



HAL
open science

Homotopy theory of Moore flows (III)

Philippe Gaucher

► **To cite this version:**

Philippe Gaucher. Homotopy theory of Moore flows (III). North-Western European Journal of Mathematics, 2024, 10, pp.55-113. hal-04050004v5

HAL Id: hal-04050004

<https://hal.science/hal-04050004v5>

Submitted on 19 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HOMOTOPY THEORY OF MOORE FLOWS (III)

PHILIPPE GAUCHER

ABSTRACT. The previous paper of this series shows that the q -model categories of \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -spaces and of \mathcal{G} -flows are Quillen equivalent. In this paper, the same result is established by replacing the reparametrization category \mathcal{G} by the reparametrization category \mathcal{M} . Unlike the case of \mathcal{G} , the execution paths of a cellular \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space can have stop intervals. The technical tool to overcome this obstacle is the notion of globular naturalization. It is the globular analogue of Raussen's naturalization of a directed path in the geometric realization of a precubical set. The notion of globular naturalization working both for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M} , the proof of the Quillen equivalence we obtain is valid for the two reparametrization categories. Together with the results of the first paper of this series, we then deduce that \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -spaces and \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces have Quillen equivalent q -model structures. Finally, we prove that the saturation hypothesis can be added without any modification in the main theorems of the paper.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Moore flow	7
3. Multipointed d -space	11
4. Globular naturalization and carrier	17
5. Chain of globes	26
6. Locally finite cellular multipointed d -space	30
7. Multipointed d -space and Moore flow	32
8. The saturation hypothesis	41
References	44

1. INTRODUCTION

Presentation of the paper. This work is a sequel of [22, 23] establishing a zigzag of Quillen equivalences between the q -model structures of multipointed d -spaces [20] and of flows [16] thanks to the notion of *Moore flow*. This paper was not initially planned to be presented as a third part of this series. The reason is that, unexpectedly, all proofs of this paper work for the second paper [23] of this series as well thanks to the discovery of a globular analogue of Raussen's notion of naturalization of a directed path (see below).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18C35,18D20,55U35,68Q85.

Key words and phrases. directed path, reparametrization, enriched semicategory, semimonoidal structure, combinatorial model category, Quillen equivalence, locally presentable category, topologically enriched category.

Multipointed d -spaces and flows are two *multipointed* geometric models of concurrency. This research belongs to a branch of mathematics sometimes called *directed algebraic topology* (DAT) or *directed homotopy theory* [11]. Note that the latter terminology is also sometimes used for other mathematical research like rewriting system or directed HoTT. DAT studies the homotopical properties of geometric models of concurrency from various points of view. The general idea is that two directed paths which are homotopy equivalent in an appropriate directed sense represent two non-distinguishable possible execution paths of the corresponding concurrent system. The typical example is the one of a full n -cube $[0, 1]^n$: each continuous path from the initial state $(0, 0, \dots, 0)$ to the final state $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ of the full n -cube which is nondecreasing with respect to each axis of coordinates represents the concurrent execution of n actions. Each axis of coordinates represents one action: 0 means that it is not started and 1 that it is finished. Nondecreasingness models time irreversibility. In the case of $[0, 1]^n$, all directed paths are homotopy equivalent in a directed sense. More general concurrent systems can be modeled by pasting together cubes of various dimensions. The combinatorial notion of precubical set is adapted for such a purpose [11, 31].

The main problem posed by DAT is that the directed segment is *not always* contractible in a directed sense otherwise the causal information could be lost by the associated weak equivalences. For example, contracting the directed segment going from A to B in the branching $C \leftarrow A \rightarrow B$ removes the nondeterministic branching and therefore changes the causal structure. However, the full n -cube $[0, 1]^n$ is the same object in DAT as the full n -cube $[0, 2]^n$, which means that the directed segment can be dilated. Moreover, it is possible in $C \leftarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow D$ to contract the directed segment going from A to B without changing the causal structure. The non-conventional behavior of the directed segment is the reason why many model category structures introduced in DAT fail to preserve the causal structure. This does not necessarily mean that they are not interesting, just that they probably need to be modified.

Any geometric model of concurrency encoding directed paths one way or another, including the *non-multipointed* or *continuous* ones of Grandis' d -spaces [32] or Krishnan's streams [39], gives rise to a family of spaces $P_{\alpha, \beta}^1$ of *nonconstant directed paths* (the 1 meaning here of length 1; they are also called *execution paths* in the sequel) from α to β closed under composition and nondecreasing reparametrization. The points α and β belong to some set of states chosen in the underlying topological space for continuous models or they run over the set of states for a multipointed model. Choosing this set of states in a continuous model such that, together with the family of spaces $P_{\alpha, \beta}^1$, the information contained in the causal structure is preserved, is related to the research about component categories [12, 30, 46, 50]. The latter aims at reducing the size of the fundamental category, and in particular the size of the state space in the case of continuous models without losing the causal information. However, in practice, the geometric model realizes a precubical set. In this case, a natural (but not necessarily optimal) choice is the set of vertices of the precubical set.

The composition of continuous paths being associative only up to homotopy, we want to use Moore directed paths. Thus from each space of nonconstant directed paths $P_{\alpha, \beta}^1$, we consider the family of reparametrized nonconstant directed paths $\{P_{\alpha, \beta}^\ell \mid \ell > 0\}$ where ℓ is the length. Note that all $P_{\alpha, \beta}^\ell$ are homeomorphic to $P_{\alpha, \beta}^1$ for fixed α, β . Then we consider

the family of Moore compositions $P_{\alpha,\beta}^{\ell_1} \times P_{\beta,\gamma}^{\ell_2} \rightarrow P_{\alpha,\gamma}^{\ell_1+\ell_2}$ for all real numbers $\ell_1, \ell_2 > 0$ and all α, β, γ belonging to the chosen set of states. It is possible to pack together all these Moore composition maps in an *enriched* semicategorical device which is called a *Moore flow* [22, Section 6]. The enrichment is necessary to take into account the topology of the space of reparametrization maps. Indeed, the reparametrization must be continuous with respect *both* to the directed path and to the choice of the reparametrization map. One then obtains a *strictly* associative composition law without having to consider directed paths up to nondecreasing reparametrization (these equivalence classes of directed paths are usually called *traces* according to [10, 43]).

It is well established that the computer-scientific properties of a concurrent system depend only on the homotopy types of the spaces $P_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell$ [11]. Thanks to their semicategorical nature, Moore flows enable us to prove by pure model categorical arguments (i.e. without explicit calculation) that the space of nonconstant directed paths between two vertices in the geometric realization of a precubical set is m-cofibrant [29, Corollary 6.8]. This fact is originally proved in [51, Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 7.6] by constructing an explicit homotopy equivalence with the classifying space of a small category obtained from the precubical set, namely the small category of Ziemiański cube chains associated with the precubical set. As noticed in [22] by one of the anonymous referees, the notion of Moore flow is also an abstraction of the Moore path (semi)category of a topological space, which suggests possible connections with some models of type theory involving Moore paths [41, 42].

The purpose of this paper is threefold. Firstly, the second paper [23] of this series is technically limited to deal with reparametrization by *nondecreasing homeomorphisms* between nontrivial segments of the real line, instead of with reparametrization by *nondecreasing surjective maps* like in Grandis' notion of *d-space* introduced in [32]. It is an unnatural restriction which is imposed by the fact that several crucial theorems belonging to the technical core of [23] are either false or their proof is not valid anymore after changing the allowed reparametrizations (cf. Table 1). The first purpose of this paper is to fix this issue. Secondly, this paper provides a *uniform treatment* of the two choices of reparametrization setting above by introducing a globular analogue of Raussen's notion of *natural directed path*. It is already known that the cubical version of this notion is central for analyzing the homotopy type of the space of directed paths between two vertices of a precubical set (e.g. [51, Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 7.6]). This paper demonstrates that the notion of *natural directed path* is important both for the globular and for the cubical approaches of directed homotopy for concurrency. In fact, we even speculate that this notion is the key for reaching a (still conjectural) unified axiomatic setting which would contain both the globular and cubical approaches of directed homotopy for concurrency. Finally, we want to believe that this work is a contribution in the direction of finding better model categories adapted to directed homotopy for concurrency. The ultimate goal is to find a convenient model category on a category closer to the one of Grandis' *d-spaces*: we would like to remove the multipointed setting somehow. Some speculations about this problem are available in [8]. The multipointed setting is a technical restriction introduced in [20] to prevent weak equivalences from contracting the directed segment in the direction of time, because this may destroy the causal structure (as explained above) and therefore this erases the relevant information. There are many speculations about

what is a good notion of weak equivalence for a non-multipointed (i.e. continuous) model [47]. In particular such a notion should be invariant by refinement of observation. There are already techniques to deal with the invariance by refinement of observation in multipointed models, in the cubical setting in [7] and in the globular setting in [18, 19], which remain to be unified.

The reparametrizations of execution paths allowed in [23] are therefore the precompositions by the maps of the reparametrization category \mathcal{G} in the sense of Proposition 1 which are the nondecreasing homeomorphisms between nontrivial segments of the real line. The technical advantage of this setting is that all execution paths of a *cellular* multipointed d -space are *regular* in the sense of [10, Definition 1.1], namely without *stop intervals*, i.e. without nontrivial intervals on which the path is constant (cf. Definition 10). We want to replace the reparametrization category \mathcal{G} by the reparametrization category \mathcal{M} in the sense of Proposition 2 whose maps consist of the nondecreasing surjective maps between nontrivial segments of the real line. The technical obstacle to overcome is that the execution paths of a cellular multipointed d -space can now contain stop intervals. By [43, Proposition 2.2], every nonconstant *Moore* path in a Hausdorff space has a regular reparametrization. Moreover, by [10, Proposition 3.8], the regular reparametrizations of a given nonconstant Moore path in a Hausdorff space are unique up to a map of \mathcal{G} . In [44, Definition 2.14], Raussen introduces a cubical notion of *naturalization* of a directed path. Intuitively, it means that any nonconstant *directed* path γ in the geometric realization of a precubical set has a regular reparametrization called the naturalization $\underline{\text{nat}}^\square(\gamma)$ which is morally more natural than the other ones. It is the unique reparametrization which makes the directed path a Moore composition of isometries for some Lawvere metric structure on the geometric realization of a precubical set. This idea is generalized to the setting of symmetric transverse sets in [28] and to the setting of presheaf categories on a thick category of cubes in [27]. By Proposition 19, there is then a unique factorization $\gamma = \underline{\text{nat}}^\square(\gamma)\eta$ where $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$. The technical innovation of this paper is the introduction of a *globular version* of Raussen's idea of naturalization of a directed path in Proposition 6 and Definition 11. It turns out that every execution path γ of a cellular multipointed d -space has also a regular reparametrization $\underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma)$ which is morally more natural than the other ones. Again by Proposition 19, and since the underlying space of a cellular multipointed d -space is Hausdorff, there is then a unique factorization $\gamma = \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma)\eta$ where $\eta \in \mathcal{M}$. It is the key point to adapt the technical core of [23].

Raussen's naturalization and the *globular naturalization* have in common the following property: the naturalization of a Moore composition is the Moore composition of the naturalizations. On the other hand, Raussen's naturalization and the globular naturalization do not behave in the same way with respect to continuous deformations. This point is explained in Corollary 4 and in the remark following it. Two directed paths in the geometric realization of a precubical set which are dihomotopy equivalent relatively to the extremities have naturalizations of the same length. On the contrary, the best that can be said in the globular case is that, on such a *compact* continuous path of directed paths, the natural length is bounded (actually, it takes finitely many values).

The main results of this paper can be stated as follows. The inclusion functor $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ induces a forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{MdTop} \longrightarrow \mathcal{GdTop}$$

from \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces to \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -spaces and a forgetful functor

$$\mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow}$$

from \mathcal{M} -flows to \mathcal{G} -flows. Write $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}}$ for the category of *saturated* \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces (the saturation hypothesis is a very important notion of DAT which is introduced in Section 8 in the setting of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces).

Theorem. (*Proposition 26, Theorem 14, Theorem 17, Theorem 19 and Corollary 10*) *There is the commutative diagram of right Quillen equivalences between the five q -model structures*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}} & \xrightarrow[\subset]{19} & \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop} & \xrightarrow{17} & \mathcal{G}\mathbf{dTop} = \mathcal{G}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}} \\
 \downarrow 10 & & \downarrow 14 & & \downarrow 14 \\
 \mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow} & \xrightarrow{26} & \mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow}.
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, the unit maps and the counit maps of the three vertical right adjoints induce isomorphisms on q -cofibrant objects.

Note that Proposition 26 should have been put in [22] as an application of the results of the latter paper: it is an omission. As byproducts of this paper, we also prove the following two results:

Theorem. (*Theorem 11*) *Let \mathcal{P} be either \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{M} . The compact-open topology on the set of execution paths of a locally finite cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space is Δ -generated. Therefore in this case, the space of execution paths is metrizable with the distance of the uniform convergence.*

Theorem. (*Theorem 16*) *Let \mathcal{P} be either \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{M} . Let X be a q -cofibrant \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Let $\alpha, \beta \in X^0$. Then the quotient map*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X$$

is a homotopy equivalence from an m -cofibrant space to a q -cofibrant space.

Theorem 11 was not in [23], even for the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$. Theorem 16 was proved in [17] for the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, but the proof does not seem to be generalizable to the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$ (see the long comment before the statement of the theorem).

In addition to generalizing the results of [23] and to finding proofs which are independent of the choice of the reparametrization category \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{M} (except for Theorem 10: the statement is independent of the choice of \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{M} , but not the proof), this work raises the question of finding a better definition of a reparametrization category than Definition 1. We suspect that there is a model category containing the reparametrization categories such that \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M} are cofibrant replacements of the terminal category. A cofibrant replacement \mathcal{P} of the terminal category in this hypothetical model category should give rise to a notion of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space.

Outline of the paper. Section 2 is a reminder about \mathcal{P} -flows for a reparametrization category \mathcal{P} which is either \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{M} in this paper. Section 3 adapts some results and

constructions for \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -spaces proved in [23] to the case of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces. Section 4 is the adaptation of [23, Section 5] to the case of cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces. The main results are the notion of globular naturalization of an execution path of a cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space (Proposition 6 and Definition 11). We then obtain, thanks to the notion of carrier of an execution path, Theorem 7 which is a replacement for [23, Theorem 5.20] and Theorem 9 which is a replacement for [23, Theorem 5.19]. Section 5 is the adaptation of [23, Section 6]. A generalization of [23, Theorem 6.11] is proved in Theorem 10. Section 6 is a digression which uses Theorem 8 and Theorem 10 to prove that the space of execution paths in the locally finite case is metrizable with the distance of the uniform convergence in Theorem 11. Section 7 establishes the main theorems of the paper, namely Theorem 14 and Theorem 17. Section 8 proves that the saturation hypothesis (it is meaningless for the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$ by Proposition 28), which is a very important notion in DAT, can be safely added to the definition of an \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space without changing the mathematical properties.

Erratum. As explained in the corrected version of [22], the tensor product of \mathcal{P} -spaces is not symmetric if \mathcal{P} is \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{M} . Therefore, the word symmetric must be removed everywhere from [23]. Besides, the terminology of *biclosed* semimonoidal structure should be used instead of the terminology of closed semimonoidal structure to describe the tensor product of \mathcal{P} -spaces.

Prerequisites and notations. We refer to [2] for locally presentable categories, to [48] for combinatorial model categories. We refer to [35, 37] for more general model categories. We refer to [38] and to [4, Chapter 6] for enriched categories. All enriched categories are topologically enriched categories: *the word topologically is therefore omitted*. A gold mine of examples and counterexamples in general topology can be found in [49]. A *cellular object* of a combinatorial model category is an object X such that the canonical map $\emptyset \rightarrow X$ is a transfinite composition of pushouts of generating cofibrations.

The results of this paper rely heavily on the results of [23]. A self-contained paper would not help the reader much. The choice made for this work is to emphasize the differences between \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M} instead of the similarities. Table 1 summarizes these differences. The left column is a list of theorems of [23]. The middle column gives the status of the statement for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$. The right column gives the replacement in this paper: it consists of a statement which is modified if necessary and a new proof. In this paper, even if [23, Theorem 5.19] is still valid for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$, it is replaced by Theorem 9 which is a much powerful statement both for the proof of Theorem 13 and to understand the difference between the globular naturalization and the cubical naturalization.

The category **Top** denotes the category of Δ -generated spaces or of Δ -Hausdorff Δ -generated spaces (cf. [24, Section 2 and Appendix B]). The inclusion functor from the full subcategory of Δ -generated spaces to the category of general topological spaces together with the continuous maps has a right adjoint called the Δ -kelleyfication functor. The latter functor does not change the underlying set: it only adds open subsets. The category **Top** is locally presentable (see [13, Corollary 3.7] for the non Δ -Hausdorff case and [24, Proposition B.18] for the Δ -Hausdorff case), and cartesian closed by a theorem of Dugger-Vogt recalled in [20, Proposition 2.5]. The internal hom **TOP**(X, Y) is given by taking the Δ -kelleyfication of the compact-open topology on the set **Top**(X, Y). The category

	Status for \mathcal{M}	Replacement
[23, Theorem 3.9]	true	Theorem 2
[23, Proposition 2.12]	true	Proposition 12
[23, Theorem 5.7]	true	Theorem 5
[23, Theorem 5.9]	false (1)	Theorem 6
[23, Theorem 5.20]	false (2)	Theorem 7
[23, Proposition 5.17]	false (3)	Theorem 8
[23, Theorem 5.18]	true	Corollary 2
[23, Theorem 5.19]	true	Corollary 3
[23, Proposition 6.3]	true	Proposition 23
[23, Theorem 6.11]	true	Theorem 10
[23, Theorem 7.2 and Theorem 7.3]	true	Theorem 13

TABLE 1. Main differences between \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M} : (1) see the comment after Theorem 6, (2) see the comment after Theorem 7, (3) see Proposition 21

Top is equipped with its q-model structure denoted by \mathbf{Top}_q . The h-model structure of **Top** provided by [3, Corollary 5.23] is mentioned before Theorem 16. The m-model structure of **Top** in the sense of [6] is briefly used in the proof of Theorem 16. *A compact space is a quasicompact Hausdorff space (French convention).* All Δ -generated spaces are sequential.

The proofs of this paper rely on the facts that the Δ -generated spaces are colimits of the segment $[0, 1]$ and that all involved topological spaces are sequential. Some intermediate steps even use sequential spaces which are not necessarily Δ -generated: in Theorem 13, we need to construct a finite covering of $[0, 1]$ by closed subsets equipped with the relative topology. However, using the same techniques as in [23, Appendix C], the main theorems of this paper (the Quillen equivalences and Theorem 15) can be extended to other convenient categories of topological spaces for doing algebraic topology like k -spaces.

\mathcal{K}^{op} denotes the opposite category of \mathcal{K} ; $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{K})$ is the class of objects of \mathcal{K} ; \mathcal{K}^I is the category of functors and natural transformations from a small category I to \mathcal{K} ; \emptyset is the initial object, $\mathbf{1}$ is the final object, Id_X is the identity of X ; $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is the set of maps in a set-enriched, i.e. locally small, category \mathcal{K} ; $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ is the space of maps in an enriched category \mathcal{K} . The underlying set of maps may be denoted by $\mathcal{K}_0(X, Y)$ if it is necessary to specify that we are considering the underlying set. Table 2 is a general overview for some other notations used in this paper.

