



HAL
open science

Spatial Dependence of Color Assimilation by the Watercolor Effect

Frédéric Devinck, Peter B Delahunt, Joseph L Hardy, Lothar Spillmann, John S Werner

► **To cite this version:**

Frédéric Devinck, Peter B Delahunt, Joseph L Hardy, Lothar Spillmann, John S Werner. Spatial Dependence of Color Assimilation by the Watercolor Effect. *Perception*, 2006, 35 (4), pp.461-468. <10.1068/p5470>. <hal-04048597>

HAL Id: hal-04048597

<https://hal.science/hal-04048597v1>

Submitted on 10 May 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Spatial dependence of color assimilation by the Watercolor Effect.

Frédéric Devinck^{a*}, Peter B. Delahunt^a, Joseph L. Hardy^a, Lothar Spillmann^b,
and John S. Werner^a

a - Section of Neurobiology, Physiology and Behavior, Department of Ophthalmology
and Vision Science, University of California, Davis, 4860 Y Street, Suite 2400
Sacramento, CA 95817, USA

b - Brain Research Unit, University of Freiburg, Hansastrasse 9, D-79104 Freiburg,
Germany

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-916-734-5839; Fax: +1-916-734-4543

e-mail address: fddevinck@ucdavis.edu

Abstract

Color assimilation with bichromatic contours was quantified for spatial extents ranging from von Bezold-type color assimilation to the Watercolor Effect (WCE). The magnitude and direction of assimilative hue change was measured as a function of the width of a rectangular stimulus. Assimilation was quantified by hue-cancellation. Large hue shifts were required to null the color of stimuli ≤ 9.3 arcmin in width, with an exponential decrease for stimuli increasing up to 7.4 degrees. When stimuli were viewed through an achromatizing lens, the magnitude of the assimilation effect was reduced for narrow stimuli, but not for wide ones. This suggests that chromatic aberration may account, in part, for color assimilation over small, but not large, surface areas.

Keywords: Watercolor Effect; Color assimilation; Chromatic aberration

1. Introduction

Color assimilation is a shift in the perceived color of a region toward the appearance of a nearby inducing stimulus. This effect has been obtained with different kinds of patterns since the pioneering study by von Bezold (1874). More recently Pinna et al (2001) demonstrated a new phenomenon, the Watercolor Effect (WCE). Here, chromatic assimilation occurs within an area enclosed by a light chromatic contour (e.g., orange), which in turn is surrounded by a darker chromatic contour (e.g., purple).

Studies of chromatic assimilation have generally examined the effects of the inducer configuration on a neutral test field in order to determine the direction of the chromaticity shift. Thus, the effect is strongest with narrow inducers as well as induction fields (Helson 1963; Steger 1969; Fach and Sharpe 1986; Smith et al 2001). Other factors such as the luminance of the inducing stimuli can also influence the strength of assimilation (Helson 1963; Shapley and Reid 1985; DeWeert and Spillmann 1995; Hong and Shevell 2004).

Although the size of the induction area enclosed by the inducing contours is known to be important for assimilation strength, it has not been systematically explored. Devinck et al (2005) used hue-cancellation to null the WCE on a surface area that was 1.43 deg in width. Reliable shifts in color appearance closely followed the direction of the inducing contour, but their vector length measured in CIE $u'v'$ color space ranged from only 2.61 – 4.38 % of the orange inducing contour. Recently, Monnier and Shevell (2003, 2004) studied assimilation using patterns composed of concentric circles alternating between two chromaticities that stimulate the S-cones. They found a large shift in color appearance with thin inducing stimuli (9 arcmin) and induction fields. This

difference in strength of the WCE could perhaps be explained by the small area of the induced region. The goal of the present experiment is to test directly the strength of the WCE as a function of the stimulus width when the inducing contours remain constant. To control for chromatic aberration we performed a separate experiment using an achromatizing lens.

