



HAL
open science

Interorganelle communication and membrane shaping in the early secretory pathway

Pablo Lujan, Jessica Angulo-Capel, Morgan Chabanon, Felix Campelo

► **To cite this version:**

Pablo Lujan, Jessica Angulo-Capel, Morgan Chabanon, Felix Campelo. Interorganelle communication and membrane shaping in the early secretory pathway. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, 2021, 71, pp.95 - 102. <10.1016/j.ceb.2021.01.010>. <hal-04064650>

HAL Id: hal-04064650

<https://hal.science/hal-04064650v1>

Submitted on 11 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Inter-organelle communication and membrane shaping in the early secretory pathway

Pablo Lujan^{1,*}, Jessica Angulo-Capel^{1,*}, Morgan Chabanon^{1,2,*}, and Felix Campelo¹

¹ ICFO-Institut de Ciències Fotoniques, The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, 08860 Barcelona, Spain.

² Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya-BarcelonaTech, E-08034, Barcelona, Spain.

* These authors contributed equally

Corresponding author:

Felix Campelo

ICFO-The Institute of Photonic Sciences

Av. Carl Friedrich Gauss, 3. 08860 Castelldefels, Barcelona (Spain)

Phone: + 34 93 554 2225

E-mail: felix.campelo@icfo.eu

Abstract

Biomolecules in the secretory pathway use membrane trafficking for reaching their final intracellular destination or for secretion outside the cell. This highly dynamic and multipartite process involves different organelles that communicate to one another while maintaining their identity, shape, and function. Recent studies unraveled new mechanisms of inter-organelle communication that help organize the early secretory pathway. We highlight how the spatial proximity between ER exit sites and early Golgi elements provides novel means of ER-Golgi communication for ER export. We also review recent findings on how membrane contact sites between the ER and the trans-Golgi membranes can sustain anterograde traffic out of the Golgi complex.

Introduction

The spatial compartmentalization of cellular functions is a hallmark of eukaryotic cells. The efficiency by which these cells coped with increasingly complex functions was evolutionarily achieved by the appearance of membrane-bound organelles, which ensure a tight spatial and temporal regulation of intracellular biochemical reactions. A direct consequence of intracellular compartmentation is that each organelle has a well-controlled and well-defined biochemical composition (*organelle identity*). Another consequence is the functional importance of the morphology of these organelles, which is controlled by the tight interaction between membrane mechanics, composition, and cellular biophysics (*organelle shaping*). Situations where organelle identity and/or shape are compromised can have extreme physiological and pathophysiological consequences.

Importantly, the notion that intracellular organelles are independent entities working as distinct functional modules has been refuted in the last decades with the discovery of new means of inter-organelle communication and functional crosstalk. Here, we review some recent advances on how inter-organelle communication controls the shape, identity, and export function of the two main organelles of the early secretory pathway: the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the Golgi complex.

General principles of inter-organelle communication

Organelles have different ways of communicating to one another (**Table 1**):

Inter-organelle signaling. This indirect, long-range mode of communication is mediated by signaling molecules and occurs without the physical proximity of the two organelles. On the global cellular scale, organelles can use mechanical cues arising from the cytoskeleton or the extracellular matrix to communicate to one another (mechanotransduction). For example, the Golgi-associated small GTPase Arf1 can be activated downstream of integrin-based cell-matrix adhesions, thereby impacting on Golgi organization and function [1]. Notably, cell contraction regulates Golgi lipid metabolism, COPI-mediated trafficking, and SCAP/SREBP localization by activation of the lipid phosphatase Lipin-1 [2].

Intracellular trafficking. Secretory pathway organelles use membrane-bound transport carriers for long-range communication. Besides their well-established role in shuttling cargoes between organelles, these carriers can also convey additional functions, such as the triggering of mitochondrial fission by Golgi-derived vesicles [3], or the regulation of procollagen export from the ER by ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC)-derived membranes [4,5].

Membrane contact sites. Direct inter-organelle communication occurs when the membranes of two or more organelles come to close proximity to one another into a functional contact, commonly referred to as a membrane contact site (MCS). In MCSs, membranes (*i*) are in close apposition tethered by protein-protein or protein-lipid interactions, (*ii*) do not fuse together, and (*iii*) carry a specific biological function [6]. Although the existence of MCSs was already reported in the early days of electron microscopy, it was not until the 1990s when specific biochemical activities and physiological functions were directly linked to these structures (reviewed in [6]). Since then, and particularly during the last decade, our understanding of such mode of inter-organelle communication has dramatically improved. As a result, the field of MCSs has emerged as a major topic in cell biology [6–9].

Inter-organelle transient connections. Finally, it has been suggested that organelles directly communicate to one another by the transient formation of membranous connections between them. Although the existence of such connections in a physiological context remains debated, they have been proposed to serve as a means to tunnel cargo trafficking between Golgi cisternae [10–12], and between the ER and the Golgi/ERGIC [13] for the delivery of large proteins.

Inter-organelle communication for ER export

ER morphology and organization

The ER membrane forms a continuous but intricate network of sheets, helicoidal ramps, and tubules (of ~60 nm in diameter in mammals), which is maintained by a variety of proteins [14,15] and expands throughout the cytoplasm [16–19] (**Figure 1a**). Despite its continuity, the ER membrane presents specialized functional sub-domains, such as ER exit sites (ERES). ERES are enriched in COPII components and serve as departure gates for secretory cargoes [20–22]. Morphologically, ERES are distinct from the rest of the ER, forming single- or multi-budded structures and cup-like structures [23,24] (**Figure 1a,b**). ERES preferentially position on saddle-shaped or highly curved tubular regions of the ER membrane [25]. Although the number and spatial distribution of ERES varies considerably among species, there are generally more ERES in the perinuclear area than in the cell periphery [26].

