but sparser in the highest and Prealpine massifs. Sites are additionally classified by four
1639 broad lithological groups. Graphs show relative frequency of RSF types in these groups.

1640

1641 Fig. 5. RSF attributes as a function of spatial and topographic variables. A: box-and-whisker plots of
1642 surface-area distributions by RSF mode. Main boxes define the interquartile range (IQR), showing
1643 median (horizontal line) and mean (cross). Whiskers are positioned at 1.5 IQR, thus leaving
1644 headroom for displaying the distribution of 'outsize' RSF. These are clearly dominated by RS ($n = 47$)
1645 and RSD ($n = 53$). Note that a single data point on the graph at this resolution may represent several
1646 closely-spaced values. B: RSF size–frequency distribution; long tail of 39 RSDs $> 10 \text{ km}^2$ not shown
1647 (see box plots). Types clearly aggregate to log-normal distribution. Note that numbers fall off rapidly
1648 below 0.4 km^2 for RS and RSD. C: RSF size detection thresholds. Enlarged first two increments of
1649 graph (B) to 0.2 km^2 . Numbers fall off sharply below 0.04 km^2 for RF, and RS and RSD numbers
1650 remain 'noisily' low within that size band. D: altitude of RS and RSD headscarps, by percentage of

1651 total area affected. E: RSF headscarp altitude, by numbers of each type. F: slope angle at RS and RSD
1652 headscarps, by percentage of total area affected. G: local relief (computed from the ALOS DEM using
1653 a 3x3 km moving window), by percentage of total area affected (all RSF types). H: RSF aspect (red)
1654 contrasted with overall hillslope aspect for entire study area (blue). I: aspect variation between RSF
1655 types.

1656
1657 Fig. 6. RSF inventory for the Western Alps as a function of lithology. Lithological base map, here
1658 consisting of 15 classes, was elaborated (A) out of the 178 lithological taxa provided by the BRGM
1659 1:50,000-scale digital maps of France, and (B) from the 1:250,000-scale map of Piemonte by Piana et
1660 al. (2017). Given the pre-ordained nomenclature of existing geological maps, lithology polygons
1661 emphasise pre-tectonic protolith rather than metamorphic grade or texture (see text). Massif
1662 outlines as in Fig. 1B. Blank areas are Val d'Aosta and Swiss border area, which lack compatible
1663 geological maps; the far south, where the digital database is corrupted; and Quaternary deposits.
1664 Green and red circles locate the outsize RS and RSD plotted in Figure 5A.

1665
1666 Fig. 7. Lithology as a predisposing factor of RSF incidence in the Western Alps. The association is
1667 obtained as a function of the three ratios specified in the keybox. The strength of association is
1668 indicated by the red bars, in decreasing order. Blank areas in Fig. 6 are excluded. Sources: A – France,
1669 from BRGM 1:50,000 scale geological maps; B – Italy, from 1:250,000 scale geological map by Piana
1670 et al. (2017), which also displays RSF locations mapped by Fioraso (2017).

1671 Fig. 8. RSF incidence and tectonic lineaments. Table gives proportions of RSF (by numbers and
1672 surface area) that intersect a lineament displayed on the 1:250,000 scale geological BRGM maps of
1673 the region.

1674
1675 Fig. 9. RSF and seismic activity. Earthquake magnitude/depth ratio (bigger circles suggest large,
1676 shallow earthquakes). Seismic database after Thouvenot and Fréchet (2006).

1677

1678 Fig. 10. Present-day regional tectonic stress field. Source: Delacou et al. (2004), simplified. Table
1679 showing proportions of RSF in each zone is by RSF surface area.

1680

1681 Fig. 11. Present-day uplift rates (source: Nocquet et al., 2016). The elongated dome of highest values
1682 centres on the Beaufortain–Vanoise massifs and Tarentaise (middle Isère) valley, but overall RSF
1683 distribution is unrelated.

1684

1685 Fig. 12. RSF incidence in relation to maximum ice thickness during the Late Pleistocene most
1686 extensive glaciation (MEG). A: spot ice depths derived from Coutterand's (2010) contour maps of the
1687 LGM icefield (glaciated piedmont farther west not shown). Dashed red line: equilibrium line altitude
1688 (ELA) during the MEG, ca. 1300 ± 50 m. B: box-and-whisker plots of RSF distribution by type as a
1689 function of ice thickness based on map A. Ice thickness was measured at headscarp altitudes for RA
1690 and RF (hence the small values), and at altitudes of displaced-mass base for RS and RSD because this
1691 is where any glacial debuitressing would focus.

