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#### Abstract

On a curved surface, epithelial cells can adapt to geometric constraints by tilting and by exchanging their neighbors from apical to basal sides, known as an apicobasal T1 (AB-T1) transition. The relationship between cell tilt, AB-T1 transitions, and tissue curvature still lacks a unified understanding. Here, we propose a general framework for cell packing in curved environments and explain the formation of AB-T1 transitions under different conditions. We find that steep curvature gradients can lead to cell tilting and induce AB-T1 transitions. Conversely, large curvature anisotropy can drive AB-T1 transitions by hydrostatic pressure. The two mechanisms compete to determine the impact of tissue geometry and mechanics on optimized cell rearrangements in 3D.


As the external surfaces and barriers of many organs, 49 epithelial tissues have to mechanically adapt to their en- 50 vironment [1, 2]. Extensive research into cell shape in 51 2D $3-10$ and 3D $11-14$ has revealed insights into how 52 cells pack and undergo rearrangement during epithelial ${ }_{53}$ tissue formation [7-10, 15]. Cellular dynamic processes, 54 like division and apoptosis, can rearrange cell neighbors. 55 T1-transitions - the exchange of neighbors without alter- $5_{6}$ ing the cell number - is another ubiquitous mechanism of 57 cell rearrangements [16, 17]. T1 transitions are important ${ }_{58}$ in mediating planar tissue dynamics. For example, ori- 59 ented T1 transitions can lead to tissue elongation or flow 60 [15, 18-20, and the energetic barriers for T 1 transitions 61 to occur can dictate tissue fluidity/solidity [9, 21-23]. ${ }^{62}$

For a cell monolayer under 3D geometric constraint, ${ }^{63}$ cells can undergo apical-basal T1 (AB-T1) transitions 64 (Fig. 1A, top). Different from the planar and dynamic 65 T1-transitions described above, AB-T1 transitions are a 66 static exchange of neighbors from the apical to basal lay- 67 ers of the cell. Such a 3D cellular arrangement, termed 68 as a scutoid in the context of epithelial tissues [24-26] 69 (Fig. 1A), has been observed in foams [27, 28] and bio- 70 logical systems with curved surfaces [29 33 .

Tissue curvature is proposed to be pivotal in in- 72 ducing AB-T1 transitions. In the ellipsoidal early ${ }^{73}$ Drosophila embryo, AB-T1 transitions appear most fre- 74 quently around $20-50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ from the embryo head, a region ${ }^{75}$ with low curvature anisotropy but large tilt of cell lateral 76 membranes [29] (Fig. 1AA). During salivary gland forma- 77 tion in the Drosophila embryo, AB-T1 transitions occur ${ }^{78}$ at maximal curvature anisotropy [24]. Models have been 79 proposed for cell packing in these specific cases [24, 29], 80 but there is currently no consensus on how curvature in- 81 duces AB-T1 transitions.

[^0]Here, we provide a framework for describing curvatureinduced cell deformation, which can be generalized to an array of geometries, and discuss the interplay between cell mechanics and tissue geometry in inducing AB-T1 transitions. We demonstrate that in 3D environments with steep curvature gradient, cells can tilt in order to pack efficiently. These tilted lateral membranes can exert tensions that contribute to in-plane stresses of opposite sign on the apical and basal plane stresses, thereby leading to AB-T1 transitions. Conversely, when hydrostatic pressure dominates, we find that $\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{T} 1$ transitions occur in regions with high curvature anisotropy. Overall, we find that the combination of tissue curvature, pressure, and lateral tensions determines the location of AB-T1 transition events.

Framework: We treat the epithelia as a material composed of two connected thin shells, representing the apical and basal surfaces of the tissue. Assuming the radius of curvature to be significantly larger than the cell size, we can use a continuum mechanics model based on membrane theory for elastic thin shells, neglecting bending stresses. Lateral membranes are included as part of the external load on the shell. Motivated by the Drosophila embryo, salivary gland and oocyte geometries, we focus on axisymmetric geometries, which have rotational symmetry about a polar axis (Fig. 1B). For any infinitesimal surface element $d A$ on the 3D curved shell, it has a normal direction $d A$, and two tangential directions along the meridian $d \varphi$ and latitudinal radii $d \theta$ (Fig. 1B).