Preserving a balanced morphology of the ER network is important for cargo export. For example, depletion of Atlastin –a dynamin-like GTPase that tethers and fuses ER tubules into three-way junctions– leads to defects in cargo loading into COPII carriers [27]. These defects are reverted when an Atlastin mutant capable of tubule tethering but not of tubule fusion is expressed. In the absence of Atlastin-mediated tubule tethering, the ER network has a lower connectivity and the membrane is less tense. In addition, the lateral mobility of lipids and proteins is slowed down in Atlastin-depleted cells, leading to less frequent cargo-receptor interactions and cargo export defects [27]. Since both membrane tension [28] and ER network dynamics [17,29] can enhance

protein mobility, Niu et al. proposed that Atlastin facilitates cargo loading in COPII carriers by maintaining the tension of the ER network [27]. This example underscores the importance of ER network morphology for its export functions.

ERES/cis-Golgi communication

A subset of ERES is generally associated to early Golgi elements, such as the *cis*-Golgi cisternae and/or the ERGIC [22] (**Figure 1a**). In yeast, ER arrival sites – ER regions where Golgi-derived COPI vesicles fuse to – enclose the ERES to which they are functionally and dynamically linked [30]. However, despite the growing evidence of the entanglement between ERES and early Golgi elements, it still remains unclear how the ERES structure is dynamically maintained in relation to the overall functional organization of the early secretory pathway.

ER export is altered in different physiological conditions [21]. Particularly, nutrient starvation induces ERES enlargement and enhances the association between ERES and ERGIC. This leads to the translocation of COPII components to the ERGIC, where they form COPII-coated vesicles for autophagosome biogenesis [31].

Of special interest is how inter-organelle communication between ERES and the ERGIC/*cis*-Golgi membranes assists ER export (**Figure 1b**). Procollagens and other large and complex cargoes, such as chylomicrons or apolipoproteins, have challenged the conventional view of how small COPII-coated spherical vesicles mediate export from the ER [13,32]. TANGO1 is an ERES-localized transmembrane protein that connects COPI-coated ERGIC membranes and COPII-coated ERES to allow for efficient procollagen shuttling between these two organelles. TANGO1 forms ring-like structures at ERES [4,33] where it binds both procollagens and COPII components [34]. In addition, TANGO1 recruits ERGIC-derived membranes to the ERES [4,35–38]. Interestingly, ERES-recruited ERGIC membranes seem to have a different function other than in retrograde trafficking: by fusing to the ER, these membranes can locally modify the biophysical properties of the ERES to facilitate procollagen export [5]. A physical model of TANGO1-mediated ER export described how different physical properties, such as membrane tension, dynamically contribute to the formation of procollagen-containing transport intermediates commensurate with the cargo they shuttle [5]. Fusion of ERGIC membranes to an ERES transiently decreases membrane tension, thereby facilitating the elongation of COPII-coated, TANGO1-capped, and procollagen-loaded transport intermediates. How dynamic the TANGO1 rings are and whether or how ERGIC membrane tethering and fusion to ERES is regulated still remain open questions. The proposal that the transmembrane helices of TANGO1 act as membrane shape sensors [39], let us entertain the possibility of a shape-mediated tethering regulation, in which the shape of the elongating membrane would control TANGO1 ring formation and ERGIC membrane tethering and fusion for procollagen export. Altogether, the dual role of TANGO1 as a regulator of ERES structure and export, highlights the important relationship between organelle structure and communication.

Notably, a comparable close contact between ERES and *cis*-Golgi elements exists in *S. cerevisiae* to control anterograde trafficking between these two organelles [40] (**Figure 1b**). Nakano and co-workers proposed a hug-and-kiss model whereby *cis*-Golgi cisternae wrap around and tether ERES (*hug*), after which ER cargo is directionally transferred to the Golgi complex

(kiss). This mechanism does not require the release of COPII vesicles in the cytoplasm for their subsequent Golgi capture [22,40]. Whether such a process creates a transient continuity between these organelles still remains to be elucidated.

Biophysical considerations for transient ER-Golgi connections

The proposal of transient organelle connections poses a major challenge: how could these connections form without causing organelle mixing or collapse? Brefeldin A-induced fusion of Golgi membranes with the ER leads to the rapid and massive absorption of Golgi components to the ER [41]. Early studies indicated that this is not simply mediated by passive diffusion but most likely by a tension-driven directional flow [42]. These data, together with the evidence that the tension of the Golgi membranes is lower than that of the ER [43], seemed to demur the existence of ER-Golgi connections. However, a step towards solving this conundrum arose from the finding that the transmembrane helix arrangement of TANGO1 can act as a lipid diffusion barrier [39]. Diffusion barriers permit minimal mixing of components and prevent a massive and quick absorption of membranes after transient organellar connections. This hypothesis that diffusion barriers help maintain organelle connections is further supported by the recent observations of thicker domains in yeast ER membranes that slow down the diffusion of short transmembrane proteins [44]. These domains are enriched at MCSs, underscoring the possible role of diffusion barriers in ER-Golgi communication.

Overall, there is mounting evidence that there is both direct and indirect functional communication between ERES and early Golgi elements. Such interactions play important regulatory roles in organelle homeostasis and ER export.

