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Multiple dentates containing hybrid $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ ligands are of considerable interest in coordination chemistry, and part of our research work involves the development of new tetrapodal ligands containing different donor systems. ${ }^{1,2}$
As the conformational properties of ligands are wellknown to influence the reactivity and selectivity of transition metal catalysis, we hope to prepare a cyclobutane ring system as a backbone in tetrapodal ligands. The rigid cycl obutane ring offers a choice of stereochemically interesting substitution patterns, which have not been exploited for designing multifunctional ligands. ${ }^{3}$ We report here the preparation of new cyclobutane derivatives in which four functionalized carbon atoms are stereospecifically bound to the cis,cis,cis-1,2,3,4-positions of the cycl obutane ring. ${ }^{4}$
The [2 +2 ] photocycloaddition of actived alkenes is arguably the most useful route to cyclobutane derivatives. ${ }^{5,6}$ However, the main impediment for the use of photoannelations in synthesis remains the generally poor regio- and stereochemical control. ${ }^{7}$ Finally, the intramolecular [ $2+2]$ photocycloaddition of bismaleimides, involving the intramolecular photosensitized cycloaddition of suitable oriented double bonds, was evaluated as a simple access to a cis,cis,cis-tetrasubstituted cyclobutane backbone. ${ }^{8}$
In this aim, two bismaleimides la,b were obtained from the corresponding anhydrides and 1,3-diaminopropane (Scheme 1). A solution of bismaleimide la or $\mathbf{1 b}$ in

[^0]a mixture of acetone/acetonitrile was photodimerized at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen with UV irradiation (20 h) (highpressure mercury Iamp, Pyrex filter). Removal of the solvent followed by column chromatography through silica gel afforded $\mathbf{2 a}$ or $\mathbf{2 b}$ as crystalline material. These cage diimides have a low reactivity, and we were unable
(4) The dimerization of tetramethylcyclobutadiene gives rise to the syn-1,2,3,4,5,6,7-octamethyltricyclo[4.2.0.02,5]octa-3,7-diene, see: (a) Criegee, R.; Kristinsson, H.; Seebach, D.; Zanker, F. Chem. Ber. 1965, 98, 2331-2338. (b) Berkoff, C. E.; Cookson, R. C.; Hudec, J.; J ones, D. W.; Williams, R. O. J . Chem. Soc. 1965, 194-200. The AICl ${ }_{3}$-mediated dimerization of indenones yields to endo head-to-head truxones, see: (d) J ammaer, G.; Martens, H.; H oornaert, G. Tetrahedron 1975, 31, 2293-2296. (e) Ceustermans, R. A. E.; Martens, H. J .; H oornaert, G. J. J . Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1388-1391. The cis,cis,cis-1,2,3,4-tetracarbomethoxycyclobutane was obtained in low yield (5.6\%) by ozonolysis of $\beta$-heptacyclene, the low melting dimer of acenaphthylene; see: ( g ) Griffin, G. W.; Veber, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 6417. Ozonolysis of one thermal cyclooctatetraene dimer described by Schröder, G.; Kirsch, G.; Oth, J. F. M. Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 460-476, affords the all-cis-cyclobutane-1,2,3,4-tetracarboxylic acid, see: (h) Schröder, G.; Martin, W. Ang. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1966, 5, 130. (i) Blesinger, E.; Schröder, G. Chem. Ber. 1978, 111, 2448-2450.
(5) (a) The photochemical reaction of pyridine and furan led to a 1:1 adduct with a face-to-face structure, see: (a) Sakamoto, M. Kinbara, A.; Yagi, T.; Takahashi, M.; Yamaguchi, K.; Mino, T.; Watanabe, S.; Fujita, T. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 171177. UV irradiation of thymine or uracil in ice matrix led to cis-syndimers, see: (b) Fahr, E. Ang. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1969, 8, 578-593 and (c) Richter, P.; Fahr, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 1921-1923. The unsensibilized photodimerization of coumarin led to syn-head-to-head dimers in Iow yield, see: (d) Schenck, G. O.; von Wilucki, I.; Krauch, C. H. Chem. Ber. 1962, 95, 1409-1412. (e) Krauch, C. H.; Farid, S.; Schenck, G. O. Chem. Ber. 1966, 99, 625-633. (f) Anet, R. Can. J. Chem. 1962, 40, 1249-1257. (g) Hasegawa, M.; Katsuki, H.; Y onezawa, N.; Y oshida, T.; I kebe, Y. Chem. Lett. 1982, 1325-1328. The sensibilized photodimerization of 1,4,5,8-tetrahydronaphthalin-2,3-dicarboxylic anhydride yiel ded four isomeric [2 + 2] adducts, see: (h) Hoffmann, V. T.; Musso, H. Ang. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 1006-1007.
(6) F or reviews, see: (a) Schenck, G. O.; Steinmetz, R. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1962, 71, 781-800. (b) Bach, T. Synthesis 1998, 683703. (c) Fleming, S. A.; Bradford, C. L.; Gao, J. J. Mol. Supramol. Photochem. 1997, 1, 187-243.
(7) The dimethyl fumarate photodimer possesses the cis-anti stereochemistry, see: (a) Griffin, G. W.; Basinski, J. E.; Vellturo, A. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1960, 3, 13-16. (b) Griffin, G. W.; Vellturo, A. F.; Furukawa, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 2725-2728. The same stereochemistry was observed in the course of photodimerization of the 3,6-dihydrophthalic anhydride, see: (c) K aiser, G.; Musso, H. Chem. Ber. 1985, 118, 2266-2281, or 3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride, see: (d) Nimry, T. S.; Fields, E. K.; Meyerson, S.; Wright, M. E.; Hall, H. K., J r. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4102-4104, or N-alkyl dimethylmaleimide, see: (e) Schenck, G. O.; Hartmann, W.; Mannsfeld, S.-P.; Metzner, W.; Krauch, C. H. Chem. Ber. 1962, 95, 1642-1647.
(8) (a) De Schryver, F. C. Verh. K. Vlaam. Acad. Wet., Lett. Schone Kunsten Belg., KI. Wet. 1971, 33, 120. (b) Put, J.; Schryver, F. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 137-145. (c) De Schryver, F. C.; Bhardwaj, I.; Put, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1969, 8, 213-214.

