

Contribution of Native and Exotic Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Improving the Physiological and Biochemical Response of Hulless Barley (Hordeum vulgare ssp. nudum L.) to Drought

Maroua Jerbi, Sonia Labidi, Frédéric Laruelle, Benoit Tisserant, Yolande Dalpé, Anissa Lounès - Hadj Sahraoui, Faysal Ben Jeddi

To cite this version:

Maroua Jerbi, Sonia Labidi, Frédéric Laruelle, Benoit Tisserant, Yolande Dalpé, et al.. Contribution of Native and Exotic Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Improving the Physiological and Biochemical Response of Hulless Barley (Hordeum vulgare ssp. nudum L.) to Drought. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 2022, 22 (2), pp.2187 - 2204. $10.1007/s42729-022-00802-2$. hal-04063463

HAL Id: hal-04063463 <https://hal.science/hal-04063463>

Submitted on 9 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ORIGINAL PAPER

Contribution of Native and Exotic Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Improving the Physiological and Biochemical Response of Hulless Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* **ssp.** *nudum* **L.) to Drought**

Maroua Jerbi¹[®] [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9302-521X) Sonia Labidi¹ · Frédéric Laruelle² · Benoit Tisserant² · Yolande Dalpé³ · **Anissa Lounès‑Hadj Sahraoui² · Faysal Ben Jeddi1**

Received: 15 October 2021 / Accepted: 9 February 2022 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Sociedad Chilena de la Ciencia del Suelo 2022

Abstract

Water scarcity is considered as one of the most limiting factors of cereal productivity in Mediterranean agricultural systems. This study aims at investigating the contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculation in improving the tolerance of hulless barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *nudum* L.) to water stress. The experiment was carried out under semi-controlled conditions. Two AMF inoculants: a mixture of fve native AMF species *Pacispora franciscana*, *Funneliformis mosseae*, *Funneliformis geosporum*, *Rhizophagus irregularis* and *Glomus tenebrosum* (NI) and a commercial inoculant containing six species of *Glomus* sp*.* (CI) were tested under two water regimes: well-watered regime (WW) and drought regime (D). Growth parameters such as plant height (PH) and shoot biomass; mineral nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sodium (Na), copper (Cu), iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) contents; photosynthetic pigments chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*), chlorophyll *b* (chl *b*) and carotenoid (Car); chlorophyll fuorescence parameter (Fv/Fm); leaf relative water (RWC); and antioxidant enzyme catalase (CAT) and peroxidase (POX) activities were evaluated to investigate the efect of both factors. Water stress afected plant growth of hulless barley. However, application of AMF biofertilizers attenuated this negative efect. Both AMF inoculants NI and CI improved hulless barley growth (the PH by 11.3% and 19.8% and the shoot biomass by 26.1% and 41.3%, respectively) in comparison with their controls under a drought regime. Mineral nutrient N, P, K, Cu and Fe uptakes were signifcantly higher in AMF-inoculated plants compared with non-inoculated ones. Concerning the photosynthetic activity, a positive efect of AMF was observed as well under well-watered and drought conditions. Under drought regime, the activity of antioxidant enzymes increased in plants inoculated with AMF. These results were positively correlated with mycorrhizal root colonization, which was improved by AMF inoculation. In plants inoculated with NI, mycorrhizal root colonization was 2.3 times higher than in plants inoculated with CI under drought conditions. This fnding was confrmed by the increase in AMF biomass assessed using specifc phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) and neutral fatty acid (NLFA) C16:1ω5 biomarkers in the rhizospheric soil of NI-treated plants in comparison with those treated with CI. In summary, the use of AMF could reduce drought damages by improving the physiological and biochemical responses of hulless barley. This study highlighted the potential role of AMF inoculation, in particular with native strains, as an innovative and eco-friendly technology for a sustainable crop growing system in arid and semi-arid areas.

Keywords Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi · Biofertilization · Water stress · Hulless barley · Antioxidant activity · Nutrition

Anissa Lounès-Hadj Sahraoui and Faysal Ben Jeddi are co-last authors.

⊠ Anissa Lounès-Hadj Sahraoui anissa.lounes@univ-littoral.fr

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

1 Introduction

Abiotic stresses, such as drought, have become more common due to climate change, especially in arid and semi-arid areas. The Mediterranean area, and especially Tunisia, was identifed as one of the regions most exposed to global climate change. Indeed, Tunisia has experienced 23 dry years from 1974 to 1997 (Giorgi 2006; USDA 2019). Drought is considered as one of the major factors decreasing crop

productivity (Lambers et al. 2008) and subsequently threatening food security (Dono et al. 2016). In fact, drought impairs various physiological processes in plant tissues as well as causes changes in both primary and secondary metabolites (Itam et al. 2020). It is well known that water stress induces oxidative damage in plant cells due to an overproduction of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as: superoxide anion $(O_2^{\text{-}})$, hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) and hydroxyl radical (˙OH) (Sachdev et al. 2021; Sharma et al. 2012). Under normal conditions, the ROS are scavenged by diferent antioxidant mechanisms and therefore they are unable to cause any damage (Foyer and Noctor 2005; Silva et al. 2010). Oxidative stress occurs due to the imbalance between ROS generation and ROS scavenging, which reduces physiological and antioxidant defense systems (Dar et al. 2017). Moreover, the over-accumulation of ROS leads to a multitude of processes, such as lipid peroxidation and oxidative modifcation of proteins and nucleic acids, which extensively damage the normal cellular functioning of plants (Sallam et al. 2019).

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is one of the oldest domesticated cereal crops (Zohary and Hopf 1993) and is widely cultivated all over the world (48 million hectares in 2019), ranking fourth in cereal production (FAO 2020). Barley is a versatile crop, used for animal feed, malting and human food (Elke and Emanuele 2013), yet is primarily used as grain or forage for livestock due to its higher fber, protein and mineral nutrient contents (Rogers et al. 2017). It has plenty of health benefts for human nutrition due to its high content of beta-glucan, tocols (including tocopherols and tocotrienols) and phenolic compounds, which are known to reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease by lowering blood cholesterol and the glycemic index (Baik and Ullrich 2008). In Tunisia, barley is the second most cultivated cereal after durum wheat with more than 0.5 million hectares, representing 46.4% of the total area of cereals. It is frequently cultivated in semi-arid regions of the country, under rainfed conditions (DGPA 2020). Water availability is considered to be one of the main factors afecting wheat and barley production (Hossain et al. 2012). New strategies to overcome drought are needed, especially in the context of food sustainability and security.

Global climate models in semi-arid regions suggest that water scarcity is expected to intensify in the future (IPCC 2013). To cope with this environmental stress, soil microbiota may play a key role in improving plant growth and productivity (Berruti et al. 2016; Grover et al. 2011). Among these plant-growth promoting microorganisms, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are the most widespread plant symbionts (Smith and Read 2008). Several studies have shown the beneficial effect of mycorrhizal symbiosis on plant growth by improving nutrient uptake efficiency under water deficit conditions (Al-Karaki et al. 2004; Begum et al. 2019a; Bowles et al. 2016; Gholamhoseini et al. 2013; Jayne and Quigley 2014). Moreover, it has been reported in several studies that AMF could improve the root surface area (Augé 2001) and thus increase plant water and nutrient uptake (Berruti et al. 2014; Marulanda et al. 2003). Many studies dealing with abiotic stresses have shown that mycorrhizal symbiosis had the ability to enhance plant growth properties as well as the photosynthesis and antioxidant enzymes activities of many species such as tomato, lettuce, citrus and maize (Mathur et al. 2019; Rouphael et al. 2015; Xu et al. 2018a). Hence, few studies have been concerned with the physiological and the biochemical properties of barley subjected to drought stress.

Thus, the current research represents a frst attempt to compare the potential of native and commercial AMF inoculations, under water stress conditions during tillering and grain flling development stages, in improving the growth of hulless barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *nudum* L.), one of the most important species for animal feed in semi-arid regions. To study the efect of AMF as biofertilizers, under water defciency conditions, leaf water status, chlorophyll contents, antioxidant enzyme catalase and peroxidase activities, plant biomass and nutrient status were assessed.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Native AMF trapping and inoculant production

The soil sample used to trap and to multiply the native AMF was collected from the rhizospheric zone of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* L.) plants cultivated under rainfed conditions in Siliana, in the northern region of Tunisia (36°23′47.24′′N 10°11′8.01′′E). Soil chemical properties were presented in Table S1. For the trap culture, the substrate was composed of one volume of soil mixed with one volume of autoclaved sand and perlite mixture (1:1 v/v). Common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) was the host plant. Pots were placed under a shelter during the period from January to August 2016. Plants were irrigated with Hoagland nutrient solution (Hoagland and Arnon 1950). The mycorrhizal inoculant produced contains a mixture of inert substrate, mycorrhizal roots, hyphae and spores of the diferent native AMF species. After the trapping period, spores were extracted from the soils using the method of wet-sieving (sieves of size 250, 125 and 40 μm) and decanting described by Gerdemann and Nicolson (1963), followed by the sucrose centrifugation method of Daniels and Skipper (1982). The spores were identifed based on their morphological characteristics (Dalpé and Hamel 2007; [https://ww.zor.zut.edu.](https://ww.zor.zut.edu.pl/) [pl/](https://ww.zor.zut.edu.pl/) *Glomeromycota*). Five AMF species were isolated from the prospected site: *Pacispora franciscana*, *Funneliformis* *mosseae*, *Funneliformis geosporum*, *Rhizophagus irregularis* and *Glomus tenebrosum*.

