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# MEAN SQUARE VALUE OF $L$-FUNCTIONS AT $s=1$ FOR NON-PRIMITIVE CHARACTERS, DEDEKIND SUMS AND BOUNDS ON RELATIVE CLASS NUMBERS 

Stéphane R. Louboutin


#### Abstract

Let $d_{0}$ be a given square-free integer. We give an explicit formula $M_{d_{0}}(p)=A\left(d_{0}\right)(1+$ $\left.B_{d_{0}}(p) / p\right)$ for the quadratic mean value at $s=1$ of the Dirichlet $L$-functions associated with the non-primitive odd Dirichlet characters modulo $d_{0} p$ induced by the odd Dirichlet characters modulo an odd prime $p$. Here $d_{0} \mapsto A\left(d_{0}\right)$ is an explicit multiplicative arithmetic function and $B_{d_{0}}(f)$ is a twisted sum over the divisors $d$ of $d_{0}$ of Dedekind sums $s(h, d f)$. To prove that $f \mapsto B_{d_{0}}(f)$ is $d_{0}$-periodic, we find a new and closed formula for the Dedekind sums $f \mapsto s(a+b f, c+d f)$, for fixed integers $a, b, c$ and $d$. We deduce explicit upper bounds for relative class numbers of cyclotomic number fields.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $f>2$ be an integer. Let $X_{f}$ be the multiplicative group of the $\phi(f)$ Dirichlet characters modulo $f$. Let $X_{f}^{-}=\left\{\chi \in X_{f} ; \chi(-1)=-1\right\}$ be the set of the $\phi(f) / 2$ odd Dirichlet characters modulo $f$. Let $L(s, \chi)$ be the Dirichlet $L$-functions associated with $\chi \in X_{f}$. We have (see [6, Proposition 1]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(1, \chi)=\frac{\pi}{2 f} \sum_{a=1}^{f-1} \chi(a) \cot \left(\frac{\pi a}{f}\right) \quad\left(\chi \in X_{f}^{-}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [11] and [6], the mean square value $M(f)$ of $L(1, \chi)$ as $\chi$ ranges in $X_{f}^{-}$is defined by and given by

$$
M(f):=\frac{1}{\# X_{f}^{-}} \sum_{\chi \in X_{f}^{-}}|L(1, \chi)|^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \frac{\phi(f)}{f}\left\{\prod_{\substack{q \\ q \text { prime } \\ q \mid f}}\left(1+\frac{1}{q}\right)-\frac{3}{f}\right\}
$$

For $f=p \geqslant 3$ an odd prime, we recover Walum's formula in [14]:

$$
M(p):=\frac{2}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{-}}|L(1, \chi)|^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right)\right\} \quad(p \geqslant 3)
$$

Let $h_{p}^{-}$denote the relative class number of the cyclotomic number field of prime conductor $p \geqslant 3$ (see [15] for the definition). Using the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we obtain (see also [6], [9]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p}^{-}=2 p\left(\frac{p}{4 \pi^{2}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \prod_{\chi \in X_{p}^{-}} L(1, \chi) \leqslant 2 p\left(\frac{p M(p)}{4 \pi^{2}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \leqslant 2 p\left(\frac{p}{24}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $d_{0}>1$ be a given square-free integer. Assume that $p \nmid d_{0}$. For $\chi \in X_{p}$, let $\chi^{\prime}$ be the non-primitive character modulo $d_{0} p$ induced by $\chi$. Then,

$$
L(1, \chi)=\left\{\prod_{\substack{q \text { prime } \\ q \mid d_{0}}} \frac{1}{1-\frac{\chi(q)}{q}}\right\} L\left(1, \chi^{\prime}\right)
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p}^{-}=\frac{2 p}{\Pi_{p}^{-}\left(d_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{p}{4 \pi^{2}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \prod_{\chi \in X_{p}^{-}} L\left(1, \chi^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \frac{2 p}{\Pi_{p}^{-}\left(d_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{p M_{d_{0}}(p)}{4 \pi^{2}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Pi_{p}^{-}\left(d_{0}\right):=\prod_{\substack{q \text { prime } \\ q \mid d_{0}}} \prod_{\chi \in X_{p}^{-}}\left(1-\frac{\chi(q)}{q}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{d_{0}}(p):=\frac{2}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{-}}\left|L\left(1, \chi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [6] and [7], we explained how using (3) one could get explicit bounds better than (2) of the type $h_{p}^{-} \leqslant 2 p(p / C)^{(p-1) / 4}$ for any given constant $C<4 \pi^{2}$ and $p$ large enough. In the present paper we greatly simplify the approach developed in these previous articles by giving a neat formula for these $M_{d_{0}}(p)$, see Theorems 3.1 and 4.3. For explicit formulas for $d_{0} \in\{2,6,30,210\}$, see (9), (10), (22) and (23). As a consequence of (3) and this formula, we readily obtain improvements on (2) in Theorem 5.2, by using the explicit lower bounds on $\Pi_{p}^{-}\left(d_{0}\right)$ given in Lemma 5.1. We invite the reader to start his reading with a quick look at our Theorems 3.1, 4.3 and 5.2.

