



HAL
open science

American utopias in the 19th century: Religious versus ideological farms in the west of the United States

Antonio Sánchez-bayón, Estrella Trincado-Aznar, Francisco J Sastre

► To cite this version:

Antonio Sánchez-bayón, Estrella Trincado-Aznar, Francisco J Sastre. American utopias in the 19th century: Religious versus ideological farms in the west of the United States. *HTS Theologese Studies / Theological Studies*, 2023, 79, 10.4102/hts.v79i1.7807 . hal-04056080

HAL Id: hal-04056080

<https://hal.science/hal-04056080>

Submitted on 3 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

AUTHOR INSTRUCTIONS

Take into account



FINAL PROOF CORRECTIONS

This is your final proof of the typeset pages provided in Portable document format (PDF). We direct your attention to the instructions accompanying the proof, especially the requirement that all corrections, revisions, and additions be entered on the proof with comments and not on the word version of the manuscript. You are being asked to correct errors, not to revise the paper. Avoid moving blocks of text or making extensive content-related revision(s). Extensive alteration may require Editorial Board approval, possibly delaying publication. How to: <https://tinyurl.com/5n7795k5>



MAKE YOUR CORRECTIONS AND ANSWER QUERIES

Indicate your corrections on the PDF directly on the proofs with the *Comment* tool in Acrobat Adobe Reader. Add PDF annotations to your file. You can add text boxes and sticky notes, underline text, strikethrough content, highlight text, and more. Make sure to answer all enquiries so that your corrections are noticeable and easy to understand.



ARTICLE TITLE, AUTHORSHIP AND AFFILIATIONS

Check the title, the author names, and affiliations. Refrain from submitting without co-authors' approval. Authorship should ideally remain intact and unchanged; all author's contributions statements must acknowledge all authors' roles and participation.



TABLES

Check the table data against that in your original tables. Make sure that the data mentioned in the manuscript results match those in the tables. All columns in the table need a descriptive header to guide readers.



EQUATIONS AND UNITS OF MEASUREMENT

Check any equations and units of measurement against those in your original manuscript. Make sure special characters have not dropped out.



FIGURES AND PHOTOGRAPHS

Check to be sure that figures are entirely legible, including any small-print text. Make sure that the data mentioned in the manuscript results match that referred to in the figures. The vertical and horizontal axis needs descriptors to guide readers. Photographs need the correct source to acknowledge the place, time, and photographer - check these credentials.



ERRORS

If you find an error, look again at the lines around the error. Mistakes tend to cluster; especially redacted information will need to be reintroduced when it was removed for anonymity during peer review.



TIMEFRAME

Keep to the timeframe of the Production Coordinator. Ensuring a timely, productive proofreading process aids in shaping the credibility and reputation of our journal.



SATISFACTION

You must be completely SATISFIED with the final proof and inform the Editorial Office about your satisfaction via email or the online submission system. Upon receiving your final satisfied opinion and corrections, the Editorial Office will implement the changes and send your article for final publication.

American utopias in the 19th century: Religious vs. ideological farms in the West



Authors:

Antonio Sanchez-Bayon¹ 
Estrella Trincado-Aznar² 
Francisco J. Sastre³ 

Affiliations:

¹Department of Applied Economics, Faculty of Legal and Social Sciences, King Juan Carlos University, Madrid, Spain

²Department of Applied Economics, Structure and History, Faculty of Economics, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

³Francisco Javier Sastre-Segovia, ESIC Business and Marketing School, Madrid, Spain

Corresponding author:

Antonio Sanchez-Bayon,
antonio.sbayon@urjc.es

Dates:

Received: 02 June 2022

Accepted: 22 Aug. 2022

Published: 24 Jan. 2023

How to cite this article:

Sanchez-Bayon, A., Trincado-Aznar, E. & Sastre, F.J., 2023, 'American utopias in the 19th century: Religious vs. ideological farms in the West', *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies* 79(1), a7807. <https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v79i1.7807>

Copyright:

© 2023. The Authors.
Licensee: AOSIS. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution License.

Read online:



Scan this QR code with your smart phone or mobile device to read online.

This is a critical-hermeneutical and historical-comparative study on Political Economy, Economic History and Social Thought, applied to the American utopias in the 19th century and its role in the colonisation of the United States (US) West. This review is based on a heterodox economic approach, used in the disciplines of Religion and Economics. It gives a general view of religious and ideological utopias, as cooperative enterprises of intentional life in farms and workshop, making a comparative analysis of efficiency and sustainability. This study attempts to explain the colonial paradox of American utopias because the last established, with more inversion, they were the first to fall. This study shapes the development of the Western territories, from the Quaker's Holy Experiment framework and its support for the utopias experiments in the expansion to Ohio, Indiana and Illinois.

Contribution: This review offers a descriptive and explanatory study on the colonisation of the US West, under the hermeneutical turn from heterodox economic approaches, to deal with some current contradictions and anachronism in the mainstream view. Also, there is a systematisation of the American utopias, divided into religious and ideological experiments, with a comparison.

Keywords: the United States of America (USA); religion & economics; anarcho-capitalism; Austrian School of Economics (Austrian Economics); new institutional approach; colonisation; communitarian farms; utopias.