The in-plane stresses in the apical or basal layer are described as a stress tensor $\hat{\sigma}$ bearing two principal stresses $\sigma_{\varphi \varphi}, \sigma_{\theta \theta}$ and a shear stress component $\sigma_{\theta \varphi}$, with the basis $\hat{n}=(d \varphi, d \theta)^{T}$. This stress tensor $\hat{\sigma}$ can be decomposed into a hydrostatic part $\hat{\sigma}_{\text {stat }}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\sigma}) I$, corresponding to isotropic forces that induce local expansion or shrinkage of cell areas, and a deviatoric part $\hat{\sigma}_{\text {dev }}=\hat{\sigma}-\hat{\sigma}_{\text {stat }}$ corresponding to the anisotropic forces that induce shearing or anisotropic bulk compression/stretching (Fig. 1C).

The above stresses are balanced by the external loads from the lateral and apical/basal membrane generated by cell deformation or cellular active forces [34, 35]. For simplicity, we only consider axisymmetric external load,


FIG. 1. The effect of curvature on cell packing and cellular forces. (A) Top: Scutoid geometry in epithelial tissues; point $Q$ is the additional point shared by two columnar cells. The AB-T1 transition occurs at the edges highlighted in thick brown, leading to the exchange of neighbor pair from 1-4 (apical) to 2-3 (basal). Bottom: Tilt angle of lateral membrane (yellow) and percentage of scutoids (pink) peak near the head of a wild type Drosophila embryo, adapted from [29] under a Creative Commons License. (B) Two-layered model for curved epithelia on an axisymmetric object and the coordinates for a any local surface $d A$; (C) Graphical representation of the stress tensor decomposition, Eq. (1). (D) Force balance of a curved layer under axisymmetric loads: (left) at the meridional cut (red dashed ring) and (right) along the normal direction of the element surface $d A(\varphi, \theta)$. (E) A meridional cross section view of a two-layered prolate ellipse. The black tilted line is the tilted lateral membrane, with the basal end at $s$ and the apical end at $s^{\prime}$, with the tilt angle $\phi$ and apical-basal distance $e$ at $s$. The orange curves are the accumulated cell number from the head to $s$ at the basal side; the skyblue curve is the accumulated cell number from the head to $s$ at the apical side.
which can be decomposed into a normal part $\sigma_{N}$ (pos-116 itive pointing outward) and a tangential part along the ${ }_{117}$ meridian $\sigma_{T}$ (positive pointing to the head) and hence ${ }_{118}$ the in-plane shear $\sigma_{\theta \varphi} \approx 0$. The meridional stress $\sigma_{\varphi \varphi}$ at any local cut (red ring in Fig. 1D) is balanced in the polar direction by the accumulated force over the revolved ${ }^{119}$ surface as:

$$
\sigma_{\varphi \varphi} 2 \pi r \delta \sin \varphi=\int_{0}^{s(\varphi)}\left[\sigma_{N} \cos \varphi+\sigma_{T} \sin \varphi\right] 2 \pi r d s
$$

where $\delta$ is the thickness of cell membrane, $r$ is the dis- ${ }^{12}$ tance to the polar axis from the local surface $d A$ (Fig. 1D $)_{125}^{124}$ and $d s$ is the meridional arc length; See Supplemental ${ }_{126}$ Material (Supp. Mat.) at []) for the derivations of force ${ }_{127}$ balance. The circumferential stress $\sigma_{\theta \theta}$ is derived from ${ }_{128}$ force balance along the normal direction of the surface:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\varphi \varphi} \sigma_{\varphi \varphi}+C_{\theta \theta} \sigma_{\theta \theta}=\frac{\sigma_{N}}{\delta} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\varphi \varphi}$ and $C_{\theta \theta}$ are the principal curvatures along the ${ }^{133}$ meridional and circumferential direction, respectively. ${ }^{134}$

