

# Uropodella (Acari: Mesostigmata: Sejidae), mites unchanged from Eocene past to Holocene present

Evert Lindquist, Dmitry D Vorontso

# ▶ To cite this version:

Evert Lindquist, Dmitry D Vorontso. Uropodella (Acari: Mesostigmata: Sejidae), mites unchanged from Eocene past to Holocene present. Acarologia, 2023, 63 (2), pp.346-355. 10.24349/y1ey-edzd . hal-04047550

# HAL Id: hal-04047550 https://hal.science/hal-04047550

Submitted on 27 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License



# Acarologia

A quarterly journal of acarology, since 1959 Publishing on all aspects of the Acari

All information: http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/acarologia/ acarologia-contact@supagro.fr



# Acarologia is proudly non-profit, with no page charges and free open access

Please help us maintain this system by encouraging your institutes to subscribe to the print version of the journal and by sending us your high quality research on the Acari.

> Subscriptions: Year 2023 (Volume 63): 450 € http://www1.montpellier.inra.fr/CBGP/acarologia/subscribe.php Previous volumes (2010-2021): 250 € / year (4 issues) Acarologia, CBGP, CS 30016, 34988 MONTFERRIER-sur-LEZ Cedex, France ISSN 0044-586X (print), ISSN 2107-7207 (electronic)

The digitalization of Acarologia papers prior to 2000 was supported by Agropolis Fondation under the reference ID 1500-024 through the « Investissements d'avenir » programme (Labex Agro: ANR-10-LABX-0001-01)



Acarologia is under free license and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons-BY

# Acarologia

Open Science in Acarology

# *Uropodella* (Acari: Mesostigmata: Sejidae), mites unchanged from Eocene past to Holocene present

Evert E. Lindquist<sup>a</sup>, Dmitry D. Vorontsov<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> 40 Barnes Crescent, Ottawa, Ontario, K2H7C2, Canada.

<sup>b</sup> Koltzov Institute of Developmental Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia.

### **Original research**

### ABSTRACT

The first record is presented of the sejoid genus *Uropodella* (family Uropodellidae, suborder Sejida) from Baltic amber, based on a well-preserved adult male. Morphologically, the specimen is as modern in structure as adults of the six known extant species of *Uropodella*. The diagnosis of the fossil in distinction to the extant species justifies naming it as a **new species**. This record traces the genus back to the Eocene, representing the oldest validated age for a mesostigmatan genus. A key to species of the genus *Uropodella* is given.

KeywordsParasitiformes; Sejida; fossil recordZoobankhttp://zoobank.org/BD77235C-748E-4D6C-A917-C4394DCC0BFB

# Introduction

Members of the suborder Sejida are considered to be among the most primitive of the acarine order Mesostigmata (Johnston 1982; Evans 1992). The Sejida encompasses the infraorder Sejina sensu lato (Lekveishvili and Klompen 2004), which includes the superfamily Heterozerconoidea and various other families of traditional Sejina sensu stricto (Lekveishvili and Klompen 2009). Phylogenetically, the genus Uropodella is considered basal to all other taxa of the Sejina sensu stricto (Lekveishvili and Klompen 2004). The Sejina sensu stricto consists of one superfamily, Sejoidea, with four families, Sejidae, Uropodellidae, Ichthyostomatogasteridae, and Reginacharlottiidae (Lekveishvili and Krantz 2004; Joharchi et al. 2021). In turn, the Uropodellidae contains only a single genus, Uropodella Berlese, 1888, currently with six extant species described from the Americas and Africa (Camin 1955; Athias-Henriot 1972; Hirschmann and Zirngiebl-Nicol 1984; Wisniewski and Hirschmann 1991). Because the fossil adult male is so similar morphologically to those of extant species, various aspects of its ways of life can also be assumed similar, as presented in the discussion. Moreover, because this male can be distinguished from the adults of all six of the described extant species, it is provided with a binomen as a new species and included in a key to species of this genus.

## **Material and methods**

*Provenance and deposition.* The piece of Eocene Baltic amber mined at Usedom (a Baltic Sea island in Pomerania) containing the fossil mite treated herein originates from the private Collection of Christel and Hans-Werner Hoffeins (CCHH), original collection number CCHH-354-1. The piece is transferred to the collection of the Geological-Palaeontological Museum,

Lindquist E. E. and Vorontsov D. D.