2.2 Experimental design

The experiment was conducted under shelter at the National Agronomic Institute of Tunisia (36°55′35.10′′N 10°11′8.01′′E). The plant species cultivated in this study was Hulless barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *nudum* L.). The experimental design was a split-plot arrangement in a completely randomized design (CRD) (Figure 1) with four mycorrhizal treatments: native inoculant containing a mixture of five AMF species as described previously (NI), commercial inoculant containing six species of *Glomus* sp. (CI), and two controls without inoculation (control NI and control CI) as main-plots and two water regimes: well-watered (WW) and drought (D) as sub-plots. Each treatment was replicated three times and, in each pot, four plants were maintained. For non-inoculated control plants, the same quantity of sterilized inoculant was added. Plastic pots (5 L) were surfacesterilized with sodium hypochlorite (NaCIO) followed by ethanol and then flled with air-dried soil collected from the experiment station of the institute. Chemical and physical characteristics of the soil were presented in Table S1. The CI and the NI with an average of 500 propagules per pot were added homogeneously just below the seeds. Seeds of hulless barley were surface-sterilized with 1% (v/v) NaCIO for 5 min and washed several times with distilled water and were then sown on 13 January 2018. Gravimetric water contents were measured at the start of the experiment to determine the soil feld capacity. The pots were arranged randomly with four plants per pot and were watered daily to maintain the soil at 70% of water holding capacity. Two water regimes were applied: well-watered, maintaining a holding capacity at 70%, and drought condition, maintaining the water holding capacity at 30-20%. The irrigation regimes were applied by weighing each pot and adding water to the weight calculated for the desired water regime. In fact, drought condition was induced twice by withholding the irrigation for 10 days at two critical growth stages: the tillering stage (68 days after sowing equivalent to the Zadoks stage Z20) and the grain flling stage (112 days after sowing equivalent to the Zadoks stage Z65). The drought period span was for 10 days (maintaining 30-20% of the holding capacity). Plants were then re-irrigated when the holding capacity of the pot reached 20%. The crop was harvested on 5 June 2018.

2.3 Plant biomass and mineral nutrient analysis

At harvest maturity, plants from each treatment (3 pots of four plants each) were hand-harvested and the shoot biomass was weighed. Then the shoot part was dried, crushed and

Fig. 1 Experimental design layout of the shelter pot experiment using a split-plot arrangement in a completely randomized design (CRD); the main plot treatments consist of four arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculants: native inoculant containing a mixture of fve AMF species *Pacispora franciscana*, *Funneliformis mosseae*, *Funneliformis geosporum*, *Rhizophagus irregularis* and *Glomus tenebrosum* (NI), commercial inoculant containing six species of *Glomus* sp. (CI), and two respective controls without inoculation (control NI and control CI); the subplot treatments consist of two water regimes: Well-watered (WW) and drought (D) with three replicates

then divided into two subsamples for mineral analysis. The frst subsample (100 mg) was used to determine the total nitrogen (N) concentration using the Kjeldahl method (Jones 1987). The second one (100 mg) was ashed at 450 °C for 5 h in a muffle furnace. The ash was extracted in 20 mL of nitric acid (0.1 N) and then was digested for 10 min at 100 $^{\circ}$ C. After fltration, extracts were analyzed with a colorimetric method (Pauwels et al. 1992) to determine the total phosphorus (P) concentration at 840 nm. Total iron (Fe), copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn) concentrations were measured at 248.3, 324.8 and 213.5 nm, respectively, with an atomic absorption spectrophotometer and calcium (Ca), sodium (Na) and potassium (K) at 422.7, 589 and 769.9 nm, respectively, with a fame photometer according to the method described by Pauwels et al. (1992).

2.4 Mycorrhizal root colonization assessment

Fine roots of harvested barley plants were cleared in 10% (w/v) potassium hydroxide (KOH), acidifed in 1 N hydrochloric acid (HCl) and stained with 0.05% (w/v) trypan blue as described by Phillips and Hayman (1970). The percentage of mycorrhizal root colonization was determined according to the method of McGonigle et al. (1990).

2.5 Soil AMF biomass quantifcation

After harvesting, the AMF biomass in the soil was determined through the evaluation of their specifc fatty acid biomarker amounts according to the method of Frostegård et al. (1991) and Labidi et al. (2015). From each sample, lipids were extracted from 3 g of lyophilized soil with a mixture of chloroform, methanol and citrate buffer (1:2:0.8), then the lipids were fractioned into neutral lipids, glycolipids and phospholipids in a silica acid column. The phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) and the neutral fatty acid (NLFA) were transformed into free fatty acid methyl esters by transesterifcation. The fnal extracts were analyzed using a PerkinElmer Autosystem gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with a fame-ionization detector (Norwalk, CT) with hydrogen as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 40 mL min^{-1} . The peak areas of fatty acid were quantifed by adding nonadecanoic acid methyl ester (C19:0) as an internal standard. Their identifcation relied on the retention times of fatty acids standard (Bacterial Acid Methyl Ester CP Mix in methyl coproate SUPELCO) and its mass analysis. The system was operated in the split mode (200 as split ratio) and helium was used as the carrier gas at a linear velocity of 37 cm s^{-1} . Fatty acids were identifed by comparing their mass spectra with the standard mass spectra in the NIST11s library. The amounts of the PLFA 16:1ω5 and NLFA 16:1ω5 representing membrane lipids and storage lipids of the AMF, respectively, were used as indicators of the AMF biomass (Frostegård

et al. 1991). Since the PLFA $16:1\omega5$ is not only specific for AMF but also for some Gram-negative bacteria, the ratio between NLFA and PLFA 16:1ω5 is always calculated. This ratio is high in AMF (1-200) and low in bacteria (< 1) (Olsson 1999).

2.6 Physiological and biochemical parameters measurements

During the two growth stages—tillering and grain flling the plant height (PH) was measured from the stem base to the crossing point of the last leaf for the four barley plants per treatment. Then, the plant leaf samples were used to determine the efect of the water regime and mycorrhizal inoculation on the physiological and the biochemical response of barley plants.

2.6.1 Leaf relative water content

The relative water content (RWC) was determined as described by Turner (1986). From each treatment, leaf tissues were cut out from the most expanded leaf and weighed immediately to obtain the leaf fresh weight. The leaves were then placed in distilled water for 24 h at 10 °C in the dark and then the saturated weight, or turgid weight, was measured. After that, the leaves were dried in the oven at 80 °C for 48 h to obtain their dry weight. The RWC was calculated using the following equation:

 $RWC = [($ fresh weight – dry weight)/(saturated weight – dry weight) $\times 100$

2.6.2 Chlorophyll fuorescence analysis

Chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm) was measured using a portable multi-mode chlorophyll fluorometer (OS5p, Opti-Sciences, INC, Hudson, USA). The leaves were darkadapted with a leaf clip holder for 15 min and were then illuminated with a saturating light. The measured Fv/Fm which corresponded to the potential quantum of photosystem II (PSII) was determined as follows: Fv/Fm = (Fm-F0) / Fm, where Fm and F0 are maximum and initial fuorescence yields of dark-adapted leaves, respectively (Maxwell and Johnson 2000). Six replications per leaf were taken for each treatment.

2.6.3 Chlorophyll *a***, chlorophyll** *b* **and carotenoid contents**

The photosynthetic pigments chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*), chlorophyll *b* (chl *b*) and carotenoid (Car) were determined according to the method of Torrecillas et al. (1984). Chlorophyll was extracted from 100 mg fresh leaf tissues in 5 mL of 80% (v/v) acetone buffer for 72 h in darkness at 4 \degree C. The

extract absorbance was then measured at 645, 663 and 460 nm using a UV spectrophotometer (Cary 100/300 series, Agilent Technologies, France). The concentrations of chl *a*, chl *b* and carotenoid were calculated by the equations of Mackinney (1941) and Arnon (1949).

2.6.4 Antioxidant enzymes activities

Peroxidase (POX) and Catalase (CAT) activities were assessed in mycorrhized and non-mycorrhized plants, 68 and 112 days after seeding. Leaf tissues (100 mg) were crushed and homogenized in 1 mL of 100 mM phosphate bufer (pH 7.0). After centrifugation, the supernatant was used to measure the absorbance of the protein content and the activity of CAT and POX enzymes at 750, 240 and 412 nm, respectively, with a UV/Visible spectrophotometer (Cary 100/300 series, Agilent Technologies, France). The POX assay was determined according to the Mitchell et al. (1994) method and the CAT assay was carried out using the method described by García-Limones et al. (2002) by following the decomposition of H_2O_2 . Total proteins concentrations in the enzyme extracts were quantifed according to the method of Lowry et al. (1951) using a commercial kit (BSA, Sigma, SaintQuentin Fallavier, France).

2.7 Statistical analysis

The effect of AMF inoculation (4 levels), water regime (2 levels) and their interactions on measured parameters was performed using the two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by least signifcant diference (LSD) Fisher's test at *P* < 0.05. Before statistical analyses, data were checked for normality and homogeneity of variance using the Shapiro–Wilk test. The principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using the package 'factoextra' (Kassambara and Mundt 2020). All statistical analyses were performed using the RStudio software version 3.6.0 (R Core Team 2019).

3 Results

3.1 Plant biomass and mineral nutrient contents

The analysis of variance showed that the AMF inoculation, as well as the water regime levels, signifcantly afected the shoot biomass of barley (Table S2). The results clearly indicated that the shoot biomass of AMF-inoculated plants by either NI or CI were higher compared to those of noninoculated ones under both well-watered and drought regime (Fig. 2). Water stress signifcantly decreased the shoot biomass ($P < 0.001$, Table S2) by 41.7% compared with the well-watered regime. However, in AMF-inoculated plants, the barley shoot biomass signifcantly increased by 26.0%

Fig. 2 Efect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculants and water regime on shoot biomass of hulless barley. NI, native inoculant containing a mixture of fve AMF species (NI); CI, commercial inoculant; control NI and control CI, two controls without inoculation. Bars indicate standard error of the mean $(n = 3)$. Statistically signifcant efect at **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001

with NI and by 41.4% with CI in comparison with non-inoculated control plants, under drought regime (Fig. 2).

The nutrient concentrations of N, P, K, Cu, Fe and Zn in barley shoot were significantly and negatively affected by the water regime $(P < 0.001$, Table S2). In most cases, AMFinoculated plants had a higher nutrient uptake as compared with non-inoculated ones. Under a drought regime, AMF inoculation with NI signifcantly improved N, P, K, Cu and Fe concentration by 1.0, 3.0, 3.5, 1.6 and 1.3 times, respectively, compared with their control plants. As for AMF-inoculated plants with CI, only N, P, Cu and Fe concentrations were increased by 1.2, 1.9, 1.7 and 1.4 times, respectively, in comparison with non-inoculated plants (Table 1). However, the K concentration showed no signifcant diference between AMF-inoculated plants with CI and their respective control plants. As for the Zn concentration, the interaction efect between the AMF inoculation and the water regime was not signifcant (Table S2). Unlike the other nutrients, the concentration of Na was significantly increased ($P < 0.001$, Table S2) by 38.8% with induced water stress. Under the drought regime, AMF-inoculated plants with CI showed a 31.8% lower Na concentration than non-inoculated plants. In contrast, the Na concentrations were similar between plants inoculated with NI and their respective controls (Table 1).