AB-T1 transitions: The stresses in apical or basal ${ }_{135}$ layers can induce cell shape changes and cell intercala-136 tions. Here, we assume that prior to any applied exter-137 nal load, cells are relaxed to isotropic shapes without any138 deviatoric strain. AB-T1 transitions will take place most ${ }_{139}$ frequently when the apical and basal sides of a cell have ${ }_{140}$ oppositely directed deviatoric stresses 36 under exter-141 nal loading. In the absence of shear components $\sigma_{\varphi \theta}$, we $\mathrm{e}_{142}$
can define a measure for AB-T1 transitions, $\gamma$, as proportional to the difference of the deviatoric strain between the apical and basal sides:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{\sigma_{\varphi \varphi}^{a}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}^{a}}{\mu_{a}}-\frac{\sigma_{\varphi \varphi}^{b}-\sigma_{\theta \theta}^{b}}{\mu_{b}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{a, b}$ represent the effective elastic moduli at the apical and basal surfaces; $\gamma>0$ corresponds to cells that are stretched along the meridional direction at the apical side while compressed along the circumferential direction at the basal side. The parameter-dependence of $\mu_{a, b}$ depends on the underlying material properties. Taking different forms for $\mu_{a, b}$ does not alter our key conclusions (See Supp. Mat. B for the results of different forms of $\mu)$. Here, we consider $\mu=|\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{\sigma})|$, which avoids introducing an intrinsic elastic modulus for the cells. Under typical physiological regimes for epithelial cells, we expect $\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{\sigma}^{a, b}\right)\right|$ to be non-zero, so $\gamma$ behaves well.

We first consider the case when external loads are hydrostatic $\left(\sigma_{T}=0\right.$ and $\left.\sigma_{N}=P\right)$. With large curvature anisotropy, $\left|C_{\theta \theta}-C_{\varphi \varphi}\right|$, the magniture of $\gamma$ is large, leading to $\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{T} 1$ transitions. In contrast, isotropic curvatures $\left(C_{\theta \theta}=C_{\varphi \varphi}\right)$ lead to $\gamma=0$ (Supp. Mat. C). This conclusion is consistent with the experimental observations in tubular epithelia [24].

Cell tilting: The results for hydrostatic systems above are not consistent with the AB-T1 transitions observed in the head of the early Drosophila embryo [29, where the curvature is nearly isotropic. However, in this

. 2 . Eq. 6, as a function of the distance to the head along the polar ${ }_{187}$ direction $d=z / a$ (Fig. 1E) (A) under varying inverse aspect ${ }_{188}$ ratio $b / a$ at $\varepsilon / a=0.05, \beta=0 ;(\mathrm{B})$ under varying thickness ${ }_{189}$ modulation $\beta$ at $\varepsilon / a=0.01, b / a=0.4$. Experimental data is ${ }^{189}$ shown for the cell tilt angle in the early Drosophila embryo ${ }^{190}$ ( $b / a \sim 0.4, \beta \sim 0.5$ ), with s.d., by grey dots (data from [29]).191
system, the cells are observed to tilt (Fig. 11A). The pro-194 file of external load $\sigma_{T}, \sigma_{N}$ is affected by tilt of lateral195 membranes. We next investigated cell tilting within our 196 model and explain its role in inducing AB-T1 transitions. ${ }^{197}$

The tilted lateral membrane leans to the head by a small angle $\phi$ away from the normal direction (illustrated in Fig. 1E) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \phi(s) \sim \frac{\Delta s}{e(s)} \sim \frac{N^{a}(s)-N^{b}(s)}{2 \pi r^{a}(s) e(s) \rho^{a}(s)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta s$ is the distance between the apical projection ${ }^{201}$ of $s$ and the apical end of the tilted lateral membrane $s^{\prime}{ }_{j_{203}}^{202}$ $e(s)$ is the distance between the apical and basal layer ${ }_{204}^{203}$ $\rho^{a, b}(s)$ is the cell density; $r^{a, b}(s)$ is the distance from ${ }^{204}$ $s$ to the polar axis; $N^{a, b}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \rho^{a, b} d A^{a, b}$ are the ac- ${ }^{205}$ cumulated number of cells from the head apex to the ${ }^{206}$ coordinate $s$ on the apical and basal sides, respectively. ${ }^{207}$ Although Fig. 1 E is illustrated for an prolate ellipsoid, ${ }^{208}$ Eq. 4 works for any arbitrary axisymmetric shape.