## 2. Dedekind sums

The Dedekind sums $s(c, d)$ which depend only on $c \bmod d$ are defined by

$$
s(c, d)=\frac{1}{4 d} \sum_{n=1}^{d-1} \cot \left(\frac{\pi n}{d}\right) \cot \left(\frac{\pi n c}{d}\right) \quad(c \in \mathbb{Z}, d \geqslant 1, \operatorname{gcd}(c, d)=1),
$$

with the convention $s(c, 1)=0$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $c \mapsto s(c, d)$ is $d$-periodic, we may consider $s(h, d)$ for $h \in(\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. Recall the reciprocity law for Dedekind sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(c, d)+s(d, c)=\frac{c^{2}+d^{2}-3 c d+1}{12 c d}, \quad(c \geqslant 1, d \geqslant 1, \operatorname{gcd}(c, d)=1) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [2], [12] or [8]). In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(1, d)=\frac{d^{2}-3 d+2}{12 d} \quad(d \geqslant 1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. A mean square value formula for non-primitive $L$-functions

Theorem 3.1. Let $d_{0}>1$ be a square-free integer. Set

$$
\phi_{k}\left(d_{0}\right):=\prod_{\substack{q p r i m e \\ q q \mid d_{0}}}\left(q^{k}-1\right) .
$$

Whenever $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f, d_{0}\right)=1$, let $H_{0}=H_{d_{0}}(f)$ be the kernel of order $\phi\left(d_{0}\right)$ of the surjective canonical morphism $\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{0} f \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*} \longrightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / f \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d_{0}}(f):=\mu\left(d_{0}\right) \sum_{1 \neq h \in H_{0}} \sum_{d \mid d_{0}} d \mu(d) s(h, d f) \quad \text { (Dedekind sums). } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p \geqslant 3$ be a prime that does not divide $d_{0}$. For $\chi \in X_{p}^{-}$, let $\chi^{\prime}$ be the nonprimitive Dirichlet character modulo $d_{0} p$ induced by $\chi$. Then,

$$
M_{d_{0}}(p):=\frac{2}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{-}}\left|L\left(1, \chi^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \frac{\phi_{2}\left(d_{0}\right)}{d_{0}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{12 S_{d_{0}}(p)-3 \phi_{1}\left(d_{0}\right)}{\phi_{2}\left(d_{0}\right) p}\right) .
$$

Proof. The surjectivity of the canonical morphism $\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{0} f \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*} \longrightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / f \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ readily follows from Dirichlet theorem that asserts that there are infinitely many prime integers in the arithmetic progressions $a+f \mathbb{Z}$ for $\operatorname{gcd}(a, f)=1$. The canonical morphism $\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{0} f \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{0} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ is also surjective. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{0}, f\right)=1$, its restriction to $H_{0}$ is injective, hence bijective. It follows that whenever $d$ divides $d_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\sum_{h \in H_{0}} s(h, d)=\sum_{h \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{0} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}} s(h, d)=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, whenever $d$ divides $d_{0}$, we have

$$
S:=\sum_{h \in\left(\mathbb{Z} / d_{0} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}} s(h, d)=\sum_{\substack{h=1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}\left(h, d_{0}\right)=1}}^{d_{0}-1} s(h, d)=\sum_{\substack{h=1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}\left(h, d_{0}\right)=1}}^{d_{0}-1} s\left(d_{0}-h, d\right)=-S .
$$

Now, for $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, d_{0} p\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(b, d_{0} p\right)=1$, we have the orthogonality relations

$$
\varepsilon(a, b):=\frac{2}{p-1} \sum_{\chi \in X_{p}^{-}} \chi^{\prime}(a) \overline{\chi^{\prime}(b)}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
+1 & \text { if } b \equiv+a & (\bmod p) \\
-1 & \text { if } b \equiv-a & (\bmod p) \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and using (1) we have

$$
M_{d_{0}}(p)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{4 d_{0}^{2} p^{2}} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\ \operatorname{gcc}\left(a, d_{0} p\right)=1}}^{d_{0} p-1} \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}\left(b, d_{0} p\right)=1}}^{d_{0} p-1} \varepsilon(a, b) \cot \left(\frac{\pi a}{d_{0} p}\right) \cot \left(\frac{\pi b}{d_{0} p}\right) .
$$

The imparity of the cotangent function and the change of variables $b \mapsto a h$ give