3.2 Mycorrhizal root colonization and soil AMF biomass

The AMF root colonization was significantly affected by the application of AMF inoculants and the interaction between all the factors (AMF inoculants \times water regime) ($P < 0.001$, Table S3). Under well-watered condition, AMF inoculation signifcantly enhanced the mycorrhizal root colonization of barley 4.7 and 4.8 times for NI and CI, respectively, in

Table 1 Efect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculation and water regime on nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sodium (Na), copper (Cu), iron (Fe) and zinc (Zn) contents of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *nudum* L.) shoots

	N	\mathbf{P}	K	Na	Cu	Fe	Zn
		$(mg g^{-1} DW)$ $(mg g^{-1} DW)$	$(mg g^{-1} DW)$	$(mg g^{-1} DW)$	$(\mu g g^{-1} DW)$	$(\mu g\ g^{-1}\ D W)$	$(\mu g g^{-1} DW)$
AMF inoculation							
control NI	$1.58 + 0.15^{\circ}$	$0.21 + 0.12^c$	$19.85 \pm 9.53^{\rm b}$	$82.01 \pm 9.64^{\text{a}}$	4.48 ± 2.15^b	$22.83 + 4.97^{\circ}$	$17.70 \pm 4.98^{\rm b}$
control CI	1.70 ± 0.43^b	$0.23 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	21.17 ± 2.24^b	$83.10 \pm 16.67^{\text{a}}$	4.23 ± 1.16^b	21.46 ± 4.80^c	18.68 ± 4.29^b
NI	1.92 ± 0.40^a	0.33 ± 0.06^b	43.44 ± 7.15^a	68.26 ± 22.64^b	7.16 ± 3.33^a	36.62 ± 13.29^a	29.20 ± 11.60^a
CI	$1.87 \pm 0.28^{\text{a}}$ $0.47 \pm 0.11^{\text{a}}$		$37.70 \pm 15.5^{\text{a}}$	58.34 ± 13.82^b	$6.90 \pm 1.60^{\circ}$	31.45 ± 6.35^b	37.74 ± 16.12^a
Water regime							
Well-watered	$2.05 \pm 0.22^{\text{a}}$	0.36 ± 0.13^a	$36.9 \pm 13.70^{\circ}$	$61.08 \pm 15.95^{\rm b}$	7.42 ± 2.13^a	$34.00 \pm 10.51^{\text{a}}$	32.52 ± 13.83^a
Drought	$1.48 + 0.12^b$	$0.25 + 0.12^b$	24.21 ± 11.40^b	84.80 ± 12.98^a	$3.97 + 1.12^b$	22.18 ± 4.32^b	$19.14 \pm 7.58^{\rm b}$
AMF inoculation \times Water regime							
control $NI \times Well-watered$	$1.71 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$		0.31 ± 0.04^{bc} 28.35 $\pm 2.94^{c}$	77.16 ± 12.29 ^{bc}	6.40 ± 0.46^c	27.03 ± 2.82 ^c	21.82 ± 2.64^a
control $CI \times$ Well-watered	2.09 ± 0.02^b	0.26 ± 0.03 ^{cd}	$21.33 + 1.94^{\circ}$	68.83 ± 7.49^c	5.13 ± 0.70 ^{cd}	23.73 ± 4.98 ^{cde}	$22.46 \pm 1.22^{\text{a}}$
$NI \times Well-watered$	$2.29 \pm 0.03^{\text{a}}$		$0.33 \pm 0.04^{\text{bc}}$ 46.65 $\pm 7.14^{\text{ab}}$	48.11 ± 7.12^d	$10.13\pm1.00^{\rm a}$	$48.53 \pm 3.37^{\rm a}$	37.63 ± 10.61^a
$CI \times$ Well-watered	2.13 ± 0.02^b	$0.56 + 0.06^a$	$51.18 \pm 6.89^{\rm a}$	$50.24 \pm 13.19^{\text{d}}$	$8.00 \pm 1.51^{\rm b}$	36.70 ± 2.19^b	48.17 ± 14.18^a
control $NI \times Drought$	1.45 ± 0.05 ^f	$0.11 + 0.06^e$	$11.37 \pm 1.51^{\text{d}}$	88.42 ± 3.54^{ab}	2.57 ± 0.65 ^f	18.63 ± 1.03^e	$13.59\pm2.08^{\rm a}$
control $CI \times Drought$	$1.31 + 0.01g$	$0.20 + 0.07^{\text{de}}$	21.02 ± 2.95 ^c	$97.39 \pm 5.25^{\text{a}}$	3.33 ± 0.67 ^{ef}	19.20 ± 4.19 ^{de}	$14.90 \pm 1.34^{\text{a}}$
$NI \times Drought$	1.55 ± 0.04^e	0.33 ± 0.09 ^{bc}	40.22 ± 6.78 ^b	86.87 ± 3.29^{ab}	$4.20 + 0.62^{\text{de}}$	24.70 ± 2.15 ^{cd}	20.75 ± 3.12^a
$CI \times Drought$	1.61 ± 0.03 ^d	$0.38 \pm 0.05^{\rm b}$	$24.23 + 2.76^{\circ}$	$66.44 + 10.35^{\circ}$	$5.80 + 0.72^{\circ}$	26.20 ± 3.67^c	27.31 ± 11.10^a
Significance							
AMF inoculation	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
Water regime	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
AMF inoculation \times Water regime	***	*	***	\ast	**	***	ns

Plants were grown in agricultural soil (non-sterile) inoculated with native inoculant containing a mixture of fve AMF species (NI); with commercial inoculant (CI); or non-inoculated with sterilized inoculants (control NI and control CI). Diferent letters in the same line indicate signifcant diferences at 0.05 by Fisher's LSD test. Asterisks indicate signifcance at **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01 and *** *P* < 0.001, and ns indicates not significant ($P > 0.05$)

comparison with their respective non-inoculated control plants (Table 2). A drought regime signifcantly decreased the AMF root colonization of plants inoculated with CI by 32.7% and non-inoculated ones by 58.6% (Table 2). However, the root colonization rate reached a peak in AMFinoculated plants with NI and was higher by 42.3% compared with a well-watered regime (Table 2). In order to evaluate the efect of mycorrhizal inoculation with NI and CI on the AMF biomass in the soil, the PLFA and the NLFA 16:1ω5 were determined in inoculated and non-inoculated treatments. It was found that the addition of NI and CI signifcantly increased the soil NLFA 16:1ω5 content, which represents mainly the vesicle storage structures, 12.3 and 8.0 times, respectively, compared with the non-inoculated treatments at both water conditions (Table 2). The highest amounts of NLFA 16:1ω5 were observed in soils inoculated with NI (6.8 and 6.03 μ g g⁻¹ of soil under drought and wellwatered regimes, respectively) (Table 2). Similarly, the soil PLFA 16:1ω5, used here to quantify the biomass of AMF in soils, showed a similar trend as the soil NLFA 16:1ω5 content (Table 2). On the other hand, the NLFA/PLFA 16:1ω5 ratio in the soil of inoculated barley plants ranging from 1.38 to 5.86 (> 1), which indicates the AMF predominance. However, low values (< 1) of the NLFA/PLFA 16:1 ω 5 ratio (range 0.20-0.35), were observed in the non-inoculated soil (Table 2). For AMF-inoculated plants with NI and CI, the NLFA 16:1ω5 was signifcantly and positively correlated with total root mycorrhizal colonization $(R^2 = 0.81^{***})$. However, there was a low and not signifcant correlation $(R² = 0.16)$ between the concentration of NLFA 16:1 ω 5 in the soil and total root colonization in non-inoculated plants (Fig. 3).

3.3 Plant height

The analysis of variance (Table 3a and b) showed that PH at both growth stages (tillering and grain flling) were signifcantly afected by the AMF inoculants, the water regime and their interactions ($P < 0.001$, Table S4). The drought regime reduced the PH of barley by 23.0% at both growth stages, compared with the well-watered regime (Table 3). Under the drought regime, the PH in

Table 2 Efect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculation and water regime on total root colonization (Tot Myc), soil neutral lipid fatty acid (NLFA 16:1ω5), phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA 16:1ω5) amounts and ratio between NLFA and PLFA (NLFA/PLFA 16:1ω5) of hulless barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *nudum* L.)

Plants were grown in agricultural soil (non-sterile) inoculated with native inoculant containing a mixture of fve AMF species (NI); with commercial inoculant (CI); or non-inoculated with sterilized inoculants (control NI and control CI). Different letters in the same line indicate significant diferences at 0.05 by Fisher's LSD test. Asterisks indicate signifcance at **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01 and *** *P* < 0.001, and ns indicates not significant ($P > 0.05$)

Fig. 3 The correlation between mycorrhizal root colonization and soil NLFA 16:1ω5 content of inoculated and non-inoculated plants with native inoculant (NI) and with commercial inoculant (CI) under the two different water regimes, ns = not significant, $* = P < 0.05$, $** =$ $P < 0.01$, *** = $P < 0.001$

AMF-inoculated plants with NI and CI were increased by 11.2% and 19.8%, respectively, at the tillering stage (Table 3a) and by 10.1% and 27.3%, respectively, at the grain flling stage (Table 3b) compared with those in the non-inoculated plants.

3.4 Leaf relative water content

The leaf RWC was signifcantly infuenced by the AMF inoculants, the water regime and by the interaction between the two factors ($P < 0.001$, Table S4) at both growth stages. The RWC in leaves was signifcantly diminished in response to drought stress (Table 3). In comparison with AMF-inoculated plants with NI and CI, the RWC in non-inoculated control plants were signifcantly reduced by 10.1% and 16.5%, respectively, at the tillering stage (Table 3a) and by 58.1% and 48.8%, respectively, at the grain flling stage (Table 3b) under a drought regime.

