



HAL
open science

Anodic TiO₂ Nanotube Layers for Wastewater and Air Treatments: Assessment of Performance Using Sulfamethoxazole Degradation and N₂O Reduction

Marcel Sihor, Sridhar Gowrisankaran, Alexandr Martaus, Martin Motola, Gilles Mailhot, Marcello Brigante, Olivier Monfort

► **To cite this version:**

Marcel Sihor, Sridhar Gowrisankaran, Alexandr Martaus, Martin Motola, Gilles Mailhot, et al.. Anodic TiO₂ Nanotube Layers for Wastewater and Air Treatments: Assessment of Performance Using Sulfamethoxazole Degradation and N₂O Reduction. *Molecules*, 2022, 27 (24), pp.8959. 10.3390/molecules27248959 . hal-04053076

HAL Id: hal-04053076

<https://hal.science/hal-04053076v1>

Submitted on 31 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Article

2 Anodic TiO₂ nanotube layers for wastewater and air treatments: 3 Assessment of performance using sulfamethoxazole degrada- 4 tion and N₂O reduction

5 Marcel Sihor^{1,2}, Sridhar Gowrisankaran¹, Alexandr Martaus², Martin Motola¹, Gilles Mailhot³, Marcello Brigante
6 ³ and Olivier Monfort^{1,*}

7 ¹ Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University Bratislava, Ilkovicova
8 6, Mlynska Dolina, 84215 Bratislava, Slovakia

9 ² Institute of Environmental Technology, CEET, VSB-Technical University of Ostrava, 17. Listopadu 15/2172;
10 70800, Ostrava-Poruba, Czech republic

11 ³ Institut de Chimie de Clermont-Ferrand, Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, Clermont Auvergne INP,
12 F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France

13 * Correspondence: monfort1@uniba.sk; Tel.: +421290142141

14 **Abstract:** The preparation of anodic TiO₂ nanotube layers have performed using electrochemical
15 anodization of Ti foil for 4 h at different voltages (from 0 V to 80 V). In addition, TiO₂ thin layer has
16 been also prepared using the sol-gel method. All the photocatalysts has been characterized by XRD,
17 SEM, and DRS to investigate the crystalline phase composition, the surface morphology and the
18 optical properties, respectively. The performance of the photocatalyst has been assessed in versatile
19 photocatalytic reactions including the reduction of N₂O gas and the oxidation of aqueous
20 sulfamethoxazole. Due to their high specific surface area and excellent charge carriers transport,
21 anodic TiO₂ nanotube layers have exhibited the highest N₂O conversion rate (up to 10% after 22 h)
22 and the highest degradation extent of sulfamethoxazole (about 65% after 4 h) under UVA light. The
23 degradation mechanism of sulfamethoxazole has been investigated by analyzing its transformation
24 products by LC-MS and predominant role of hydroxyl radicals has been confirmed. Finally, the
25 efficiency of anodic TiO₂ nanotube layer has been tested in real wastewater reaching up to 45% of
26 sulfamethoxazole degradation after 4 h.

27 **Keywords:** photocatalysis; pharmaceutical; water treatment; air treatment; N₂O; TiO₂.

28 **Citation:** To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname
Lastname

Received: date

Accepted: date

Published: date

29 **Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays
30 neutral with regard to jurisdictional
31 claims in published maps and
32 institutional affiliations.



33 **Copyright:** © 2022 by the author
34 Submitted for possible open access
35 publication under the terms and
36 conditions of the Creative Commons
37 Attribution (CC BY) license
38 (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

29 1. Introduction

30 With regard to number of publications, titania (TiO₂) is the most investigated
31 photocatalyst in a multitude of applications such as, for example, antibacterial coatings
32 and water and air treatments [1–6]. Efficient TiO₂ photocatalysts are prepared in the form
33 of nanomaterials ranging from 0D to 3D morphologies [5,7–12]. The 1D titania
34 nanostructures include TiO₂ nanotubes (TNT) and are promising nanostructured
35 photocatalysts mainly due to their excellent electron transport [13–15]. In the form of
36 self-organized arrays (i.e., supported layers), TNT exhibit enhanced charge carriers sep-
37 aration, thus leading to exceptional photocatalytic properties [13–16]. The preparation
38 TNT layers can be done by anodic oxidation of Ti electrode in fluoride-containing elec-
39 trolyte [17–19]. The variation of applied voltage and fluoride concentration and
40 anodization time can be tuned to design TNT of specific length and wall thickness
41 [17–20]. In addition, by extending one of these experimental parameters, the TNT mor-
42 phology can be transformed in a porous nanostructure which exhibits also interesting
43 photocatalytic properties as reported in our recent work [20].