All Moore paths in this paper are nonconstant: see Definition 5.

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank the anonymous referee for many helpful comments, which have improved the presentation of the paper. I would also like to thank the editors for their help in the final preparation of this document.

2. MOORE FLOW

Notation 1. The notations $\ell, \ell', \ell_i, L, \dots$ mean a strictly positive real number unless specified something else. $[\ell, \ell']$ denotes a segment: unless specified, it is always understood that $\ell < \ell'$.

Space of <i>all</i> execution paths of length 1 of a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space X	The space $\mathbb{P}^{top}X$
And only from α to β	The space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{top}X$ (the superscript <i>top</i> means that the execution paths are continuous paths)
Space of <i>all</i> execution paths of length ℓ of a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space X	The space $\mathbb{P}^{\ell}X$
And only from α to β	The space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\ell}X$ (the superscript <i>top</i> is replaced by the length)
\mathcal{P} -space of <i>all</i> execution paths of a \mathcal{P} -flow X	The \mathcal{P} -space $\mathbb{P}X$
And only from α to β	The \mathcal{P} -space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}X$ (the reparametrization category is determined by X)
Space of <i>all</i> execution paths of a flow X	The space $\mathbb{P}X$
And only from α to β	The space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}X$ (the reparametrization category is here the terminal category)
Topological globe of a space Z	The \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$ (the use of the superscript \mathcal{P} is necessary to specify the reparametrization category, the information being not in Z)
Globe of a \mathcal{P} -space Z	The \mathcal{P} -flow $\text{Glob}(Z)$ (the reparametrization category is determined by Z which is a \mathcal{P} -space)
Globe of a space Z	The flow $\text{Glob}(Z)$ (the reparametrization category is here the terminal category)

TABLE 2. Overview of some notations used in this paper: see also Remark 2

Definition 1. [22, Definition 4.3] A *reparametrization category* (\mathcal{P}, \otimes) is a small enriched semimonoidal category satisfying the following additional properties:

- (1) The semimonoidal structure is strict, i.e. the associator is the identity.
- (2) All spaces of maps $\mathcal{P}(\ell, \ell')$ for all objects ℓ and ℓ' of \mathcal{P} are contractible.
- (3) For all maps $\phi : \ell \rightarrow \ell'$ of \mathcal{P} , for all $\ell'_1, \ell'_2 \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P})$ such that $\ell'_1 \otimes \ell'_2 = \ell'$, there exist two maps $\phi_1 : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell'_1$ and $\phi_2 : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell'_2$ of \mathcal{P} such that $\phi = \phi_1 \otimes \phi_2 : \ell_1 \otimes \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell'_1 \otimes \ell'_2$ (which implies that $\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2 = \ell$).

The terminal category is a symmetric reparametrization category. It is not known whether there exist symmetric reparametrization categories not equivalent to the terminal category. Here are the two examples of reparametrization category used in this paper.

Proposition 1. [22, Proposition 4.9] *There exists a reparametrization category, denoted by \mathcal{G} , such that the semigroup of objects is the open interval $]0, +\infty[$ equipped with the*

addition and such that for every $\ell_1, \ell_2 > 0$, $\mathcal{G}(\ell_1, \ell_2)$ is the set of nondecreasing homeomorphisms from $[0, \ell_1]$ to $[0, \ell_2]$ equipped with the Δ -kelleyfication of the relative topology induced by the set inclusion $\mathcal{G}(\ell_1, \ell_2) \subset \mathbf{TOP}([0, \ell_1], [0, \ell_2])$ and such that for every $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 > 0$, the composition map $\mathcal{G}(\ell_1, \ell_2) \times \mathcal{G}(\ell_2, \ell_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\ell_1, \ell_3)$ is induced by the composition of continuous maps.

Proposition 2. [22, Proposition 4.11] *There exists a reparametrization category, denoted by \mathcal{M} , such that the semigroup of objects is the open interval $]0, +\infty[$ equipped with the addition and such that for every $\ell_1, \ell_2 > 0$, $\mathcal{M}(\ell_1, \ell_2)$ is the set of nondecreasing surjective maps from $[0, \ell_1]$ to $[0, \ell_2]$ equipped with the Δ -kelleyfication of the relative topology induced by the set inclusion $\mathcal{M}(\ell_1, \ell_2) \subset \mathbf{TOP}([0, \ell_1], [0, \ell_2])$ and such that for every $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 > 0$, the composition map $\mathcal{M}(\ell_1, \ell_2) \times \mathcal{M}(\ell_2, \ell_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\ell_1, \ell_3)$ is induced by the composition of continuous maps.*

Notation 2. A reparametrization category \mathcal{P} which is either \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{M} is fixed for the rest of the paper.

Proposition 3. *The topology of $\mathcal{P}(\ell_1, \ell_2)$ is the compact-open topology. In particular, it is metrizable. A sequence $(\phi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of $\mathcal{P}(\ell_1, \ell_2)$ converges to $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(\ell_1, \ell_2)$ if and only if it converges pointwise.*

Proof. It is mutatis mutandis the same argument as the one given for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$ in [23, Proposition 2.5]. \square

Notation 3. Let $\phi_i \in \mathcal{P}(\ell_i, \ell'_i)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the map

$$\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_n : \sum_i \ell_i \longrightarrow \sum_i \ell'_i$$

denotes the nondecreasing surjective map defined by

$$(\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_n)(t) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \ell_1 \\ \phi_2(t - \ell_1) + \ell'_1 & \text{if } \ell_1 \leq t \leq \ell_1 + \ell_2 \\ \dots \\ \phi_i(t - \sum_{j < i} \ell_j) + \sum_{j < i} \ell'_j & \text{if } \sum_{j < i} \ell_j \leq t \leq \sum_{j \leq i} \ell_j \\ \dots \\ \phi_n(t - \sum_{j < n} \ell_j) + \sum_{j < n} \ell'_j & \text{if } \sum_{j < n} \ell_j \leq t \leq \sum_{j \leq n} \ell_j. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(\ell, \ell')$. Let $n \geq 1$. Consider $\ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_n > 0$ with $n \geq 1$ such that $\ell'_1 + \dots + \ell'_n = \ell'$. Then there exists a decomposition of ϕ of the form*

$$\phi = \phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_n$$

such that $\phi_i \in \mathcal{P}(\ell_i, \ell'_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, then this decomposition is unique.

Proof. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. The case $n = 2$ comes from the fact \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M} are reparametrization categories. We deduce the existence of the decomposition by induction on $n \geq 2$. The uniqueness when $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$ is [23, Proposition 3.2]. \square

Notation 4. The enriched category of enriched presheaves from \mathcal{P} to \mathbf{Top} is denoted by $[\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]$. The underlying set-enriched category of enriched maps of enriched presheaves

is denoted by $[\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$. The objects of $[\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ are called the \mathcal{P} -spaces. Let

$$\mathbb{F}_\ell^{\mathcal{P}^{op}} U = \mathcal{P}(-, \ell) \times U \in [\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$$

where U is a topological space and where $\ell > 0$.

Proposition 5. [21, Proposition 5.3 and Proposition 5.5] *The category $[\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ is a full reflective and coreflective subcategory of $\mathbf{Top}^{\mathcal{P}_0^{op}}$. For every \mathcal{P} -space $F : \mathcal{P}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$, every $\ell > 0$ and every topological space X , we have the natural bijection of sets*

$$[\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0(\mathbb{F}_\ell^{\mathcal{P}^{op}} X, F) \cong \mathbf{Top}(X, F(\ell)).$$

Theorem 1. ([21, Proposition 5.1] and [22, Theorem 5.14]) *The category $[\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ is locally presentable. Let D and E be two \mathcal{P} -spaces. Let*

$$D \otimes E = \int^{(\ell_1, \ell_2)} \mathcal{P}(-, \ell_1 + \ell_2) \times D(\ell_1) \times E(\ell_2).$$

The pair $([\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0, \otimes)$ has the structure of a biclosed semimonoidal category.

Definition 2. [22, Definition 6.2] A \mathcal{P} -flow, also called a *Moore flow* if there is no ambiguity on the choice of \mathcal{P} , is a small semicategory enriched over the biclosed semimonoidal category $([\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0, \otimes)$ of Theorem 1. The corresponding category is denoted by $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow}$.

A \mathcal{P} -flow X consists of a *set of states* X^0 , for each pair (α, β) of states a \mathcal{P} -space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X$ of $[\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ and for each triple (α, β, γ) of states an associative composition law

$$* : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \gamma} X.$$

A map of \mathcal{P} -flows f from X to Y consists of a set map

$$f^0 : X^0 \rightarrow Y^0$$

(often denoted by f as well if there is no possible confusion) together for each pair of states (α, β) of X with a natural transformation

$$\mathbb{P}f : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{f(\alpha), f(\beta)} Y$$

compatible with the composition law. The topological space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X(\ell)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^\ell X$ and is called the space of *execution paths of length ℓ* .

Definition 3. Let X be a \mathcal{P} -flow. The \mathcal{P} -space of *execution paths* $\mathbb{P}X$ of X is by definition the \mathcal{P} -space

$$\mathbb{P}X = \coprod_{(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0} \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X.$$

It yields a well-defined functor $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$. The image of ℓ is denoted by \mathbb{P}^ℓ . We therefore have the equality

$$\mathbb{P}^\ell X = \coprod_{(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0} \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^\ell X.$$

The category $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow}$ is locally presentable by [22, Theorem 6.11].

Notation 5. Let $D : \mathcal{P}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ be a \mathcal{P} -space. We denote by $\text{Glob}(D)$ the Moore flow defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Glob}(D)^0 &= \{0, 1\} \\ \mathbb{P}_{0,0}\text{Glob}(D) &= \mathbb{P}_{1,1}\text{Glob}(D) = \mathbb{P}_{1,0}\text{Glob}(D) = \emptyset \\ \mathbb{P}_{0,1}\text{Glob}(D) &= D.\end{aligned}$$

There is no composition law. This construction yields a functor

$$\text{Glob} : [\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow}.$$

Remark 1. The notation $\text{Glob}(D)$ is not ambiguous since D is always a \mathcal{P} -space with \mathcal{P} being \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{M} and $\text{Glob}(D)$ is then necessarily either a \mathcal{G} -flow or an \mathcal{M} -flow respectively.

By [21, Theorem 6.2], the category of \mathcal{P} -spaces $[\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ can be endowed with the projective model structure associated with the model structure \mathbf{Top}_q . It is called the projective q-model structure. It is combinatorial. The fibrations are the objectwise q-fibrations. The weak equivalences are the objectwise weak homotopy equivalences. All \mathcal{P} -spaces are fibrant for this model structure. By [22, Theorem 8.8, Theorem 8.9 and Theorem 8.16], the category of \mathcal{P} -flows can be endowed with a combinatorial model structure characterized as follows: 1) a map of \mathcal{P} -flows $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $f^0 : X^0 \rightarrow Y^0$ is a bijection and $\mathbb{P}f : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{f(\alpha),f(\beta)}Y$ is a weak equivalence of the projective q-model structure of \mathcal{P} -flows; 2) a map of \mathcal{P} -flows $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration if and only if $\mathbb{P}f : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{f(\alpha),f(\beta)}Y$ is a fibration of the projective q-model structure of \mathcal{P} -flows, i.e. an objectwise q-fibration of topological spaces. All \mathcal{P} -flows are q-fibrant.

3. MULTIPOINTED D-SPACE

Definition 4. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two continuous maps from $[0, 1]$ to some topological space such that $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(0)$. The composite defined by

$$(\gamma_1 *_N \gamma_2)(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(2t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \gamma_2(2t - 1) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

is called the *normalized composition*. The normalized composition being not associative, a notation like $\gamma_1 *_N \cdots *_N \gamma_n$ will mean, by convention, that $*_N$ is applied from the left to the right.

Definition 5. Let U be a topological space. A (*Moore*) *path* of U consists in this paper of a *nonconstant* continuous map $\gamma : [0, \ell] \rightarrow U$ with $\ell > 0$. The real number ℓ is called the *length* of γ .

Let $\gamma_1 : [0, \ell_1] \rightarrow U$ and $\gamma_2 : [0, \ell_2] \rightarrow U$ be two Moore paths of a topological space U such that $\gamma_1(\ell_1) = \gamma_2(0)$. The *Moore composition* $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 : [0, \ell_1 + \ell_2] \rightarrow U$ is the Moore path defined by

$$(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2)(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_1(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, \ell_1] \\ \gamma_2(t - \ell_1) & \text{for } t \in [\ell_1, \ell_1 + \ell_2]. \end{cases}$$

The Moore composition of Moore paths is strictly associative.

Notation 6. Let $\ell > 0$. Let $\mu_\ell : [0, \ell] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the homeomorphism defined by $\mu_\ell(t) = t/\ell$.

Definition 6. A \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space X or just *multipointed d -space* X if there is no ambiguity on the choice of \mathcal{P} is a triple $(|X|, X^0, \mathbb{P}^{top}X)$ where

- The pair $(|X|, X^0)$ is a multipointed space. The space $|X|$ is called the *underlying space* of X and the set X^0 the *set of states* of X .
- The set $\mathbb{P}^{top}X$ is a set of continuous maps from $[0, 1]$ to $|X|$ called the *execution paths*, satisfying the following axioms:
 - For any execution path γ , one has $\gamma(0), \gamma(1) \in X^0$.
 - Let γ be an execution path of X . Then any composite $\gamma\phi$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$ is an execution path of X .
 - Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two composable execution paths of X ; then the normalized composition $\gamma_1 *_N \gamma_2$ is an execution path of X .

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces is a map of multipointed spaces from $(|X|, X^0)$ to $(|Y|, Y^0)$ such that for any execution path γ of X , the map $\mathbb{P}^{top}f : \gamma \mapsto f.\gamma$ is an execution path of Y .

Notation 7. The category of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces is denoted by $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop}$.

Proposition 6 is already known for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$ by [20, Theorem 3.5] when \mathbf{Top} is the category of Δ -generated spaces. We recall some details and we give some references to make the argument of Proposition 29 understandable for a reader not familiar with the logical characterization of locally presentable categories.

Proposition 6. *The category $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop}$ is locally presentable.*

Proof. We use the terminology of [2, Chapter 5]. Let \mathcal{T} be a small relational universal strict Horn theory axiomatizing \mathbf{Top} (without equality by [13, Theorem 3.6] when \mathbf{Top} is the category of Δ -generated spaces, and with equality by [24, Proposition B.18] when \mathbf{Top} is the category of Δ -Hausdorff Δ -generated spaces). Let $\{R_j \mid j \in J\}$ be the set of relational symbols of \mathcal{T} . We add now to \mathcal{T} the axioms encoding the structure of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space on a topological space. We need a 1-ary relational symbol S whose interpretation is the set of states and a $[0, 1]$ -ary relational symbol R whose interpretation is the set of execution paths. The axioms look like as follows (the first axiom appears in the proof of [20, Theorem 3.5] and the other axioms are already in the proof of [13, Theorem 4.2]):

- (1) $(\forall x)R(x) \Rightarrow (S(x_0) \wedge S(x_1))$
- (2) $(\forall x, y, z) \left(\left(\bigwedge_{0 \leq t \leq 1/2} x_{2t} = z_t \right) \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{1/2 \leq t \leq 1} y_{2t-1} = z_{\frac{1}{2}+t} \right) \wedge R(x) \wedge R(y) \right) \Rightarrow R(z)$
- (3) $(\forall x)R(x) \Rightarrow R(x.t)$ where $t \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$
- (4) $(\forall x)R(x) \Rightarrow R_j(x.a)$ where $j \in J$ and \mathcal{T} satisfies R_j for a sequence a of $[0, 1]$.

The first three statements encode the structure of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space whereas the fourth one encodes the continuity of execution paths: for example, if the isomorphism $\mathbf{Top} \cong \text{Mod}(\mathcal{T})$ between \mathbf{Top} and the models of \mathcal{T} takes the segment $[0, 1]$ to the set $[0, 1]$ such that $R_{j_0}(1/3, 0.2, 1/\pi)$ holds for some $j_0 \in J$, then $(\forall x)R(x) \Rightarrow R_{j_0}(x_{1/3}, x_{0.2}, x_{1/\pi})$ is an axiom. By [2, Theorem 5.30], the proof is complete. \square

The subset of execution paths from α to β is the set of $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}^{top}X$ such that $\gamma(0) = \alpha$ and $\gamma(1) = \beta$; it is denoted by $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{top}X$: α is called the *initial state* and β the *final state* of such a γ . The set $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{top}X$ is equipped with the Δ -kelleyfication of the relative topology induced by the inclusion $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{top}X \subset \mathbf{TOP}([0, 1], |X|)$. It induces a functor

$$\mathbb{P}^{top} : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Top}.$$

Unless specified, the set $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{top}X$ is always equipped with this topology.

Notation 8. The mapping $\mathbb{P}^{top}f$ will be often denoted by f if there is no ambiguity.

The following examples play an important role in the sequel.

- (1) Any set E will be identified with the \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space (E, E, \emptyset) .
- (2) The *topological globe* of Z , which is denoted by $\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$, is the \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space defined as follows

- the underlying topological space is the quotient space

$$\frac{\{0, 1\} \sqcup (Z \times [0, 1])}{(z, 0) = (z', 0) = 0, (z, 1) = (z', 1) = 1}$$

- the set of states is $\{0, 1\}$ (0 is called the *initial state* and 1 the *final state* of the globe)
- the set of execution paths is the set of continuous maps

$$\{\delta_z \phi \mid \phi \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1), z \in Z\}$$

with $\delta_z(t) = (z, t)$. It is equal to the underlying set of the space $\mathcal{P}(1, 1) \times Z$.

In particular, $\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\emptyset)$ is the \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space $\{0, 1\} = (\{0, 1\}, \{0, 1\}, \emptyset)$.

- (3) The *directed segment* is the \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space $\overrightarrow{I}^{\mathcal{P}} = \mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\{0\})$.

Remark 2. (see also Table 2) The terminology *\mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space* is chosen because it is a variant of the notion of Grandis' d -space. The adjective *\mathcal{P} -multipointed* contains the information about the allowed reparametrizations and the constraints on the extremities for the execution paths. The set of execution paths of length 1 is denoted by $\mathbb{P}^{top}X$ for a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space X , and not $\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{P}}X$, because it is always a set of continuous paths equipped with the Δ -kelleyfication of the relative topology, and *never* a \mathcal{P} -space: it is the meaning of the superscript *top*. On the contrary, for \mathcal{P} -flows (see Definition 2) or for flows (see Definition 17), the execution paths are not continuous paths in a topological space. Notations like $\mathbb{P}X$ or $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}X$ are used for the \mathcal{P} -space of execution paths when X is a \mathcal{P} -flow for a reparametrization category \mathcal{P} . The notation $\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$ for a given topological space Z is used to specify that $\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$ is a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. The \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -space $\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{G}}(Z)$ is not equal to the \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space $\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(Z)$ indeed because $\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{G}}(Z)$ and $\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(Z)$ do not contain the same execution paths. In particular, all execution paths of $\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{G}}(Z)$ are regular.