The distribution of $\rho^{a, b}(s)$ and $e(s)$ are interdependent, ${ }^{210}$ as a consequence of minimizing the system free energy ${ }^{211}$ including the contributions from cell lateral membranes ${ }^{212}$ (See Supp. Mat. G). If the lateral membrane tensions ${ }^{213}$ are weak compared with the apical and basal cell layers, ${ }^{214}$ the apico-to-basal density ratio $\rho^{a}(s) / \rho^{b}(s)$ converges to ${ }^{215}$ a space-independent constant (Supp. Mat. D). In this ${ }^{216}$ limit, the tilt angle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(s)=\phi^{*}(s)(1-\tilde{k}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{k} \ll 1$ is the ratio of tension strength between the ${ }^{2221}$ lateral and apical/basal layers; $\phi^{*}$ is the tilt in the limit ${ }^{222}$ of zero lateral tension, depending on the curvature as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \phi^{*}(s) \sim \frac{N^{b}(s)\left(N_{\text {total }}-N^{b}(s)\left[\overline{H\left(s_{1}\right)}-\overline{H\left(s_{2}\right)}\right]\right.}{\pi r^{a}(s) \rho^{b}(s) N_{\text {total }}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{H\left(s_{1}\right)}$ and $\overline{H\left(s_{2}\right)}$ are the mean curvature weighted by cell numbers in a range of $0<s_{1}<s$ and $s<s_{2}<s_{0}$, respectively ( $s_{0}$ is the half meridian). For a convex object, a large gradient of $H(s)$ corresponds to a large magnitude of $\phi^{*}$ at $s$, with the corresponding tilt direction towards the region of higher positive curvature (See Supp. Mat. D for the derivations).

Conversely, if lateral membranes are extremely rigid, the lateral membrane tends to stand perpendicular to the surfaces, and $\rho^{a}(s) / \rho^{b}(s)$ equals inverse apico-to-basal area ratio $d A^{b}(s) / d A^{a}(s)$, hence the tilt vanishes (Supp. Mat. F). To further simply the model, we show that the effect of any cell density inhomogeneity on cell tilt is negligible if cell density changes along the surface more slowly than the curvature does (See Supp. Mat. E for the analysis). We henceforth set a homogeneous density $\rho^{a, b}(s)=\rho_{0}^{a, b}$.

Ellipsoid case: We now apply this formalism to a prolate ellipsoidal geometry as shown in Fig. 1E. It has a major half axis $a$ and minor half axis $b$ (see Supp. Mat. F for parameterization and the calculation of the curvature). Tissue height is determined mainly by the intrinsic cell volume control [37. To leading order in the arc length $s$ to the head, the height profile reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(s) \approx \varepsilon\left[1+\beta\left(\frac{s}{s_{1 / 4}}-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \quad \text { for } \quad s \in\left[0, s_{1 / 4}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{1 / 4}$ is the $1 / 4$ perimeter of the meridian ellipse and $\varepsilon$ is the average cell height across the surface and $\beta$ is a coefficient modulating the surface height with $\beta=0$ representing homogeneous cell height. As we assume cell size is much smaller than the radius of curvature, the average height of the tissue $\varepsilon$ has negligible impact on the tilt profile (see Supp. Mat. E for the discussions).

We calculate the cell tilt angle $\phi^{*}$ in the zero-lateraltension limit as a function of the relative distance to the head of a prolate ellipsoid, $d(s)=z(s) / a$, where $z(s)$ is the distance to the head along the polar direction; $d=0$ corresponds to the head and $d=1$ to the trunk. The tilt angle increases with elongation of the ellipsoid (smaller $b / a)$, Fig. 2A. For a typical value observed experimentally in Drosophila ( $b / a \sim 0.4$ [29]), the tilt angle peaks around $30^{\circ}$. The impact of height inhomogeneity on the tilt angle is shown by Fig. 2 B : a large, positive $\beta$ (tissue height larger at the trunk) makes the peak of the tilt angle profile more pronounced. The calculated tilt profile is consistent with the data observed in the early Drosophila embryo ( $\beta \sim 0.5$ ), with the predicted magnitude of $\phi^{*}$ (red curve) slightly larger than the experimental measurements (black dots, from [29]) as expected by Eq. 5 .