Theoretical and methodological framework

The official version of the United States (US) History established by the *critical historians' generation* (Hofstadter 1967, 1970; Hofstadter, Miller & Aaronet 1946; Lipset 1968; Wright Mills 1948) is under review by *cultural historians of identity* (Takaki 2002, 2008; Zinn 1980, 2004). To try to rebuild the former consensus, Huntington (2004) offers a revival of the *American melting pot* (Parrington 1927), but without much success although there was no current common ground (Fukuyama 2018; Lilla 2017; Sánchez-Bayón 2019a, 2019b). For this reason, this review goes back to US History of the 19th century, at the beginning of Social History in the time of the construction of the country: it was the period of reconciliation after the Civil War, and the expansion to the West, to connect both coastlines of the United States of America. Then, there was a minimal state and entrepreneurship came from private initiatives, such as religious and ideological enterprises or anarcho-communist utopias (Berry 1992; Bestor 1950; Fogarty 1980, 1990). The originality of this study is based on (1) the use of the primary sources of journalists who visited the utopias (Hinds 1878; Nordhoff 1875); (2) the analysis of efficiency and sustainability among the utopias, with an explanation about why some of them are still alive (religious enterprises, i.e. Amanitas and Whirlpool, Mormons and Marriott), and others collapsed in the first years (ideological enterprises, i.e. Icarians in Nauvoo, Owenites in New Harmony); (3) the application of mixed heterodox economic approaches, in the way of economic *mainline* (Boettke, Haeffele-Balch & Storr 2016).

To analyse the efficiency and sustainability of the American utopias, this study uses the following academic toolkit:

1. Austrian Economics began its trajectory with the *Methodenstreit* or dispute on the method (Hoppe 1995; Huerta de Soto 2000; Menger 1883; Mises 1929, 1933). It offers the Mises theorem on the economic impossibility of socialism (Mises 1922, 1929, 1933, 1944), reviewed by Hayek (1944, 1988) and extended to every institutionalisation of coercive and centralised interventionism, according to Hoppe (1989) and Huerta de Soto (1992). The corollary of the Mises theorem is the Buchanan-Tullock theorem (see next point). Other key idea is Hayek's thesis on spontaneous order, favourable to social evolutionary institutions (Hayek 1952a, 1952b).

2. Anarcho-capitalist approach is based on the contributions of the *American Individualist Anarchism*, a philosophical movement with prestigious members such as Warren and Tucker (mutualists), Rev. Emerson and Thoreau (Transcendentalists), Rev. Greene (Unitarian and defender of free banking), Andrews and Heywood (abolitionists), Walker (philosopher of egoism) and many others, such as Lazarus, Robinson, Labadie, Byington and so on (Madison 1945; Martin 1953; McElroy 1981). This heritage is attended by Austrian Economics (Rothbard 1973; Veysey 1973) and Public choice (Tullock 1972, 1974). In the United States of America, the first anarchism tradition came from the religious movement that rejected the supremacy of the civil power over religion and defended the wall of separation between Church and State (i.e. Anabaptists, Baptists, Quakers; Pfeffer 1953; Stokes 1950). In the 19th century, philosophical anarchism began (i.e. *American Individualist Anarchist*), and finally political anarchism, close to socialism, emerged (Hillquit 1903; Noyes 1870). In epistemological terms, this trend is based on Rothbard axiom and the principle of the farm or homestead, related to the appropriation of land by their workers (Rothbard 1973). In methodological view, it follows the idea of freedom of research. Anarchism researchers reject formal positivism where a hypothesis must be confirmed, as they prefer to search for truth than to confirm it (Escotado 2008–16; Feyerabend 1975).
3. New-institutional approach is part of the *New Political Economy* (NPE) (to correct the *New Left Political Economy* (NLPE) (Lindbeck 1971; Mermelstein 1970), which includes *Law and Economics* (L&E), *Public Choice* (PC), *Constitutional Economics* (CE), *Cliometrics*, *Possibilism*, etc. Law and Economics offers the Coase's theorem on the firm and costs of transaction (Coase 1937, 1960). Public Choice proposes the Buchanan–Tullock's theorem about interventionism, which means the end of political romanticism and the idea of a paternalistic state. There are many power games affecting all the decisions and, so, the effect is rent-seekers, crony capitalism, unfinished agenda, etc. (Buchanan & Tullock 1962). Constitutional Economics is based on Buchanan's theorem about the relevance of the rules (Brennan & Buchanan 1985; Buchanan 1986, 1987, 1990). Cliometrics offers empirical remarks, such as Fogel's studies of the real impact of technology in the development of the United States of America (railway industry was less than 2% of gross domestic product [GDP] in the 19th century, but, in contrast, the religious factor was more relevant (Fogel 1964, 2000; Fogel & Engerman 1974).

The state of the art differs from the usual (based on the review of current bibliography), given the novelty approaches and frameworks mix here (there were some sectorial incursions with this focus, related with the Far West, such as Anderson and Hill 1979, 2004; Veysey 1973). This research started with a bibliometric study of the

scientific production in Religion and Economics (see Figure 1) linked with the subject (West American colonisation), to draw up a thematic and journal map (see Figure 2). In this way, it was possible to select the main secondary sources for the study of the American utopias: colonies based on communal and cooperative enterprises (Bestor 1950; Cohen 1973; Fogarty 1980, 1990; Tyler 1944; Wooster 1924) and its later revival and revision (Berry 1992; Curl 2012; Friesen & Friesen 2004; Kephart 1998), completed with a multitude of encyclopaedic contributions and compilations on socio-religious life in the United States of America (Lippy & Williams 1998; Melton 1989; Menéndez 1976; Noonan & Gafney 2001; Olson & Djupe 2003; Smith & Jameson 1961). Subsequently, the revision has been refined, contrasting with primary sources such as the epistles or letters among the Owen Sr. and Jr. and Rapp (digitised by the *Indiana Historical Society*), with Cabot and Smith (digitised by the *International Institute of Social History*). Other contrasting sources have been the journalist publication of several travellers around the American utopias at that time, such as Noyes (1870), Nordhoff (1875), Hinds (1878, 1908) and Hillquit (1903).

All those analysis tools have been incorporated to *Religion and Economics* (R&E), mixed with other tools from Cultural Studies: *religious and frontier factor (awakenings and revivals cycles)*, *denominationalism*, *social gospel*, *American covenant theology*, *staple approach*, *utopias input method: arcadianism, anarchism, millennialism and moral reform programmes*, etc.