44 The treatment of water by photocatalysis is one of the most investigated alternatives

to enhance the already existing processes which are used in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) and in the production of drinking water [21]. Indeed, photocatalytic process belongs to advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), thus generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) like hydroxyl radicals ($\text{HO}\cdot$) [22]. The $\text{HO}\cdot$ react with high kinetic rate and non-selectively with organic molecules including persistent organic pollutants (POPs) and contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) [22]. Among CECs, pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) are daily consumed and their long-term impact on the natural environmental and the human health is not yet clarified [23,24]. Intergovernmental agencies have implemented stringent norms for pollution control and water quality, like the implementing decision of the European Union (EU) No. 2020/1161 on the directive No. 2008/105/CE [25]. Therefore, the modification of conventional water treatments appears a necessary conditions to fulfill these norms, thus TNT photocatalysts being excellent candidate. In this study, sulfamethoxazole (SMX) is used as a model pollutant since it is a widely used antibiotics. In addition, SMX is one of the most frequently detected pollutants in water around the World [23].

The treatment of air for the removal of nitrous oxide (N_2O) using photocatalytic reactions has been described back in the 1990s, when the first experiments employed zeolite containing Cu-based photocatalysts [26]. N_2O is in the top 3 gases responsible for the global warming since it has been proven to be involved at 6.2% of the total global radiative forcing. The major origin of N_2O is from natural processes (nitrification of ammonia, denitrification of nitrates, etc.) but also anthropogenic activities (N-based fertilizers, combustion of fossil fuels, etc.) [27]. Therefore, intense research is carrying out to develop different types of photocatalysts including TiO_2 [28–33]. However, one significant disadvantage of these already existing photocatalysts is that they are not easy to handle because of their powder form. Using supported TNT photocatalysts, such a drawback might be overcome.

In the present work, the use of anodic TNT layers in wastewater and air treatments is assessed using the degradation of aqueous sulfamethoxazole solution and the reduction of gaseous nitrous oxide, respectively, as model reactions. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that TNT layers are used in such versatile photocatalytic reactions, and their performance is compared to another TiO_2 nanostructure i.e. TiO_2 thin layer deposited by the sol-gel method. In addition, the degradation of SMX is also performed in real wastewater while the degradation pathway of SMX is proposed to clarify the mechanism.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Preparation and characterization of photocatalysts

The TNT layers are prepared using a similar procedure reported in one of our previous works [34]. Briefly, a disk of 4 cm in diameter of titanium foil (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.7 % with 0.127 mm thickness) is used as a working electrode and dropped in fluoride-based electrolyte based on glycerol. The counter electrode is also a 4 cm diameter Ti foil. The distance between the two electrodes is set at 1.5 cm and electrochemical anodization is performed at different applied voltages from 0 to 80 V (with a 20 V step) for 100 min. The current intensity is kept at 5 A during the procedure. After rinsing the as-prepared TNT followed by annealing at 400 °C for 1h, TNT layers labelled F0, F20, F40, F60 and F80 are obtained.

For comparison, TiO_2 sol-gel films are prepared. To this end, titanium isopropoxide (97.0%; Sigma-Aldrich) is added to isopropanol (reagent grade, Slavus sro.) containing acetic acid (99.0%, Slavus sro.) and Triton® X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) as chelating and structure directing agents, respectively, thus a 0.2 M Ti alkoxide sol-gel is obtained. The sol-gel is deposited by spin-coating (Ossila Ltd.) at 2000 rpm on Si wafer (University Wafer Inc.) with diameter of 4 cm. Six-layer films are prepared with intermediate an-

nealing at 300 °C for 10 min and final annealing at 450 °C for 1 h. The TiO₂ sol-gel films are labelled SG.