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{d_{0}}(p) & =\frac{\pi^{2}}{2 d_{0}^{2} p^{2}} \sum_{\substack{a=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, d_{0} p\right)=1}}^{d_{0} p-1} \sum_{h \in H_{0}} \cot \left(\frac{\pi a}{d_{0} p}\right) \cot \left(\frac{\pi a h}{d_{0} p}\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{d_{0} p} \sum_{h \in H_{0}} \tilde{s}\left(h, d_{0} p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{s}(c, d) & :=\frac{1}{4 d} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)=1}}^{d-1} \cot \left(\frac{\pi n}{d}\right) \cot \left(\frac{\pi n c}{d}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\delta \mid d} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta} s(c, d / \delta)=\frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{\delta \mid d} \delta \mu(\delta) s(c, \delta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\delta \mid d_{0} p$ if and only if either $\delta=d$ or $\delta=d p$ where $d \mid d_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{d_{0}}(p) & =\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{d_{0} p} \sum_{h \in H_{0}} \frac{\mu\left(d_{0} p\right)}{d_{0} p} \sum_{d \mid d_{0} p} d \mu(d) s(h, d) \\
& =\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{d_{0} p} \frac{\mu\left(d_{0} p\right)}{d_{0} p} \sum_{h \in H_{0}}\left(\sum_{d \mid d_{0}} d \mu(d) s(h, d)-p \sum_{d \mid d_{0}} d \mu(d) s(h, d p)\right) \\
& =\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{d_{0} p} \frac{\mu\left(d_{0}\right)}{d_{0}} \sum_{h \in H_{0}} \sum_{d \mid d_{0}} d \mu(d) s(h, d p), \quad(\text { by }(8)) \\
& =\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{d_{0} p} \frac{\mu\left(d_{0}\right)}{d_{0}}\left(\mu\left(d_{0}\right) S_{d_{0}}(p)+\sum_{d \mid d_{0}} d \mu(d) s(1, d p)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by (6), for $d_{0}>1$ square-free we have

$$
\sum_{d \mid d_{0}} d \mu(d) s(1, d f)=\sum_{d \mid d_{0}} \mu(d) \frac{f^{2} d^{2}-3 d f+2}{12 f}=\mu\left(d_{0}\right) \frac{f \phi_{2}\left(d_{0}\right)-3 \phi_{1}\left(d_{0}\right)}{12}
$$

The desired formula follows.
Example 1. Take $d_{0}=2$ and $f \geqslant 3$ odd. Then $H_{0}=\{1\}$ and $S_{2}(f)=0$. Therefore, in accordance with [ 6 , Théorème 3], for $p \geqslant 3$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}(p)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{8}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2. Take $d_{0}=2 \cdot 3=6$ and $f \geqslant 5$ coprime with 6 . Then $H_{0}=\left\{1, h_{0}\right\}$, where $h_{0}=1+4 f$ if $f \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ and $h_{0}=1+2 f$ if $f \equiv 5(\bmod 6)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{6}(p)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{9}\left(1+\frac{2 S_{6}(p)-1}{4 p}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, using (5), (6) and a tad tedious but easy computation, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{6}(f)=6 s\left(h_{0}, 6 f\right)-3 s\left(h_{0}, 3 f\right)-2 s\left(h_{0}, 2 f\right)+s\left(h_{0}, f\right) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{2 f^{2}-13 f+1}{6 f}-\frac{f^{2}-5 f+2}{12 f}-\frac{2 f^{2}-3 f+1}{6 f}+\frac{f^{2}-3 f+2}{12 f}=-\frac{3}{2} & \text { if } f \equiv 1 & (\bmod 6), \\
\frac{2 f^{2}-5 f+1}{6 f}-\frac{f^{2}-13 f+2}{12 f}-\frac{2 f^{2}-3 f+1}{6 f}+\frac{f^{2}-3 f+2}{12 f}=\frac{1}{2} & \text { if } f \equiv 5 & (\bmod 6) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For example, for $f \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s(1+4 f, 6 f) & =-\frac{10 f^{2}+5 f-1}{36 f(4 f+1)}-s(6 f, 4 f+1) \\
& =-\frac{10 f^{2}+5 f-1}{36 f(4 f+1)}-s(2 f-1,4 f+1) \\
& =-\frac{2 f^{2}+7 f+1}{36 f(2 f-1)}+s(4 f+1,2 f-1) \\
& =-\frac{2 f^{2}+7 f+1}{36 f(2 f-1)}+s(3,2 f-1) \\
& =\frac{2 f^{2}-11 f+1}{36 f}-s(2 f-1,3) \\
& =\frac{2 f^{2}-11 f+1}{36 f}-s(1,3) \\
& =\frac{2 f^{2}-11 f+1}{36 f}-\frac{1}{18} \\
& =\frac{2 f^{2}-13 f+1}{36 f}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $S_{6}(f)$ depends only on $f$ modulo 6 , and for $p \geqslant 5$ we have

$$
M_{6}(p)=\frac{\pi^{2}}{9} \times\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1-\frac{1}{p} & \text { if } p \equiv 1 & (\bmod 6)  \tag{11}\\
1 & \text { if } p \equiv 5 & (\bmod 6)
\end{array} \text { and } M_{6}(p) \leqslant \frac{\pi^{2}}{9}\right.
$$

in accordance with [6, Théorème 4 (revised in the Addendum)].

