



De Rham logarithmic classes and Tate conjecture

Johann Bouali

► To cite this version:

| Johann Bouali. De Rham logarithmic classes and Tate conjecture. 2023. hal-04034328v8

HAL Id: hal-04034328

<https://hal.science/hal-04034328v8>

Preprint submitted on 6 Aug 2023 (v8), last revised 19 Nov 2023 (v11)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

De Rham logarithmic classes and Tate conjecture

Johann Bouali

August 6, 2023

Abstract

We introduce the notion of De Rham logarithmic classes. We show that the De Rham class of an algebraic cycle of a smooth algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero is logarithmic and conversely that a logarithmic class of bidegree (d, d) is the De Rham class of an algebraic cycle (of codimension d). Moreover for smooth projective varieties, we show that there are non trivial logarithmic classes of bidegree (p, q) for $p \neq q$. For smooth algebraic varieties over a p -adic field, we also give an analytic version of this result. We deduce, from the analytic version, the Tate conjecture for smooth projective varieties over fields of finite type over \mathbb{Q} and over p -adic fields for \mathbb{Q}_p coefficients, under good reduction hypothesis.

1 Introduction

1.1 De Rham logarithmic classes

In this work, we introduce in a first part for X a noetherian scheme, the notion of logarithmic De Rham cohomology classes which are for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the elements of the subgroup $H^j OL_X(\mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet)) \subset \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) =: H_{DR}^j(X)$ of the De Rham cohomology abelian group, where $OL_X : \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet$ is the subcomplex of abelian sheaves on X consisting of logarithmic forms is introduced in definition 2. Our main result is that if X is a smooth algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero, a de Rham cohomology class which is logarithmic of type (d, d) is the class of an algebraic cycle of codimension d . Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over a field k of characteristic zero. To an algebraic cycle $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X)$, we associate its De Rham cohomology class $[Z] \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X)$, which is by definition, as for any Weil cohomology theory, the image of the fundamental class $[Z] \in H_{DR, Z}^{2d}(X)$ by the canonical morphism $H_{DR, Z}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow H^{2d}(X)$. In section 3, we show (c.f. theorem 2(i)) that

- for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X)$, $[Z] \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X)$ is logarithmic of bidegree (d, d) , that is

$$[Z] = H^{2d} OL_X([Z]_L) \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X), [Z]_L \in H_{et}^d(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^d)$$

where $H_{et}^d(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^d) \subset \mathbb{H}_{et}^{2d}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet)$ is the canonical subspace, as all the differentials of $\Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet$ vanishes since by definition a logarithmic form is closed. This fact is a consequence of the fact that the motivic isomorphisms applied to De Rham cohomology preserve logarithmic classes (c.f. proposition 1). The key point is that logarithmic De Rham forms on algebraic varieties over k are closed, (trivially) \mathbb{A}_k^1 invariant and compatible with the transfers maps induced by finite morphisms of algebraic varieties and in particular finite correspondences. Since $\Omega_{\log}^l \subset \Omega^l$ are (trivially) \mathbb{A}_k^1 invariant presheaves with transfers on the category of smooth algebraic varieties over k , by a theorem of Voevodsky the cohomology presheaves of \mathbb{A}_k^1 invariant presheaves with transfers are \mathbb{A}_k^1 invariant.

- Conversely, we show (c.f. theorem 2(ii)), that a logarithmic class $w \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X)$ of bidegree (d, d) is the De Rham class of an algebraic cycle (of codimension d). In the case X projective, we also get a vanishing result (c.f. theorem 2(iii)') : $H^{2d} OL_X(H_{et}^{d-k}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^{d+k})) = 0$ for $k > 0$. The proof works as follows : A logarithmic class of bidegree (p, q) is locally acyclic for the Zariski

topology of X since it is the etale cohomology of a single sheaf. This allows us to proceed by a finite induction using the crucial fact that the purity isomorphism for De Rham cohomology preserve logarithmic classes (c.f. proposition 4). The proof of proposition 4 follows from the fact that the purity isomorphism is motivic (c.f. [5], see proposition 1), that the Euler class of a vector bundle of rank d over an algebraic variety is logarithmic of bidegree (d, d) (c.f. proposition 3) and that the motivic isomorphisms applied to De Rham cohomology preserve logarithmic classes (c.f. proposition 2). At the final step, we use, for simplicity, the fact that for a scheme Y , $H_{et}^1(Y, \Omega_{Y, \log}^1) = H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^*)$ is the Picard group of Y .

For X an algebraic variety over a p -adic field, we also introduce the notion of logarithmic analytic De Rham cohomology classes. In proposition 6 we give an analytic analogue of theorem 2, that is if X is a smooth projective variety over a p -adic field with good reduction,

- a logarithmic analytic De Rham cohomology class of type (d, d) is the class of a codimension d algebraic cycle,
- there are no non trivial logarithmic analytic De Rham cohomology classes of type (p, q) for $p \neq q$, i.e. $H^{2d} OL_{\hat{X}}(H_{pet}^{d-k}(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{d+k})) = 0$ for $k \neq 0$.

The proof of proposition 6 is motivic and similar to the proof of theorem 2 : a logarithmic analytic class of bidegree (p, q) is acyclic for the pro-etale topology on each open subset $U \subset X$ such that there exists an etale map $U \rightarrow T^{d_U} \subset \mathbb{A}^{d_U}$ since it is the pro etale cohomology of a single sheaf, this allows us to proceed by a finite induction using the crucial fact that the purity isomorphism for De Rham cohomology preserve logarithmic analytic classes since the purity isomorphism is motivic (c.f. [5], see proposition 1). The GAGA comparison theorem for de Rham cohomology of X induce, by proposition 6 and theorem 2, a GAGA comparison result for logarithmic De Rham classes.

1.2 Tate conjecture

Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k of finite type over \mathbb{Q} . Let p be a prime number unramified over k such that X has good reduction at p , $\sigma_p : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ a fixed embedding and \hat{k} the algebraic closure of k inside \mathbb{C}_p . We show the Tate conjecture for X with \mathbb{Q}_p coefficient (c.f. theorem 3). The proof works as follows. Denote by $\hat{k}_{\sigma_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ the p adic completion of k with respect to σ_p . We then consider a smooth proper scheme $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ which is an integral model of X , i.e. such that $X^{\mathcal{O}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}} = X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$. Let $\alpha \in H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\hat{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)(d)^G$ be a Tate class of X . In proposition 8, we show, using a result of [6] together with results of [8] on the pro-etale topology, that α gives by the p -adic crystalline comparison isomorphism

$$H^{2d} R\alpha(X) : H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{cris, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{DR}^{2d}(X) \otimes_k \mathbb{B}_{cris, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}},$$

an analytic logarithmic de Rham class

$$w(\alpha) := H^{2d} R\alpha(X)(\alpha) \in H^{2d} OL_X \mathbb{H}_{pet}^{2d}(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet \geq d}).$$

Proposition 6 then implies that $w(\alpha) = [Z] \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}})$, is the de Rham cohomology class of an algebraic cycle $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}})$ of codimension d . By the p -adic crystalline comparison isomorphism, we get $\alpha = [Z] \in H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$. Since α is G invariant, we get

$$\alpha = [Z] = [Z'] \in H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p), Z' := (1/\text{card } G) \sum_{g \in G} gZ \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X).$$

Note that for a p -adic field $K \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ and X a smooth projective variety over K , $\dim H_{et}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_l)^G > \dim H_{et}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)^G$ for $l \neq p$ in general. Hence it is not clear whether Tate conjecture holds for smooth

projective varieties over finite fields k_0 , since the base change for etale cohomology for $f : X \rightarrow O_K$, $O_K = W(k_0)$ only holds for \mathbb{Z}_l coefficients, $l \neq p$.

Let X a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} . Then X is defined over a subfield $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ of finite type over \mathbb{Q} , that is $X = X_k \otimes_k \mathbb{C}$. Take an isomorphism $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p$ with $p \in \mathbb{N}$ a prime number such that $X_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ has good reduction at p . As Tate conjecture holds for X_k with \mathbb{Q}_p coefficients, the standards conjectures holds for X and any absolute Hodge class of X is the class of an algebraic cycle (corollary 2).

I am grateful for professor F.Mokrane for help and support during this work.

2 Preliminaries and Notations

2.1 Notations

- Denote by Top the category of topological spaces and RTop the category of ringed spaces.
- Denote by Cat the category of small categories and RCat the category of ringed topos.
- For $\mathcal{S} \in \text{Cat}$ and $X \in \mathcal{S}$, we denote $\mathcal{S}/X \in \text{Cat}$ the category whose objects are $Y/X := (Y, f)$ with $Y \in \mathcal{S}$ and $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in \mathcal{S} , and whose morphisms $\text{Hom}((Y', f'), (Y, f))$ consists of $g : Y' \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{S} such that $f \circ g = f'$.
- For $(\mathcal{S}, O_{\mathcal{S}}) \in \text{RCat}$ a ringed topos, we denote by
 - $\text{PSh}(\mathcal{S})$ the category of presheaves of $O_{\mathcal{S}}$ modules on \mathcal{S} and $\text{PSh}_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S})$ the category of presheaves of $O_{\mathcal{S}}$ modules on \mathcal{S} , whose objects are $\text{PSh}_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S})^0 := \{(M, m), M \in \text{PSh}(\mathcal{S}), m : M \otimes O_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow M\}$, together with the forgetful functor $o : \text{PSh}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \text{PSh}_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S})$,
 - $C(\mathcal{S}) = C(\text{PSh}(\mathcal{S}))$ and $C_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S}) = C(\text{PSh}_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S}))$ the big abelian category of complexes of presheaves of $O_{\mathcal{S}}$ modules on \mathcal{S} ,
 - $C_{O_{\mathcal{S}}(2)\text{fil}}(\mathcal{S}) := C_{(2)\text{fil}}(\text{PSh}_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S})) \subset C(\text{PSh}_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S}), F, W)$, the big abelian category of (bi)filtered complexes of presheaves of $O_{\mathcal{S}}$ modules on \mathcal{S} such that the filtration is biregular and $\text{PSh}_{O_{\mathcal{S}}(2)\text{fil}}(\mathcal{S}) := (\text{PSh}_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S}), F, W)$.
- Let $(\mathcal{S}, O_{\mathcal{S}}) \in \text{RCat}$ a ringed topos with topology τ . For $F \in C_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S})$, we denote by $k : F \rightarrow E_{\tau}(F)$ the canonical flasque resolution in $C_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S})$ (see [3]). In particular for $X \in \mathcal{S}$, $H^*(X, E_{\tau}(F)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}_{\tau}^*(X, F)$.
- For $f : \mathcal{S}' \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ a morphism with $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}' \in \text{RCat}$, endowed with topology τ and τ' respectively, we denote for $F \in C_{O_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mathcal{S})$ and each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,
 - $f^* := H^j \Gamma(\mathcal{S}, k \circ \text{ad}(f^*, f_*)(F)) : \mathbb{H}^j(\mathcal{S}, F) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^j(\mathcal{S}', f^*F)$,
 - $f^* := H^j \Gamma(\mathcal{S}, k \circ \text{ad}(f^{*\text{mod}}, f_*)(F)) : \mathbb{H}^j(\mathcal{S}, F) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^j(\mathcal{S}', f^{*\text{mod}}F)$,

the canonical maps.

- For $\mathcal{X} \in \text{Cat}$ a (pre)site and p a prime number, we consider the full subcategory

$$\text{PSh}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \text{PSh}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{X}), \quad F = (F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad p^n F_n = 0, \quad F_{n+1}/p^n \xrightarrow{\sim} F_n$$

$$C_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{X}) := C(\text{PSh}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{X})) \subset C(\mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{X}) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p := \mathbb{Z}_{p, \mathcal{X}} := ((\mathbb{Z}/p^* \mathbb{Z})_{\mathcal{X}}) \in \text{PSh}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{X})$$

the diagram of constant presheaves on \mathcal{X} .

- For $X \in \text{Top}$ and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset, denoting $j : X \setminus Z \hookrightarrow X$ the open complementary, we will consider

$$\Gamma_Z^\vee \mathbb{Z}_X := \text{Cone}(\text{ad}(j_!, j^*)(\mathbb{Z}_X) : j_! j^* \mathbb{Z}_X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_X) \in C(X)$$

and denote for short $\gamma_Z^\vee := \gamma_X^\vee(\mathbb{Z}_X) : \mathbb{Z}_X \rightarrow \Gamma_Z^\vee \mathbb{Z}_X$ the canonical map in $C(X)$.

- Denote by $\text{Sch} \subset \text{RTop}$ the subcategory of schemes (the morphisms are the morphisms of locally ringed spaces). We denote by $\text{PSch} \subset \text{Sch}$ the full subcategory of proper schemes. For a field k , we consider $\text{Sch}/k := \text{Sch}/\text{Spec } k$ the category of schemes over $\text{Spec } k$, that is whose object are $X := (X, a_X)$ with $X \in \text{Sch}$ and $a_X : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ a morphism and whose objects are morphism of schemes $f : X' \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ a_{X'} = a_X$. We then denote by
 - $\text{Var}(k) = \text{Sch}^{ft}/k \subset \text{Sch}/k$ the full subcategory consisting of algebraic varieties over k , i.e. schemes of finite type over k ,
 - $\text{PVar}(k) \subset \text{QPVar}(k) \subset \text{Var}(k)$ the full subcategories consisting of quasi-projective varieties and projective varieties respectively,
 - $\text{PSmVar}(k) \subset \text{SmVar}(k) \subset \text{Var}(k)$, $\text{PSmVar}(k) := \text{PVar}(k) \cap \text{SmVar}(k)$, the full subcategories consisting of smooth varieties and smooth projective varieties respectively.

For a morphism of commutative rings $\sigma : A \hookrightarrow B$, we have the extension of scalar functor

$$\otimes_A B : \text{Sch}/A \rightarrow \text{Sch}/B, X \mapsto X_B := X_{B,\sigma} := X \otimes_A B, (f : X' \rightarrow X) \mapsto (f_B := f \otimes I : X'_B \rightarrow X_B).$$

which is left adjoint to the restriction of scalar

$$\text{Res}_{A/B} : \text{Sch}/B \rightarrow \text{Sch}/A, X = (X, a_X) \mapsto X = (X, \sigma \circ a_X), (f : X' \rightarrow X) \mapsto (f : X' \rightarrow X)$$

For a morphism of fields $\sigma : k \hookrightarrow K$, the extension of scalar functor restricts to a functor

$$\otimes_k K : \text{Var}(k) \rightarrow \text{Var}(K), X \mapsto X_K := X_{K,\sigma} := X \otimes_k K, (f : X' \rightarrow X) \mapsto (f_K := f \otimes I : X'_K \rightarrow X_K).$$

and for $X \in \text{Var}(k)$ we have $\pi_{k/K}(X) : X_K \rightarrow X$ the projection in Sch/k .