2. General Methods

2.1. Observers

There were three viewing conditions: (i) binocular, (ii) monocular, and (iii) monocular with achromatizing lens. The second condition was a control experiment because the achromatizing lens could only be used monocularly. The latter condition was intended to evaluate the role of chromatic aberration on the WCE. Three observers participated in condition (i) and two in conditions (ii) and (iii). One observer was one of the authors and the other two were naïve to the purpose of the experiments. All had normal (or corrected-to-normal) visual acuity and were normal trichromats as assessed by the Neitz Anomaloscope, the HRR pseudoisochromatic plates and the Farnsworth F-2 plates. Each observer provided written informed consent for a protocol approved by the Office of Human Research Protection of the University of California, Davis.

2.2. Apparatus

Stimuli were displayed on a color monitor (Sony Multiscan G220) controlled by a Macintosh G4 computer with an ATI Radeon 7500 video card (10-bits per gun). Stimuli were generated using Matlab 5.2.1 with the Psychophysics Toolbox (Brainard 1997; Pelli

1997). The monitor was calibrated using a Minolta colorimeter (CS 100 Chroma Meter) and procedures set out in Brainard et al (2002). Observer position was stabilized by a chinrest so that the screen was viewed at a distance of 217 cm.

2.3. Stimuli

Stimulus patterns used to produce the WCE consisted of a central test region (the induction region) surrounded by double contours arranged in rectangular patterns that were 5.35 deg in height and ranged between 5.4 arcmin and 7.4 deg in width (see Fig. 1). Intermediate widths used were: 9.3 arcmin, 30.6 arcmin, 1.43 deg, and 5 deg. The double contours were sinusoidally shaped at 1.5 cycles per degree (amplitude = 0.13 deg) with a width of 1.7 deg. They were composed of two different colors: an orange ($u',v' = 0.231; 0.512; Y = 20 \text{ cd/m}^2$) inner contour and purple ($u',v' = 0.211, 0.375; Y = 55 \text{ cd/m}^2$) outer contour, presented on a neutral white background ($u',v' = 0.189, 0.467; Y = 80 \text{ cd/m}^2$). The WCE is dependent on the luminance contrast between the two borders (Devinck et al 2005; Pinna 2005). Thus, the luminance of the orange contour was higher than that of the purple contour with a luminance ratio of 2.75 corresponding to the higher contrast used in a previous paper, this setting allowed to obtain a stronger WCE (Devinck et al 2005). Under these conditions uniform orange color spreading was readily seen within the enclosed surface area for all pattern widths tested.

Figure 1 about here

2.4. Procedure

Observers were dark adapted for 3 minutes before beginning the experiment. They were asked to adjust the chromaticity of the enclosed stimulus area until it appeared achromatic (hue-cancellation). In a previous manuscript (Devinck et al 2005), the results showed no difference between color-matching and hue cancellation task for the pattern used in the present paper. In addition, observers generally found the hue-cancellation task to be easier than matching and were able to perform this task relatively quickly. For this reason, all subsequent experiments in this paper used the hue-cancellation method. For nulling, the luminance of the induction area was held constant, while the chromaticity was adjusted by pushing appropriate buttons on a gamepad. Each button press changed the hue of the test area in equal steps along a^* and b^* chromaticity coordinates in CIE $L^*a^*b^*$ color space. Observers were able to toggle between three step sizes by pressing a separate button (0.5, 0.1 and 0.02 in CIE $L^*a^*b^*$ color space) to optimize the efficiency of the task. To reduce adaptation to the stimulus pattern, stimuli were presented for 2 s, with an interstimulus interval of 2 s during which a large blank field of the same luminance and color as the white background was interspersed. This sequence was repeated until the observers were satisfied with their settings and clicked a mouse to end the trial and start the next one. The order of the stimuli was randomized for each observer. Each subject made 10 settings for each stimulus width tested. Practice trials preceded the data collection.