## 4. On the Dedekind sums $f \mapsto s(a+b f, c+d f)$

The aim of this section is to prove in Theorem 4.3 that $f \mapsto S_{d_{0}}(f)$ is $d_{0}$-periodic, which makes our mean square formula in Theorem 3.1 even more interesting and useful. Indeed, we will use it to obtain explicit improvements on (2), see Theorem 5.2.

Let $d_{0}>1$ be a given square-free integer. For $f \geqslant 1$ we can rewrite (7) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{d_{0}}(f):=\mu\left(d_{0}\right) \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \operatorname{gcd}\left(1+k f, d_{0} f\right)=1}}^{d_{0}-1} S_{k, d_{0}}(f), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{k, d_{0}}(f):=\sum_{d \mid d_{0}} d \mu(d) s(1+k f, d f) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove in Theorem 4.3 that for $f$ large enough $f \mapsto S_{k, d_{0}}(f)$ is $d_{0}$-periodic. Therefore, $S_{d_{0}}(f)$ depends only on $f$ modulo $d_{0}$ for $f$ explicitly large enough.

To begin with, we extend the definition of Dedekind sums by setting

$$
s(c, d)=\operatorname{sign}(c d) s(|c|,|d|)=\operatorname{sign}(d) s(c,|d|) \quad(c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\})
$$

Hence $c \mapsto s(c, d)$ is still $d$-periodic and (5) gives the following generalized reciprocity law that holds true whatever the signs of $c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(c, d)=\frac{c^{2}+d^{2}+1}{12 c d}-\frac{\operatorname{sign}(c d)}{4}-s(d, c) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now set some notations. Let $P_{0}(X)=a_{0}+b_{0} X$ and $P_{1}(X)=a_{1}+b_{1} X$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ be given, with $b_{0}>b_{1} \geqslant 1$ and

$$
D_{0}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{0} \\
b_{1} & b_{0}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

Let $b_{0}>b_{1}>\cdots>b_{l}>b_{l+1}=0$ denote the sequence of remainders in the Euclidean algorithm for calculating $\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right)$. For $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l-1$, define $P_{i}(X)=$ $a_{i}+b_{i} X \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ by $P_{i+2}(X)=P_{i}(X)-q_{i} P_{i+1}(X)$, where $q_{i}=\left\lfloor b_{i} / b_{i+1}\right\rfloor \geqslant 1$. Clearly, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{i+1}, a_{i}\right), \operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{i+1}, b_{i}\right)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P_{i+1}(f), P_{i}(f)\right)$ do not depend on the index $i \in\{0, \ldots, l\}$, where $f \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{l}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(0, b_{l}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{l+1}, b_{l}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{0}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
D_{i}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i+1} & a_{i} \\
b_{i+1} & b_{i}
\end{array}\right)=(-1)^{i} D_{0} \neq 0
$$

Set

$$
r_{b_{0}, b_{1}}:=\sum_{i=0}^{l-1}(-1)^{i}\left(\frac{b_{i}}{b_{i+1}}+\frac{b_{i+1}}{b_{i}}\right)
$$

(which is related to the Dedekind sum $s\left(b_{1}^{\prime}, b_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{b_{i}^{\prime} b_{i+1}^{\prime}}$, where $b_{i}^{\prime}=$ $b_{i} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{0}\right)$, by [2, Exercise 20, page 73]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X)=T_{a_{0}, b_{0}, a_{1}, b_{1}}(X):=P_{l}(X)=a_{l}+b_{l} X=a_{l}+\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{0}\right) X \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X)=R_{a_{0}, b_{0}, a_{1}, b_{1}}(X):=r_{b_{0}, b_{1}}+\frac{\frac{D_{0}}{b_{0}}+\frac{b_{0}}{D_{0}}}{P_{0}(X)}+\frac{\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{0}\right)}{D_{0}} T(X) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now in a position to state our new result on Dedekind sums:
Theorem 4.1. Let $P_{0}(X)=a_{0}+b_{0} X$ and $P_{1}(X)=a_{1}+b_{1} X$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ be given, with $b_{0}>b_{1} \geqslant 1$ and

$$
D_{0}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & a_{0} \\
b_{1} & b_{0}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