The discipline R&E comes from *Church and State Studies* in the 1970s (Pfeffer 1953; Sánchez-Bayón 2014a, 2014b; Stokes 1950; Wood 1961). During the cultural wars (Walsh 2000; Yarnold 1999, 2000), the discipline was divided into two sides: (1) the consensus line, with studies in ecumenical relations, denominationalism and competition, etc., and (2) the critic line, with attention to minorities' issues and discrimination, inequality, etc. Since globalisation, there was a revival of the discipline, but with another title: *Economics of Religion* (promoted by the Cultural Economics approach, with attention on its relations to growth and development, alternative modes of production, happiness management, etc.). There are several think-tanks in this discipline (i.e. *Institute for the Study of Religion, Economics and Society* at Chapman University, under the direction of Prof. Iannaccone and related to the *Association for the Study of Religion, Economics and Culture*, Penn State University, John Templeton Foundation, etc.). Currently, there is a recovery of the original name, with initiatives such *Religion and Economics Collection in the Quarterly Journal of Economics*, supported by Harvard and Oxford Universities and more than 50 specialised journals, that is *unitalicise Journal of Economics, Management and Religion*, the *Journal of Economics, Theology and Religion*, *Journal of Markets and Morality* and *Faith and Economics*. In Figure 1, a bibliometric study on religion-economics relations (topics and journals) is shown, and the complex web of academic links is made evident.

larger experiments to smaller ones according to the use of traditional factors of production: land, available assets, labour, number of members of the community and capital, higher-order goods that were accumulated, together with the savings generated). In this sense, this article has followed the criteria of the professors of Political Economy of the time (such as John Cairnes), who recognised that the boom of the 19th-century communitarian experiments in the United States of America was, above all, because of European immigration without access to land in their countries of origin, together with the errors of employers and unions on the Atlantic coast (placing obstacles to employment), which made the entrepreneurial adventure of financing a communal farm in the West attractive (whose land price was affordable).

- Another issue to take into consideration (which connects the previous ones) is that all the experiments coincide because their members seek greater freedom and prosperity and they resort to the use of time via 'communitarian' farms, which were not 'communist' in the later ideological sense (such as socialist). In any case, at least in the initial experiments, they would be 'communists' of a religious (i.e. communitarian) nature. They stress the existence of a life in common and maximum solidarity to ensure the subsistence of the sect, but taking into account that then each one was responsible for his own salvation). Moreover, this communitarianism was a forerunner of later cooperativism because not only the means of production but also the profits were shared.

The selected cases are shown chronologically (from the pioneers to the most recent ones) and according to the types of experiments or utopias (religious, secular and ideological experiences, each one with more than six kinds of subcategories: movements, farm projects, etc.).

Communal religious experiences: Dissident sects, perfectionists and millenarists

Applying these contributions to the historical interpretation of how all these influences arrived in the United States of America, extending via the Quaker Holy Experiment of Pennsylvania and attending to the initial systematisation of those who visited in person these communities and the vestiges of their settlements, the following classification and cases should be considered.

Transplanted traditions

The Religious Society of Friends or Quakers, still Christian, but without dogmas or hierarchies, was persecuted in Europe. For this reason it was among the first to arrive in the North American colonies but was expelled from New England and then dispersed to the Caribbean and the Middle provinces. To secure territory of their own, they acquired West Jersey in 1677. Their leader, Fox, travelled to America, later exploring and buying land in East Jersey in 1682. Meanwhile, King Charles II of England found that the more

Quakers he punished, the more they converted, so he decided to get rid of the problem by paying off an old royal debt (incurred during the civil wars) to Admiral Penn, father of William Penn. So, in 1681 he granted the Penn family the possessions of New Castle and beyond (present-day Delaware and Pennsylvania), renaming the territory as Pennsylvania (in honour of the elder Penn). Then, more Quakers landed there (making the Penn family the largest private [not royal] landowners, with more than 45 000 square miles or 120 000 km²). In 1682, the Pennsylvania Frame of Government (which would inspire the Declaration of Independence and the U.S. Constitution, with its Bill of Rights) was approved, setting in motion a whole utopia of liberties and participatory government, which was known as the Holy Experiment (because of its multi-denomination, respecting the confessions already established there). As the Quakers were very industrious (in only 6 months they had parcelled out more than 300 000 acres), they wanted to attract talent to their territory, opening the colonisation to other denominations, but the new citizens had to acquire at least 20 hectares (at a symbolic price of approximately one pound, but enough to end up buying a shipping company that would connect America and Europe). Therefore, constituting such an amount could be assumed with the family savings of the time, thus changing the rules of the game: mercantilism was abandoned, whereby only large companies could exploit the colonies on behalf of the King and thus also put an end to the infamous indentured servitude, to cover the journey there. In Pennsylvania they wanted free and enterprising people. Between the end of the 18th century and the beginning of the 19th century (with the Second Great Awakening), more than 10 new denominations arrived, initiating more than double of projects, putting into production several farms and soon also workshop cities (such as the one Rapp sold to Owen, see ideological enterprises).