Table 3 Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculation and water regime on plant height (PH), leaf relative water content (RWC), leaf chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm), leaf chloro-
phyll a (Chl a), chlorophyll b ||\$ Table 3 Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculation and water regime on plant height (PH), leaf relative water content (RWC), leaf chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm), leaf chlorophyll a (Chl d), chlorophyll

Table 3 (continued)

Plants were grown in agricultural soil (non-sterile) inoculated with native inoculant containing a mixture of five AMF species (NI); with commercial inoculant (CI); or non-inoculated with sterilized inoculates (control NI Plants were grown in agricultural soil (non-sterile) inoculated with native inoculant containing a mixture of fve AMF species (NI); with commercial inoculant (CI); or non-inoculated with sterilized inoculants (control NI and control CI). Diferent letters in the same line indicate signifcant diferences at 0.05 by Fisher's LSD test. Asterisks indicate signifcance at **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01 and *** $P < 0.001$, and ns indicates not significant ($P > 0.05$)

 $\overline{1}$

3.5 Leaf pigments concentrations and chlorophyll fuorescence parameter (Fv/Fm)

The results revealed that Chl *a*, Chl *b*, Car concentrations and Fv/Fm were negatively and signifcantly (*P <* 0.001) afected by the drought regime (Table 3). As for the noninoculated plants, Chl *a*, Chl *b*, and Car contents and Fv/ Fm were significantly $(P < 0.001$, Table S4) lower compared with the AMF-inoculated plants at both growth stages (Table 3). At the tillering stage and under a drought regime, the AMF-inoculated plants with NI and CI showed a 3.3 and 4.3 times increase, respectively, in Chl *a*, 1.9 and 2.6 times increase, respectively, in Chl *b*, 3.0 and 2.2 times increase, respectively, in Car, and 1.1 and 1.3 times increase, respectively, in Fv/Fm (Table 3a). At the grain flling stage, the same pattern was observed: AMF-inoculated plants presented the highest values under a drought regime (Table 3b).

3.6 Antioxidant Enzyme activities

The CAT and the POX activities were significantly affected by the water regime, the AMF inoculants and the interaction of both factors ($P < 0.001$), as shown by the twoway ANOVA results (Table S4). Under a drought regime, CAT and POX activities were signifcantly increased in

AMF-inoculated plants at both growth stages. However, a signifcant decrease in the activity of these antioxidant enzymes was observed in non-inoculated plants subjected to a drought regime (Table 3). Compared with the non-inoculated plants, AMF inoculation with NI and CI improved CAT activity by 6.3 and 7.1 times, respectively, at the tillering stage (Table 3a), and 7 and 5.8 times, respectively, at the grain flling stage (Table 3b) under a drought regime. Similarly, POX activity in AMF-inoculated plants with NI and CI signifcantly increased by 4.8 and 5.2 times, respectively, at the tillering stage, and by 8.5 and 4.4 times, respectively, at the grain flling stage compared with those in non-inoculated ones (Table 3a and b).

3.7 Relationships between the diferent AMF inoculants and the measured parameters under well‑watered and drought regimes

A PCA was carried out to describe the relationships between the diferent AMF inoculants and the measured parameters under well-watered and drought regimes. The frst two components (PC1 and PC2) explained 85.7% of the total variation (Fig. 4). In fact, the frst component, PC1, explained the majority of the variation (66.7%), and was closely related to the relative water content, leaf photosynthetic pigments

Fig. 4 Principal component analysis of the studied parameters of diferent arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculants under well-watered and drought regime. WW, well-watered, D, drought; NI, native inoculant; CI, commercial inoculant, control, non-inoculated; T, tillering growth stage; GF, grain flling growth stage; PH, plant height; RWC, relative water content in leaves; Chl a, chlorophyll *a*; Chl b, chlorophyll *b*; Car, carotenoid; Fv, the maximal quantum yield of PSII in dark-adapted state (Fv/Fm); POX, peroxidase activity; CAT, catalase activity; N. shoot, nitrogen uptake of shoots; P. shoot, phosphorus uptake of shoots; K. shoot, potassium uptake of shoots; Na. shoot, sodium uptake of shoots; Cu. shoot, copper uptake of shoots; Fe. shoot, iron uptake of shoots; Zn. shoot, zinc uptake of shoots; Tot. Myc, total root mycorrhizal colonization

Principal Component Analysis

Chl *a*, chl *b* and Car contents and chlorophyll fuorescence value at both the tillering and grain flling stages (Table S5). Also, most of the mineral nutrients were strongly and positively correlated with PC1 such as N. shoot (r^2 = 0.86, $P < 0.0001$), P. shoot ($r^2 = 0.76$, $P < 0.0001$) and K. shoot $(r^2 = 0.85, P < 0.0001)$. However, the Na. shoot was negatively correlated with PC1 (r^2 = -0.84, $P < 0.0001$). The plant height and biomass were positively correlated with PC1 (r^2 = 0.94, $P < 0.0001$), as well as the total mycorrhizal root colonization (r^2 = 0.66, P < 0.001). The results showed that PC2, which explained 19% of the total variation, was predominantly infuenced by the enzymatic activities such as POX ($r^2 = 0.91$, $P < 0.0001$) and CAT ($r^2 = 0.93$, *P* < 0.0001) at the grain flling growth stage, as well as the mycorrhizal root colonization (r^2 = 0.68, P < 0.001). Under a drought regime, the PCA indicated positive correlations with the AMF inoculation, plant height and biomass, nutrient concentrations of N, P, K, Cu, Fe and Zn, relative water content, photosynthetic pigments, chlorophyll fuorescence parameters and enzymatic activities. However, the concentration of Na increased during a drought regime, especially in non-inoculated plants. Plants inoculated with NI and CI tend to have higher values, especially for nutrient uptake, photosynthetic and enzymatic activities and biomass. The PCA results also confrmed the negative impact of water stress on these parameters; however, the inoculation with AMF mitigated these effects (Fig. 4, Table S5).

4 Discussion

Hulless barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *nudum* L.) is a very promising crop, as it can be used for food and feed, especially in semi-arid areas. However, there have been relatively few studies on it. This study is among the frst ones analyzing in detail the efects of native AMF species on physiological and biochemical responses to water stress in hulless barley. In this regard, a pot experiment using native inoculant containing fve AMF species of *Pacispora franciscana*, *Funneliformis mosseae*, *Funneliformis geosporum*, *Rhizophagus irregularis* and *Glomus tenebrosum* and commercial inoculant containing six species of *Glomus* sp. was carried out under well-watered and drought regimes. Total mycorrhizal colonization, plant growth parameters, mineral nutrient contents and antioxidant enzyme activities were investigated.

4.1 AMF inoculation increased mycorrhizal colonization of barley

In the present study, AMF inoculation significantly increased mycorrhizal root colonization of barley under both well-watered and drought conditions. We found that root colonization with the CI was greater in barley plants grown under well-watered rather than under drought conditions. This result is in agreement with previous studies, which reported that AMF root colonization decreased under water stress condition in *Triticum aestivum* cultivars inoculated with *G. mosseae* and with *G. etunicatum* (Al-Karaki et al. 2004) and in *Cyclobalanopsis glauca* using *G. mosseae* (actually *F. mosseae* (Nicol. and Gerd.)) and *G. intraradices* (actually *R. intraradices* (Schenck and Smith) Walker and Schüßler comb. nov.) (Zhang et al. 2014). Furthermore, the NI showed the highest root colonization rate when barley plants were exposed to drought conditions. The results were in line with the amounts of the NLFA 16:1ω5 and the PLFA 16:1ω5 quantifed in the rhizospheric soil. The same responses were obtained in *Zea mays* with *F. mosseae* (Ren et al. 2019) and in *Cucumis melo* with native consortium containing *Glomus* sp., *Sclerocystis* sp. and *Acaulospora* sp. (Meddich et al. 2021). Similarly, Chareesri et al. (2020) found that mycorrhizal colonization in rice roots was higher under dry conditions as compared with well-watered conditions due to the aerobic nature of AMF. In fact, the greater mycorrhizal root colonization with NI in comparison with CI, in addition to their high tolerance to water stress, may be related to the origin of the AMF. Similar results of the efectiveness of native AMF species in Mediterranean areas were reported in previous studies (Caravaca et al. 2005; Marulanda et al. 2007, 2009; Pellegrino et al. 2011). It has been suggested by Pellegrino and Bedini (2014) and Querejeta et al. (2006) that native AMF species had better adaptability to local climatic and edaphic conditions in semi-arid areas than non-native species. Thus, the mixture of native AMF species may be more beneficial to the plant growth due to the intra-specifc synergism and the functional complementarity among locally adapted AMF species (Koch et al. 2011; Koide 2000).

4.2 AMF inoculation enhanced plant growth and mineral nutrition of barley under water stress through physiological and biochemical responses

In this study, the plant height and biomass production of barley were signifcantly higher in plants inoculated with NI as well as with CI as compared with non-inoculated ones. These results were in agreement with previous fndings reported by Begum et al. (2019b) for maize, Bernardo et al. (2019) for wheat and Hashem et al. (2019) for chickpeas. In response to stress, abscisic acid (ABA) and strigolactones were induced which may actively promote AMF functioning and therefore plant performance (Pozo et al. 2015). In response to drought, the biosynthesis of strigolactones was increased in the presence of *R. irregularis* through the upregulation of gene *SlCCD7* expression leading to better development of lettuce and tomato (Ruiz-Lozano et al. 2016). The improvement in barley growth in inoculated plants under water stress conditions could be related to the well-developed root system and the increase in nutrient and water uptake (Hashem et al. 2018) via the establishment of an extensive extra-radical hyphae of AMF (Pagano 2014; Wu and Zou 2017). Moreover, AMF symbiosis can increase the biosynthesis of cellulose, hemicellulose, lipid molecules and carbohydrates, which lead to plant growth enhancement (Tarnabi et al. 2020). The boosting of plant growth observed with the AMF inoculation could be explained by the greater absorption of several nutrients from the soil by the plants, in particular nitrogen and phosphorus (Anli et al. 2020). Many studies also confrmed the correlation of growth enhancement with increased soil water level (Wu and Zou 2017). Our results revealed that plants inoculated with a combination of native AMF species and commercial AMF had considerably higher mineral nutrient N, P, K, Cu and Fe contents under drought conditions, compared with control plants. Similar results were reported by Al-Karaki et al. (2004) with *Glomus* sp., by Chen et al. (2017) with diferent AMF species from diferent genera such as *Claroideoglomus* sp., *Funneliformis* sp., *Diversispora* sp., *Glomus* sp., and *Rhizophagus* sp. and by Boutasknit et al. (2020) with a mixture of 25 species of *Glomus*, *Gigaspora*, *Acaulospora* and *Entrophospora*. The enhancement in mineral nutrition of inoculated plants could be linked to the ability of AMF to develop a hyphal network in order to access the unexplored region beyond the root depletion zone and thus to increase nutrient acquisition (Smith and Read 2008). Nitrogen and phosphorus are considered to be the most important elements for plant growth. Under water deficit condition, increased P and N in inoculated plants subsequently improved photosynthetic activities, which refect the enhancement of plant growth by AMF (Begum et al. 2019a; Hameed et al. 2014; Zhu et al. 2014). Here, the increase in biomass and growth rates in AMFinoculated plants can be directly linked to an increase of the P content in leaves, the major component in many metabolic processes, especially those related to nucleic acid synthesis, maintenance of membrane structures, cell division, energy metabolism and many other physiological processes during plant development (Malhotra et al. 2018). Also, the current work pointed out that barley plants inoculated with native AMF species had a higher K concentration but a lower Na concentration as compared with the non-inoculated ones. These results are in line with those of Al-Arjani et al. (2020) and Begum et al. (2019b). This might indicate the ability of AMF to pre-select elements for plants, such as K, which act as osmotic equivalents while they limit the uptake of toxic Na (Hammer et al. 2011) by retaining these ions in their intra-radical hyphae structures (Al-Karaki 2006). In addition, El-Mesbahi et al. (2012) demonstrated that the plant K concentration infuences the regulation of root hydraulic properties via AMF symbionts. Furthermore, an increased K concentration in barley can indirectly regulate osmotic adjustment and reduce the excessive accumulation of ROS in cell tissues (Begum et al. 2019b).