Possible effects of chromatic aberration were evaluated in a separate experiment in which the stimuli were viewed through a Powell achromatizing lens (Powell, 1981). The apparatus was identical to the previous condition, except that stimuli were viewed

monocularly with and without the achromatizing lens. The position of the achromatizing lens was adjusted horizontally and vertically for each observer before each session using an x-y micrometer stage to align a set of red-blue nonius lines.

3. Results

Figure 2 shows each observer's mean settings plotted in CIE $u'v'$ coordinates for the six widths of the target stimulus. The mean color direction of the settings for hue-cancellation is opposite to that of the inducing orange contour, but not perfectly. Therefore, we calculated the difference angle by subtracting the angle for the induced color vector from that of the orange contour vector in the opposite direction. Chromaticity settings were in the opposite color direction from the inducing contour with a mean difference ranging from 17.14 – 20.5 deg in comparison with the orange vector, for all stimulus widths and whatever the condition used.

We also calculated the magnitude of the assimilation effect by dividing the shift vector size by the inducing contour vector. The results are presented in Figure 3, and the data were fit using the following exponential function: $y=x^{(a+b)}$, where a determines the shape of the exponential curve, and b is a constant that determines the position of the curve on the y-axis. Fitting parameters are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 about here

In Figure 3, the ratio between the shift size vector and the inducing contour vector is plotted as a function of stimulus width. The top panel refers to binocular viewing, the middle panel to monocular viewing and the bottom panel to monocular viewing with an

achromatizing lens. Results in the top panel show that there was a difference in chromaticity shift for the different stimulus widths: when the size of the induction area increased, the vector shift required for hue cancellation decreased exponentially. For example, while relatively large chromaticity shifts of 38.11% and 18.04% were needed for the two narrowest columns (5.4 and 9.3 arcmin, respectively), shifts of only 4.61-1.75% were required for wider columns. Note that the magnitude of the effect for a stimulus width of 1.43 deg is similar to that found by Devinck et al (2005) under comparable conditions. We conclude that the WCE is most salient for stimuli with smaller enclosed areas.

Figures 2 and 3 about here

Figure 3 (middle panel) shows the results for monocular viewing as a function of stimulus width. Data were similar for binocular and monocular conditions without the achromatizing lens. When the stimulus was viewed through the achromatizing lens (Figure 3, bottom panel), the shift in chromaticity decreased exponentially with increasing stimulus width. Data for larger stimulus widths (≥ 30.6 arcmin) were similar to those for monocular viewing without the achromatizing lens. However, results for the smaller stimulus width (≤ 9.3 arcmin) differed from those obtained with binocular and monocular viewing without the achromatizing lens. Indeed, the perceived color was less saturated than in the non-achromatizing lens conditions. Thus, the chromaticity shift required was around 16.5 – 17.1% (5.4 arcmin) and 5.1 – 8.6% (9.3 arcmin).

4. Discussion

Several studies have shown that the magnitude of color assimilation depends upon the induction area (Fach and Sharpe 1986; Smith et al 2001; de Weert and Spillmann 1995; Monnier and Shevell 2003; Devinck et al 2005). The present experiment demonstrates the importance of stimulus width for the WCE. Whereas the wider stimuli (≥ 30.6 arcmin) exhibited small shifts in color appearance, the narrower ones (≤ 9.3 arcmin) produced larger shifts. Chromatic aberration explains the stronger assimilation effect obtained for narrow stimuli, but not for wide stimuli.

4.1. The role of chromatic aberration in color assimilation

The stronger assimilation obtained in the WCE for narrow stimuli can be explained, at least in part, by chromatic aberration, while assimilative color perceived over wider stimuli cannot. If chromatic aberration would fully explain the WCE, the resulting curves in Fig. 3 (bottom) would be expected to have no chromaticity shift, whereas the results for both monocular conditions diverged only slightly for the wider induction area, and decreased with the narrow stimuli when an achromatizing lens was used.