1692

1693 Fig. 13. Example of upslope-directed RSD propagation into the periglacial zone. A: RSD near Pic
1694 Ségure (Guil River valley, Queyras massif). B: rockslide at the base of Pointe de la Sana (Vanoise
1695 massif). Current permafrost distribution after Boeckli et al. (2012).

1696

1697 Fig. 14. Croix du Berger RSF, 2250 m a.s.l., Beaufortain massif. Primarily a strikingly well-developed
1698 RSD, with 'false antiscarps' where multiple-source scarps daylight behind the crest, it also progresses
1699 to RS and RF modes. Credits: S. Blondeau.


1700


1701 Fig. 15. RSF clusters, hotspots, and super-hotspots in the Western Alps. A: RSF clusters (blue and dark
1702 blue combined), here defined from a point-based (or kernel) density map using RSF centroids as data


1703 points and applying a search radius of 10 km. Massif outlines (black lines) as in Fig. 1B, and major
1704 thrust fronts (BB and PFT) as in other figures. B: RSF hotspots (red and orange combined), here
1705 defined from a density map based on RSF surface area (same conditions as in 15A). C, D, E: point
1706 density clusters mapped for rockfalls and rock avalanches (C), rockslides (D), and RSD (E). F: co-
1707 occurrence of highest-density clusters (blue polygons in map A) and hotspots (red and orange
1708 polygons in map B). Intersections between the two categories are termed super-hotspots (labelled
1709 with Roman numerals). G: RSF clusters, hotspots and super-hotspots (as in map F) in relation to main
1710 tectonic units.

1711

1712 Fig. 16. Four new RSF units identified by this study in the Upper Rhône valley, augmenting the
1713 inventory of Pedrazzini et al. (2015). In B, the large Tour de Don rockfall has wide open fissures along
1714 the ridge, suggesting incipient further phases. Note that the feature interpreted as a rockslide in Fig.
1715 16B has been reported as moraine on Swiss maps; field control is required to adjudicate.







CEREMA


- | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| ① Egratz road (RF) | ⑩ Oulles (RF) |
| ② Combelouvière (RS) | ⑪ Charmonetier (RF) |
| ③ Madeleine SNCF tunnel (RSD) | ⑫ Chambon dam (RS) |
| ④ Mont Cenis (RSD) | ⑬ Pilatte refuge (RSD) |
| ⑤ Granges (RS) | ⑭ Pic Bunard (RF) |
| ⑥ Tête de Sauve (RSD) | ⑮ Mont Chauvet (RS) |
| ⑦ Pte de l'Aiguille (RSD) | ⑯ Clot de Pertuis (RF) |
| ⑧ Collet (RS) | ⑰ Billan (RS) |
| ⑨ Croix de Cassini (RS and RSD) | |




Eisbacher and Clague, 1984

- ⑱ Infernet (RA)
- ⑲ Granier (RA)
- ⑳ La Chapelle (RA)
- ㉑ Saint-Jean-de-Maurienne (RS)
- ㉒ Tête Noire (RA)
- ㉓ Salvan (RF)
- ㉔ Pointe d'Autigny (RF)
- ㉕ Lullin (RS)
- ㉖ Viuz-en-Sallaz (RS)
- ㉗ Bellevaux (RS)
- ㉘ Val-Ferret (RA and RF)
- ㉙ Massif de Platé (RSD)
- ㉚ Bec Rouge (RF)
- ㉛ Le Châtelard (RS)


Other studies

- ㉜ Mont Rognier (Hippolyte et al., 2012)
- ㉝ Aiguilles de Grives (Hippolyte et al., 2009)
- ㉞ Séchillienne (Schwartz et al., 2017)
- ㉟ Lauvitel (Delunel et al., 2010)
- ㊱ Toura (Vengeon et al., 1999)
- ㊲ Pas de l'Ours (Truche, 2019)
- ㊳ La Valette (Hibert et al., 2012)













Rock avalanches




Rockfalls












Rockslides



Rock Slope Deformation









A


France





B


Italy (Piemonte region)































Alpine Permafrost Index Map















Table 1. Aggregate RSF surface area by massif (ranked by decreasing order of RSF footprint size)