In the present study, mycorrhizal inoculation enhanced the leaf RWC of barley plants under well-watered and drought conditions, which is in agreement with the results of Aalipour et al. (2020a), Gholamhoseini et al. (2013), Mathur et al. (2019) and Zhu et al. (2012). This could be related to the ability of AMF to enhance the stomatal conductance capacity (Augé et al. 2008; Symanczik et al. 2018), osmotic adjustment (Augé 2004; Ruiz-lozano and Azcon 1995) and water retention (Augé et al. 2001) under such osmotic stress. Under drought conditions, mycorrhizal symbiosis regulates the level of ABA and cytokinin in roots in order to modulate better stomatal behavior by establishing a balance between root water uptake and leaf water transpiration (Ouledali et al. 2019). Xu et al. (2018b) demonstrated that *R. intraradices* upregulated the expression of 14-3-3 genes *TFT2* and *TFT3* through ABA signaling which resulted in enhanced stomatal behavior and water use efficiency in tomato plants subjected to drought stress. What was demonstrated is the implication of AMF in the regulation of plant aquaporin genes in order to increase the leaf water potential and reduce leaf transpiration (Aroca and Ruiz-Lozano 2009). Furthermore, Li et al. (2013) identifed two aquaporin genes *GintAQPF1* and *GintAQPF2* in *R. irregularis* (formerly known as *G. intraradices*) which exhibit a higher capacity to transport water to plants via mycorrhizal hyphae under drought stress. Also, He et al. (2019) demonstrated that inoculation with *F. mosseae* upregulated the expression of aquaporin genes (such as *PtTIP1;2*, *PtTIP1;3* and *PtTIP4;1*) that led to a significant increase in leaf water potential of trifoliate orange seedlings under drought stress. Both AMF inoculants improved the Fv/Fm in barley leaf, which is considered to be a good indicator of the potential of the photosynthetic system to respond to abiotic stresses (Araus et al. 1998; Krause and Weiss 1991). This result is consistent with *Ceratonia siliqua* under drought conditions (Meddich et al. 2021). The increase in chlorophyll fuorescence value could be linked to the stimulation of photosynthesis by AMF (Kaschuk et al. 2009). In fact, mycorrhizal symbiosis could mitigate the negative efect of water stress on PSII by inducing the activation of reaction centers, resulting in an increase of electron transport between PSII and PSI (Pinior et al. 2005). Mathur et al. (2019) revealed that inoculation with *R. intraradices*, *F. mosseae* and *F. geosporum* help *Triticum aestivum* plants to maintain the structure and the function of PSI and PSII under drought condition. Water stress induced signifcant declines in photosynthetic pigments Chl *a*, Chl *b* and Car. This result could be explained by the fact that thylakoid membranes, which contain protein photosynthetic

complexes that play a critical role in light absorption and conversion in chloroplast, are destroyed under water stress (Fang and Xiong 2015). Our fndings showed that under water stress condition, barley plants inoculated with a mixture of native AMF species and a commercial AMF inoculant are able to maintain high chlorophyll contents compared with non-inoculated plants. This result is consistent with previous studies of Begum et al. (2019b) and Chen et al. (2017) carried out on other plant species such as cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L. cv. Zhongnong No. 106) and maize (*Zea mays* L.), respectively. In fact, AMF enhance the rate of photosynthesis by stimulating rubisco activity, electron transport rates, adenosine tri-phosphate (ATP) production and even the ATP/ (adenosine diphosphate) ADP ratio in the chloroplast through increases in N and P mass fractions in leaves (Bechtaoui et al. 2021; Kaschuk et al. 2009). Thus, the results obtained were in agreement with other studies, suggesting that the higher water content in AMF mycorrhized plants led to a higher photosynthetic activity under stressful conditions (Boutasknit et al. 2020; Meddich et al. 2021). Interestingly, there was an increase in Car contents in the leaves of barley inoculated with AMF as compared with non-inoculated barley under both well-watered and drought conditions. It's well-known that carotenoid has an important photoprotective role against photoinhibition (Young 1991). The increase in carotenoid content in AMFinoculated plants may also protect the plant system from being damaged by ROS (Krishna et al. 2005). Carotenoid represent a group of lipophilic antioxidants involved in detoxifying various forms of ROS. They are able to scavenge singlet molecular oxygen $({}^{1}O_{2})$ and triplet chlorophyll in order to protect the photosynthetic apparatus (Sharma et al. 2012). To cope with the oxidative damage, plant cells induced the production of antioxidant enzymes (Farooq et al. 2009) such as POX and CAT playing a critical role in quenching H_2O_2 under water deficit stress (Fu and Huang 2001). In the present study, AMF inoculation, in particular with native AMF species, exhibited a more efficient antioxidant defense system as indicated by the increased POX and CAT concentrations under drought conditions, which may regulate the ROS level, thus reducing the oxidative damage. Similar results were observed by Aalipour et al. (2020b), Anli et al. (2020), Boutasknit et al. (2021) and Mo et al. (2016). It is well recorded that drought stress induces the accumulation of ROS within the cell, mainly $O_2^{\text{-}}$ and H_2O_2 , due to the disruption of cellular homeostasis (Sharma et al. 2012). In order to avoid the extensive oxidative damage of ROS, which can even cause cell death, plants use their antioxidant defense system using enzymatic and non-enzymatic components (Das and Roychoudhury 2014). Catalases and peroxidases represent the most important antioxidant enzymes, which are able to regulate the intracellular level of H_2O_2 . Catalases are considered to be the most efficient

enzyme in H_2O_2 -quenching since they convert two molecules of H_2O_2 directly into water (H_2O) and molecular oxygen and play a key role in dioxygen (O_2) removal, while peroxidases reduce H_2O_2 to H_2O and inhibit cell peroxidation of membrane lipids by decreasing the malondialdehyde (MDA) content (Ahmad et al. 2014; Dumanović et al. 2021). Under stress conditions, maintaining a high level of antioxidant enzymes, in particular catalase, may help plants to prevent cell damage through regulating the ROS level (Pedranzani et al. 2016; Ye et al. 2019). The contribution of AMF in regulating the ROS homeostasis through antioxidant enzyme activity has already been reviewed (Wu et al. 2014). Our results revealed that inoculation with either the native inoculant or the commercial one signifcantly enhanced the antioxidant defense system of hulless barley subjected to drought stress. These fndings corroborate those of Gholinezhad et al. (2020) who found that CAT and POX activity, as well as other antioxidant enzymes, were improved in sesame inoculated with *R. irregularis* and *F. mosseae* under water stress. The study of Zou et al. (2015) showed that inoculation with *R. irregularis* increased calcium (Ca^{2+}) influxes resulting in less H_2O_2 production in trifoliate orange roots under drought stress. Langeroodi et al. (2020) demonstrated that *R. irregularis* increased the level of superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase and other antioxidant enzymes in chicory, as well as reduced H_2O_2 levels under drought stress. Similarly, Huang et al. (2014) suggested that inoculation with *F. mosseae* helps trifoliate orange to maintain higher antioxidative enzyme activities, such as SOD isozymes and CAT, with lower H_2O_2 accumulation. The improved tolerance of AMF-inoculated plants could be related to the up-regulated expression of antioxidants enzyme genes such as leaf *PtMn-SOD*, *PtPOD* and *PtCAT1* (He et al. 2020). Overall, AMF inoculation could enhance plant growth and photosynthesis through the up-regulation of the antioxidant plant system.

5 Conclusion

This study shows that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculation, especially with the native inoculant, may be efficient in enhancing the growth and the biomass production of hulless barley under water stress condition during the tillering and grain flling growth stages. Our results demonstrate the benefcial role of AMF symbionts in mitigating the adverse efects of drought. The increase in plant growth was related to (i) an improvement of mycorrhizal root colonization, (ii) an increase in mineral nutrient nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), copper (Cu) and iron (Fe) uptake and (iii) an enhancement of photosynthesis and antioxidant enzyme activities. In order to better understand the potential of AMF, feld trials should be carried out in the future. The

use of native or exotic AMF strains as biofertilizer could represent a promising approach in assuring the sustainability of agricultural cropping systems in semi-arid Mediterranean regions, which are vulnerable to water deficit.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-022-00802-2>.

Acknowledgements This study was supported by the Tunisian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientifc Research. This work has been carried out in the framework of the ALIBIOTECH project which is fnanced by the European Union, the French State and the French Region of Hauts-de-France as well as TRIPLET project fnanced by A2U. We are grateful for the English language revision provided by Stephanie Alouache and Nick Dettman. We thank Kalthoum Sifaoui and Ines Essid from the Soil Direction (National Institute of agronomic research of Tunisia) and Natacha Bourdon from UCEIV for the technical support.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no confict of interest.