Let us now look at some of the specific points to these denominations, which had in common the persecution they suffered, a perfectionist calling and a industrious character:

- Dunkers (also called German Baptist Brethren or Church of the Brethren) refer to Central European immigrants from religious minorities such as some Anabaptists, part of the Amish, etc., dissidents from Catholicism, Lutheranism and Reformism. They founded their first community farm in Pennsylvania (with the women's buildings separated from the men's), called the Ephrata Community or Cloister in 1732, which was registered as a joint-stock company since 1812 and nowadays declared as a state cultural heritage. It was followed by the Snowhill Community (1800) and many others and from these communities they spread successfully towards the West.
- Shakers or the jumpers – so called for their ceremonials – which actually constituted as a society unit of believers in the second coming of Christ. They arrived in Pennsylvania in the 1780s and were nicknamed the quaking Quakers by their ritual dances. They were also the most egalitarian between men and women (with a proactive role for

women), highlighting the foundational work of communities of Jane Wardley, Mother Ann Lee or Mother Lucy Wright (who ran orphanages and shelters at the time). In the 19th century there were about 4000 believers distributed in more than 20 main communities and many other small-family communities. They were tremendously industrious (and inventors of utensils) because they considered that work redeemed them and improved them as people. Nowadays, their settlements have also been declared part of the state's cultural heritage or converted into museums.

- Rappitas (named after its founder, Johann Georg Rapp, although the official name was Society of Harmony) was a split from Lutheranism and persecuted for this reason in Europe. They arrived in the United States of America in 1803 because the Quaker shipping company took pity on them and financed their trip given their very poor situation. They moved the headquarters of their society to Pennsylvania in 1805 (lasting until 1905). As a pietistic group, it had many similarities with those previously mentioned, so coexistence was easy. This group recomposed itself in two years' time, grew to 400 members and began to make its speciality: the foundation of workshop-cities, such as Harmony I (in Pennsylvania), Harmony II (in Indiana), New Harmony (also in Indiana and sold to Owen for \$135 000 when the land had cost him not even \$300, so that he could try his experiment of utopian socialism), Economy (actually Old Economy Village, in Pennsylvania, characteristic for its furnaces and where Rapp died).
- Amanitas, whose denomination comes from the biblical book *Song of Songs* and means to keep honest, and its official denomination was the Society of Amana, like the Rappites, were much persecuted in Germany, arriving in Pennsylvania because of the charity and compassion of the Quakers, who not only defrayed their trip, but also gave them almost \$20 so that they could start their journey. Their industriousness and thrift proved even more formidable than that of the other sects, as they were fewer and had come under worse conditions. In a couple of decades they had accumulated a patrimony of nearly a million dollars. As they knew how to adapt to industrial capitalism, their project continued, something that other communities did not manage to do, giving way to Amana Refrigeration Inc. and later Whirlpool Corporation.
- Religious communal society (known by its members by their communes: Aurorites and Bethelians) had as a founder, a young Prussian Lutheran, William Keil, who emigrated to America and settled his family in Pennsylvania. He participated in the New Harmony experiment (both with the Rappitas and Owen, until its dissolution), and then went on to found his own communes: Bethel (Missouri) and Aurora (Oregon). His society combined Lutheran, Pietistic and Methodist elements, fused and based on the golden rule (or reciprocity): treat your neighbour as you wish to be treated. Keil was known for his enthusiasm and for

being a healer. He died in 1873 and the society was dissolved in 1883.

Autochthonous superventions

This section deals with the experiments of the new sects, which, as a result of the Second Great Religious Awakening, are moving from being mainline churches or hierarchical churches of European origin to become evangelical churches or autochthonous community churches, until reaching very singular expressions and almost outside Christianity (by secularising it and transforming it in their own way), such as the Mormons, Jehovah's Witnesses, scientific Christians, Scientologists, etc. In these cases we are no longer dealing with pietistic movements, in which industriousness and thrift as signs of predestination for salvation take precedence, but with enthusiastic groups, oriented towards the community and its solidarity, with very striking social experiments for the time (i.e. complex marriages or polygamy):

- Perfectionist Christians is an evangelical branch based on the Presbyterianism of its founder (Rev. Finney), combined with Methodism (in vogue with the Second Great Awakening) and which seeks sanctification through a life dedicated to love. Noyes (considered as an American utopian proto-socialist), influenced by Finney, studied theology, and became a preacher, although his license was revoked when he declared himself perfect and sinless in 1834). Because of his great charisma, he managed to convince hundreds of people to join his *Society of Free Love* and its several communities: (1) Putney Community (Vermont, 1836) begins as a Bible school, but given the persecution of the authorities (arresting Noyes several times), finally had to move to New York; (2) Oneida Community (New York, 1848–1881) is the most important and best known of all, becoming the epicentre for the rest of the communities; (3) Communities of Wallingford (Connecticut), Brooklyn (New York), Newark (New Jersey), Cambridge and Putney (Vermont) and the community of Niagara Falls (in Ontario, Canada), where Noyes finally fled to avoid further arrests. This communal social experiment grew to some 300 members, who supported themselves through thriving industries (i.e. silk thread production, animal traps, leather handbags, palm leaf hats, fruit and vegetable cultivation and, above all, silverware).
- Society of Universal Friends had as a founder a Quaker from Rhode Island, Jemima Wilkinson, who claimed to have suffered a serious illness in 1776, eventually dying and resurrecting as a genderless evangelical recalled *Gore or the public universal friend*. He referred to himself in a non-binary manner and dressed in an androgynous manner. Taking advantage of the Revolutionary War and with the help of his brothers, he preached the end times, claimed the end of slavery and defended free will. He managed to have a hundred followers, founding two settlements: (1) Gore, the Friend (in New York, in 1790 and by 1792 he had 25 families and a farm); (2) Jerusalem (also in New York

and where the Friend's Home, now part of the state cultural patrimony, still stands). The founder died in 1819, but his communities continued until the 1860s.