Licensed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0



How to cite this article Lindquist E. E. and Vorontsov D. D. (2023), Uropodella (Acari: Mesostigmata: Sejidae), mites unchanged from Eocene past to Holocene present. Acarologia 63(2): 346-355. https://doi.org/10.24349/ y1ey-edzd

Received 01 February 2023 Accepted 17 March 2023 Published 27 March 2023

Corresponding author Evert E. Lindquist<sup>(D)</sup>: evertelindquist@gmail.com

Academic editor Kreiter, Serge

https://doi.org/10.24349/y1ey-edzd

ISSN 0044-586X (print)

ISSN 2107-7207 (electronic)

Leibniz Institute for the Analysis of Biodiversity Change (LIB), Hamburg, Germany, collection number GPIH-05125.

Syninclusion: Acari: Acariformes, only an anterior part (gnathosoma and propodosoma) not further identifiable, in the depth of amber.

*Amber preparation.* The fragment measuring 4.5x3.5x0.8 mm, containing the mite, was trimmed from the original piece embedded in polyester resin and then polished on two sides, following protocols of Sidorchuk and Vorontsov (2018), to provide dorsal and ventral aspects of the mite. Other aspects were not assessed due to the trench in amber which crossed the mite in the frontal plane. For collection storage, after the study the specimen was covered by the same artificial resin (Hoffeins, 2001).

*Imaging.* For imaging, a Nikon E-800 compound microscope with dry (10x) and water (40x and 60x) objectives was used. As the body of the mite was mostly non-translucent, most of the micrographs were made in reflected light that limited the resolution. Stacks of images, comprising multiple focal planes, were obtained with an Olympus OM-D E-M10-II digital camera. Final images were focus-stacked using Helicon Focus Pro 7.6. Image stacks are available through Figshare (https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21938027).

Terminology, systematics, measurements. Terminology used for morphological structures follows those of Evans (1992) and Alberti and Coons (1999). Classification and systematic concepts generally follow Lindquist *et al.* (2009) and Beaulieu *et al.* (2011). Measurements of structures are given in micrometers ( $\mu$ m) and rounded to the nearest 5 if beyond 30 mm; length, width and height relates in each case to the maximal dimension visible. As orientations of structures in amber were suboptimal, the measurements are to be treated as minimal estimates. Measurements are based on the one specimen available and limited to idiosomatic structures, as the legs are too folded and obscure for measuring. Notation for setae and gland-like structures are not attempted, in view of idiosomatic hypertrichy and obfuscation of other structures.

## Systematic paleontology

Superorder Parasitiformes Reuter, 1909, sensu Krantz and Walter 2009 Order Mesostigmata G. Canestrini, 1891 Suborder Sejida Kramer, 1885 Infraorder Sejina Kramer, 1885, sensu Lekveishvili and Klompen 2004 Superfamily Sejoidea Berlese, 1885 Family Uropodellidae Camin, 1955 Genus Uropodella Berlese, 1888 Uropodella hoffeinsorum new species

Zoobank: 3E1FEF54-6C3E-4E68-9CFB-816E5B2274F4

#### Diagnosis

The fossil male mite is distinguished from adults of *Uropodella laciniata* (Berlese, 1888) and *sensu* Camin (1955), and of *U. nebulosa* Athias-Henriot (1972) in having the setae of the dorsal shields extremely thin, nearly smooth (though minutely ciliated), whereas these setae are described or shown as being thicker, leaf-like, pectinated on those two forms. Its distinction from *U. australis* Athias-Henriot, 1972 is based immediately on the idiosomatic shields of the latter being remarkably unsculptured. The dorsal shields of *U. cristata* Athias-Henriot, 1972 are each more clearly (1.1-1.4x) wider than long, with the posterior shield ca 1.5x longer than the anterior shield (based on measures and figures); whereas each of these shields is subequally



**Figure 1** Dorsal images of adult male, *Uropodella hoffeinsorum*, **sp. nov.**; A – habitus image, micrograph; B – enlarged photo of anterodorsal idiosomal vertex or prosostège *sensu* Athias-Henriot 1972; C – drawing of idiosomal vertex; D – line drawing; E – enlarged reflected light micrograph of finely ciliate dorsal setae; F, G, H – enlarged micrographs of ring-like structures (arrows) amidst setae. All micrographs except B are focus-stacked; F, G, H to same scale.

as wide as long, with the posterior shield at most 1.1x longer than the anterior shield on the fossil.