Proposition 7. *Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. The following data assemble into a \mathcal{P} -space denoted by $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\bullet}X$:*

- $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\ell}X = \{\gamma\mu_{\ell} \mid \gamma \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{top}X\}$
- For $\phi : \ell' \rightarrow \ell \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\ell}X$, $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\phi}X(\gamma) = \gamma\phi$.

Proof. Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell X$. Then, by definition of $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell X$, there exists a (unique) $\bar{\gamma} \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{top}} X$ such that $\gamma = \bar{\gamma}\mu_\ell$. We obtain $\gamma\phi = \bar{\gamma}(\mu_\ell\phi\mu_{\ell'}^{-1})\mu_{\ell'}$. Since $\mu_\ell\phi\mu_{\ell'}^{-1} \in \mathcal{P}(1,1)$, we have $\bar{\gamma}(\mu_\ell\phi\mu_{\ell'}^{-1}) \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{top}} X$ and therefore $\gamma\phi \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\ell'} X$. \square

Notation 9. Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. Let

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta} X = \varinjlim \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^\bullet X.$$

The topological space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta} X = \varinjlim \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^\bullet X$ is the quotient of the topological space

$$\prod_{\ell > 0} \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell X$$

by the equivalence relation generated by the identifications $\gamma \sim \gamma' \Leftrightarrow \gamma\phi = \gamma'\phi'$ with $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell X$, $\gamma' \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\ell'} X$, $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(\ell'', \ell)$ and $\phi' \in \mathcal{P}(\ell'', \ell')$.

Definition 7. ([10, Definition 1.2]) The above equivalence relation \sim is called the *reparametrization equivalence*. The two Moore paths γ and γ' above are said *reparametrization equivalent*.

Proposition 8. For every $u, v \in \mathcal{P}(1, \ell)$, there exist $\phi_u, \phi_v \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$ such that $u\phi_u = v\phi_v$.

Proof. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{P}(1, \ell)$. Then $\mu_\ell u$ and $\mu_\ell v$ belong to $\mathcal{P}(1, 1)$. By [10, Proposition 2.19], when $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$, there exist $\phi_u, \phi_v \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$ such that $\mu_\ell u\phi_u = \mu_\ell v\phi_v$. When $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, the same statement holds with $\phi_u = \text{Id}_1$ and $\phi_v = v^{-1}u$. In both cases, it implies that $u\phi_u = v\phi_v$, μ_ℓ being invertible. \square

Proposition 9. Denote by \mathcal{P}^1 the full subcategory of \mathcal{P}^{op} generated by 1. Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. The inclusion $j : \mathcal{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}$ is final. Consequently, the topological space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta} X$ is also the quotient of $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{top}} X$ by the reparametrization equivalence.

Proof. Consider the comma category $(j \downarrow \ell)$ for a fixed $\ell > 0$. It is nonempty because $\mathcal{P}(1, \ell)$ is nonempty. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{P}(1, \ell)$. Using Proposition 8, write $u\phi_u = v\phi_v$ for some $\phi_u, \phi_v \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$. The equality $u\phi_u = v\phi_v$ means that there is the commutative diagram of \mathcal{P}

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & \xleftarrow{\phi_u} & 1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_v} & 1 \\ \downarrow u & & \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\ \ell & \xlongequal{\quad} & \ell & \xlongequal{\quad} & \ell \end{array}$$

In other terms, the comma category $(j \downarrow \ell)$ is connected. This implies that the inclusion functor $\mathcal{P}^1 \subset \mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}$ is final in the sense of [40, Section IX.3]. The proof is complete thanks to [40, Theorem IX.3.1]. \square

Definition 8. Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. The space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell X$ is called the space of *execution paths of length ℓ* from α to β . Let

$$\mathbb{P}^\ell X = \prod_{(\alpha,\beta) \in X^0 \times X^0} \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^\ell X.$$

A map of multipointed d -spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces for each $\ell > 0$ a continuous map $\mathbb{P}^\ell f : \mathbb{P}^\ell X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^\ell Y$ by composition by f (in fact by $|f|$). The space $\mathbb{P}^1 X$ is also denoted by $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X$.

Proposition 10. *Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two execution paths of X with $\gamma_1(1) = \gamma_2(0)$. Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 > 0$. Then $(\gamma_1\mu_{\ell_1} * \gamma_2\mu_{\ell_2})\mu_{\ell_1+\ell_2}^{-1}$ is an execution path of X .*

Proof. It is mutatis mutandis the proof of [23, Proposition 4.10] after observing that $\mathcal{G}(1, 1) \subset \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$. \square

Proposition 11. *Let X be a multipointed d -space. Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 > 0$. Let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in X^0 \times X^0 \times X^0$. The Moore composition of continuous maps yields a continuous maps*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\ell_1} X \times \mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma}^{\ell_2} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\ell_1 + \ell_2} X.$$

Proof. It is a consequence of Proposition 10. \square

A possible reference for the notions of *topological functor* and *final structure* is [1, Section 21].

Theorem 2. *The mapping $\Omega : X \mapsto (|X|, X^0)$ induces a functor from $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop}$ to the category \mathbf{MTop} of multipointed spaces which is topological and fibre-small. The Ω -final structure is the set of finite Moore compositions of the form $(f_1\gamma_1) * \cdots * (f_n\gamma_n)$ such that $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{P}^{\ell_i} X_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ with $\sum_i \ell_i = 1$.*

Note that Theorem 2 holds both by working with Δ -generated spaces and with Δ -Hausdorff Δ -generated spaces.

Proof. The first statement is proved for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$ in [25, Proposition 6.5] using a description of the Ω -initial structure which works for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$ as well. The proof of the last statement is similar to the proof of [23, Theorem 3.9]. \square

Proposition 12. *([23, Proposition 2.12] for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M}) Let Z be a topological space of \mathbf{Top} . Then there is the homeomorphism*

$$\mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\text{top}} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z) \cong \mathcal{P}(1, 1) \times Z.$$

Because of the possible presence of stop intervals (see Definition 10) in the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$, the proof of Proposition 12 slightly differs from the proof of [23, Proposition 2.12]. The latter, which uses an evaluation at 0.5 to prove the continuity of π , is valid only for the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$. Indeed, in the case of $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$, for a given $\gamma \in \mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\text{top}} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$, $\gamma(0.5)$ can be equal to the initial or the final state of $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$.

Proof. The set map $\Psi : \mathcal{P}(1, 1) \times Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\text{top}} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$ defined by $\Psi(\phi, z) = \delta_z \phi$ is continuous because the mapping $(t, \phi, z) \mapsto (z, \phi(t))$ from $[0, 1] \times \mathcal{P}(1, 1) \times Z$ to $|\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)|$ is continuous. It is a bijection since, by definition of $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$, the underlying set of $\mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\text{top}} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$ is equal to the underlying set of the space $\mathcal{P}(1, 1) \times Z$. Consider the composite set map

$$\pi : \mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\text{top}} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(1, 1) \times Z \longrightarrow Z$$

which takes $\delta_z \phi$ to $\text{pr}_2(\Psi^{-1}(\delta_z \phi)) = z$ (pr_2 is the projection on the second factor). Suppose that π is not continuous. All involved topological spaces being sequential, there exist $z_\infty \in Z$, an open neighborhood V of z_∞ in Z , and a sequence $(\delta_{z_n} \phi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ which converges to the execution path $\delta_{z_\infty} \phi_\infty$ such that $z_n \in Z \setminus V$ for all $n \geq 0$. Choose $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $\phi_\infty(t_0) \in]0, 1[$. The convergence for the compact-open topology implies the

pointwise convergence. Thus the sequence $(\delta_{z_n}\phi_n(t_0))_{n \geq 0}$ of $|\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)|$ converges to $\delta_{z_\infty}\phi_\infty(t_0)$. This implies that there exists $N \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $(z_n, \phi_n(t_0)) \in |\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)| \setminus \{0, 1\}$. By considering the image by the continuous projection (the left-hand term being equipped with the relative topology)

$$|\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)| \setminus \{0, 1\} \longrightarrow Z$$

which is well-defined precisely because 0 and 1 are removed, we obtain that the sequence $(z_n)_{n \geq N}$ converges to z_∞ , and therefore that $z_\infty \in Z \setminus V$, the latter set being closed in Z : contradiction. This means that π is continuous. The continuous map $Z \rightarrow \{0\}$ induces a continuous map

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\text{top}}\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\text{top}}\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\{0\}) \cong \mathcal{P}(1, 1) \\ \gamma \mapsto p \cdot \gamma, \end{cases}$$

where $p : |\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)| \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the projection map. Therefore the set map

$$\begin{cases} \Psi^{-1} : \mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\text{top}}\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(1, 1) \times Z \\ \gamma \mapsto (p \cdot \gamma, \pi(\gamma)) \end{cases}$$

is continuous and Ψ is a homeomorphism. \square

Corollary 1. *Let Z be a topological space of **Top**. Then there is the homeomorphism*

$$\mathbb{P}_{0,1}\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z) \cong Z.$$

Proof. There are the homeomorphisms

$$\varinjlim_{\mathcal{P}^1} \left(\mathcal{P}(1, 1) \times Z \right) \cong \left(\varinjlim_{\mathcal{P}^1} \mathcal{P}(1, 1) \right) \times Z \cong Z,$$

the left-hand homeomorphism since **Top** is cartesian closed and the right-hand homeomorphism by Proposition 8. The proof is complete thanks to Proposition 12 and Proposition 9. \square

Theorem 3. *Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. The following data assemble into a \mathcal{P} -flow denoted by $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$:*

- The set of states X^0 of X
- For all $\alpha, \beta \in X^0$, $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X) = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^\bullet X$.
- For all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in X^0$ and all real numbers $\ell, \ell' > 0$, the composition map is given by the map $*$: $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^\bullet X \otimes \mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma}^\bullet X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \gamma}^\bullet X$.

The mapping above induces a functor $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow}$ which is a right adjoint.

Proof. It is possible to construct a left adjoint by following step by step the method of [23, Appendix B]: the fact that all maps of \mathcal{G} are invertible does not play any role at all. \square

Notation 10. The left adjoint of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow}$ is denoted by

$$\mathbb{M}_!^{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop}.$$

Proposition 13. *Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Let Z be a topological space. Then there is a bijection of sets*

$$\mathcal{P}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{Top}(\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z), X) \cong \coprod_{(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0} \mathbf{Top}(Z, \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X)$$

which is natural with respect to Z and X .

Proof. It is mutatis mutandis the proof of [23, Proposition 4.13]. \square

Proposition 14. *For all compact topological spaces Z , there are the natural isomorphisms $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)) \cong \mathbf{Glob}(\mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}}(Z))$ and $\mathbb{M}_!^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Glob}(\mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}}(Z))) \cong \mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)$.*

Proof. By definition of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}$ and by Proposition 12, the only nonempty path \mathcal{P} -space of $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z))$ is $\mathbb{P}_{0,1}\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z)) = \mathcal{P}(-, 1) \times Z$: we obtain the first isomorphism. For any \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space X , there is the sequence of natural bijections

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{Top}\left(\mathbb{M}_!^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{Glob}(\mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}}(Z))), X\right) &\cong \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow}\left(\mathbf{Glob}(\mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}}(Z)), \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}} X\right) \\ &\cong \coprod_{(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0} [\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0\left(\mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}}(Z), \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X\right) \\ &\cong \coprod_{(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0} \mathbf{Top}(Z, \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^1 X) \\ &\cong \mathcal{P}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{Top}(\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z), X), \end{aligned}$$

the first bijection by adjunction, the second bijection by [22, Proposition 6.10], the third bijection by Proposition 5 and the last bijection by Proposition 13. The proof of the second isomorphism is then complete thanks to the Yoneda lemma. \square

4. GLOBULAR NATURALIZATION AND CARRIER

Notation 11. Let $n \geq 1$. Denote by $\mathbf{D}^n = \{b \in \mathbb{R}^n, |b| \leq 1\}$ the n -dimensional disk, and by $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} = \{b \in \mathbb{R}^n, |b| = 1\}$ the $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere. By convention, let $\mathbf{D}^0 = \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{-1} = \emptyset$.

Every set S can be viewed as a “discrete” \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space (S, S, \emptyset) . The q -model structure of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces is the unique combinatorial model structure such that

$$\{\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}) \subset \mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^n) \mid n \geq 0\} \cup \{C : \emptyset \rightarrow \{0\}, R : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0\}\}$$

is the set of generating cofibrations, the maps between globes being induced by the closed inclusions $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbf{D}^n$, and such that

$$\{\mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^n) \subset \mathbf{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n+1}) \mid n \geq 0\}$$

is the set of generating trivial cofibrations, the maps between globes being induced by the closed inclusions $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$. The weak equivalences are the maps of multipointed d -spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ inducing a bijection $f^0 : X^0 \cong Y^0$ and a weak homotopy equivalence $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} f : \mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} Y$ and the fibrations are the maps of multipointed d -spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ inducing a q -fibration $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} f : \mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} Y$ of topological spaces. A construction of this model structure is given in [25, Theorem 6.16] for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$. The argument works in the same way for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$ because it relies on the use of the Quillen path object argument in [25, Theorem 6.14] applied to the right adjoint from \mathcal{P} -multipointed

d -spaces to topological graphs which forgets the composition and the reparametrization of execution paths.

The space of execution paths of the cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces of the q -model structure are of particular interest. It is the purpose of this section to study them. Let λ be an ordinal. We work with a colimit-preserving functor

$$X : \lambda \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop}$$

such that

- The \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space X_0 is a set, in other terms $X_0 = (X^0, X^0, \emptyset)$ for some set X^0 .
- For all $\nu < \lambda$, there is a pushout diagram of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^{n_\nu-1}) & \xrightarrow{g_\nu} & X_\nu \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_\nu}) & \xrightarrow{\widehat{g}_\nu} & X_{\nu+1} \end{array} \quad \Gamma$$

with $n_\nu \geq 0$.

Notation 12. Let $X_\lambda = \varinjlim_{\nu < \lambda} X_\nu$ be the transfinite composition.

Definition 9. A *cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space* is a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space of the form X_λ .

For all $\nu \leq \lambda$, there is the equality $X_\nu^0 = X^0$. Denote by

$$c_\nu = |\mathrm{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_\nu})| \setminus |\mathrm{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^{n_\nu-1})|$$

the ν -th cell of X_λ . It is called a *globular cell*. Like in the usual setting of CW-complexes, \widehat{g}_ν induces a homeomorphism from c_ν to $\widehat{g}_\nu(c_\nu)$ equipped with the relative topology which will be therefore denoted in the same way. It also means that $\widehat{g}_\nu(c_\nu)$ equipped with the relative topology is Δ -generated. The closure of c_ν in $|X_\lambda|$ is denoted by

$$\widehat{c}_\nu = \widehat{g}_\nu(|\mathrm{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_\nu})|).$$

It is a compact closed subset of $|X_\lambda|$. The boundary of c_ν in $|X_\lambda|$ is denoted by

$$\partial c_\nu = \widehat{g}_\nu(|\mathrm{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^{n_\nu-1})|).$$

The state $\widehat{g}_\nu(0) \in X^0$ ($\widehat{g}_\nu(1) \in X^0$ resp.) is called the *initial (final resp.) state* of c_ν . Note that they are not necessarily distinct. The integer $n_\nu + 1$ is called the *dimension* of the globular cell c_ν . It is denoted by $\dim c_\nu$. The states of X^0 are also called the *globular cells of dimension 0*.

As already noticed in [23, Proposition 5.2], the underlying space $|X_\lambda|$ is a cellular topological space. Proposition 15 is required to be able to use [10, 43] for the Moore paths in $|X_\lambda|$.

Proposition 15. *The topological space $|X_\lambda|$ is Hausdorff. Let K be a compact subspace of $|X_\lambda|$. Then K intersects finitely many c_ν .*

Proof. The space X_0 is normal, being discrete. Adding one cell preserves normality by [14, Proposition 1.1.2 (ii)]. Assume that $\nu \leq \lambda$ is a limit ordinal and that each X_μ for

$\mu < \nu$ is normal. We prove that X_ν is normal by an argument similar to the one of [14, Proposition A.5.1 (iv)]. Each X_μ for $\mu \leq \lambda$ is Δ -Hausdorff by [24, Proposition B.16], and therefore has closed points. Hence $|X_\lambda|$ is Hausdorff. The last assertion is [23, Proposition 5.5] whose proof mimicks [33, Proposition A.1]. \square

Proposition 16. [23, Proposition 5.2] *The space $|X_\lambda|$ is a cellular space. It contains X^0 as a discrete closed subspace. For every $0 \leq \nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \leq \lambda$, the continuous map $|X_{\nu_1}| \rightarrow |X_{\nu_2}|$ is a q -cofibration of spaces, and in particular a closed T_1 -inclusion.*

Proposition 17. [23, Proposition 5.3] *For all $0 \leq \nu_1 \leq \nu_2 \leq \lambda$, there is the equality*

$$\mathbb{P}^{top} X_{\nu_1} = \mathbb{P}^{top} X_{\nu_2} \cap \mathbf{TOP}([0, 1], |X_{\nu_1}|).$$

Theorem 4. *The composite functor*

$$\lambda \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}^{top}} \mathbf{Top}$$

is colimit-preserving. In particular the continuous bijection

$$\varinjlim(\mathbb{P}^{top}.X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{top} \varinjlim X$$

is a homeomorphism. Moreover the topology of $\mathbb{P}^{top} \varinjlim X$ is the final topology.

Proof. It is mutatis mutandis the proof of [23, Theorem 5.6] which relies on Proposition 17. \square

Theorem 5. *The composite functor*

$$\lambda \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow}$$

is colimit-preserving. In particular the natural map

$$\varinjlim_{\nu < \lambda} \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X_\nu) \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}} X_\lambda$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The proof is mutatis mutandis the proof of [23, Theorem 5.7] whose proof relies on Proposition 16 and Theorem 4. \square

Notation 13. Let c_ν be a globular cell of X_λ . For all $z \in \mathbf{D}^{n_\nu}$ and all $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$, the composite $\widehat{g}_\nu \delta_z \phi$ is an execution path of X_λ . When there is no ambiguity on the globular cell c_ν or no need to mention it, the execution path $\widehat{g}_\nu \delta_z \phi$ of X_λ will be denoted by $\delta_z \phi$ (which is strictly speaking an abuse of notations already made in [23] to avoid overloading the notations).

Definition 10. [10, Definition 1.1] Let $\gamma : [0, L] \rightarrow |X_\lambda|$ be a Moore path. A *stop interval* of γ is an interval $[a, b] \subset [0, L]$ with $a < b$ such that the restriction $\gamma \upharpoonright_{[a, b]}$ is constant and such that $[a, b]$ is maximal for this property. The set of stop intervals of γ is denoted by Δ_γ . The path γ is *regular* if $\Delta_\gamma = \emptyset$ (no stop interval)¹. The Moore composition of two regular paths is regular.