- For $X \in \text{Sch}$ and p a prime number, we denote by $c : \hat{X}^{(p)} \rightarrow X$ the morphism in RTop which is the completion along the ideal generated by p .
- Denote $\text{Sch}^2 \subset \text{RTop}^2$ the subcategory whose objects are couples (X, Z) with $X = (X, O_X) \in \text{Sch}$ and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset and whose set of morphisms $\text{Hom}((X', Z'), (X, Z))$ consists of $f : X' \rightarrow X$ of locally ringed spaces such that $f^{-1}(Z) \subset Z'$.
- Let k a field of characteristic zero. Denote $\text{SmVar}^2(k) \subset \text{Var}^2(k) \subset \text{Sch}^2/k$ the full subcategories whose objects are (X, Z) with $X \in \text{Var}(k)$, resp. $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$, and $Z \subset X$ is a closed subset, and whose morphisms $\text{Hom}((X', Z') \rightarrow (X, Z))$ consists of $f : X' \rightarrow X$ of schemes over k such that $f^{-1}(Z) \subset Z'$.
- Denote by $\text{AnSp}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{RTop}$ the full subcategory of analytic spaces over \mathbb{C} , and by $\text{AnSm}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{AnSp}(\mathbb{C})$ the full subcategory of smooth analytic spaces (i.e. complex analytic manifold). Denote by $\text{CW} \subset \text{Top}$ the full subcategory of CW complexes. Denote by $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}) \subset \text{RTop}$ the full subcategory of differentiable (real) manifold.

2.2 The pro-etale site of schemes

For $X \in \text{Sch}$, we denote $X^{\text{pet}} \subset \text{Sch}/X$ the etale site and $X^{\text{pet}} \subset \text{Sch}/X$ the pro etale site (see [2]) which is the full subcategory of Sch/X whose object consists of weakly etale maps $U \rightarrow X$ (that is flat maps

$U \rightarrow X$ such that $\Delta_U : U \rightarrow U \times_X U$ is also flat) and whose topology is generated by fpqc covers. We then have the canonical morphism of site

$$\nu_X : X^{pet} \rightarrow X^{et}, (U \rightarrow X) \mapsto (U \rightarrow X)$$

For $F \in C(X^{et})$,

$$\text{ad}(\nu_X^*, R\nu_{X*})(F) : F \rightarrow R\nu_{X*}\nu_X^*F$$

is an isomorphism in $D(X^{et})$, in particular, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\nu_X^* : \mathbb{H}_{et}^n(X, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}_{pet}^n(X, \nu_X^*F)$$

are isomorphisms, For $X \in \text{Sch}$, we denote

- $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{p_X} := \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_X^*(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})_{X^{et}} \in \text{PSh}(X^{pet})$ the constant presheaf on \mathcal{X} ,
- $l_{p, \mathcal{X}} := (p(*)) : \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{p_X} \rightarrow \nu_X^*(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})_{X^{et}}$ the projection map in $\text{PSh}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{X}^{pet})$.

An affine scheme $U \in \text{Sch}$ is said to be w -contractible if any faithfully flat weakly etale map $V \rightarrow U$, $V \in \text{Sch}$, admits a section. We will use the facts that (see [2]):

- Any affine scheme $X \in \text{Sch}$ admits a faithfully flat pro-etale map $r : U \rightarrow X$ with U w -contractible.
- Any scheme $X \in \text{Sch}$ admits a pro-etale affine cover $(r_i : X_i \rightarrow X)_{i \in I}$ with for each $i \in I$, X_i a w -contractible affine scheme and $r_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ a weakly etale map. For $X \in \text{Var}(k)$ with k a field, we may assume I finite since the topological space X is then quasi-compact.
- If $U \in \text{Sch}$ is a w -contractible affine scheme, then for any sheaf $F \in \text{Shv}(U^{pet})$, $H_{pet}^i(U, F) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ since $\Gamma(U, -)$ is an exact functor.

2.3 Integral models and p -adic completion of algebraic varieties over a p -adic field

For K a field which is complete with respect to a p -adic norm, we consider $O_K \subset K$ the subring of K consisting of integral elements, that is $x \in K$ such that $|x| \leq 1$.

- For $X \in \text{PVar}(K)$, we will consider $X^\mathcal{O} \in \text{PSch}/O_K$ a (non canonical) integral model of X , i.e. satisfying $X^\mathcal{O} \otimes_{O_K} K = X$
- For $X \in \text{Var}(K)$, we will consider $X^\mathcal{O} \in \text{Sch}/O_K$ a (non canonical) integral model of X , i.e. $X^\mathcal{O} = \bar{X}^\mathcal{O} \setminus Z^\mathcal{O}$ for $\bar{X} \in \text{PVar}(K)$ a compactification of X , $Z := \bar{X} \setminus X$, where $\bar{X}^\mathcal{O} \in \text{PSch}/O_K$ is an integral model of \bar{X} and $Z^\mathcal{O} := V(I_Z^\mathcal{O}) \subset \bar{X}^\mathcal{O}$ is an integral model of Z .

For $X \in \text{Var}(K)$, we will consider $X^\mathcal{O} \in \text{Sch}/O_K$ a (non canonical) integral model of X , we then have the commutative diagram of sites

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{pet} & \xrightarrow{r} & X^{\mathcal{O}, pet} \\ \downarrow \nu_X & & \downarrow \nu_{X^\mathcal{O}} \\ X^{et} & \xrightarrow{r} & X^{\mathcal{O}, et} \end{array}, \quad r(t : U \rightarrow X^\mathcal{O}) := (t \otimes_{O_K} K : U \otimes_{O_K} K \rightarrow X^\mathcal{O} \otimes_{O_K} K = X)$$

Let K a field which is complete with respect to a p -adic norm and $X \in \text{PVar}(K)$ projective. For $X^\mathcal{O} \in \text{PSch}/O_K$ an integral model of X , i.e. satisfying $X^\mathcal{O} \otimes_{O_K} K = X$, we consider the morphism in RTop

$$c := (c \otimes I) : \hat{X}^{(p)} := \hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)} \otimes_{O_K} K \rightarrow X^\mathcal{O} \otimes_{O_K} K = X.$$

where we recall (see section 2.1) that $c : \hat{X}^{\mathcal{O},(p)} \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{O}}$ is the morphism in \mathbf{RTop} which is the completion along the ideal generated by p . Then, by GAGA (c.f. EGA 3), for $F \in \mathbf{Coh}_{O_X}(X)$ a coherent sheaf of O_X module, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the canonical map $c^* : H^k(X^{\mathcal{O}}, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O},(p)}, c^{*mod}F)$ is an isomorphisms. In particular,

$$c^* : \mathbb{H}^k(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet \geq l}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^k(\hat{X}^{(p)}, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^{\bullet \geq l})$$

for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\Omega_X^{\bullet} := \Omega_{X/K}^{\bullet}$ and $\Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^{\bullet} := \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}/K}^{\bullet}$ are the De Rham complexes.

2.4 De Rham cohomology

We recall some properties of the De Rham cohomology.

- We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{\bullet} \in C(\mathbf{Sch}), X &\mapsto \Omega^{\bullet}(X) := \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet}), \\ (f : X' \rightarrow X) &\mapsto \Omega^{\bullet}(f) := f^* : \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X', \Omega_{X'}^{\bullet}) \end{aligned}$$

Let $X \in \mathbf{Sch}$. Considering its De Rham complex $\Omega_X^{\bullet} := DR(X)(O_X)$, we have for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ its De Rham cohomology $H_{DR}^j(X) := \mathbb{H}^j(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet})$.

- We will consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{/k}^{\bullet} \in C(\mathbf{Var}(k)), X &\mapsto \Omega_{/k}^{\bullet}(X) := \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet}), \\ (f : X' \rightarrow X) &\mapsto \Omega_{/k}^{\bullet}(f) := f^* : \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet}) \rightarrow \Gamma(X', \Omega_{X'}^{\bullet}) \end{aligned}$$

and its restriction to $\mathbf{SmVar}(k) \subset \mathbf{Var}(k)$. Let $X \in \mathbf{Var}(k)$. Considering its De Rham complex $\Omega_X^{\bullet} := \Omega_{X/k}^{\bullet} := DR(X/k)(O_X)$, we have for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ its De Rham cohomology $H_{DR}^j(X) := \mathbb{H}^j(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet})$. The differentials of $\Omega_X^{\bullet} := \Omega_{X/k}^{\bullet}$ are by definition k -linear, thus $H_{DR}^j(X) := \mathbb{H}^j(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet})$ has a structure of a k vector space.

If $X \in \mathbf{SmVar}(k)$, then $H_{DR}^j(X) = \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet})$ since $\Omega_{/k}^{\bullet} \in C(\mathbf{SmVar}(k))$ is \mathbb{A}^1 local and admits transfers where (see [3]). Note that for $X \in \mathbf{Var}(k)$ singular, we also consider its realized De Rham cohomology

$$\tilde{H}_{DR}^j(X) := \mathbb{H}^j(X_{\bullet}, \Omega_{X_{\bullet}}^{\bullet}) = \mathbb{H}^j(\tilde{X}, R\Gamma_X \Omega_X^{\bullet})$$

where $\epsilon : X_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ in $\mathbf{Fun}(\Delta, \mathbf{SmVar}(k))$ is a simplicial desingularization of X and $X \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a closed embedding with $\tilde{X} \in \mathbf{SmVar}(k)$, and note that $H_{DR}^j(X)$ is NOT isomorphic to $\tilde{H}_{DR}^j(X)$ in general since $\Omega_{/k}^{\bullet} \in C(\mathbf{Var}(k))$ does NOT satisfied cdh descent.

Let $X \in \mathbf{Var}(k)$. Let $X = \cup_{i=1}^s X_i$ an open affine cover. For $I \subset [1, \dots, s]$, we denote $X_I := \cap_{i \in I} X_i$. We get $X_{\bullet} \in \mathbf{Fun}(P([1, \dots, s]), \mathbf{Var}(k))$. Since quasi-coherent sheaves on affine noetherian schemes are acyclic, we have for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H_{DR}^j(X) = \Gamma(X_{\bullet}, \Omega_{X_{\bullet}}^{\bullet})$.

2.5 Singular chains

We denote $\mathbb{I}^n := [0, 1]^n \in \mathbf{Diff}(\mathbb{R})$ (with boundary). For $X \in \mathbf{Top}$ and R a ring, we consider its singular cochain complex

$$C_{\text{sing}}^*(X, R) := (\mathbb{Z} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(\mathbb{I}^*, X)^{\vee}) \otimes R$$

and for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ its singular cohomology $H_{\text{sing}}^l(X, R) := H^n C_{\text{sing}}^*(X, R)$. For $f : X' \rightarrow X$ a continous map with $X, X' \in \mathbf{Top}$, we have the canonical map of complexes

$$f^* : C_{\text{sing}}^*(X, R) \rightarrow C_{\text{sing}}^*(X', R), \sigma \mapsto f^* \sigma := (\gamma \mapsto \sigma(f \circ \gamma)).$$

In particular, we get by functoriality the complex

$$C_{X, R \text{ sing}}^* \in C_R(X), (U \subset X) \mapsto C_{\text{sing}}^*(U, R)$$

We will consider the canonical embedding

$$C^* \iota_{2i\pi\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{C}}(X) : C_{\text{sing}}^*(X, 2i\pi\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow C_{\text{sing}}^*(X, \mathbb{C}), \alpha \mapsto \alpha \otimes 1$$

whose image consists of cochains $\alpha \in C_{\text{sing}}^j(X, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\alpha(\gamma) \in 2i\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Hom}_{\text{Top}}(\mathbb{I}^*, X)$. We get by functoriality the embedding in $\hat{C}(X)$

$$\begin{aligned} C^* \iota_{2i\pi\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{C}, X} : C_{X, 2i\pi\mathbb{Z}, \text{sing}}^* &\hookrightarrow C_{X, \mathbb{C}, \text{sing}}^*, \\ (U \subset X) &\mapsto (C^* \iota_{2i\pi\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{C}}(U) : C_{\text{sing}}^*(U, 2i\pi\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow C_{\text{sing}}^*(U, \mathbb{C})) \end{aligned}$$

We recall we have

- For $X \in \text{Top}$ locally contractile, e.g. $X \in \text{CW}$, and R a ring, the inclusion in $C_R(X)$ $c_X : R_X \rightarrow C_{X, R, \text{sing}}^*$ is by definition an equivalence top local and that we get by the small chain theorem, for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, an isomorphism $H^l c_X : H^l(X, R_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{sing}}^l(X, R)$.
- For $X \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R})$, the restriction map

$$r_X : \mathbb{Z} \text{Hom}_{\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{I}^*, X)^\vee \rightarrow C_{\text{sing}}^*(X, R), w \mapsto w : (\phi \mapsto w(\phi))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism by Whitney approximation theorem.

2.6 Algebraic cycles, motives and the theorem of Voevodsky

For $X \in \text{Sch}$ noetherian irreducible and $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathcal{Z}^d(X)$ the group of algebraic cycles of codimension d , which is the free abelian group generated by irreducible closed subsets of codimension d .

For $X, X' \in \text{Sch}$ noetherian, with X' irreducible, we denote $\mathcal{Z}^{fs/X'}(X' \times X) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{d_{X'}}(X' \times X)$ which consist of algebraic cycles $\alpha = \sum_i n_i \alpha_i \in \mathcal{Z}_{d_{X'}}(X' \times X)$ such that, denoting $\text{supp}(\alpha) = \cup_i \alpha_i \subset X' \times X$ its support and $p' : X' \times X \rightarrow X'$ the projection, $p'_{|\text{supp}(\alpha)} : \text{supp}(\alpha) \rightarrow X'$ is finite surjective.

- Let k a field. We denote by $\text{Cor SmVar}(k)$ the category such that the objects are $\{X \in \text{SmVar}(k)\}$ and such that $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cor SmVar}(k)}(X', X) := \mathcal{Z}^{fs/X'}(X' \times X)$. See [5] for the composition law. We denote by $\text{Tr} : \text{Cor SmVar}(k) \rightarrow \text{SmVar}(k)$ the morphism of site given by the embedding $\text{Tr} : \text{SmVar}(k) \hookrightarrow \text{Cor SmVar}(k)$. Let $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$. We say that F admits transfers if $F = \text{Tr}_* F$ with $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Cor SmVar}(k))$.
- Let A a commutative noetherian ring. We denote by $\text{Cor Sch}^{sm}/A$ the category such that the objects are $\{X \in \text{Sch}^{sm}/A\}$ and such that $\text{Hom}_{\text{Cor Sch}^{sm}/A}(X', X) := \mathcal{Z}^{fs/X'}(X' \times X)$. See [5] for the composition law. We denote by $\text{Tr} : \text{Cor Sch}^{sm}/A \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{sm}/A$ the morphism of site given by the embedding $\text{Tr} : \text{Sch}^{sm}/A \hookrightarrow \text{Cor Sch}^{sm}/A$. Let $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^{sm}/A)$. We say that F admits transfers if $F = \text{Tr}_* F$ with $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Cor Sch}^{sm}/A)$.

We recall the following standard notion (see e.g. [5] or [3]) :

Definition 1. (i) Let $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Var}(k))$ or $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$. We say that F is \mathbb{A}^1 invariant if for all $X \in \text{Var}(k)$ (resp. $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$), $p^* := F(p) : F(X) \rightarrow F(X \times \mathbb{A}^1)$ is an isomorphism where $p : X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow X$ is the projection.

(ii) Let $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{Var}(k))$ or $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$. We say that F is \mathbb{A}^1 local if for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $X \in \text{Var}(k)$ (resp. $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$), $p^* := H^j E_{\text{et}}(F)(p) : H_{\text{et}}^j(X, F) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^j(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, F)$ is an isomorphism.