References

- Aalipour H, Nikbakht A, Etemadi N, Rejali F, Soleimani M (2020a) Biochemical response and interactions between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria during establishment and stimulating growth of Arizona cypress (*Cupressus arizonica* G.) under drought stress. Sci Hortic 261:108923. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.108923>
- Aalipour H, Nikbakht A, Ghasemi M, Amiri R (2020) Morpho-Physiological and Biochemical Responses of Two Turfgrass Species to Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Humic Acid Under Water Stress Condition. J Soil Sci Plant Nutr 20:566–576. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-019-00146-4) [org/10.1007/s42729-019-00146-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-019-00146-4)
- Ahmad P, Jamsheed S, Hameed A, Rasool S, Sharma I, Azooz MM, Hasanuzzaman M (2014) Drought Stress Induced Oxidative Damage and Antioxidants in Plants. In: Ahmad P (ed) Oxidative damage to plants. Elsevier Inc, Amsterdam, pp 345–367
- Al-Karaki G, McMichael B, Zak J (2004) Field response of wheat to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and drought stress. Mycorrhiza 14:263–269. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-003-0265-2>
- Al-Karaki G (2006) Nursery inoculation of tomato with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and subsequent performance under irrigation with saline water. Sci Hortic 109:1–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2006.02.019) [scienta.2006.02.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2006.02.019)
- Al-Arjani Al-B F, Hashem A, Abd-Allah EF (2020) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi modulates dynamics tolerance expression to mitigate drought stress in *Ephedra foliata* Boiss. Saudi J Biol Sci 27:380–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2019.10.008>
- Anli M, Baslam M, Tahiri A, Raklami A, Symanczik S, Boutasknit A, Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Ben-Laouane R, Toubali S, Ait Rahou Y, Ait Chitt M, Oufdou K, Mitsui T, Hafdi M, Meddich A (2020) Biofertilizers as strategies to improve photosynthetic apparatus, growth, and drought stress tolerance in the date palm. Front Plant Sci 11:516818. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.516818>
- Araus JL, Amaro T, Voltas J, Nakkoul H, Nachit MM (1998) Chlorophyll fuorescence as a selection criterion for grain yield in durum wheat under Mediterranean conditions. Field Crops Res 55(3):209–223. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290\(97\)00079-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4290(97)00079-8)
- Aroca R, Ruiz-Lozano J (2009) Induction of Plant Tolerance to Semiarid Environments by Beneficial Soil Microorganisms – A Review. In: Lichtfouse E (ed) Climate Change, Intercropping, Pest Control and Beneficial Microorganisms. Sustainable Agriculture Reviews. Vol 2. Springer, Dordrecht. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2716-0_7) [1007/978-90-481-2716-0_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2716-0_7)
- Arnon DI (1949) Copper enzymes isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. Plant Physiol 24:1–15
- Augé RM (2001) Water relations, drought and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Mycorrhiza 11:3–42. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s005720100097) [1007/s005720100097](https://doi.org/10.1007/s005720100097)
- Augé RM, Stodola AJW, Tims JE, Saxton AM (2001) Moisture retention properties of a mycorrhizal soil. Plant Soil 230:87–97. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004891210871>
- Augé RM (2004) Arbuscular mycorrhizae and soil/plant water relations. Can J Soil Sci 84(4):373–381. [https://doi.org/10.4141/](https://doi.org/10.4141/S04-002) [S04-002](https://doi.org/10.4141/S04-002)
- Augé RM, Toler HD, Sams CE, Nasim G (2008) Hydraulic conductance and water potential gradients in squash leaves showing mycorrhiza-induced increases in stomatal conductance. Mycorrhiza 18:115–121.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-008-0162-9>
- Baik BK, Ullrich SE (2008) Barley for food: Characteristics, improvement, and renewed interest. J Cereal Sci 48(2):233–242. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2008.02.002) doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2008.02.002
- Begum N, Qin C, Ahanger MA, Raza S, Khan MI, Ashraf M, Ahmed N, Zhang L (2019) Role of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Plant Growth Regulation: Implications in Abiotic Stress Tolerance. Front Plant Sci 10:1068. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01068) [01068](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01068)
- Begum N, Ahanger MA, Su Y, Lei Y, Mustafa NSA, Ahmad P, Zhang L (2019) Improved Drought Tolerance by AMF Inoculation in Maize (*Zea mays*) Involves Physiological and Biochemical Implications. Plants 8(12):579.<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8120579>
- Bernardo L, Carletti P, Badeck FW, Rizza F, Morcia C, Ghizzoni R, Rouphael Y, Colla G, Terzi V, Lucini L (2019) Metabolomic responses triggered by arbuscular mycorrhiza enhance tolerance to water stress in wheat cultivars. Plant Physiol Biochem 137:203–212.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.02.007>
- Berruti A, Borriello R, Orgiazzi A, Barbera A C, Lumini E, Bianciotto V (2014) Chapter 8: Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and their value for ecosystem management. In: Grillo O (ed) Biodiversity: the dynamic balance of the planet. Intech Online Open Access Books, pp 159–191. <https://doi.org/10.5772/58231>
- Boutasknit A, Baslam M, Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Anli M, Ben-Laouane R, Douira A, El Modafar C, Mitsui T, Wahbi S, Meddich A (2020) Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Mediate Drought Tolerance and Recovery in Two Contrasting Carob (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.) Ecotypes by Regulating Stomatal, Water Relations, and (In) Organic Adjustments. Plants 9(1):80 [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010080) [plants9010080](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010080)
- Boutasknit A, Baslam M, Anli M, Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Ben-Laouane R, Ait-Rahou Y, El Modafar C, Douira A, Wahbi S, Meddich A (2021) Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and compost on the growth, water status, and photosynthesis of carob (Ceratonia siliqua) under drought stress and recovery. Plant Biosyst 1–24 <https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2021.1985006>
- Berruti A, Lumini E, Balestrini R, Bianciotto V (2016) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as natural biofertilizers: Let's beneft from past successes. Front Microbiol 6:1559. [https://doi.org/10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01559) [fmicb.2015.01559](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01559)
- Bowles TM, Barrios-Masias FH, Carlisle EA, Cavagnaro TR, Jackson LE (2016) Efects of arbuscular mycorrhizae on tomato yield, nutrient uptake, water relations, and soil carbon dynamics under deficit irrigation in field conditions. Sci Tot Enviro 566– 567:1223–1234.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.178>
- Caravaca F, Alguacil MM, Barea JM, Roldan A (2005) Survival of inocula and native AM fungi species associated with shrubs in a degraded Mediterranean ecosystem. Soil Biol Biochem 37:227– 233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.06.019>
- Chareesri A, De Deyn GB, Sergeeva L, Polthanee A, Kuyper TW (2020) Increased arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal colonization reduces yield loss of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under drought. Mycorrhiza 30:315–328.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-020-00953-z>
- Chen S, Zhao H, Zou C, Li Y, Chen Y, Wang Z, Jiang Y, Liu A, Zhao P, Wang M, Ahammed GJ (2017) Combined Inoculation with Multiple Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Improves Growth, Nutrient Uptake and Photosynthesis in Cucumber Seedlings. Front Microbiol 8:2516.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02516>
- Dalpé Y, Hamel C (2007) Arbuscular mycorrhizae. In: Manual of soil sampling and methods of analysis, 3rd ed. Canadian Society of Soil Science, Lewis Publishers of CRC. Press, Boca Raton. Fla, pp 287–302
- Daniels BA, Skipper HD (1982) Methods for the recovery and quantitative estimation of propagules from soil. In: Schenck NC (ed) Methods and Principles of Mycorrhizal Research. American Phytopathological Society, St Paul, MN, pp 29–35
- Dar MI, Naikoo MI, Khan FA, Rehman F, Green ID, Naushin F, Ansari AA (2017) An Introduction to Reactive Oxygen Species Metabolism Under Changing Climate in Plants. In: Khan MIR, Khan NA (eds) Reactive Oxygen Species and Antioxidant Systems in Plants: Role and Regulation under Abiotic Stress. Springer, Singapore, pp 25–52
- Das K, Roychoudhury A (2014) Reactive oxygen species (ROS) and response of antioxidants as ROS-scavengers during environmental stress in plants. Front Environ Sci 2:53. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2014.00053) [3389/fenvs.2014.00053](https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2014.00053)
- DGPA Direction générale de la production agricole (2020) Rapport d'activité 2020. Ministère de l'Agriculture, des Ressources Hydrauliques et de la Pêche Maritime. 30, Rue Alain Savary - 1002 Tunis, Tunisie
- Dono G, Cortignani R, Dell'Unto D, Deligios P, Doro L, Lacetera N, Mula L, Pasqui M, Quaresima S, Vitali A, Roggero PP (2016) Winners and losers from climate change in agriculture: Insights from a case study in the Mediterranean basin. Agric Syst 147:65– 75.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2016.05.013>
- Dumanović J, Nepovimova E, Natić M, Kuča K, Jaćević V (2021) The Signifcance of Reactive Oxygen Species and Antioxidant Defense System in Plants: A Concise Overview. Front Plant Sci 11:552969.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.552969>
- Elke K A, Emanuele Z (2013) Cereal grains for the food and beverage industries. Woodhead Publishing Series in Food Science, Technology and Nutrition, N. 248, Cambridge, UK, pp 485
- El-Mesbahi MN, Azcón R, Ruiz-Lozano JM, Aroca R (2012) Plant potassium content modifes the efects of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis on root hydraulic properties in maize plants. Mycorrhiza 22(555):564.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-012-0433-3>
- Fang Y, Xiong L (2015) General mechanisms of drought response and their application in drought resistance improvement in plants. Cell Mol Life Sci 72:673–689. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-014-1767-0) [s00018-014-1767-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-014-1767-0)
- FAO Food and Agriculture Organization (2020) FAOSTAT data. In: FAO Statistical Databases FAOSTAT. <http://www.fao.org.com>. Accessed 10 Nov 2020
- Farooq M, Wahid A, Kobayashi N, Fujita D, Barsa SMA (2009) Plant drought stress: efects, mechanisms and management. Agron Sustain Deve 29:185–212.<https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2008021>
- Foyer CH, Noctor G (2005) Redox Homeostasis and Antioxidant Signaling: A Metabolic Interface between Stress Perception and Physiological Responses. Plant Cell 17(7):1866–75. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.033589) [org/10.1105/tpc.105.033589](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.105.033589)
- Frostegård Å, Tunlid A, Bååth E (1991) Microbial biomass measured as total lipid phosphate in soils of diferent organic content. J Microbiol Methods 14(3):151–163. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7012(91)90018-L) [0167-7012\(91\)90018-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7012(91)90018-L)
- Fu J, Huang B (2001) Involvement of antioxidants and lipid peroxidation in the adaptation of two cool-season grasses to localized drought stress. Environ Exp Bot 45:105–114. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472(00)00084-8) [1016/S0098-8472\(00\)00084-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-8472(00)00084-8)
- García-Limones C, Hervás A, Navas-Cortés JA, Jimenez-Díaz RM, Tena M (2002) Induction of an antioxidant enzyme system and other oxidative stress markers associated with compatible and incompatible interactions between chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *ciceris*. Physiol Mol Plant Path 61:325–337. <https://doi.org/10.1006/pmpp.2003.0445>
- Gerdemann JW, Nicolson TH (1963) Spores of mycorrhizal endogone extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. T Brit Mycol Soc 46:235–244. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-1536\(63\)](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-1536(63)80079-0) [80079-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-1536(63)80079-0)
- Gholamhoseini M, Ghalavand A, Dolatabadian A, Jamshidi E, Khodaei-Joghan A (2013) Agric Water Manag 117:106–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2012.11.007>
- Gholinezhad E, Darvishzadeh R, Moghaddam S S, Popovic-Djordjevic J (2020) Effect of mycorrhizal inoculation in reducing water stress in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.): the assessment of agrobiochemical traits and enzymatic antioxidant activity. Agric Water Manag 238:106234 [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106234) [106234](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106234)
- Giorgi F (2006) Climate change hot-spots. Geophysic Res Lett 33:L08707. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL025734>
- Grover M, Ali SZ, Sandhya V, Rasul A, Venkateswarlu B (2011) Role of microorganisms in adaptation of agriculture crops to abiotic stresses. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 27:1231–1240. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-010-0572-7) doi.org/10.1007/s11274-010-0572-7
- Hameed A, Wu Q S, Abd-Allah E F, Hashem A, Kumar A, Lone H A, Ahmad P (2014) Role of AM fungi in alleviating drought stress in plants. In: Miransari M (ed) Use of microbes for the alleviation of soil stresses: Volume 2: Alleviation of Soil Stress by PGPR and Mycorrhizal Fungi. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA, pp 55–76
- Hammer EC, Nasr H, Pallon J, Olsson PA, Wallander H (2011) Elemental composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi at high salinity. Mycorrhiza 21:117–129. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-010-0316-4) [s00572-010-0316-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-010-0316-4)
- Hashem A, Abd-Allah EF, Alqarawi AA, Egamberdieva D (2018) Chapter 4-Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Plant Stress Tolerance. In: Egamberdieva D, Ahmad P (eds) Plant Microbiome: Stress Response, Microorganisms for Sustainability. Springer, Singapore, pp 81–102
- Hashem A, Kumar A, Al-Dbass AM, Alqarawi AA, Al-Arjani BF, Singh G, Farooq M, Abd-Allah EF (2019) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and biochar improves drought tolerance in chickpea. Saudi J Biol Sci 26:614–624. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.11.005) [11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2018.11.005)
- He JD, Dong T, Wu HH, Zou YN, Wu QS, Kuča K (2019) Mycorrhizas induce diverse responses of root TIP aquaporin gene expression to drought stress in trifoliate orange. Sci Hortic 243:64–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.08.010>
- He JD, Zou YN, Wu QS, Kuča K (2020) Mycorrhizas enhance drought tolerance of trifoliate orange by enhancing activities and gene expression of antioxidant enzymes. Sci Hortic 262:108745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.108745>
- Hoagland DR, Arnon DI (1950) The water-culture method for growing plants without soil. Circ Calif Agric Exp Stn 347:1–32
- Hossain A, Teixeira da Silva JA, Lozovskaya MV, Zvolinsky VP (2012) High temperature combined with drought afect rainfed spring wheat and barley in South-Eastern Russia: I. Phenology
- Huang YM, Srivastava AK, Zou YN, Ni QD, Han Y, Wu QS (2014) Mycorrhizal-induced calmodulin mediated changes in antioxidant enzymes and growth response of drought-stressed trifoliate orange. Front Microbiol 5:682. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00682) [2014.00682](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00682)
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2013) In: Stocker T F, Qin D, Plattner G K, Tignor M M B, Allen S K, Boschung J, et al. (ed) Climate Change 2013: The physical Science Basis. Working Group 1 Contribution of the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, pp 1535
- Itam M, Mega R, Tadano S, Abdelrahman M, Matsunaga S, Yamasaki Y, Akashi K, Tsujimoto H (2020) Metabolic and physiological responses to progressive drought stress in bread wheat. Sci Rep 10:17189.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74303-6>
- Jayne B, Quigley M (2014) Infuence of arbuscular mycorrhiza on growth and reproductive response of plants under water deficit: a meta-analysis. Mycorrhiza 2:109–19. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-013-0515-x) [s00572-013-0515-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-013-0515-x)
- Jones JB (1987) Nitrogen: Kjeldahl Nitrogen Determination—What's in a Name. J Plant Nutr 10(9–16):1675–1682. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904168709363706) [1080/01904168709363706](https://doi.org/10.1080/01904168709363706)
- Kaschuk G, Kuyper TW, Lefelaar PA, Hungria M, Giller KE (2009) Are the rates of photosynthesis stimulated by the carbon sink strength of rhizobial and arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses? Soil Biol Biochem 41(6):1233–1244. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilb](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.03.005) [io.2009.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.03.005)
- Kassambara A, Mundt F (2020) Factoextra: extract and visualize the results of multivariate data analyses. R package version 1.0.7. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/factoextra/index.html>. Accessed 28 Dec 2020
- Koch AM, Antunes PM, Barto EK, Cipollini D, Mummey DL, Klironomos JN (2011) The efects of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal and garlic mustard introductions on native AM fungal diversity. Biol Invasions 13:1627–1639. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-010-9920-7) [s10530-010-9920-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-010-9920-7)
- Koide RT (2000) Functional Complementarity in the Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. New Phytol 147(2):233–235. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00710.x) [10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00710.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00710.x)
- Krishna H, Singh S K, Sharma R R, Khawale R N, Grover M, Patel V B (2005) Biochemical changes in micropropagated grape (*Vitis vinifera* L.) plantlets due to arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) inoculation during ex vitro acclimatization. Sci Hortic 106(4):554–567<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2005.05.009>
- Krause GH, Weiss E (1991) Chlorophyll Fluorescence and Photosynthesis: The Basics. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 42:313–349. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.42.060191.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.42.060191.001525) [001525](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.42.060191.001525)
- Labidi S, Fontaine J, Laruelle F, Tisserant B, Dalpé Y, Grandmougin-Ferjani A, Douay F, Lounès-Hadj Sahraoui A (2015) Fly ashaided phytostabilisation of highly trace element polluted topsoils improves the telluric fungal biomass: A long-term feld experiment. Appl Soil Ecol 85:69–75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.09.006) [2014.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.09.006)
- Lambers H, Chapin III FS, Pons TL (2008) Plant Physiological Ecology, 2nd edn. Springer Science, New York, USA, pp 604
- Langeroodi A R S, Osipitan O A, Radicetti E, Mancinelli R (2020) To what extent arbuscular mycorrhiza can protect chicory (Cichorium intybus L.) against drought stress. Sci Hortic 263:109109 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.109109>
- Li T, Hu YJ, Hao ZP, Li H, Wang YS, Chen WB (2013) First cloning and characterization of two functional aquaporin genes from an

arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices*. New Phytol 197(2):617–630.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12011>

- Lowry OH, Rosebroughi NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ (1951) Protein measurement with the folin phenol reagent. J Biol Chem 193:265–275
- Mackinney G (1941) Absorption light by chlorophyll solutions. J Biol Chem 140:315–322
- Malhotra H, Vandana Sharma S, Pandey R (2018) Phosphorus Nutrition: Plant Growth in Response to Deficiency and Excess. In: Hasanuzzaman M, Fujita M, Oku H, Nahar K, Hawrylak-Nowak B (ed) Plant Nutrients and Abiotic Stress Tolerance. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-9044-8_7
- Marulanda A, Azcón R, Ruiz-Lozano JM (2003) Contribution of six arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal isolates to water uptake by *Lactuca sativa* plants under drought stress. Physiol Plant 119:526– 533. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1399-3054.2003.00196.x>
- Marulanda A, Porcel R, Barea JM, Azcón R (2007) Drought Tolerance and Antioxidant Activities in Lavender Plants Colonized by Native Drought-tolerant or Drought-sensitive *Glomus* Species. Microb Ecol 54:543.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-007-9237-y>
- Marulanda A, Barea JM, Azcón R (2009) Stimulation of Plant Growth and Drought Tolerance by Native Microorganisms (AM Fungi and Bacteria) from Dry Environments: Mechanisms Related to Bacterial Efectiveness. J Plant Growth Regul 28:115–124. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-009-9079-6>
- Mathur S, Tomar RS, Jajoo A (2019) Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) protects photosynthetic apparatus of wheat under drought stress. Photosynth Res 139(1–3):227–238. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-018-0538-4) [1007/s11120-018-0538-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-018-0538-4)
- Maxwell K, Johnson GN (2000) Chlorophyll fuorescence: A practical guide. J Exp Bot 51:659–668
- McGonigle TP, Miller MH, Evans DG, Fairchild GL, Swan JA (1990) A method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by vesicular–arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytol 115:495–501. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb004](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb00476.x) [76.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb00476.x)
- Meddich A, Ait Rahou Y, Boutasknit A, Ait-El-Mokhtar M, Abdessamad Fakhech, Lahbouki S, Benafari W, Ben-Laouane R, Wahbi S (2021) Role of mycorrhizal fungi in improving the tolerance of melon (*Cucumus melo*) under two water deficit partial root drying and regulated deficit irrigation. Plant Biosyst 1–11[https://](https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2021.1881644) doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2021.1881644
- Mitchell HJ, Hall J, Barber MS (1994) Elicitor-lnduced Cinnamyl Alcohol Dehydrogenase Activity in Lignifying Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) Leaves. Plant Physiol 104:551–556. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.2.551) [10.1104/pp.104.2.551](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.2.551)
- Mo Y, Wang Y, Yang R, Zheng J, Liu C, Li H, Ma J, Zhang Y, Wei C, Zhang X (2016) Regulation of Plant Growth, Photosynthesis, Antioxidation and Osmosis by an Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungus in Watermelon Seedlings under Well-Watered and Drought Conditions. Front Plant Sci 7:644. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00644) [2016.00644](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00644)
- Olsson PA (1999) Signature fatty acids provide tools for determination of the distribution and interactions of mycorrhizal fungi in soil. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 29(4):303–310. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(99)00021-5) [S0168-6496\(99\)00021-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-6496(99)00021-5)
- Ouledali S, Ennajeh M, Ferrandino A, Khemira H, Schubert A, Secchi F (2019) Infuence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation on the control of stomata functioning by abscisic acid (ABA) in drought-stressed olive plants. S Afr J Bot 121:152–158. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2018.10.024) doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2018.10.024
- Pagano M C (2014) Drought Stress and Mycorrhizal Plant. In: Miransari M (ed) Use of Microbes for the Alleviation of Soil Stresses, Volume 1. Springer, New York, NY, pp 97–110. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-9466-9_5) [10.1007/978-1-4614-9466-9_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-9466-9_5)
- Pauwels JM, Van Ranst E, Verloo M, Mvondoze A (1992) Manuel de laboratoire de pédologie : Méthodes d'Analyses de sols et

de plantes, Equipement, Gestion de stocks de Verrerie et de Produits chimiques. Publications Agricoles, 28. Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur, de l'Informatique et de la Recherche Scientifique, Centre Universitaire de Dschang, Cameroun, Administration Générale de la Coopération au Développement, Bruxelles, Belgique. AGCD, pp 265

