



HAL
open science

Aluminum-[18 F]Fluoride Radiolabeling Optimization by Design of Experiments Approach

Carine San, Meriem Beddek, Fabienne Dioury, Nicolas Vignal, Benoit Hosten, Laure Sarda-Mantel, Maurice Pillet, Marc Port

► To cite this version:

Carine San, Meriem Beddek, Fabienne Dioury, Nicolas Vignal, Benoit Hosten, et al.. Aluminum-[18 F]Fluoride Radiolabeling Optimization by Design of Experiments Approach. 20th European Symposium on Radiopharmacy and Radiopharmaceuticals (ESRR'22), Nov 2022, Verona, Italy. hal-04054717

HAL Id: hal-04054717

<https://hal.science/hal-04054717>

Submitted on 31 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Aluminum- ^{18}F Fluoride Radiolabeling Optimization by Design of Experiments Approach

Carine San^{1,2*}, Meriem Beddek², Fabienne Dioury¹, Nicolas Vignal², Benoît Hosten², Maurice Pillet⁴, Laure Sarda-Mantel³, Marc Port¹

1) Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers (Le Cnam), HESAM Université, Laboratoire Génomique, Bioinformatique, Chimie Moléculaire (GBCM), EA7528, Paris, France

2) AP-HP. Nord - Université Paris Cité, Unité Claude Kellershohn, Institut de Recherche Saint-Louis, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Paris, France

3) AP-HP. Nord - Université Paris Cité, Service de Médecine Nucléaire, Hôpital Lariboisière, Paris, France


4) Université Savoie Mont-Blanc, Laboratoire SYMME, EA 4144, Annecy, France

* Correspondence: carine.san@lecnam.net


Introduction

Fluorine-18 (^{18}F) is the most favorable positron emitter for tumor imaging. However, direct ^{18}F -labeling of biomolecules is challenging as it involves multiple steps and stringent conditions that are generally not suitable for biomolecules whose integrity may be altered. Over the past decade, an elegant new approach has been developed by coordination of aluminum fluoride $\{\text{Al}^{18}\text{F}\}$ in water medium and at the late stage of synthetic process.

This work presents an optimization of the radiolabeling step by using a Design of Experiments (DoE) approach.



1. Define the objectives



- Optimize the radiolabeling yield
- Minimize the number of experiments and the costs
- Improve the radioprotection of workers

2. Identify the influencing parameters to study*

Parameters	Min	Max
pH	4	5
[NODA-MP-C4]	50	550
NODA-MP-C4/Al ratio	1:1	3:1

Fixed parameters:

- Temperature: reflux
- Duration: 15 min
- Volume: 110 μL
- No organic co-solvent

*Based on literature and our previous work:
 • McBride et al, J. Nucl. Med. 2009, 50 (6), 991–998.
 • Fersing, C. et al, Molecules 2019, 24 (16), 2866.
 • Shetty, D. et al, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2012, 20 (19), 5941–5947.
 • San, C. et al, 28th Young Research Fellows Meeting; Paris, 2021

3. Plan the DoE and collect experimental data




N°	NODA-MP-C4/Al ratio	[NODA-MP-C4] (μM)	pH	Radiolabeling yield (%)**	
1	1.4:1	156	4.2	26.6	53.8
2	1.4:1	156	4.8	45.2	51.8
3	1.4:1	450	4.2	75.6	74.8
4	1.4:1	450	4.8	75.0	72.3
5	2.6:1	156	4.2	21.8	26.4
6	2.6:1	156	4.8	12.5	19.7
7	2.6:1	450	4.2	30.0	67.9
8	2.6:1	450	4.8	41.7	68.9
9	2:1	303	4.5	70.8	66.7
10	2:1	303	4.5	56.1	62.8
11	2:1	303	4.5	55.8	62.6
12	2:1	303	4.5	70.0	64.4
13	2:1	303	4.5	72.4	59.4
14	2:1	303	4.5	70.5	71.1
15	1:1	303	4.5	70.1	74.7
16	3:1	303	4.5	49.6	60.6
17	2:1	55	4.5	1.7	13.6
18	2:1	550	4.5	63.6	73.9
19	2:1	303	4	15.9	19.7
20	2:1	303	5	18.2	26.7

DoE generated with Ellistat software v.6.8

** Determined by radio-HPLC

4. Obtain the model

$$\text{Radiolabeling yield} = -3234 - 14,99 \times \text{NODA-MP-C4/Al} + 0,3316 \times [\text{NODA-MP-C4}] + 1477 \times \text{pH} - 0,0003614 \times [\text{NODA-MP-C4}]^2 - 160,4 \times \text{pH}^2$$



- All the parameters studied have a significant impact on the radiolabeling yield
- No second order interaction is observed but quadratic effect for the following parameters: NODA-MP-C4 concentration and pH
- Good correlation between predicted and observed radiolabeling yields

5. Validate the model: quality of the prediction

1st test : conditions not tested in the DoE

- pH = 4.3
 - [NODA-MP-C4] = 223 μM
 - NODA-MP-C4/Al = 1.8:1
- Radiolabeling yield **predicted** = 51 %
 Radiolabeling yield **obtained** = 62 ± 5 % (n = 2)

2nd test : optimal conditions

- pH = 4.5
 - [NODA-MP-C4] = 464 μM
 - NODA-MP-C4/Al = 1:1
- Radiolabeling yield **predicted** = 90 %
 Radiolabeling yield **obtained** = 79 ± 3 % (n = 5)

Conclusion

Optimization was performed in **40 tests** representing **5 days** of experimentation. With the optimized parameters, the radiolabeled complex was obtained with high and reproducible radiolabeling yield (79 ± 3 %, n = 5).