The TNT and sol-gel layers are characterized by DRS (Shimadzu UV-2600, IRS-2600Plus), XRD (Rigaku SmartLab) and SEM (Tescan Lyra 3) to control the optical energy band gap (E_g), the crystalline phase composition and the surface morphology, respectively. Further characterization details of these reproducible nanomaterials are provided in our previous publications [20,34].

2.2 Degradation of N₂O in the gas phase

The photocatalytic decomposition of gaseous N₂O is performed in a custom-made stainless-steel photo-reactor (Figure 1) where the photocatalytic layer is placed at the bottom. After that, the reactor is closed and filled with N₂O/He mixture and pressurized to 1.5 bar (pressure is controlled during the whole experiment). The initial N₂O concentration is set at 1030 ppm. The irradiation is generated by UVA source (UVP Pen-Ray, 8 W Hg lamp; $\lambda_{max} = 365$ nm) situated at the top of photo-reactor and going through the quartz glass visor. The N₂O concentration is measured using a GC/BID (Gas Chromatography coupled with Barrier discharge Ionization detector, Shimadzu Tracera GC 2010Plus) in two hours intervals for 22 h. Each experiment is repeated to check the reproducibility. The conversion of N₂O (R_{N_2O}) is calculated using the equation 1 where $x_{N_2O}^0$ is the initial mole fraction of N₂O and x_{N_2O} is the mole fraction at different times during the photocatalytic reaction.

$$R_{N_2O} = \frac{x_{N_2O}^0 - x_{N_2O}}{x_{N_2O}^0} \quad (1)$$




Figure 1. Scheme of the photo-reactor used to decompose N₂O gas.

2.3 Degradation of SMX in water

Concerning the photocatalytic degradation of SMX solution (50 µM), the photocatalytic layers are placed at the bottom of home-made photo-reactor equipped with four UVA lamps at the top (Sylvania F15W/350BL; 1.9 mW cm⁻² in the range 290-400 nm). Prior to turn on the lamps, the initial pH of SMX solution is adjusted at 7 using HClO₄ and NaOH. The photocatalytic degradation is performed under constant air bubbling for 4 h and 500 µL is sampled out every 30 min (filtration through 0.45 µm PTFE filter and quenching into 100 µL methanol). The concentration of SMX is analyzed by HPLC (Shimadzu Nexera XR LC-20AD) equipped with a C18 column (Agilent, EC 250/4.6 nucleodur 100/5). The mobile phase is a mixture of MeOH/H₂O in gradient mode from 40:60 (v/v) to 95:5 (v/v) which is achieved in 10 min. The detection wavelength of SMX is fixed at 268 nm.

In addition, to highlight the significance of the present study for potential industrial application, the degradation of SMX is performed in secondary effluent of municipal wastewater treatment plants collected in Clermont-Ferrand, France. Prior to use it as wastewater matrix, it is filtered through 0.45 µm PTFE membrane and further analyzed by total organic analysis (Shimadzu, TOC-L) and ionic chromatography (Thermo Scientific, ICS 5000). The data are presented in Table 1.

138

Table 1. Concentration of inorganic ions and inorganic and organic carbon.

Species	Concentration (mg L ⁻¹)
Cl ⁻	100
NO ₃ ⁻	59
SO ₄ ²⁻	53
PO ₄ ³⁻	< LOD ¹
Na ⁺	131
NH ₄ ⁺	4
K ⁺	40
Mg ²⁺	9
Ca ²⁺	25
Inorganic C	47
Organic C	7

¹ Under the Limit Of Detection.

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

The identification of SMX transformation by-products is obtained by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) coupled with high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) performed on an Orbitrap Q-Exactive (Thermo scientific). The column is a Phenomenex Kinetex C18 (1.7 μm × 100 Å; 100 × 2.1mm) and the temperature is set at 30 °C. The initial gradient is 5% ACN and 95% water acidified with 1% formic acid, followed by a linear gradient to 99% ACN within 8.5 min and kept constant during 1 min. The flow rate is 0.45 mL min⁻¹ and the injection volume is 5 μL. Ionization is set to 3.2 kV (ESI+) and 3.0 kV (ESI-).