The set Δ_γ contains only closed intervals since $|X_\lambda|$ is Hausdorff, Note that in the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, all execution paths of X_λ are regular by [23, Proposition 5.13]. In the case

¹Remember that by Definition 5, all Moore paths of this paper are nonconstant.

$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$, an execution path of the form $\widehat{g}_\nu \delta_z \phi$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1) \setminus \mathcal{G}(1, 1)$ is not regular. Proposition 18 and Proposition 19 play a key role in the sequel.

Proposition 18. *Consider a globular cell c_ν of X_λ . Let $z \in \mathbf{D}^{n\nu} \setminus \mathbf{S}^{n\nu-1}$. The execution path $\widehat{g}_\nu \delta_z$ is regular.*

Note that $\widehat{g}_\nu \delta_z$ is not necessarily regular if $z \in \mathbf{S}^{n\nu-1}$.

Proof. It is a consequence of the facts that \widehat{g}_ν induces a homeomorphism from c_ν to $\widehat{g}_\nu(c_\nu)$ and that δ_z is a regular execution path of $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n\nu})$. \square

Proposition 19. *Let $\gamma : [0, L] \rightarrow |X_\lambda|$ be a Moore path. Suppose that γ is regular and that there exist $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\ell, L)$ such that $\gamma\eta_1 = \gamma\eta_2$. Then $\eta_1 = \eta_2$.*

Proof. Note that $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\ell, L) \subset \mathcal{M}(\ell, L)$. From $\gamma\eta_1 = \gamma\eta_2$, we obtain $\gamma\mu_L^{-1}\mu_L\eta_1\mu_\ell^{-1} = \gamma\mu_L^{-1}\mu_L\eta_2\mu_\ell^{-1}$. The Moore path $\gamma\mu_L^{-1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow |X_\lambda|$ is regular, μ_L^{-1} being a homeomorphism. Using [10, Lemma 3.9], we deduce that $\mu_L\eta_1\mu_\ell^{-1} = \mu_L\eta_2\mu_\ell^{-1}$ and therefore that $\eta_1 = \eta_2$. \square

Theorem 6. *Let γ be an execution path of X_λ . It can be decomposed as a Moore composition*

$$\gamma = (\widehat{g}_{\nu_1} \delta_{z_1} \phi_1 \mu_{\ell_1}) * \cdots * (\widehat{g}_{\nu_n} \delta_{z_n} \phi_n \mu_{\ell_n})$$

with $n \geq 1$, $\nu_i < \lambda$ and $z_i \in \mathbf{D}^{n\nu_i} \setminus \mathbf{S}^{n\nu_i-1}$ and $\phi_i \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = 1$. Consider a second decomposition

$$\gamma = (\widehat{g}_{\nu'_1} \delta_{z'_1} \phi'_1 \mu_{\ell'_1}) * \cdots * (\widehat{g}_{\nu'_n} \delta_{z'_n} \phi'_n \mu_{\ell'_n}).$$

Then $n = n'$, $\nu_i = \nu'_i$ and $z_i = z'_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and

$$(\phi_1 \mu_{\ell_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\phi_n \mu_{\ell_n}) = (\phi'_1 \mu_{\ell'_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\phi'_n \mu_{\ell'_n}) \in \mathcal{P}(1, n).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2, every execution path γ from α to β of X_λ is of the form a Moore composition $\gamma = (\widehat{g}_{\nu_1} \delta_{z_1} \phi_1 \mu_{\ell_1}) * \cdots * (\widehat{g}_{\nu_n} \delta_{z_n} \phi_n \mu_{\ell_n})$ with $n \geq 1$, $\nu_i < \lambda$ and $z_i \in \mathbf{D}^{n\nu_i} \setminus \mathbf{S}^{n\nu_i-1}$ and $\phi_i \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = 1$. Consider a second decomposition $\gamma = (\widehat{g}_{\nu'_1} \delta_{z'_1} \phi'_1 \mu_{\ell'_1}) * \cdots * (\widehat{g}_{\nu'_n} \delta_{z'_n} \phi'_n \mu_{\ell'_n})$. Then γ is the Moore composition of a Moore path going from $\widehat{g}_{\nu_1}(0)$ to $\widehat{g}_{\nu_1}(1)$ in the globular cell c_{ν_1} followed by a Moore path going from $\widehat{g}_{\nu_2}(0)$ to $\widehat{g}_{\nu_2}(1)$ in the globular cell c_{ν_2} etc... until a Moore path going from $\widehat{g}_{\nu_n}(0)$ to $\widehat{g}_{\nu_n}(1)$ in the globular cell c_{ν_n} . And γ is also the Moore composition of a Moore path going from $\widehat{g}_{\nu'_1}(0)$ to $\widehat{g}_{\nu'_1}(1)$ in the globular cell $c_{\nu'_1}$ followed by a Moore path going from $\widehat{g}_{\nu'_2}(0)$ to $\widehat{g}_{\nu'_2}(1)$ in the globular cell $c_{\nu'_2}$ etc... until a Moore path going from $\widehat{g}_{\nu'_n}(0)$ to $\widehat{g}_{\nu'_n}(1)$ in the globular cell $c_{\nu'_n}$. From the set bijection

$$|X_\lambda| = X^0 \sqcup \coprod_{\nu < \lambda} c_\nu,$$

we deduce that $n = n'$, $\nu_i = \nu'_i$ and $z_i = z'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By [23, Proposition 3.4], we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((\widehat{g}_{\nu_1} \delta_{z_1}) * \cdots * (\widehat{g}_{\nu_n} \delta_{z_n}) \right) \left((\phi_1 \mu_{\ell_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\phi_n \mu_{\ell_n}) \right) \\ &= \left((\widehat{g}_{\nu'_1} \delta_{z'_1}) * \cdots * (\widehat{g}_{\nu'_n} \delta_{z'_n}) \right) \left((\phi'_1 \mu_{\ell'_1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\phi'_n \mu_{\ell'_n}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\widehat{g_{\nu_1}}\delta_{z_1}) * \dots * (\widehat{g_{\nu_n}}\delta_{z_n}) = (\widehat{g_{\nu'_1}}\delta_{z'_1}) * \dots * (\widehat{g_{\nu'_n}}\delta_{z'_n})$ is a regular Moore path, being a Moore composition of regular Moore paths by Proposition 18, we deduce by Proposition 19 the equality

$$(\phi_1\mu_{\ell_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\phi_n\mu_{\ell_n}) = (\phi'_1\mu_{\ell'_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\phi'_n\mu_{\ell'_n}).$$

□

Theorem 6 is a modification of [23, Theorem 5.9] which is valid both for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M} . Let $\Psi = (\phi_1\mu_{\ell_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\phi_n\mu_{\ell_n}) = (\phi'_1\mu_{\ell'_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\phi'_n\mu_{\ell'_n})$. If $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, then $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_i = \Psi^{-1}(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that $\ell_i = \ell'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and by Proposition 4, we deduce that $\phi_i = \phi'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, which implies [23, Theorem 5.9].

Definition 11. With the notations of Theorem 6, the regular Moore path

$$\underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma) = (\widehat{g_{\nu_1}}\delta_{z_1}) * \dots * (\widehat{g_{\nu_n}}\delta_{z_n})$$

is called the (*globular*) *naturalization* of γ . The sequence of globular cells

$$\text{Carrier}(\gamma) = [c_{\nu_1}, \dots, c_{\nu_n}]$$

is called the *carrier* of γ . The integer n is called the *length* of the carrier. It is also called the *natural length* of γ .

The globular naturalization of the Moore composition of two execution paths is the Moore composition of the globular naturalizations.

Proposition 20. *Let γ be an execution path of X_λ of natural length n . Then the regular Moore path $\underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma)$ is an execution path of X_λ of length n .*

Proof. It is a consequence of Proposition 11. □

Definition 12. An execution path γ of X_λ is minimal ² if $\gamma = \widehat{g_\nu}\delta_z\phi$ for some $\nu < \lambda$, some $z \in \mathbf{D}^{\nu} \setminus \mathbf{S}^{\nu-1}$ and some $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$.

Theorem 7. *Let $0 \leq \nu < \lambda$. Then every execution path of $X_{\nu+1}$ can be written as a finite Moore composition*

$$(f_1\gamma_1\mu_{\ell_1}) * \dots * (f_n\gamma_n\mu_{\ell_n})$$

with $n \geq 1$ such that

- (1) $\sum_i \ell_i = 1$.
- (2) $f_i = g_\nu$ and γ_i is an execution path of X_ν or $f_i = \widehat{g_\nu}$ and $\gamma_i = \delta_{z_i}\phi_i$ with $z_i \in \mathbf{D}^{\nu} \setminus \mathbf{S}^{\nu-1}$ and some $\phi_i \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$.
- (3) for all $1 \leq i < n$, either $f_i\gamma_i$ or $f_{i+1}\gamma_{i+1}$ (or both) is (are) of the form $\widehat{g_\nu}\delta_z\phi$ for some $z \in \mathbf{D}^{\nu} \setminus \mathbf{S}^{\nu-1}$ and some $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$: intuitively, there is no possible simplification using the Moore composition inside X_ν .

If there is another finite Moore composition

$$(f'_1\gamma'_1\mu_{\ell'_1}) * \dots * (f'_n\gamma'_n\mu_{\ell'_n})$$

with $n' \geq 1$ satisfying the same properties, then $n = n'$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ one has $f_i = f'_i$, $r_i = \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma_i) = \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma'_i)$ and finally

$$(\phi_1\mu_{\ell_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\phi_n\mu_{\ell_n}) = (\phi'_1\mu_{\ell'_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\phi'_n\mu_{\ell'_n})$$

²It is not exactly the definition chosen in [23]. This one makes sense only for cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces.

with $\gamma_i = r_i \phi_i$ and $\gamma'_i = r_i \phi'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. The existence of the finite Moore composition is a consequence of Theorem 2. Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $f_i = f$, then f_i is one-to-one by Proposition 16. Thus, the execution path $f \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma_i)$ is regular. Besides, $f \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma_i)$ is in this case the regular naturalization of $f\gamma_i$. If $f_i = \widehat{g}_\nu$ and $\gamma_i = \delta_{z_i} \phi_i$, then $f_i \delta_{z_i}$ is regular by Proposition 18. And by definition of the globular naturalization, $f_i \delta_{z_i} = \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(f_i \delta_{z_i})$. Therefore we obtain

$$(f_1 \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma_1)) * \dots * (f_n \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma_n)) = (f'_1 \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma'_1)) * \dots * (f'_n \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma'_n)) \in \mathbb{P}^n X_\lambda.$$

By definition of the Moore composition, it implies that $\underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma_i) = \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma'_i)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The last equality is a consequence of Proposition 19. \square

Theorem 7 is a replacement for [23, Theorem 5.20] which is valid both for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M} . Let $\Psi = (\phi_1 \mu_{\ell_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\phi_n \mu_{\ell_n}) = (\phi'_1 \mu_{\ell'_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes (\phi'_n \mu_{\ell'_n})$. If $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, then $\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_i = \Psi^{-1}(i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that $\ell_i = \ell'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and by Proposition 4, we deduce that $\phi_i = \phi'_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, which implies [23, Theorem 5.20].

Definition 13. Let c_ν be a globular cell of the cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space X_λ with $\nu < \lambda$ and $\dim(c_\nu) \geq 1$. Let $0 < h < 1$. Let

$$\widehat{c}_\nu[h] = \left\{ \widehat{g}_\nu(z, h) \mid (z, h) \in |\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_\nu})| \right\}.$$

It is called an *achronal slice* of the globular cell c_ν .

[23, Proposition 5.17] claims that, for any globular cell c_ν of any cellular \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -space X_λ with $\dim(c_\nu) \geq 1$, there exists $b \in]0, 1[$ such that for all $h \in]0, b]$, one has $\widehat{c}_\nu[h] \cap X^0 = \emptyset$. This implies that there exists $h \in]0, 1[$ such that $\widehat{c}_\nu[h] \cap X^0 = \emptyset$. In plain English, this means that there is an achronal slice of the globular cell c_ν which does not intersect X^0 . It is the key fact to prove [23, Theorem 5.18], and then to deduce [23, Theorem 5.19]. Proposition 21 proves that [23, Proposition 5.17] is false for $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 21. *There exists a cellular \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space X_λ and a globular cell c_ν with $\nu < \lambda$ and $\dim(c_\nu) \geq 1$ such that for all $h \in]0, 1[$, $\widehat{c}_\nu[h] \cap X^0 \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Consider the continuous map $\Psi : [-1, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by

$$\Psi : (x, t) \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{2+x}{4} \\ 4t - 2 - x & \text{if } \frac{2+x}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{3+x}{4} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{3+x}{4} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

The continuous map

$$f : ((x, y), t) \mapsto ((x, y), \Psi(x, t))$$

from $\mathbf{S}^1 \times [0, 1]$ to $|\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{S}^1)|$ induces a continuous map from $|\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{S}^1)|$ to itself since $f((x, y), 0) = ((x, y), \Psi(x, 0)) = 0$ and $f((x, y), 1) = ((x, y), \Psi(x, 1)) = 1$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbf{S}^1$ by definition of Ψ . An execution path of the form $\delta_{(x,y)} \phi$ with $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1)$ is taken by this continuous map to the continuous path $\delta_{(x,y)} \Psi(x, \phi(t))$. The latter is an execution path of $|\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{S}^1)|$ since $\Psi(x, -) \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1)$ for all $x \in [-1, 1]$. Consequently, we obtain a map of \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces $f : \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{S}^1) \rightarrow \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{S}^1)$. Consider the

\mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space X defined by the pushout diagram of \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{S}^1) & \xrightarrow{f} & \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{S}^1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{D}^2) & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & X \end{array}$$

where the left vertical map is induced by the inclusion $\mathbf{S}^1 \subset \mathbf{D}^2$. The \mathcal{M} -multipointed space X is cellular, $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{S}^1)$ being cellular. Let $h \in]0, 1[$. Consider the achronal slice

$$\hat{f}[h] = \{\hat{f}(z, h) \mid (z, h) \in |\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{D}^2)|\}.$$

One has

$$\hat{f}((0, 1), h) = f((0, 1), h) = ((0, 1), \Psi(0, h)),$$

the first equality because the square above is commutative and the second equality by definition of f . This implies that $\hat{f}((0, 1), h) = 0$ when $h \leq 1/2$ by definition of Ψ . Similarly, there are the equalities

$$\hat{f}((-1, 0), h) = f((-1, 0), h) = ((-1, 0), \Psi(-1, h)).$$

This implies that $\hat{f}((-1, 0), h) = 1$ when $h \geq 1/2$ by definition of Ψ .

We deduce that for all $h \in]0, 1[$, $\hat{f}[h] \cap X^0 \neq \emptyset$. □

In this paper, we prove Theorem 8 instead. It enables us to deduce both [23, Theorem 5.18] and [23, Theorem 5.19] in a different way for the two reparametrization categories \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M} .

Notation 14. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. Denote by $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ the set $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda$ equipped with the compact-open topology.

Theorem 8. (replacement for [23, Proposition 5.17]) Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. Let $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ which converges to γ_∞ . Then the set $\{\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n) \mid n \geq 0\}$ is finite.

Proof. Consider the one-point compactification $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ of the discrete space of integers \mathbb{N} . Note that $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ is not Δ -generated, its Δ -kelleyfication being discrete. The converging sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ gives rise to a continuous map

$$\psi : \overline{\mathbb{N}} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co} \subset \mathbf{TOP}_{co}([0, 1], |X_\lambda|)$$

where $\mathbf{TOP}_{co}([0, 1], |X_\lambda|)$ is the set of continuous maps from $[0, 1]$ to $|X_\lambda|$ equipped with the compact-open topology. Since $[0, 1]$ is locally compact, it is exponential in the category of general topological spaces by [4, Proposition 7.1.5]. We obtain a continuous map

$$\hat{\psi} : \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times_{gen} [0, 1] \longrightarrow |X_\lambda|$$

where \times_{gen} is the binary product in the category of general topological spaces. Since $\overline{\mathbb{N}} \times_{gen} [0, 1]$ is compact by Tychonoff, the subset $\hat{\psi}(\overline{\mathbb{N}} \times_{gen} [0, 1])$ is compact and closed in $|X_\lambda|$, the latter being Hausdorff by Proposition 15. The subset $\hat{\psi}(\overline{\mathbb{N}} \times_{gen} [0, 1])$ therefore intersects finitely many globular cells $\{c_{\nu_j} \mid j \in J\}$ by Proposition 15. Suppose that the set $\{\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n) \mid n \geq 0\}$ is infinite. This implies that the sequence of lengths of $\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n)$ for $n \geq 0$ is not bounded, the set J being finite. By extracting a subsequence,

one can suppose that the sequence of lengths is strictly increasing. Each infinite sequence of $\{c_{\nu_j} \mid j \in J\}$ has a constant infinite subsequence since J is finite. Therefore by a Cantor diagonalization argument, one can suppose that there exists a sequence $(c_{\nu_{j_n}})_{n \geq 0}$ of $\{c_{\nu_j} \mid j \in J\}$ such that for all $n \geq 0$, there is the equality

$$\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n) = [c_{\nu_{j_0}}, \dots, c_{\nu_{j_{i_n}}}]$$

for some strictly increasing sequence of integers $(i_n)_{n \geq 0}$. This means that the execution path γ_n is the composition of an execution path whose image is included in $\{\widehat{g_{\nu_{j_0}}}(0), \widehat{g_{\nu_{j_0}}}(1)\} \cup c_{\nu_{j_0}}$ from $\widehat{g_{\nu_{j_0}}}(0)$ to $\widehat{g_{\nu_{j_0}}}(1)$ followed by an execution path whose image is included in $\{\widehat{g_{\nu_{j_1}}}(0) \cup \widehat{g_{\nu_{j_1}}}(1)\} \cup c_{\nu_{j_1}}$ from $\widehat{g_{\nu_{j_1}}}(1) = \widehat{g_{\nu_{j_1}}}(0)$ to $\widehat{g_{\nu_{j_1}}}(1)$ etc... until an execution path whose image is included in $\{\widehat{g_{\nu_{j_{i_n}}}}(0) \cup \widehat{g_{\nu_{j_{i_n}}}}(1)\} \cup c_{\nu_{j_{i_n}}}$. The sequence of execution paths $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges pointwise to γ_∞ because it converges for the compact-open topology by hypothesis. The subset $\widehat{c_\nu}$ being closed in $|X_\lambda|$ for all $\nu < \lambda$, the sequence of globular cells $\text{Carrier}(\gamma_\infty)$ consists of a concatenation of sequences $S_{\nu_{j_n}}$ for $n \geq 0$ where either $S_{\nu_{j_n}} = [c_{\nu_{j_n}}]$ or $S_{\nu_{j_n}}$ is a nonempty finite sequence of globular cells intersecting $\partial c_{\nu_{j_n}}$. This implies that the sequence of globular cells $\text{Carrier}(\gamma_\infty)$ is infinite: contradiction. \square

Corollary 2. (*[23, Theorem 5.18] for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M}*) *Let γ_∞ be an execution path of X_λ . Let $\nu_0 < \lambda$. There exists an open neighborhood Ω of γ_∞ in $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}}X_\lambda$ such that for all execution paths $\gamma \in \Omega$, the number of copies of c_{ν_0} in the carrier of γ does not exceed the length of the carrier of γ_∞ .*

Proof. Let Ω_{ν_0} be the set of execution paths γ such that the number of copies of c_{ν_0} in the carrier of γ does not exceed the length of the carrier of γ_∞ . Suppose that γ_∞ is not in the interior of Ω_{ν_0} . Since $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}}X_\lambda$ is sequential, being Δ -generated, there exists a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of the complement of Ω_{ν_0} converging to γ_∞ . By Theorem 8, the set $\{\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n) \mid n \geq 0\}$ is finite. Thus by extracting a subsequence, one can suppose that the sequence of carriers $(\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n))_{n \geq 0}$ is constant, write

$$\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n) = [c_{\nu_1}, \dots, c_{\nu_N}]$$

for all $n \geq 0$. The integer N is strictly greater than the length of $\text{Carrier}(\gamma_\infty)$ since $[c_{\nu_1}, \dots, c_{\nu_N}]$ contains strictly more copies of c_{ν_0} than the length of $\text{Carrier}(\gamma_\infty)$ by definition of Ω_{ν_0} . The sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges also pointwise to γ_∞ . Thus, $\text{Carrier}(\gamma_\infty)$ consists of a concatenation of sequences S_{ν_n} for $1 \leq n \leq N$ where either $S_{\nu_n} = [c_{\nu_n}]$ or S_{ν_n} is a nonempty finite sequence of globular cells intersecting ∂c_{ν_n} . This implies that the length of $\text{Carrier}(\gamma_\infty)$ is strictly greater than itself: contradiction. We deduce that γ_∞ is in the interior of Ω_{ν_0} . Hence the existence of the open neighborhood. \square

Corollary 2 proves the existence of an open neighborhood Ω in the Δ -kelleyfication of the compact-open topology. The latter topology contains more open subsets than the compact-open topology. The proof of [23, Theorem 5.18] implies that Ω can even be taken in the compact-open topology when $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$ by using the formula of [23, page 197]. Because of Proposition 21, the formula of [23, page 197] does not necessarily provide anymore an open of the compact-open topology for the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$. We do not know whether the formula of [23, page 197] could provide in some cases an open subset of the

Δ -kelleyfication of the compact-open topology, which could supply an alternative proof of Corollary 2.