- Christian Restorationists and Jehovah's Witnesses are also important. The restorationists seek to return to the origins of Christianity, and many of them, in addition, are millenarianists, defending the transformation of the world and the Second Coming. From among the restorationists, the Bible students' movement was founded in 1870 by Charles Taze Russell, who also founded the Watchtower Tract Society of Zion/Zion (Jerusalem), arose in Pennsylvania. Thus, they began a lucrative business of distributing religious literature, which, upon Russell's death, was spun off. Joseph Franklin Rutherford retained control of the society, re-founding it in 1931 (to differentiate it from other Bible study groups) and renaming it Jehovah's Witnesses. Currently, it has almost nine million adherents involved in evangelisation and because of donations and religious literature, it is one of the richest corporations in New York, turning over some \$950 million a year.
- Mormons (officially, Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints) is a sort of syncretism, which unites Christianity (of an evangelical restorationist nature) with other religions (including pre-Columbian and Egyptian hermetic religions). Its denomination (Mormon) comes from its scriptures, the Book of Mormon, who was a Nephite prophet and later angel who appeared to the founder, Joseph Smith, to show him the book so that he could translate it. Smith began preaching in the 1820s in New York, as a restorationist, and since the 1930s, as a Mormon. In their mission to establish the kingdom of God on earth, the Mormons carried out several foundations: (1) attempted settlements and expulsion with persecution (because of misgivings about their polygamy and other practices that scandalised at the time): Palmyra (New York), Kirtland (Ohio), New Jerusalem or City of Zion (Missouri); (2) Purchase of the city of Commerce (Illinois), renaming it Nauvoo (there was peace and prosperity until Smith was killed by a mob in 1844). During this period, the Mormons had a relationship with Cabet's Icarists. (3) Under the direction of Brigham Young they went to Utah (as a promised land) and they founded Salt Lake City, to date.

Experiences of American civil religion: Social laboratories

This section is very complex, based on American Civil Religion-ACR (Bellah 1970, 1975). It is a topic related to the secularisation of Christianity in America, to reinforce its constitutionalism. ACR allows the integration of a diverse group of people, with respect to the traditional confession in local communities, and, at the same time, all are American citizens. This article offers some of the experiences which helps to understand the secularisation process:

- Unitarists: They seek the union of Protestant churches, especially the incipient evangelical ones, as an element of autochthonous integration, giving rise to intellectual communities in Ivy League universities, where they are strong at the time (in the 1790s and 1800s).

- Transcendentalists: This is an indigenous current of thought, which gives rise to intellectual communes such as Brook Farm and Fruitlands.
- Pragmatists and intentional and fraternal communities: Properly American, they include social experiments such as Social Freedom Community, Hopedale Community (Practical Christianity), Skaneateles and Prairie Home Community (Society for Universal Inquiry), Brotherhood of the new life, Colonies of Anaheim (California)-Vineland (New Jersey)-Silkville (Kansas), New York Experiments.

Utopian ideological experiments

As a result of the greater American secularisation and the problem of management of immigrant multitudes, the ideological question, with its nationalist, socialist and mixed variants, is finally present in the United States of America. As in the previous case, only a preview of the contents can be offered for now.

- Nationalists: There are two lines of research on this issue. On the one hand, to verify whether most of the evangelical communities that emerged after the Second Great Awakening (since 1790), being autochthonous, have a certain North-American or patriotic nationalist component (as opposed to the mainline churches, which have a foreign origin, responding to foreign leaders, as in the Anglican case). On the other hand, other immigrant communities with State Church maintain this nationalism with their metropolis and hence the tendency to isolation, to keep their original traditions, as in the cases of Danish Socialist Colony (in Kansas), German Reformed Colonies (in Texas), Bishop Hill Colony (of Swedes in Illinois) or Am Olam (with Jewish agricultural communities all over the country – as a forerunner of the kibbutz). Another line would be that of the Georgist movement (because of its inspirer, Henry George and his work *Progress and Poverty* of 1879), but given its relations with socialism, perhaps it must go to the mixed block.
- Socialists: There were many communities of utopian socialist inspiration in the second half of the 19th century. They can be classified as follows:
 - Icarists: Denomination by the work *Journey to Icaria* (1839) of the Frenchman E. Cabet (with egalitarian approaches from masonic view), who moved to the United States of America in 1848, to help to promote Icarist communities in other regions, such as Louisiana, Texas, Iowa, Missouri, California, etc. In the West, Nauvoo (in Illinois) stands out for being a shared project with the Mormons (until the lynching of Smith and Young's decision to immigrate to Utah).
 - Owenians: They receive their name from their inspiration, the paradoxical industrialist and utopian socialist R. Owen (he was the first to generalise the term 'socialist', in 1827, to refer to his project of a new society with opportunities for all). He had the support of Dale (Chief Executive of the Royal Bank of Scotland and his father-in-law) and Bentham, to finance the New Lanark project (a large factory, with social innovations, such as a nursery), intending to repeat it

and extend it to a whole city in New Harmony (Indiana). The project failed because of not having selected well the workers (he hired almost a thousand unqualified workers); having left his son in charge that surrounded himself with intellectuals without practical experience with many difficulties in obtaining more financing (especially, because of the economic situation at the time), etc. The fact is that Owen lost four-fifths of his fortune, while the four New Harmony newspapers (financed by him) accused him of being a speculator (for not having risked 100% of his patrimony). Rapp recalled how sad he was when he returned to New Harmony to complete the economic transaction, and in less than two years, the city had been left to deteriorate: 'they hadn't even re-roofed it', he lamented. Based on the postulates of Owenite utopian socialism, there were other experiments: (1) Oberlin Colony in Ohio (1833–1843), led by J.J. Shipherd (and eight families); (2) Kristeen Community in Indiana (1845–1847), led by C. Mowland, who had ties with the Oberlin Colony. Mowland, who had links with the Universal Search Society (see the next point); (3) Fruit Hills in Ohio (1845–1852), led by the anarchist O.S. Murray, who had links with the Kristeen community, etc.