Inter-organelle communication for Golgi export

Golgi complex morphology and organization

The Golgi complex is the central organelle of the secretory pathway where cargo maturation and sorting for export take place. The Golgi complex is a polarized organelle, which in mammals is made of stacks of 4–8 cisternae that are laterally connected to form the Golgi ribbon [45]. Golgi cisternae are sac-like structures made of two large parallel flattened membranes (cisternal size ~1 μm ; inter-membrane distance ~30 nm), connected by swollen, highly-curved rims [46] (**Figure 1a**). Such a peculiar membrane morphology guarantees a very large surface-to-volume ratio, thereby presenting some functional benefits: (i) the large surface area of the membrane helps withstand the continuous cycles of transport carrier fusion and fission without a consequential alteration in the biochemical composition of the membrane [47]; and (ii) the difference in curvature between the flat sheet-like part of a cisterna and its highly curved rim provides a morphological cue to organize in space and time the different Golgi functions. Physical modelling indicated that membrane budding effectors help maintain cisternae flat by stabilizing the highly curved rims [48], hence highlighting the connection between the export capabilities of the Golgi complex and its shape.

There is increasing evidence that Golgi-resident proteins (such as glycosylation enzymes) are enriched in the flat parts of the Golgi membranes, whereas export machinery localizes to the highly curved rims [48–52]. Electron tomography showed the accumulation of S-palmitoylated cargoes at the rims of Golgi cisternae [52]. A separate study using super-resolution microscopy confirmed that glycosylation enzymes and the budding machinery segregate along the radial direction of the cisternae [53], backing the idea of a lateral segregation of Golgi functions. Various mechanisms contribute to dynamically organize the different reactions occurring in the Golgi membranes, including lipid-mediated, curvature-mediated, calcium-mediated, or receptor-mediated mechanisms (reviewed in [54–57]). While these studies emphasize how Golgi morphology impacts its secretory function, we review below how morphology is also a key aspect of Golgi inter-organelle communication, focusing on ER-Golgi MCSs and their role in export from the trans-Golgi network (TGN).

ER-Golgi MCSs

MCSs enable lipid transfer for TGN export. Golgi lipid homeostasis is preserved by non-vesicular transfer of lipids, which takes place at ER-Golgi MCSs (**Figure 1c**). These contacts form by the tethering of ER-localized VAP-A/B to a number of TGN-anchored lipid transfer proteins, such as OSBP or CERT, which are recruited to the TGN by binding to PI(4)P by their pleckstrin homology domains [8,58]. Notably, this lipid-protein binding is sensitive to cytosolic pH, which can be for instance modulated in response to nutrient (glucose) availability, thus enabling signal-mediated communication at the Golgi membranes for efficient cargo sorting and export [59]. ER-Golgi MCSs directly control the levels of sterols, sphingolipids and PI/PI(4)P in these organelles [8,58], and these lipids are crucial for cargo export from the TGN [55,60–63]. In particular, altering the sphingolipid metabolism at the Golgi membranes causes a massive cisternal curling into shapes with little rim area [48,51]. These shape changes are accompanied by global inhibition of cargo export from the Golgi complex [61], glycosylation defects [51], and mis-localization of Golgi proteins [48,51,64], highlighting the importance of MCS-regulated lipid homeostasis for organelle shaping and transport carrier biogenesis at the TGN.

MCSs contribute to transport carrier biogenesis. Dynamic ER-Golgi MCSs are needed for the export of a subset of cargoes from the TGN [65–67]. Both the depletion of ER-Golgi MCS components and contact site immobilization inhibit the formation of a specific class of transport carriers named carriers of the TGN to the cell surface (CARTS) [65,66]. Notably, super-resolution microscopy revealed that CARTS form at TGN regions that are adjacent to ER-Golgi MCSs, opening the possibility that ER-Golgi communication via MCSs could directly facilitate membrane budding and/or fission for CARTS formation [66] (**Figure 1c**). Interestingly, in yeast, peak-shaped ER membrane regions of very high curvature were observed at ER-plasma membrane MCSs and appear to enhance the lipid transfer rate [68,69]. The formation of these membrane regions of high curvature is mediated by tricalbins (extended-synaptotagmins, in mammals), which can also localize at ER-Golgi MCSs where they contribute to ceramide transfer [70]. Whether similar high curvature membrane peaks are present at ER-Golgi MCSs for transport carrier formation at the TGN remains to be investigated (**Figure 1c**).

Biophysical considerations of MCSs. MCSs play direct roles in determining organelle fission sites and initiating membrane constriction [71–73]. Short distances between membranes impose a series of mechanical effects and constraints, such as the limitation in the amplitude of membrane fluctuations/movements (e.g. membrane pinning [74]), or steric hindrance that prevents proteins with large cytosolic domains to enter the contacts [75,76]. It is therefore becoming increasingly clear that MCSs have a pleiotropic effect in the way they regulate organelle dynamics and function.

Summary and future perspectives

Since the pioneering discoveries by George Palade and colleagues, we have learned how the ER and the Golgi complex are intimately linked by their shared function in intracellular trafficking and protein secretion. Beyond that, new interaction modes in the early secretory pathway are just beginning to be uncovered. Some examples, which we highlighted in this review, are the close contacts between ERES and early Golgi elements as well as ER-Golgi MCSs. These investigations herald a whole new wave of research in inter-organelle communication, further establishing its often unexpected but central role in cell homeostasis.