External loads along the tilted lateral membranes can qualitatively change the stress distribution. We show in Fig. 3A-B a comparison of the stress components $\sigma_{\varphi \varphi}$ and $\sigma_{\theta \theta}$ between a hydrostatic case: $\sigma_{T}^{a}=\sigma_{T}^{b}=0, \sigma_{N}^{a}=$ $-\sigma_{N}^{b}=T$ and a case with the external stresses $T$ along


FIG. 3. AB-T1 transition rate $\gamma$ calculated for a prolate ${ }^{254}$ ellipsoid with $b / a=0.4$. (A) A schematic illustration of the 255 system under tensile lateral stress $T$ with a constant tissue 256 height $\varepsilon=0.05 a$ for panel B and $\mathrm{C} ;(\mathrm{B})$ In plane, apical and $\mathrm{a}_{257}$ basal stress components normalized by $T a / \delta$ as a function of ${ }_{258}$ the distance to the head. Left: $T$ perpendicular to the layer ${ }_{259}$ (hydrostatic); right: $T$ along tilted lateral membranes. The ${ }_{260}$ cyan and magenta curves stand for a sphere $(a=b)$. The ten- ${ }^{260}$ sile stresses have a positive sign (apical,blue) and contractile ${ }^{261}$ stress has a negative sign (basal, yellow). (C) The correspon- ${ }^{262}$ dent AB-T1 rate $\gamma$ for the prolate ellipsoid. (D) A schematic ${ }^{263}$ tissue setting under the external loads with pressures and in-264 homogeneous tissue height (Eq. 7). (E) Profile of the AB-265 T1 measure, $\gamma$, with varying apical pressure difference $\Delta \Pi^{a}{ }_{266}$ and with basal pressure difference $\Delta \Pi^{b}=0$. Left: the tissue ${ }_{267}$ height modulation rate $\beta=0$; right: $\beta=0.4$. Black dots indi- ${ }_{268}$ cate the peak, where the absolute AB-T1 measure $|\gamma|$ reaches ${ }_{269}$ the maximum. (F) The phase diagram for the peakiness of $\gamma,{ }_{270}$ which is calculated as $\operatorname{sign}\left(\gamma_{\text {peak }}\right) \times\left\|\gamma_{\text {peak }}\left|-\left|\gamma_{\text {trunk }}\right| \|\right.\right.$. Left: ${ }^{270}$ $\beta=0$; right: $\Delta \Pi^{b}=0$. The size of the scattered square is ${ }^{271}$ $\propto\left(1-d_{\text {peak }}\right)^{2}$, so positions closer to the head $(d=0)$ are rep- ${ }^{272}$ resented by larger squares. The grey contours separate the ${ }^{273}$ trunk $\left(d_{\text {peak }}>0.5\right)$ and the head $\left(d_{\text {peak }}<0.5\right)$ regions.
tilted lateral membranes:
$\sigma_{T}^{a}=T \sin \phi, \sigma_{N}^{a}=T \cos \phi, \sigma_{T}^{b}=-T \sin \phi, \sigma_{N}^{b}=-T \cos \phi .279$
sure) $P_{i n}$; and inside the tissue $P_{T}$. The pressure differences at the apical and basal surfaces are given by $\Delta \Pi^{a}=P_{T}-P_{\text {out }}$ and $\Delta \Pi^{b}=P_{\text {in }}-P_{T}$ respectively, with positive $\Delta \Pi$ pointing towards the outside. Before applying external load, we assume cells have relaxed to their preferred cell shape with no internal strain. The external normal and tangential loads on the apical and basal side are $\sigma_{N}^{(a)}=\Delta \Pi+T \cos \phi, \sigma_{T}^{(a)}=\Delta \Pi+T \sin \phi$, $\sigma_{N}^{(b)}=\Delta \Pi+T \cos \phi$ and $\sigma_{T}^{(b)}=\Delta \Pi-T \sin \phi$.

The system dominated by pressure $(\Delta \Pi / T \rightarrow \infty)$ corresponds to a hydrostatic limit, Fig. 3B (left). In this limit, the profiles of stresses and the consequent spatial distribution of $\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{T} 1$ transition frequency do not qualitatively depend on the pressure differences or the cell height profile (See Supp. Mat. C for the derivations). In contrast, strikingly, when the pressure difference is comparable with lateral stress $(\Delta \Pi \sim T), \gamma$ is sensitive to the two pressure differences and $\beta$, Fig. $3 \mathrm{E} . \Delta \Pi$ can be negative (pointing inwards), thus the normal component of lateral tension $T$ can be partly balanced by this pressure and $\sigma_{T} / \sigma_{N}$ becomes much larger as if the cells tilt more significantly. When $\Delta \Pi^{a} / T$ shifts sign from negative to positive, at the trunk $(d=1) \gamma$ becomes negative, altering the orientation of $\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{T} 1$ transitions.