- Fourierists: The reception of the postulates of the French utopian socialism (or rather, mutualist) gave rise to the constitution of the American Fourier Society with its network of communities or phalansteries (in English phalanx or phalanges). The following are worth mentioning (following the order of Noyes and Nordhoff): Trumbull phalanx, Ohio phalanx, Clermont phalanx, Integral phalanx, Alphadelphia phalanx, Clarkson phalanx, Sodus Bay phalanx, Grange phalanx, Wisconsin phalanx, North American phalanx, etc. Even, other minor and independent experiments may be mentioned, such as Spring Farm Colony (in Wisconsin, 1846–1848).
- Fabien's: Allusion is made to underground socialism in universities, mass media, think-tanks and public administration, which in the case of the United States began via Christian socialism, more specifically, by agents such as the Rev. W.D. Porter Bliss, in the 1890s, who carried out some attempt at communal experience. Subsequently, its development was limited to the academic and administrative sphere, with the formation of the American Fabien movement, as well as the multiplicity of Fabien societies at the universities (i.e. Harvard Fabien Society with members such as Stuart Chase, who helped design the New Deal).
- Mixed: Those experiences that intermingle both ideologies (such as Georgism, *vide infra*), or directly that sort of miscellaneous, where spiritualist and free love movements, such as the Nashoba communes (in Tennessee, 1825–1828, led by F. Wright), Free Lovers at Davis House (in Ohio, 1854–1858, led by F. Barry), etc., are taken into account. Even *sui generis* cases may be

mentioned, such as Sylvania Association, Yellow Springs Community, Seven Epitaphs, Marlboro Association, Northampton Association, etc. The openly declared case of libertarian socialism, the case of the New Philadelphia Colony is worth mentioning (in Pennsylvania, 1832–1833, led by B. Müller). Also worth mentioning are the Grangers or local corporations of small rural landowners and Masons; even the cases of vegetarian living communities, such as Octagon City (Kansas) or Shalam Colony (New Mexico).

Conclusion

According to this systematisation, in the colonisation of the American West, there were the following utopias or socio-economic experiences (essays of way of life and improvement in production and welfare):

- Religious enterprises: Most of them started in the Holy Experiment expansion to the West (the colonisation of Ohio and Indiana) during the Second Religious Awakening until the Second Industrial Revolution (1790–1880). There were 10 types of renewal movements transplanted from Europe, with experiences of several families, growing in farms and workshop towns of thousands of people. The most relevant cases were the Rappitas and Amanitas because they came to America with a Quakers' grant and because of their labour effort and capitalist ethics, they were very successful, moving from communal and cooperative societies to corporations. Also, there were native renewal movements (six types), very successful too, such as Jehovah witness and Mormons.
- Secular experiments: Most of them started in 1840s, with many intellectuals and a short life (less than a year each utopia). The Mises' theorem offers a common explanation based on the wrong idea of total planning without market principles. They expended their private capital (no more than a hundred members and some donors), with the ruin of their members.
- Ideological utopias: Most of them started in 1840s until 1860s, and there was a revival during the Great Recession in the Pacific coast. There were nationalist communities (six types), socialist utopias (six types) and mix cases (six types too). The largest experiments (in workers and capital used) were the socialist, with a sustainability of less than four years. The Mises' theorem helps to explain the bankruptcy because of the coactive and centralised planning.

The comparison between the utopias' experiences offers the following results, which explain the colonial paradox: curiously, the first communities to become extinct were the most recent to be established, it means, the ideological enterprises. They were the worst adapted to the evolution of events, in addition to seeking a greater centralisation of power via charismatic leaders or ideological loans (thus, with less respect for freedom, property and private initiative). Consequently, the fulfilment of the theorem of the impossibility

of socialism can be affirmed, with the ideological utopias falling first, followed by the secular experiments of ACR and, finally, the confessional ones (with the exception of the very laborious Amanitas). The latter were sustained more by social gospel and social plus, thus compensating for productive efforts that were not economically rewarded. However, with the advanced secularisation of the 20th century, the effect of the religious factor was diminished, even its social sphere (until globalisation, when a great revival took place).

As a comparative corollary, among all the experiences, it has already been said that the traditional religious utopias (the dissident and perfectionist sects) were the most productive, among other things, because of their positive and redeeming vision of work and business (even reviving the entrepreneurial function, as in the cases of the Rappitas and the Amanitas). Unlike the rest of the American farmers, who were satisfied with a production of autarky (more or less reproducing their resources), the aforementioned sects tended towards growth and diversification (the farm was followed by sawmills, mills, looms, dyeing, carpentry, ovens, printing presses, etc.), in addition to taking care of savings, so that they could afford greater investments, multiplying their capital. The problem came with the adaptation to industrial capitalism and the obstacles of the federal nation-state, which did not want alternative models that violated its normalisation.

Finally, in the American foundational evolution, taking into account the multi-relationship between economy, law, politics and religion, the 17th century was that of mercantilism sponsored by royal houses (openly in the Southern Plantations and covertly in the travel servitude contracts in New England). The 18th century was the beginning of commercial capitalism, especially towards the interior of the continent, but it was also convulsive because there were many wars (i.e. Indian Wars, War of Independence, etc.). The 19th century witnessed the emergence of commercial capitalism, especially in the colonisation of the West, while the Atlantic coast and its surroundings were immersed in a tension between the emerging Nordic industrial model and the mercantilist vestige of the South, ending in the Civil War. In reality, social transformations are taking place on both Atlantic shores, but the difference is that in Europe they are more violent and ideological (Rothbard 1975–1979), while in America, because of the confessions, it was more peaceful, as can be seen in the Progressive Era at the end of the 19th century.