(ii)' Let $F \in C(\text{Var}(k))$ or $F \in C(\text{SmVar}(k))$. We say that F is \mathbb{A}^1 local if for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $X \in \text{Var}(k)$ (resp. $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$), $p^* := H^j E_{\text{et}}(F)(p) : \mathbb{H}_{\text{et}}^j(X, F) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\text{et}}^j(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, F)$ is an isomorphism. Note that (ii) is a particular case of (ii)'.

For $X \in \text{Var}(k)$ and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset, denoting $j : X \setminus Z \hookrightarrow X$ the open complementary, we will consider

$$\Gamma_Z^\vee \mathbb{Z}_X := \text{Cone}(\text{ad}(j_\#, j^*)(\mathbb{Z}_X) : \mathbb{Z}_X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_X) \in C(\text{Var}(k)^{\text{sm}}/X)$$

and denote for short $\gamma_Z^\vee := \gamma_Z^\vee(\mathbb{Z}_X) : \mathbb{Z}_X \rightarrow \Gamma_Z^\vee \mathbb{Z}_X$ the canonical map in $C(\text{Var}(k)^{\text{sm}}/X)$. Denote $a_X : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ the structural map. For $X \in \text{Var}(k)$ and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset, we have the motive of X with support in Z defined as

$$M_Z(X) := a_{X!} \Gamma_Z^\vee a_X^! \mathbb{Z} \in \text{DA}(k).$$

If $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$, we will also consider

$$a_{X\#} \Gamma_Z^\vee \mathbb{Z}_X := \text{Cone}(a_{X\#} \circ \text{ad}(j_\#, j^*)(\mathbb{Z}_X) : \mathbb{Z}(U) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}(X)) =: \mathbb{Z}(X, X \setminus Z) \in C(\text{SmVar}(k)).$$

Then for $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset

$$M_Z(X) := a_{X!} \Gamma_Z^\vee a_X^! \mathbb{Z} = a_{X\#} \Gamma_Z^\vee \mathbb{Z}_X =: \mathbb{Z}(X, X \setminus Z) \in \text{DA}(k).$$

- Let $(X, Z) \in \text{Sch}^2$ with $X \in \text{Sch}$ a noetherian scheme and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset. We have the deformation $(D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, $(D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1) \in \text{Sch}^2$ of (X, Z) by the normal cone $C_{Z/X} \rightarrow Z$, i.e. such that

$$(D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1)_s = (X, Z), s \in \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus 0, (D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1)_0 = (C_{Z/X}, Z).$$

We denote by $i_1 : (X, Z) \hookrightarrow (D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1)$ and $i_0 : (C_{Z/X}, Z) \hookrightarrow (D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1)$ the closed embeddings in Sch^2 .

- Let k a field of characteristic zero. Let $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$. For $Z \subset X$ a closed subset of pure codimension c , consider a desingularisation $\epsilon : \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ of Z and denote $n : \tilde{Z} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Z \subset X$. We have then the morphism in $\text{DA}(k)$

$$G_{Z,X} : M(X) \xrightarrow{D(\mathbb{Z}(n))} M(\tilde{Z})(c)[2c] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}(\epsilon)} M(Z)(c)[2c]$$

where $D : \text{Hom}_{\text{DA}(k)}(M_c(\tilde{Z}), M_c(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{DA}(k)}(M(X), M(\tilde{Z})(c)[2c])$ is the duality isomorphism from the six functors formalism (moving lemma of Suzlin and Voevodsky) and $\mathbb{Z}(n) := \text{ad}(n_!, n^!)(a_X^! \mathbb{Z})$, noting that $n_! = n_*$ since n is proper and that $a_X^! = a_X^*[d_X]$ and $a_{\tilde{Z}}^! = a_{\tilde{Z}}^*[d_{\tilde{Z}}]$ since X , resp. \tilde{Z} , are smooth (considering the connected components, we may assume X and \tilde{Z} of pure dimension).

We recall the following facts (see [5] and [3]):

Proposition 1. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Let $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ and $i : Z \subset X$ a smooth subvariety of pure codimension d . Then $C_{Z/X} = N_{Z/X} \rightarrow Z$ is a vector bundle of rank d . The closed embeddings $i_1 : (X, Z) \hookrightarrow (D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1)$ and $i_0 : (C_{Z/X}, Z) \hookrightarrow (D_Z X, \mathbb{A}_Z^1)$ in $\text{SmVar}^2(k)$ induces isomorphisms of motives $\mathbb{Z}(i_1) : M_Z(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathbb{A}_Z^1}(D_Z X)$ and $\mathbb{Z}(i_0) : M_Z(N_{Z/X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{\mathbb{A}_Z^1}(D_Z X)$ in $\text{DA}(k)$. We get the excision isomorphism in $\text{DA}(k)$*

$$P_{Z,X} := \mathbb{Z}(i_0)^{-1} \circ \mathbb{Z}(i_1) : M_Z(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_Z(N_{Z/X}).$$

We have

$$\text{Th}(N_{Z/X}) \circ P_{Z,X} \circ \gamma_Z^\vee(\mathbb{Z}_X) = G_{Z,X} := D(\mathbb{Z}(i)) : M(X) \rightarrow M(Z)(d)[2d].$$

Proof. See [5]. □

We will use the following theorem of Voevodsky :

Theorem 1. (Voevodsky) *Let k be a perfect field (e.g. k a field of characteristic zero). Let $F \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$. If F is \mathbb{A}^1 invariant and admits transfers, then for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $H^j E_{\text{et}}^\bullet(F) \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ are \mathbb{A}^1 invariant. That is, if F is \mathbb{A}^1 invariant and admits transfers then F is \mathbb{A}^1 local.*

Proof. See e.g. [5]. □

2.7 The logarithmic De Rham complexes

We introduce the logarithmic De Rham complexes

Definition 2. (i) Let $X = (X, O_X) \in \mathbf{RCat}$ a ringed topos, we have in $C(X)$ the subcomplex of presheaves of abelian groups

$$OL_X : \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet, \text{ s.t. for } X^o \in X \text{ and } p \in \mathbb{N}, p \geq 1, \\ \Omega_{X, \log}^p(X^o) := \langle df_{\alpha_1}/f_{\alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{\alpha_p}/f_{\alpha_p}, f_{\alpha_k} \in \Gamma(X^o, O_X)^* \rangle \subset \Omega_X^p(X^o),$$

where $\Omega_X^\bullet := DR(X)(O_X) \in C(X)$ is the De Rham complex and $\Gamma(X^o, O_X)^* \subset \Gamma(X^o, O_X)$ is the multiplicative subgroup consisting of invertible elements for the multiplication, here \langle, \rangle stand for the sub-abelian group generated by. By definition, for $w \in \Omega_X^p(X^o)$, $w \in \Omega_{X, \log}^p(X^o)$ if and only if there exists $(n_i)_{1 \leq i \leq s} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(f_{i, \alpha_k})_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq k \leq p} \in \Gamma(X^o, O_X)^*$ such that

$$w = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i df_{i, \alpha_1}/f_{i, \alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i, \alpha_p}/f_{i, \alpha_p} \in \Omega_X^p(X^o).$$

For $p = 0$, we set $\Omega_{X, \log}^0 := \mathbb{Z}$. Let $f : X' = (X', O_{X'}) \rightarrow X = (X, O_X)$ a morphism with $X, X' \in \mathbf{RCat}$. Consider the morphism $\Omega(f) : \Omega_X^\bullet \rightarrow f_* \Omega_{X'}^\bullet$ in $C(X)$. Then, $\Omega(f)(\Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet) \subset f_* \Omega_{X', \log}^\bullet$.

(ii) For k a field, we get from (i), for $X \in \mathbf{Var}(k)$, the embedding in $C(X)$

$$OL_X : \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet := \Omega_{X/k}^\bullet,$$

such that, for $X^o \subset X$ an open subset and $w \in \Omega_X^p(X^o)$, $w \in \Omega_{X, \log}^p(X^o)$ if and only if there exists $(n_i)_{1 \leq i \leq s} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(f_{i, \alpha_k})_{1 \leq i \leq s, 1 \leq k \leq p} \in \Gamma(X^o, O_X)^*$ such that

$$w = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} n_i df_{i, \alpha_1}/f_{i, \alpha_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{i, \alpha_p}/f_{i, \alpha_p} \in \Omega_X^p(X^o),$$

and for $p = 0$, $\Omega_{X, \log}^0 := \mathbb{Z}$. Let k a field. We get an embedding in $C(\mathbf{Var}(k))$

$$OL : \Omega_{/k, \log}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/k}^\bullet, \text{ given by, for } X \in \mathbf{Var}(k), \\ OL(X) := OL_X : \Omega_{/k, \log}^\bullet(X) := \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) =: \Omega_{/k}^\bullet(X)$$

and its restriction to $\mathbf{SmVar}(k) \subset \mathbf{Var}(k)$.

(iii) Let K be a field of characteristic zero which is complete for a p -adic norm. Recall that $O_K \subset K$ denotes its ring of integers. Let $X \in \mathbf{Var}(K)$. Let $X^\mathcal{O} \in \mathbf{Sch}/O_K$ an integral model of X , in particular $X^\mathcal{O} \otimes_{O_K} K = X$. We have then the morphisms of sites $r : X^{et} \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{O}, et}$ and $r : X^{pet} \rightarrow X^{\mathcal{O}, pet}$ such that $\nu_{X^\mathcal{O}} \circ r = r \circ \nu_X$. We then consider the embedding of $C(X^{et})$

$$OL_X := OL_X \circ \iota : \Omega_{X^{et}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet := r^* \Omega_{X^{\mathcal{O}, et}, \log}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{X^{et}, \log}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{X^{et}}^\bullet$$

consisting of integral logarithmic De Rham forms, with $\iota : r^* \Omega_{X^{\mathcal{O}, et}, \log}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{X^{et}, \log}^\bullet$. We will also consider the embedding of $C(X^{\mathcal{O}, pet})$

$$OL_{\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}} := (OL_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : \Omega_{\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet := \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_{X^\mathcal{O}}^* \Omega_{X^{\mathcal{O}, et}/p^n, \log}^\bullet \\ \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}}^\bullet := \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_{X^\mathcal{O}}^* \Omega_{X^{\mathcal{O}, et}/p^n/(O_K/p^n)}^\bullet$$

where we recall $c : \hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)} \rightarrow X^\mathcal{O}$ the morphism in \mathbf{RTop} is given by the completion along the ideal generated by p . We then get the embeddings of $C(X^{pet})$

$$m \circ (OL_X \otimes I) : \Omega_{X^{pet}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p := \Omega_{X^{pet}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet \otimes \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_p \hookrightarrow \Omega_{X^{pet}}^\bullet, (w \otimes \lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cdot w$$

and

$$OL_{\hat{X}^{(p)}} := r^* OL_{\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O},(p)}} : r^* \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet \hookrightarrow r^* \Omega_{\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O},(p)}}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^\bullet := \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}/K}^\bullet,$$

where we recall $c := (c \otimes_{O_K} K) : \hat{X}^{(p)} \rightarrow X$ the morphism in \mathbf{RTop} . Note that the inclusion $\Omega_{X^{\mathcal{O}, et}, \log}^l / p^n \subset \Omega_{X^{\mathcal{O}, et} / p^n, \log}^\bullet$ is strict in general. Note that

$$\Omega_{X^{pet}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet := \nu_X^* \Omega_{X^{et}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet \in C(X^{pet}),$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_p_X &:= \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_X^* (\mathbb{Z}/p^n \mathbb{Z})_{X^{et}} \in C(X^{pet}), \quad \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^\bullet \in C(X^{pet}), \text{ and} \\ r^* \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet &:= r^* \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu_X^* \Omega_{X^{\mathcal{O}, et} / p^n, \log}^\bullet \in C(X^{pet}) \end{aligned}$$

are NOT the pullback of etale sheaves by ν_X .

(iii)' We consider $\text{Sch}^{int}/O_K := O(\text{PSch}^2/O_K) \subset \text{Sch}^{ft}/O_K$ the full subcategory consisting of integral models of algebraic varieties over K , where $O : \text{PSch}^2/O_K \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{ft}/O_K$, $O(X, Z) = X \setminus Z$ is the canonical functor, and $\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K := \text{Sch}^{int}/O_K \cap \text{Sch}^{sm}/O_K \subset \text{Sch}/O_K$ the full subcategory consisting of integral models of (smooth) algebraic varieties over K which are smooth over O_K . We denote by $\text{SmVar}(K)^{pet}$ and $(\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}$ the big pro-etale sites. We have then the morphism of sites

$$r : \text{SmVar}(K)^{pet} \rightarrow (\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}, \quad X^{\mathcal{O}} \in \text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K \mapsto X^{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{O_K} K.$$

We will consider the embedding of $C((\text{Sch}^{int}/O_K)^{pet})$

$$\begin{aligned} OL_{/O_K, an} : \Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet, an} &\hookrightarrow \Omega_{/O_K}^{\bullet, an}, \text{ for } X^{\mathcal{O}} \in (\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}, \\ OL_{/O_K, an}(X^{\mathcal{O}}) &:= OL_{\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}}(X^{\mathcal{O}}) : \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet(\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}) \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}}^\bullet(\hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}). \end{aligned}$$

and its restriction to $(\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet} \subset (\text{Sch}^{int}/O_K)^{pet}$. We get the embedding of $C(\text{SmVar}(K)^{pet})$

$$OL_{/K, an} := r^* OL_{/O_K, an} : r^* \Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet, an} \hookrightarrow r^* \Omega_{/O_K}^{\bullet, an} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/K}^{\bullet, an}.$$

3 De Rham logarithmic classes

3.1 The De Rham classes of algebraic cycles vs De Rham logarithmic classes

Let $X \in \text{Sch}$. Recall we have the canonical sub-complex $OL_X : \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet$ in $C(X^{et})$ (c.f. definition 2). All the differential of $\Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet$ vanishes since by definition the logarithmic forms are closed. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the De Rham logarithmic classes consist of the image

$$H^j OL_X(\mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet)) \subset \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) = H_{DR}^j(X).$$

The differentials of the filtered complex $\Gamma(X, E_{et}(\Omega_{X^{et}, \log}^\bullet, F_b)) \in C_{fil}(\mathbb{Z})$ vanishes at the E_1 level since the logarithmic forms are closed, hence we have a canonical splitting

$$\mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet) = \oplus_{0 \leq l \leq j} H_{et}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l).$$

Let $X \in \text{Sch}$ a noetherian scheme. We have by definition the exact sequence in $C(X^{et})$

$$0 \rightarrow F^* \rightarrow O_X^* \xrightarrow{dlog} \Omega_{X, \log} \rightarrow 0$$

where F is a prime field (i.e. $F = \mathbb{Q}$ or $F = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ for p a prime number). Hence $H_{et}^1(X, \Omega_{X, \log}) = H_{et}^1(X, O_X^*)$ and $H_{et}^q(X, \Omega_{X, \log}) = H_{et}^q(X, O_X^*) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$. Let $X \in \text{Sch}$ a noetherian proper scheme. We have $H^0(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l) = 0$.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero. We get (see definition 2) the embedding in $C(\text{SmVar}(k))$

$$OL : \Omega_{/k, \log}^\bullet \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/k}^\bullet, \text{ given by, for } X \in \text{SmVar}(k), \\ OL(X) := OL_X : \Omega_{/k, \log}^\bullet(X) := \Gamma(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^\bullet) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) =: \Omega_{/k}^\bullet(X).$$

We have also the sheaves

$$O_k, O_k^* \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k)), X \in \text{SmVar}(k) \mapsto O_k(X) := O(X), O_k^*(X) := O(X)^*, \\ (g : Y \rightarrow X) \mapsto a_g(X) : O_X(X) \rightarrow O_Y(Y), O_X(X)^* \rightarrow O_Y(Y)^*$$

Lemma 1. (i0) The sheaves $O_k^* \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ and $\Omega_{/k, \log}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ admit transfers compatible with transfers on $\Omega_{/k}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$.