Defining the molecular and biophysical basis of inter-organelle communication will pave our way to better understand the secretory pathway in health and disease. Combining novel approaches in fluorescence microscopy [17,29,77], and/or cryo-electron tomography [68,69], with tools to specifically monitor organelle contacts – such as fluorescence complementation [66,73] or FRET-FLIM-based tools [78] – will help to obtain ultra-highly resolved spatio-temporal maps of organelle connections. Moreover, *in vitro* reconstitution of inter-organelle contacts is a promising approach to study the fundamentals of these structures [79]. Pooled CRISPR/Cas9-mediated loss of function genetic screens provide unbiased ways of finding novel components involved in these processes both in 2D cell cultures [80] and in 3D tissue organoids [81]. Finally, a physical understanding of the principles governing how inter-organelle communication affects organelle shape and identity will be an added value to improve our holistic understanding of the early secretory pathway.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank Yuichi Wakana, Ishier Raote, Julia von Blume, and Maria F. Garcia-Parajo for critical reading of the manuscript. We acknowledge support from the Government of Spain (FIS2017-89560-R, RYC-2017-22227, and PID2019-106232RB-I00 / 10.13039/501100011033; Severo Ochoa CEX2019-000910-S), Fundació Cellex, Fundació Mir-Puig, and Generalitat de Catalunya (CERCA, AGAUR).

References

1. Singh V, Erady C, Balasubramanian N: **Cell-matrix adhesion controls Golgi organization and function through Arf1 activation in anchorage-dependent cells.** *J Cell Sci* 2018, **131**.
2. Romani P, Brian I, Santinon G, Pocaterra A, Audano M, Pedretti S, Mathieu S, Forcato M, Bicciato S, Manneville JB, et al.: **Extracellular matrix mechanical cues regulate lipid metabolism through Lipin-1 and SREBP.** *Nat Cell Biol* 2019, **21**:338–347.
3. Nagashima S, Tábara LC, Tilokani L, Paupe V, Anand H, Pogson JH, Zunino R, McBride HM, Prudent J: **Golgi-derived PI(4)P-containing vesicles drive late steps of mitochondrial division.** *Science (80-)* 2020, **367**:1366–1371.
** This paper reports a novel function for TGN-derived vesicles in mitochondrial division. Live-cell imaging in mammalian cells show the traffic of TGN-derived Arf1-positive vesicles to mitochondria-ER contact sites (MERCs). PI4P contained in the vesicles is required for mitochondrial fission, downstream of Drp1 oligomerization at the MERCs.*
4. Raote I, Ortega-Bellido M, Santos AJ, Foresti O, Zhang C, Garcia-Parajo MF, Campelo F, Malhotra V: **TANGO1 builds a machine for collagen export by recruiting and spatially organizing COPII, tethers and membranes.** *Elife* 2018, **7**.
5. Raote I, Chabanon M, Walani N, Arroyo M, Garcia-Parajo MF, Malhotra V, Campelo F: **A physical mechanism of TANGO1-mediated bulky cargo export.** *Elife* 2020, **9**.
*** This work proposes a biophysical model of TANGO1 and COPII interaction coupled to ERES mechanics. Results point to the importance of transient membrane tension reductions, possibly mediated by ERGIC membrane recruitment and fusion by TANGO1, to enable the formation of transport intermediates required for procollagen export.*
6. Scorrano L, De Matteis MA, Emr S, Giordano F, Hajnóczky G, Kornmann B, Lackner LL, Levine TP, Pellegrini L, Reinisch K, et al.: **Coming together to define membrane contact sites.** *Nat Commun* 2019, **10**:1287.
7. Wu H, Carvalho P, Voeltz GK: **Here, there, and everywhere: The importance of ER membrane contact sites.** *Science* 2018, **361**:eaan5835.
8. Mesmin B, Kovacs D, D'Angelo G: **Lipid exchange and signaling at ER–Golgi contact sites.** *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2019, **57**:8–15.
9. Bohnert M, Schuldiner M: **Stepping outside the comfort zone of membrane contact site research.** *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2018, **19**:483–484.
10. Nakano A, Luini A: **Passage through the Golgi.** *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2010, **22**:471–478.
11. Trucco A, Polischuck RS, Martella O, Di Pentima A, Fusella A, Di Giandomenico D, San Pietro E, Beznoussenko G V., Polischuk E V., Baldassarre M, et al.: **Secretory traffic triggers the formation of tubular continuities across Golgi sub-compartments.** *Nat Cell Biol* 2004, **6**:1071–1081.
12. Beznoussenko G V, Parashuraman S, Rizzo R, Polishchuk R, Martella O, Di Giandomenico D, Fusella A, Spaar A, Sallese M, Capestrano MG, et al.: **Transport of soluble proteins through the Golgi occurs by diffusion via continuities across cisternae.** *Elife* 2014, **3**.
13. Raote I, Malhotra V: **Protein transport by vesicles and tunnels.** *J Cell Biol* 2019,

doi:10.1083/jcb.201811073.