For $f \geqslant 1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P_{1}(f), P_{0}(f)\right)=1$, we have the Dedekind sums formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
s\left(P_{1}(f), P_{0}(f)\right)=\frac{1}{12} R(f)-\frac{1-(-1)^{l}}{8}-\frac{\operatorname{sign}\left(D_{0}\right)}{4}-s\left(T(f), D_{0}^{\prime}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{0}^{\prime}:=D_{0} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right)$ is a rational integer not equal to 0 and the arithmetic function $f \mapsto s\left(T(f), D_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is $D_{0}^{\prime}$-periodic.

For example, take $P_{0}(X)=6 X$ and $P_{1}(X)=1+4 X$. We have $D_{0}=6, l=2$, $P_{2}(X)=2 X-1$ and $P_{3}(X)=3, r_{6,4}=-1 / 3, R(X)=\frac{2 X^{2}-2 X+1}{3 X}$. Therefore, for $f \equiv 1(\bmod 6)$ we have $s(2 f-1,3)=s(1,3)=1 / 18$ and we obtain

$$
s(1+4 f, 6 f)=\frac{2 f^{2}-11 f+1}{36 f}-s(2 f-1,3)=\frac{2 f^{2}-13 f+1}{36 f}
$$

as in Example 2 of the previous Section.
Proof. We will use some technical results proved in the next Lemma 4.2.
Set $Q(X, Y)=\frac{X^{2}+Y^{2}+1}{X Y}$, and $Q_{i}(X)=Q\left(P_{i+1}(X), P_{i}(X)\right)$. We have the easy to check identity

$$
Q_{i}(X)=\frac{b_{i}}{b_{i+1}}+\frac{b_{i+1}}{b_{i}}-\frac{\frac{D_{i}}{b_{i+1}}+\frac{b_{i+1}}{D_{i}}}{P_{i+1}(X)}+\frac{\frac{D_{i}}{b_{i}}+\frac{b_{i}}{D_{i}}}{P_{i}(X)} \quad(0 \leqslant i \leqslant l-1)
$$

and

$$
Q_{l}(X)=\frac{\frac{D_{l}}{b_{l}}+\frac{b_{l}}{D_{l}}}{P_{l}(X)}+\frac{b_{l}}{D_{l}} P_{l}(X) .
$$

Noticing that $D_{i}=(-1)^{i} D_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{l}(-1)^{i} Q_{i}(X)=r_{b_{0}, b_{1}}+\frac{\frac{D_{0}}{b_{0}}+\frac{b_{0}}{D_{0}}}{P_{0}(X)}+\frac{b_{l}}{D_{0}} P_{l}(X)=R(X) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $f \geqslant 1$ be such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P_{1}(f), P_{0}(f)\right)=1$.
Then $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P_{i+1}(f), P_{i}(f)\right)=1, P_{i}(f) \neq 0$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l$, by Lemma 4.2, and $P_{l+1}(f)=a_{l+1} \neq 0$. Therefore, we can define $\varepsilon_{i}(f)=\operatorname{sign}\left(P_{i}(f)\right) \in\{ \pm 1\}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l+1$. Using (14), $P_{i}(f)=P_{i+2}(f)+q_{i} P_{i+1}(f)$ and the $d$-periodicity of $c \mapsto s(c, d)$, we obtain $s\left(P_{i}(f), P_{i+1}(f)\right)=s\left(P_{i+2}(f), P_{i+1}(f)\right)$ and

$$
s\left(P_{i+1}(f), P_{i}(f)\right)=\frac{1}{12} Q_{i}(f)-\frac{\varepsilon_{i+1}(f) \varepsilon_{i}(f)}{4}-s\left(P_{i+2}(f), P_{i+1}(f)\right)
$$

for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l-1$ and

$$
s\left(P_{l+1}(f), P_{l}(f)\right)=\frac{1}{12} Q_{i}(f)-\frac{\varepsilon_{l+1}(f) \varepsilon_{l}(f)}{4}-s\left(P_{l}(f), P_{l+1}(f)\right)
$$

Taking the alternating sums of these $l+1$ equalities and using (19) we obtain

$$
s\left(P_{1}(f), P_{0}(f)\right)=\frac{1}{12} R(f)-\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon(f)-(-1)^{l} s\left(P_{l}(f), P_{l+1}(f)\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(f)=\sum_{i=0}^{l}(-1)^{i} \varepsilon_{i+1}(f) \varepsilon_{i}(f) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$
\varepsilon(f)=\frac{1-(-1)^{l}}{2}+\operatorname{sign}\left(D_{0}\right)
$$

Finally, $P_{l+1}(f)=a_{l+1}=\frac{a_{l+1} b_{l}}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right)}=\frac{D_{l}}{\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right)}=(-1)^{l} D_{0} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right)$, by (15). Therefore,

$$
(-1)^{l} s\left(P_{l}(f), P_{l+1}(f)\right)=s\left(P_{l}(f), D_{0} / \operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right)\right)
$$

and the desired result follows.