Focusing the attention on the American experiments in the 19th century, it is possible to diagnose the causes of their extinction, the concurrence of a variety of circumstances and assumptions: (1) the realisation of their objective, that is, to help colonise the West and integrate the country; (2) the realisation of the theorem of the impossibility of socialism (so that those communities that remained more centralised and without due respect for property and private initiative, were the first to become extinct); (3) the pressures of the standardising model of the federal nation-state (which did not want alternative models, and therefore

marginalised them, until their extinction and reconversion of their settlements into part of the state cultural patrimony); (4) the effects of the Second Industrial and Technological Revolution, which gave way to industrial capitalism (thus overcoming the commercial capitalism of the first sector, in which these communities stood out – with the exception of the Amanitas and their Whirlpool).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the research group, GESCE, and the educational innovation group, GID-TICTAC CCEESS, at the Universidad Rey Juan Carlos. They also thank the Henry Hazlitt Doctoral Center at the Universidad Francisco Marroquín, the research group HOS at the Universidad Europea Miguel de Cervantes and the research group TRANS-REAL LAB at the Universidad de Valladolid.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no financial or personal relationships that may have inappropriately influenced them in writing this article.

Authors' contributions

A.S.-B. conceptualised and wrote the article, reviewed by E.T.A. and supported by F.J.S.

Ethical considerations

This article followed all ethical standards for research without direct contact with human or animal subjects.

Funding information

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Disclaimer

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of any affiliated agency of the authors.

References

- Anderson, T. & Hill, P., 1979, 'An American experiment in anarcho-capitalism: The not so wild, wild West', *Journal of Libertarian Studies* 3(1), 9–29.
- Anderson, T. & Hill, P., 2004, *The not so wild, wild west: Property rights on the frontier*, Stanford University Press, Stanford, CA.
- Bellah, R., 1970, *Beyond beliefs*, Harper & Row, New York, NY.
- Bellah, R., 1975, *The broken covenant: American civil religion in the time of trial*, The Seabury Press, New York, NY.
- Berry, B., 1992, *America's utopian experiments: Communal havens from long-wave crises*, Dartmouth College, Hanover.
- Bestor, A., 1950, *Backwoods utopias; the sectarian and Owenite phases of communitarian socialism in America, 1663–1829*, University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia, PA.