14. Shibata Y, Hu J, Kozlov MM, Rapoport TA: **Mechanisms Shaping the Membranes of Cellular Organelles**. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2009, **25**:329–354.
15. Wang N, Rapoport TA: **Reconstituting the reticular ER network – Mechanistic implications and open questions**. *J Cell Sci* 2019, **132**.
16. Shibata Y, Voeltz GK, Rapoport TA: **Rough sheets and smooth tubules**. *Cell* 2006, **126**:435–439.
17. Nixon-Abell J, Obara CJ, Weigel A V., Li D, Legant WR, Xu CS, Pasolli HA, Harvey K, Hess HF, Betzig E, et al.: **Increased spatiotemporal resolution reveals highly dynamic dense tubular matrices in the peripheral ER**. *Science (80-)* 2016, **354**.
18. Schroeder LK, Barentine AES, Merta H, Schweighofer S, Zhang Y, Baddeley D, Bewersdorf J, Bahmanyar S: **Dynamic nanoscale morphology of the ER surveyed by STED microscopy**. *J Cell Biol* 2019, **218**:83–96.
19. Terasaki M, Shemesh T, Kasthuri N, Klemm RW, Schalek R, Hayworth KJ, Hand AR, Yankova M, Huber G, Lichtman JW, et al.: **XStacked endoplasmic reticulum sheets are connected by helicoidal membrane motifs**. *Cell* 2013, **154**:285.
20. Centonze FG, Farhan H: **Crosstalk of endoplasmic reticulum exit sites and cellular signaling**. *FEBS Lett* 2019, **593**:2280–2288.
21. Aridor M: **COPII gets in shape: Lessons derived from morphological aspects of early secretion**. *Traffic* 2018, **19**:823–839.
22. Kurokawa K, Nakano A: **The ER exit sites are specialized ER zones for the transport of cargo proteins from the ER to the Golgi apparatus**. *J Biochem* 2019, **165**:109–114.
23. Bannykh SI, Rowe T, Balch WE: **The organization of endoplasmic reticulum export complexes**. *J Cell Biol* 1996, **135**:19–35.
24. Gomez-Navarro N, Melerio A, Li XH, Boulanger J, Kukulski W, Miller EA: **Cargo crowding contributes to sorting stringency in COPII vesicles**. *J Cell Biol* 2020, **219**.

* *This study uses correlative light-electron microscopy to visualize ERES and COPII budding events in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. A mechanism for ER export where secretory cargoes constrain COPII carrier formation is proposed, in which selective cargo enrichment in COPII-coated nascent vesicles generates a steric pressure that prevents nonspecific sorting and reduces bulk flow.*

25. Okamoto M, Kurokawa K, Matsuura-Tokita K, Saito C, Hirata R, Nakano A: **High-curvature domains of the ER are important for the organization of ER exit sites in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae***. *J Cell Sci* 2012, **125**:3412–3420.
26. Hughes H, Budnik A, Schmidt K, Palmer KJ, Mantell J, Noakes C, Johnson A, Carter DA, Verkade P, Watson P, et al.: **Organisation of human ER-exit sites: requirements for the localisation of Sec16 to transitional ER**. *J Cell Sci* 2009, **122**:2924–34.
27. Niu L, Ma T, Yang F, Yan B, Tang X, Yin H, Wu Q, Huang Y, Yao ZP, Wang J, et al.: **Atlastin-mediated membrane tethering is critical for cargo mobility and exit from the endoplasmic reticulum**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019, **116**:14029–14038.

** *Atlastin is a dynamin-like GTPase involved in membrane tethering and fusion that is required to form three-way junctions in the ER. This study revealed that Atlastin-mediated membrane*

tethering (but not fusion) is necessary for efficient cargo mobility inside the ER and COPII-mediated cargo export from the ER.

28. Quemeneur F, Sigurdsson JK, Renner M, Atzberger PJ, Bassereau P, Lacoste D: **Shape matters in protein mobility within membranes.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014, **111**:5083–5087.
29. Holcman D, Parutto P, Chambers JE, Fantham M, Young LJ, Marciniak SJ, Kaminski CF, Ron D, Avezov E: **Single particle trajectories reveal active endoplasmic reticulum luminal flow.** *Nat Cell Biol* 2018, **20**:1118–1125.
30. Roy Chowdhury S, Bhattacharjee C, Casler JC, Jain BK, Glick BS, Bhattacharyya D: **ER arrival sites associate with ER exit sites to create bidirectional transport portals.** *J Cell Biol* 2020, **219**.

* *This study shows the spatial relationship between ER arrival sites and ERES in the yeast Pichia pastoris, which has stacked Golgi cisternae. ER arrival sites surround ERES and both ER subdomains are functionally coupled to promote bidirectional trafficking between the ER and the Golgi complex.*

31. Ge L, Zhang M, Kenny SJ, Liu D, Maeda M, Saito K, Mathur A, Xu K, Schekman R: **Remodeling of ER -exit sites initiates a membrane supply pathway for autophagosome biogenesis .** *EMBO Rep* 2017, **18**:1586–1603.
32. McCaughey J, Stevenson NL, Cross S, Stephens DJ: **ER-to-Golgi trafficking of procollagen in the absence of large carriers.** *J Cell Biol* 2019, **218**:929–948.
33. Raote I, Ortega Bellido M, Pirozzi M, Zhang C, Melville D, Parashuraman S, Zimmermann T, Malhotra V: **TANGO1 assembles into rings around COPII coats at ER exit sites.** *J Cell Biol* 2017, **216**:901–909.
34. Saito K, Chen M, Bard F, Chen S, Zhou H, Woodley D, Polischuk R, Schekman R, Malhotra V: **TANGO1 facilitates cargo loading at endoplasmic reticulum exit sites.** *Cell* 2009, **136**:891–902.
35. Nogueira C, Erlmann P, Villeneuve J, Santos AJM, Martínez-Alonso E, Martínez-Menárguez JÁ, Malhotra V: **SLY1 and syntaxin 18 specify a distinct pathway for procollagen VII export from the endoplasmic reticulum.** *Elife* 2014, doi:10.7554/eLife.02784.
36. Santos AJM, Raote I, Scarpa M, Brouwers N, Malhotra V: **TANGO1 recruits ERGIC membranes to the endoplasmic reticulum for procollagen export.** *Elife* 2015, doi:10.7554/eLife.10982.001.
37. Liu M, Feng Z, Ke H, Liu Y, Sun T, Dai J, Cui W, Pastor-Pareja JC: **Tango1 spatially organizes ER exit sites to control ER export.** *J Cell Biol* 2017, doi:10.1083/jcb.201611088.
38. Reynolds HM, Zhang L, Tran DT, Ten Hagen KG: **Tango1 coordinates the formation of endoplasmic reticulum/ Golgi docking sites to mediate secretory granule formation.** *J Biol Chem* 2019, **294**:19498–19510.
39. Raote I, Ernst AM, Campelo F, Rothman JE, Pincet F, Malhotra V: **TANGO1 membrane helices create a lipid diffusion barrier at curved membranes.** *Elife* 2020, **9**:e57822.

