

# Influence of Sex on Early Axial Spondyloarthritis: A Six-Year Longitudinal Analysis From a Large National Cohort

Krystel Aouad, Anne Tournadre, Florian Lucasson, Daniel Wendling, Anna Molto, Bruno Fautrel, Laure Gossec

#### ► To cite this version:

Krystel Aouad, Anne Tournadre, Florian Lucasson, Daniel Wendling, Anna Molto, et al.. Influence of Sex on Early Axial Spondyloarthritis: A Six-Year Longitudinal Analysis From a Large National Cohort. Arthritis Care & Research = Arthritis Care and Research, 2023, 10.1002/acr.25103. hal-04062571

## HAL Id: hal-04062571 https://hal.science/hal-04062571

Submitted on 7 Apr 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1

### Manuscript for Arthritis Care and Research

# The influence of sex on early axial spondyloarthritis, 6-year longitudinal analyses from a large national cohort

4

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

20 21

22

23 24

5 Short title: Sex-related differences in axSpA

#### 6 Authors

Krystel Aouad<sup>1,2</sup>, Anne Tournadre<sup>3</sup>, Florian Lucasson<sup>1</sup>, Daniel Wendling<sup>4</sup>, Anna
 Molto<sup>5,6</sup>, Bruno Fautrel<sup>1,7</sup>, Laure Gossec<sup>1,7</sup>

### 10 Affiliations and titles

- 1. INSERM, Institut Pierre Louis d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France.
- 2. Saint George Hospital University Medical Center, University of Balamand, Beirut, Lebanon.
- 3. Rheumatology Department, Université Clermont Auvergne, CHU Clermont-Ferrand, INRAE, UNH UMR 1019, 63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France.
- Rheumatology Department, CHRU de Besançon, University Teaching
   Hospital, Besançon, France; EA 4266 EPILAB Université Bourgogne Franche Comté, Besançon, France.
  - 5. Rheumatology Department, Cochin Hospital AP-HP, Paris, France
  - 6. INSERM U1153, Sorbonne Paris Cite Research Center, Paris University, Paris, France.
    - 7. Sorbonne Université, Rheumatology department, Pitié-Salpêtrière hospital AP-HP, Paris, France
- 25

### 26 **Corresponding author:**

- 27 For submission
- 28 Dr Krystel Aouad, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Service de Rhumatologie, 47-83 bd de
- 29 l'hôpital, 75013 PARIS France
- 30 Email : krystel.aouad@hotmail.com
- 31 ORCID: 0000-0001-8708-9324
- 32 For reprints
- 33 Prof Laure GOSSEC, Pitié- Salpêtrière hospital, Rhumatology department, 47-83 bd
- de l'hôpital, 75013, Paris, FRANCE
- 35 Email: <u>laure.gossec@aphp.fr</u> Tel:
- 36 ORCID: 0000-0002-4528-310X

#### 37

#### 38 **Conflicts of interest:**

- 39 Krystel Aouad: research grant: UCB, consulting fees: Novartis.
- 40 Anne Tournadre: research grants: Fresenius-Kabi, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB; consulting
- 41 fees: AbbVie, BMS, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis.
- 42 Florian Lucasson : none
- 43 Daniel Wendling: Speaking fees: Abbvie, BMS, MSD, Pfizer, Chugai, Nordic Pharma,
- 44 UCB, Novartis, Lilly, Amgen, Grunenthal, Galapagos; consulting fees: Novartis,
- 45 Janssen, Abbvie, Amgen; Support for attending meetings : MSD, Galapagos, Chugai,
- 46 UCB, Mylan , Fresenius Kabi
- 47 Anna Molto: research grants: Pfizer, UCB; consulting fees: AbbVie, Biogen, BMS,
- 48 Gilead, Janssen, Lilly, Novartis, Pfizer, UCB.
- 49 Bruno Fautrel: none.

+33142178421

Laure Gossec: research grants: Amgen, Galapagos, Lilly, Pfizer, Sandoz, Sanofi; 1 consulting fees: AbbVie, Amgen, BMS, Celltrion, Galapagos, Gilead, Janssen, Lilly, 2 3 4 Novartis, Pfizer, Samsung Bioepis, Sanofi-Aventis, UCB.

#### 5 6 7 Word count: 3026 words, 46 references, 3 tables, 2 figures, 3 supplementary tables, 1 supplementary figure

- 8
- 9
- 10 11
- 12

#### 1 Abstract (N words=243)

2
 3 Objective: The objective was to determine sex differences in disease outcomes in
 4 recent axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA) over time.

5 **Methods:** We analysed the first 6 years of follow-up of the prospective French 6 multicenter DESIR cohort (NCT01648907). Patients analysed had less than 3 years 7 of disease, were DMARD-naive and fulfilled the ASAS classification criteria for 8 axSpA. Disease activity (ASDAS-CRP), patient global assessment (PGA), C-reactive 9 protein (CRP) and radiographic sacroiliitis were compared between men and women 10 (self-reported sex) by linear and logistic mixed-effect models. Trajectories of disease 11 activity were modelised over 6 years in men and women, using k-means.

12 **Results:** Of 494 patients analysed (mean age 31.9±7.5 years, mean symptoms duration 20.7±11.7 months), 50.4% were men. Over 6 years of follow-up, both men 13 14 and women showed clear improvements in ASDAS-CRP, PGA and CRP. Women 15 had higher ASDAS-CRP and PGA over time compared to men (both p<0.0001) with 16 overall similar CRP levels (p=0.089), whereas structural damage increased more in men (p<0.0001). One-third of both men (33%) and women (34%) belonged to 17 18 'persistent high/very high disease activity' trajectories, however, ASDAS-CRP scores 19 were globally higher in women in these trajectories. 20 **Conclusion:** In early axSpA, clinical outcomes (disease activity and symptoms) were worse in women than men over 6 years of follow-up, whereas CRP was similar and 21 22 structural damage was more frequent in men. Although similarly distributed, disease

23 activity scores were higher in women in 'high/very high disease activity' trajectories.

24 Sex appears to be an important contextual factor in axSpA.

25 **Keywords:** Axial spondyloarthritis; sex

#### 1 Key points

- Less improvement in disease activity and patient-reported outcomes were
   observed in women over time.
- Structural damage was more common in men than women over 6 years of follow up.
- Sex is an important contextual parameter to consider in the management of axSpA.
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11

In many diseases, sex-related differences have become a major focus of 1 2 interest.(1) In Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Diseases (RMDs), it is increasingly 3 being recognized that women differ from men regarding disease expression, clinical 4 manifestations, disease progression and treatment response.(2,3) Sex-related 5 differences may be multifactorial and complex, driven by genetic, hormonal, socio-6 cultural and/or psychological factors, thus, leading to differing diseases 7 pathophysiology, articular pattern, quality of life and perception of pain between men and women. Recognizing and understanding these disease-specific differences may 8 9 result in adaptations related to sexes, leading to a tailored management of the 10 disease and better outcomes. (1)

Historically, ankylosing spondylitis (AS) was considered a predominantly male 11 12 disease; however, currently, the male to female ratio is 2-3:1 in radiographic axial spondyloarthritis (axSpA), with an equal sex distribution in its non-radiographic 13 form.(4) Recent data suggest differences in disease phenotypes between men and 14 15 women with axSpA, in terms of disease activity and disease progression.(5–12) Women seem to have a higher disease burden, worse functional impairment but less 16 17 structural damage.(13-15) However, data on sex differences in axSpA available 18 today are mostly issued from cross-sectional, retrospective studies or long-standing disease cohorts. 19

In chronic inflammatory arthritis, studying the early period of the disease is key since
 many changes occur in the early stages.(16) Furthermore, cohort studies allow
 prospective longitudinal analyses over time.(17) Therefore, identifying the
 consequences of sex on axSpA in an inception cohort is of interest.