- Block, J., Fisch, C. & Rehan, F., 2020, 'Religion and entrepreneurship: A map of the field and bibliometric analysis', *Management Review Quarterly* 70(4), 591–627. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-019-00177-2>
- Boettke, P., Haeffele-Balch, S. & Storr, V., 2016, *Mainline economics: Six Nobel lectures in the tradition of Adam Smith*, Mercatus Center-George Mason University, Arlington, TX.
- Brennan, G. & Buchanan, J., 1985, *The reason of rules. Constitutional political economy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Buchanan, J., 1986, *The constitution of economic policy. Prize lecture to the memory of Alfred Nobel*, viewed 15 May 2021, from <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/economic-sciences/1986/buchanan/lecture/>.
- Buchanan, J., 1987, *Constitutional economics*, Mac-Millan, London.
- Buchanan, J., 1990, 'The domain of constitutional economics', *Constitutional Political Economy* 1(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02393031>
- Buchanan, J. & Tullock, G., 1962, *The calculus of consent: Logical foundations of constitutional democracy*, The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI.
- Coase, R., 1937, 'The nature of the firm', *Economica* 4(16), 386–405. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0335.1937.tb00002.x>
- Coase, R., 1960, 'The problem of social cost', *Journal of Law and Economics* 3(1), 1–44. <https://doi.org/10.1086/466560>
- Cohen, D., 1973, *Not of the world; a history of the commune in America*, Follett, Chicago, IL.
- Curl, J., 2012, *For all the people: Uncovering the hidden history of cooperation, cooperative movements, and communalism in America*, PM Press, Chicago, IL.
- Escototado, A., 2008–16, *Los enemigos del comercio. Una historia moral de la propiedad*, Espasa, Madrid.
- Feyerabend, P., 1975, *Against method: Outline of an anarchistic theory of knowledge*, Verso, London.
- Fogarty, R., 1980, *Dictionary of American communal and utopian history*, Greenwood Press, Westport, CT.
- Fogarty, R., 1990, *All things new: American communes and utopian movements, 1860–1914*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- Fogel, R., 1964, *Railroads and American economic growth*, Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, MD.
- Fogel, R., 2000, *The fourth great awakening and the future of Egalitarianism*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- Fogel, R. & Engerman, S., 1974, *Time on the cross*, 2 vols., Little, Brown & Co., Boston, MA.
- Friesen, J. & Friesen, V., 2004, *The Palgrave companion to North American utopias*, Palgrave Macmillan, New York, NY.
- Fukuyama, F., 2018, *Identity: Contemporary identity politics and the struggle for recognition*, Farrar, Straus and Giroux, New York, NY.
- Hayek, F., 1944, *The road to serfdom*, George Routledge & Sons, London.
- Hayek, F., 1952a, *The counter-revolution of science: Studies on the abuse of reason*, Free Press, Blencoe, IA.
- Hayek, F., 1952b, *The sensory order*, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL.
- Hayek, F., 1988, *The fatal conceit: The errors of socialism*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- Hillquit, M., 1903, *History of socialism in the United States*, Funk & Wagnalls Co., New York, NY.
- Hinds, W., 1878, *American communities*, Charles H. Kerr & Co., Chicago, IL.
- Hinds, W., 1908, *American communities and co-operative colonies*, Charles H. Kerr & Co., Chicago, IL.
- Hofstadter, R., 1967, *The American political tradition and the men who made it*, Jonathan Cape, London.
- Hofstadter, R., 1970, *The progressive historians*, Vintage Books, New York, NY.
- Hofstadter, R., Miller, W. & Aaronson, D., 1946, *The structure of American history*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Hoppe, H., 1989, *A theory of socialism and capitalism*, Kluwer, Boston, MA.
- Hoppe, H., 1995, *Economic science and the Austrian method*, Mises Institute, Auburn, AL.
- Huerta De Soto, J., 1992, *Socialismo, cálculo económico y función empresarial*, Unión Editorial, Madrid.
- Huerta De Soto, J., 2000, *La escuela austriaca: mercado y creatividad empresarial*, Ed. Síntesis, Madrid.
- Huntington, S., 2004, *Who are we? The challenges to America's national identity*, Simon & Schuster, New York, NY.
- Kephart, W., 1998, *Extraordinary groups: An examination of unconventional lifestyles*, St. Martin's Press, New York, NY.
- Lilla, M., 2017, *Once and future liberal: After identity politics*, Harper Co., New York, NY.
- Lindbeck, A., 1971, *The political economy of the new left*, Harper & Row, New York, NY.
- Lippy, C. & Williams, P., 1988, *Encyclopedia of the American religious experience. Studies of traditions and movements*, 2 vols., Charles Scribner's Sons, New York, NY.
- Lipset, M., 1968, *Revolution and counterrevolution: Change and persistence in social structures*, Basic Books, New York, NY.
- Madison, C., 1945, 'Anarchism in the United States', *Journal of the History of Ideas* 6(1), 46–66. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2707055>
- Martin, J., 1953, *Men against the state. The expositors of individualist anarchism in America, 1827–1908*, Adrian Allen Associates, Chicago, IL.
- McElroy, W., 1981, 'The culture of individualist anarchism in late 19th century America', *Journal of Libertarian Studies* 5(3), 291–304.
- Melton, J., 1989, *The encyclopedia of American religions*, Gale Research Inc., Detroit, MI.
- Menéndez, A., 1976, *Church-state relations: An annotated bibliography*, Garland, New York, NY.
- Menger, C., 1883, *Untersuchungen über die Methode der Socialwissenschaften und der Politischen Oekonomie insbesondere*, Humblot, Leipzig.
- Mermelstein, D., 1970, *Economics: Mainstream readings and radical critiques*, Random House, New York, NY.
- Mises, L., 1922, *Die Gemeinwirtschaft: Untersuchungen über den Sozialismus*, Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena.
- Mises, L., 1929, *Kritik des Interventionismus*, Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena.
- Mises, L., 1933, *Grundprobleme der Nationalökonomie*, Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena.
- Mises, L., 1944, *Omnipotent government*, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- Mises, L., 1957, *Theory and history: An interpretation of social and economic evolution*, Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- Noonan, J. & Gafney, E., 2001, *Religious freedom*, Foundation Press, New York, NY.
- Nordhoff, C., 1875, *The communistic societies of the United States*, Dover Publications, New York, NY.
- Noyes, J., 1870, *History of American socialism*, Wallingford Community, Wallingford.
- Olson, L. & Djupe, P., 2003, *Encyclopedia of American religion and politics*, Fact on File, New York, NY.
- Parrington, V., 1927, *Main currents in American thought*, 3 vols., Univ. Oklahoma Press, Norman, OK.
- Pfeffer, L., 1953, *Church, state and freedom*, Beacon Press, Boston, MA.
- Rothbard, M., 1973, *For a new liberty*, Macmillan, London.
- Rothbard, M., 1975–79, *Conceived in liberty*, 4 vols., Arlington House Publishers, New Rochelle, NY.
- Sánchez-Bayón, A., 2014a, 'Freedom of religion at large in American common law', *Journal for the Study of Religions and Ideologies* 13(37), 35–72.
- Sánchez-Bayón, A., 2014b, 'Historia, Historiología e Historiografía de los Estudios Interculturales en EE.UU', *Revista Banda de Moebius* 48, 147–157. <https://doi.org/10.4067/s0717-554x2013000300003>
- Sánchez-Bayón, A., 2019a, 'American identity crisis: Attack to American civil religion & trans-westernness risk', *Cogito* 11(1), 23–51.
- Sánchez-Bayón, A., 2019b, 'Renovación de la Teología política y Sociología de la religión en la posglobalización', *Carthaginensia* 35(68), 485–510.
- Smith, J. & Jamison, A., 1961, *Religion in American life*, IV vols., Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Stokes, A., 1950, *Church and state in the United States*, 3 vols., Harper & Bro., New York, NY.
- Takaki, R., 2002, *Debating diversity: Clashing perspectives on race and ethnicity in America*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Takaki, R., 2008, *A different mirror. A history of multicultural America*, Little Brown, New York, NY.
- Tullock, G., 1972, *Explorations in the theory of anarchy*, Center for the Study of Public Choice, Blacksburg, VA.
- Tullock, G., 1974, *Further explorations in the theory of anarchy*, Center for the Study of Public Choice, Blacksburg, VA.
- Tyler, A., 1944, *Freedom's ferment. Phases of American social history to 1860*, The University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis, MN.
- Veysey, L., 1973, *The communal experience; anarchist and mystical counter-cultures in America*, Harper & Row, New York, NY.
- Walsh, A., 2000, *Religion, economics, and public policy: Ironies, tragedies, and absurdities of the contemporary culture wars*, Praeger, Westport, CT.
- Wood, J., 1961, *Church and state*, Baylor University Press, Waco, TX.
- Wooster, E., 1924, *Communities of the past and present*, Llano Colonist, New Llano, LA.
- Wright Mills, C., 1948, *The new men of power*, Harcourt, Brace & Co., New York, NY.
- Yarnold, B., 1999, *Religious wars in the courts I*, Nova Science Publishers, Huntington, WV.
- Yarnold, B., 2000, *Religious wars in the courts II*, Nova Science Publishers, Huntington, WV.
- Zinn, H., 1980, *A people's history of the United States*, Harper & Row, New York, NY.
- Zinn, H., 2004, *Voices of a people's history of the United States*, Seven Stories Press, New York, NY.