To capture the key features of the distribution of $\gamma$, we define the peak of $\gamma$ as where $\gamma_{\text {peak }}$ is the maximal value of $|\gamma|$ (Fig. 3 E ) and its value at peak (referred here as the peakiness $)$ as $\operatorname{sign}\left(\gamma_{\text {peak }}\right) \times \| \gamma_{\text {peak }}\left|-\left|\gamma_{\text {trunk }}\right|\right|$. Accordingly, we can construct a phase diagram of AB-T1 transitions, using the position of the peak and peakiness as the order parameters, Fig. 3F. We show the diagram in the $\Delta \Pi^{a}-\Delta \Pi^{b}$ space for $\beta=0$ (left) and in the space of $\beta-\Delta \Pi^{a} / T$ with $\Delta \Pi^{b}=0$ (right). The peak in the tendency of AB-T1 transition switches from trunk to head beyond a critical line $\beta(\Delta \Pi)$ (Fig. 3 F ). From these phase diagrams, we can estimate mechanical properties (e.g. pressure, lateral tension, or possible external loads) from the geometric cell profiles (e.g. cell tilt, cell height and AB-T1 locations/orientations).

Conclusions: We have proposed a model for the onset of cellular tilt within a curved monolayer. We find that the interplay between the lateral cell-cell tension and the cellular tilt leads to a shift in the location at which we expect the number of neighbor rearrangements to be maximal. Our formalism provides predictions for the location of AB-T1 transitions in several geometries that are echoed by experimental observations in various geometries 24, 29].

The lateral membranes play an essential role in balancing stress across the cell, thereby regulating cell shape. In particular, lateral membranes with low contractility lead to cell tilting, which cooperates with pressure and tissue thickness to result in a rich phase diagram for the tendency of AB-T1 transitions to occur. If the lateral membranes are sufficiently stiff, then the tilt of lateral membranes is suppressed and AB-T1 transitions occur at regions with large curvature anisotropy, following the model prediction in the hydrostatic limit.

Though we have focused on a prolate geometry with ${ }_{308}$ simple external loads, our formalism can be generalized ${ }_{309}$ to a diverse range of tissue geometries observed in vivo.310 We expect tilt to occur at the steepest curvature gradient, even for non-axisymmetric and non-closed surface ${ }_{311}$ geometries; e.g. the brain and gut. We can also explore ${ }_{312}$ the role of in-plane shear and bending within this theo-313 retical framework. Internal cell strain, which is likely sig-314 nificant during cellular process such as cell division 38,315 can also be considered as a source of external loading. 316 Finally, transient and reversible AB-T1 transitions have $3_{317}$ been observed [39, 40]; the dynamic aspect of $\mathrm{AB}-\mathrm{T} 1_{318}$ transitions may be relevant to the mechanism of T1 tran-319
sitions [23, 36] and their contributions to processes like tissue folding or buckling [41? 45] remains to be investigated.
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[1] C. Guillot and T. Lecuit, Mechanics of epithelial tis-365 sue homeostasis and morphogenesis, Science 340, 1185366 (2013).

367
[2] E. Latorre, S. Kale, L. Casares, M. Gómez-González,368 M. Uroz, L. Valon, R. V. Nair, E. Garreta, N. Montser-369 rat, A. Del Campo, et al., Active superelasticity in three-370 dimensional epithelia of controlled shape, Nature 563,371 203 (2018).