(i) For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sheaf $\Omega_{/k, \log}^l \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ admits transfers compatible with transfers on $\Omega_{/k}^l \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$, that is $\Omega_{/k}^\bullet \in C(\text{Cor SmVar}(k))$ (see [7]) and the inclusion $OL : \Omega_{/k, \log}^l[-l] \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/k}^\bullet$ in $C(\text{SmVar}(k))$ is compatible with transfers.

(ii) For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sheaf $\Omega_{/k, \log}^l \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ is \mathbb{A}^1 invariant.

Proof. (i0): The sheaf $O_k^* \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ admits transfers : for $W \subset X' \times X$ with $X, X' \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ and W finite over X' and $f \in O(X)^*$, $W^*f := N_{W/X'}(p_X^*f)$ where $p_X : W \hookrightarrow X' \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection and $N_{W/X'} : k(W)^* \rightarrow k(X')^*$ is the norm map. This gives transfers on $\Omega_{/k, \log}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ compatible with transfers on $\Omega_{/k}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$: for $W \subset X' \times X$ with $X, X' \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ and W finite over X' and $f \in O(X)^*$,

$$W^*df/f := dW^*f/W^*f = Tr_{W/X'}(p_X^*(df/f)),$$

where where $p_X : W \hookrightarrow X' \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection and $Tr_{W/X'} : O_W \rightarrow O_X$ is the trace map. Note that $d(fg)/fg = df/f + dg/g$.

(i): By (i0), we get transfers on

$$\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}^l \Omega_{/k, \log}^1, \otimes_{O_k}^l \Omega_{/k}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$$

since $\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}^l \Omega_{/k, \log}^1 = H^0(\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}^{L, l} \Omega_{/k, \log}^1)$ and $\otimes_{O_k}^l \Omega_{/k}^1 = H^0(\otimes_{O_k}^{L, l} \Omega_{/k}^1)$. This induces transfers on

$$\wedge_{\mathbb{Q}}^l \Omega_{/k, \log}^1 := \text{coker}(\oplus_{I_2 \subset [1, \dots, l]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}^{l-1} \Omega_{/k, \log}^1 \xrightarrow{\oplus_{I_2 \subset [1, \dots, l]} \Delta_{I_2} := (w \otimes w' \mapsto w \otimes w \otimes w')} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}}^l \Omega_{/k, \log}^1) \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k)).$$

and

$$\wedge_{O_k}^l \Omega_{/k}^1 := \text{coker}(\oplus_{I_2 \subset [1, \dots, l]} \otimes_{O_k}^{l-1} \Omega_{/k}^1 \xrightarrow{\oplus_{I_2 \subset [1, \dots, l]} \Delta_{I_2} := (w \otimes w' \mapsto w \otimes w \otimes w')} \otimes_{O_k}^l \Omega_{/k}^1) \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k)).$$

(ii): Follows from the fact that for $X \in \text{Var}(k)$, $O^*(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) = O^*(X)$ since for a commutative ring A , $(A[X])^* = A^*$. \square

Let $X \in \text{Var}(k)$. We have by definition the exact sequence in $C(X^{et})$

$$0 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow O_X^* \xrightarrow{d\log} \Omega_{X, \log} \rightarrow 0$$

Hence $H_{et}^1(X, \Omega_{X, \log}) = H_{et}^1(X, O_X^*)$ and $H_{et}^q(X, \Omega_{X, \log}) = H_{et}^q(X, O_X^*) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$. For $X \in \text{PVar}(k)$, we have $H^0(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l) = 0$.

Proposition 2. *For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the excision isomorphism induced by (i)*

$$H^j \Omega(P_{Z,X}) := H^j E_{et}(\Omega_{/k}^\bullet)(\mathbb{Z}(i_0)) \circ H^j E_{et}(\Omega_{/k}^\bullet)(\mathbb{Z}(i_1))^{-1} : \\ H_{DR,Z}^j(X) := H^j \Gamma_Z(X, E_{et}(\Omega_X^\bullet)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{DR,Z}^j(N_{Z/X}) := H^j \Gamma_Z(N_{Z/X}, E_{et}(\Omega_{N_{Z/X}}^\bullet))$$

preserve logarithmic De Rham classes, that is for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$H^j \Omega(P_{Z,X})(H^j OL_X(H^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X,\log}^l))) = H^j OL_{N_{Z/X}}(H^{j-l}(N_{Z/X}, \Omega_{N_{Z/X},\log}^l))$$

Proof. Since $\Omega_{/k,\log}^l \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ is \mathbb{A}_k^1 invariant and admits transfers by lemma 1, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the presheaves $H^{j-l} E_{et}(\Omega_{/k,\log}^l) \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ are \mathbb{A}_k^1 invariant by a theorem 1. It then follows from proposition 1 that

$$H^j \Omega_{\log}(P_{Z,X}) := H^j E_{et}(\Omega_{/k,\log}^\bullet)(\mathbb{Z}(i_0)) \circ H^j E_{et}(\Omega_{/k,\log}^\bullet)(\mathbb{Z}(i_1))^{-1} : \\ H_{et,Z}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X,\log}^l) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{et,Z}^{j-l}(N_{Z/X}, \Omega_{N_{Z/X},\log}^l).$$

Hence,

$$H^j \Omega(P_{Z,X})(H^j OL_X(H^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X,\log}^l))) = H^j OL_{N_{Z/X}}(\Omega_{\log}(P_{Z,X})(H^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X,\log}^l))) \\ = H^j OL_{N_{Z/X}}(H^{j-l}(N_{Z/X}, \Omega_{N_{Z/X},\log}^l)).$$

□

Remark 1. *Let k a field a characteristic zero.*

- (i) *The statement of proposition 2 also holds for the subcomplex $\Omega_{/k}^{\bullet,\partial=0} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/k}^\bullet$ of closed forms by the same argument since the inclusion $\Omega_{/k}^{l,\partial=0}[-l] \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/k}^\bullet$ in $C(\text{SmVar}(k))$ is compatible with transfers.*
- (ii) *The statement of proposition 2 does NOT hold for presheaves which do not admits transfers (they are \mathbb{A}^1 invariant but not \mathbb{A}^1 local in general). Note that the result of proposition 2 does NOT hold for the embedding in $C(\text{SmVar}(k))$, associated to an embedding $\sigma : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\mathcal{H}^{l,j-l}[-l] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^\bullet, X \in \text{SmVar}(k), \Gamma(X, \mathcal{H}_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}}^{l,j-l}[-l]) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}}^\bullet)$$

of the subsheaf of harmonic differential forms, the sheaves of differential forms $\mathcal{A} \in C(\text{SmVar}(k))$ does NOT admits transfers (finite algebraic correspondences are not smooth and for $f : X' \rightarrow X$ a morphism with $X', X \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R})$ vector fields on X only lift if f is a smooth morphism i.e. if the differential of df is surjective), transfers maps are only defined on cohomology.

Let k a field of characteristic zero. Let $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ and $Z \subset X$ a smooth subvariety of pure codimension d . We have for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the purity isomorphism given by $H^j \Omega(P_{Z,X})$ (see proposition 1) and the cup product with the Euler class of of the normal tangent bundle $N_{Z/X} \rightarrow Z$:

$$H^j DR(P_{Z,X}) : H_{DR,Z}^j(X) \xrightarrow{H^j \Omega(P_{Z,X})} H_{DR,Z}^j(N_{Z/X}) \xrightarrow{((-) \cdot e(N_{Z/X}))^{-1}} H_{DR}^{j-2d}(Z).$$

Now, we have the following :

Proposition 3. *Let k a field of characteristic zero. Let $p : E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle of rank $d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $X, E \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ connected. Then*

- (i) *the Euler class $e(E) \in H_{DR,X}^{2d}(E)$ is logarithmic of type (d,d) , that is $e(E) = H^{2d} OL_E(e(E)) \in H_{DR,X}^{2d}(E)$ with $e(E) \in H_{X,et}^d(E, \Omega_{E,\log}^d)$,*

(ii) the Euler class $e(E) \in H_{DR,X}^{2d}(E)$ induces for each $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ an isomorphism

$$((-) \cdot e(E)) : H^{i+j} OL_X(H_{et}^j(X, \Omega_{X,\log}^i)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2d+i+j} OL_E(H_{et}^{j+d}(E, \Omega_{E,\log}^{i+d})).$$

Proof. (i): Let $X = \cup_i X_i$ an open affine cover such that $E_i := E|_{X_i}$ is trivial : $(s_1, \dots, s_d) : E|_{X_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_i \times \mathbb{A}^d$ with $s_j \in \Gamma(X_i, E)$. Then

$$e(E)|_{X_i} = \partial(ds_1/s_1 \wedge \dots \wedge ds_d/s_d) \in H^{2d} OL_{E_i}(H_{X_i}^d(E_i, \Omega_{E,\log}^d))$$

where $\partial : H^{d-1}(E_i \setminus X_i, \Omega_{E,\log}^d) \rightarrow H_{X_i}^d(E_i, \Omega_{E,\log}^d)$ is the boundary map. Hence

$$e(E) \in H^{2d} OL_E(H^0(E, H_X^d \Omega_{E,\log}^d)) = H_{et,X}^d(E, \Omega_{E,\log}^d) \subset H^0(E, H_X^{2d} \Omega_E^{\bullet \geq d}) \subset H_{DR,X}^{2d}(E).$$

(ii): Follows from (i) and Kunneth formula for De Rham cohomology : let $X = \cup_i X_i$ an open affine cover such that $E_i := E|_{X_i}$ is trivial and consider the morphism of bi-complexes of abelian groups

$$((-) \cdot e(E)) : OL_X(\Gamma(X_\bullet, E_{et}(\Omega_{X,\log}^i))) \rightarrow OL_E(\Gamma(E_\bullet, E_{et}(\Omega_{E,\log}^{i+d}))) [2d].$$

By (i) an Kunneth formula for De Rham cohomology, $((-) \cdot e(E))$ induces an isomorphism on the E_1 level of the spectral sequences for the filtrations associated to bi-complex structures on the total complexes. Hence, $((-) \cdot e(E))$ is a quasi-isomorphism. This proves (ii). \square

We deduce from proposition 2 and proposition 3, the following key proposition

Proposition 4. *Let k a field of characteristic zero. Let $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ and $Z \subset X$ a smooth subvariety of codimension d . For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the purity isomorphism*

$$H^j DR(P_{Z,X}) : H_{DR,Z}^j(X) \xrightarrow{H^j \Omega(P_{Z,X})} H_{DR,Z}^j(N_{Z/X}) \xrightarrow{((-) \cdot e(N_{Z/X}))^{-1}} H_{DR}^{j-2d}(Z)$$

preserve logarithmic De Rham classes, that is for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$H^j DR(P_{Z,X})(H^j OL_X(H_{et,Z}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X,\log}^l))) = H^j OL_Z(H_{et}^{j-l}(Z, \Omega_{Z,\log}^l)).$$

Proof. Follows from proposition 2 and proposition 3(ii). \square

Let k a field of characteristic zero. For $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$, we have the cycle class map for De Rham cohomology

$$Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X) \mapsto [Z] := H^{2d} \Omega(\gamma_Z^\vee)([Z]) \subset H_{DR}^{2d}(X), [Z] \in H_{DR,|Z|}^{2d}(X),$$

$$H^{2d} \Omega(\gamma_Z^\vee) : H_{DR,|Z|}^{2d}(X) \rightarrow H_{DR}^{2d}(X)$$

where

$$\Omega(\gamma_Z^\vee) := \text{Hom}(\gamma_Z^\vee(\mathbb{Z}_X), E_{et}(\Omega_X^\bullet)) : \Gamma_Z(X, E_{et}(\Omega_X^\bullet)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X, E_{et}(\Omega_X^\bullet))$$

and, as for any Weil cohomology theory, we have the isomorphism given by purity : if $X^\circ \subset X$ is an open subset such that $Z^\circ := X^\circ \cap Z$ has smooth components we have the isomorphism

$$H^{2d} DR(P_{Z^\circ, X^\circ}) : H_{DR,|Z|}^{2d}(X) = H_{DR,|Z^\circ|}^{2d}(X^\circ) = H_{DR,|Z^\circ|}^{2d}(N_{Z^\circ/X^\circ}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{DR}^0(|Z^\circ|).$$

where the first equality follows from dimension reason : for $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ and $Z' \subset X$ smooth, we have $H_{DR,Z'}^i(X) = 0$ for $i < 2 \text{codim}(Z', X)$ by the purity isomorphism $H^i DR(P_{Z',X})$.

The main result of this section is the following :

Theorem 2. *Let k be a field of characteristic zero. Let $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$.*

(i) The De Rham cohomology class of an algebraic cycle is logarithmic and is of type (d, d) , that is, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X)$

$$[Z] := H^{2d}\Omega(\gamma_Z^\vee)([Z]) \subset H^{2d}OL_X(H_{et}^d(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^d)) \subset H_{DR}^{2d}(X).$$

(ii) Conversely, any $w \in H^{2d}OL_X(H_{et}^d(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^d))$ is the class of an algebraic cycle $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X)$, i.e. $w = [Z]$. Note that it implies $w \in W_0 H_{DR}^{2d}(X)$ as it is easily seen for $d = 1$ by the Chow moving lemma since $j^* : \text{Pic}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$ is surjective if $j : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ is a smooth compactification of X , $\bar{X} \in \text{PSmVar}(k)$ and since $H_{et}^1(Y, \Omega_{Y, \log}^1) = \text{Pic}(Y)$ for $Y \in \text{Var}(k)$.

(iii) We have $H^j OL_X(H_{et}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l)) = 0$ for $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2l < j$.

(iii)' If $X \in \text{PSmVar}(k)$, we also have $H^j OL_X(H_{et}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l)) = 0$ for $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2l > j$. That is, if $X \in \text{PSmVar}(k)$, we only have logarithmic classes in bidegree (d, d) for $d \in \mathbb{N}$, in particular there is no non trivial logarithmic classes for odd degree De Rham cohomology $H_{DR}^{2d+1}(X)$.