149

3. Results and Discussion

150

3.1 Structural and optical properties of photocatalysts

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

The XRD patterns (Figure S1) of the F20, F40, F60, F80 and SG samples match with the anatase phase of TiO₂ (ICDD card no. 03-065-5714) as reported in our previous works [20,34]. Very weak diffractions of TiO₂ anatase phase are also confirmed in SG sample, where textured silicon wafer was used as the substrate. Therefore, additional diffractions of the textured silicon wafers correspond to the *K_{beta}*, *L_{alpha1}* and *L_{alpha2}* lines of Si (111) along with impurities. It is worth noting the diffractions from metallic Ti in the TNT layers since it is the underlying substrate of self-organized and highly oriented TiO₂ nanotubes. In addition, the F0 sample only exhibit metallic Ti diffraction (ICDD card no. 00-044-1294) although an ultra-thin layer of TiO₂ (several nanometers) might be present due to surface oxidation.

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

The SEM pictures (Figure 2) show that the photocatalysts possess different surface morphologies. The surface of the F0 sample exhibit typical morphology of Ti i.e., a dense structure of microcrystals (Figure 2a). As the anodization voltage increases from 20 to 80 V, a porous nanostructure is observed (Figure 2b-e). The F20 sample exhibit clear nanotubular morphology of self-organized and highly-ordered TNT layer. However, the surface of the TNT in F40 sample starts to be destroyed. In F60 and F80 samples, the nanotubular morphology disappears and a porous nanostructure is formed instead. Indeed, in F60 sample, the nanostructure is composed of reminiscent nanotube while in F80 sample, a sponge-like nanostructure is formed due to the high voltage, thus supporting the complete dissolution of the nanotubes. Concerning the SG sample, the surface morphology is dense due to the nanoparticulated structure (Figure 2f) [35]. The thickness of the anodized samples is about 2 μm while the SG exhibit a thickness of about 400 nm (Figure S2) [34].




Figure 2. SEM pictures of (a) F0, (b) F20, (c) F40, (d) F60, (e) F80 and (f) SG.

Concerning the optical properties, the Tauc's plot (Figure 3) exhibits that the samples showing TNT morphology i.e., F20 and F40 possess the strongest light absorption along with E_g of about 3.3 eV. For porous nanostructures like F60 and F80 samples, light absorption decreases while the E_g is about 3.4 eV. For the SG sample, light absorption is weaker due to the thickness which is approx. 5 times thinner than anodized samples. In addition, the F0 sample which is essentially composed of metallic Ti exhibit slight absorption in UVA due to the upper oxidized layer.





Figure 3. UV-visible DRS of the TiO₂ nanostructures.

3.2 N₂O reduction experiments

The ability of the TiO₂ photocatalysts prepared by two different methods (electrochemical anodization and sol-gel deposition) to reduce nitrous oxide under UVA light is investigated (Figure 4). It is worth noting there is no reference in the literature about the testing of photocatalytic decomposition of N₂O with the use of TiO₂ nanostructure prepared by electrochemical anodization, thus highlighting the significance of the present work.



195
196 **Figure 4.** N₂O gas conversion under UVA light.

197 From the Figure 4, it is clear that N₂O conversion which occurs during photocatalytic
198 reactions is significantly higher than direct photolysis (i.e., without photocatalyst).
199 Comparing the different photocatalysts, the highest N₂O conversion is achieved using
200 samples prepared by electrochemical anodization, especially F40 sample showing
201 nanotubular morphology. Indeed, such a TNT layer can convert about 10% of N₂O after
202 22 h UVA irradiation. The lowest photocatalytic activities are observed for F0 and SG
203 samples with a conversion about 7.2% and 7.9%, respectively. That is due to two main
204 reasons which are supported by SEM analysis (Figure 2): (i) these samples exhibit sig-
205 nificantly lower specific surface area compared to nanoporous F20, F40, F60 and F80 and
206 (ii) their thickness is also smaller. Nevertheless, both of this poorly photoactive samples
207 present a higher N₂O conversion than simple photolysis (6.6%).
208
209