#### Description

#### Adult male

(Figures 1-2)

Dorsal idiosoma — Broadly oval in form, length 710 (including podonotal vertex projection of ca 90), greatest width near mid-level 440; dorsal surface with extensive anterior vertex, and anterior and posterior dorsal shields of similar dimensions, flanked on either side by a lateral shield (Figures 1A, D); all shields hypertrichous with small (15–20) thin, nearly smooth, slightly ciliate setae (Figure 1E), strongly ornamented with coarse foveae, lateral margins of shields smooth; shields flanked by soft, slightly tuberculated cuticle, similarly hypertrichous with small, nearly smooth setae inserted individually on small sclerotized bases (Figures 1H, 2F). Dorsal idiosoma extended anteriorly into a projecting, coarsely spinose vertex or "prosostège" sensu Athias-Henriot, 1972 (Figures 1B, C); anterior dorsal shield with midline ridge along posterior third of length, that ridge divided antero-medially on mid-third of shield (Figures 1A, D), midline length 270, greatest width slightly posterior to mid-level 280; posterior dorsal shield without horn-like processes bearing setae, with midline ridge developed along most of its length, and with slight indications of a parallel mid-lateral ridge on either side (Figure 1A), midline length 295, greatest width near mid-level 300; lateral dorsal shields narrowly rounded at either end, each 195–200 in length, maximum width near mid-level 78–80; dorsal shield setae difficult to discern, exceedingly thin, slightly ciliated (Figure 1E); surrounding soft cuticle with a mostly single series or rim of similarly small, nearly as thin setae anteriorly, becoming more numerous as two or more such series posterad the lateral shields; soft cuticle with a ring-like structure amidst setae closely anterad each lateral shield and also one posterad the posterior dorsal shield (visible only unilaterally) (Figures 1D, F, G, H, arrowed).

**Ventral idiosoma** — Holoventral shield with lateral margins slightly undulated, ornamented over entire surface with coarse foveae as on dorsal shields (Figures 2A, C), length from anterior margin between bases of legs I and II to hind margin 495; maximum width at posterior mid-level 390; minimum width between legs IV 135; shield with a pair of long furrows demarcating genitiventral area extending obliquely from between legs IV nearly to transverse level of anal opening near where greatest transverse interval between furrows 235. Tritosternum with broad, trapezoidal base with pilose laciniae separated at base. Form of anterior sternal area structures indiscernible. Genital opening with a sclerotized circular rim at level between bases of legs II, well behind anterior margin of holoventral shield. Anal opening protrudent, covered by a small, circumscribed, smooth, disc-like area, ca 50 long, 35 wide, flanked by a pair of minute anal valves with three pairs of setae (Figures 2D, E).

Gnathosoma — Chelicerae and ventral gnathosomatic structures indiscernible.

**Legs** — Leg segments roughened, tuberculated in surface texture, with some larger spines forming setal bases; leg I tarsus with apical subdivision, delineating an acrotarsus (Figure 2B, arrow); all legs with paired claws; details of rich setation and other structures mostly indistinct, but an elongated macroseta evident dorsally on genu of legs III, IV. Legs with rows of thick leaf-like setae (Figure 2G).

#### Etymology

The fossil species name is dedicated to Christel and Hans-Werner Hoffeins, who collected the piece of amber and discovered the mite inside.

#### Remarks

This fossil mite is an adult male because it both (1) presents a small, circular genital opening between the level of legs II on sternitigenital shielding that is (2) coalesced with peritrematal and ventrianal shielding to form a holoventral shield. Its configuration of dorsal shields



Figure 2 Ventral images of adult male, *Uropodella hoffeinsorum*, **sp. nov.**; A – habitus image, micrograph, showing circular, funnel-shaped genital opening, furrows delimiting sternitigenial area from more lateral parts of holoventral shield, and small, unornamented anal region; B – enlarged micrograph of tarsus I, showing tuberculated basal area, the apical subdivision delineating nearly smoothly surfaced acrotarsus.(arrow); C – ventral habitus drawing, legs omitted; D, E – reflected light micrographs of anal region of holoventral shield, showing small, protruding anal opening flanked by small anal sclerites; different focusing and directions of lateral lighting in D and E show alveoli of setae (arrows); F – micrograph showing thin smooth marginal setae (arrows, see also Fig.1G); G, legs III and IV. D-G to same scale.