Proof. (i): We have

$$[Z] := H^{2d}\Omega(\gamma_Z^\vee)([Z]) = H^{2d}OL_X \circ H^d\Omega_{\log}^d(\gamma_Z^\vee)([Z]) \subset H_{DR}^{2d}(X), [Z] \in H_{et, |Z|}^d(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^d)$$

where

$$\Omega_{\log}^d(\gamma_Z^\vee) := \text{Hom}(\gamma_Z^\vee(\mathbb{Z}_X), E_{et}(\Omega_{X, \log}^d)) : \Gamma_Z(X, E_{et}(\Omega_{X, \log}^d)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X, E_{et}(\Omega_{X, \log}^d)),$$

since if $X^\circ \subset X$ is a Zariski open subset such that $Z^\circ := X^\circ \cap Z$ has smooth components and $N_{Z^\circ/X^\circ} \rightarrow Z^\circ$ is the normal tangent bundle, we have by proposition 4 the isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2d}DR(P_{Z^\circ, X^\circ}) : H^{2d}OL_X(H_{et, |Z|}^d(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^d)) &= H^{2d}OL_X(H_{et, |Z^\circ|}^d(X^\circ, \Omega_{X^\circ, \log}^d)) \\ &= H^{2d}OL_X(H_{et, |Z^\circ|}^d(N_{Z^\circ/X^\circ}, \Omega_{N_{Z^\circ/X^\circ}, \log}^d)). \end{aligned}$$

(ii): By assumption we have

$$w = H^{2d}OL_X(w) \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X), w \in H_{et}^d(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^d)$$

As $\Omega_{X, \log}^d \in \text{PSh}(X^{et})$ consist of a single presheaf, that is a complex of presheaves concentrated one degree, there exist an etale open cover $r = r(w) = (r_i : X_i \rightarrow X)_{1 \leq i \leq s}$, depending on w , such that $r_i^* w = 0 \in H_{et}^d(X_i, \Omega_{X_i, \log}^d)$ for each i . Choose $i = 1$ and denote $j : U := r_1(X_1) \hookrightarrow X$ the corresponding (Zariski) open subset. As $\Omega_{X, \log}^d$ has no torsion and admits transfers, we have $j^* w = 0 \in H_{et}^d(U, \Omega_{X, \log}^d)$. Hence, denoting $D := X \setminus U$, we have

$$w = H^{2d}OL_X(w), w \in H^d\Omega_{\log}^d(\gamma_D^\vee)(H_{et, D}^d(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^d)).$$

We may assume, up to shrinking U , that $D \subset X$ is a divisor. Denote $D^\circ \subset D$ its smooth locus and $l : X^\circ \hookrightarrow X$ a Zariski open subset such that $X^\circ \cap D = D^\circ$. We then have by proposition 4

$$l^* w \in H^{2d}DR(P_{D^\circ, X^\circ})(H^{2d-2}OL_{D^\circ}(H_{et}^{d-1}(D^\circ, \Omega_{D^\circ, \log}^{d-1}))).$$

We repeat this procedure with each connected components of D° instead of X . By a finite induction of d steps, we get

$$w = H^{2d}OL_X(w), w \in H^d\Omega_{\log}^d(\gamma_Z^\vee)(H_{et, Z}^d(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^d)),$$

with $Z := D_d \subset \dots \subset D \subset X$ a pure codimension d (Zariski) closed subset and $w = \sum_i n_i [Z_i] \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X)$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(Z_i)_{1 \leq i \leq t} \subset Z$ are the irreducible components of Z , using in the final step the isomorphism

$$H_{et}^1(D_{d-1}^\circ, \Omega_{D_{d-1}^\circ, \log}^1) = H_{et}^1(D_{d-1}^\circ, O_{D_{d-1}^\circ}^*) = \text{Pic}(D_{d-1}^\circ).$$

(iii): Let $j > 2l$. Let $w \in H^j OL_X(H_{et}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l))$. By the proof of (ii) there exists $Z \subset X$ a closed subset of pure codimension l such that

$$w = H^j OL_X(w), \quad w \in H^{j-l} \Omega_{\log}^l(\gamma_Z^\vee)(H_{et, Z}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l)),$$

By a finite induction of $d_X - l$ steps, restricting to the smooth locus of closed subsets of Z , $w = 0$ since by proposition 1, $H^j OL_X(H_{et, Z'}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l)) = 0$ for all $Z' \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ such that $\text{codim}(Z', X) \geq l$.

(iii)': Let $j < 2l$. Let $w \in H^j OL_X(H_{et}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l))$. By the proof of (ii) there exists $Z \subset X$ a closed subset of pure codimension $j - l$ such that

$$w = (H^j OL_X \circ H^{j-l} \Omega_{\log}^l(\gamma_Z^\vee))(w), \quad w \in H_{et, Z}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l),$$

For $Z' \subset X$ a closed subset of pure codimension c , consider a desingularisation $\epsilon : \tilde{Z}' \rightarrow Z'$ of Z' and denote $n : \tilde{Z}' \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Z' \subset X$. The morphism in $\text{DA}(k)$

$$G_{Z', X} : M(X) \xrightarrow{D(\mathbb{Z}(n))} M(\tilde{Z}')(c)[2c] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}(\epsilon)} M(Z')(c)[2c]$$

where $D : \text{Hom}_{\text{DA}(k)}(M_c(\tilde{Z}'), M_c(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{DA}(k)}(M(X), M(\tilde{Z}')(c)[2c])$ is the duality isomorphism from the six functor formalism (moving lemma of Suzlin and Voevodsky) and $\mathbb{Z}(n) := \text{ad}(n!, n!)(a_X^! \mathbb{Z})$, is given by a morphism in $C(\text{SmVar}(k))$

$$\hat{G}_{Z', X} : \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X) \rightarrow E_{et}(C_* \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(Z'))(c)[2c].$$

Let $l : X^\circ \hookrightarrow X$ be an open embedding such that $Z^\circ := Z \cap X^\circ$ is the smooth locus of Z . We then have the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{et, Z}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l) & \xrightarrow{l^*} & H_{et, Z^\circ}^{j-l}(X^\circ, \Omega_{X, \log}^l) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{et, Z \setminus Z^\circ}^{j-l+1}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \Omega(\hat{G}_{Z, X}) \uparrow & & DR(P_{Z^\circ, X^\circ}) \uparrow & & \Omega(\hat{G}_{Z, X}) \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^0(Z, \Omega_{Z, \log}^{2l-j}) & \xrightarrow{l^*} & H_{et}^0(Z^\circ, \Omega_{Z^\circ, \log}^{2l-j}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{et, Z \setminus Z^\circ}^1(Z, \Omega_{Z, \log}^{2l-j}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

whose rows are exact sequences. Consider

$$l^* w = DR(P_{Z^\circ, X^\circ})(w^\circ) \in H_{et, Z^\circ}^{j-l}(X^\circ, \Omega_{X, \log}^l), \quad w^\circ \in H_{et}^0(Z^\circ, \Omega_{Z^\circ, \log}^{2l-j}).$$

Since $\partial l^* w = 0 \in H_{et, Z \setminus Z^\circ}^{j-l+1}(X, \Omega_{X, \log}^l)$, we get using proposition 4 applied to $((Z \setminus Z^\circ)^\circ, X^{\circ\circ})$, where $(Z \setminus Z^\circ)^\circ \subset Z \setminus Z^\circ$ is the smooth locus and $X^{\circ\circ} \subset X$ is an open subset such that $X \cap (Z \setminus Z^\circ)^\circ = X^{\circ\circ} \cap (Z \setminus Z^\circ)$,

$$\partial w^\circ = 0 \in H_{et, Z \setminus Z^\circ}^1(Z, \Omega_{Z, \log}^{2l-j}),$$

since $H_{et, (Z \setminus Z^\circ)^\circ \setminus (Z \setminus Z^\circ)^\circ}^1(Z, \Omega_{Z, \log}^{2l-j}) = 0$ for dimension reasons, that is

$$w = \Omega(\hat{G}_{Z, X})(w), \quad \text{with } w \in H_{et}^0(Z, \Omega_{Z, \log}^{2l-j}).$$

Hence $w = 0$ since $H^0(Z', \Omega_{Z', \log}^k) = 0$ for all $k > 0$ and all $Z' \in \text{PVar}(k)$. \square

3.2 Complex integral periods

Let k a field of characteristic zero.

Let $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ a smooth variety. Let $X = \cup_{i=1}^s X_i$ an open affine cover. We have for $\sigma : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an embedding, the evaluation period embedding map which is the morphism of bi-complexes

$$\begin{aligned} ev(X)^\bullet : \Gamma(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet}^\bullet) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \text{Hom}_{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{I}^\bullet, X_{\mathbb{C}, \bullet}^{an})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}, \\ w_I^l \in \Gamma(X_I, \Omega_{X_I}^l) &\mapsto (ev(X)_I^l(w_I^l) : \phi_I^l \in \mathbb{Z} \text{Hom}_{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{I}^l, X_{\mathbb{C}, I}^{an})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C} \mapsto ev_I^l(w_I^l)(\phi_I^l) := \int_{\mathbb{I}^l} \phi_I^{l*} w_I^l) \end{aligned}$$

given by integration. By taking all the affine open cover $(j_i : X_i \hookrightarrow X)$ of X , we get for $\sigma : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the evaluation period embedding map

$$ev(X) := \varinjlim_{(j_i : X_i \hookrightarrow X)} ev(X)_\bullet^\bullet : \varinjlim_{(j_i : X_i \hookrightarrow X)} \Gamma(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet}^\bullet) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{(j_i : X_i \hookrightarrow X)} \mathbb{Z} \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{I}^\bullet, X_{\mathbb{C}, \bullet}^{an})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

It induces in cohomology, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the evaluation period map

$$H^j ev(X) = H^j ev(X)_\bullet^\bullet : H_{DR}^j(X) = H^j \Gamma(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet}^\bullet) \rightarrow H_{\operatorname{sing}}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, \mathbb{C}) = H^j(\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Diff}(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbb{I}^\bullet, X_{\mathbb{C}, \bullet}^{an})^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}).$$

which does NOT depend on the choice of the affine open cover by acyclicity of quasi-coherent sheaves on affine noetherian schemes for the left hand side and from Mayer-Vietoris quasi-isomorphism for singular cohomology of topological spaces and Whitney approximation theorem for differential manifolds for the right hand side.

Proposition 5. *Let k a field of characteristic zero. Let $X \in \operatorname{SmVar}(k)$. Let $\sigma : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an embedding.*

(i) *Let $w \in H_{DR}^j(X) := \mathbb{H}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet)$. If*

$$w \in H^j OL_X(\mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{et}, \log}^\bullet))$$

then $H^j ev(X)(w) \in H_{\operatorname{sing}}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, 2i\pi\mathbb{Q})$.

(ii) *Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ a prime number and $\sigma_p : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ an embedding. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $w \in H_{DR}^j(X) := \mathbb{H}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet)$. If*

$$w := \pi_{k/\mathbb{C}_p}(X)^* w \in H^j OL_X(\mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{et}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet))$$

then $H^j ev(X)(w) \in H_{\operatorname{sing}}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, 2i\pi\mathbb{Q})$. Recall that $\mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{pet}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{et}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet)$.

Proof. (i): Let

$$w \in H_{DR}^j(X) := \mathbb{H}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) = H^j \Gamma(X_\bullet, \Omega_{X_\bullet}^\bullet).$$

where $(r_i : X_i \rightarrow X)_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ is an affine etale cover. Let $X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an} = \cup_{i=1}^r \mathbb{D}_i$ an open cover with $\mathbb{D}_i \simeq D(0, 1)^d$. Denote $j_{IJ} : X_I \cap \mathbb{D}_J \hookrightarrow X_I$ the open embeddings. Then by definition $H^j ev(X)(w) = H^j ev(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an})(j_\bullet^* \circ \operatorname{an}_{X_\bullet}^* w)$ with

$$j_\bullet^* \circ \operatorname{an}_{X_\bullet}^* w \in H^j \Gamma(X_{\bullet, \mathbb{C}}^{an} \cap \mathbb{D}_\bullet, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}}^\bullet).$$

Now, if $w = H^j OL_X(\mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{et}, \log}^\bullet))$, we have a canonical splitting

$$w = \sum_{l=0}^j w_L^{l, j-l} = \sum_{l=d}^j w_L^{l, j-l} \in H_{DR}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}}), \quad w_L^{l, j-l} \in H^{j-l}(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{et}, \log}^l), \quad w^{l, j-l} := H^j OL_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{et}}(w_L^{l, j-l}).$$

Let $0 \leq l \leq j$. Using an affine w-contractile pro-etale cover of X , we see that there exists an affine etale cover $r = r(w^{l, j-l}) = (r_i : X_i \rightarrow X)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of X (depending on $w^{l, j-l}$) such that

$$w^{l, j-l} = [(w_{L, I}^{l, j-l})_I] \in H^j OL_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{et}}(H^{j-l} \Gamma(X_{\mathbb{C}, \bullet}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}, \log}}^l)) \subset \mathbb{H}^j \Gamma(X_{\mathbb{C}, \bullet}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}}^\bullet).$$

Note that since X is an algebraic variety, this also follows from a comparison theorem between Chech cohomology of etale covers and etale cohomology. By [4] lemma 2, we may assume, up to take a desingularization $\pi : X' \rightarrow X$ of $(X, \cup_i (r_i(X \setminus X_i)))$ and replace w with $\pi^* w$, that $r_i(X_i) = r_i(X_i(w)) = X \setminus D_i$ with $D_i \subset X$ smooth divisors with normal crossing. For $1 \leq l \leq j$, we get

$$w_{L, I}^{l, j-l} = \sum_{\nu} df_{\nu_1}/f_{\nu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge df_{\nu_l}/f_{\nu_l} \in \Gamma(X_{\mathbb{C}, I}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}}^l).$$

For $l = 0$, we get

$$w^{0,j} = [(\lambda_I)] \in H^j \Gamma(X_{\mathbb{C},I}, O_{X_{\mathbb{C},I}}), \lambda_I \in \Gamma(X_{\mathbb{C},I}, \mathbb{Z}_{X_{\mathbb{C},I}^{et}})$$

There exists $k' \subset \mathbb{C}$ containing k such that $w_{L,I}^{l,j-l} \in \Gamma(X_{k',I}, \Omega_{X_{k'}^l}^l)$ for all $0 \leq l \leq j$. Taking an embedding $\sigma' : k' \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\sigma'_k = \sigma$, we then have

$$j_{\bullet}^* \circ \text{an}_{X_{\bullet}}^* w = j_{\bullet}^* ((m_l \cdot w_L^{l,j-l})_{0 \leq l \leq j}) = (w_{L,I,J}^{l,j-l})_{l,I,J} \in H^j \Gamma(X_{\bullet,\mathbb{C}}^{an} \cap \mathbb{D}_{\bullet}, \Omega_{X_{\bullet,\mathbb{C}}^{an}}^{\bullet}).$$

where for each (I, J, l) with $\text{card} I + \text{card} J + l = j$,

$$w_{L,I,J}^{l,j-l} := j_{IJ}^* w_{L,I}^{l,j-l} \in \Gamma(X_{I,\mathbb{C}}^{an} \cap \mathbb{D}_J, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}}^l).$$

We have by a standard computation, for each (I, J, l) with $\text{card} I + \text{card} J + l = j$,

$$H_{\text{sing}}^*(X_{I,\mathbb{C}}^{an} \cap \mathbb{D}_J, \mathbb{Z}) = \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{\text{card} I} \rangle,$$

where for $1 \leq i \leq \text{card} I$, $\gamma_i \in \text{Hom}(\Delta^*, X_{I,\mathbb{C}}^{an} \cap \mathbb{D}_J)$ are products of loops around the origin inside the pointed disc $\mathbb{D}^1 \setminus 0$. On the other hand,

- $w_{L,I,J}^{l,j-l} = j_J^* (\sum_{\nu} df_{\nu_1}/f_{\nu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge df_{\nu_l}/f_{\nu_l}) \in \Gamma(X_{I,\mathbb{C}}^{an} \cap \mathbb{D}_J, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}}^l)$ for $1 \leq l \leq j$,
- $w_{L,I,J}^{0,j} = \lambda_I$ is a constant.