## Scheme 1



2a: $R=M e$
2b: $R-R=-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ -

## Scheme 2



Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for Compound $3{ }^{\text {a }}$

| type | bond length $(\AA)$ | type | angle (deg) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| C1-C2 | $1.567(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $92.41(11)$ |
| C2-C3 | $1.615(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $87.12(10)$ |
| C3-C4 | $1.553(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $89.95(10)$ |
| C1-C4 | $1.535(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $90.52(10)$ |
| C1-C5 | $1.548(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{O}$ | 119 |
| C5-O6 | $1.364(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{O} 7$ | $105.68(11)$ |
| C5-O7 | $1.422(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $-0.11(11)$ |
| C5-N11 | $1.485(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{O} 7$ | $-19.4(13)$ |
| C8-N10 | $1.493(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{N} 10$ | $-1.49(13)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Atom numbering is as in Scheme 2.
to perform some classical reactions (esterification with sulfuric acid in methanol, hydrazinolysis, etc.) in synthetically useful yields. Surprisingly, when 2a was exposed to $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, the alkoxy group of the tetrahedral intermediate added to the adjacent imide group and led to the oxadiazapentacyclic "bowl-shaped" alcohol 3a, which was the only isolated product, in 65\% yield. In the same way, MeLi and n-BuLi added to $\mathbf{2 a}$ gives rise to alcohol 3b,c (Scheme 2). The compounds 4b or $\mathbf{4 c}$ were isolated as side products.

The cage products $\mathbf{3 a}-\mathbf{c}$ were characterized on the basis of their spectroscopic properties, including a series of 2D NMR (COSY and HMQC experiments ( 400 MHz )). Moreover, the structure of 3 c was confirmed by a singlecrystal X-ray diffraction. Selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Table 1. The salient finding is the extraordinary value of $1.615 \AA$ for the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond of the cyclobutane ring. This value corresponds to an increase of $5 \%$ of the bond length of cyclobutane. ${ }^{9}$ A survey of the literature indicates that only few cyclobutane rings have a long bond equal to or exceeding 1.6 Å. ${ }^{10}$ In a number of instances, these cyclobutanes are the result of a photodimerization and they give the monomer

[^1] Lehmann, C. W.; Luger, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7684-7687.
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## Scheme 3



on heating. ${ }^{11}$ In our case, $\mathbf{3 c}$ is bridged, in the sense that cleavage of one long bond would not allow the molecule to fall apart. Thus, the molecules survive despite the presence of what is most likely a severely weakened bond. ${ }^{12}$ The cyclobutane ring is almost flat, with a dihedral angle of $0.11(10)^{\circ}$. Another interesting finding is the increased length of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond (1.493 $\AA$ ) relative to the expected value of $1.45 \AA .^{13}$ To our knowledge, only two aminocarbinols present a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond length close to $1.50 \AA \AA .{ }^{14}$ In contrast, we note that $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{H})$ bond is shortened to $1.364 \AA$.