372
[3] B. Aigouy, R. Farhadifar, D. B. Staple, A. Sagner, J.-373 C. Roper, F. Julicher, and S. Eaton, Cell flow reori-374 ents the axis of planar polarity in the wing epithelium ${ }_{375}$ of drosophila, Cell 142, 773 (2010).
[4] A. Sagner, M. Merkel, B. Aigouy, J. Gaebel,377 M. Brankatschk, F. Jülicher, and S. Eaton, Establish-378 ment of global patterns of planar polarity during growth ${ }_{379}$ of the drosophila wing epithelium, Current Biology 22,380 1296 (2012).
[5] N. Khalilgharibi, J. Fouchard, N. Asadipour, R. Bar-382 rientos, M. Duda, A. Bonfanti, A. Yonis, A. Harris, 383 P. Mosaffa, Y. Fujita, et al., Stress relaxation in epithe-384 lial monolayers is controlled by the actomyosin cortex,385 Nature Physics 15, 839 (2019).
[6] R. J. Tetley, M. F. Staddon, D. Heller, A. Hoppe,387 S. Banerjee, and Y. Mao, Tissue fluidity promotes ep-388 ithelial wound healing, Nature Physics 15, 1195 (2019). 389
[7] M. C. Gibson, A. B. Patel, R. Nagpal, and N. Perrimon,390 The emergence of geometric order in proliferating meta-391 zoan epithelia, Nature 442, 1038 (2006).
[8] R. Farhadifar, J.-C. Röper, B. Aigouy, S. Eaton, and 393 F. Jülicher, The influence of cell mechanics, cell-cell inter-394 actions, and proliferation on epithelial packing, Current395 Biology 17, 2095 (2007).
[9] D. B. Staple, R. Farhadifar, J.-C. Röper, B. Aigouy,,397 S. Eaton, and F. Jülicher, Mechanics and remodelling of 398 cell packings in epithelia, The European Physical Journal399 E 33, 117 (2010).
[10] L. Atia, D. Bi, Y. Sharma, J. A. Mitchel, B. Gweon,401 S. A Koehler, S. J. DeCamp, B. Lan, J. H. Kim, 402 R. Hirsch, et al., Geometric constraints during epithelial403 jamming, Nature Physics 14, 613 (2018).
[11] X. Du, M. Osterfield, and S. Y. Shvartsman, Compu-405 tational analysis of three-dimensional epithelial morpho-406 genesis using vertex models, Physical Biology 11, 066007407 (2014).
[12] M. Krajnc and P. Ziherl, Theory of epithelial elasticity,409

