Complex Day 2023 07/02

Stochastic models driven by a Lévy noise

Application to rods orientation in turbulence

Paul Maurer

Calisto Team Inria – Université Côte d'Azur





Brownian Motion and normal distribution

Normal distribution (log scale)

 $\begin{bmatrix} 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-2} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10^{-2} \\ 10^{-4} \\ 10$

Continuous stochastic process $(W_t)_{t>0}$ such that :

- ▶ $W_0 = 0.$
- ▶ $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, W_{t+s} W_s$ is independent from W_s .
- $\blacktriangleright \quad \forall s,t \in \mathbb{R}_+, W_{t+s} W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0,t).$



Path of a Brownian Motion

SDEs driven by Brownian Motion

A stochastic process $(X_t)_{t>0}$ is solution of a stochastic differential equation (SDE) if

$$X_{t} = \int_{0}^{t} a(s, X_{s}) ds + \int_{0}^{t} b(s, X_{s}) dW_{s},$$
(1)

(3)

where $a,b:\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ are measurable applications.

Example

In finance, the Black-Scholes formula is obtained by modeling the stock price by the equation

$$X_0 = x_0, \quad X_t = \int_0^t r X_s ds + \int_0^t \sigma X_s dW_s,$$
 (2)

where r is the interest rate and σ the volatility. This particular SDE can be solved analytically :

$$X_t = x_0 e^{(r - \frac{\sigma}{2})t + \sigma W_t}$$

The option premium is then given by $\mathbb{E}[h(X_T)]$ where *h* is the payoff and *T* is the maturity of the option.



Euler-Maruyama scheme

• Quantities such as $\mathbb{E}[h(X_T)]$ can be approximated by Monte-Carlo simulation :

$$\mathbb{E}[h(X_T)] \simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} h(X_T^i) \tag{4}$$

where $(X_T^i)_{i \leq n}$ are independent copies of X_T .

For this simulation to be possible, we can discretize the process $(X_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ on $0 = t_0 < ... < t_n = T$, $t_i = i/n$, using the Euler-Maryuama scheme :

$$\bar{X}_{t_{i+1}}^n = \bar{X}_{t_i}^n + a(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i}^n)h + b(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i}^n)\sqrt{h}G,$$
(5)

with h = T/n and $G \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. This allows to generate $X_T \simeq \bar{X}_{t_n}^n$

Results about convergence of this scheme are well known in the litterature :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq i\leq n} |X_{t_i} - \bar{X}_{t_i}^n|^2\right] \leq \frac{C_T}{n}, \quad \mathbb{E}[f(X_T) - f(\bar{X}_{t_n}^n)] = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{6}$$

)

Lévy Processes

Càdlàg stochastic process $(L_t)_{t>0}$ such that :

▶ $\forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, L_{t+s} - L_s$ is independent from W_s .

$$\blacktriangleright \quad \forall s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, L_{t+s} - L_s \sim L_t.$$

Lévy-Kintchine formula : L is characterized in law by the triplet (μ, σ, ν) , since

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{ixL_t}] = \exp\left(t\left[i\mu x - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 x^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} (1 - e^{ixy} + ixy\mathbf{1}_{\{|y|<1\}})\nu(dy)\right]\right).$$

 α -Stable symmetric distribution



Example 1 : Compound Poisson Process

Numerical simulation with $\lambda = 4$ and $Y_1 \sim Exp(3)$



• $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ i.i.d random variables with distribution π .

$$\blacktriangleright (\mu, \sigma, \nu) = (0, 0, \lambda \pi).$$

Example 2 : Stable Process

Numerical simulation with $\alpha = 1.5$



• $(\mu, \sigma, \nu) = (0, 0, \nu_{\alpha})$, with $\nu_{\alpha}(dz) = |z|^{-1-\alpha} dz$, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$.

$$\blacktriangleright X_{t+h} - X_t \sim h^{1/\alpha} X_1$$

Easy to generate (Box-Muller like algorithm for X₁) but infinite moments : E[|X₁|^β] = ∞ for β ≥ α. In particular, no variance for α < 2, hence not convenient to model physical phenomenon.