* In this article, the transmembrane helices of TANGO1 were reconstituted in an in vitro GUV/tube system and shown to create a lipid diffusion barrier at the base of the GUV/ tube interphase. The study also showed that these helices are necessary to establish a well-aligned TANGO1 ring in cells.

40. Kurokawa K, Okamoto M, Nakano A: **Contact of cis-Golgi with ER exit sites executes cargo capture and delivery from the ER.** *Nat Commun* 2014, **5**.
41. Klausner RD, Donaldson JG, Lippincott-Schwartz J: **Brefeldin A: Insights into the control of membrane traffic and organelle structure.** *J Cell Biol* 1992, **116**:1071–1080.
42. Sciaky N, Presley J, Smith C, Zaal KJM, Cole N, Moreira JE, Terasaki M, Siggia E, Lippincott-Schwartz J: **Golgi tubule traffic and the effects of brefeldin A visualized in living cells.** *J Cell Biol* 1997, **139**:1137–1155.
43. Upadhyaya A, Sheetz MP: **Tension in tubulovesicular networks of Golgi and endoplasmic reticulum membranes.** *Biophys J* 2004, **86**:2923–8.
44. Prasad R, Sliwa-Gonzalez A, Barral Y: **Mapping bilayer thickness in the ER membrane.** *Sci Adv* 2020, **6**.
45. Makhoul C, Gosavi P, Gleeson PA: **Golgi Dynamics: The Morphology of the Mammalian Golgi Apparatus in Health and Disease.** *Front Cell Dev Biol* 2019, **7**:112.
46. Bykov YS, Schaffer M, Dodonova SO, Albert S, Plitzko JM, Baumeister W, Engel BD, Briggs JA: **The structure of the COPI coat determined within the cell.** *Elife* 2017, **6**.
47. Glick BS, Nakano A: **Membrane Traffic Within the Golgi Apparatus.** *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 2009, **25**:113–132.
48. Campelo F, van Galen J, Turacchio G, Parashuraman S, Kozlov MM, García-Parajo MF, Malhotra V: **Sphingomyelin metabolism controls the shape and function of the golgi cisternae.** *Elife* 2017, **6**.
49. Patterson GH, Hirschberg K, Polishchuk RS, Gerlich D, Phair RD, Lippincott-Schwartz J: **Transport through the Golgi Apparatus by Rapid Partitioning within a Two-Phase Membrane System.** *Cell* 2008, **133**:1055–1067.
50. Popoff V, Adolf F, Brügge B, Wieland F: **COPI budding within the Golgi stack.** *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2011, **3**.
51. van Galen J, Campelo F, Martínez-Alonso E, Scarpa M, Martínez-Menárguez JÁJA, Malhotra V: **Sphingomyelin homeostasis is required to form functional enzymatic domains at the trans-Golgi network.** *J Cell Biol* 2014, **206**:609–618.
52. Ernst AM, Syed SA, Zaki O, Bottanelli F, Zheng H, Hacke M, Xi Z, Rivera-Molina F, Graham M, Rebane AA, et al.: **S-Palmitoylation Sorts Membrane Cargo for Anterograde Transport in the Golgi.** *Dev Cell* 2018, **47**:479-493.e7.
53. Tie HC, Ludwig A, Sandin S, Lu L: **The spatial separation of processing and transport functions to the interior and periphery of the golgi stack.** *Elife* 2018, **7**.
54. Banfield DK: **Mechanisms of protein retention in the Golgi.** *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2011, **3**:1–14.
55. von Blume J, Hausser A: **Lipid-dependent coupling of secretory cargo sorting and trafficking at the trans-Golgi network.** *FEBS Lett* 2019, **593**:2412–2427.

56. Stalder D, Gershlick DC: **Direct trafficking pathways from the Golgi apparatus to the plasma membrane.** *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 2020, **107**:112–125.
57. Bassereau P, Jin R, Baumgart T, Deserno M, Dimova R, Frolov VA, Bashkirov P V., Grubmüller H, Jahn R, Risselada HJ, et al.: **The 2018 biomembrane curvature and remodeling roadmap.** *J Phys D Appl Phys* 2018, **51**:343001.
58. Venditti R, Masone MC, De Matteis MA: **ER-Golgi membrane contact sites.** *Biochem Soc Trans* 2020, **48**:187–197.
59. Shin JJH, Liu P, Chan LJ, Ullah A, Pan J, Borchers CH, Burke JE, Stefan C, Smits GJ, Loewen CJR: **pH Biosensing by PI4P Regulates Cargo Sorting at the TGN.** *Dev Cell* 2020, **52**:461-476.e4.