210 3.3 Degradation of SMX

211 The degradation curves of SMX using the samples prepared by electrochemical
212 anodization and sol-gel method are presented in Figure 5. Globally, similar trend is ob-
213 served between N₂O reduction and SMX oxidation since the best samples are F20 and
214 F40, i.e. samples with nanotubular morphology. This fact highlights the versatility of
215 anodic TiO₂ nanotube layers. The degradation extent of SMX after 4 h UVA irradiation
216 reaches 65% and 62% for in the presence of F20 and F40, respectively. The degradation
217 extent decreases to 52% using F60, since the structure is composed of reminiscent nano-
218 tubes. The efficiency of the other samples is relatively poor due to the absence of
219 nanotubular morphology. In other words, the number of catalytic sites and the lifetime of
220 charge carriers is probably significantly reduced. The TOC analysis corroborates the
221 degradation curves. Indeed, for F20, F40 and F60, the mineralization extent after 4 h UVA
222 irradiation reaches 11%, 8% and 3%, respectively, while for the other samples, no miner-
223 alization takes place. The potential application of anodic TiO₂ layer in water treatment is
224 performed by the degradation of SMX in secondary effluents of wastewater treatment
225 plants (Figure S3). The degradation efficiency decreases from 65 to 45% due to the nega-
226 tive effects of the wastewater matrix, i.e. the dissolved organic matter that plays the role
227 of ROS scavenger. However, the degradation efficiency is still considered to be satisfac-
228 tory.




Figure 5. Degradation of SMX under UVA light.

In order to get better insights into the degradation mechanism using F20, the SMX degradation by-products are identified using LC-MS. The transformation products of SMX are presented in Table S1. The initial SMX molecule displayed a peak at $[M+H]^+ = 254.0592$ and a sodium adduct $[M+Na]^+ = 276.0410$ and $[M-H]^- = 252.0441$. Different degradation pathways are proposed considering the identification of SMX by-products (Figure 6). The formation of P1 occurs through the photo-isomerization of the isoxazole ring which is also the dominant pathway in the degradation of SMX under UV-mediated degradation [36]. Electrophilic reaction on the aromatic ring leads to the formation of hydroxylated (P2) and dihydroxylated (P3) products while oxidation of the double bond at the isoxazole ring produced P4. The cleavage of sulfonamide bond by the hydroxyl radical leads to the formation of 3-amino-5-methylisoxazole (P5) and sulfanilic acid (P6). Isoxazole ring rearrangement leads to the formation of P7 that can be further oxidized on the amine ring in the presence of hydroxyl radical leading to the formation of P7 and P8. The degradation mechanism confirms the predominant role of hydroxyl radicals.




Figure 6. Proposed mechanism of SMX degradation under UVA light in the presence of anodic TiO_2 nanotube layer.

4. Conclusion

The preparation of different TiO₂ nanostructures including nanotube layers and thin films has been performed using electrochemical anodization and sol-gel method, respectively. Their performance in versatile photocatalytic applications has been assessed by investigating their ability to degrade gaseous and aqueous pollutants under UVA light i.e. N₂O and sulfamethoxazole. The best samples in both the photocatalytic reactions are those showing a nanotubular morphology (F20 and F40) probably due to their high specific surface area and excellent charge carriers transport, compared to others samples (F0, F60, F80 and SG) that show non-ordered and less porous nanostructures. In addition, the degradation mechanism of sulfamethoxazole has been proposed and has highlighted the crucial role of hydroxyl radicals. This work is significant since it proves the photocatalytic versatility of anodic TiO₂ nanotube layers in different applications like the remediation of air and water. Indeed, the degradation of sulfamethoxazole has been tested in secondary effluents from wastewater treatment plant, and has confirmed the efficiency of the anodic TiO₂ nanotube layers.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: XRD of the TiO₂ nanostructures; Figure S2: SEM picture of the thickness of SG; Figure S3: Degradation of SMX in secondary effluents of wastewater treatment plant using F20 under UVA light; Table S1: LC-MS data of SMX degradation by-products.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, O.M.; methodology, M.S., M.B and O.M.; formal analysis, M.S., S.G., A.M., M.B. and O.M.; investigation, M.S., S.G., A.M.; data curation, M.S. A.M, and M.B.; writing—original draft preparation, O.M., M.S., S.G., M.M.; writing—review and editing, O.M. and M.B.; supervision, O.M. and G.M.; funding acquisition, O.M., M.S., and G.S.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was co-financed by the Slovak Research and Development Agency (under the contracts No. APVV-21-0039 and No. APVV-21-0053), the Scientific Grant Agency of the Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of the Slovak republic (through the VEGA project No. 1/0062/22) and the Operation Program of Integrated Infrastructure supported by the ERDF for the project “Upscale of Comenius University Capacities and Competence in Research, Development and Innovation” (ITMS 2014+: 313021BUZ3). Sridhar Gowrisankaran also acknowledges the financial support provided by the Comenius University Bratislava through the project No. UK/180/2022. Experimental results were accomplished by using Large Research Infrastructure ENREGAT supported by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic under project No. LM2018098.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge Guillaume Voyard of the Institute of Chemistry of Clermont-Ferrand for the technical support provided for the HPLC analysis.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Hashimoto, K.; Irie, H.; Fujishima, A. TiO₂ Photocatalysis: A Historical Overview and Future Prospects. *Japanese J. Appl. Physics, Part 1 Regul. Pap. Short Notes Rev. Pap.* **2005**, *44*, 8269–8285, doi:10.1143/JJAP.44.8269.
2. Schneider, J.; Matsuoka, M.; Takeuchi, M.; Zhang, J.; Horiuchi, Y.; Anpo, M.; Bahnemann, D.W. Schneider et Al. - 2014 - Understanding TiO₂ Photocatalysis Mechanisms and Materials *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 9919–9986.
3. Moradeeya, P.G.; Sharma, A.; Kumar, M.A.; Basha, S. Titanium Dioxide Based Nanocomposites – Current Trends and Emerging Strategies for the Photocatalytic Degradation of Ruinous Environmental Pollutants. *Environ. Res.* **2022**, *204*,