Example 3 : Truncated Stable Process

Numerical simulation with $\alpha=0.5$ and $z_*=100$



• $(\mu, \sigma, \nu) = (0, 0, \nu_{\alpha})$, with $\nu_{\alpha}(dz) = \mathbf{1}_{|z| \le z_*} |z|^{-1-\alpha} dz$. • $\mathbb{E}[|X_t|^2] = 2t \frac{z_*^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} < \infty$.

No exact simulation algorithm known for X_1 when $\alpha \in (1, 2]$.

Lévy-Itô representation

A Lévy process L with triplet (μ, σ, ν) can be written as

$$L_t = \mu t + \sigma W_t + J_t^s + J_t^l,\tag{7}$$

where J^s and J^l designates the "small jumps" and "large jumps" part of L, i.e

$$\begin{split} J_t^l &= \int_0^t \int_{|z| > 1} z N(ds, dz) \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^{\lambda(1,\infty)}} Y_i^{1,\infty}.\\ J_t^s &= \int_0^t \int_{|z| \le 1} z (N(ds, dz) - \nu(dz) ds) \simeq \lim_{\delta \to 0} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^{\lambda(\delta,1)}} Y_i^{\delta,1} - t \int_{|z| \le 1} z \nu(dz) \right\} \end{split}$$

with $\lambda(a,b)=\int_{a\leq |z|\leq b}\nu(dz)$ and $(Y^{a,b}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ i.i.d $\sim \frac{\nu(dz)}{\lambda(a,b)}.$

• The jump measure ν verifies $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} min(1, z^2)\nu(dz) < \infty$.

How to simulate a Lévy process ?

We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider a pure jump Lévy process $L \sim (0, 0, \nu)$.

- The jumps larger than ε corresponds to the compound Poisson process $J_t^{l,\varepsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_t^{\lambda(\varepsilon,\infty)}} Y_i^{\varepsilon,\infty}$, that can be simulated exactly.
- The jumps smaller than ε are approximated by a Brownian motion with the same variance $J_t^{s,\varepsilon} \simeq \sigma_{\varepsilon} W_t$ where $\sigma_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[|J_t^{s,\varepsilon}|^2]}$.

• Then we set
$$L_t = J_t^{s,\varepsilon} + J_t^{l,\varepsilon}$$
.



SDEs driven by Lévy process and Approximated EM scheme

A stochastic process X is said to solve a SDE driven by a Lévy process if

$$X_{t} = \int_{0}^{t} a(X_{s})ds + \int_{0}^{t} b(X_{s}) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(N(ds, dz) - \nu(dz) \mathbf{1}_{|z| \le 1} \right) ds.$$

 $\blacktriangleright \ \, {\rm For} \ \, \varepsilon > 0, \, {\rm we \ introduce \ the \ scheme \ } \bar X^{n,\varepsilon}:$

$$\bar{X}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\varepsilon} = \bar{X}_{t_i}^{n,\varepsilon} + a(\bar{X}_{t_i}^{n,\varepsilon}) + \sigma_{\varepsilon}\sqrt{(h)}G + b(\bar{X}_{t_i}^{n,\varepsilon}) \sum_{j=N_{t_i}^{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}+1}^{N_{t_i+1}^{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}} Y_j^{\varepsilon},$$

with
$$\sigma_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\int_{|z| \le \varepsilon} |z|^2 \nu(dz)}$$
 and $G \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

▶ N. Fournier proved that the following L^2 strong error upper bound holds :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq i\leq n} |X_{t_i} - \bar{X}_{t_i}^{n,\varepsilon}|^2\right] \leq C_T\left(\frac{1}{n} + n(\varepsilon)^2\right),$$