(anterior and posterior, flanked by a lateral pair) is typical of both adult male and female sejine taxa. The fossil's species is assigned to the family Uropodellidae and its only recognized genus *Uropodella* by the following combination of attributes: (1) body with extensive dorsomarginal hypertrichy; (2) adult idiosoma with extensive anterior (podonotal) and posterior (opisthonotal) shields, flanked on either side by a mesolateral shield; these and ventral shielding (3) coarsely ornamented, foveolated; (4) apex of podonotum produced apomorphically into a prominent spiky knob (a vertex or prosostège *sensu* Athias-Henriot, 1972) over the gnathosoma; (5) male with ventral shields consolidated (holoventral shield); male genital aperture (6) subcircular, intercoxal between legs II, and (7) located behind level of anterior margin of sternitigenital part of ventral shielding; (8) anal opening small; (9) tritosternum with strongly developed base; (10) soft cuticle tuberculated.

The genus *Uropodella* was reviewed by Hirschmann and Zirngiebl-Nicol (1984), who provided keys to the six species recognized by them, including two newly named species based on material previously identified as *Uropodella sp.* by Krantz (1978, 2009) and provisionally as *Uropodella laciniata* by Camin (1955). Here, the names by Hirschmann and Zirngiebl-Nicol for two species are treated provisionally as nomina dubia, as they were not based on studies or deposition of specimens. However, they are included in the following key to species of the genus, in view of the fourth edition of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (1999), Article 13, seeming to accommodate them. Nevertheless, according to Recommendation 75E of the previous third edition of the Code (1985), which is no longer presented in the fourth edition (1999), a designation of Hirschmann & Zirngiebl-Nicol's names as "nomina dubia" seems appropriate until such time as some primary type specimens can be reexamined and published, with neotype designations, concerning material upon which Krantz's illustrations and Camin's illustrations and descriptions were based.

# Provisional key to species of *Uropodella* adults, based on sexually non-dimorphic attributes

1. Dorsal and ventral idiosomal shields unsculptured, smooth
— Dorsal and ventral idiosomal shields strongly sculptured, foveolate
<ul> <li>2. Dorsal shield setae keeled, thick, serrated or pectinate</li></ul>
<ul> <li>3. Sternitigenital shield with anterolateral projections parallel, directed straight forward</li> <li>3. Sternitigenital shield with anterolateral projections divergent, directed between bases of legs I</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>4. Sternal setae <i>st1</i>, <i>st2</i> on smooth tubercles U. <i>krantzi</i> Hirschmann &amp; Zirngiebl-Nicol, 1984, nomen dubium? [=U. sp., Ohio, USA sensu Krantz, 1978; Lindquist <i>et al.</i>, 2009]</li> </ul>
— Sternal setae <i>st1</i> , <i>st2</i> on prickly/thorny tubercles or shaggy mounds
5. Posterior dorsal shield ca 1.1–1.2x wider than anterior dorsal shield width
<ul> <li>6. Epigynial shield 1.6x longer than wide U. camini Hirschmann &amp; Zirngiebl-Nicol, 1984, nomen dubium? [=U. laciniata sensu Camin, 1985, not Berlese, 1888]</li> <li>— Epigynial shield 1.3x longer than wide U. congoensis Wisniewski &amp; Hirschmann, 1991</li> </ul>
7. Dorsal shields each 1.1–1.4x wider than long, with posterior shield ca 1.5x longer than anterior shield