112384, doi:10.1016/j.envres.2021.112384.

4. Wu, M.J.; Bak, T.; O'Doherty, P.J.; Moffitt, M.C.; Nowotny, J.; Bailey, T.D.; Kersaitis, C. Photocatalysis of Titanium Dioxide for Water Disinfection: Challenges and Future Perspectives. *Int. J. Photochem.* **2014**, *2014*, 1–9, doi:10.1155/2014/973484.

5. Kumar, S.G.; Devi, L.G. Review on Modified TiO₂ Photocatalysis under UV/Visible Light: Selected Results and Related Mechanisms on Interfacial Charge Carrier Transfer Dynamics. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2011**, *115*, 13211–13241, doi:10.1021/jp204364a.

6. Lan, Y.; Lu, Y.; Ren, Z. Mini Review on Photocatalysis of Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles and Their Solar Applications. *Nano Energy* **2013**, *2*, 1031–1045, doi:10.1016/j.nanoen.2013.04.002.

7. Motola, M.; Dworniczek, E.; Satrapinsky, L.; Chodaczek, G.; Grzesiak, J.; Gregor, M.; Plecenik, T.; Nowicka, J.; Plesch, G. UV Light-Induced Photocatalytic, Antimicrobial, and Antibiofilm Performance of Anodic TiO₂ Nanotube Layers Prepared on Titanium Mesh and Ti Sputtered on Silicon. *Chem. Pap.* **2019**, *73*, 1163–1172, doi:10.1007/s11696-018-0667-4.

8. Yemmireddy, V.K.; Hung, Y.C. Using Photocatalyst Metal Oxides as Antimicrobial Surface Coatings to Ensure Food Safety—Opportunities and Challenges. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* **2017**, *16*, 617–631, doi:10.1111/1541-4337.12267.

9. Fagan, R.; McCormack, D.E.; Dionysiou, D.D.; Pillai, S.C. A Review of Solar and Visible Light Active TiO₂ Photocatalysis for Treating Bacteria, Cyanotoxins and Contaminants of Emerging Concern. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* **2016**, *42*, 2–14, doi:10.1016/j.mssp.2015.07.052.

10. Motola, M.; Zazpe, R.; Hromadko, L.; Prikryl, J.; Cicmancova, V.; Rodriguez-Pereira, J.; Sopha, H.; Macak, J.M. Anodic TiO₂ Nanotube Walls Reconstructed: Inner Wall Replaced by ALD TiO₂ Coating. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2021**, *549*, doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2021.149306.