SDEs driven by Lévy noise and Approximated EM scheme

A stochastic process X is said to solve a SDE driven by a time inhomogeneous Lévy noise if

$$X_t = \int_0^t \frac{a(s, X_s)ds}{1} + \int_0^t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{b(s, X_s, z)}{1} \left(N(ds, dz) - \nu_s(dz) \mathbf{1}_{|z| \le 1} ds \right).$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, we introduce the scheme $\bar{X}^{n,\varepsilon}$:

$$\bar{X}_{t_{i+1}}^{n,\varepsilon} = \bar{X}_{t_i}^{n,\varepsilon} + a(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i}^{n,\varepsilon}) + \sigma_{\varepsilon}(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i}^{n,\varepsilon})G + \sum_{j=N_{t_i}^{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}+1}^{N_{t_i+1}^{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}} b(t_i, \bar{X}_{t_i}^{n,\varepsilon}, Y(T_j^{\varepsilon}),$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{with } \sigma_{\varepsilon}(\tau,\theta) = \sqrt{\int_{t_i}^{t_i+1} \int_{|z| \le \varepsilon} |b(\tau,\theta,z)|^2 \nu_s(dz), \, G \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), \\ & T_j^{\varepsilon} = \inf\{t > 0: N_t^{\lambda_{\varepsilon}} = j\}, \, \mathbb{P}(Y(T_j^{\varepsilon}) \in dx | T_j^{\varepsilon} = t) = \frac{\nu_t(dz)}{\lambda_{\varepsilon}}. \end{split}$$

Theorem

If b satisfies $|b(t,x,z)| \leq \overline{b}(\varepsilon)$ for $t \in [0,T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in [-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{0\leq i\leq n}|X_{t_i}-\bar{X}_{t_i}^{n,\varepsilon}|^2\right]\leq C_T\left(\frac{1}{n}+n\bar{b}(\varepsilon)^2\right).$$

Application to the orientation of rods in turbulence



Vorticity field ω of the turbulent flow for two different values of the shear σ^* . Blue corresponds to positive values (cyclonic eddies) and red to negative values (anticyclonic). The orientation of the rods are shown as black segments.

Figures provided courtesy of [Campana et al., 2022]

• We consider intertialless rods in a turbulent flow with position equation dX(t)/dt = v(X(t), t), coupled with a unit orientation vector p following Jeffery's equation :

$$\frac{d}{dt}p = \mathbb{A}p - (p^T \mathbb{A}p)p.$$
(8)

After approximations on the gradient tensor A at the equilibrium regime, the SDE followed by the unfolded angle $\theta_t = \arctan(p_2/p_1)$ is derived

$$\theta_t = \theta_0 + \int_0^t a(\theta_s) ds + \int_0^t b(\theta_s) dW_s, \tag{9}$$

with a(x) and b(x) being linear combining of $\cos(x)$ and $\sin(x)$. Lorenzo Campana, Mireille Bossy, and Jérémie Bec.

Stochastic model for the alignment and tumbling of rigid fibres in two-dimensional turbulent shear flow, 2022.

This Gaussian model however fail to reproduce some of the characteristics present in the direct numerical simulation (DNS).

- The PDF of θ obtained by the DNS shows the presence of heavy tails at small times.
- The process θ also seem to have two regimes, being super-diffusive (i.e $\mathbb{E}[|\theta_t|^2] \sim t^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 1$) at small times, and eventually converging to a diffusive regime (i.e $\mathbb{E}[|\theta_t|^2] \sim t$).

To enhance the diffusive model, we choose to replace the Brownian motion in the SDE by a time inhomogeneous truncated stable process L_t , with Lévy measure

$$\nu_s(dz)ds = \left\{ \sqrt{s} \mathbf{1}_{s < T_*} + \sqrt{T_*} \mathbf{1}_{s \ge T_*} \right\} |z|^{-1-\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{|z| < z_*}.$$
 (10)

Hence, one can compute

$$\mathbb{E}[|L_t|^2] = \begin{cases} 2\frac{t^{3/2}}{3/2}\frac{z_*^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} & \text{if } t \le T_* \\ \mathbb{E}[|L_{T_*}|^2] + 2(t-T_*)\frac{z_*^{2-\alpha}}{2-\alpha} & \text{if } t \ge T_*. \end{cases}$$
(11)

Comparison of the models





- First results in the shear case are promising, though more calibration of the parameters is required.
- In the close future, we plan to extend our results to the multi-dimensional case. As an application, we could build a 3D Lévy noise model for non spherical particles in turbulence. However, the physics of the 3D turbulence is much more complex.
- Another important part of my PhD will be about modelling deformable fibers in turbulence, involving SPDEs analysis, and modelling intermittence with Stochastic Volterra Equations.



Thank you for your attention !