Corollary 3. ([23, Theorem 5.19] for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M}) Let $(\gamma_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a sequence of execution paths of X_λ which converges in $\mathbb{P}^{top} X_\lambda$. Let c_{ν_0} be a globular cell of X_λ . Let i_k be the number of times that c_{ν_0} appears in $\text{Carrier}(\gamma_k)$. Then the sequence of integers $(i_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is bounded.

Proof. The sequence $(\gamma_k)_{k \geq 0}$ converges in $(\mathbb{P}^{top} X_\lambda)_{co}$ as well because of the continuous map $\mathbb{P}^{top} X_\lambda \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}^{top} X_\lambda)_{co}$. Thus the set $\{\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n) \mid n \geq 0\}$ is finite by Theorem 8 and, therefore, the sequence of integers $(i_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is bounded. \square

Theorem 9 is a much better statement that will be the replacement in this paper of [23, Theorem 5.19].

Theorem 9. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. Let $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{top} X_\lambda$ be a continuous map. Then the set $\{\text{Carrier}(\psi(u)) \mid u \in [0, 1]\}$ is finite.

Proof. Suppose that the set $\{\text{Carrier}(\psi(u)) \mid u \in [0, 1]\}$ is infinite. Then there exists a sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of $[0, 1]$ such that

$$\forall m, n \geq 0, m \neq n \Rightarrow \text{Carrier}(\psi(t_m)) \neq \text{Carrier}(\psi(t_n)).$$

In particular, this means that $t_m = t_n$ implies $m = n$ for all $m, n \geq 0$. By extracting a subsequence, one can suppose that the sequence $(t_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges to some $t_\infty \in [0, 1]$. And the above condition ensures that the set of carriers $\{\text{Carrier}(\psi(t_n)) \mid n \geq 0\}$ is still infinite. Since ψ is continuous, the sequence of execution paths $(\psi(t_n))_{n \geq 0}$ converges to $\psi(t_\infty)$ in $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{top} X_\lambda$, and therefore in $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{top} X_\lambda)_{co}$ because of the continuous map $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{top} X_\lambda \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{top} X_\lambda)_{co}$. That contradicts Theorem 8. \square

Theorem 9 enables us to understand the difference between Raussen's naturalization of [44, Definition 2.14] and the globular naturalization of Definition 11.

Corollary 4. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. Let $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{top} X_\lambda$ be a continuous map. Then the set of natural lengths of $\psi(u)$ for u running over $[0, 1]$ is bounded.

Proof. It is due to the fact that the set $\{\text{Carrier}(\psi(u)) \mid u \in [0, 1]\}$ is finite by Theorem 9. \square

The natural lengths of $\psi(u)$ for u running over $[0, 1]$ have no reason to be constant. Consider a pushout of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^0) & \longrightarrow & \vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}} * \vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^1) & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

where $\vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}} * \vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}}$ means that the final state of the left copy of the directed segment is identified with the initial state of the right copy of the directed segment (see Notation 15) and where the top horizontal map (it is not unique) takes the initial (final resp.) state of $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^0)$ to the initial (final resp.) state of $\vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}} * \vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}}$. Then the natural length of

an execution path of X going from the initial to the final state is 2 on the boundary of $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^1)$ and 1 inside $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^1)$.

Corollary 4 shows the difference of behavior between the natural length in the globular setting and the length of the naturalization of a directed path between two vertices in the geometric realization of a precubical set. Indeed, the latter is constant by continuous deformation preserving the extremities [44, Section 2.2.1] [45, Proposition 2.2]. See also [29, Proposition 4.5].

5. CHAIN OF GLOBES

Notation 15. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_p be p nonempty topological spaces with $p \geq 1$. Consider the \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space

$$X = \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_1) * \dots * \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_p)$$

with $p \geq 1$ where the $*$ means that the final state of a globe is identified with the initial state of the next one by reading from the left to the right. Let $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p\}$ be the set of states such that the canonical map $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_i) \rightarrow X$ takes the initial state 0 of $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_i)$ to α_{i-1} and the final state 1 of $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_i)$ to α_i .

Notation 16. Each carrier $\underline{c} = [c_{\nu_1}, \dots, c_{\nu_n}]$ gives rise to a map of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces from a chain of globes to X_λ

$$\widehat{g}_{\underline{c}} : \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_1}}) * \dots * \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_n}}) \longrightarrow X_\lambda$$

by ‘‘concatenating’’ the attaching maps of the globular cells $c_{\nu_1}, \dots, c_{\nu_n}$. Let α_{i-1} (α_i resp.) be the initial state (the final state resp.) of $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_i}})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ in $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_1}}) * \dots * \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_n}})$. It induces a continuous map

$$\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \widehat{g}_{\underline{c}} : X_{\underline{c}} = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_n}^{\text{top}}(\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_1}}) * \dots * \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_n}})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda.$$

As a consequence of the associativity of the semimonoidal structure on \mathcal{P} -spaces and of [22, Proposition 5.16], we have

Proposition 22. *Let U_1, \dots, U_p be p topological spaces with $p \geq 1$. Let $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_p > 0$. There is the natural isomorphism of \mathcal{P} -spaces*

$$\mathbb{F}_{\ell_1}^{\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}} U_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{F}_{\ell_p}^{\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}} U_p \cong \mathbb{F}_{\ell_1 + \dots + \ell_p}^{\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}}(U_1 \times \dots \times U_p).$$

Proposition 23. *([23, Proposition 6.3] for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M}) Let Z_1, \dots, Z_p be p topological spaces of \mathbf{Top} with $p \geq 1$. Consider the \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space $X = \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_1) * \dots * \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_p)$ with $p \geq 1$. There is a homeomorphism*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{\text{top}} X \cong \mathcal{P}(1, p) \times Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_p.$$

The case $p = 1$ is treated in Proposition 12. The proof of Proposition 23 is a modified version of the proof of [23, Proposition 6.3], the latter working only for the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$. Like in the proof of Proposition 12, the verification of the continuity in one direction is different from the proof of [23, Proposition 6.3] because of the possible presence, in the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$, of stop intervals.

Proof. The Moore composition of paths induced a map of \mathcal{P} -spaces

$$\mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\bullet} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\bullet} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{\bullet} X.$$

By Proposition 12, there is the isomorphism of \mathcal{P} -spaces

$$\mathbb{P}_{0,1}^\bullet \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z) \cong \mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}} Z$$

for all topological spaces Z . We obtain a map of \mathcal{P} -spaces

$$\mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}} Z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}} Z_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^\bullet X.$$

By Proposition 22, and since $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^1 X = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{top} X$ by definition of the functor $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^\bullet X$, we obtain a continuous map

$$\begin{cases} \Psi : \mathcal{P}(1, p) \times Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{top} X \\ (\phi, z_1, \dots, z_p) \mapsto (\delta_{z_1} \phi_1) * \dots * (\delta_{z_p} \phi_p) \end{cases}$$

where $\phi_i \in \mathcal{P}(\ell_i, 1)$ with $\sum_i \ell_i = 1$ and $\phi = \phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_p$ being a decomposition given by the third axiom of reparametrization category. The map Ψ is bijective by Theorem 6. The continuous maps $Z_i \rightarrow \{0\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ induce by functoriality a map of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces $X \rightarrow \vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}} * \dots * \vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}}$ (p times) and then a continuous map

$$\begin{cases} k : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{top} X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{top} (\vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}} * \dots * \vec{I}^{\mathcal{P}}) = \mathcal{P}(1, p) \\ (\delta_{z_1} \phi_1) * \dots * (\delta_{z_p} \phi_p) \mapsto (\delta_0 \phi_1) * \dots * (\delta_0 \phi_p) = \phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_p. \end{cases}$$

Consider the set map

$$\begin{cases} \bar{k} : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{top} X \longrightarrow Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_p \\ (\delta_{z_1} \phi_1) * \dots * (\delta_{z_p} \phi_p) \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_p). \end{cases}$$

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Suppose that the composite set map $\text{pr}_i \bar{k} : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{top} \rightarrow Z_i$ is not continuous where pr_i is the projection on the i -th factor. All involved topological spaces being sequential, there exist $z_i^\infty \in Z_i$, an open neighborhood V of z_i^∞ in Z_i , and a sequence $((\delta_{z_1^n} \phi_1^n) * \dots * (\delta_{z_p^n} \phi_p^n))_{n \geq 0}$ which converges to $(\delta_{z_1^\infty} \phi_1^\infty) * \dots * (\delta_{z_p^\infty} \phi_p^\infty)$ such that $z_i^n \in Z_i \setminus V$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let $\phi^n = \phi_1^n \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_p^n$ for $n \geq 0$ and $\phi^\infty = \phi_1^\infty \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_p^\infty$. Choose $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $\phi^\infty(t_0) \in]i-1, i[$. The sequence

$$\left(\left((\delta_{z_1^n} \phi_1^n) * \dots * (\delta_{z_p^n} \phi_p^n) \right) (t_0) \right)_{n \geq 0}$$

converges to

$$\left((\delta_{z_1^\infty} \phi_1^\infty) * \dots * (\delta_{z_p^\infty} \phi_p^\infty) \right) (t_0) = (\delta_{z_1^\infty} * \dots * \delta_{z_p^\infty}) (\phi^\infty(t_0)).$$

By continuity of the map $k : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{top} X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(1, p)$, the sequence $(\phi^n(t_0))_{n \geq 0}$ of $[0, p]$ converges to $\phi^\infty(t_0) \in]i-1, i[$. This implies that there exists $N \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $\phi^n(t_0) \in]i-1, i[$. We obtain that the sequence $((z_i^n, \phi^n(t_0) - i + 1))_{n \geq N}$ converges to $(z_i^\infty, \phi^\infty(t_0) - i + 1)$ in $|\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_i)| \setminus \{0, 1\}$. By considering the well-defined projection (the left-hand term being equipped with the relative topology)

$$|\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_i)| \setminus \{0, 1\} \longrightarrow Z_i,$$

we obtain that the sequence $(z_i^n)_{n \geq N}$ converges to z_i^∞ , and therefore that $z_i^\infty \in Z_i \setminus V$, the latter set being closed in Z_i : contradiction.

This means that the composite set map $\text{pr}_i \bar{k}$ is continuous for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, and therefore, by the universal property of the product, that the set map $\bar{k} : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p}^{top} X \rightarrow$

$Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_p$ is continuous. This implies that the set map

$$\Psi^{-1} = (k, \bar{k}) : (\delta_{z_1} \phi_1) * \dots * (\delta_{z_p} \phi_p) \mapsto (\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_p, z_1, \dots, z_p).$$

is continuous and that Ψ is a homeomorphism. \square

Corollary 5. *Let Z_1, \dots, Z_p be p topological spaces of \mathbf{Top} with $p \geq 1$. Consider the \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space $X = \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_1) * \dots * \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(Z_p)$ with $p \geq 1$. There is a homeomorphism*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha_0, \alpha_p} X \cong Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_p.$$

Proof. There are the homeomorphisms

$$\lim_{\mathcal{P}^1} \left(\mathcal{P}(1, p) \times Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_p \right) \cong \left(\lim_{\mathcal{P}^1} \mathcal{P}(1, p) \right) \times Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_p \cong Z_1 \times \dots \times Z_p,$$

the left-hand homeomorphism since \mathbf{Top} is cartesian closed and the right-hand homeomorphism by Proposition 8. The proof is complete thanks to Proposition 23 and Proposition 9. \square

Lemma 1. [23, Lemma 6.10] *Let U_1, \dots, U_p be p first-countable Δ -Hausdorff Δ -generated spaces with $p \geq 1$. Let $(u_n^i)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of U_i for $1 \leq i \leq p$ which converges to $u_\infty^i \in U_i$. Then the sequence $((u_n^1, \dots, u_n^p))_{n \geq 0}$ converges to $(u_\infty^1, \dots, u_\infty^p) \in U_1 \times \dots \times U_p$ for the product calculated in \mathbf{Top} .*

Notation 17. Let \underline{c} be the carrier of some execution path of X_λ . Using the identification provided by the homeomorphism of Proposition 23, we can use the notation

$$(\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \widehat{g}_{\underline{c}})(\phi, z^1, \dots, z^n) = (\widehat{g}_{\nu_1} \delta_{z^1} * \dots * \widehat{g}_{\nu_n} \delta_{z^n}) \phi.$$

Lemma 2 is implicitly used in [23, Theorem 6.11 and Theorem 7.3] and in [26, Theorem 7.7].

Lemma 2. *Let X be a sequential topological space. Let $x_\infty \in X$. Let $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence such that x_∞ is a limit point of all subsequences. Then the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges to x_∞ .*

Proof. Otherwise, consider an open neighborhood V of x_∞ such that for all $n \geq N$, $x_n \notin V$ for some $N \geq 0$. This means that $x_\infty \in X \setminus V$, the subset $X \setminus V$ being sequentially closed in X : contradiction. \square

Theorem 10. *Let \underline{c} be the carrier of some execution path of X_λ .*

(1) *Consider a sequence $(\gamma_k)_{k \geq 0}$ in the image of $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \widehat{g}_{\underline{c}}$ which converges pointwise to γ_∞ in $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda$. Let*

$$\gamma_k = (\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \widehat{g}_{\underline{c}})(\phi_k, z_k^1, \dots, z_k^n)$$

with $\phi_k \in \mathcal{P}(1, n)$ and $z_k^i \in \mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $k \geq 0$. Then there exist $\phi_\infty \in \mathcal{P}(1, n)$ and $z_\infty^i \in \mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_i}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that

$$\gamma_\infty = (\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \widehat{g}_{\underline{c}})(\phi_\infty, z_\infty^1, \dots, z_\infty^n)$$

and such that $(\phi_\infty, z_\infty^1, \dots, z_\infty^n)$ is a limit point of the sequence $((\phi_k, z_k^1, \dots, z_k^n))_{k \geq 0}$.

(2) *The image of $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \widehat{g}_{\underline{c}}$ is closed in $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda$.*

Proof. The case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$ is treated in [23, Theorem 6.11]. Let us suppose that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$. The proof is similar but simpler because it is not necessary to verify anymore that some limit execution paths are regular.

(1) By a Cantor diagonalization argument, we can suppose that the sequence $(z_k^i)_{k \geq 0}$ converges to $z_\infty^i \in \mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_i}}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and that the sequence $(\phi_k(r))_{k \geq 0}$ converges to a real number denoted by $\phi_\infty(r) \in [0, n]$ for all rational numbers $r \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Since the sequence of execution paths $(\gamma_k)_{k \geq 0}$ converges pointwise to γ_∞ , we obtain

$$\gamma_\infty(r) = (\widehat{g_{\nu_1}} \delta_{z_\infty^1} * \cdots * \widehat{g_{\nu_n}} \delta_{z_\infty^n})(\phi_\infty(r))$$

for all $r \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. For $r_1 < r_2 \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, $\phi_k(r_1) \leq \phi_k(r_2)$ for all $k \geq 0$. Therefore by passing to the limit, we obtain $\phi_\infty(r_1) \leq \phi_\infty(r_2)$. Note that $\phi_\infty(0) = 0$ and $\phi_\infty(1) = n$ since $0, 1 \in \mathbb{Q}$. For $t \in]0, 1[$, let us extend the definition of ϕ_∞ as follows:

$$\phi_\infty(t) = \sup\{\phi_\infty(r) \mid r \in]0, t] \cap \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

By continuity, we deduce that

$$\gamma_\infty(t) = (\widehat{g_{\nu_1}} \delta_{z_\infty^1} * \cdots * \widehat{g_{\nu_n}} \delta_{z_\infty^n})(\phi_\infty(t))$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to see that the set map $\phi_\infty : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, n]$ is nondecreasing and that it preserves extremities. By definition of the Moore composition, there exist $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n = 1$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\forall t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i], \gamma_\infty(t) = \widehat{g_{\nu_i}}(z_\infty^i, \phi_\infty(t) - i + 1).$$

This implies that the restriction of ϕ_∞ to $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$ is surjective. We deduce that the nondecreasing set map $\phi_\infty : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, n]$ is surjective, and therefore that $\phi_\infty \in \mathcal{M}(1, n)$. Let $t \in [0, 1] \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. The sequence $(\phi_k(t))_{k \geq 0}$ has at least one limit point ℓ . There exists a subsequence of $(\phi_k(t))_{k \geq 0}$ which converges to ℓ . We obtain: $\forall r \in [0, t] \cap \mathbb{Q}, \forall r' \in [t, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}, \phi_\infty(r) \leq \ell \leq \phi_\infty(r')$. Since $\phi_\infty \in \mathcal{M}(1, n)$ and by density of \mathbb{Q} , we deduce that $\ell = \phi_\infty(t)$ necessarily. Using Lemma 2, we deduce that the sequence $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 0}$ converges pointwise to ϕ_∞ . Using Proposition 3, we deduce that $(\phi_k)_{k \geq 0}$ converges uniformly to ϕ_∞ . We deduce that $(\phi_\infty, z_\infty^1, \dots, z_\infty^n)$ is a limit point of the sequence $((\phi_k, z_k^1, \dots, z_k^n))_{k \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{M}(1, n) \times \mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{D}^{n_{\nu_n}}$ by Proposition 3 and Lemma 1.