We hypothesised that sex may influence disease activity progression and that different factors at baseline might be related to disease progression in men and women. We have previously explored disease activity trajectories in axSpA and homogeneous groups of disease activity were identified.(18) Such methods could be applied to explore the influence of sex in axSpA.

The objective of this study was to explore differences in outcomes, including disease activity, patient-reported outcomes (PROs), inflammatory markers and radiographic damage between men and women with axSpA, and to identify sex-specific factors associated with disease activity trajectories, in an inception cohort with a longitudinal follow-up.

- 34
- 35
- 36

#### 37 MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 38

#### 39 Study design and patients

The DESIR cohort (NCT01648907) is a French longitudinal, prospective, multicenter 40 observational cohort including patients aged >18 and <50 years with early 41 inflammatory back pain (IBP) fulfilling either the Calin or Berlin criteria, symptom 42 43 duration >3 months and <3 years suggestive of spondyloarthritis according to the 44 rheumatologist's assessment (e.g. score  $\geq$  5 on a 0 to 10 numerical rating scale where 0 = not suggestive and 10= very suggestive).(19) Of 708 patients included in 45 the cohort, we analysed only patients fulfilling the Assessment of SpondyloArthritis 46 47 International Society (ASAS) classification criteria of axSpA (20) at entry or at any 48 time point during follow-up visits (Supplementary Figure 1). All patients were bDMARD naive. Baseline analyses according to gender have been reported 49 50 elsewhere;(5) here, the first 6 years of follow-up were analysed (with data collected at

1 0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 60 and 72 months). The DESIR cohort was approved by the

2 French ethical committee and the study was conducted according to Good Clinical

3 Practice guidelines. All participants provided written informed consent.(21)

4

#### 5 Clinical outcomes

#### 6 **Sex**

7 Sex as reported by the patient at baseline was the main variable of interest.

#### 8 Main outcomes

The outcome criteria collected over time and analysed according to sex were: a) a 9 10 validated composite disease activity score, the Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-CRP (ASDAS-CRP); (22) b) a PRO, patient global assessment (PGA) 11 using the Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Patient Global Score (BAS-G) over the past 12 week; c) an acute-phase reactant: C-reactive protein (CRP); and d) radiographic 13 sacroiliitis according to the modified New York criteria (mNY). The first 3 outcomes 14 15 were evaluated at 0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 60 and 72 months whereas radiographs 16 were obtained and scored at baseline, 2 years and 5 years.

#### 17 Patient characteristics

18 Demographic and clinical data at baseline included age, relevant family history, 19 duration and vertebral level of IBP, HLA-B27 status, extra-articular manifestations 20 (uveitis, inflammatory bowel disease, psoriasis) and other manifestations (dactylitis, 21 enthesitis, arthritis).

# 22 Exploratory outcomes

23 Secondary analyses were performed for other disease activity scores: Bath 24 Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI) [0-100], Ritchie articular index (53 joints weighted from 0 to 3), 28-swollen joint score [0-28], Maastricht 25 Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesis score (MASES)[0-13]. (22) Physical function and 26 27 quality of life were assessed using Health Assessment Questionnaire for axSpA 28 (HAQ-AS) and Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI), Ankylosing 29 Spondylitis Quality of Life Scale (ASQoL) and Short Form-36 health survey 30 questionnaire (SF-36).(22)

Treatment intake defined as conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (csDMARDs) (yes/no), and separately, tumor necrosis factor inhibitor (TNFi) use (yes/no) at each timepoint, were analysed by comparing the sexes using a mixed-effect model."

Radiographs of sacroiliac joints and the spine were assessed by local reading at
 baseline, 2 years and 5 years. Spine radiographs were scored according to the
 modified Stoke Ankylosing Spondylitis Spine Score (mSASSS).

#### 38 Statistical analyses

Baseline characteristics of men and women were compared using t-tests (or Mann-1 2 Whitney U tests) for continuous variables and chi-square tests (or Fisher's exact test) 3 for categorical variables. To assess the impact of sex over time taking into account 4 longitudinal repetitive measures, mixed-effect linear and logistic regression models 5 were performed (using Ime4 R package) for continuous and binary dependent 6 variables respectively. In the mixed models, sex and time were determined as fixed 7 effects and subjects as random effects (more details in **Supplementary Table 1**). To 8 illustrate the evolution over time, the mean of the last 2 values of each outcome was 9 calculated. Missing data were handled using mixed models, imputation of missing 10 data was not needed and not performed.

Trajectories in men and in women separately were modelised through homogeneous 11 12 clusters of disease activity based on ASDAS-CRP, using the R package kml.(23) The optimal number of clusters (from 2 to 6) was chosen using the Calinski and Harabatz 13 criterion. Only patients for whom at least 3 ASDAS values were available during the 6 14 15 years of follow-up were included in this analysis, as was done in previous DESIR analyses (Supplementary Figure 1). Predisposing baseline factors (demographics, 16 disease phenotype, disease severity, quality of life, radiographic sacroiliitis) 17 associated with the different trajectories were analysed by univariate analysis. 18 19 Covariates significantly associated with at least one trajectory (p<0.1) in univariate 20 analysis, were included in the multivariable multinomial logit regression, after 21 excluding colinear variables. For the analyses, the "persistent low disease activity" trajectory was used as the reference trajectory because it included the largest 22 23 number of patients (more details in **Supplementary Table 1**). Statistical analyses 24 were performed using the Software R Studio Version 1.4.1106.

### 1 RESULTS

2

Of the 708 patients included in the DESIR cohort at baseline, 494 (69.7%) who fulfilled the ASAS classification criteria for axSpA at any time point were analysed (**Supplementary Figure 1**): mean age was 31.9±7.5 years, mean disease duration

6 was 20.7±11.7 months; 249 (50.4%) were men and 245 (49.6%) were women.

#### 7 **Comparison of baseline characteristics between men and women**

At baseline (**Table 1**), mean age was similar between men and women, however, the duration of IBP was longer in women. At baseline, disease activity was high and similar in men and women (mean±SD ASDAS-CRP score was 2.6±1.0 in men versus 2.6±0.9 in women, p=0.75), whereas subjective measures such as PGA and other PROs (SF-36, HAQ-AS, ASQoL, PGA, BASFI), but also objective signs such as tender and swollen joint counts and MASES enthesitis scores, were worse in women at baseline. However, CRP levels and sacroiliitis were more common in men.