Although carbopolycydic and oxapolycyclic cage compounds have attracted considerable attention in recent years, ${ }^{15}$ the synthesis and chemistry of the azapolycyclic cage compounds have received less attention. Interestingly, for 3, we note the presence of N -amidoalkoxycarbinol moiety (ortho-amide) 5, an unstable intermediate in the reaction of ester with amide anion or imide with alkoxy anion (Scheme 3). ${ }^{16,17}$ To the best of our knowledge, this structural feature has been observed only in the peptide part 6 of ergot alkaloids ${ }^{18,19}$ and related compounds. ${ }^{20}$ This acid-sensitive function ${ }^{21}$ plays a central role in the acid-catalyzed isomerization of ergot alkaloids called aci isomerization. ${ }^{22}$

[^2]
## Scheme 4



Table 2. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles for Compound 11a ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| type | bond length $(\AA)$ | type | angle (deg) |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| C1-C2 | $1.598(6)$ | C1-C2-C3 | $89.7(3)$ |
| C2-C3 | $1.544(5)$ | C2-C3-C4 | $91.6(3)$ |
| C3-C4 | $1.555(6)$ | C1-C4-C3 | $89.8(3)$ |
| C1-C4 | $1.587(6)$ | C2-C1-C4 | $88.5(3)$ |
| C1-C5 | $1.516(6)$ | N1-C7-N2 | $109.0(3)$ |
| C3-C7 | $1.536(5)$ | C3-C7-O4 | $118.2(3)$ |
| C7-N1 | $1.443(5)$ | N1-C7-C3 | $105.3(3)$ |
| C7-O4 | $1.392(5)$ | C9-C1-C5-O1 | $-152.4(5)$ |
| C6-N1 | $1.352(5)$ | C1-C2-C3-C4 | $-4.8(3)$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Atom numbering is as in Scheme 5 .
The formation of the isolated compounds can be rationalized as taking place through intermediate 7 (Scheme 4). In contrast, treatment of $\mathbf{2 a}$ or $\mathbf{2 b}$ by a methanolic solution of sodium methylate gives rise to compounds 11a or 11b of "bowl-like" topology. The gross structure of 11a,b was revealed by a series of 2D NMR (COSY and HMQC experiments ( 400 MHz )). According to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, 11a has a plane of symmetry in solution. In addition, the structure of 11a was confirmed by a single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Select bond distances and angles are given in Table 2. This molecule is chiral and asymmetric since it has no plane of symmetry (the value of the dihedral angle C9-C1-C5-O1 being $-152.4^{\circ}$ ). The main feature shown is the great distances C1-C2 or C1-C4 of the cyclobutane moiety, perhaps due to repulsion within the congested concave surface with the gem-methoxycarbonyl and methyl groups. Rings A and C assume a puckered and chair conformation, respectively. ${ }^{23}$ Only a few aliphatic compounds possess the tetrahedral structure of diazacyclols (1,3-diazacycloalkan-1-ols). ${ }^{24,25}$ Such a structure corresponds to the unstable intermediate for the reaction

[^3]Scheme 5

Scheme 6

Scheme 7


of amide with amine (aminolysis of amide). ${ }^{26}$ The formation of 11a resulted from the addition of methylate anion followed by an intramolecular addition of the resulting amide anion $\mathbf{1 4}$ to the adjacent imide function leading to 15 (Scheme 5).
In theory, the amide anion addition could also occur transversely on the second imide function, giving rise to 16 (Scheme 6). This competing reaction is presumably suppressed by the considerable repulsion of the azabridge and the methoxycarbonyl group. We have calculated the relative energies of the two isomeric structures 11a and 16. According to the semiempirical PM3 method, ${ }^{27}$ the 11a isomer is favored by $14.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ (final heat of formation for 11a, $-204.66 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; for 16, $-190.14 \mathrm{kcal} /$ $\mathrm{mol})$. Similarly, $\mathbf{2 b}$ led to the hexacyclic globular compound 11b (Scheme 7).