* *This article reports that the interaction between PI(4)P and pleckstrin homology (PH) domain-containing proteins is affected by the protonation status of the lipid suggesting that pH might act as a regulator of the binding. In particular, the article showed that the lipid transfer activity of the Osh1 (yeast ortholog of OSBP) at ER-Golgi MCSs is pH-dependent.*

60. Deng Y, Rivera-Molina FE, Toomre DK, Burd CG: **Sphingomyelin is sorted at the trans Golgi network into a distinct class of secretory vesicle.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016, **113**:6677–82.
61. Duran JM, Campelo F, Van Galen J, Sachsenheimer T, Sot J, Egorov MV, Rentero C, Enrich C, Polishchuk RS, Goñi FM, et al.: **Sphingomyelin organization is required for vesicle biogenesis at the Golgi complex.** *EMBO J* 2012, **31**.
62. Venditti R, Masone MC, Rega LR, Di Tullio G, Santoro M, Polishchuk E, Serrano IC, Olkkonen VM, Harada A, Medina DL, et al.: **The activity of Sac1 across ER–TGN contact sites requires the four-phosphate-adaptor-protein-1.** *J Cell Biol* 2019, **218**:783–797.
63. Rahajeng J, Kuna RS, Makowski SL, Tran TTT, Buschman MD, Li S, Cheng N, Ng MM, Field SJ: **Efficient Golgi Forward Trafficking Requires GOLPH3-Driven, PI4P-Dependent Membrane Curvature.** *Dev Cell* 2019, **50**:573-585.e5.
64. Capasso S, Sticco L, Rizzo R, Pirozzi M, Russo D, Dathan NA, Campelo F, van Galen J, Hölttä-Vuori M, Turacchio G, et al.: **Sphingolipid metabolic flow controls phosphoinositide turnover at the trans-Golgi network.** *EMBO J* 2017, **36**.
65. Wakana Y, Kotake R, Oyama N, Murate M, Kobayashi T, Arasaki K, Inoue H, Tagaya M: **CARTS biogenesis requires VAP-lipid transfer protein complexes functioning at the endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi interface.** *Mol Biol Cell* 2015, **26**:4686–4699.
66. Wakana Y, Hayashi K, Nemoto T, Watanabe C, Taoka M, Angulo-Capel J, Garcia-Parajo MF, Kumata H, Umemura T, Inoue H, et al.: **The ER cholesterol sensor SCAP promotes CARTS biogenesis at ER-Golgi membrane contact sites.** *J Cell Biol* 2021, **220**.

** *This article described a novel function of the ER cholesterol sensor SCAP in cholesterol-fed conditions. When complexed with cholesterol, SCAP interacts at the ER-Golgi MCSs with the VAP-OSBP-Sac1 complex to facilitate TGN export mediated by CARTS. CARTS budding sites were found to be spatially juxtaposed to the ER-Golgi MCSs, which were visualized using bimolecular fluorescence complementation.*

67. Casas M, Fadó R, Domínguez JL, Roig A, Kaku M, Chohnan S, Solé M, Unzeta M, Miñano-Molina AJ, Rodríguez-Álvarez J, et al.: **Sensing of nutrients by CPT1C controls SAC1 activity to regulate AMPA receptor trafficking.** *J Cell Biol* 2020, **219**.
- * *This article presents another example of the role of ER-Golgi MCSs in protein export from the TGN. In starvation conditions, the PI(4)P phosphatase Sac1 and the malonyl-CoA sensor CPT1C relocate to ER-Golgi MCS, where Sac1 is active causing a defect in export of the glutamate receptor subunit GluA1 from the TGN.*
68. Collado J, Kalemanov M, Campelo F, Bourgoint C, Thomas F, Loewith R, Martínez-Sánchez A, Baumeister W, Stefan CJ, Fernández-Busnadiego R: **Tricalbin-Mediated Contact Sites Control ER Curvature to Maintain Plasma Membrane Integrity.** *Dev Cell* 2019, **51**:476-487.e7.
69. Hoffmann PC, Bharat TAM, Wozny MR, Boulanger J, Miller EA, Kukulski W: **Tricalbins Contribute to Cellular Lipid Flux and Form Curved ER-PM Contacts that Are Bridged by Rod-Shaped Structures.** *Dev Cell* 2019, **51**:488-502.e8.
70. Ikeda A, Schlarmann P, Kurokawa K, Nakano A, Riezman H, Funato K: **Tricalbins Are Required for Non-vesicular Ceramide Transport at ER-Golgi Contacts and Modulate Lipid Droplet Biogenesis.** *iScience* 2020, **23**:101603.
71. Friedman JR, Lackner LL, West M, DiBenedetto JR, Nunnari J, Voeltz GK: **ER Tubules Mark Sites of Mitochondrial Division.** *Science (80-)* 2011, **334**:358–362.
72. Rowland AA, Chitwood PJ, Phillips MJ, Voeltz GK: **ER Contact Sites Define the Position and Timing of Endosome Fission.** *Cell* 2014, **159**:1027–1041.
73. Abrisch RG, Gumbin SC, Wisniewski BT, Lackner LL, Voeltz GK: **Fission and fusion machineries converge at ER contact sites to regulate mitochondrial morphology.** *J Cell Biol* 2020, **219**.
74. Kandy SK, Radhakrishnan R: **Emergent membrane morphologies in relaxed and tense membranes in presence of reversible adhesive pinning interactions.** *Phys Biol* 2019, **16**:066011.
75. Schmid EM, Bakalar MH, Choudhuri K, Weichsel J, Ann HS, Geissler PL, Dustin ML, Fletcher DA: **Size-dependent protein segregation at membrane interfaces.** *Nat Phys* 2016, **12**:704–711.
76. Jamecna D, Polidori J, Mesmin B, Dezi M, Levy D, Bigay J, Antonny B: **An Intrinsically Disordered Region in OSBP Acts as an Entropic Barrier to Control Protein Dynamics and Orientation at Membrane Contact Sites.** *Dev Cell* 2019, **49**:220-234.e8.
- ** *This study characterized the N-terminal domain of the lipid transfer OSBP (and of its ortholog ORP4) as an intrinsically disordered region that increases twofold the effective size of the protein. This study demonstrated how this domain contributes to membrane tethering, protein orientation, and, importantly, controls the entry of proteins at ER-Golgi MCSs.*
77. Valm AM, Cohen S, Legant WR, Melunis J, Hershberg U, Wait E, Cohen AR, Davidson MW, Betzig E, Lippincott-Schwartz J: **Applying systems-level spectral imaging and analysis to reveal the organelle interactome.** *Nature* 2017, **546**:162–167.
78. Venditti R, Rega LR, Masone MC, Santoro M, Polishchuk E, Sarnataro D, Paladino S, D'Auria S, Varriale A, Olkkonen VM, et al.: **Molecular determinants of ER-Golgi**