(2) Let $(\mathbb{P}^{top} \widehat{g_{\underline{c}}}(\Gamma_n))_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of $(\mathbb{P}^{top} \widehat{g_{\underline{c}}})(X_{\underline{c}})$ which converges in $\mathbb{P}^{top} X_\lambda$. The limit $\gamma_\infty \in \mathbb{P}^{top} X_\lambda$ of the sequence of execution paths $(\mathbb{P}^{top} \widehat{g_{\underline{c}}}(\Gamma_n))_{n \geq 0}$ is also a pointwise limit. We can suppose by extracting a subsequence that the sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of $X_{\underline{c}}$ converges in $X_{\underline{c}}$. Thus, by continuity of $\mathbb{P}^{top} \widehat{g_{\underline{c}}}$, we obtain $\gamma_\infty = (\mathbb{P}^{top} \widehat{g_{\underline{c}}})(\Gamma_\infty)$ for some $\Gamma_\infty \in X_{\underline{c}}$. We deduce that $\mathbb{P}^{top} \widehat{g_{\underline{c}}}(X_{\underline{c}})$ is sequentially closed in $\mathbb{P}^{top} X_\lambda$. Since $\mathbb{P}^{top} X_\lambda$ is sequential, being a Δ -generated space, the proof is complete. \square

As a corollary of Theorem 10, we obtain:

Corollary 6. *Suppose that X_λ is a finite cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space, i.e. X^0 is finite and λ is a finite ordinal. If X_λ has no loops, then the topology of $\mathbb{P}^{top} X_\lambda$ is the topology of the pointwise convergence which is therefore Δ -generated.*

Proof. It is mutatis mutandis the proof of [23, Corollary 6.12]. \square

6. LOCALLY FINITE CELLULAR MULTIPOINTED D-SPACE

We want to give an application of Theorem 8 and Theorem 10 before addressing the main subject of this paper. The reading of this section is not necessary to understand Section 7. A cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space X_λ is fixed.

Definition 14. The cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space space X_λ is *locally finite* if for all $\nu < \lambda$, the set $\{\nu' < \lambda \mid \widehat{c}_{\nu'} \cap c_\nu \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite and each state meets a finite number of \widehat{c}_ν . In other terms, the underlying topological space $|X_\lambda|$, which is cellular by Proposition 16, is locally finite.

Lemma 3 is a consequence of [5, Proposition 3.4] and [5, Proposition 3.10]. It can be easily proved without using diffeological spaces.

Lemma 3. *(well-known) Every Δ -generated space is locally path-connected.*

Proof. Let U be an open subset of a Δ -generated space X . Then U equipped with the relative topology is Δ -generated by [24, Proposition 2.4]. Therefore U equipped with the relative topology is homeomorphic to the disjoint sum of its path-connected components by [20, Proposition 2.8]. Thus X is locally path-connected. \square

Definition 15. A topological space X is *weakly locally path-connected* if for every $x \in X$ and every neighborhood W of x , there exists a path-connected neighborhood (not necessarily open) W' of x such that $W' \subset W$.

Lemma 4. *(well-known) Every weakly locally path-connected space is locally path-connected.*

Proof. Let W be a neighborhood of $x \in X$. Then there exists a path-connected neighborhood W' of x such that $W' \subset W$. This means that W' is included in the path-connected component C of x in W . Therefore x is in the interior of C . Thus C is open and X is locally path-connected. \square

Proposition 24. *Let λ be an ordinal. Let $Z : \lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$ be a colimit-preserving functor such that Z_λ is cellular for the q -model structure of \mathbf{Top} . If the cellular space Z_λ is locally finite, then the topological space Z_λ is metrizable.*

Sketch of proof. The technique used in [14] to reorganize and regroup the cells in a CW-complex using the notion of star of a subset [14, Example 2] works in the same way for cellular topological spaces, even when λ is not countable. Assume first that Z_λ is path-connected. By [14, Proposition 1.5.12], the ordinal λ is countable, Z_λ being locally finite. Using [14, Proposition 1.5.13], the cells are reorganized so that $\lambda = \aleph_0$ and so that each Z_n for n finite is a finite cellular topological space (i.e. built using finitely many cells). Moreover, for all $n \geq 0$, the space Z_n is contained in the interior Z_{n+1}° of Z_{n+1} for the topology of Z_λ and there is the equality

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} Z_n^\circ = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} Z_n = Z_\lambda.$$

Using [14, Theorem 1.5.16], we deduce that Z_λ is metrizable and that it can be embedded in the Hilbert cube equipped with the ℓ^2 metric. This means that the metric of Z_λ is

bounded, namely by the constant $\pi/\sqrt{6}$ which does not depend on Z_λ . In the general case, the Δ -generated space Z_λ is homeomorphic to the disjoint sum of its path-connected components by [20, Proposition 2.8]. Thus, the metric on each path-connected component being bounded by $\pi/\sqrt{6}$, the disjoint sum is metrizable. \square

In fact, we could prove the equivalence for cellular topological spaces of the conditions locally finite, metrizable, locally compact and first-countable as it is done in [14, Proposition 1.5.10 and Proposition 1.5.17] for CW-complexes.

Corollary 7. *Assume X_λ locally finite. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. Then the topological space $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ is metrizable, and therefore sequential and first-countable.*

Proof. By Proposition 24, the topological space $|X_\lambda|$ is metrizable, X_λ being locally finite by hypothesis. The space $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ is therefore metrizable by [33, Proposition A.13]. \square

Proposition 25. *Assume X_λ locally finite. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. The space $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ is locally path-connected.*

Proof. By Lemma 4, it suffices to prove that $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ is weakly locally path-connected. Consider an execution path γ_∞ of $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda$. Let Ω be an open subset of $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ containing γ_∞ . Then Ω is an open subset of $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda$ since the Δ -kelleyfication adds open subsets. Let \mathcal{T} be the set of all carriers of all execution paths of $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda$. Consequently, for each carrier $\underline{c} \in \mathcal{T}$ and for each $\Gamma \in (\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \hat{g}_{\underline{c}})^{-1}(\gamma_\infty)$, there exists an open neighborhood Ω_Γ of Γ such that $(\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \hat{g}_{\underline{c}})(\Omega_\Gamma) \subset \Omega$. By Lemma 3, we can suppose that Ω_Γ is path-connected. Consider

$$U = \bigcup_{\underline{c} \in \mathcal{T}} \bigcup_{\Gamma \in (\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \hat{g}_{\underline{c}})^{-1}(\gamma_\infty)} (\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \hat{g}_{\underline{c}})(\Omega_\Gamma).$$

Then U is path-connected and $U \subset \Omega$. Suppose that γ_∞ is not in the interior of U in $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$. The space $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ being sequential by Corollary 7, there exists a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of execution paths not belonging to U converging to γ_∞ in $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$. Since the set $\{\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n) \mid n \geq 0\}$ is finite by Theorem 8, we can always suppose that the sequence of carriers $(\text{Carrier}(\gamma_n))_{n \geq 0}$ is constant and e.g. equal to some $\underline{c} \in \mathcal{T}$ by extracting a subsequence. Therefore we can write $\gamma_n = (\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \hat{g}_{\underline{c}})(\Gamma_n)$ with $\Gamma_n \in X_{\underline{c}}$ (see Notation 16). The sequence of execution paths $(\gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges pointwise to γ_∞ . Thus, by Theorem 10, we can suppose that the sequence $(\Gamma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges to $\Gamma_\infty \in X_{\underline{c}}$ after extracting a subsequence again. By continuity, we obtain the equality $\gamma_\infty = (\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \hat{g}_{\underline{c}})(\Gamma_\infty)$. There exists $N \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $\Gamma_n \in \Omega_{\Gamma_\infty}$, i.e. $\gamma_n = (\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \hat{g}_{\underline{c}})(\Gamma_n) \in U$ for all $n \geq N$. Contradiction. Thus γ_∞ is in the interior of U . \square

Theorem 11. *Assume X_λ locally finite. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. The topological space $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ equipped with the compact-open topology is Δ -generated. The topological space*

$$\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda = \coprod_{(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0} \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda$$

is metrizable with the distance of the uniform convergence. The underlying topology is the compact-open topology.

Proof. By Corollary 7, the topological space $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ is first-countable. The space $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ is locally path-connected by Proposition 25. Using [5, Proposition 3.11], we

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})) = \text{Glob}(D) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(g)} & \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{f} \\
\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^n)) = \text{Glob}(E) & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & \bar{X} \\
& & \downarrow \psi \\
& & \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)
\end{array}$$

$\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(f)} \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$
 $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^n)) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\hat{g})} \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$

FIGURE 1. Definition of \bar{X}

deduce that $(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ is Δ -generated. The set of all execution paths equipped with the compact-open topology $(\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ satisfies

$$(\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co} \cong \coprod_{(\alpha,\beta) \in X^0 \times X^0} (\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$$

because X^0 is a discrete subspace of $|X_\lambda|$. Hence $(\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X_\lambda)_{co}$ is Δ -generated and metrizable by the distance of the uniform convergence. \square

7. MULTIPOINTED D-SPACE AND MOORE FLOW

Consider a pushout diagram of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{g} & A \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^n) & \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} & X
\end{array}$$

with $n \geq 0$ and A cellular. Note that $A^0 = X^0$. Let $D = \mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}} \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and $E = \mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}} \mathbf{D}^n$. Consider the \mathcal{P} -flow \bar{X} defined by the pushout diagram of Figure 1 where the two equalities

$$\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})) = \text{Glob}(D)$$

$$\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^n)) = \text{Glob}(E)$$

come from Proposition 14 and where the map ψ is induced by the universal property of the pushout.

The \mathcal{P} -space of execution paths of the Moore flow \bar{X} can be calculated by introducing a diagram of \mathcal{P} -spaces \mathcal{D}^f over a Reedy category $\mathcal{P}^{g(0),g(1)}(A^0)$ whose definition is recalled now. It was introduced for the first time in [24, Section 3].

Let S be a nonempty set. Let $\mathcal{P}^{u,v}(S)$ ³ be the small category defined by generators and relations as follows:

- $u, v \in S$ (u and v may be equal).
- The objects are the tuples of the form

$$\underline{m} = ((u_0, \epsilon_1, u_1), (u_1, \epsilon_2, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, \epsilon_n, u_n))$$

³The use of the letter \mathcal{P} here has nothing to do with the reparametrization category \mathcal{P} . It is a bit unfortunate but I prefer not to change the notation.

with $n \geq 1$, $u_0, \dots, u_n \in S$, $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\forall i \text{ such that } 1 \leq i \leq n, \epsilon_i = 1 \Rightarrow (u_{i-1}, u_i) = (u, v).$$

- There is an arrow

$$c_{n+1} : (\underline{m}, (x, 0, y), (y, 0, z), \underline{n}) \rightarrow (\underline{m}, (x, 0, z), \underline{n})$$

for every tuple $\underline{m} = ((u_0, \epsilon_1, u_1), (u_1, \epsilon_2, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, \epsilon_n, u_n))$ with $n \geq 1$ and every tuple $\underline{n} = ((u'_0, \epsilon'_1, u'_1), (u'_1, \epsilon'_2, u'_2), \dots, (u'_{n'-1}, \epsilon'_{n'}, u'_{n'}))$ with $n' \geq 1$. It is called a *composition map*.

- There is an arrow

$$I_{n+1} : (\underline{m}, (u, 0, v), \underline{n}) \rightarrow (\underline{m}, (u, 1, v), \underline{n})$$

for every tuple $\underline{m} = ((u_0, \epsilon_1, u_1), (u_1, \epsilon_2, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, \epsilon_n, u_n))$ with $n \geq 1$ and every tuple $\underline{n} = ((u'_0, \epsilon'_1, u'_1), (u'_1, \epsilon'_2, u'_2), \dots, (u'_{n'-1}, \epsilon'_{n'}, u'_{n'}))$ with $n' \geq 1$. It is called an *inclusion map*.

- There are the relations (group A) $c_i \cdot c_j = c_{j-1} \cdot c_i$ if $i < j$ (which means since c_i and c_j may correspond to several maps that if c_i and c_j are composable, then there exist c_{j-1} and c_i composable satisfying the equality).
- There are the relations (group B) $I_i \cdot I_j = I_j \cdot I_i$ if $i \neq j$. By definition of these maps, I_i is never composable with itself.
- There are the relations (group C)

$$c_i \cdot I_j = \begin{cases} I_{j-1} \cdot c_i & \text{if } j \geq i + 2 \\ I_j \cdot c_i & \text{if } j \leq i - 1. \end{cases}$$

By definition of these maps, c_i and I_i are never composable as well as c_i and I_{i+1} .

By [24, Proposition 3.7], there exists a structure of Reedy category on $\mathcal{P}^{u,v}(S)$ with the \mathbb{N} -valued degree map defined by

$$d((u_0, \epsilon_1, u_1), (u_1, \epsilon_2, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, \epsilon_n, u_n)) = n + \sum_i \epsilon_i.$$

The maps raising the degree are the inclusion maps. The maps decreasing the degree are the composition maps.

Let T be the \mathcal{P} -space defined by the pushout diagram of $[\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}M^{\mathcal{P}}(g)} & \mathbb{P}_{g(0),g(1)}M^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{P}\bar{f} \\ E & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}\bar{g}} & T. \end{array}$$

Consider the diagram of spaces $\mathcal{D}^f : \mathcal{P}^{g(0),g(1)}(A^0) \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}^f((u_0, \epsilon_1, u_1), (u_1, \epsilon_2, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, \epsilon_n, u_n)) = Z_{u_0, u_1} \otimes Z_{u_1, u_2} \otimes \dots \otimes Z_{u_{n-1}, u_n}$$

with

$$Z_{u_{i-1}, u_i} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{P}_{u_{i-1}, u_i}M^{\mathcal{P}}(A) & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 0 \\ T & \text{if } \epsilon_i = 1 \end{cases}$$

In the case $\epsilon_i = 1$, $(u_{i-1}, u_i) = (g(0), g(1))$ by definition of $\mathcal{P}^{g(0), g(1)}(A^0)$. The inclusion maps I'_i 's are induced by the map $\mathbb{P}\bar{f} : \mathbb{P}_{g(0), g(1)}\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \rightarrow T$. The composition maps c'_i 's are induced by the compositions of paths of the Moore flow $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(A)$.

Theorem 12. [22, Theorem 9.7] *We obtain a well-defined diagram of \mathcal{P} -spaces*

$$\mathcal{D}^f : \mathcal{P}^{g(0), g(1)}(A^0) \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}]_0.$$

There is the isomorphism of \mathcal{P} -spaces $\varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f \cong \mathbb{P}\bar{X}$.

By the universal property of the pushout, we obtain a canonical map of \mathcal{P} -spaces

$$\mathbb{P}\psi : \varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}X.$$

Definition 16. Let \underline{x} be an element of some vertex of the diagram of spaces \mathcal{D}^f . We say that $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{D}^f(\underline{n})$ is *simplified* if

$$d(\underline{n}) = \min \left\{ d(\underline{m}) \mid \exists \underline{m} \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{P}^{g(0), g(1)}(A^0)) \text{ and } \exists \underline{y} \in \mathcal{D}^f(\underline{m}), \underline{y} = \underline{x} \in \varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f \right\}.$$

Theorem 13. *Under the hypotheses and the notations of this section. The map of \mathcal{P} -spaces*

$$\mathbb{P}\psi : \varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The structure of the proof is the same as the one of the proofs of [23, Theorem 7.2 and Theorem 7.3]. At first it must be proved that the map $\mathbb{P}\psi$ is an objectwise bijection. The role of [23, Theorem 5.20] is played by Theorem 7. Then it must be proved that the map $\mathbb{P}\psi$ is an objectwise homeomorphism. The roles of [23, Theorem 5.19] and [23, Theorem 6.11] are played by Theorem 9 and Theorem 10 respectively.

The map ψ of Figure 1 is obtained by the universal property of the pushout. Thus, it is bijective on states. It then suffices to prove that the map

$$\mathbb{P}^1\psi : \varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X) = \mathbb{P}^{top}X$$

is a homeomorphism since $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{P}$. By Theorem 7, every execution path of X can be written as a finite Moore composition $(f_1\gamma_1\mu_{\ell_1}) * \cdots * (f_n\gamma_n\mu_{\ell_n})$ with $n \geq 1$ such that $\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n = 1$ and such that $f_i = f$ and γ_i is an execution path of A or $f_i = \hat{g}$ and $\gamma_i = \delta_{z_i}\phi_i$ with $z_i \in \mathbf{D}^n \setminus \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and some $\phi_i \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)$. Let $\bar{f}_i = \bar{g}$ if $f_i = \hat{g}$ and $\bar{f}_i = \bar{f}$ if $f_i = f$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This gives rise to the execution path $\mathbb{P}\bar{f}_1(\gamma_1\mu_{\ell_1}) * \cdots * \mathbb{P}\bar{f}_n(\gamma_n\mu_{\ell_n})$ of the Moore flow \bar{X} . By the commutativity of the diagram of Figure 1, we obtain the equality

$$(f_1\gamma_1\mu_{\ell_1}) * \cdots * (f_n\gamma_n\mu_{\ell_n}) = (\mathbb{P}^1\psi) \left(\mathbb{P}\bar{f}_1(\gamma_1\mu_{\ell_1}) * \cdots * \mathbb{P}\bar{f}_n(\gamma_n\mu_{\ell_n}) \right).$$

This means that the map of Moore flows $\psi : \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ induces a surjective continuous map from $\mathbb{P}^1\bar{X}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{top}X$. In other terms, the map $\mathbb{P}^1\psi$ is a surjection.