With the aim of understanding the origin of the stability of 3, we have carried out molecular orbital calculations by the AM $1^{28}$ and PM3 methods. These calculations indicated that $\mathbf{7}$ can be very easily cyclized to $\mathbf{8}$. For $\mathbf{7 b}$, the minimization of the energy led to a modification of the starting structure (from molecular mechanics geometry optimization) in favor of $\mathbf{8 b}$. Calcu-

[^4]

Figure 1. Reaction profile for addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ to $\mathbf{2 a}$ and evolution of the first intermediate 7a. (a) I maginary frequency of transition state (IF ), $-158.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. (b) IF , $-329.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. (c) IF, $-325.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure 2. Reaction profile for the addition of methylate anion to 2a and evolution of the first intermediate 12. (a) I maginary frequency of transition state (IF ), $-258.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. (b) IF , -361.4 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. (c) IF , $-327.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Iations on 7a show that the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ distance is near to the sum of the van der Waals radii for the two groups. Thus the "proximity effect" is strongly efficient since the distance and the angle of approach are particularly favorable. The term of near attack conformation (NAC) has been introduced to define the required conformation for juxtaposed reactants to enter a transition state. When the ground state consists of only NACs, the rate enhancement can be as large as $10^{8 .}$.29 In Figure 1, we reported calculated optimized energy of reactants and products and transition structure 7a/8a, 7a/9a and 9a/10a using the AM 1 method.

In Figure 1, we note that the reaction profile for the addition of hydride anion is favorable to the formation of $\mathbf{8 a}$. In contrast, the opening of the ansa bridge (formation of $9 \mathbf{9}$ ) is an endothermic process with an appreciable energy barrier. These investigations indicate that the overall change in strain energy from 7a to 8a provides the closure driving force. This suggest that increasing conformational rigidity imposed on the system by the annelation favorably affects the stability of cyclols 3.

The reaction profile is different for the addition of methylate anion to $\mathbf{2 a}$ (Figure 2). First, the pentacyclic anion $\mathbf{1 3}$ presents a weak stability and the cyclization 12/13 is reversible. Second, the tetracyclic anion 15 is the more stable.

[^5]
## Conclusion

We have described a simple method for the preparation of bowl-shaped polyheterocyclic derivatives. The X-ray crystal structure determination revealed that a bond length in cyclobutane ring is one of the longest in this class of compounds.

## Experimental Section

General. All reactions were run under argon in oven-dried glassware. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 200 or 400 MHz and at 50 and 100 MHz , respectively. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel (Merk 60 GF 254 230400 mesh) and TLC on silica gel (Merck $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ ). 3,4,5,6Tetrahydrophthalic anhydride was prepared by a known procedure. ${ }^{30,31}$ Dimethylmaleic anhydride was synthesized by the reaction of pyruvic acid with succinic anhydride. ${ }^{32}$

General Procedure for the Preparation of Bisimide la,b. To a stirred solution of dimethylmaleic anhydride or $3,4,5,6$-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride ( 100 mmol ) in toluene ( 40 mL ) was added 1,3 -diaminopropane ( $3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The solution was heated until the formation of a clear solution. A Dean-Stark apparatus was added, and water was removed. After 6 h of heating, 0.5 mL of 1,3-diaminopropane was added. The solution was heated for 2 h , and the toluene was removed by distillation. The crude product was crystallized in EtOH to give bismaleimide. 1,3-Bis(dimethylmaleimido)propane (1a): using dimethylmaleic anhydride ( 12.6 g ), 8.7 g ( 60 mmol ) was obtained ( $60 \%$ yield) after chromatography on silica gel (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 4:1); white crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 1,3-Bis(3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthaleimido)propane (1b): using 3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride (15.2 g), 11.8 g ( 0.69 mmol ) was obtained ( $69 \%$ yield) after chromatography on silica gel (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 4:1); white crystals, mp 130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Cage Photoproducts 2a,b. Two grams of bisimide $\mathbf{1 a}$ or $\mathbf{1 b}$ in anhydrous acetone ( 230 mL ) and acetonitrile ( 300 mL ) containing a crystal of benzophenone was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and irradiated by a UV Iamp (mercury Iamp Philips HPK, 125 W) equipped with a Pyrex filter for 20 h . The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the crude solid was chromatographed on silica gel (diethyl ether/petroleum ether 4:1).
1,6-Diaza-3,4,8,9-tetramethyltetracyclo[4.4.3.0 $\left.{ }^{3,9} .0^{4,8}\right]$ -trideca-2,5,7,10-tetraone (2a): $1.3 \mathrm{~g}(4.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%)$ obtained; whitecrystals, $\mathrm{mp} 295{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.85(4 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.24(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint., J $=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.32(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.4$ (s), 50.9 ( s$), 40.4$ (t), 20.5 (t), 9.9 (q). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 62.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.25 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.65$. Found: C, 61.99; H, 6.21; N, 9.61.