Let us now prove what we used for proving Theorem 4.1, namely that the $P_{i}(f)$ 's are never equal to 0 , which enabled us to deal with their signs, their associated Dedekind sums and the reciprocity law for Dedekind sums:

Lemma 4.2. Let $P_{0}(X)=a_{0}+b_{0} X$ and $P_{1}(X)=a_{1}+b_{1} X$ in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ be given, with $b_{0}>b_{1} \geqslant 1, a_{0}, a_{1} \geqslant 0$ and

$$
D_{0}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} & a_{0} \\
b_{1} & b_{0}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

Let $f \geqslant 1$ be such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P_{1}(f), P_{0}(f)\right)=1$. Then $P_{i}(f) \neq 0$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l+1$ and $\varepsilon(f)$ defined in (20) does not depend on $f$ and is given by

$$
\varepsilon(f)=\frac{1-(-1)^{l}}{2}+\operatorname{sign}\left(D_{0}\right)
$$

Proof. Recall that $q_{i} \geqslant 1$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l$. We claim that $a_{i} \geqslant 0$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant i_{0}$ and $a_{i} \neq 0$ with $\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{i}\right)=(-1)^{i-i_{0}}$ for $i_{0}<i \leqslant l+1$, where

$$
1 \leqslant i_{0}:=\max \left\{i ; 0 \leqslant i \leqslant l+1 \text { and } a_{i} \geqslant 0\right\}
$$

Indeed, if $i_{0} \leqslant l$, then $a_{i_{0}} \geqslant 0$ and $a_{i_{0}+1} \leqslant-1$. Hence if $i_{0} \leqslant l-1$ then $a_{i_{0}+2}=$ $a_{i_{0}}-q_{i_{0}} a_{i_{0}+1} \geqslant 1$, i.e. $a_{i_{0}+1} \leqslant-1$ and $a_{i_{0}+2} \geqslant 1$. Hence if $i_{0} \leqslant l-2$ then $a_{i_{0}+3}=a_{i_{0}+1}-q_{i_{0}+1} a_{i_{0}+2} \leqslant-1$, i.e. $a_{i_{0}+2} \geqslant 1$ and $a_{i_{0}+3} \leqslant-1$. We then proceed inductively. Consequently, (i) if $a_{i+1} \leqslant-1$ for some index $i \leqslant l$ then $a_{i} \geqslant 0$ for the previous index and (ii) the indices in

$$
A_{-}:=\left\{i ; 0 \leqslant i \leqslant l+1 \text { and } a_{i} \leqslant-1\right\}
$$

have all the same parity.
Now assume that $P_{i+1}(f)=0$ for some $f \geqslant 1$ and some index $i \leqslant l$. Since $P_{l+1}(X)=a_{l+1} \neq 0$, we have $i \leqslant l-1$ and $b_{i}>b_{i+1} \geqslant b_{l}>b_{l+1}=0$. Hence, $b_{i} \geqslant 2$ and $b_{i+1} \geqslant 1$. Therefore, $0=P_{i+1}(f)=a_{i+1}+b_{i+1} f \geqslant a_{i+1}+1$ gives $a_{i+1} \leqslant-1$. Hence, $a_{i} \geqslant 0$, by the assertion above, and $P_{i}(f)=a_{i}+b_{i} f \geqslant a_{i}+2 \geqslant 2$. However, $P_{i+1}(f)=0$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(P_{i+1}(f), P_{i}(f)\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(P_{1}(f), P_{0}(f)\right)=1$ give $P_{i}(f)= \pm 1$ and we obtain a contradiction.

Hence, $P_{i}(f) \neq 0$ for $f \geqslant 1$ and $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l+1$ and the $\varepsilon_{i}(f)$ are well defined. We claim that $\varepsilon_{i}(f)=+1$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant i_{0}(f)$ and $\varepsilon_{i}(f)=(-1)^{i-i_{0}(f)}$ for $i_{0}(f)<$ $i \leqslant l+1$, where

$$
i_{0}(f):=\max \left\{i ; 0 \leqslant i \leqslant l+1 \text { and } P_{i}(f) \geqslant 1\right\} .
$$

Indeed, if $i_{0}(f) \leqslant l$, then $P_{i_{0}}(f) \geqslant 1$ and $P_{i_{0}+1}(f) \leqslant-1$. Hence if $i_{0} \leqslant l-1$ then $P_{i_{0}+2}(f)=P_{i_{0}}(f)-q_{i_{0}} P_{i_{0}+1}(f) \geqslant 1$, i.e. $P_{i_{0}+1}(f) \leqslant-1$ and $P_{i_{0}+2}(f) \geqslant 1$. Hence if $i_{0}(f) \leqslant l-2$ then $P_{i_{0}+3}(f)=P_{i_{0}+1}(f)-q_{i_{0}+1} P_{i_{0}+2}(f) \leqslant-1$, i.e. $P_{i_{0}+2}(f) \geqslant 1$ and $P_{i_{0}+3}(f) \leqslant-1$. We then proceed inductively.