contacts identified through a new FRET-FLIM system. *J Cell Biol* 2019, **218:1055–1065.**

* *This study presented a novel approach based on fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET-FLIM) microscopy to visualize ER-Golgi MCSs. The combination of this new tool with electron microscopy approaches provides a systematic way to screen for components of the ER-Golgi MCSs, hence opening a new avenue to investigate this cellular structure.*

79. de la Mora E, Dezi M, Di Cicco A, Bigay J, Gautier R, Polidori J, Castaño Díez D, Mesmin B, Antonny B, Lévy D: **Nanoscale architecture of a VAP-A-OSBP tethering complex at membrane contact site 1 2.** *bioRxiv* 2020, doi:10.1101/2020.10.13.337493.
80. Bassaganyas L, Popa SJ, Horlbeck M, Puri C, Stewart SE, Campelo F, Ashok A, Butnaru CM, Brouwers N, Heydari K, et al.: **New factors for protein transport identified by a genome-wide CRISPRi screen in mammalian cells.** *J Cell Biol* 2019, **218**:3861–3879.
81. Esk C, Lindenhofer D, Haendeler S, Wester RA, Pflug F, Schroeder B, Bagley JA, Elling U, Zuber J, von Haeseler A, et al.: **A human tissue screen identifies a regulator of ER secretion as a brain size determinant.** *Science (80-)* 2020, **370**:eabb5390.

TABLE 1. Inter-organelle communication in the early secretory pathway.

Inter-organelle communication mode	Contact between organelles?	Membrane fusion?	Examples
Inter-organelle signaling	No	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cell-matrix adhesions control Golgi function and organization by Arf1 activation [1] • Mechanotransduction at the Golgi complex mediated by Lipin-1 and SREBP [2]
Intracellular trafficking	No (mediated by organelle-derived membrane-bound transport carriers)	Yes (of membrane-bound intermediates, but not of organelles)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • COPI- and COPII-coated transport carriers
Membrane contact sites	Yes	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ER-Golgi MCS mediate Golgi lipid homeostasis [8] and CARTS formation [19,20]
Inter-organelle transient connections	Yes	Yes	<p>Not well established.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heterotypic fusion between Golgi cisternae [11,12] • ER-ERGIC tunnels for procollagen export [13]

FIGURE 1




Figure 1. Inter-organelle communication and membrane shaping in the early secretory pathway. (a) Schematic representation of the membrane-bound organelles involved in the early secretory pathway in mammalian cells. **(b)** Two modes of communication between ERES and early Golgi elements. *(i)* Mechanisms of ERES/ERGIC communication for procollagen export in

mammalian cells. A TANGO1 ring (orange) links procollagen (cyan-striped poles) to COPII coats (not shown). TANGO1, by binding to a tethering complex (not shown), recruits ERGIC/COPI membranes (light green) to a nascent transport intermediate. Fusion of these membranes triggers carrier growth. The precise fusion site is yet to be revealed. Finally, TANGO1 creates a diffusion barrier at the carrier base to prevent membrane mixing (ER membrane, black lines; COPI/ERGIC membrane, gray lines). *(ii)* Hug-and-kiss model for proximity-based ER-to-Golgi transport in yeast. A dynamic contact between ERES (blue) and cis-Golgi cisternae (yellow) enhances the efficiency of anterograde trafficking. Whether COPII vesicles are fully formed and travel the short distance within the contact, or alternatively a transient fusion of the ERES with a cis-Golgi cisterna occurs remains unresolved. An ER arrival site where Golgi-derived COPI vesicles fuse to the ER is shown proximal to the ERES. **(c)** ER-Golgi MCSs contribute to TGN export. Membranes of the ER (blue) and the trans-Golgi cisternae/TGN (orange) come into close apposition in ER-Golgi MCSs (magenta). These MCSs are established by ER tethers (VAP proteins) and Golgi-attached lipid transfer proteins. They can facilitate transport carrier formation by regulating the lipid composition of the TGN membrane. It is possible that MCSs could additionally play a direct role in membrane shaping (e.g. by recruiting curvature-inducing proteins such as tricalbins) or in constricting the neck of a transport carrier (such as CARTS, in orange) for its fission.