11. Macak, J.M.; Zlamal, M.; Krysa, J.; Schmuki, P. Self-Organized TiO₂ Nanotube Layers as Highly Efficient Photocatalysts. *Small* **2007**, *3*, 300–304, doi:10.1002/sml.200600426.

12. Kubacka, A.; Diez, M.S.; Rojo, D.; Bargiela, R.; Ciordia, S.; Zapico, I.; Albar, J.P.; Barbas, C.; Martins Dos Santos, V.A.P.; Fernández-García, M.; et al. Understanding the Antimicrobial Mechanism of TiO₂ -Based Nanocomposite Films in a Pathogenic Bacterium. *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 1–9, doi:10.1038/srep04134.

13. Macák, J.M.; Tsuchiya, H.; Ghicov, A.; Schmuki, P. Dye-Sensitized Anodic TiO₂ Nanotubes. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2005**, *7*, 1133–1137, doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2005.08.013.

14. Regonini, D.; Chen, G.; Leach, C.; Clemens, F.J. Comparison of Photoelectrochemical Properties of TiO₂ Nanotubes and Sol-Gel. *Electrochim. Acta* **2016**, *213*, 31–36, doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2016.07.097.

15. Beranek, R.; Tsuchiya, H.; Sugishima, T.; Macak, J.M.; Taveira, L.; Fujimoto, S.; Kisch, H.; Schmuki, P. Enhancement and Limits of the Photoelectrochemical Response from Anodic TiO₂ Nanotubes. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2005**, *87*, 1–3, doi:10.1063/1.2140085.

16. Thompson, T.L.; Yates, J.T. Surface Science Studies of the Photoactivation of TiO₂ - New Photochemical Processes. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 4428–4453, doi:10.1021/cr050172k.

17. Lee, K.; Mazare, A.; Schmuki, P. One-Dimensional Titanium Dioxide Nanomaterials : Nanotubes. **2014**.

18. Roy, P.; Berger, S.; Schmuki, P. TiO₂ Nanotubes: Synthesis and Applications. *Angew. Chemie - Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 2904–2939, doi:10.1002/anie.201001374.

19. Sopha, H.; Baudys, M.; Krbal, M.; Zazpe, R.; Prikryl, J.; Krysa, J.; Macak, J.M. Scaling up Anodic TiO₂ Nanotube Layers for Gas Phase Photocatalysis. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2018**, *97*, 91–95, doi:10.1016/j.elecom.2018.10.025.

20. Hanif, M.B.; Sihor, M.; Liapun, V.; Makarov, H.; Monfort, O.; Motola, M. Porous vs. Nanotubular Anodic TiO₂: Does the Morphology Really Matters for the Photodegradation of Caffeine? *Coatings* **2022**, *12*, 1–12, doi:10.3390/coatings12071002.

21. Crini, G.; Lichtfouse, E. Advantages and Disadvantages of Techniques Used for Wastewater Treatment. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **2019**, *17*, 145–155, doi:10.1007/s10311-018-0785-9.