### **Discussion**

As noted by Joharchi et al. (2021), fossil mesostigmatic mites are extremely rare. Moreover, their posture in amber, with the legs usually folded onto the undersides of the body, leaves them extremely difficult to image micrographically and understand their morphological details. The fossil male Uropodella at hand from Baltic amber of Eocene age, 54.5-37 Ma (Weitschat & Wichard 2010) has relatively good preservation that allows its certain determination to genus and even to morphological proximity with adults of extant species. An unusual detail appears to be the presence of a small, ring-like structure closely anterad the anterior margin of each lateral dorsal shield (Figures 1F, G). Camin (1955) noted such structures in his description of Uropodella laciniata as follows: "...lateral to the anterior median dorsal shield and just anterior to the lateral dorsal shields are a pair of minute, ring-shaped platelets without setae" (Figure 3A). A structure similar in size and location was illustrated for Uropodella cristata by Athias-Henriot (1972, figure 207) without descriptive comment. Similarly formed and located structures were illustrated for Uropodella congoensis Wisniewski & Hirschmann (1991, figure 2) and for Adenosejus krantzi (Hirschmann & Zirngiebl-Nicol, 1984) by Hirschmann (1991), as copied in Lekveishvilli and Klompen (2009), who regarded them perhaps to be gland-pore complexes. A similar structure shown by Athias-Henriot (1972, again figure 207) for Uropodella cristata is located on soft cuticle posterolaterad the posterior dorsal shield. Her caption noted it as "pustule OS4 dans un microsclérite piligère", albeit the microsclerite is drawn as having but one seta. She defined "pustule" as a specialized, dorsal, solenostome-bearing organ. Again, a structure similar in size and position is evident on one side of this fossil (Figure 1H). This detail is notable in being peculiar to only some sejine mites, and a further indication of how well and clearly preserved is this fossil specimen.

Apart from the excellence of its preservation, the present discovery is significant in representing the second oldest identified example of the infraorder Sejina of the Sejida, previously considered to be the most primitive suborder of mesostigmatic mites (Johnston, 1982), although currently considered less early derivative (Klompen 2000; Klompen *et al.* 2007). The oldest record is of a deutonymph of an unidentified genus, tracing the Sejida twice as many millions of years back to the mid-Cretaceous in Burmese amber, ca 100 Ma (Joharchi *et al.* 2021).

**Comportment** — In view of this fossil adult male mite being so similar morphologically to adults of extant species, various aspects of its ways of life are also assumed similar. (1) Association with woody habitats such as tree holes and insect galleries: (Camin 1955; Athias-Henriot 1972; Wisniewski and Hirschmann 1991); very dry, powdery tree holes appear to be a preferred habitat in Ohio, eastern U.S.A (Klompen, personal observations). (2) Fluid feeding: indicated by having a small anal opening, in contrast to particulate feeding and large anal opening (Evans 1992; Lindquist *et al.* 2009). (3) Tocospermic sperm transference: although details of the fossil's chelicerae are obscured, the male chelicerae of all sejine mites are unmodified for sperm transfer activity (Evans 1992). (4) See comments on anal plate structure, below.

**Anal plate structure** — The form of anal structures, with the anal opening being small and covered by a pair of minute anal valves (Figures 2D, E), may be an ontogenetic carryover from the deutonymph, in which indicating a secretive function as found in the phoretic form of deutonymphs of diverse extant uropodine and sejine taxa (Evans 1992). Based on the descriptions of immature instars of one form of *Uropodella* (Camin 1955), this form of external anal structure is carried over from that of the deutonymph. However, this is not to suggest that an adult male like this fossil could produce an adherent stalk.

# Acarologia.



**Figure 3** Comparison of adults of *Uropodella laciniata sensu* Camin (1955) with those of *U. hoffeinsorum*, **sp. nov**. A, B – dorsal aspects of female *U. laciniata* (from Camin, 1955) and male *U. hoffeinsorum*, **sp. nov.**; C, D – ventral aspects of adult males of *U. laciniata* (from Camin, 1955) and *U. hoffeinsorum*, **sp. nov**. All drawings and micrographs to same scale.

Johnston (in Norton *et al.* 1993) suggested a close phylogenetic relationship of the suborders Sejina, Microgyniina and Uropodina, without providing explicit evidence. However, this relationship is consistent with a major, life-history modification of deutonymphal phoretic dispersal among mites of these suborders in attaching to hosts by anal secretions, an autapotypical attribute unknown among other Parasitiform mites. In noting that the anal plate structure of *Uropodella* deutonymphs is quite similar to that in the heteromorphic deutonymph of thinozerconoid Uropodina, Kethley (1983) hypothesized that the presence of a heteromorphic deutonymph in *Uropodella* and other non-pedicelate uropodines is derivative relative to the condition of having only a single, homeomorphic deutonymphal instar as found in "primitive" Sejina (*Archaeopodella* and *Epicroseius*). He suggested a subsequent loss of the homeomorphic deutonymph in *Uropodella* and higher uropodines. However, this notion would imply paraphyly of the Sejina relative to Uropodina, and species with specialized deutonymphs have since been described in *Epicroseius* (Hirschmann *et al.*, 1991), suggesting that this hypothesis needs reconsideration (Lekveishvili and Klompen 2004).