Hence, $\varepsilon_{i+1}(f) \varepsilon_{i}(f)=+1$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant i_{0}(f)-1$ and $\varepsilon_{i+1}(f) \varepsilon_{i}(f)=-1$ for $i_{0}(f) \leqslant i \leqslant l$. By $(20)$, it follows that $\varepsilon(f):=\frac{1-(-1)^{l}}{2}-(-1)^{i_{0}(f)}$.

Finally, $I(f):=\left\{i ; 0 \leqslant i \leqslant l+1\right.$ and $\left.P_{i}(f) \leqslant-1\right\}$ is included in $A_{-}$. Since the $i$ 's in $A_{-}$have all the same parity, the parity of $i_{0}(f)=\min I(f)-1$ does not depend on $f \geqslant 1$. Since $b_{i} \geqslant 1$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l$, for $f>$ large enough we have $\varepsilon_{i}(f)=+1$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant l, i_{0}(f)=l+\frac{1+\operatorname{sign}\left(a_{l+1}\right)}{2}$ and $\varepsilon(f)=\frac{1-(-1)^{l}}{2}+\operatorname{sign}\left(D_{0}\right)$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $0 \leqslant k<d$ be given. There exist $r_{k, d} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $T_{k, d}(X)=$ $a+b X \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that for $f \geqslant 1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(1+k f, d f)=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(1+k f, d f)=\frac{\operatorname{gcd}(k, d)^{2} f^{2}+2}{12 d f}+r_{k, d}-s\left(T_{k, d}(f), d / \operatorname{gcd}(k, d)\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the rational numbers $S_{d_{0}}(f)$ defined in (7) depend only on $f \geqslant 1$ modulo $d_{0}$.

Proof. For $\left(a_{0}, b_{0}, a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=(0, d, 1, k)$ we have $\operatorname{gcd}\left(b_{1}, b_{0}\right)=\operatorname{gcd}(k, d), D_{0}=d$, $D_{0} / b_{0}+b_{0} / D_{0}=2$ and $P_{0}(X)=d X$. By (16), (17) and (18), we do have the first assertion (21). For the second assertion, we use (21) and notice that $\sum_{d \mid d_{0}} \mu(d)=0$ for $d_{0}>1$ and that the function $d_{0} \mapsto G\left(d_{0}, k\right):=\sum_{d \mid d_{0}} \mu(d) \operatorname{gcd}(k, d)^{2}$ is multiplicative. Therefore,

$$
G\left(d_{0}, k\right)=\prod_{\substack{q \mid d_{0}, q \text { prime }}}\left(1-\operatorname{gcd}(k, q)^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, for $d_{0}$ square-free, $G\left(d_{0}, k\right)=0$ if and only if $d_{0} \nmid k$.
It follows that for $d_{0}>1$ square-free and $k$ not divisible by $d_{0}$ there exists $r_{k, d_{0}}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that for $f \geqslant 1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(1+k f, d f)=1$, we have

$$
S_{k, d_{0}}(f):=\sum_{d \mid d_{0}} d \mu(d) s(1+k f, d f)=r_{k, d_{0}}^{\prime}-\sum_{d \mid d_{0}} d \mu(d) s\left(T_{k, d}(f), d / \operatorname{gcd}(k, d)\right)
$$

Hence, the rational numbers $S_{k, d_{0}}(f)$ defined in (13) depends only on $f$ modulo $d_{0}$ and the last assertion follows from (12).

We refer the reader to [5, Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.6] for a different proof of the last assertion of Theorem 4.3.