#### 15 Impact of sex on disease outcomes

- 16 <u>Main outcomes</u>:
- 17 **ASDAS-CRP:** Although disease activity was comparable at baseline and decreased 18 in both groups, women had significantly higher ASDAS-CRP scores over 6 years of
- 19 follow-up (p<0.0001 in mixed models, shown in **Figure 1 and Table 2**). To illustrate
- 20 this, the mean ASDAS-CRP score was 1.9±1.0 in men versus 2.3±0.9 in women at
- 21 the last 2 time points (Table 2, for more details and data availability for each
- 22 timepoint, see **Supplementary Table 2 and 3**).
- **PGA:** Women had significantly higher PGA which persisted over 6 years of follow-up
- 24 (p<0.0001 in mixed models, **Figure 1 and Table 2**).
- 25 **CRP levels:** Although significantly higher CRP levels were seen in men at baseline,
- overall CRP levels were similar over 6 years of follow-up (p=0.090 in mixed models,
   Figure 1 and Table 2)
- 27 **Figure 1 and Table 2**).
- 28 Radiographic sacroiliitis, mNY criteria: At baseline and over time, more men had
- 29 radiographic sacrolliitis (p<0.0001 in mixed models, **Figure 1 and Table 2**).
- Radiographic sacroiliitis was seen in 72 (28.9%) men and 32 (13.1%) women at 5 years (**Table 2**). At 6 years, the main outcomes (ASDAS-CRP, PGA and CRP) showed significantly higher values in women compared to men in both radiographic
- and non-radiographic axSpA (**Supplementary Table 3**).
- 34 Secondary outcomes:
- As seen in **Table 2**, women had significantly worse PROs (BASDAI, BASFI, ASQoL, HAQ-AS, SF-36) than men over time, higher MASES enthesitis index, higher tender/swollen joint counts but less sacroiliitis on MRI and less structural spinal damage (mSASSS) over time (all p<0.05 in mixed models). Drug intake at least once over follow-up (csDMARDs and TNFi) were similar between sexes over time (**Table** 2).
- 41

### 42 Trajectories

When analysing trajectories separately in men and women (total 352 patients), we identified six different trajectories in both in men and women (**Figure 2**, **Supplementary Figure 2**). A nomenclature for trajectories of disease activity in DESIR has been previously described and was used here (18,26). The distribution of patients in different trajectories was globally similar in men and women, eg. 68 (38%) men and 73 (42%) women had an 'inactive or low disease activity' evolution whereas 59 (33%) men and 60 (34%) women had a 'high/very high disease' evolution.
ASDAS-CRP scores were globally higher in women in 'high/very high disease'
trajectories as shown in **Supplementary Figures 2.A and 2.B.** On the other hand,
22 (12%) men and 15 (9%) women had 'almost major improvement' on ASDAS-CRP.

#### 5 Baseline characteristics associated with each trajectory in men and women

6 The multivariable analysis showed that higher HAQ-AS scores at baseline were 7 associated with increased odds of being in the of 'persistent high/very high disease 8 activity' group compared to 'persistent low disease activity' in both men and women 9 (**Table 3**). On the other hand, higher HAQ-AS scores at baseline in men (odds ratio, 10 OR=0.09, 95% confidence interval, CI [0.01,0.79]) were significantly associated with 11 decreased odds of being in the "persistent inactive disease activity" compared to 12 'persistent low disease activity'.

13

#### 1 DISCUSSION

2 This large cohort of patients with early axSpA demonstrates the differences in 3 disease phenotypes between men and women. For both sexes, disease activity, 4 function and pain improved over time. However, although disease activity was similar 5 between sexes at baseline, there was less improvement in disease activity, 6 functioning and quality of life in women than in men over 6 years of follow-up, leading 7 to higher disease activity and symptoms in women at 6 years. The higher level of 8 disease activity over time was confirmed by a second statistical technique: even 9 though one-third of both men and women had a persistent high/very high disease 10 activity evolution, disease activity scores were globally higher in women in these trajectories. Finally, structural damage and MRI inflammation were more prevalent in 11 12 men than women, although they had similar acute phase reactants and treatment 13 intake.

14

15 This study has some strengths and limitations. This longitudinal analysis of the 16 impact of sex on disease outcomes in axSpA brings different and valuable 17 information compared to published data which are mainly cross-sectional.(5-18 8,10,12,13,15,24,25) A strength of our study is the validated methodologies used to 19 analyse longitudinal data: mixed-effects models and trajectory analyses. The use of "k-means" algorithm presents an original and innovative methodology to study 20 longitudinal data; trajectory modeling was recently used in axSpA in previous studies 21 22 on the same cohort after 3 years and 5 years of follow-up.(18,26) The DESIR cohort 23 included a large sample of patients; however, many patients could not be analysed because they did not satisfy the ASAS criteria for axSpA. The sample of patients 24 25 allowed us to define distinctive trajectories of disease activity stable over time. However, given the small sample size in each specific trajectory we chose not to 26 27 (over-)interpret baseline characteristics of the patients in each trajectory. One may 28 argue that PROs included in the ASDAS score may have contributed more than CRP 29 to trajectory clustering. However, both PROs and acute phase reactants are 30 recommended for disease activity monitoring in axSpA.(27) Although treatment 31 intake over time was analysed (and was similar between men and women over time), the types and dosages of TNFi and treatment retention were not analysed. As in 32 many longitudinal studies, there were missing data over time; however, the DESIR 33 34 cohort is one of the largest cohorts of early axSpA, and using mixed-effects models 35 allowed us to overcome missing data and analyse all the data of patients included in the cohort. 36 37

Our study showed that disease activity scores were higher in women over time 38 as reflected by both ASDAS and BASDAI. This finding is in line with previous cross-39 sectional and observational studies reporting that female sex was associated with 40 higher disease activity mainly observed on the BASDAI scores; (5-8,10,13,24,25) and 41 42 a few recent studies also reported higher ASDAS scores in women.(12,28) In this 43 study, women had more peripheral arthritis and enthesitis than men. Past studies 44 have reported similar findings.(6,8,15,25) As for PROs measures, PGA, BASFI, 45 ASQoL, HAQ-AS, SF-36 scores were worse in women determining poor functional 46 status and well-being. This finding is consistent with previous observations showing 47 overall higher disease burden and functional impairment in female sex with axSpA 48 (6,10,15,25,29). On the other hand, there was no difference in CRP levels between sexes over time, therefore, the difference in ASDAS scores was mainly driven by the 49 50 PROs (axial and peripheral pain, duration of morning stiffness, PGA). This highlights that disease activity scores may be somewhat subjective and driven by centralsensitization, in contrast to MRI inflammation which may be a more subjective tool.