Smith et al (2001) suggested that chromatic aberration contributes to assimilation, but that there must be additional factors. Other studies have argued against a substantial contribution of aberration (Fach and Sharpe 1986; Moulden et al 1993). This difference is reflected in studies suggesting that assimilation is present (Pinna et al 2001; Monnier and Shevell 2003) or absent (Broerse et al 1999) depending on whether or not stimuli were observed through an achromatizing lens. Our data show that chromatic aberration cannot account for the WCE in the wider stimuli that have been used in previously

published work. The present study may link these studies with classical color assimilation by taking stimulus width into consideration. Bradley et al (1992) found chromatic aberration to be more important at higher spatial frequencies, than at lower spatial frequencies. Thus, the aberration will not be different, but the impact would be more important at higher spatial frequencies. For example, if a very thin blue bar is next to a very thin yellow bar (high spatial frequency), then a small offset due to chromatic aberration could cause them to overlap on the retina. This may result in the perception of a light bar and a dark bar rather than of blue and yellow bars. For wider contours, the impact would not be as great because only the edges would overlap.

Notwithstanding the effect of chromatic aberration, our data are consistent with those of investigators who concluded that a neural process contributes to assimilation (Hurvich and Jameson 1974; Shevell and Cao 2003), although the neural locus is still unknown (Shevell and Cao 2004).

4.2. Relation to previous assimilation studies

Pinna et al (2001) pointed out that the induced color in the WCE continues to be seen up to about 45 deg. Our results show that with large stimuli, the effect is present with an achromatizing lens. Under our conditions, we showed that the color shift reached an asymptotic level by about 7 deg.

Recently, Monnier and Shevell (2003, 2004) reported large shifts in color appearance when using a patterned chromatic background composed of a concentric inducing circle, but not for a uniformly colored background. Thus, they showed that color appearance depends on the spatial configuration of the context. Nevertheless, the

present study might help explain the strong assimilation effect found by these authors: the induction area was small (9 arcmin). With stimuli exceeding 1 deg, assimilation strength might have decreased.

Finally, different stimulus configurations (Fach and Sharpe 1986; DeWeert and Spillmann 1995; Smith et al 2001; Monnier and Shevell 2003, 2004) may be encoded differently by the visual system. Consequently, one may expect different amounts of assimilation for each.

4.3. Relation to previous assimilation theories

Jameson and Hurvich (1975, 1989) proposed that color assimilation depends upon the diameters of both center and surround regions of the underlying neural receptive fields. Accordingly, if the stimulus elements are small relative to the receptive field centers, then assimilation occurs due to color mixing; and if the stimulus elements are larger, then assimilation gives way to spatial contrast. Thus, the chromaticity shift should vary with the width of the stimulus. Indeed, a larger assimilation shift should be obtained with the small widths because the inducing contour adjacent to the induced area will be weighted strongly in the center of the receptive field. Moreover, assimilation should decrease then lead to a contrast effect with larger stimuli because of the inhibitory surround of the receptive field.

Monnier and Shevell (2003, 2004) showed that a patterned background, composed of concentric inducing circles alternating between two chromaticities that stimulate the S-cones, produced a large shift in color assimilation. This result is consistent with an S-cone antagonistic center-surround (+S/-S) receptive field (Monnier

and Shevell 2004). Such neurons are not found in the retina (Dacey 2000), but have been reported in the visual cortex (Conway 2001; Solomon et al 2004). The transition from assimilation to contrast suggested by Jameson and Hurvich (1975, 1989) might also occur in S-cone spatial antagonism. Further experiments are necessary to test the generality of this model for the WCE to determine if a large shift will be also obtained using S-cone modulated patterns.

In our experiments, the chromaticity shift decreased with increases in induced area as expected from the spatial structure of receptive fields. However, we did not show a contrast effect for the larger widths. Thus, conventional receptive field organization seems unlikely to explain the WCE. Several hypotheses might be proposed related to color filling-in that involves networks derived from receptive fields. Long-range signals arising at the contour and propagated from the boundary to the enclosed region (with no neural response) are required for color perception over extended areas (Gilbert 1996; Spillmann and Werner 1996). This may culminate in a symbolic color representation such that signals from edge-detectors are integrated at a higher level to produce a response that represents the color of the surface (von der Heydt et al 2003).