1,6-Diaza-hexacyclo[4.4.3.4.4,9.4 $4^{4,8} .0^{3,9} .0^{4,8}$ ]heneicosa-2,5,7,-10-tetraone (2b): 160 mg ( $0.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \%$ ) obtained; white crystals, mp $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.89(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.26(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.0(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.65(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.25$ (4H, m); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.7(\mathrm{~s}), 50.6(\mathrm{~s}), 40.6(\mathrm{t}), 20.6$ (t), 20.4 (t), 19.2 (t). Anal. Cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 66.66 ; \mathrm{H}$, $6.43 ;$ N, 8.19. Found: C, 66.72; H, 6.42; N, 8.14.
1,6-Diaza-14-oxa-3,4,8,9-tetramethylpentacyclo[4.4.3.$\left.1^{2,5} .0^{3,9} .0^{4,8}\right]$ tetradeca-2-ol-7,10-dione (3a). To a stirred solution of $250 \mathrm{mg}(0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 a}$ in 2-propanol $(7.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water ( 1.3 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred 1 day and then evaporated to dryness. Finally, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and after stirring the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the organic fractions were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The reaction mixture was filtered and rotary evaporated to a

[^6]solid. Purification was achieved by recrystallization in $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ methanol to give 3a ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}, 65 \%$ ); white crystals, mp $215^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.79(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J = 14.1, 3.6 Hz), $3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} . \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.37(1 \mathrm{H}$, td, $\mathrm{J}=13.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,3.10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $1.19(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.13(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 175.7$ (s), 174.8 (s), 117.2 (s), 94.5 (d), 50.4 (s), 49.7 (s), 49.6 (s), 48.5 (s), 41.6 ( t$), 40.1$ ( t$), 19.3$ ( t$), 12.2$ (q), $10.1(\mathrm{q}), 9.95(\mathrm{q}), 8.9(\mathrm{q})$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ 292.1423, found 292.1440.

Addition of Methyllithium and $\mathbf{n}$-Butyllithium to 2a. To a stirred solution of $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(0.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathbf{2 a}$ in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was slowly added MeLi or n -BuLi ( $0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv, 1.6 M ). The solution was allowed to warm to ambient temperature in 12 h . Then, the reaction mixture was poured into cold $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, and rotary evaporated to a solid. After dissolution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the solution was purified by flash chromatography ( $98: 2$ to $90: 10 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ).

1,6-Diaza-14-oxa-3,4,5,8,9-pentamethylpentacyclo[4.4.3.1. $\left.{ }^{2,5} \mathbf{0}^{3,9} \mathbf{0}^{4,8}\right]$ tetradeca-2-ol-7,10-dione (3b). Using MeLi, 158 mg ( $0.52 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ) of 3b was obtained; white crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 254{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.72(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=13.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=14.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qt}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.21(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.11(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.02(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.9$ (s), 174.8 ( s$), 115.5$ ( s$), 97.6(\mathrm{~s}), 50.6(\mathrm{~s}), 50.3(\mathrm{~s}), 49.9(\mathrm{~s}), 49.8(\mathrm{~s}), 40.5(\mathrm{t}), 40.1(\mathrm{t})$, 20.6 (q), 19.5 (t), 10.5 (q), 10.3 (q), 10.1 (q), 9.3 (q). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 62.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.19 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.15$. Found: C, 62.80; H, 7.12; N, 9.22.

3,5-Diaza-9-(2-hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1,7,8,9-tetramethyl-tetracyclo[5.1.1.33,5.04,8]dodecan-4-ol-2,6-dione (4b). Using MeLi, $41.5 \mathrm{mg}(0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 15 \%)$ of $\mathbf{4 b}$ was obtained; white crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 225^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.7$, $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.36(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.3,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.06(1 \mathrm{H}$, m), $1.40(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.18$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 176.4$ (s), 173.3 (s), 109.3 (s), 83.6 (s), 52.9 (s), 51.7 (s), 49.9 ( s$), 49.6$ (s), 39.5 (t), 36.7 (t), 22.4 (t), 21.8 (q), 18.5 (q), 12.3 (q), 11.9 (q), 11.3 (q); HRMS cal cd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ 304.1787, found 304.1794.