3

4 Altogether, in the present study, both disease activity scores and PROs were 5 worse in women. It might be worth noting that similar findings were seen in women 6 with chronic RMDs such as rheumatoid arthritis and psoriatic arthritis (2,30), thus 7 emphasizing increased pain sensitivity widely acknowledged in the female sex.(6,31) Women had a higher percentage of non-radiographic axSpA (nr-axSpA), with higher 8 9 disease activity scores compared to men. (32) These findings may be partly 10 explained by the higher prevalence of concomitant fibromyalgia among women with axSpA.(33) The ASDAS-CRP score used in our analysis and trajectory modeling is a 11 rather objective score that seems to be less influenced by secondary fibromyalgia in 12 patients with axSpA.(34) Nevertheless, studies have shown that coexistent 13 fibromyalgia characterized by chronic musculoskeletal widespread pain and tender 14 15 points may impact disease activity scores and treatment response in axSpA (32) making it particularly difficult in the presence of axSpA with entheseal symptoms.(33) 16 17 Thus, this underlines the challenge to differentiate entheseal tenderness due to 18 central sensitization from enthesitis resulting in real inflammation of the entheses in 19 axSpA patients. It might be of interest to use more objective imaging tools in the 20 evaluation of peripheral symptoms in axSpA as well as pain pathways. 21

22 Because of the association between disease activity and structural 23 progression, (35) one would expect women with higher disease activity to have more structural damage. However, this was not confirmed by our study and other past 24 25 studies showing significantly more structural progression in men.(7,36-38) Whether men tend to minimize their symptoms leading to worse clinical outcomes, remains 26 27 unclear. This raises the question of whether lower cut-offs are needed for disease 28 activity scores in men. We also believe this indicates the difficulties to link clinical 29 outcomes to radiographic progression in axSpA, in particular when radiographic 30 progression is overall low as is the case in the DESIR cohort.(39) 31

Treatment intake (TNFi and csDMARD) in men and women were similar over time even though women had higher disease activity scores and disease burden. Several hypotheses could justify this finding. We think it could be due to the higher treatment failure and lower time on drug observed in female patients;(7) or on the contrary, due to the better recognition by rheumatologists of the overlap with fibromyalgia resulting in less treatment initiation or switching in women. This needs to be further clarified in future studies.

39

Around one-third of men and women remained in 'high/very high disease 40 activity' trajectories, thus, implying an insufficient control of the disease despite the 41 42 wide use of biologics. This finding was also reported by Molto et al in the analysis of 43 the whole cohort population at 3 years of follow-up.(18) Women had globally higher 44 ASDAS scores in these 2 trajectories which are consistent with the results from 45 mixed models. It is also important to note that coexistent fibromyalgia in women may have influenced the persistence of increased disease activity scores which include 46 47 subjective elements such as patient global and pain. (40) Furthermore, high HAQ-AS 48 scores at baseline were strongly associated with both high and very high disease activity evolution in both sexes. This finding is probably linked to the existing high 49 50 correlation between HAQ-AS and other PROs including those comprised in the

ASDAS scores. This highlights again the limitations of the ASDAS scores which appear here again to be driven by PROs.(35,41) Factors associated with disease evolution may help physicians predict the evolution of symptoms in axSpA while taking into account sex in the equation.

5 These sex-related differences may be explained by socio-cultural, genetic, 6 hormonal and immunological factors that may result in distinct pathogenesis and phenotypes of the same disease in both sexes and does not seem to be linked to 7 differences in treatment intake. The perception of pain is a subjective experience and 8 9 differs between sexes. Andromativity and hegemonic masculinity can influence the 10 expression and coping with pain based on gender norms.(31) From a sociocultural perspective, women tend to verbalize and report more pain whereas chronic pain in 11 12 men may be seen as a sign of weakness and threat to masculine identity.(42) Higher 13 masculinity was shown to be associated with higher pain tolerance and pain 14 thresholds, whereas feminity was associated with greater sensitivity to pain.(31)

15 As for genetic factors, the most relevant one, HLAB27 positivity, appears to be more 16 prevalent in men explaining somewhat the higher structural damage (43). Sex hormones (estrogen and testosterone levels) may also play a role in the dissimilar 17 18 immune response and pain response between sexes.(44) Cytokine release may also 19 differ: higher levels of TNF-alpha and IL-17 were detected in men with axSpA leading 20 to osteoblastic differentiation, osteoproliferation and stimulation of inflammatory 21 pathways inducing more bone damage.(45,46) Further studies are needed to better 22 identify pathogenic mechanisms and factors causing differential clinical patterns and 23 disease progression between sexes.

24

25 In conclusion, our analysis confirms that women seem to have a different 26 disease phenotype than men in axSpA. Over 6 years of follow-up, women had higher 27 disease activity, worse functioning and well-being but less structural damage. It is 28 important to note that treatment intake was generally similar over time between 29 sexes, though this observational study which did not control for treatment received, 30 may have overlooked more subtle differences in treatments between sexes. It is 31 essential to recognize sex as an important contextual factor in axSpA. Sex differences should be widely recognized and taken into account in the management 32 of the disease. More studies are needed to determine the factors related to sex 33 34 differences in the view of predicting and improving outcomes.

#### 1 References

2 3

4

- Mauvais-Jarvis F, Bairey Merz N, Barnes PJ, Brinton RD, Carrero JJ, DeMeo DL, et al. Sex and gender: modifiers of health, disease, and medicine. Lancet. 2020 Aug 22;396(10250):565–82.
- Sokka T, Toloza S, Cutolo M, Kautiainen H, Makinen H, Gogus F, et al. Women, men, and rheumatoid arthritis: analyses of disease activity, disease characteristics, and treatments in the QUEST-RA study. Arthritis Res Ther. 2009;11(1):R7.
- Højgaard P, Ballegaard C, Cordtz R, Zobbe K, Clausen M, Glintborg B, et al. Gender
   differences in biologic treatment outcomes-a study of 1750 patients with psoriatic
   arthritis using Danish Health Care Registers. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2018 Sep
   1;57(9):1651–60.
- 13 4. Sieper J, Poddubnyy D. Axial spondyloarthritis. Lancet. 2017 01;390(10089):73–84.
- Tournadre A, Pereira B, Lhoste A, Dubost JJ, Ristori JM, Claudepierre P, et al.
   Differences between women and men with recent-onset axial spondyloarthritis: results
   from a prospective multicenter French cohort. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2013
   Sep;65(9):1482–9.
- Mease PJ, McLean RR, Dube B, Liu M, Rebello S, Glynn M, et al. Comparison of Men and Women With Axial Spondyloarthritis in the US-Based Corrona Psoriatic Arthritis/Spondyloarthritis Registry. J Rheumatol. 2021 Apr 15;
- Rusman T, van Bentum RE, van der Horst-Bruinsma IE. Sex and gender differences in axial spondyloarthritis: myths and truths. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2020 Oct 1;59(Supplement\_4):iv38–46.
- Neuenschwander R, Hebeisen M, Micheroli R, Bürki K, Exer P, Niedermann K, et al.
   Differences between men and women with nonradiographic axial spondyloarthritis:
   clinical characteristics and treatment effectiveness in a real-life prospective cohort.
   Arthritis Res Ther. 2020 09;22(1):233.
- van der Horst-Bruinsma IE, Zack DJ, Szumski A, Koenig AS. Female patients with
   ankylosing spondylitis: analysis of the impact of gender across treatment studies. Ann
   Rheum Dis. 2013 Jul;72(7):1221–4.
- 31 10. de Carvalho HMS, Bortoluzzo AB, Gonçalves CR, da Silva JAB, Ximenes AC, Bértolo
   32 MB, et al. Gender characterization in a large series of Brazilian patients with
   33 spondyloarthritis. Clin Rheumatol. 2012 Apr;31(4):687–95.
- 11. Chen HH, Chen YM, Lai KL, Hsieh TY, Hung WT, Lin CT, et al. Gender difference in
   ASAS HI among patients with ankylosing spondylitis. PLoS One. 2020;15(7):e0235678.
- 12. Capelusnik D, Zhao SS, Boonen A, Ziade N, Medina CL, Dougados M, et al. Individual
   and country-level socioeconomic factors and health outcomes in spondyloarthritis:
   analysis of the ASAS perSpA study. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2021 Aug 13;keab638.
- 39 13. de Jong H, Paramarta JE, de Winter J, Baeten D, van de Sande M. Differences between
   40 females and males in axial spondyloarthritis: data from a real-life cross-sectional cohort.
   41 Scand J Rheumatol. 2020 Jan;49(1):28–32.