A neuro-computational model has been fully developed by Grossberg and Mingolla (1985) to explain color filling-in. This theory distinguished two parallel processing modes, the Boundary Contour System (BCS) which generates contour information, and the Feature Contour System (FCS) which involves filling-in processes by which color and brightness spread. In this model, a weakening of the boundary is a prerequisite for the outflow of color. In the case of the WCE, the FCS within the inner contour will induce the orange color; at the same time, the BCS will inhibit the boundary

between the orange contour and the background. Consequently, the weakened boundary will allow orange color to flow out and spread, but the presence of a strong boundary (e.g., the purple contour) will be able to contain color spreading in one direction. This approach then is consistent with the perception of the orange veil in the WCE.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Fédération des Aveugles de France, the National Institute on Aging (AG04058), a Research to Prevent Blindness Jules and Doris Stein Professorship and the German Research Council (SP67/8-2).

References

- Bradley A, Zhang X, Thibos L, 1992 “Failures of isoluminance caused by ocular chromatic aberrations” *Applied Optics* **31** 3657 – 3667.
- Brainard D H, 1997 “The psychophysics toolbox” *Spatial Vision* **10** 433 - 436.
- Brainard D H, Pelli D G, Robson T, 2002 “Display characterization”, in *The Encyclopedia of Imaging Science and Technology* Ed. J Hornak (New-York: Wiley and Sons) pp 172 - 188.
- Broerse J, Vladusich T, O’Shea R P, 1999 “Colour at edges and colour spreading in McCollough effects” *Vision Research* **39** 1305 - 1320.
- Conway B R, 2001 “Spatial structure of cone inputs to color cells in alert macaque primary visual cortex” *The Journal of Neuroscience* **21** 2768 - 2783.
- Dacey D M, 2000 “Parallel pathways for spectral coding in primate retina” *Annual Review of Neuroscience* **23** 743 - 775.
- Devinck F, Delahunt P D, Hardy J L, Spillmann L, Werner J S, 2005 “The Watercolor effect: Quantitative evidence for luminance-dependent mechanisms of long-range color assimilation” *Vision Research* **45** 1413 - 1424.
- DeWeert C M M, Spillmann L, 1995 “Assimilation: asymmetry between brightness and darkness?” *Vision Research* **35** 1413 - 1419.
- Fach C, Sharpe L, 1986 “Assimilative hue shifts in color gratings depend on bar width” *Perception & Psychophysics* **40** 412 - 418.
- Gilbert C D, 1996 “Plasticity in visual perception and physiology” *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **6** 269 - 274.

- Grossberg S, Mingolla E, 1985 “Neural dynamics of form perception : Boundary Completion, illusory figures, and neon color spreading” *Psychological Review* **92** 173 - 211.
- Helson H, 1963 “Studies of anomalous contrast and assimilation” *Journal of the Optical Society of America* **53** 179 - 184.
- Hong S W, Shevell S, 2004 “Brightness contrast and assimilation from patterned inducing backgrounds” *Vision Research* **44** 35 - 43.
- Hurvich L M, Jameson D, 1974 “Opponent processes as a model of neural organization” *American Psychologist* **40**, 1 - 22.
- Jameson D, Hurvich L M, 1975 “From contrast to assimilation: in art and in the eye” *Leonardo* **8**, 125 - 131.
- Jameson D, Hurvich L M, 1989 “Essay concerning color constancy” *Annual Review of Psychology* **40**, 1 - 22.
- Monnier P, Shevell S K, 2003 “Large shifts in color appearance from patterned chromatic backgrounds” *Nature Neuroscience* **6** 801 - 802.
- Monnier P, Shevell S K, 2004 “Chromatic induction from S-cone patterns” *Vision Research* **44** 849 - 856.
- Moulden B, Kingdom F, Wink B, 1993 “Colour pools, brightness pools, assimilation, and the spatial resolving power of the human colour-vision system” *Perception* **22** 343 - 351.
- Pelli D G, 1997 “The VideoToolbox software for visual psychophysics: transforming numbers into movies” *Spatial Vision* **10** 437 - 442.