Massif name	Massif size km ²	RSF surface area										Mean altitude of RSF headscarps m	Massif area affected by RSF ¹ %
		Rock av.		Rockfall		Rockslide		RSD		All types			
		km ²	<i>n</i>	km ²	<i>n</i>	km ²	<i>n</i>	km ²	<i>n</i>	km ²	<i>n</i>		
Parpaillon	618	0	0	0.9	2	37.3	40	103.8	29	142	71	2389	22.98
Beaufortain	919	0	0	1.9	10	50.9	61	151.8	36	204.6	107	2111	22.26
Escreins	531	0.7	1	1.7	8	23	36	88.6	21	114	66	2675	21.47
Cerces	628	0.7	1	5.3	17	28.4	31	91.1	24	125.4	73	2465	19.97
Queyras	590	0	0	0.4	7	14	20	100.9	28	115.3	55	2529	19.54
Aig. Rouges	150	0	0	0.4	3	0.7	1	28.4	12	29.2	16	2212	19.44
Vanoise	1704	0	0	4.5	10	66.7	59	244.5	53	315.7	122	2397	18.53
Arves	404	0	0	2	3	32.5	32	34.4	12	69	47	2375	17.08
Mont Cenis	556	0	0	1	5	17.4	15	71.8	14	90.2	34	2574	16.22
Cottian Alps	1420	3.33	1	1.4	8	14.1	23	204.8	46	223.7	78	2505	15.75
Gd. Rousses	365	0	0	0.4	2	29.7	28	14	10	44.2	40	2124	12.11
Lauzière	345	0	0	0.1	1	16.6	8	21.2	7	37.9	16	1991	10.99
Ecrins	2456	2.1	2	6.4	33	49.6	41	170.3	54	228.5	130	2299	9.30
Haut-Giffre	630	0	0	5.3	13	10.2	5	41.9	21	57.3	39	2181	9.10
Viso	737	1.6	1	0.5	3	16.9	16	44.4	24	63.4	44	2309	8.60
Gd. Paradis	2367	7.9	3	5.7	16	22	18	152.2	45	187.8	82	2516	7.93
Chambeyron	1401	0	0	6.3	28	42.6	58	41.3	28	90.2	114	2502	6.44
Belledonne	1206	0	0	1.6	11	24.3	26	47.9	19	73.8	56	1920	6.12
Aravis	453	0	0	1.1	7	9.6	14	8.8	5	19.5	26	1852	4.30
Taillefer	486	1.9	1	0.5	2	11.2	10	5	3	18.6	16	1798	3.83
Graian Alps	1539	6.14	1	2.3	10	14.2	17	35.3	21	57.9	50	2323	3.76
Bornes	611	0	0	1.1	12	12.9	5	5.3	3	19.2	20	1794	3.14
Mont Blanc	715	0	0	0.9	4	1	1	19.3	12	21.3	17	2470	2.98
Chablais	1456	0.6	1	2.1	19	26.9	20	13.2	16	42.9	56	1819	2.95
Dévoluy	938	0	0	0.4	4	9	5	11.3	9	20.7	18	1928	2.21
Chartreuse	727	10.7	1	0.2	1	0	0	2	2	13	4	1723	1.79
Bauges	913	0	0	0.2	3	7.1	4	0	0	7.2	7	1656	0.79
Vercors	2799	0.4	1	0.4	5	1.9	2	6	4	8.8	12	1379	0.31
<i>Total</i>	27664	36.33	15	55.1	247	590.8	596	1759.6	558	2441	1416	2300	8.82

Notes. ¹ When adding to this inventory reports by Eisbacher and Clague (1984), the CEREMA, and Crosta et al. (2013), which each mention RSFs not included in this database because they were not detectable from the imagery (see discussion in section 5.1), massif areas affected by RSF change thus: Arves, 17.2%; Cottian Alps, 17.48%; Ecrins, 9.42%; Haut-Giffre, 9.40%; Viso, 10.65%; Grand Paradis, 8.72%; Chambeyron, 6.75%; Taillefer, 3.95%; Graian Alps, 4.26%; Mont Blanc, 3.01%; Chablais, 3.04%. Other values are unchanged. Augmented thus, the inventory brings the regional total footprint of RSF to 9.1% of the study area, i.e. 1446 RSFs covering a total area of 2526 km².