- 14. van Tubergen A. The changing clinical picture and epidemiology of spondyloarthritis. Nat
   Rev Rheumatol. 2015 Feb;11(2):110–8.
- 15. Landi M, Maldonado-Ficco H, Perez-Alamino R, Maldonado-Cocco JA, Citera G, Arturi P,
  et al. Gender differences among patients with primary ankylosing spondylitis and
  spondylitis associated with psoriasis and inflammatory bowel disease in an
  iberoamerican spondyloarthritis cohort. Medicine (Baltimore). 2016;95(51):e5652.
- 16. Molto A, López-Medina C, Van den Bosch FE, Boonen A, Webers C, Dernis E, et al.
  Efficacy of a tight-control and treat-to-target strategy in axial spondyloarthritis: results of
  the open-label, pragmatic, cluster-randomised TICOSPA trial. Ann Rheum Dis. 2021
  May 6:
- Hirano F, van der Heijde D, van Gaalen FA, Landewé RBM, Gaujoux-Viala C, Ramiro S.
   Determinants of the patient global assessment of well-being in early axial spondyloarthritis: 5-year longitudinal data from the DESIR cohort. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2020 Aug 7;
- 18. Molto A, Montcel ST du, Wendling D, Dougados M, Vanier A, Gossec L. Disease activity
   trajectories in early axial spondyloarthritis: results from the DESIR cohort. Annals of the
   Rheumatic Diseases. 2017 Jun 1;76(6):1036–41.
- 18 19. Dougados M, d'Agostino MA, Benessiano J, Berenbaum F, Breban M, Claudepierre P, et
   al. The DESIR cohort: a 10-year follow-up of early inflammatory back pain in France:
   study design and baseline characteristics of the 708 recruited patients. Joint Bone
   Spine. 2011 Dec;78(6):598–603.
- Rudwaleit M, van der Heijde D, Landewé R, Listing J, Akkoc N, Brandt J, et al. The
   development of Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society classification
   criteria for axial spondyloarthritis (part II): validation and final selection. Ann Rheum Dis.
   2009 Jun;68(6):777–83.
- 26 21. La cohorte DESIR [Internet]. [cited 2021 Aug 17]. Available from:
   27 http://www.lacohortedesir.fr/
- 28 22. Zochling J. Measures of symptoms and disease status in ankylosing spondylitis: 29 Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score (ASDAS), Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality 30 of Life Scale (ASQoL), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index (BASDAI), 31 Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index (BASFI), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis 32 Global Score (BAS-G), Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Metrology Index (BASMI), 33 Dougados Functional Index (DFI), and Health Assessment Questionnaire for the 34 Spondylarthropathies (HAQ-S). Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2011 Nov;63 Suppl 35 11:S47-58.
- 36 23. Genolini C, Falissard B. KmL: a package to cluster longitudinal data. Comput Methods
   37 Programs Biomed. 2011 Dec;104(3):e112-121.
- 24. Ortega Castro R, Font Ugalde P, Castro Villegas MC, Calvo Gutiérrez J, Muñoz Gomariz
   E, Zarco Montejo P, et al. Different clinical expression of patients with ankylosing
   spondylitis according to gender in relation to time since onset of disease. Data from
   REGISPONSER. Reumatol Clin. 2013 Aug;9(4):221–5.
- 42 25. Roussou E, Sultana S. Spondyloarthritis in women: differences in disease onset, clinical
   43 presentation, and Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity and Functional indices

- (BASDAI and BASFI) between men and women with spondyloarthritides. Clin
   Rheumatol. 2011 Jan;30(1):121–7.
- 26. Different Disease Activity Trajectories in Early Axial Spondyloarthritis Lead to
   Significantly Different Long-term Outcomes : A Cluster-based Analysis of the DESIR
   Cohort [Internet]. ACR Meeting Abstracts. [cited 2021 Aug 22]. Available from:
   https://acrabstracts.org/abstract/different-disease-activity-trajectories-in-early-axial spondyloarthritis-lead-to-significantly-different-long-term-outcomes-a-cluster-based analysis-of-the-desir-cohort/
- 9 27. Smolen JS, Schöls M, Braun J, Dougados M, FitzGerald O, Gladman DD, et al. Treating
   axial spondyloarthritis and peripheral spondyloarthritis, especially psoriatic arthritis, to
   target: 2017 update of recommendations by an international task force. Ann Rheum Dis.
   2018;77(1):3–17.
- Putrik P, Ramiro S, Moltó A, Keszei AP, Norton S, Dougados M, et al. Individual-level and country-level socioeconomic determinants of disease outcomes in SpA: multinational, cross-sectional study (ASAS-COMOSPA). Ann Rheum Dis. 2019 Apr;78(4):486–93.
- Rusman T, van Vollenhoven RF, van der Horst-Bruinsma IE. Gender Differences in Axial
   Spondyloarthritis: Women Are Not So Lucky. Curr Rheumatol Rep. 2018 12;20(6):35.
- 30. Duruöz MT, Gezer HH, Nas K, Kılıç E, Sargın B, Kasman SA, et al. Gender-related
   differences in disease activity and clinical features in patients with peripheral psoriatic
   arthritis: A multi-center study. Joint Bone Spine. 2021 Jul;88(4):105177.
- 31. Alabas OA, Tashani OA, Tabasam G, Johnson MI. Gender role affects experimental pain
   responses: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Eur J Pain. 2012 Oct;16(9):1211–
   23.
- 32. Moltó A, Etcheto A, Gossec L, Boudersa N, Claudepierre P, Roux N, et al. Evaluation of
   the impact of concomitant fibromyalgia on TNF alpha blockers' effectiveness in axial
   spondyloarthritis: results of a prospective, multicentre study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2018
   Apr;77(4):533–40.
- 33. Bello N, Etcheto A, Béal C, Dougados M, Moltó A. Evaluation of the impact of
   fibromyalgia in disease activity and treatment effect in spondyloarthritis. Arthritis
   Research & Therapy. 2016 Feb 9;18(1):42.
- 32 34. Baraliakos X, Tsiami S, Dukatz P, Gkelaki MC, Kiltz U, Braun J. Pos0245 Performance of
   33 Standardized Scores for Disease Assessment and Pain in Patients with
   34 Spondyloarthritis and Fibromyalgia. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2021 Jun
   35 1;80(Suppl 1):344–344.
- 36 35. Aouad K, Ziade N, Baraliakos X. Structural progression in axial spondyloarthritis. Joint
   37 Bone Spine. 2020 Mar;87(2):131–6.
- 38 36. Wright GC, Kaine J, Deodhar A. Understanding differences between men and women
   39 with axial spondyloarthritis. Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2020;50(4):687–94.
- 37. Webers C, Essers I, Ramiro S, Stolwijk C, Landewé R, van der Heijde D, et al. Genderattributable differences in outcome of ankylosing spondylitis: long-term results from the Outcome in Ankylosing Spondylitis International Study. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2016 Mar;55(3):419–28.