**Forever small – another example** — The idiosomatic sizes of extant adult *Uropodella*, based on five species (females of *laciniata* Berlese, 1888, *australis* and *cristata* of Athias-Henriot, 1972, *congoensis* of Wisniewski and Hirschmann, 1991, *laciniata sensu* Camin, 1955, and males of *laciniata sensu* Camin, 1955, *congoensis* of Wisniewski and Hirschmann, 1991), have lengths of 581 to 763 and widths of 310 to 545 µm. Adult males average ca 0.85 smaller than adult females, based on measurements of two species, *congoensis* and *laciniata sensu* Camin. The fossil male body size of 620 length by 438 µm width fits within those extant body size ranges, so as to be another example of related mites being "forever small", based on data presented by Sidorchuk (2018). Moreover, the morphological aspects of this fossil are so similar to those of extant *Uropodella* species, even to the dorsal shield ornamentation and topographic undulations of *U. laciniata sensu* Camin, 1955 (Figure 3), to suggest that it represents what could be an extant form that dates back to the Eocene epoch.

## **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to Christel and Hans-Werner Hoffeins (Hamburg, Germany), who discovered the mite and loaned the specimen to D.D.V. We thank Jessica Hsiun (Research Branch, Agriculture & AgriFood Canada, Ottawa) for artistic support in drawings of the amber specimen, R. Bruce Halliday (CSIRO Entomology, Canberra) for thoughts concerning nomenclatorial aspects of previous species names; and Hans Klompen (Acarology Laboratory, Ohio State University, Columbus) for helpful review comments.

## Funding

The work of DDV was conducted under the IDB RAS Government basic research program in 2023 № 0088-2021-0019

## ORCID

Evert E. Lindquist () https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4528-7642 Dmitry D. Vorontsov () https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1701-1748

#### **References**

Athias-Henriot, C. 1972. Gamasides chiliens (Arachnides). II. Révision de la famille Ichthyostomatogasteridae Sellnick, 1953 (= Uropodellidae Camin, 1955). Arquivos de Zoologia, Sao Paulo, 22: 113-191. https://doi.org/10.11606/issn.2176-7793.v22i3p113-191 Berlese, A. 1885. Acarorum systematis specimen. Bollettino della Società Entomologica Italiana, 17: 121-135.

Berlese, A. 1888. Acari Austro-Americani quos collegit Aloysius Balzan, Manipulus 1. Bollettino della Società Entomologica Italiana, 20: 171-222, 9 pls.

Camin, J. 1955. Uropodellidae, a new family of mesostigmatid mites based on Uropodella laciniata Berlese, 1888 (Acarina: Liroaspina). Bulletin of the Chicago Academy of Sciences, 10: 65-81.

Canestrini G. 1891. Abbozzo del sistema Acarologico. Atti del Reale Istituto Veneto di Scienze, 38: 699-725.

Evans G.O. 1992. Principles of Acarology. C.A.B. International, Wallingford, xviii+563 pp. https://doi.org/10.1079/9780851988221.0000

Gerdeman B.S., Klompen H. 2003. A new North American heterozerconid, *Narceoheterozercon ohioensis* n. g., n. sp., with first description of immatures of heterozerconidae (Acari: Mesostigmata). International Journal of Acarology, 29: 351-370. https://doi.org/10.1080/01647950308684352

Hirschmann W., Zirngiebl-Nicol I. 1984. Neuzeichnung von Uropodella laciniata Berlese 1888 und Bestimmungstabellen von 6 Uropodella-Arten (Trichopygidiina). Gangsystematik der Parasitiformes Teil 459, Acarologie, Folge 31: 98-101.

Hirschmann W. 1991. Weltweite Revision der Ganggatung Sejus C.L. Koch 1836 (Trichopygidiina). Acarologie, Nürnberg 38: 107-135.

Hirschmann W., Wisniewski J., Kaczmarek S. 1991. Weltweite Revision der Ganggatung Sejus C.L. Koch 1836 (Trichopygidiina). Acarologie, Nürnberg 38: 136-214.

Hoffeins H.W. 2001. On the preparation and conservation of amber inclusions in artificial resin. Polskie Pismo Entomologiczne, 70: 215 - 219.