5-Butyl-1,6-diaza-14-oxa-3,4,8,9-tetramethylpentacyclo[4.4.3.1 ${ }^{2,5} .0^{3,9} .0^{4,8}$ ]tetradeca-2-ol-7,10-dione (3c). Using nBuLi, 209 mg ( $0.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ) of 3c was obtained; white crystals, mp $208^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5$, $1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.63(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.5$, $3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qt}, \mathrm{J}=13.6$, $4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=14.8,11.8,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $\mathrm{J}=14.8,11.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.24(2 \mathrm{H}$, quint., J $=7.36 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 1.17 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $0.99(2 \mathrm{H}$, sext. J $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.83(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 175.1$ (s), 115.4 (s), 99.4 (s), 50.8 (s), 50.5 (s), 49.9
( s$), 49.8(\mathrm{~s}), 40.4(\mathrm{t}), 40.1(\mathrm{t}), 32.1(\mathrm{t}), 26.4(\mathrm{t}), 22.7(\mathrm{t}), 19.4(\mathrm{t})$, 13.9 (q), 10.3 (q), 10.1 (q), 10.05 (q), 9.2 (q); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} 348.2049$, found 348.2066 .
3,5-Diaza-9-(5-hydroxynonan-5-yl)-1,7,8,9-tetramethyltetracyclo[5.1.1.33,5. $\mathbf{0}^{4,8}$ ]dodecan-4-ol-2,6-dione (4c). Using n -BuLi, 30 mg ( $0.086 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{4 c}$ was obtained; white wax; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.18(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.5,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=10.5,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.4,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.04$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $1.82(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.29(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.88(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.5(\mathrm{~s}), 174.0(\mathrm{~s}), 111.9(\mathrm{~s})$, 85.8 (s), 52.9 (s), 52.4 (s), 49.9 (s), 49.6 (s), 39.8 (t), 37.2 ( $t)$, 33.8 (t), 29.7 (t), 29.2 (t), 27.3 ( t$), 26.6$ ( t$), 22.9$ ( t$), 22.1$ (t), 14.0 (q), 13.9 (q), 12.4 (q), 11.9 (q), 11.0 (q), 10.9 (q). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 67.98; $\mathrm{H}, 9.36 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.89$. Found: C, 68.04; H, 9.31; N, 6.92.

3,5-Diaza-9-methoxycarbonyl-1,7,8,9-tetramethyltetracyclo[5.1.1.3 ${ }^{3,5} .0^{4,8}$ ]dodecan-4-ol-2,6-dione (11a). NaMeO ( $540 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to anhydrous methanol ( 10 mL ). After dissolution, bisimide 2a was added ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . Acidic workup and extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ give an oil which crystallize in a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give 232 mg ( $0.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ) of white crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 255{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.10(2 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, J = $13.9,5.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.41(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=12.9,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{qt}, \mathrm{J}=13.2,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.43(1 \mathrm{H}$, dsept, J $=13.5,1.87$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.34(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.17(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 175.3$ (s), 171.4 (s), 101.2 (s), 52.5 ( q$), 52.3$ (q), 51.8 ( s$), 47.3$ (s), 37.5 (t), 21.0 (t), 16.1 (q), 12.95 (q), 9.73 (q).). HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 322.1529, found 322.1538. Anal. Calcd: C, 59.62; H, 6.88; N, 8.69. Found: C, 59.63; H, 6.88; N, 8.64.

3,5-Diaza-8-methoxycarbonylhexacyclo[5.5.5.3.3,5.0 ${ }^{1,8}$.$\left.0^{4,13} .0^{7,13}\right]$ dodecan-4-ol-2,6-dione (11b) was prepared by the same procedure as for $\mathbf{1 1} \mathbf{a}$ with 374 mg of bisimide $\mathbf{2 b}$ to yield $254 \mathrm{mg}(0.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%)$ of 11b; white crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=13.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=13.1,2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.13(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.72-1.32$ (10H, m), $1.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.1$ (s), 175.3 (s), 171.2 (s), 101.1 (s), 53.0 (s), 52.3 (q), 51.8 (s), 51.3 (s), 46.9 (s), 37.7 (t), 37.5 ( t$), 24.6$ (t), 22.2 (t), 22.0 ( $t$ ), 21.2 ( t$), 19.9$ (t), 19.5 (t), 18.9 (t), 17.0 (t), 16.6 (t); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ 374.1841, found 374.1823.
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