- 38. Lee W, Reveille JD, Davis JC, Learch TJ, Ward MM, Weisman MH. Are there gender
   differences in severity of ankylosing spondylitis? Results from the PSOAS cohort. Ann
   Rheum Dis. 2007 May;66(5):633–8.
- 39. Dougados M, Sepriano A, Molto A, van Lunteren M, Ramiro S, de Hooge M, et al.
   Sacroiliac radiographic progression in recent onset axial spondyloarthritis: the 5-year
   data of the DESIR cohort. Ann Rheum Dis. 2017 Nov;76(11):1823–8.
- 40. Alunno A, Carubbi F, Stones S, Gerli R, Giacomelli R, Baraliakos X. The Impact of
   Fibromyalgia in Spondyloarthritis: From Classification Criteria to Outcome Measures.
   Front Med (Lausanne). 2018 Oct 24;5:290.
- 41. Aouad K, Wendling D, Breban M, Dadoun S, Hudry C, Moltó A, et al. Exploring remission
   concept in axial spondyloarthritis through the perception of rheumatologists using
   vignettes and priority ratings. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2021 Sep 16;keab711.
- 42. Samulowitz A, Gremyr I, Eriksson E, Hensing G. "Brave Men" and "Emotional Women": A
   Theory-Guided Literature Review on Gender Bias in Health Care and Gendered Norms
   towards Patients with Chronic Pain. Pain Res Manag. 2018;2018:6358624.
- 43. Chung HY, Machado P, Heijde D van der, D'Agostino MA, Dougados M. HLA-B27
  positive patients differ from HLA-B27 negative patients in clinical presentation and
  imaging: results from the DESIR cohort of patients with recent onset axial
  spondyloarthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases. 2011 Nov 1;70(11):1930–6.
- 44. Fish EN. The X-files in immunity: sex-based differences predispose immune responses.
   Nat Rev Immunol. 2008 Sep;8(9):737–44.
- 45. Gracey E, Yao Y, Green B, Qaiyum Z, Baglaenko Y, Lin A, et al. Sexual Dimorphism in
   the Th17 Signature of Ankylosing Spondylitis. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2016 Mar;68(3):679–
   89.
- 46. Huang WN, Tso TK, Kuo YC, Tsay GJ. Distinct impacts of syndesmophyte formation on
   male and female patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Int J Rheum Dis. 2012
   Apr;15(2):163–8.
- 28

Funding: The DESIR cohort is conducted as a programme hospitalier de recherche clinique (PHRC) with Assistance Publique–Hôpitaux de Paris as the sponsor. This study is conducted under the umbrella of the French Society of Rheumatology, which

4 is also financially supporting this cohort. An unrestricted grant from Pfizer was

5 allocated for the first 10 years.

6 The present analysis was funded through an investigator-initiated grant from UCB.

### 7

#### 8 Ethics:

9 Ethics committee approval is already obtained through the DESIR cohort agreements 10

#### 11 Acknowledgements:

The authors would like to thank the scientific committee of the DESIR cohort to have accepted our application of the current research initiative; the investigators to have adequately collected the data permitting such analyses; the patients to have accepted to participate.

16 **Data availability statement:** The data underlying this article were provided by 17 DESIR cohort under permission and cannot be shared publicly. Data will be shared

18 on reasonable request to the corresponding author with permission of the DESIR

- 19 cohort scientific committee.
- 20

1	Table 1. Comparison of baseline characteristics between men and women with
2	axSpA

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	All	Men	Women	p-value
	(n=494)	(n=249)	(n=245)	
Age, years, mean±SD	31.9±7.5	31.2±7.6	32.6±7.3	0.03
Duration of IBP, years, mean±SD	1.7±1.0	1.7±0.9	1.8±1.0	< 0.0001
Past history of:				
Dactylitis,n (%)	70 (14.2)	32 (12.9)	38 (15.5)	0.40
Enthesitis, n (%)	265 (53.6)	131 (52.6)	134 (54.7)	0.64
Uveitis, n (%)	47 (9.5)	20 (8.0)	27 (11.0)	0.26
Peripheral arthritis, n (%)	138 (28.0)	73 (29.3)	65 (26.5)	0.51
IBD, n (%)	26 (5.3)	10 (4.0)	16 (6.5)	0.21
Psoriasis, n (%)	78 (16.6)	38 (16.0)	40 (17.1)	0.50
HLA–B27 positivity, n (%)	378 (76.5)	201 (80.7)	177 (72.2)	0.02
Tender joint score [0-53],	2.6±4.8	1.8±4.0	3.5±5.4	< 0.0001
mean±SD				
Swollen joint score [0-28],	0.1±0.7	0.1±0.9	0.2±0.3	< 0.0001
mean±SD				
MASES Enthesitis score [0-13],	2.4±3.0	1.4±2.2	3.4±3.3	< 0.0001
mean±SD				
MRI sacroiliitis †, n(%)	220(44.5)	132(53.0)	87(35.5)	0.0002
ASAS criteria clinical arm verified, n(%)	189(40.1)	78(32.9)	111(47.4)	0.0013
ASAS criteria imaging arm verified, n(%)	245(52.0)	147(62.1)	98(41.9)	< 0.0001

3

4 Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) and categorical 5 variables were expressed as numbers and percentages.

6

7 IBP: inflammatory back pain; IBD: Inflammaroty Bowel Disease; ASDAS-CRP : Ankylosing 8 Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-CRP, ASQoL : Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality of Life Scale; 9 BASDAI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; BASFI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis 10 Functional Index; csDMARDs : conventional synthetic disease modifying antirheumatic drugs; 11 CRP: C-reactive protein; HAQ-AS: Health Assessment Questionnaire for axSpA; MASES: 12 Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesis score; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; mNY : 13 modified New York criteria: SF-36: Short Form-36 health survey questionnaire: TNFi: tumor 14 necrosis factor inhibitors. 15 *†* MRI sacroiliitis according to the local investigator.

- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20

#### Table 2. Effect of sex on different clinical outcomes in axSpA over 6 years of 1

#### follow-up using mixed-effects models. 2

3 # The final value represents the mean of the last 2 values at month 60 and 72 for all clinical

4 outcomes except for radiographic sacroiliitis, MRI sacroiliitis and mSASSS (only values at month

5 60). 6

\* According to the local investigator.