- Pinna B, 2005 “The role of the Gestalt principle of similarity in the watercolor illusion”
Spatial Vision **18** 185 – 208.
- Pinna B, Brelstaff G, Spillmann L, 2001 “Surface color from boundaries: a new 'watercolor' illusion” *Vision Research* **20** 2669 - 2676.
- Powell I, 1981 “Lenses correcting for chromatic aberration of the human eye” *Applied Optics* **20** 4152 – 4155.
- Shapley R, Reid R C, 1985 “Contrast and assimilation in the perception of brightness”
Proceedings of the National Academy of Science of the United States of America
82 5983 - 5986.
- Shevell S K, Cao D, 2003 “Chromatic assimilation: evidence for a neural mechanism”, in
Normal and defective colour vision Eds. J D Mollon, J Pokorny, K Knoblauch
(New-York: Oxford University Press) pp 114 - 121.
- Shevell S K, Cao D, 2004 “Chromatic assimilation unaffected by perceived depth of inducing light” *Visual Neuroscience* **21** 373 - 376.
- Smith V C, Jin P Q, Pokorny J, 2001 “The role of spatial frequency in color induction”
Vision Research **41** 1007 - 1021.
- Solomon S G, Peirce J W, Lennie P, 2004 “The impact of suppressive surrounds on chromatic properties of cortical neurons” *The Journal of Neuroscience* **24** 148 - 160.
- Spillmann L, Werner J S, 1996 “Long-range interactions in visual perception” *Trends In Neurosciences* **19** 428 - 434.
- Steger J A, 1969 “Visual lightness assimilation and contrast as a function of differential stimulation” *American Journal of Psychology* **82** 56 - 72.

von Bezold W, 1876 *The theory of color and its relation with art and art-industry*
(Boston, MA: Prang).

von der Heydt R, Friedman H S, Zhou H, 2003 “Searching for the neural mechanism of
color filling-in”, in *Filling-in: From perceptual completion to cortical
reorganization* Eds. L Pessoa, P DeWeerd (New-York: Oxford University Press)
pp 106 - 127.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1: Two examples of WCE stimuli used in this study.

Fig. 2: Hue-cancellation data plotted in CIE $u'v'$ chromaticity space. Each panel shows a different width of the stimulus. The mean vector required for cancellation is shown by the arrow originating at the white background (top center). Results for different widths are displayed separately. Symbols denote color shifts for three observers: subject 1 (\diamond), subject 2 (\square) and subject 3 (\triangle). The solid line shows the direction of the orange (inner) contour chromaticity while the dotted lines represent cone-opponent axes, $S/(L+M)$ and $L/(L+M)$. Error bars are ± 1 SEM.

Fig. 3: WCE quantified by color-cancellation and expressed by the shift size vector divided by the inducing contour vector as a function of stimulus width. Results of hue-cancellation are displayed for the three viewing conditions: binocular (top panel), monocular (middle panel), and monocular with an achromatizing lens (bottom panel). Individual observers as in Fig. 2; mean shown by bold line and symbol \bullet . Error bars are ± 1 SEM.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

Table 1: Fitting parameters for all observers and experimental conditions.

Experimental Condition	Observers	a	b
Binocular	Observer 1	-1.54	1.80
	Observer 2	-1.46	1.30
	Observer 3	-1.48	2.84
	Mean	-1.49	2.02
Monocular	Observer 1	-1.48	2.02
	Observer 2	-1.50	1.75
Monocular with Achromatizing Lens	Observer 1	-1.08	1.92
	Observer 2	-1.16	1.85