Let $\underline{n} = (u_0, \epsilon_1, u_1), (u_1, \epsilon_2, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, \epsilon_n, u_n)$. By [22, Corollary 5.13], the topological space $(Z_{u_0, u_1} \otimes Z_{u_1, u_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes Z_{u_{n-1}, u_n})(1)$ is the quotient of

$$\coprod_{(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)} \mathcal{P}(1, \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_n) \times Z_{u_0, u_1}(\ell_1) \times \cdots \times Z_{u_{n-1}, u_n}(\ell_n)$$

by the equivalence relation generated by the identifications

$$(\phi, x_1\phi_1, \dots, x_p\phi_p) \sim ((\phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_p)\phi, x_1, \dots, x_p)$$

for $\phi \in \mathcal{P}(1, \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_p)$, $\phi_i \in \mathcal{P}(\ell_i, \ell'_i)$ and $x_i \in Z_{u_{i-1}, u_i}(\ell'_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Assume that

$$(\phi, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathcal{P}(1, \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n) \times Z_{u_0, u_1}(\ell_1) \times \dots \times Z_{u_{n-1}, u_n}(\ell_n)$$

is a representative of \underline{x} in $\mathcal{D}^f(\underline{n})$ with \underline{x} simplified. Then

$$\mathbb{P}^1\psi(\underline{x}) = ((f_1\gamma_1) * \dots * (f_n\gamma_n))\phi$$

with $f_i = f$ if $\epsilon_i = 0$ and $f_i = \hat{g}$ if $\epsilon_i = 1$. Using Proposition 4, write $\phi = \phi_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_n$ with $\phi_i : \ell'_i \rightarrow \ell_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ for some ℓ'_1, \dots, ℓ'_n such that $\ell'_1 + \dots + \ell'_n = 1$. Then one has

$$(\phi, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \sim (\text{Id}_1, \gamma_1\phi_1, \dots, \gamma_n\phi_n)$$

in $\mathcal{D}^f(\underline{n})$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}^1\psi(\underline{x}) = (f_1\gamma_1\phi_1) * \dots * (f_n\gamma_n\phi_n).$$

Recall that

$$d((u_0, \epsilon_1, u_1), (u_1, \epsilon_2, u_2), \dots, (u_{n-1}, \epsilon_n, u_n)) = n + \sum_i \epsilon_i.$$

As already explained in the proof of [23, Theorem 7.2] with a lot of details in the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, since \underline{x} is simplified by hypothesis, it is impossible to have $\epsilon_i = \epsilon_{i+1} = 0$ for some $1 \leq i < n$. There is a composition map starting from \underline{n} in $\mathcal{P}^{g(0), g(1)}(A^0)$ otherwise, which identifies \underline{x} to some $\underline{y} \in \mathcal{D}^f(\underline{m})$ in $\varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1)$ with $d(\underline{m}) < d(\underline{n})$, and it is a contradiction. As also already seen in the proof of [23, Theorem 7.2] in the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, if $\epsilon_i = 1$, then $\gamma_i = \bar{g}\delta_{z_i}\psi_i$ with $z_i \in \mathbf{D}^n \setminus \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ and $\psi_i \in \mathcal{P}(\ell_i, 1)$. Indeed, if $z_i \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$, then there is an inclusion map whose image contains \underline{x} , which means also that \underline{x} is identified to some $\underline{y} \in \mathcal{D}^f(\underline{m})$ in $\varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1)$ with $d(\underline{m}) < d(\underline{n})$, which contradicts the fact that \underline{x} is simplified.

This means that the finite Moore composition $(f_1\gamma_1\phi_1) * \dots * (f_n\gamma_n\phi_n)$ is one of the finite Moore compositions given by Theorem 7. Consider another simplified element \underline{x}' in $\mathcal{D}^f(\underline{n}')$ such that $\mathbb{P}^1\psi(\underline{x}) = \mathbb{P}^1\psi(\underline{x}')$. It gives rise to another finite Moore composition $(f'_1\gamma'_1\phi'_1) * \dots * (f'_n\gamma'_n\phi'_n)$ as the ones given by Theorem 7. Using Theorem 7, we deduce that $n = n'$ and that

$$(R) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n, r_i = \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma_i) = \underline{\text{nat}}^{\text{gl}}(\gamma'_i), \gamma_i = r_i\eta_i, \gamma'_i = r_i\eta'_i$$

and

$$(P) \quad (\eta_1\phi_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (\eta_n\phi_n) = (\eta'_1\phi'_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (\eta'_n\phi'_n).$$

We then obtain in $(Z_{u_0, u_1} \otimes Z_{u_1, u_2} \otimes \dots \otimes Z_{u_{n-1}, u_n})(1)$ the following sequence of identifications:

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) &\sim ((\eta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \eta_n)\phi, r_1, \dots, r_n) \\ &= ((\eta'_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \eta'_n)\phi', r_1, \dots, r_n) \\ &\sim (\phi', \gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_n), \end{aligned}$$

the first and third identifications by (R) and [22, Corollary 5.13] and the equality by (P). This means that $\underline{x} = \underline{x}'$ in $\varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1)$ and, therefore, that the map $\mathbb{P}^1\psi$ is one-to-one.

At this point, it is proved that the map $\mathbb{P}^1\psi : \varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{top}X$ is a continuous bijection with $\varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1)$ equipped with the final topology. When we work with the category of Δ -Hausdorff Δ -generated spaces, we deduce that $\varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1)$ equipped with the final topology is Δ -Hausdorff as well, the space $\mathbb{P}^{top}X$ being Δ -Hausdorff. So whether we work with Δ -Hausdorff or not Δ -generated spaces, the topology of $\varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1)$ is always the final topology.

By [23, Corollary 2.3], we must now prove that for all set maps $\xi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1)$, if the composite map $(\mathbb{P}^1\psi)\xi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{top}X$ is continuous, then the set map $\xi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1)$ is continuous as well. By Theorem 9, the set of carriers

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\text{Carrier}((\mathbb{P}^1\psi)\xi(u)) \mid u \in [0, 1]\}$$

is finite. For each carrier $\underline{c} \in \mathcal{T}$, let

$$U_{\underline{c}} = \{u \in [0, 1] \mid \text{Carrier}(\bar{\xi}(u)) = \underline{c}\}.$$

Consider the closure $\widehat{U}_{\underline{c}}$ of $U_{\underline{c}}$ in $[0, 1]$. We obtain a finite covering of $[0, 1]$ by the closed subsets $\widehat{U}_{\underline{c}}$ for \underline{c} running over \mathcal{T} . Each $\widehat{U}_{\underline{c}}$ is compact, metrizable and therefore sequential. Note that $\widehat{U}_{\underline{c}}$ has no reason to be Δ -generated: it could be e.g. the Cantor set which is not Δ -generated because it is not homeomorphic to the disjoint sum of its path-connected components. Fix the carrier \underline{c} .

The end of the proof is the *third reduction* and *sequential continuity* sections of the proof of [23, Theorem 7.3] with the use of Theorem 10 instead of [23, Theorem 6.11]. The argument is sketched for the ease of the reader. It suffices to prove that the restriction

$$\xi : \widehat{U}_{\underline{c}} \longrightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1)$$

is sequentially continuous to complete the proof. Let $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of $\widehat{U}_{\underline{c}}$ which converges to u_∞ . Then the sequence of execution paths $(\mathbb{P}^1\psi(\xi(u_n)))_{n \geq 0}$ converges to $\mathbb{P}^1\psi(\xi(u_\infty))$, and therefore, it converges pointwise. All execution paths $\mathbb{P}^1\psi(\xi(u_n))$ for $n \geq 0$ and $\mathbb{P}^1\psi(\xi(u_\infty))$ belong to the image of $\mathbb{P}^{top}\widehat{g}_{\underline{c}}$ (see Notation 16), this image being closed in $\mathbb{P}^{top}X_\lambda$ by Theorem 10. Besides, each subsequence of $(\mathbb{P}^1\psi(\xi(u_n)))_{n \geq 0}$ has a limit point by Theorem 10. This limit point is unique since

$$\mathbb{P}^1\psi : \varinjlim \mathcal{D}^f(1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{top}X$$

is a bijection. The proof is complete thanks to Lemma 2. \square

Corollary 8. *Suppose that A is a cellular \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Consider a pushout diagram of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^n) & \longrightarrow & X \end{array} \quad \Gamma$$

with $n \geq 0$. Then there is the pushout diagram of Moore flows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1})) = \text{Glob}(\mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}} \mathbf{S}^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{P}}(\mathbf{D}^n)) = \text{Glob}(\mathbb{F}_1^{\mathcal{P}^{op}} \mathbf{D}^n) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X). \end{array}$$

Corollary 9. ([23, Corollary 7.5] for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M}) Let X be a q -cofibrant \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Then the \mathcal{P} -flow $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is q -cofibrant.

Proof. As for [23, Corollary 7.5], it is a consequence of Theorem 5 and Corollary 8. \square

Theorem 14. Consider the adjunction $\mathbb{M}_1^{\mathcal{P}} \dashv \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}$ between \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces and \mathcal{P} -flows. Then the unit map and the counit map induce isomorphisms on q -cofibrant objects. This adjunction is a Quillen equivalence between the q -model structures of \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces and of \mathcal{P} -flows.

Proof. From Corollary 8 and Theorem 5, we deduce that the unit map and the counit map are isomorphisms on cellular objects, and then, on q -cofibrant objects since the retract of an isomorphism is an isomorphism. From this fact and the fact that all objects are q -fibrant, we deduce that the Quillen adjunction is a Quillen equivalence. See the proofs of [23, Theorem 7.6, Corollary 7.9 and Theorem 8.1] for further details. \square

Definition 17. The category of small topologically enriched semicategories is isomorphic to the category of $\mathbf{1}$ -flows ($\mathbf{1}$ being the terminal category viewed as a reparametrization category). This category is denoted by **Flow** and its objects are called *flows* (without using the prefixes Moore or $\mathbf{1}$).

Notation 18. Let \mathcal{C} be a small category. The *constant diagram functor* is denoted by

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Top}.$$

By [22, Proposition 10.5], the constant diagonal functor induces a functor denoted by

$$\mathbb{M} : \mathbf{Flow} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow}$$

such that $\mathbb{M}(X)^0 = X^0$ and such that $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta} \mathbb{M}(X) = \Delta_{\mathcal{P}^{op}}(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta} X)$ for all $(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0$. By [22, Proposition 10.6], for any \mathcal{P} -flow Y , the data

- The set of states is Y^0
- For all $\alpha, \beta \in Y^0$, let $Y_{\alpha,\beta} = \varinjlim \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta} Y$
- For all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in Y^0$, the composition law $Y_{\alpha,\beta} \times Y_{\beta,\gamma} \rightarrow Y_{\alpha,\gamma}$

assemble to a flow denoted by $\mathbb{M}_1(Y)$. It yields a well-defined functor

$$\mathbb{M}_1 : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow} \rightarrow \mathbf{Flow}.$$

By [22, Theorem 10.9], the pair of functors $(\mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M})$ gives rise to a Quillen equivalence

$$\mathbb{M}_1 \dashv \mathbb{M}.$$

Notation 19. Let $\text{cat} = \mathbb{M}_1 \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}} : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \rightarrow \mathbf{Flow}$.

Notation 20. Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Let $\alpha, \beta \in X^0$. The identity of $\mathbb{M}_1 \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ gives rise to a map $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{M} \mathbb{M}_1 \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, and by applying $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^1$ to a continuous map $[-]_{\alpha,\beta} : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{top} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta} \text{cat}(X)$.

Theorem 15. ([23, Theorem 8.11] and [23, Theorem 8.14] for \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{M}) Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Then one has (cf. Notation 9)

$$\text{cat}(X)^0 = X^0 \text{ and } \forall(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0, \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} \text{cat}(X) = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X$$

and the canonical map $[-]_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} \text{cat}(X)$ is the quotient map which takes an execution path of X from α to β to its equivalence class up to reparametrization by $\mathcal{P}(1, 1)$. The functor $\text{cat} : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \rightarrow \mathbf{Flow}$ takes q -cofibrant \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces to q -cofibrant flows. Its total left derived functor in the sense of [9] induces an equivalence of categories between the homotopy categories of the q -model structures.

Proof. The equality $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} \text{cat}(X) = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X$ comes from the definition of the colimit: see the proof of [23, Theorem 8.11]. The rest of the proof is mutatis mutandis the proof of [23, Theorem 8.14] by replacing \mathcal{G} by \mathcal{P} and by using Theorem 14. We recall the definition of the functors for the convenience of the reader:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{Lcat}) : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} &\xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{cof}}} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \xrightarrow{\text{cat}} \mathbf{Flow} \\ (\mathbf{Lcat})^{-1} : \mathbf{Flow} &\xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{cof}}} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}_i^{\mathcal{P}}} \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \end{aligned}$$

where $(-)^{\text{cof}}$ is a q -cofibrant replacement functor for the corresponding category. \square

[17, Theorem IV.3.10 and Theorem IV.3.14] state in the language of this paper that, for all cellular \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -spaces X and all $\alpha, \beta \in X^0$, the quotient map $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X$ is a trivial h -fibration of \mathbf{Top} . The language used in [17] is different because \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -spaces were introduced four years later in [20].

Consequently, the quotient map $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X$ is also a trivial h -fibration of \mathbf{Top} for all q -cofibrant \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -spaces X and all $\alpha, \beta \in X^0$, the retract of a trivial h -fibration being a trivial h -fibration. The proof relies on [17, Theorem III.5.2] which is fixed in [24, Theorem 6.8]. The latter states that the quotient map $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X$ has always a section when X is a cellular \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -space.

It is not clear whether this section exists for all cellular \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces. This means that the proof of [17] does not seem to be generalizable to the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$. The best that can be said is that the quotient map $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X$ has a section for the so-called *regular* \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces (the proof will not be given here because it is off-topic). A regular \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space is, by definition, a cellular \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space X_λ such that for all globular cells c_ν of X_λ and all $z \in \mathbf{D}^{n_\nu}$, the execution path $\widehat{g}_\nu \delta_z$ is regular. Remember that, in general, the execution paths $\widehat{g}_\nu \delta_z$ are regular only when $z \in \mathbf{D}^{n_\nu} \setminus \mathbf{S}^{n_\nu - 1}$ by Proposition 18. In plain English, a regular \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space is a cellular \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space such that the attaching maps take regular execution paths of the boundary of globular cells to regular execution paths.

Thanks to the results of this paper, we can still prove that this quotient map is a homotopy equivalence for all cellular \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces as follows.

Theorem 16. Let X be a q -cofibrant \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Let $\alpha, \beta \in X^0$. Then the quotient map

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta} X$$

is a homotopy equivalence from an m -cofibrant space to a q -cofibrant space.

Proof. By Corollary 9, the \mathcal{P} -flow $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is q-cofibrant. By [22, Theorem 9.11], we deduce that the \mathcal{P} -space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is projective q-cofibrant. Using [21, Corollary 7.2], we deduce that the \mathcal{P} -space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is injective m-cofibrant. This implies that the topological space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{top}}X = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^1\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is m-cofibrant. By Theorem 15, the flow $\text{cat}(X)$ is q-cofibrant. Using [22, Theorem 9.11] again or [24, Theorem 5.7], we deduce that the topological space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}\text{cat}(X) = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}X$ is q-cofibrant. The \mathcal{P} -flow $\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ being q-cofibrant, from the Quillen equivalence $\mathbb{M}_! \dashv \mathbb{M}$, we obtain the weak equivalence of \mathcal{P} -flows

$$\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}\mathbb{M}_!\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X).$$

By applying $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^1(-)$ to both sides, we deduce a weak homotopy equivalence of topological spaces

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\text{top}}X = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^1\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^1\mathbb{M}\mathbb{M}_!\mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{P}}(X) = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^1\mathbb{M}(\text{cat}(X)) = \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}X$$

from an m-cofibrant space to a q-cofibrant space, i.e. between two m-cofibrant spaces. By [6, Corollary 3.4], we deduce that this map is a homotopy equivalence. \square

We do not know whether this quotient map is still, at least, a weak homotopy equivalence for a general \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. It is likely that the saturation hypothesis introduced in Section 8 plays a role.

Proposition 26 should have been put in [22] as an application of the results of the latter paper: it is an omission. It is used in Theorem 17. The inclusion functor $i : \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ induces an enriched functor

$$i^* : [\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}] \longrightarrow [\mathcal{G}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}]$$

from \mathcal{M} -spaces to \mathcal{G} -spaces. It is a right adjoint between the underlying categories, the left adjoint being the enriched left Kan extension along i given by the formula

$$\text{Lan}_i(D) = \int^{\ell} \mathcal{M}(-, i(\ell)) \times D(\ell).$$

Proposition 26. *The functor $i^* : [\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{G}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}]$ induces a functor*

$$i^* : \mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow}$$

which is a right Quillen equivalence between the q-model structures of $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow}$ and $\mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow}$.

Proof. By [22, Section 6], a \mathcal{P} -flow consists of a set of states X^0 , for each pair (α, β) of states a \mathcal{P} -space $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}X$ of $[\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ and for each triple (α, β, γ) of states an associative composition law $* : \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}^{\ell_1}X \times \mathbb{P}_{\beta,\gamma}^{\ell_2}X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\gamma}^{\ell_1+\ell_2}X$ which is natural with respect to (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) in an obvious way. From an \mathcal{M} -flow D , we therefore obtain a \mathcal{G} -flow $i^*(D)$ with $D^0 = i^*(D)^0$ and $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}i^*(D) = i^*(\mathbb{P}_{\alpha,\beta}D)$. By the explicit calculation of limits in $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow}$ and in $\mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow}$ made in [22, Theorem 6.8], and since limits are calculated objectwise in $[\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ and $[\mathcal{G}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ by [21, Proposition 5.3], the functor $i^* : \mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow}$ is limit-preserving. By [22, Theorem 6.13], the \mathcal{P} -space of execution paths functor $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{Flow} \rightarrow [\mathcal{P}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ of Definition 3 is a right adjoint for any reparametrization category \mathcal{P} ⁴. Therefore it is accessible by [2, Theorem 1.66]. Since colimits are calculated objectwise in $[\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ and $[\mathcal{G}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Top}]_0$ by [21, Proposition 5.3], the functor $i^* : \mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow}$ is then accessible. Therefore it is a right adjoint by [2, Theorem 1.66]. The functor

⁴Note that this fact holds because we work with locally presentable categories: see [24, Theorem 5.10].

$i^* : \mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow}$ preserves q-fibrations and trivial q-fibrations by definition of the q-model structures. Consequently, it is a right Quillen adjoint. Thus the commutative diagram of right adjoints

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Top} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{Top} \\ \Delta_{\mathcal{M}^{op}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{G}^{op}} \\ [\mathcal{M}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}] & \xrightarrow{i^*} & [\mathcal{G}^{op}, \mathbf{Top}] \end{array}$$

gives rise by [22, Proposition 10.7] to the commutative diagram of right Quillen adjoints

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Flow} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathbf{Flow} \\ \mathbb{M} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{M} \\ \mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow} & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow} \end{array}$$

where \mathbf{Flow} is equipped with its q-model structure. By [22, Theorem 10.9], the two vertical right Quillen adjoints are right Quillen equivalences. The proof is complete thanks to the two-out-of-three property. \square

We conclude with the following comparison theorem:

Theorem 17. *The inclusion functor $i : \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{M}$ induces a functor*

$$j : \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathbf{dTop}.$$

There is the commutative square of right Quillen equivalences between the four q-model structures

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{G}\mathbf{dTop} \\ \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{M}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbb{M}^{\mathcal{G}} \\ \mathcal{M}\mathbf{Flow} & \xrightarrow{i^*} & \mathcal{G}\mathbf{Flow} \end{array}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the diagram is commutative: each functor is a forgetful functor indeed. The forgetful functor $\Omega : \mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop} \rightarrow \mathbf{MTop}$ from \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces to multipointed spaces being topological by Theorem 2 for \mathcal{P} equal to \mathcal{G} or \mathcal{M} , the functor $j : \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathbf{dTop}$ is limit-preserving and finitely accessible: *finitely* because a multipointed d -space is equipped with a *set* of execution paths and because the Ω -final structure is given by the *finite* Moore compositions by Theorem 2. By [2, Theorem 1.66], the functor $j : \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathbf{dTop}$ is therefore a right adjoint. It takes (trivial resp.) q-fibrations to (trivial resp.) q-fibrations by definition of them. Thus it is a right Quillen adjoint. The two vertical functors are right Quillen equivalences by Theorem 14. The bottom horizontal functor is a right Quillen equivalence by Proposition 26. The proof is complete thanks to the two-out-of-three property. \square

Notation 21. Write $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{G}\mathbf{dTop} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}$ for the left adjoint of the inclusion functor $j : \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop} \subset \mathcal{G}\mathbf{dTop}$.