	Basel	ine value	Final value <sup>#</sup>		Effect estimate of sex	
					by mixed-effects	
	Men	Women	Men	Women	(N=494)	
Key outcomes					p-value	
ASDAS-CRP, mean±SD	2.6±1.0	2.6±1.0	1.9±1.0	2.25±0.9	<0.0001	
PGA [0-10], mean±SD	4.6±2.6	5.2±2.6	2.9±2.5	4.1±2.8	<0.0001	
CRP (mg/L), mean±SD	10.7±16.5	6.2±8.3	6.0±11.6	4.9±6.5	0.09	
mNY radiographic sacroiliitis during follow-up (local reading*), n (%)	64 (25.7)	42 (17.1)	72 (28.9)	32 (13.1)	<0.0001	
Exploratory outcomes						
BASDAI [0-100], mean±SD	40.5±20.2	46.3±18.5	25.7±19.3	37.5±21.2	<0.0001	
BASFI [0-100], mean±SD	26.9±21.3	32.4±23.3	15.9±18.3	26.0±22.3	<0.0001	
ASQoL [0-18], mean±SD	8.0±5.0	10.1±4.8	4.5±4.7	8.0±5.5	<0.0001	
SF-36, mean±SD						
Physical Component	41.3±8.9	39.0±9.1	47.0±8.7	41.0±9.6	<0.0001	
Mental Component Summary (MCS) [0-100] Summary (MCS) [0-100]	42.3±11.2	39.6±11.3	47.1±10.1	43.0±11.6	0.0002	
HAQ-AS [0-3], mean±SD	0.5±0.5	0.8±0.5	0.3±0.4	0.63±0.5	<0.0001	
Tender joint count [0-53], mean±SD	2.5±5.2	4.5±7.5	1.1±3.6	3.8±6.2	<0.0001	
Swollen joint count [0-28], mean±SD	0.1±0.3	0.2±0.9	0.02±0.2	0.1±0.7	0.04	
MASES enthesitis index [0-13], mean±SD	2.1±3.4	5.4±6.1	1.1±2.9	4.1±5.4	<0.0001	
mSASSS [0-72], mean±SD	0.5±1.7	0.2±0.6	1.5±5.1	0.6±2.0	0.02	
csDMARDs intake, n (%)	35 (14.5)	33 (14.1)	30 (12.7)	28 (12.0)	0.74	
TNFi intake at least once during	0	0	72.5 (30.5)	70 (29.9)	0.85	
MRI sacroiliitis during follow-up (local reading*)	132(53.0)	87(35.5)	27(10.8)	19 (7.8)	<0.0001	

Significant results (p<0.05) are in bold.

8 The p value reflects differences between sexes over time, using all available timepoints, through

9 mixed models. For illustrative purposes, the baseline and final values of each variable are 10 presented, though the p-values represent all timepoints.

11

12 ASDAS-CRP : Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-CRP; ASQoL : Ankylosing Spondylitis Quality of Life Scale; BASDAI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Index; 13 BASFI: Bath Ankylosing Spondylitis Functional Index; csDMARDs : conventional synthetic 14 disease modifying antirheumatic drugs; CRP: C-reactive protein; HAQ-AS: Health Assessment 15 16 Questionnaire for axSpA; MASES: Maastricht Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesis score; MRI: 17 magnetic resonance imaging; mNY: modified New York criteria; mSASSS: modified Stoke

1 Ankylosing Spondylitis Spine Score; PGA: patient global assessment; SF-36: Short Form-36

health survey questionnaire; TNFi: tumor necrosis factor inhibitors.

2 3

#### 4 Table 3. The association of baseline characteristics with trajectories of disease 5 activity in men and women with early axSpA

6

	Trajectory 1         Persistent       inactive         disease activity       (n=69)		Trajectory 2 Persistent low disease activity (n=72)		Trajectory 3 Almost major improvement (n=37)		Trajectory 4 Clinically important improvement (n=55)		Trajectory 5 Persistent high disease activity (n=68)		Trajectory 6 Persistent very high disease activity (n=51)	
	OR [95% C	:1]	Reference	e cluster†	OR [95% C	1]	OR [95% C	<b>)</b> ]	OR [95% C	1]	OR [95% (	21]
Variables included in the multinomial logit regression*	Men n= 35 (19.8%)	Women n=34 (19.4%)	Men n=33 (18.6%)	Women n=39 (22.3%)	Men n=22 (12.4%)	Women n=15 (8.57%)	Men n=28 (15.8%)	Women n=27 (15.4%)	Men n=31 (17.5%)	Women n=37 (21.1%)	Men n=28 (15.8%)	Women n=23 (13.1%)
HLA–B27 positivity, n(%)	1.61 [0.25,10.45]	NI	-	-	2.72 [0.35,21.42]	NI	0.89 [0.19,4.05 ]	NI	2.91 [0.45,19.07]	NI	0.42 [0.01,1.84]	NI
Tender joint score [0-53] at baseline, mean ± SD	1.22 [0.69,2.16]	0.89 [0.68,1.15]	-	-	1.71 [1.09,2.69]	1.16 [0.97,1.39]	1.36 [0.88,2.10]	1.01 [0.86,1.18]	1.51 [0.96,2.35]	1.02 [0.89,1.17]	1.52 [0.98,2.37]	1.02 [0.88,1.18]
Swollen joint score at baseline [0- 28], mean ± SD	2.59 [0.19,35.90]	NI	-	-	2.88 [0.29,28.69]	NI	1.73 [0.19,16.12]	NI	0.19 [0.01,3.94]	NI	1.49.10 <sup>-7</sup> [NS]	NI
MASES Enthesitis score at baseline [0- 13], mean ± SD	0.64 [0.39,1.05]	0.95 [0.08,1.37]	-	-	0.89 [0.64,1.21]	0.70 [0.50,0.97]	1.03 [0.79,1.33]	0.96 [0.78,1.18]	0.80 [0.58,1.11]	1.05 [0.88,1.26]	0.87 [0.63,1.20]	1.12 [0.91,1.37]
HAQ-AS at baseline [0- 3], mean ± SD	0.09 [0.01,0.79]	0.34 [0.07,1.54]	-	-	3.22 [0.55,19.04]	1.34 [0.28,6.40]	4.92 [0.96,25.34]	2.07 [0.58,7.32]	7.72 [1.49,39.97]	5.98 [1.78,20.04]	17.24 [3.13,9.51]	15.00 [3.55,62.40]
Radiographic sacroiliitis on mNY (local reading), n(%)	0.82 [0.22,3.07]	NI	-	-	6.74 [1.72,26.45]	NI	1.63 [0.46,5.80]	NI	1.66 [0.49,5.66]	NI	2.53 [0.70,9.12]	NI

7 8 9 \*Covariates significantly associated with any trajectory (p<0.1) in univariate analysis, were included in

the model. Variables showing collinearity were excluded from the analysis.

† Trajectory 2 "Persistent Low disease activity" was used as the reference trajectory. 10

NI: not included in the model; NS: not significant. 11

12 Significant results (p<0.05) are in bold.

13 HAQ-AS: Health Assessment Questionnaire for axSpA; MASES: Maastricht

Ankylosing Spondylitis Enthesis score; mNY: modified New York criteria. 14

15

16

17

#### Figure 1. Comparison of evolution of disease activity (ASDAS-CRP), PGA, and 1 CRP levels over 6 years of follow-up between sexes. 2



- 3 4 Figure A. shows the evolution of ASDAS-CRP over 6 years in men and women.
- 5 Figure B. shows the evolution of PGA over 6 years in men and women.
- Figure C. shows the evolution of CRP over 6 years in men and women. 6
- 7 x-axis: visits (months)
- The p-values shown in the figures are the results of linear mixed effect models. 8
- 9 ASDAS-CRP: Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-CRP; CRP: C-reactive
- protein; PGA: patient global assessment. 10
- 11
- 12

### 1 Figure 2. Trajectories of disease activity in men and women with recent axSpA



Figure A. represents all the trajectories of disease activity in men.

Figure B. represents all the trajectories of disease activity in women.