Hence, for $\mu \in P([1, \dots, s])$ with $\text{card} \mu = l$, we get, for $l = 0$ $H^l \text{ev}(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an})_{I,J}(w_{L,I,J}^{0,j}) = 0$ and, for $1 \leq l \leq j$,

$$H^l \text{ev}(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an})_{I,J}(w_{L,I,J}^{l,j-l})(\gamma_{\mu}) = \sum_k \delta_{\nu,\mu} 2i\pi \in 2i\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

where $\gamma_{\mu} := \gamma_{\mu_1} \dots \gamma_{\mu_l}$. We conclude by [4] lemma 1.

(ii): It is a particular case of (i). See [4] proposition 1. \square

Let k a field of characteristic zero. Let $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$. Let $X = \cup_{i=1}^s X_i$ an open affine cover with $X_i := X \setminus D_i$ with $D_i \subset X$ smooth divisors with normal crossing. Let $\sigma : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an embedding. By proposition 5, we have a commutative diagram of graded algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{DR}^*(X) & \xrightarrow{H^* \text{ev}(X)} & H_{\text{sing}}^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, \mathbb{C}) \\ \uparrow \subset & & \uparrow H^* C^* \iota_{2i\pi\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}}(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}) \\ H^* OL_X(\mathbb{H}_{et}^*(X, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{et}, \log}^{\bullet})) \cap H_{DR}^*(X) & \xrightarrow{H^* \text{ev}(X)} & H_{\text{sing}}^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, 2i\pi\mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

where

$$C^* \iota_{2i\pi\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}}(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}) : C_{\text{sing}}^{\bullet}(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, 2i\pi\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow C_{\text{sing}}^{\bullet}(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, \mathbb{C})$$

is the subcomplex consisting of $\alpha \in C_{\text{sing}}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\alpha(\gamma) \in 2i\pi\mathbb{Q}$ for all $\gamma \in C_j^{\text{sing}}(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, \mathbb{Q})$. Recall that

$$H^* \text{ev}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = H^* R\Gamma(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, \alpha(X)) \circ \Gamma(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, E_{\text{zar}}(\Omega(\text{an}_X))) : H_{DR}^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{sing}}^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, \mathbb{C})$$

is the canonical isomorphism induced by the analytical functor and $\alpha(X) : \mathbb{C}_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}}^{\bullet}$, which gives the periods elements $H^* \text{ev}(X)(H_{DR}^*(X)) \subset H_{\text{sing}}^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, \mathbb{C})$. On the other side the induced map

$$H^* \text{ev}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) : H^* OL_X(\mathbb{H}_{et}^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}}^{et}, \log}^{\bullet})) \hookrightarrow H^* \iota_{2i\pi\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{C}} H_{\text{sing}}^*(X_{\mathbb{C}}^{an}, 2i\pi\mathbb{Q})$$

is NOT surjective in general since the left hand side is invariant by the action of the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ (the group of field automorphism of \mathbb{C}) whereas the right hand side is not. The fact for a de Rham cohomology class of being logarithmic is algebraic and invariant under isomorphism of (abstract) schemes.

Corollary 1. *Let $X \in \text{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$. Then the Hodge conjecture holds for X if and only if the Hodge classes are given by logarithmic De Rham classes.*

Proof. Follows from theorem 2. \square

3.3 Rigid GAGA for logarithmic de Rham classes

Let K be a field of characteristic zero which is complete for a p -adic norm. Denote by $O_K \subset K$ its ring of integer. We consider $\text{Sch}^{int}/O_K := O(\text{PSch}^2/O_K) \subset \text{Sch}^{ft}/O_K$ the full subcategory consisting of integral models of algebraic varieties over K , where $O : \text{PSch}^2/O_K \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{ft}/O_K$, $O(X, Z) = X \setminus Z$ is the canonical functor, and

$$\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K := \text{Sch}^{int}/O_K \cap \text{Sch}^{sm}/O_K \subset \text{Sch}/O_K$$

the full subcategory consisting of integral models of (smooth) algebraic varieties over K which are smooth over O_K . Denote by $\text{SmVar}(K)^{pet}$ and $(\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}$ the big pro-étale sites and by $\nu : \text{SmVar}(K)^{pet} \rightarrow \text{SmVar}(K)$ and $\nu : (\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet} \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K$ the morphisms of sites given by the embeddings $\nu : \text{SmVar}(K) \hookrightarrow \text{SmVar}(K)^{pet}$ and $\nu : \text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K \hookrightarrow (\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}$. We then have the morphism of sites

$$r : \text{SmVar}(K)^{pet} \rightarrow (\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}, \quad X^\mathcal{O} \in \text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K \mapsto X^\mathcal{O} \otimes_{O_K} K$$

We will consider for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^\bullet &= \nu^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^\bullet \in C((\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}), \\ X^\mathcal{O} &\mapsto \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n, \log}^\bullet(X^\mathcal{O}), \quad (f : X' \rightarrow X) \mapsto \Omega(f) := f^* \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet, an} &:= \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \nu^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^\bullet \in C((\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}), \\ X^\mathcal{O} &\mapsto \Omega_{\hat{X}^\mathcal{O}, (p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet(\hat{X}^\mathcal{O}, (p)), \quad (f : X' \rightarrow X) \mapsto \Omega(f) := f^* \end{aligned}$$

together with the embedding in $C((\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet})$ (see definition 2(iii))

$$\begin{aligned} OL &:= OL_{/O_K, an} : \Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet, an} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/O_K}^{\bullet, an}, \quad \text{for } X^\mathcal{O} \in (\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}, \\ OL_{/O_K, an}(X^\mathcal{O}) &:= OL_{\hat{X}^\mathcal{O}, (p)}(X^\mathcal{O}) : \Omega_{\hat{X}^\mathcal{O}, (p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet(\hat{X}^\mathcal{O}, (p)) \rightarrow \Omega_{\hat{X}^\mathcal{O}, (p)}^\bullet(\hat{X}^\mathcal{O}, (p)). \end{aligned}$$

which induces the embedding of $C(\text{SmVar}(K)^{pet})$ (see definition 2(iii))

$$\begin{aligned} OL &:= OL_{/K, an} : r^* \Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet, an} \xrightarrow{r^* OL_{/O_K, an}} r^* \Omega_{/O_K}^{\bullet, an} \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/K}^{\bullet, an}, \quad \text{for } X \in \text{SmVar}(K)^{pet}, \\ OL_{/K, an}(X) &:= (\Omega(e) \circ OL_{\hat{Y}^{(p)}}(Y)) : \\ &\varprojlim_{Y^\mathcal{O} \in (\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}, e: X \rightarrow Y^\mathcal{O} \times_{O_K} K = Y} \Omega_{\hat{Y}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet(\hat{Y}^{(p)}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^\bullet(\hat{X}^{(p)}). \end{aligned}$$

We have also, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sheaves

$$\begin{aligned} O_n, O_n^* &\in \text{PSh}((\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)), \quad X^\mathcal{O} \mapsto O_n(X^\mathcal{O}) := O(X_{/p^n}^\mathcal{O}), \quad O_n^*(X^\mathcal{O}) := O(X_{/p^n}^\mathcal{O})^*, \\ (g : Y \rightarrow X) &\mapsto a_g(X_{/p^n}^\mathcal{O}) : O_n(X^\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow O_n(Y^\mathcal{O}), \quad O_n(X^\mathcal{O})^* \rightarrow O_n(Y^\mathcal{O})^*, \end{aligned}$$

and $O_n := r^* O_n, O_n^* := r^* O_n^* \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K))$.

We will use the following :

Lemma 2. (i0) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sheaves $O_n^* := r^* O_n^* \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K))$ and $r^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K))$ admit transfers compatible with transfers on $r^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K))$.

(i) For each $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sheaf $\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l := r^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K))$ admits transfers compatible with transfers on $\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^l := r^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^l \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K))$, that is $\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^\bullet \in C(\text{Cor SmVar}(k))$ and the inclusion $OL : \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l[-l] \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/O_K/p^n}^\bullet$ in $C(\text{SmVar}(K))$ is compatible with transfers.

(ii) For each $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sheaf $\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(k))$ is \mathbb{A}^1 invariant.

Proof. (i0): The sheaves $\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^{sm}/O_K)$ admit transfers. On the other hand the sheaf $O_1^* \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^{sm}/O_K)$ admits transfers: for $W \subset X' \times X$ with $X, X' \in \text{Sch}^{sm}/O_K$ and W finite over X' and $f \in O(X_{/p}^{\mathcal{O}})^*$, $W^*f := N_{W/X'}(p_X^*f)$ where $p_X : W \hookrightarrow X' \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection and $N_{W/X'} : k(W)^* \rightarrow k(X')^*$ is the norm map. This gives transfers on $\Omega_{/(O_K/p), \log}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^{sm}/O_K)$ compatible with transfers on $\Omega_{/(O_K/p)}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^{sm}/O_K)$: for $W \subset X' \times X$ with $X, X' \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ and W finite over X' and $f \in O(X_{/p^n}^{\mathcal{O}})^*$,

$$W^*df/f := dW^*f/W^*f = \text{Tr}_{W/X'}(p_X^*(df/f)),$$

where $p_X : W \hookrightarrow X' \times X \rightarrow X$ is the projection and $\text{Tr}_{W/X'} : O_W \rightarrow O_X$ is the trace map. Note that $d(fg)/fg = df/f + dg/g$. Considering the commutative diagram in $\text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^{sm}/O_K)$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{/(O_K/p^{n-1})}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^1 & \xrightarrow{\Omega(/p)} & \Omega_{/(O_K/p)}^1 \\ & & \uparrow \text{OL} & & \uparrow \text{OL} & & \uparrow \text{OL} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{/(O_K/p^{n-1}), \log}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^1 & \xrightarrow{\Omega(/p)} & \Omega_{/(O_K/p), \log}^1 \end{array}$$

we see by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that the transfers on $\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{Sch}^{sm}/O_K)$ send logarithmic forms to logarithmic forms. Hence the transfers on $\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^1 := r^*\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^{1, an} \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K))$ send logarithmic forms to logarithmic forms. This proves (i0).

(i): By (i0), we get transfers on

$$\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}^l \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^1, \otimes_{O_n}^l \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K))$$

since $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}^l \Omega_{/K, \log}^1 = H^0(\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}^{L, l} \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^1)$ and $\otimes_{O_n}^l \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^{1, an} = H^0(\otimes_O^{L, l} \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^{1, an})$. This induces transfers on

$$\begin{array}{c} \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}^l \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^1 := \text{coker}(\oplus_{I_2 \subset [1, \dots, l]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}^{l-1} \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^1) \\ \xrightarrow{\oplus_{I_2 \subset [1, \dots, l]} \Delta_{I_2} := (w \otimes w' \mapsto w \otimes w \otimes w')} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}^l \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K)). \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{c} \wedge_{O_n}^l \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^1 := \text{coker}(\oplus_{I_2 \subset [1, \dots, l]} \otimes_{O_n}^{l-1} \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^1) \\ \xrightarrow{\oplus_{I_2 \subset [1, \dots, l]} \Delta_{I_2} := (w \otimes w' \mapsto w \otimes w \otimes w')} \otimes_{O_n}^l \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^1 \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K)). \end{array}$$

(ii): Follows from the fact that for $X \in \text{Sch}/(O_K/p^n)$, $O^*(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) = O^*(X)$ since for a commutative ring A , $(A[X])^* = A^*$. \square

Denote FSch/O_K is the category of formal schemes over O_K . The morphism of site in RCat given by completion with respect to (p)

$$c : \text{FSch}/O_K \rightarrow \text{Var}(K), X \mapsto \hat{X} := \hat{X}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)} \otimes_{O_K} K, X^{\mathcal{O}} \in \text{Sch}^{int}/O_K, \text{ s.t } X^{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{O_K} K = X$$

induces the map in $C(\text{Var}(K)^{pet})$

$$c^* : \Omega_{/K}^\bullet \rightarrow \Omega_{/K}^{\bullet, an} := c_* \Omega_{/K}^{\bullet, an}, \text{ for } X \in \text{Var}(K), c^* : \Omega_X^\bullet(X) \rightarrow \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^\bullet(\hat{X}^{(p)}),$$

which induces for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $X \in \text{Var}(K)$ the morphism

$$c^* : H_{DR}^j(X) = \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(\hat{X}^{(p)}, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^\bullet)$$

which is an isomorphism for $X \in \text{SmVar}(K)$ or $X \in \text{PVar}(K)$ by GAGA (c.f. EGA 3), and for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $X \in \text{Var}(K)$ the morphism

$$c^* : H_{DR}^j(X) = \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p)}^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(\hat{X}(p), \Omega_{\hat{X}(p)}^\bullet)$$

which is thus an isomorphism for $X \in \text{SmVar}(K)$ since (see [8])

$$\mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(\hat{X}(p), \Omega_{\hat{X}(p)}^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(\hat{X}(p), \Omega_{\hat{X}(p)}^\bullet), \text{ that is, } \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p)}^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p)}^\bullet).$$

by considering an open cover $X = \cup_i X_i$ such that there exist etale maps $X_i \rightarrow T^{d_{X_i}} \subset \mathbb{A}^{d_{X_i}}$.

The result of this section is the following ([4] proposition 2 (i)), we recall and give some precisions to the proof :

Proposition 6. *Let K be a field of characteristic zero which is complete for a p -adic norm. Let $X \in \text{PSmVar}(K)$ with good reduction. Let $X^\mathcal{O} \in \text{PSch}/O_K$, smooth over O_K such that $X^\mathcal{O} \otimes_{O_K} K = X$. Consider the morphism $c : \hat{X}(p) \rightarrow X$ in RTop given by completion of $X_\mathcal{O}$ with respect to (p) .*

(i) *The analytic De Rham cohomology class of an algebraic cycle is logarithmic and is of type (d, d) , that is, for $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X)$*

$$[Z] := H^{2d}\Omega(\gamma_Z^\vee)([Z]) \subset H^{2d}OL_{\hat{X}}(H_{pet}^d(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^d)) \subset H_{DR}^{2d}(\hat{X}(p)) = H_{DR}^{2d}(X).$$

(ii) *Conversely, any $w \in H^{2d}OL_{\hat{X}}(H_{pet}^d(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^d))$ is the class of an algebraic cycle $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, i.e. $w = [Z]$.*

(iii) *We have $H^jOL_{\hat{X}}(H_{pet}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l)) = 0$ for $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2l \neq j$. That is, we only have analytic logarithmic classes in bidegree (d, d) for $d \in \mathbb{N}$, in particular there is no non trivial logarithmic classes for odd degree De Rham cohomology $H_{DR}^{2d+1}(\hat{X}(p)) = H_{DR}^{2d+1}(X)$.*

(iv) ([4] proposition 2 (i)). *For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the isomorphism*

$$c^* : H_{DR}^j(X) = \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p)}^\bullet) = H_{DR}^j(\hat{X}(p))$$

and its inverse preserve logarithmic classes, that is for each $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$c^*(H^j(m \circ (OL_X \otimes I))(H_{pet}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X^{pet}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p))) = H^jOL_{\hat{X}(p)}(H_{pet}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. (i): Similar to the proof of theorem 2(i).