International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, Third Edition. 1985. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature 1985. British Museum (Natural History), London. xx + 338 pp.

International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, Fourth Edition. 1999. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature 1999, The Natural History Museum, London. xxix + 289 pp.

Joharchi O., Vorontsov D.D., Walter D.E. 2021. Oldest determined record of a mesostigmatic mite (Acari: Mesostigmata: Sejidae) in Cretaceous Burmese amber. Acarologia, 61: 641-649. https://doi.org/10.24349/goj5-BZms

Johnston D.E. 1982. Acari. In: Parker S.P. (Ed.) Synopsis and Classification of Living Organisms. McGraw-Hill, New York pp. 111-117.

Kethley J.B. 1983. Modifications of the deutonymph of *Uropodella laciniata* Berlese, 1888, for phoretic dispersal (Acari: Parasitiformes). Journal of the Georgia Entomological Society, 18: 151-155.

Klompen H. 2000. A preliminary assessment of the utility of elongation factor-1a in elucidating relationships among basal Mesostigmata. Experimental & Applied Acarology, 24: 805-820. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006432017638

Klompen H., Lekveishvili M.G., Black W.C.IV. 2007. Phylogeny of parasitiform mites (Acari) based on rRNA. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 43: 936-951. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2006.10.024

Krantz G.W. 1978. A Manual of Acarology, Second Edition. Oregon State University Book Stores, Corvallis. viii + 509 pp.

Krantz G.W., Walter D.E. (Eds.) 2009. A Manual of Acarology. Third Edition. Texas Tech University Press, Lubbock viii + 807 pp.

Kramer P. 1885. Über Halarachne Halichoeri Allm. Zeitschrift f
ür die Gesammten Naturwissenschaften, 58: 46-74.

Lekveishvili M., Klompen H. 2004. Phylogeny of infraorder Sejina (Acari: Mesostigmata). Zootaxa, 629: 1-19. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.629.1.1

Lekveishvili M., Klompen H. 2006. New classification of the family Sejidae (Acari: Mesostigmata) based on morphological analyses. Journal of Natural History, Biology, 40: 2317-2339. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222930601068950

Lekveishvili M., Klompen H. 2009. A new species of Sejidae (Acari: Mesostigmata) from the Southeastern USA. International Journal of Acarology, 30: 229-238. https://doi.org/10.1080/01647950408684389

Lekveishvili M., Krantz G.W. 2004. A new genus of the family Sejidae (Acari: Mesostigmata) based on *Sejus krantzi* and *S. manualkrantzi* Hirschmann, 1991. Systematic and Applied Acarology Special Publications, 20: 1-4. https://doi.org/10.11158/saasp.20.1.1

Lindquist E.E., Krantz G.W., Walter D.E. 2009. Classification. In: Krantz G.W., Walter D.E. (Eds.) A Manual of Acarology. Third Edition. Texas Tech University Press, Lubbock, pp. 97-103.

Norton R.A., Kethley J.B., Johnston D.E., OConnor B.M. 1993. Phylogenetic perspectives on genetic systems and reproductive modes of mites. In: Wrensch D.I., Ebbert M.A. (Eds.) Evolution and diversity of sex ratio in insects and mites. Chapman & Hall, New York, pp. 8-99. https: //doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-1402-8 2

Reuter E. 1909. Zur Morphologie und Ontogenie der Acariden mit besonderer Berücksichtigung von Pediculopsis graminum. Acta Societas Scientiarum Fennicae, 36: 1-288. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title. 4705

Sidorchuk E.A. 2018. Mites as fossils: forever small? International Journal of Acarology, 44(8): 349-359. https://doi.org/10.1080/01647954.2018.1497085

Sidorchuk E.A., Vorontsov D.D. 2018. Preparation of small-sized 3D amber samples: state of the technique. Palaeoentomology, 1: 80-90. https://doi.org/10.11646/palaeoentomology.1.1.10

Weitschat, W., Wichard, W. 2010. Baltic Amber. In: P. Penney (Ed.), Biodiversity of Fossils In Amber From the Major World Deposits, Siri Scientific Press, Manchester, pp. 80-115.

Wisniewski J., Hirschmann W. 1991. Uropodella congoensis nov. spec. (Trichopygidiina) eine nach Polen eingeschleppte Milbenart. Acarologia, 32: 99-107.