Physical Review E 92, 052713 (2015).
[13] A. G. Fletcher, F. Cooper, and R. E. Baker, Mechanocellular models of epithelial morphogenesis, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 372, 20150519 (2017).
[14] M. Merkel and M. L. Manning, A geometrically controlled rigidity transition in a model for confluent 3d tissues, New Journal of Physics 20, 022002 (2018).
[15] C. Bertet, L. Sulak, and T. Lecuit, Myosin-dependent junction remodelling controls planar cell intercalation and axis elongation, Nature 429, 667 (2004).
[16] H. Honda, Y. Ogita, S. Higuchi, and K. Kani, Cell movements in a living mammalian tissue: Long-term observation of individual cells in wounded corneal endothelia of cats, Journal of Morphology 174, 25 (1982).
[17] A. G. Fletcher, M. Osterfield, R. E. Baker, and S. Y. Shvartsman, Vertex models of epithelial morphogenesis, Biophysical Journal 106, 2291 (2014).
[18] H. Honda, T. Nagai, and M. Tanemura, Two different mechanisms of planar cell intercalation leading to tissue elongation, Developmental Dynamics 237, 1826 (2008).
[19] K. Sato, T. Hiraiwa, E. Maekawa, A. Isomura, T. Shibata, and E. Kuranaga, Left-right asymmetric cell intercalation drives directional collective cell movement in epithelial morphogenesis, Nature Communications 6, 10074 (2015).
[20] T. Hiraiwa, E. Kuranaga, and T. Shibata, Wave propagation of junctional remodeling in collective cell movement of epithelial tissue: Numerical simulation study, Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 5, 66 (2017).
[21] D. Bi, J. Lopez, J. M. Schwarz, and M. L. Manning, A density-independent rigidity transition in biological tissues, Nature Physics 11, 1074 (2015).
[22] D. Bi, X. Yang, M. C. Marchetti, and M. L. Manning, Motility-driven glass and jamming transitions in biological tissues, Physical Review X 6, 021011 (2016).
[23] M. Krajnc, S. Dasgupta, P. Ziherl, and J. Prost, Fluidization of epithelial sheets by active cell rearrangements, Physical Review E 98, 022409 (2018).
[24] P. Gómez-Gálvez, P. Vicente-Munuera, A. Tagua, C. Forja, A. M. Castro, M. Letrán, A. Valencia-Expósito, C. Grima, M. Bermúdez-Gallardo, Ó. Serrano-PérezHigueras, et al., Scutoids are a geometrical solution to three-dimensional packing of epithelia, Nature Communications 9, 1 (2018).
[25] G. Blanchard, A 3d cell shape that enables tube forma-447 tion, Nature 561, 182 (2018).
[26] P. Gómez-Gálvez, P. Vicente-Munuera, S. Anbari,449 J. Buceta, and L. M. Escudero, The complex three-450 dimensional organization of epithelial tissues, Develop-451 ment 148, dev195669 (2021).
${ }^{452}$
[27] E. B. Matzke, The three-dimensional shape of epidermal453 cells of the apical meristem of anacharis densa (elodea),454 American Journal of Botany 35, 323 (1948).
[28] A. Mughal, S. Cox, D. Weaire, S. Burke, and S. Hut-456 zler, Demonstration and interpretation of 'scutoid' cells457 formed in a quasi-2d soap froth, Philosophical Magazine458 Letters 98, 358 (2018).
[29] J.-F. Rupprecht, K. H. Ong, J. Yin, A. Huang, H.-H.-460 Q. Dinh, A. P. Singh, S. Zhang, W. Yu, and T. E. 461 Saunders, Geometric constraints alter cell arrangements462 within curved epithelial tissues, Molecular Biology of the 463 Cell 28, 3582 (2017).
[30] Y. E. Sanchez-Corrales, G. B. Blanchard, and K. Röper,465 Radially patterned cell behaviours during tube budding ${ }_{466}$ from an epithelium, Elife 7, e35717 (2018).
[31] C. M. Nelson, Epithelial packing: even the best of friends468 must part, Current Biology 28, R1197 (2018).
[32] K. Z. Perez-Vale and M. Peifer, Orchestrating morpho-470 genesis: building the body plan by cell shape changes471 and movements, Development 147, dev191049 (2020). 472
[33] C. Pérez-González et al., Mechanical compartmentaliza-473 tion of the intestinal organoid enables crypt folding and474 collective cell migration, Nature Cell Biology 23, 745475 (2021).
[34] C. G. Vasquez and A. C. Martin, Force transmission 477 in epithelial tissues, Developmental Dynamics 245, 361478 (2016).
[35] F.-L. Wen, Y.-C. Wang, and T. Shibata, Epithelial fold-480 ing driven by apical or basal-lateral modulation: geomet-481 ric features, mechanical inference, and boundary effects, Biophysical Journal 112, 2683 (2017).
[36] C. Duclut, J. Paijmans, M. M. Inamdar, C. D. Modes, and F. Jülicher, Nonlinear rheology of cellular networks, Cells \& Development 168, 203746 (2021).
[37] L. Saias, J. Swoger, A. D'Angelo, P. Hayes, J. Colombelli, J. Sharpe, G. Salbreux, and J. Solon, Decrease in cell volume generates contractile forces driving dorsal closure, Developmental Cell 33, 611 (2015).
[38] H. F. Gomez, M. S. Dumond, L. Hodel, R. Vetter, and D. Iber, 3d cell neighbour dynamics in growing pseudostratified epithelia, Elife 10, e68135 (2021).
[39] A. C. Martin and B. Goldstein, Apical constriction: themes and variations on a cellular mechanism driving morphogenesis, Development 141, 1987 (2014).
[40] Z. Sun, C. Amourda, M. Shagirov, Y. Hara, T. E. Saunders, and Y. Toyama, Basolateral protrusion and apical contraction cooperatively drive drosophila germ-band extension, Nature Cell Biology 19, 375 (2017).
[41] E. Hannezo, J. Prost, and J.-F. Joanny, Instabilities of monolayered epithelia: shape and structure of villi and crypts, Physical Review Letters 107, 078104 (2011).
[42] E. Hannezo, J. Prost, and J.-F. Joanny, Theory of epithelial sheet morphology in three dimensions, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, 27 (2014).
[43] S. Alt, P. Ganguly, and G. Salbreux, Vertex models: from cell mechanics to tissue morphogenesis, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 372, 20150520 (2017).
[44] N. Harmand, A. Huang, and S. Hénon, 3d shape of epithelial cells on curved substrates, Physical Review X 11, 031028 (2021).
[45] A. Trushko, I. Di Meglio, A. Merzouki, C. BlanchMercader, S. Abuhattum, J. Guck, K. Alessandri, P. Nassoy, K. Kruse, B. Chopard, et al., Buckling of an epithelium growing under spherical confinement, Developmental Cell 54, 655 (2020).


[^0]:    * mbilouy@nus.edu.sg
    $\dagger$ Sophie.Theis@warwick.ac.uk
    $\ddagger$ jean-francois.rupprecht@univ-amu.fr
    $\S$ mbithi@nus.edu.sg
    4 Lead contact: timothy.saunders@warwick.ac.uk