(ii) and (iii): Consider, for $j, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, the presheaf

$$L^{l, j-l} := H^jOL_{/K, an}(H^{j-l}E_{pet}^\bullet(r^*\Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{l, an})) \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K)).$$

By definition, for $X \in \text{SmVar}(K)$ with good reduction,

$$L^{l, j-l}(X) := H^jOL_{/K, an}(H^{j-l}\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(X), E_{pet}^\bullet(r^*\Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{l, an}))) = H^jOL_{\hat{X}(p)}(H_{pet}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l)).$$

Consider also for $X \in \text{SmVar}(K)$ with good reduction and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset,

$$L_Z^{l, j-l}(X) := H^jOL_{/K, an}(H^{j-l}\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(X, X \setminus Z), E_{pet}^\bullet(r^*\Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{l, an}))) = H^jOL_{\hat{X}(p)}(H_{pet, Z}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l)).$$

For the second equalities of $L^{l, j-l}(X)$ and $L_Z^{l, j-l}(X)$, note that

$$a_{et}OL_{/K, an}(r^*\Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{l, an})|_{X^{pet}} = a_{et}OL_{\hat{X}(p)}(r^*\Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l),$$

where $X^{pet} \subset \text{SmVar}(K)^{pet}$ is the small pro etale site and $a_{et} : \text{PSh}(X^{pet}) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{et}(X^{pet})$ is the sheafification functor. For $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the presheaves in $(\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet}$

$$\begin{aligned} OL_{/O_K, p^n} &: \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^l, \text{ for } X^\mathcal{O} \in (\text{Sch}^{int}/O_K)^{pet}, \\ \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l(X^\mathcal{O}) &:= \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n, \log}(X^\mathcal{O}) \hookrightarrow \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^l(X^\mathcal{O}) := \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n}(X^\mathcal{O}) \end{aligned}$$

which induces the presheaves in $\text{SmVar}(K)^{pet}$

$$OL_{/(O_K/p^n)} := r^* OL_{/O_K, p^n} : r^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l \hookrightarrow r^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^l.$$

Note that by definition $\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l = \nu^* \nu_* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l$ and $\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^l = \nu^* \nu_* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n)}^l$, where $\nu : (\text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K)^{pet} \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{int, sm}/O_K$. We have then for $X \in \text{SmVar}(K)$ with good reduction

$$\begin{aligned} L^{l, j-l}(X) &= H^j OL_{\hat{X}(p)}(\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_{et}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l)) \\ &:= H^j OL_{/K, an}(\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{j-l} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(X, X \setminus Z), E_{et}^\bullet(r^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l))), \end{aligned}$$

and for $X \in \text{SmVar}(K)$ with good reduction and $Z \subset X$ a closed subset,

$$\begin{aligned} L_Z^{l, j-l}(X) &= H^j OL_{\hat{X}(p)}(\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_{et, Z}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l)) \\ &:= H^j OL_{/K, an}(\varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^{j-l} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(X, X \setminus Z), E_{et}^\bullet(r^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l))). \end{aligned}$$

since, considering the restriction to $X^{pet} \subset \text{SmVar}(K)^{pet}$, we have

$$R \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l \simeq \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l \in D(X^{pet})$$

as the map in $\text{PSh}(X^{pet})$

$$r^* \Omega(/p^{n'}) : r^* \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^{n-n'}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l \rightarrow r^* \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l,$$

is surjective for the etale topology, where $/p^{n'} : X^\mathcal{O}/p^n \hookrightarrow X^\mathcal{O}/p^{n-n'}$ is induced by the quotient map $/p^{n'} : O_{X^\mathcal{O}}/p^{n-n'} \rightarrow O_{X^\mathcal{O}}/p^n$, and as the pro-etale site is a replete topos (c.f. [2]), note that $\Gamma_Z \circ \varprojlim_{i \in I} = \varprojlim_{i \in I} \circ \Gamma_Z$ on $C(X^{pet})$ since they are both projective limits, hence $R\Gamma_Z \circ R \varprojlim_{i \in I} = R \varprojlim_{i \in I} \circ R\Gamma_Z$ on $D(X^{pet})$. The presheaves

$$\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l := r^* \Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l \in \text{PSh}(\text{SmVar}(K)), \quad l, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

are \mathbb{A}^1 invariant and admit transfers by lemma 2. Hence by theorem 1, they are \mathbb{A}^1 local since they are \mathbb{A}^1 invariant and admit transfers. This gives in particular, for $Z \subset X$ a smooth subvariety of (pure) codimension d with good reduction, by proposition 1 an isomorphism

$$(\Omega_{/(O_K/p^n), \log}^l(P_{Z, X}))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : L_Z^{l, j-l}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_Z^{l, j-l}(N_{Z/X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} L^{l-d, j-l-d}(Z).$$

Let

$$\alpha = OL_{\hat{X}(p)}(\alpha) \in L^{l, j-l}(X) := H^j OL_{\hat{X}(p)}(H_{pet}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l)) = H^{j-l} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}(X), E_{pet}^\bullet(r^* \Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{l, an})).$$

Let $U \subset X$ an open subset such that there exists an etale map $e : U \rightarrow T^{d_U} \subset \mathbb{A}^{d_U}$. Let $r = (r_i) : Y := \varprojlim_{i \in I} U_i \rightarrow U$ be a faithfully flat pro-etale map with Y is w-contractile. In particular $r : Y \rightarrow U$ and $r_i : U_i \rightarrow U$ are surjective. As $\Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{l, an}$ consists of single presheaf, we have

$$r^* \alpha = 0 \in H_{DR}^j(\hat{Y}^{(p)}) = H^j \Omega_{\hat{X}(p)}^\bullet(Y) = \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(Y, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p)}^\bullet),$$

that is

$$r^*\alpha = 0 = [\partial(\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}] = [(\partial\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}] \in H_{DR}^j(\hat{Y}^{(p)}), \text{ with } (\eta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^{j+1}(Y).$$

Denote $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ the open embedding. Consider

$$j^*\alpha = [(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}] \in H_{DR}^j(\hat{U}^{(p)}) = H^j\Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^\bullet(U) = \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(U, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^\bullet).$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $i_n \in I$ (depending on n) such that $\eta_n = r_{i_n}^* \tilde{\eta}_n$, with $\tilde{\eta}_n \in \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^{j+1}(U_{i_n})$. Then, there exists $a_{ij} : U_{j_n} \rightarrow U_{i_n}$, $j_n, a_{ij} \in I$ such that

$$r_{j_n}^* w_n = a_{ij}^* \partial \tilde{\eta}_n + \partial \beta_n \in \Omega_{X^\circ/p^n}^\bullet(U_{j_n})$$

Since $r_{j_n} : U_{j_n} \rightarrow U$ is faithfully flat,

$$w_n = \partial(\tilde{\eta}_n + \beta_n) \in \Omega_{X^\circ/p^n}^\bullet(U).$$

Hence $j^*\alpha = 0 \in L^{l,j-l}(U)$. Let $r_\bullet : Y_\bullet \rightarrow U$ be a pro-etale cover by w -contractile schemes. Then,

$$j^*\alpha = (\theta_I) \in H_{pet}^{j-l}(U, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l) = H^{j-l}\Gamma(Y_\bullet, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l).$$

Since $j^*\alpha = 0 \in L^{l,j-l}(U)$, we have

$$\theta_I = \tilde{\theta}_{I'|Y_I} + \partial\gamma_I \in \Gamma(Y_I, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^l),$$

with $I \subset I'$, $\tilde{\theta}_{I'} \in \Gamma(Y_{I'}, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^l)$ and $\gamma_I \in \Gamma(Y_I, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}^{l+1})$. Since there is an etale map $U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{d_U}$, Ω_U^l and $\Omega_{U_i}^l$ are trivial vector bundles, and since a logarithmic form is exact if and only if it vanishes, we get $\theta_I = \tilde{\theta}_{I'|Y_I}$ and

$$j^*\alpha = \partial_\bullet(\tilde{\theta}_{I'}) = 0 \in H_{pet}^{j-l}(U, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l) = H^{j-l}\Gamma(Y_\bullet, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^l).$$

Considering a divisor $X \setminus U \subset D \subset X$, we get

$$\alpha = H^{j-l}E_{pet}^\bullet(r^*\Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{l, an})(\gamma_D^\vee)(\alpha), \alpha \in L_D^{l,j-l}(X).$$

- Proof of (ii): By assumption we have $w \in L^{d,d}(X)$. Let $U \subset X$ an open subset such that there exists an etale map $e : U \rightarrow T^{d_U} \subset \mathbb{A}^{d_U}$. Considering a divisor $X \setminus U \subset D \subset X$, we get

$$w = H^d E_{pet}^\bullet(r^*\Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{d, an})(\gamma_D^\vee)(w), \alpha \in L_D^{d,d}(X).$$

Denote $D^\circ \subset D$ its smooth locus with good reduction and $l : X^\circ \hookrightarrow X$ a Zariski open subset such that $X^\circ \cap D = D^\circ$. We then have

$$l^*w \in L_{D^\circ}^{d,d}(X^\circ) = L^{d-1,d-1}(D^\circ)$$

We repeat this procedure with each connected components of D° instead of X . By a finite induction of d steps, we get

$$w = H^d E_{pet}^\bullet(r^*\Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{d, an})(\gamma_Z^\vee)(w), w \in L_Z^{d,d}(X) = L^{0,0}(Z^\circ).$$

with $Z := D_d \subset \dots \subset D \subset X$ a pure codimension d (Zariski) closed subset, thus $\alpha = \sum_i n_i [Z_i] \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X)$, where $n_i \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $(Z_i)_{1 \leq i \leq t} \subset Z$ are the irreducible components of Z ,

- Proof of (iii) part 1: Let $j > 2l$. Let $w \in L^{l,j-l}(X)$. By the proof of (ii) there exists $Z \subset X$ a closed subset of pure codimension l such that

$$w = H^{j-l}E_{pet}^\bullet(r^*\Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{l, an})(\gamma_Z^\vee)(w), w \in L_Z^{l,j-l}(X).$$

By a finite induction of $d_X - l$ steps, restricting to the smooth locus with good reduction of closed subsets of Z , $w = 0$ since $L_{Z'}^{l,j-l}(X) = 0$ for all $Z' \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ with good reduction such that $\text{codim}(Z', X) \geq l$.

- Proof of (iii) part 2: Let $j < 2l$. Let $w \in L^{l,j-l}(X)$. By the proof of (ii) there exists $Z \subset X$ a closed subset of pure codimension $j-l$ such that

$$w = H^{j-l} E_{pet}^\bullet(r^* \Omega_{/K, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{l, an})(\gamma_Z^\vee)(w), \quad w \in L_Z^{l,j-l}(X).$$

For $Z' \subset X$ a closed subset of pure codimension c , consider a desingularisation $\epsilon : \tilde{Z}' \rightarrow Z'$ of Z' and denote $n : \tilde{Z}' \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Z' \subset X$. The morphism in $\mathrm{DA}(k)$

$$G_{Z', X} : M(X) \xrightarrow{D(\mathbb{Z}(n))} M(\tilde{Z}')(c)[2c] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Z}(\epsilon)} M(Z')(c)[2c]$$

where $D : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DA}(k)}(M_c(\tilde{Z}'), M_c(X)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DA}(k)}(M(X), M(\tilde{Z}')(c)[2c])$ is the duality isomorphism from the six functor formalism (moving lemma of Suzlin and Voevodsky) and $\mathbb{Z}(n) := \mathrm{ad}(n_!, n^!)(a_X^! \mathbb{Z})$, is given by a morphism in $C(\mathrm{SmVar}(k))$

$$\hat{G}_{Z', X} : \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X) \rightarrow E_{et}(C_* \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(Z'))(c)[2c].$$

Let $l : X^\circ \hookrightarrow X$ be an open embedding such that $Z^\circ := Z \cap X^\circ$ is the smooth locus of Z with good reduction. We consider $Z^\mathcal{O} := V(I_Z^\mathcal{O}) \subset X^\mathcal{O}$ so that $Z^\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{Sch}^{int}/O_K$ is an integral model of Z . We then have the following commutative diagram of abelian groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \longrightarrow & H_{pet, Z}^{j-l}(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l) & \xrightarrow{l^*} & H_{pet, Z^\circ}^{j-l}(X^\circ, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{pet, Z \setminus Z^\circ}^{j-l+1}(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l) & \longrightarrow \dots \\ & \Omega(\hat{G}_{Z, X}) \uparrow & & \Omega(P_{Z^\circ, X^\circ}) \uparrow & & \Omega(\hat{G}_{Z, X}) \uparrow & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & H_{pet}^0(Z, \Omega_{\hat{Z}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^{2l-j}) & \xrightarrow{l^*} & H_{pet}^0(Z^\circ, \Omega_{\hat{Z}^\circ(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^{2l-j}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{pet, Z \setminus Z^\circ}^1(Z, \Omega_{\hat{Z}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^{2l-j}) & \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

whose rows are exact sequences. Consider

$$l^* w = \Omega(P_{Z^\circ, X^\circ})(w^\circ) \in H_{pet, Z^\circ}^{j-l}(X^\circ, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l), \quad w^\circ \in H_{pet}^0(Z^\circ, \Omega_{\hat{Z}^\circ(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^{2l-j}).$$

Since $\partial l^* w = 0 \in H_{pet, Z \setminus Z^\circ}^{j-l+1}(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^l)$, we get, considering the smooth locus with good reduction $(Z \setminus Z^\circ)^\circ \subset Z \setminus Z^\circ$ and $X^{\circ\circ} \subset X$ is an open subset such that $X \cap (Z \setminus Z^\circ)^\circ = X^{\circ\circ} \cap (Z \setminus Z^\circ)$,

$$\partial w^\circ = 0 \in H_{pet, Z \setminus Z^\circ}^1(Z, \Omega_{\hat{Z}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^{2l-j}),$$

since $H_{pet, (Z \setminus Z^\circ) \setminus (Z \setminus Z^\circ)^\circ}^1(Z, \Omega_{\hat{Z}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^{2l-j}) = 0$ for dimension reasons, that is

$$w = \Omega(\hat{G}_{Z, X})(w), \quad \text{with } w \in H_{pet}^0(Z, \Omega_{\hat{Z}(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^{2l-j}).$$

Hence $w = 0$ since $H^0(Z', \Omega_{Z'(p), \log, \mathcal{O}}^k) = 0$ for all $k > 0$ and all $Z' \in \mathrm{PVar}(K)$.

(iv): Follows from (ii), (iii) on the one hand, and on the other hand theorem 2(ii) and (iii). \square

Remark 2. Let K be a field of characteristic zero which is complete for a p -adic norm. Let $X \in \mathrm{PSmVar}(K)$ with good reduction. Let $X^\mathcal{O} \in \mathrm{PSch}/O_K$, smooth over O_K such that $X^\mathcal{O} \otimes_{O_K} K = X$. The canonical map

$$(H^j E_{et}(\Omega(/p^n))) : \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}, \log}^\bullet) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{H}_{et}^j(X, \Omega_{X^\mathcal{O}/p^n, \log}^\bullet) = \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X, \Omega_{\hat{X}^\mathcal{O}, (p), \log}^\bullet)$$

is NOT surjective and NOT injective in general.