ASDAS-CRP: Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score- C reactive protein

## 1

#### Supplementary Table 1. Detailed statistical methods used to analyse the effect of sex on outcomes in the DESIR cohort. 2

Method	ł	Reasoning
Mixed-e regress	ffect linear and logistic ion models	To take into account longitudinal repetitive measures, using Ime4 R package for continuous and binary dependent variables respectively. In the mixed models, sex and time were determined as fixed effects and subjects as random effects.
Mixed-e	effect linear and logistic	To explore causes related to sex differences
adiustm	ion models with ent and interaction	
	With adjustment	Covariates associated with sex in the univariable analysis (p<0.20) were added into the mixed models and retained if being considered clinically a relevant confounder factor. (results not shown)
	With interaction	Potential interactions between sex and other explanatory variables were tested in the model. There was no imputation of missing data (results not shown).
	Correlation matrix and Principal Component Analysis (PCA) focused on sex were performed	To identify covariates with collinearity (correlation coefficient >0.80) which were excluded from the model.
Univaria	ate analysis	To explore distinct trajectories of disease activity in men and women. Homogeneous clusters of disease activity were modelized using the variable ASDAS-CRP in function of time. We used the R package "kml" which implements "k-means", a hill-climbing algorithm: each patient was assigned to a cluster, then, two alternating phases "Expectation" and "Maximisation" were repeated until the optimal partition is reached. The center of each cluster was identified during the "Expectation" phase. Each patient was assigned to his/her nearest cluster during the "Maximisation" phase. The optimal number of clusters (from 2 to 6) was chosen using a Clustering Validity index, the Calinski and Harabatz criterion, that corresponds to the ratio of the between-clusters variance and the within-cluster variance. To analyse predisposing baseline factors (demographics, disease phenotype, disease severity, quality of life, radiographic sacroiliitis) associated with the different trajectories were analysed by univariate analysis.
	One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) (and if not suitable non- parametric Kruskal- Wallis test)	Used to compare means between different trajectories for continuous variables.
	Chi-square	Used to compare proportions between different trajectories for categorical variables.
Multiva regress	riable multinomial logit ion	Covariates significantly associated with any trajectory (p<0.1) in univariate analysis, were included in the model. For the analyses, trajectory 2 "persistent low disease activity" was used as the reference trajectory as it included the largest number of patients. ASDAS score was not included since it was the variable used to define the clustering. BASDAI was also not included because of collinearity with ASDAS. Other variables showing collinearity were also excluded from the analysis.

1	Supplementary Table 2	. Evolution over time	e of disease activity scores:	ASDAS-
---	-----------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------------	--------

#### CRP, PGA and CRP levels. 2

		MO	M6	M12	M18	M24	M36	M48	M60	M72	Overall
ASDAS-CRP, (mean + SD)	Men	2.6 ±1.0	1.9 ±0.9	2.0 ±0.9	1.9 ±0.9	1.9 ±0.9	2.0 ±0.9	1.9 ±0.9	1.9 ±0.9	1.8 ±1.0	2.0 ±1.0
	Women	2.6 ±1.0	2.3 ±0.9	2.3 ± 0.9	2.3 ±0.9	2.3 ±1.0	2.2 ±0.9	2.2 ±0.9	2.2 ±0.9	2.3 ±0.9**	2.3 ±0.9**
	n total	472	438	421	371	395	358	327	343	259*	-
PGA, (mean + SD)	Men	4.6 ±2.6	3.1 ±2.6	3.4 ±2.7	3.2 ±2.5	3.0 ±2.5	3.3 ±2.7	3.0 ±2.4	2.9 ±2.4	2.9 ±2.6	3.3 ±2.6
	Women	5.2 ±2.6	4.4 ±2.6	4.4 ±2.7	4.2 ±2.7	4.3 ±2.7	4.0 ±2.5	4.1 ±2.5	3.9 ±2.8	4.2 ±2.7**	4.3 ±2.7**
	n total	490	452	434	392	409	391	360	357	308*	-
CRP, (mean + SD)	Men	10.7 ±16.5	5.4 ±10.4	5.4 ±10.9	4.5 ±6.0	5.1 ±7.0	5.8 ±13.2	5.1 ±7.3	7.1 ±15.9	4.8 ±7.3	6.2 ±12.2
	Women	6.2 ±8.3	4.8 ±5.4	5.8 ±8.3	5.2 ±6.0	5.8 ±12.0	5.4 ±7.4	4.7 ±6.6	4.5 ±5.1	5.3 ±7.8	5.3 ±7.5**
	n total	478	442	425	374	403	366	331	348	266	-
mNY Radiographic	Men	64 (25.7)	N/A	N/A	71 (28.5)	N/A	N/A	N/A	72 (28.9)	N/A	N/A
sacroiliitis, n(%)	Women	42 (17.1)	N/A	N/A	51 (16.7)	N/A	N/A	N/A	32 (13.1) **	N/A	N/A
	n total	488	N/A	N/A	394	N/A	N/A	N/A	328	N/A	N/A

3 4 5 N total(men)=249; n total (women)=245

\* At Month 72 (M12): missing data for ASDAS-CRP (n=235), PGA (n= 186), CRP (n=228); at M60,

6 7 missing data for radiographic sacroiliitis (n=166)

\*\* p-value < 0.0001 by univariate analysis at M72

8 N/A: data not available

Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-CRP (ASDAS-CRP), C-reactive 9

protein (CRP), patient global assessment (PGA), mNY: modified New York criteria. 10

#### Supplementary Table 3. Comparison of main clinical outcomes between

radiographic and non-radiographic axSpA at 6 years of follow-up. 

Key outcomes at M72	Radi	ographic ax	SpA	Non-radiographic axSpA			
	Men	Men Women p-value		Men	Women	p-value	
ASDAS-CRP, mean±SD	1.9±1.1	2.5±0.9	0.02	1.7±0.9	2.23±0.9	0.0009	
PGA [0-10], mean±SD	2.9±2.7	4.5±2.9	<0.0001	2.8±2.5	4.0±2.6	<0.0001	
CRP (mg/L), mean±SD	5.9±8.7	7.5±8.5	<0.0001	3.6±6.3	4.6±6.0	<0.0001	

Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-CRP (ASDAS-CRP), C-reactive protein (CRP), patient global assessment (PGA).

### Supplementary Figure 1. Selection of patients for analysis



ASDAS-CRP: Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-CRP; CRP: C-reactive protein.

#### 1 Supplementary Figure 2. Trajectories of disease activity in men and women 2 with recent axSpA



- 3 4
- Figure A represents trajectory 6 (t6): 'patients with persistent very high disease
- 5 activity' (n=51, 14%).
- Figure B represents trajectory 5 (t5): 'patients with persistent high disease activity'
   (n=68, 19%)
- 8 Figure C represents trajectory 4 (t4): 'patients with clinically important improvement'
- 9 (n=55, 16%)
- Figure D represents trajectory 3 (t3): 'patients with almost major improvement' (n=37, 11 11%)
- 12 Figure E represents trajectory 2 (t2): 'patients with persistent low disease activity'
- 13 (n=72, 21%)
- Figure F represents trajectory 1 (t1): 'patients with persistent inactive disease activity' (n=69, 20%)
- 16
- 17 ASDAS-CRP: Ankylosing Spondylitis Disease Activity Score-CRP; CRP: C-reactive
- 18 protein, n: total number of patients included in the trajectory. The dashed line
- 19 represents the female evolution. The straight line represents the male evolution.
- 20 21