- Pedranzani H, Rodríguez-Rivera M, Gutiérrez M, Porcel R, Hause B, Ruiz-Lozano JM (2016) Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis regulates physiology and performance of *Digitaria eriantha* plants subjected to abiotic stresses by modulating antioxidant and jasmonate levels. Mycorrhiza 26:141–152. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-015-0653-4) [s00572-015-0653-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-015-0653-4)
- Pellegrino E, Bedini S, Avio L, Bonari E, Giovannetti M (2011) Field inoculation efectiveness of native and exotic arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a Mediterranean agricultural soil. Soil Biol Biochem 43:367–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.11.002>
- Pellegrino E, Bedini S (2014) Enhancing ecosystem services in sustainable agriculture: Biofertilization and biofortifcation of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Soil Biol Biochem 68:429–439. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.030) [030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.09.030)
- Phillips JM, Hayman DS (1970) Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Trans Br Mycol Soc 55:158– 161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536%2870%2980110-3>
- Pinior A, Grunewaldt-Stöcker G, von Alten H, Strasser RJ (2005) Mycorrhizal impact on drought stress tolerance of rose plants probed by chlorophyll a fuorescence, proline content and visual scoring. Mycorrhiza 15:596–605. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-005-0001-1) [s00572-005-0001-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-005-0001-1)
- Pozo MJ, López-Ráez JA, Azcón-Aguilar C, García-Garrido JM (2015) Phytohormones as integrators of environmental signals in the regulation of mycorrhizal symbioses. New Phytol 205(4):1431– 1436.<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13252>
- R Core Team (2019) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing Reference Index, Version 3.6.0 (2019-04-26). [https://](https://cran.r-project.org) cran.r-project.org
- Ren AT, Zhu Y, Chen YL, Ren HX, Li JY, Abbott LK, Xiong YC (2019) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus alters root-sourced signal (abscisic acid) for better drought acclimation in *Zea mays* L. seedlings. Environ Exp Bot 167:103824. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.103824) [1016/j.envexpbot.2019.103824](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.103824)
- Rogers CW, Hu G, Mikkelsen R (2017) Grain Yield, Quality, and Nutrient Concentrations of Feed, Food, and Malt Barley. Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal 48(22):2678–2686. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2017.1416141) [1080/00103624.2017.1416141](https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2017.1416141)
- Rouphael Y, Franken P, Schneider C, Schwarz D, Giovannetti M, Agnolucci M, De Pascale S, Bonini P, Colla G (2015) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi act as biostimulants in horticultural crops. Sci Hortic 196:91–108.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2015.09.002>
- Ruiz-Lozano JM, Azcon R (1995) Hyphal contribution to water uptake in mycorrhizal plants as afected by the fungal species and water status. Physiol Plant 95:472–478. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1995.tb00865.x) [3054.1995.tb00865.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1995.tb00865.x)
- Ruiz-Lozano JM, Aroca R, Zamarreno AM, Molina S, Andreo-Jimenez B, Porcel R, Garcia-Mina JM, Ruyter-Spira C, Lopez-Raez JA (2016) Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis induces strigolactone biosynthesis under drought and improves drought tolerance in lettuce and tomato. Plant Cell Environ 39:441–452. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12631) [org/10.1111/pce.12631](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12631)
- Querejeta JI, Allen MF, Caravaca F, Roldán A (2006) Diferential modulation of host plant δ13C and δ18O by native and nonnative arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a semiarid environment. New Phytol 169:379–387. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01599.x) [2005.01599.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01599.x)
- Sachdev S, Ansari SA, Ansari MI, Fujita M, Hasanuzzaman M (2021) Abiotic Stress and Reactive Oxygen Species: Generation, Signaling, and Defense Mechanisms. Antioxidants 10(2):277. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox10020277) doi.org/10.3390/antiox10020277
- Sallam A, Alqudah AM, Dawood MFA, Baenziger PS, Börner A (2019) Drought Stress Tolerance in Wheat and Barley: Advances in Physiology, Breeding and Genetics Research. Int J Mol Sci 20(13):3137.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20133137>
- Sharma P, Jha AB, Dubey RS, Pessarakli M (2012) Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions. J Bot 2012:1–26. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/217037) [org/10.1155/2012/217037](https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/217037)
- Silva EN, Ferreira-Silva SL, de Vasconcelos Fontenele A, Ribeiro RV, Viégas RA, Gomes Silveira JA (2010) Photosynthetic changes and protective mechanisms against oxidative damage subjected to isolated and combined drought and heat stresses in *Jatropha curcas* plants. J Plant Physiol 167(14):1157–1164. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2010.03.005) [org/10.1016/j.jplph.2010.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2010.03.005)
- Smith S E, Read D J (2008) Mycorrhizal Symbiosis, 3rd edn. Academic Press, New York, USA, pp 800
- Symanczik S, Lehmann MF, Wiemken A, Boller T, Courty PE (2018) Efects of two contrasted arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal isolates on nutrient uptake by *Sorghum bicolor* under drought. Mycorrhiza 28:779–785.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-018-0853-9>
- Tarnabi Z M, Iranbakhsh A, Mehregan I, Ahmadvand R (2020) Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) on gene expression of some cell wall and membrane elements of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under water deficit using transcriptome analysis. Physiol Mol Biol Plants 26(1):143–162 [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-019-00727-8) [s12298-019-00727-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12298-019-00727-8)
- Torrecillas A, Leon A, Del Amor F, Martinez-Mompean MC (1984) Determinaciòn rápida de clorofla en discos foliares de limonero. Fruits 39:617–622
- Turner NC (1986) Crop water deficits: a decade of progress. Adv Agron 39:1–51
- USDA United States Department of Agriculture (2019) Word Agricultural Production. Office of global analysis. Foreign Agricultural Service. Circular series WAP 11–19, pp 33
- Wu Q S, Zou Y N, Abd-Allah E F (2014) Mycorrhizal association and ROS in plants. In: Ahmad P (ed) Oxidative damage to plants. Elsevier Inc, Amsterdam, pp 453–475. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-799963-0.00015-0) [B978-0-12-799963-0.00015-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-799963-0.00015-0)
- Wu Q S, Zou Y N (2017) Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Tolerance of Drought Stress in Plants. In: Wu Q S (ed) Arbuscular Mycorrhizas and Stress Tolerance of Plants. Springer, Singapore, pp 25–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-4115-0_2
- Xu H, Lu Y, Tong S (2018) Efects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on photosynthesis and chlorophyll fuorescence of maize seedlings under salt stress. Emir J Food Agric 30(3):199–204. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.2018.v30.i3.1642) [org/10.9755/ejfa.2018.v30.i3.1642](https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.2018.v30.i3.1642)
- Xu L, Li T, Wu Z, Feng H, Yu M, Zhang X, Chen B (2018) Arbuscular mycorrhiza enhances drought tolerance of tomato plants by regulating the 14–3-3 genes in the ABA signaling pathway. Appl Soil Ecol 125:213–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2018.01.012>
- Ye L, Zhao X, Bao E, Cao K, Zou Z (2019) Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Watermelon Growth, Elemental Uptake, Antioxidant, and Photosystem II Activities and Stress-Response Gene Expressions Under Salinity-Alkalinity Stresses. Front Plant Sci 10:863. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00863>
- Young AJ (1991) The photoprotective role of carotenoids in higher plants. Physiol Plant 83(4):702–708. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1991.tb02490.x) [1399-3054.1991.tb02490.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1991.tb02490.x)
- Zhang Z, Zhang J, Huang Y (2014) Efects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on the drought tolerance of *Cyclobalanopsis glauca* seedlings under greenhouse conditions. New For 45:545–556. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11056-014-9417-9) doi.org/10.1007/s11056-014-9417-9
- Zhu X C, Song F B, Liu S Q, Liu T D, Zhou X (2012) Arbuscular mycorrhizae improve photosynthesis and water status of *Zea mays* L. under drought stress. Plant Soil Environ 58(4):186–191 [https://](https://doi.org/10.17221/23/2011-PSE) doi.org/10.17221/23/2011-PSE
- Zhu XQ, Wang CY, Chen H, Tang M (2014) Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on photosynthesis, carbon content, and calorifc value of black locust seedlings. Photosynthetica 52:247–252. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-014-0031-z>
- Zohary D, Hopf M (1993) Domestication of Plants in the Old World: The Origin and Spread of Cultivated Plants in West Asia, Europe, and the Nile Valley, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, Oxford, U.K., p 279

Authors and Afliations

Zou YN, Huang YM, Wu QS, He XH (2015) Mycorrhiza-induced lower oxidative burst is related with higher antioxidant enzyme activities, net H_2O_2 effluxes, and Ca^{2+} influxes in trifoliate orange roots under drought stress. Mycorrhiza 25:143–152. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-014-0598-z) [org/10.1007/s00572-014-0598-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-014-0598-z)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

- Maroua Jerbi¹[®] [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9302-521X) Sonia Labidi¹ · Frédéric Laruelle² · Benoit Tisserant² · Yolande Dalpé³ · **Anissa Lounès‑Hadj Sahraoui² · Faysal Ben Jeddi1**
- ¹ Université de Carthage, Institut National Agronomique de Tunisie, LR13AGR01, Laboratoire des Sciences Horticoles, 43 Ave Charles Nicolle, 1082 Tunis, Mahrajène, Tunisia
- ² Université du Littoral Côte d′Opale, Unité de Chimie Environnementale et Interactions sur le Vivant (UCEIV), UR4492, SFR Condorcet FR CNRS 3417, 50 rue Ferdinand Buisson, 62228 Calais, France
- ³ Centre de recherche et de développement d'Agriculture et Agroalimentaire Canada, 960 Ave Carling, Ottawa K1A 0C6, Canada