Table 2. Relative areal concentration of RSFs relative to the Penninic Frontal Thrust (PFT)

Location relative to PFT	All RSF types				RSD		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
	Total area (km ²)	No. of RSFs	Area (km ²)	Proportion of total land area impacted by RSF (col. 3 ÷ col. 1) (%)	No. of RSDs	Area (km ²)	Proportion of total land area impacted by RSD (col. 6 ÷ col. 1) (%)
West of PFT	14958	546	691	4.6	180	364	2.4
East of PFT	12706	870	1750	13.7	379	1393	10.9

Table 3. RSF super-hotspots in the Western Alps

Attribute		Super-hotspot (code name in Roman numerals: refer to Figure 15F for location)						
		I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII
Location	Cluster–Hotspot intersection (refer to Figs. 15F and 15G)	Cluster 7 and Hotspot 1	Cluster 9 and Hotspot 2	Cluster 10 and Hotspot 2	Cluster 10 and Hotspot 4	Cluster 11 and Hotspot 3	Cluster 11 and Hotspot 5	Cluster 12 and Hotspot 6
	Massif name	S. Beaufortain	SW Vanoise	NE Arves, NE Grandes Rousses	E. Ecrins, SW Cerces	Triple junction between W Cottian Alps, NE Queyras, and SE Cerces (Alpine drainage divide)	Queyras, Escreins	Central Parpaillon (massif core)
	Bisecting or bounding valley	North flank of middle Isère (Tarentaise)	South flank of middle Arc (Maurienne)	North flank of middle Arc (Maurienne)	Guisane	Upper Dora Riparia and upper Chisone (east-flowing); upper Durance and Cerveyrette (west-flowing)	Upper Guil	None
Topography	Maximum altitude (m)	2600	3000	2900	2600	3200	3100	2900
	Minimum altitude (m)	600	550	1000	1100	1300	1400	1600
	Maximum relief (m)	2000	2450	1900	1500	1900	1700	1300
Predisposition (preconditions)	Tectonic Domain (refer to Figs. 2, 15G)	Middle and Lower Penninic	Middle Penninic	Middle and Lower Penninic, Helvetic		Upper Penninic		
	Tectonic Zone (refer to Figs. 2, 15G)	Valais, Sub-Briançonnais, Zone Houillère	Sub-Briançonnais, Zone Houillère	Valais, Sub-Briançonnais, Zone Houillère, Ultra-Dauphinois, Ultra-Helvetic		Piémontais		Helminthoid flysch nappe, Zone Houillère
	Presence of major tectonic 'Zone' boundary (e.g. thrust front) or Alpine fault (refer to Fig. 8)	Lower and Middle Penninic thrusts and their thrust fronts	Middle Penninic internal thrusts	PFT, Middle Penninic internal thrusts, Ultra-Dauphinois thrust	PFT, some faults	BB (here striking NE–SW), and one NE–SW fault, both focusing large RSD	None of note	Briançonnais (i.e. Zone Houillère) thrust front, a few N–S faults
	Dominant lithology (refer to Fig. 6)	Detrital sedimentary (semischist fabric), flysch, evaporites	Detrital sedimentary (semischist fabric), flysch, marl, evaporites		Detrital sedimentary (semischist fabric), flysch, schist, some limestone	Schistes lustrés, gabbro, basalt, serpentinite		Flysch, marl, schist, evaporites
Possible drivers	Antiquity of syn-orogenic denudation paroxysm (refer to Section 2.2.3)	Substantial (> 20 Ma)						
	Late Neogene / Quaternary crustal stress regime (refer to Fig. 10)	Extensional						
	Relative residence time above successive Quaternary ELAs	Long						
Possible _e	Reconstructed LGM ice thickness (m)	1500–2000	1–1000 (a few exceptions up to 1500)	1–1000 (a few exceptions up to 1500)	1–1000 (a few exceptions up to 1500)	1–1000 (a few exceptions up to 1500 on Dora Baltea trough flank)	0–1000	< 500

(refer to Fig. 12)

Recent surface uplift rate (mm/yr) (refer to Fig. 11)

> 2

> 2

1.5–2

1–1.5

~1

0.5–1

0.5–1

Modern seismicity (refer to Fig. 9)

None of note

Briançonnais Seismic Arc

None of note

Table 4. Spatial incidence of RSF in mountain ranges documented by systematic mapping

Mountain range	Proportion of study area affected by RSF (%)	Size of study area (km ²)	Data source
Western Alps (France, Italy, Switzerland)	9.1	27,500	This study
South Carpathians (Romania)	1.1	20,000	Blondeau (unpubl.)
Southern Alps, central area (New Zealand)	2.0	21,000	Allen et al. (2011)
Highlands (Scotland)	0.8	21,000	Jarman and Harrison (2019)
Eastern Pyrenees (France, Spain)	1.8	3,000	Jarman et al. (2014)