The unit of the adjunction

$$X \longrightarrow j(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{M}}(X))$$

preserves the underlying space and the set of states. It induces a map from the space of execution paths of X to its closure under the reparametrization by all maps of \mathcal{M} . It is a weak homotopy equivalence when X is a q-cofibrant \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -space by Theorem 17: this assertion is also a consequence of Corollary 8 and Theorem 5 and of the fact that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{M}}(\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{G}}(Z)) = \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(Z)$$

for all topological spaces Z . The counit map

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{M}}(j(Y)) \xrightarrow{\cong} Y$$

is an isomorphism for all \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces Y by definition of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{M}}$. By Theorem 17, we deduce that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{M}}(j(Y)^{\text{cof}})$ is a q-cofibrant replacement of Y in \mathbf{MdTop} where $j(Y)^{\text{cof}}$ is a q-cofibrant replacement of $j(Y)$ in \mathbf{GdTop} . The latter fact can be proved directly by obtaining a q-cofibrant replacement by the small object argument.

8. THE SATURATION HYPOTHESIS

This notion is very important in DAT. It appears in various forms in the literature: see [10, Definition 4.3] [13, Remark 4.3] [36, Definition 2.9] [52, Definition 2.18]. In [52], this notion leads to an isomorphism between two categories of continuous (i.e. non-multipointed) geometric models of concurrency, namely the full subcategory of saturated d -spaces of the category of Grandis' d -spaces in the sense of [32] and the full subcategory of good streams of the category of Krishnan's streams in the sense of [39]. It is worth nothing that all examples coming from concurrency theory are saturated.

The saturation hypothesis is a closure property. In the setting of this paper, the idea is that a continuous path of the underlying space of a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space such that there exists a reparametrization which is an execution path should be an execution path as well. This way, we avoid pathological behaviors like the one of the multipointed d -space \mathbb{I}_{ϕ} defined in the proof of Proposition 28. All \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -spaces are automatically saturated because all maps of \mathcal{G} are invertible. The purpose of this section is to prove that the saturation hypothesis can be added to the definition of an \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space without changing the main results of this paper.

Notation 22. Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. Consider for all $\alpha, \beta \in X^0$ the set of continuous paths

$$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X = \{\gamma \in \mathbf{Top}([0, 1], |X|) \mid \exists \phi \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1), \gamma \phi \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X\}.$$

Let

$$\widehat{\mathbb{P}}^{\text{top}} X = \coprod_{(\alpha, \beta) \in X^0 \times X^0} \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X.$$

Proposition 27. *Let X be a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. The triple $\widehat{X} = (|X|, X^0, \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^{\text{top}} X)$ with $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \widehat{X} = \widehat{\mathbb{P}}^{\text{top}} X$ is a \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space. The inclusion $X \subset \widehat{X}$ yields a natural map of multipointed d -spaces.*

Proof. When $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{G}$, one has $\widehat{X} = X$ since all maps of \mathcal{G} are invertible.

Assume for the rest of the proof that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{M}$. Let $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X \times \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\beta, \gamma}^{\text{top}} X$. Then there exist $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1)$ such that $\gamma_1 \phi_1 \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X$ and $\gamma_2 \phi_2 \in \mathbb{P}_{\beta, \gamma}^{\text{top}} X$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_1 \phi_1) *_N (\gamma_2 \phi_2) &= (\gamma_1 \phi_1 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) * (\gamma_2 \phi_2 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= (\gamma_1 * \gamma_2) \left((\phi_1 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes (\phi_2 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\ &= \left((\gamma_1 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) * (\gamma_2 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \left(\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \otimes \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \right) \left((\phi_1 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes (\phi_2 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \\ &= (\gamma_1 *_N \gamma_2) \left((\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \phi_1 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) \otimes (\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \phi_2 \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

the first and last equalities by definition of the normalized composition, the two other equalities by definition of the Moore composition of paths and by definition of \otimes . We deduce that $\gamma_1 *_N \gamma_2 \in \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha, \gamma}^{\text{top}} X$.

Let $\gamma \in \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X$. Then there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1)$ such that $\gamma \phi \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1)$. Then by [10, Proposition 2.19], there exist $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1)$ such that $\psi \phi \xi_1 = \phi \xi_2$: geometrically, the two execution paths $\psi \phi$ and ϕ of $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{M}}$ are reparametrization equivalent. We obtain $\gamma \psi \phi \xi_1 = \gamma \phi \xi_2$. From $\gamma \phi \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X$, we deduce that $\gamma \psi \phi \xi_1 \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X$ as well, and therefore that $\gamma \psi \in \widehat{\mathbb{P}}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X$. The proof is complete. \square

Definition 18. A \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -space X is *saturated* if the natural map $X \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ is an isomorphism. The full subcategory of saturated d -spaces is denoted by $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}}$.

Proposition 28. *All \mathcal{G} -multipointed d -spaces are saturated. There exists an \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space which is not saturated. An \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space is saturated if and only if any continuous path which is reparametrization equivalent (see Definition 7 and [10, Definition 1.2]) to an execution path is an execution path.*

Proof. The first and last assertions are clear. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1) \setminus \mathcal{G}(1, 1)$. Consider the \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space \mathbb{I}_ϕ defined by $(|\mathbb{I}_\phi|, \mathbb{I}_\phi^0) = ([0, 1], \{0, 1\})$ and $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} \mathbb{I}_\phi = \mathbb{P}_{0,1}^{\text{top}} \mathbb{I}_\phi = \{\phi \psi \mid \psi \in \mathcal{P}(1, 1)\}$. Then the \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space \mathbb{I}_ϕ is not saturated. \square

Proposition 29. *The category $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}}$ is a reflective locally presentable full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}$.*

Proof. The left adjoint of the inclusion $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}$ is given by the functor $X \mapsto \widehat{X}$. The category $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}}$ is axiomatized by the theory described in the proof of Proposition 6 with the additional axioms $(\forall x) R(x.t) \Rightarrow R(x)$ with $t \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1)$ (see [13, Remark 4.3]) where R is the $[0, 1]$ -ary relational symbol encoding execution paths. By [2, Theorem 5.30], the proof is complete. \square

Proposition 30. *A retract of a saturated \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space is saturated.*

Proof. Let X be a retract of a saturated \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space Y . This means that the identity of X factors as a composite $r.i : X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ ($r.i$ means the composition of r and i). Let γ be a continuous path of $|X|$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{M}(1, 1)$ such that $\gamma.\phi$ is an execution path of X . Then $i.\gamma.\phi$ is an execution path of Y , the continuous map $i : X \rightarrow Y$ being a map of \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces. Since Y is saturated by hypothesis, we deduce that

$i.\gamma$ is an execution path of Y . Thus $\gamma = r.i.\gamma$ is an execution path of X , the continuous map $r : Y \rightarrow X$ being a map of \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces. \square

Proposition 31. *All q -cofibrant \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces are saturated.*

Proof. First of all, observe that the \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space $\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(Z)$ is saturated for all topological spaces Z . The functor $X \mapsto \widehat{X}$ from $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}$ to $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}}$ being a left adjoint, we deduce that all cellular \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces are saturated. The proof is complete thanks to Proposition 30. \square

Theorem 18. *The q -model structure of $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}$ can be lifted (in the sense of [15, 34]) along the right adjoint $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}$. It is a combinatorial model structure, called the q -model structure of $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}}$, such that:*

- A set of generating cofibrations is

$$\{\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{S}^{n-1}) \subset \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{D}^n) \mid n \geq 0\} \cup \{C : \emptyset \rightarrow \{0\}, R : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{0\}\},$$

the maps between globes being induced by the closed inclusions $\mathbf{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbf{D}^n$.

- A set of generating trivial cofibrations is

$$\{\text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{D}^n) \subset \text{Glob}^{\mathcal{M}}(\mathbf{D}^{n+1}) \mid n \geq 0\},$$

the maps between globes being induced by the closed inclusions $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0)$.

- The weak equivalences are the maps of saturated \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ inducing a bijection $f^0 : X^0 \cong Y^0$ and a weak homotopy equivalence $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} f : \mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} Y$.
- The fibrations are the maps of saturated \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ inducing a q -fibration $\mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} f : \mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\text{top}} Y$ of topological spaces.

This model structure is combinatorial and all its objects are fibrant.

Proof. The path object for \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces is described in [25, Corollary 6.7]. Let X be an \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space. The \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -space $\text{Path}(X)$ has the underlying topological space $\mathbf{TOP}([0, 1], |X|)$, the set of states X^0 identified with the corresponding set of constant maps of $\mathbf{TOP}([0, 1], |X|)$, and

$$\mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} \text{Path}(X) = \mathbf{TOP}([0, 1], \mathbb{P}_{\alpha, \beta}^{\text{top}} X)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in X^0$. We deduce immediately that if X is saturated, then $\text{Path}(X)$ is saturated as well. Thus, the q -model structure of $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}$ can be lifted along the right adjoint $\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}$ by using the Quillen Path Object argument: see [15, 34] and for a presentation in this particular context [25, Theorem 2.1]. The characterizations of the generating cofibrations and generating trivial cofibrations is a consequence of Proposition 31 and of a theorem due to Kan (e.g. see [35, Theorem 11.3.2]). \square

Theorem 19. *The right Quillen adjoint*

$$\mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathbf{dTop}$$

between the q -model structures is a right Quillen equivalence such that the unit and the counit of the adjunction are isomorphisms on q -cofibrant objects.

Proof. The q -cofibrant objects of the q -model structures of $\mathbf{MdTop}^{\text{sat}}$ and \mathbf{MdTop} are the same. All objects are fibrant. Hence the proof is complete. \square

Corollary 10. *The composite functor*

$$\mathbf{MdTop}^{\text{sat}} \subset \mathbf{MdTop} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{M}}} \mathbf{MFlow}$$

from \mathcal{M} -multipointed d -spaces to Moore flows is a right Quillen equivalence such that the unit and the counit of the adjunction are isomorphisms on q -cofibrant objects.

Proof. It is a consequence of Theorem 14 and Theorem 19. \square

Corollary 11. *The mapping $X \mapsto \text{cat}(X)$ induces a functor from $\mathcal{P}\mathbf{dTop}^{\text{sat}}$ to \mathbf{Flow} . It takes q -cofibrant saturated \mathcal{P} -multipointed d -spaces to q -cofibrant flows. Its total left derived functor in the sense of [9] induces an equivalence of categories between the homotopy categories of the q -model structures.*

Proof. It is a consequence of Theorem 15 and Theorem 19. \square

The reason for introducing the saturation hypothesis is to rule out pathological behaviors which are meaningless from a computer scientific viewpoint. There is another reason based on the following conjecture which was already stated for \mathcal{G} in [20, Conjecture 6.6].

Conjecture 1. Take for \mathbf{Top} the category of Δ -Hausdorff Δ -generated spaces. The q -model structures of $\mathcal{G}\mathbf{dTop}$ and $\mathbf{MdTop}^{\text{sat}}$ are left proper.

Indeed, we suspect that the saturation hypothesis and the Δ -Hausdorff condition are necessary for left properness.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, and G. E. Strecker. Abstract and concrete categories: the joy of cats. *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.*, (17):1–507, 2006. Reprint of the 1990 original [Wiley, New York; MR1051419].
- [2] J. Adámek and J. Rosický. *Locally presentable and accessible categories*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511600579.004>.
- [3] T. Barthel and E. Riehl. On the construction of functorial factorizations for model categories. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 13(2):1089–1124, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2013.13.1089>.
- [4] F. Borceux. *Handbook of categorical algebra. 2. Categories and structures*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511525865>.
- [5] D. Christensen, G. Sinnamon, and E. Wu. The D -topology for diffeological spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 272(1):87–110, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.2014.272.87>.
- [6] M. Cole. Mixing model structures. *Topology Appl.*, 153(7):1016–1032, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2005.02.004>.
- [7] J. Dubut, E. Goubault, and J. Goubault-Larrecq. Natural homology. In *Automata, languages, and programming. 42nd international colloquium, ICALP 2015, Kyoto*,

- Japan, July 6–10, 2015. Proceedings. Part II*, pages 171–183. Berlin: Springer, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-47666-6_14.
- [8] J. Dubut, E. Goubault, and J. Goubault-Larrecq. The directed homotopy hypothesis. In *Computer science logic 2016*, volume 62 of *LIPICs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.*, pages Art. No. 9, 16. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPICs.CSL.2016.9>.
- [9] W. G. Dwyer, P. S. Hirschhorn, D. M. Kan, and J. H. Smith. *Homotopy limit functors on model categories and homotopical categories*, volume 113 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/113>.
- [10] U. Fahrenberg and M. Raussen. Reparametrizations of continuous paths. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 2(2):93–117, 2007.
- [11] L. Fajstrup, E. Goubault, E. Haucourt, S. Mimram, and M. Raussen. *Directed algebraic topology and concurrency. With a foreword by Maurice Herlihy and a preface by Samuel Mimram*. SpringerBriefs Appl. Sci. Technol. Springer, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-15398-8>.
- [12] L. Fajstrup, M. Raussen, E. Goubault, and E. Haucourt. Components of the fundamental category. *Appl. Categ. Struct.*, 12(1):81–108, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:APCS.0000013812.75342.de>.
- [13] L. Fajstrup and J. Rosický. A convenient category for directed homotopy. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 21:7–20, 2008.
- [14] R. Fritsch and R. A. Piccinini. *Cellular structures in topology*, volume 19 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511983948>.
- [15] R. Garner, M. Kędziołek, and E. Riehl. Lifting accessible model structures. *J. Topol.*, 13(1):59–76, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1112/topo.12123>.
- [16] P. Gaucher. A model category for the homotopy theory of concurrency. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 5(1):p.549–599, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.4310/hha.2003.v5.n1.a20>.
- [17] P. Gaucher. Comparing globular complex and flow. *New York J. Math.*, 11:97–150, 2005.
- [18] P. Gaucher. T-homotopy and refinement of observation (III) : Invariance of the branching and merging homologies. *New York J. Math.*, 12:319–348, 2006.
- [19] P. Gaucher. T-homotopy and refinement of observation (IV): Invariance of the underlying homotopy type. *New York J. Math.*, 12:63–95, 2006.
- [20] P. Gaucher. Homotopical interpretation of globular complex by multipointed d-space. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 22(22):588–621, 2009.
- [21] P. Gaucher. Enriched diagrams of topological spaces over locally contractible enriched categories. *New York J. Math.*, 25:1485–1510, 2019.
- [22] P. Gaucher. Homotopy theory of Moore flows (I). *Compositionality*, 3(3), 2021. <https://doi.org/10.32408/compositionality-3-3>.
- [23] P. Gaucher. Homotopy theory of Moore flows (II). *Extr. Math.*, 36(2):157–239, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.17398/2605-5686.36.2.157>.
- [24] P. Gaucher. Left properness of flows. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 37(19):562–612, 2021.

- [25] P. Gaucher. Six model categories for directed homotopy. *Categ. Gen. Algebr. Struct. Appl.*, 15(1):145–181, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.52547/cgasa.15.1.145>.
- [26] P. Gaucher. Comparing cubical and globular directed paths. *Fund. Math.*, 262(3):259–286, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.4064/fm219-3-2023>.
- [27] P. Gaucher. Towards a theory of natural directed paths, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2306.02792>.
- [28] P. Gaucher. Directed degeneracy maps for precubical sets. *Theory Appl. Categ.*, 41(7):194–237, 2024.
- [29] P. Gaucher. Regular directed path and Moore flow. *Rend. Mat. Appl., VII. Ser.*, 45(1-2):111–151, 2024.
- [30] E. Goubault and E. Haucourt. Components of the fundamental category. II. *Appl. Categ. Structures*, 15(4):387–414, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10485-007-9082-7>.
- [31] E. Goubault and S. Mimram. Directed homotopy in non-positively curved spaces. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.*, 16(3):55, 2020. Id/No 4. [https://doi.org/10.23638/LMCS-16\(3:4\)2020](https://doi.org/10.23638/LMCS-16(3:4)2020).
- [32] M. Grandis. Directed homotopy theory. I. *Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég.*, 44(4):281–316, 2003.
- [33] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [34] K. Hess, M. Kędziorek, E. Riehl, and B. Shipley. A necessary and sufficient condition for induced model structures. *J. Topol.*, 10(2):324–369, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1112/topo.12011>.
- [35] P. S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/099>.
- [36] A. Hirschowitz, M. Hirschowitz, and T. Hirschowitz. Saturating directed spaces. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 9(2):273–283, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40062-013-0025-8>.
- [37] M. Hovey. *Model categories*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/063>.
- [38] G. M. Kelly. Basic concepts of enriched category theory. *Repr. Theory Appl. Categ.*, 10:vi+137 pp., 2005. Reprint of the 1982 original [Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge].
- [39] S. Krishnan. A convenient category of locally preordered spaces. *Appl. Categ. Structures*, 17(5):445–466, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10485-008-9140-9>.
- [40] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-4721-8>.
- [41] P. R. North. Type-theoretic weak factorization systems. ArXiv, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1906.00259>.
- [42] I. Orton and A. M. Pitts. Models of Type Theory Based on Moore Paths. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.*, 15(1):24, 2019. Id/No 2. [https://doi.org/10.23638/LMCS-15\(1:2\)2019](https://doi.org/10.23638/LMCS-15(1:2)2019).
- [43] M. Raussen. Reparametrizations with given stop data. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 4(1):1–5, 2009.

- [44] M. Raussen. Trace spaces in a pre-cubical complex. *Topology Appl.*, 156(9):1718–1728, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2009.02.003>.
- [45] M. Raussen. Simplicial models for trace spaces II: General higher dimensional automata. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 12(3):1741–1761, August 2012. <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2012.12.1741>.
- [46] M. Raussen. Pair component categories for directed spaces. *J. Appl. Comput. Topol.*, 4(1):101–139, November 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41468-019-00045-8>.
- [47] M. Raussen. Inessential directed maps and directed homotopy equivalences. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb., Sect. A, Math.*, 151(4):1383–1406, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1017/prm.2020.64>.
- [48] J. Rosický. On combinatorial model categories. *Appl. Categ. Struct.*, 17(3):303–316, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10485-008-9171-2>.
- [49] L. A. Steen and J. A. Seebach. *Counterexamples in topology*. Mineola, NY: Dover Publications, reprint of the 2nd edition published 1978 by Springer edition, 1995. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-6290-9>.
- [50] K. Ziemiański. Stable components of directed spaces. *Appl. Categ. Struct.*, 27(3):217–244, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10485-018-9551-1>.
- [51] K. Ziemiański. Spaces of directed paths on pre-cubical sets II. *J. Appl. Comput. Topol.*, 4(1):45–78, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41468-019-00040-z>.
- [52] K. Ziemiański. Categories of directed spaces. *Fund. Math.*, 217(1):55–71, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.4064/fm217-1-5>.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS CITÉ, CNRS, IRIF, F-75013, PARIS, FRANCE
 URL: <https://www.irif.fr/~gaucher>