## 5. Explicit upper bounds on relative class numbers

Lemma 5.1. Let $q \geqslant 2$ be a given prime integer. Let $p \geqslant 3$ be a prime integer, with $p \neq q$. Let $f$ be the order of $q$ in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*}$. Then

$$
\Pi_{p}^{-}(q):=\prod_{\chi \in X_{p}^{-}}\left(1-\frac{\chi(q)}{q}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^{f}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2 f}} & \text { if } f \text { is odd } \\ \left(1+\frac{1}{q^{f / 2}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{f}} & \text { if } f \text { is even } .\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\Pi_{p}^{-}\left(d_{0}\right):=\prod_{\substack{q \\ q \text { prime } \\ q \mid d_{0}}} \Pi_{p}^{-}(q) \geqslant \begin{cases}6 / 7 & \text { for } d_{0}=2 \text { and } p \geqslant 3 \\ 2 / 3 & \text { for } d_{0}=6 \text { and } p \geqslant 5 \\ 1 / 2 & \text { for } d_{0}=30 \text { and } p \geqslant 7 \\ 5 / 13 & \text { for } d_{0}=210 \text { and } p \geqslant 11\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By [13, Lemma 6 page 72], we have $\Pi_{p}(q):=\prod_{\chi \in X_{p}}(1-\chi(q) T)=$ $\left(1-T^{f}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{f}}$ and $\Pi_{p}^{+}(q):=\prod_{\chi \in X_{p}^{+}}(1-\chi(q) T)=\left(1-T^{f_{+}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2 f_{+}}}$, where $f_{+}$is the order of $q$ in the multiplicative group $G_{+}:=(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})^{*} /\{ \pm 1\}$ of order $(p-1) / 2$ and $X_{p}^{+}=\left\{\chi \in X_{p} ; \chi(-1)=+1\right\}$ is the group of characters of $G_{+}$. Now, clearly, $f_{+}=f$ if $f$ is odd and $f_{+}=f / 2$ is $f$ is even. Since $\Pi_{p}^{-}(q)=\Pi_{p}(q) / \Pi_{p}^{+}(q)$, the desired first result follows. Now, we have $q^{f} \geqslant p+1$. Hence,

$$
\Pi_{p}^{-}(q) \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2 f}} \geqslant\left(1-\frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{(p-1) \log q}{2 \log (p+1)}}=\exp \left(\frac{\log q}{2} F(p+1)\right)
$$

where for $x>1$ we set $F(x):=\frac{(x-2) \log \left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)}{\log x}$. Therefore,

$$
\Pi_{p}^{-}\left(d_{0}\right) \geqslant \exp \left(\frac{\log d_{0}}{2} F(p+1)\right)
$$

The desired lower bounds easily follow.
Theorem 5.2. By taking successively $d_{0}=2,6,30$ and 210 in (3) we obtain

$$
h_{p}^{-} \leqslant \begin{cases}\frac{7 p}{3}\left(\frac{p}{32}\right)^{(p-1) / 4} & \text { for } p \geqslant 3 \quad \text { (compare with }[3,(1)]), \\ 3 p\left(\frac{p}{36}\right)^{(p-1) / 4} & \text { for } p \geqslant 5 \\ 4 p\left(\frac{2 p+1}{75}\right)^{(p-1) / 4} & \text { for } p \geqslant 7, \\ \frac{26 p}{5}\left(\frac{32 p+\frac{649}{18}}{1225}\right)^{(p-1) / 4} & \text { for } p \geqslant 11 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. For $d_{0}=2$ we use (3), (9) and Lemma 5.1 to get the first bound.
For $d_{0}=6$ we use (3), (11) and Lemma 5.1 to get the second.
Take $d_{0}=30$ and $p \geqslant 7$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{30}(p)=\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{75}\left(1+\frac{S_{30}(p)-2}{48 p}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $S_{30}(f)$ depends only on $f$ modulo 30 for $f \geqslant 1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(30, f)=1$, and easy numerical computations that, with :

| $f$ modulo 30 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $S_{30}(f)$ | -46 | -42 | 26 | 2 | -30 | -54 | 14 | 18 |

In particular, $\frac{S_{30}(f)-2}{48} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. Using (3), (22) and Lemma 5.1, the desired third bound follows.

Finally, take $d_{0}=210$ and $p \geqslant 11$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{210}(p)=\frac{128 \pi^{2}}{1225}\left(1+\frac{S_{210}(p)-12}{2304 p}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $S_{210}(f)$ depends only on $f$ modulo 30 for $f \geqslant 1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(210, f)=1$, and easy numerical computations that $\frac{S_{210}(f)-12}{2304} \leqslant \frac{649}{576}$. Using (3), (23) and Lemma 5.1, the desired fourth bound follows.

By [1], we have $h_{p}^{-}=2 p^{1+o(1)}\left(\frac{p}{4 \pi^{2}}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}$. According to [4], Kummer's conjecture on the asymptotic behavior $h_{p}^{-} \sim 2 p\left(\frac{p}{4 \pi^{2}}\right)^{(p-1) / 4}$ is unlikely to be true. Notice also the explicit bound $h_{p}^{-} \leqslant p^{31 / 4}\left(\frac{p}{4 \pi^{2}}\right)^{p / 4}$ (see [10]).
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