- 341 22. Devipriya, S.; Yesodharan, S. Photocatalytic Degradation of Pesticide Contaminants in Water. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*
342 **2005**, *86*, 309–348, doi:10.1016/j.solmat.2004.07.013.
- 343 23. Wilkinson, J.L.; Boxall, A.B.A.; Kolpin, D.W.; Leung, K.M.Y.; Lai, R.W.S.; Wong, D.; Ntchantcho, R.; Pizarro, J.; Mart, J.;
344 Echeverr, S.; et al. Pharmaceutical Pollution of the World ' s Rivers. **2022**, *119*, 1–10,
345 doi:10.1073/pnas.2113947119/-/DCSupplemental.Published.
- 346 24. Mackulak, T.; Černanský, S.; Fehér, M.; Birošová, L.; Gál, M. Pharmaceuticals, Drugs, and Resistant Microorganisms –
347 Environmental Impact on Population Health. *Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Heal.* **2019**, *9*, 40–48, doi:10.1016/j.coesh.2019.04.002.
- 348 25. Decision 2020/1161/EU Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/1161-4 August 2020-Establishing a Watch List of
349 Substances for Union-Wide Monitoring in the Field of Water Policy Pursuant to Directive 2008/105/EC of the European
350 Parliament and of the Council. *Off. J. Eur. Union* **2020**, *257*, 32–35.
- 351 26. Ebitani, K.; Morokuma, M.; Kim, J.H.; Morikawa, A. Photocatalytic Decomposition of Nitrous Oxide on Cu Ion-Containing
352 ZSM-5 Catalyst. *J. Catal.* **1993**, *141*, 725–728, doi:10.1006/jcat.1993.1177.
- 353 27. deRichter, R.; Caillol, S. Fighting Global Warming: The Potential of Photocatalysis against CO₂, CH₄, N₂O, CFCs,
354 Tropospheric O₃, BC and Other Major Contributors to Climate Change. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. C Photochem. Rev.* **2011**, *12*,
355 1–19, doi:10.1016/j.jphotochemrev.2011.05.002.
- 356 28. Sano, T.; Negishi, N.; Mas, D.; Takeuchi, K. Photocatalytic Decomposition of N₂O on Highly Dispersed Ag⁺ Ions on TiO₂
357 Prepared by Photodeposition. *J. Catal.* **2000**, *194*, 71–79, doi:10.1006/jcat.2000.2915.
- 358 29. Obalová, L.; Reli, M.; Lang, J.; Matějka, V.; Kukutschová, J.; Lacný, Z.; Kočí, K. Photocatalytic Decomposition of Nitrous
359 Oxide Using TiO₂ and Ag-TiO₂ Nanocomposite Thin Films. *Catal. Today* **2013**, *209*, 170–175, doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2012.11.012.
- 360 30. Kočí, K.; Krejčíková, S.; Šolcová, O.; Obalová, L. Photocatalytic Decomposition of N₂O on Ag-TiO₂. *Catal. Today* **2012**, *191*,
361 134–137, doi:10.1016/j.cattod.2012.01.021.
- 362 31. Matějová, L.; Polách, L.; Lang, J.; Šihor, M.; Reli, M.; Brunátová, T.; Daniš, S.; Peikertová, P.; Troppová, I.; Kočí, K. Novel
363 TiO₂ Prepared from Titanyl Sulphate by Using Pressurized Water Processing and Its Photocatalytic Activity Evaluation.
364 *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2017**, *95*, 30–46, doi:10.1016/j.materresbull.2017.07.010.
- 365 32. Kočí, K.; Reli, M.; Troppová, I.; Šihor, M.; Kupková, J.; Kustrowski, P.; Praus, P. Photocatalytic Decomposition of N₂O over
366 TiO₂/g-C₃N₄ Photocatalysts Heterojunction. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2017**, *396*, 1685–1695, doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.11.242.
- 367 33. Yuan, R.; Wang, M.; Liao, L.; Hu, W.; Liu, Z.; Liu, Z.; Guo, L.; Li, K.; Cui, Y.; Lin, F.; et al. 100% N₂O Inhibition in
368 Photocatalytic NO_x Reduction by Carbon Particles over Bi₂WO₆/TiO₂ Z-Scheme Heterojunctions. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2023**, *453*,
369 139892, doi:10.1016/j.cej.2022.139892.
- 370 34. Sihor, M.; Hanif, M.B.; Thirunavukkarasu, G.K.; Liapun, V.; Edelmannova, M.F.; Roch, T.; Satrapinsky, L.; Pleceník, T.;
371 Rauf, S.; Hensel, K.; et al. Anodization of Large Area Ti: A Versatile Material for Caffeine Photodegradation and Hydrogen
372 Production. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2022**, *12*, 5045–5052, doi:10.1039/d2cy00593j.
- 373 35. Monfort, O.; Roch, T.; Gregor, M.; Satrapinsky, L.; Raptis, D.; Lianos, P.; Plesch, G. Photooxidative Properties of Various
374 BiVO₄/TiO₂ Layered Composite Films and Study of Their Photocatalytic Mechanism in Pollutant Degradation. *J. Environ.*
375 *Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *5*, 5143–5149, doi:10.1016/j.jece.2017.09.050.
- 376 36. Ao, X.; Liu, W.; Sun, W.; Yang, C.; Lu, Z.; Li, C. Mechanisms and Toxicity Evaluation of the Degradation of
377 Sulfamethoxazole by MPUV/PMS Process. *Chemosphere* **2018**, *212*, 365–375, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.08.031.
- 378