4 Tate conjecture

Let k a field of finite type over \mathbb{Q} . Denote \bar{k} the algebraic closure of k and $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ the absolute Galois group of k .

Let $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$ be a smooth variety. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ a prime number. Consider an embedding $\sigma_p : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. Denote $\hat{k}_{\sigma_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ the p -adic completion of k with respect to σ_p . We have the commutative diagram in $C_{\mathbb{B}_{dr, \text{Fil}}, \hat{G}_{\sigma_p}}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\text{an}, \text{pet}})$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{B}_{dr, X_{\mathbb{C}_p}}, F) & \xrightarrow{\alpha(X)} & (\Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p}}^\bullet, F_b) \otimes_{O_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p}}} (O\mathbb{B}_{dr, X_{\mathbb{C}_p}}, F) \\ \uparrow \iota'_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\text{pet}} := l \mapsto l.1} & & \uparrow OL_X \otimes I := (w \otimes \lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (w \otimes \lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p}} & \xrightarrow{\iota_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\text{pet}} := (I, 0)}} & (\Omega_{X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, F_b) \end{array} .$$

Consider an integral model $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O} \in \text{Sch}^{\text{int}}/O_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ of $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$. If $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O}$ has good reduction modulo p , we have (see e.g. [1]) the embedding in $C((X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O})^{\text{Falt}})$

$$\alpha(X) : \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}} \hookrightarrow a_{\bullet*} \Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O}, \bullet}^\bullet \otimes_{O_{X_{\mathcal{O}}}} O\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}}^\bullet$$

which is a filtered quasi-isomorphism compatible with the action of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}_p/\hat{k}_{\sigma_p})$ and the action of the Frobenius ϕ_p , where $(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O})^{\text{Falt}}$ denote the Falting site.

For k a field of finite type over \mathbb{Q} and $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$, we denote $\delta(k, X) \subset N$ the finite set consisting of prime numbers such that if $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \delta(k, X)$ is a prime number, k is unramified at p and there exists an integral model $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O} \in \text{Sch}^{\text{int}}/O_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ of $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ with good reduction modulo p for all embeddings $\sigma_p : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$, $\hat{k}_{\sigma_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ being the p -adic completion of k with respect to σ_p .

Remark 3. To see that $\delta(k, X)$ is a finite set, considering $k = k_0(x_1, \dots, x_d)(x_{d+1})$ where x_1, \dots, x_d are algebraically independent and k_0 is a number field one can take an integral model $Y \rightarrow O_{k_0}[x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}]/f_{d+1}$ of X , a desingularization of $Y' \rightarrow Y$ of Y and then see that $\delta(k, X)$ is contained in the discriminant of the family $Y' \rightarrow Y \rightarrow O_{k_0}[x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}]/f_{d+1}$.

Let $X \in \text{PSmVar}(k)$ be a smooth projective variety. Let $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \delta(k, X)$ a prime number. Consider an embedding $\sigma_p : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. Then $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ has good reduction modulo p and let $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O} \in \text{PSch}/O_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ be a smooth model, i.e. $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O} \otimes_{O_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}} \hat{k}_{\sigma_p} = X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ and $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O}$ is smooth with smooth special fiber. The main result of [1] say in this case that the embedding in $C((X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O})^{\text{Falt}})$

$$\alpha(X) : \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}} \hookrightarrow a_{\bullet*} \Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O}, \bullet}^\bullet \otimes_{O_{X_{\mathcal{O}}}} O\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}}^\bullet$$

induces a filtered quasi-isomorphism compatible for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, a filtered isomorphism of filtered abelian groups

$$\begin{aligned} H^j R\alpha(X) : H_{\text{et}}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} &\xrightarrow{H^j T(a_X, \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}})^{-1}} H_{\text{et}}^j((X)^{\text{Falt}})(\mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}}) \\ &\xrightarrow{H^j \text{RF}(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^\mathcal{O}, \alpha(X))} H_{\text{DR}}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}) \otimes_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} \end{aligned}$$

compatible with the action of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}_p/\hat{k}_{\sigma_p})$ and of the Frobenius ϕ_p .

Definition 3. Let k a field of finite type over \mathbb{Q} . Denote \bar{k} the algebraic closure of k and $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ the absolute Galois group of k . Let $X \in \text{PSmVar}(k)$. Let $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \delta(k, X)$ a prime number. Consider an embedding $\sigma_p : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. Denote $\hat{k}_{\sigma_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ the p -adic completion of k with respect to σ_p . For $\alpha \in H_{\text{et}}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, we consider

$$w(\alpha) := H^j R\alpha(X)(\alpha \otimes 1) \in H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}) \otimes_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}.$$

the associated de Rham class by the p -adic periods. We recall

$$H^j R\alpha(X) : H_{\text{et}}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}) \otimes_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$$

is the canonical filtered isomorphism compatible with the action of \hat{G}_{σ_p} and with the action of the Frobenius ϕ_p .

We recall the following result from Illusie:

Proposition 7. Let k be a field of finite type over \mathbb{Q} . Let $X \in \text{SmVar}(k)$. Let $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \delta(k, X)$ be a prime number. Consider an embedding $\sigma_p : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. Denote by $\hat{k}_{\sigma_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ the p -adic completion of k with respect to σ_p . Consider $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}} \in \text{Sch}^{\text{int}}/\mathcal{O}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ a smooth integral model of $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$, in particular $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}} \hat{k}_{\sigma_p} = X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ and $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ is smooth with smooth special fiber. Assume there exist lifts $\phi_n : X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n \rightarrow X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n$ of the Frobenius $\phi : X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p \rightarrow X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p$, such that for $n' > n$ the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & O_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^{n'-n}} & \xrightarrow{p^n \cdot} & O_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^{n'}} & \xrightarrow{/p^{n'-n}} & O_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \phi_{n'-n} & & \uparrow \phi_{n'} & & \uparrow \phi_n \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & O_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^{n'-n}} & \xrightarrow{p^n \cdot} & O_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^{n'}} & \xrightarrow{/p^{n'-n}} & O_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence in $C(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}, \text{et}})$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n, \log}^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{OL_{X^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n}} \Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n}^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\phi_n - I} \Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n}^{\bullet} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact as a sequence of complexes of etale sheaves (i.e. we only have local surjectivity on the right).

Proof. It follows from [6] for $n = 1$. It then follows for $n \geq 2$ by induction on n by a trivial devissage. \square

We have the following key proposition (the projective case of [4]), we state and prove it for smooth projective varieties, the case for smooth varieties is obtained in the same way using a smooth compactification with normal crossing divisors. The projective case suffices for our purpose:

Proposition 8. (projective case of [4] proposition 4(i)). Let k be a field of finite type over \mathbb{Q} . Let $X \in \text{PSmVar}(k)$. Let $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \delta(k, X)$ be a prime number. Consider an embedding $\sigma_p : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. Denote by $\hat{k} \subset \hat{k}_{\sigma_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ the p -adic completion of k with respect to σ_p . Consider $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}} \in \text{PSch}/\mathcal{O}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ a smooth integral model of $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$, i.e. $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}} \hat{k}_{\sigma_p} = X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ and $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ is smooth with smooth special fiber. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have, see definition 2(iii),

$$\begin{aligned} H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}) \cap H^j R\alpha(X)(H_{\text{et}}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)) &= (c^*)^{-1}(H^j OL_{\hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)}}(\mathbb{H}_{\text{pet}}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \Omega_{\hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}))) \\ &\subset H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}) \otimes_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} \mathbb{B}_{\text{cris}, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we recall $c : \hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)} \rightarrow X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ is the morphism in \mathbf{RTop} given by the completion of $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ with respect to (p) , and

$$c^* : \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}}^{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \Omega_{\hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)}}^{\bullet})$$

is an isomorphism by GAGA and by considering an open cover $X = \cup_i X_i$ such that there exist etale maps $X_i \rightarrow T^{d_{X_i}} \subset \mathbb{A}^{d_{X_i}}$.

Proof. Consider $c : \hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O},(p)} \rightarrow X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ the morphism in \mathbf{RTop} which is the formal completion along the ideal (p) . Take a Zariski or etale cover $r = (r_i : X_i \rightarrow X)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ such that for each i there exists an etale map $X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{d_{X_i}}$. Then, by [1], we have for each i explicit lifts of Frobenius $\phi_n^i : X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n \rightarrow X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n$ of the Frobenius $\phi_1^i : X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p \rightarrow X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p$, such that for $n' > n$ the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & O_{X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^{n'-n}} & \xrightarrow{p^n} & O_{X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^{n'}} & \xrightarrow{p^{n'-n}} & O_{X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \phi_{n'-n}^i & & \uparrow \phi_{n'}^i & & \uparrow \phi_n^i \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & O_{X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^{n'-n}} & \xrightarrow{p^n} & O_{X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^{n'}} & \xrightarrow{p^{n'-n}} & O_{X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and such that the action of ϕ_n^i on $\Omega_{X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n}^{\bullet}$ is a morphism of complex, i.e. commutes with the differentials. On the other hand, by [6], we have action of the Frobenius on $H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}) = H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}) \otimes_{O_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}} \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}$ by

$$\phi : H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{H}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, W\Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}}^{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\phi_{W(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}})}^*} \mathbb{H}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, W\Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}}^{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}})$$

We then have the following commutative diagram, where $R := [1, \dots, r]$ and $X_R := X_1 \times_X \dots \times_X X_r$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & H_{DR}^{j-1}(X_{R,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}) & \xrightarrow{r_i^*} & \oplus_{i=1}^r H_{DR}^j(X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}) \xrightarrow{r_I^*} \dots \\ & & \uparrow I - \phi^R & & \uparrow I - \phi & & \uparrow I - \phi^i \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_{DR}^{j-1}(X_{R,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}) & \xrightarrow{r_i^*} & \oplus_{i=1}^r H_{DR}^j(X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}) \xrightarrow{r_I^*} \dots \\ & & \uparrow H^{j-1}r^*OL_{\hat{X}_{R,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O},(p)}} & & \uparrow H^jr^*OL_{\hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O},(p)}} & & \uparrow H^jr^*OL_{\hat{X}_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O},(p)}} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}_{pet}^{j-1}(X_{R,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \Omega_{\hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \Omega_{\hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet}) & \xrightarrow{r_i^*} & \oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{H}_{pet}^j(X_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \Omega_{\hat{X}_{i,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet}) \xrightarrow{r_I^*} \dots \end{array} \quad (1)$$

whose rows are exact sequences. By [1], $\alpha \in H_{et}^j(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is such that $w(\alpha) \in H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}})$ if and only if

$$w(\alpha) \in \ker(I - \phi : H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}) \rightarrow H_{DR}^j(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}})).$$

On the other hand, for each $I \subset [1, \dots, r]$, the sequence in $C(X_I^{\mathcal{O}, pet})$

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\hat{X}_{I,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{OL_{\hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O},(p)}}} \Omega_{\hat{X}_{I,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O},(p)}}^{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\phi^I - I := (\phi_n^I - I)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} \Omega_{\hat{X}_{I,\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O},(p)}}^{\bullet} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for the pro-etale topology by proposition 7 and since for each $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the map in $\mathbf{PSh}(X^{pet})$

$$\Omega(/p) : \Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^n}^l \rightarrow \Omega_{X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}/p^{n+1}}^l$$

are surjective for the etale topology and since the pro-etale site is a replete topos by [2]. Hence, for each $I \subset [1, \dots, r]$, by applying r^* where $r : X_I^{pet} \rightarrow X_I^{\mathcal{O}, pet}$, the sequence in $C(X_I^{pet})$

$$0 \rightarrow r^* \Omega_{\hat{X}_{I, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet \xrightarrow{r^* OL_{\hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}}} r^* \Omega_{\hat{X}_{I, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\phi^I - I := (\phi_n^I - I)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} r^* \Omega_{\hat{X}_{I, \hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}, (p)}}^\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for the pro-etale topology. Hence the columns of the diagram (1) are exact. This proves the proposition. \square

This proposition together with proposition 6 implies the Tate conjecture for smooth projective varieties over fields of finite type over \mathbb{Q} :

Theorem 3. (i) Let k be a field of finite type over \mathbb{Q} . Let $X \in \text{PSmVar}(k)$. Let $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \delta(k, X)$ be a prime number. Then the Tate conjecture holds for X . That is for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cycle class map

$$\mathcal{Z}^d(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)^G, \quad Z \mapsto [Z]$$

is surjective, where $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$.

(ii) Let K be a p -adic field which is unramified. Let $X \in \text{PSmVar}(K)$ with good reduction. Then the Tate conjecture holds for X . That is for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cycle class map

$$\mathcal{Z}^d(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \mathbb{Q}_p)^G, \quad Z \mapsto [Z]$$

is surjective, where $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}_p, K)$.

Proof. (i): Consider an embedding $\sigma_p : k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$. Then $k \subset \bar{k} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ and $k \subset \hat{k}_{\sigma_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$, where \hat{k}_{σ_p} is the p -adic field which is the completion of k with respect the p adic norm given by σ_p . Consider $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}} \in \text{PSch}/\mathcal{O}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ a smooth model of $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$, i.e. $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}} \hat{k}_{\sigma_p} = X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ and $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ is smooth with smooth special fiber. Let $\alpha \in H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)(d)^G$. Using definition 3, by proposition 8

$$w(\alpha) \in H^{2d} OL_{\hat{X}^{(p)}}(\mathbb{H}_{pet}^{2d}(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \Omega_{\hat{X}^{(p)}, \log, \mathcal{O}}^\bullet)) \subset H_{DR}^{2d}(\hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)}) = H_{DR}^{2d}(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}})$$

where we recall $c : \hat{X}_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{(p)} \rightarrow X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}$ is the morphism in RTop given by the completion of $X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}^{\mathcal{O}}$ with respect to (p) . By proposition 6 (ii) and (iii), there exists $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $w(\alpha) = [Z] \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}})$. By [1], considering the commutative diagram of abelian groups whose rows are exact, where $j : X \setminus |Z| \hookrightarrow X$ denote the open embedding,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{et, Z}^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{cris} & \xrightarrow{B_{cris, X}(\gamma_Z^\vee)} & H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{cris} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{et}^{2d}((X \setminus Z)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{B}_{cris} , \\ \downarrow Ra_{X*} \Gamma_Z \alpha(X) & & \downarrow R\alpha(X) & & \downarrow R\alpha(X \setminus Z) \\ H_{DR, Z}^{2d}(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}, \mathbb{B}_{cris}) & \xrightarrow{DR(X)(OB_{cris, X})(\gamma_Z^\vee)} & H_{DR}^{2d}(X_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}) \otimes_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} \mathbb{B}_{cris} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H_{DR}^{2d}((X \setminus Z)_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}}) \otimes_{\hat{k}_{\sigma_p}} \mathbb{B}_{cris} \end{array}$$

we get $\alpha = [Z] \in H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Since $[Z]$ is G invariant,

$$Z' := 1/\text{card}(gZ, g \in G) \sum_{g \in G/G_Z} gZ \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$$

satisfy $[Z'] = [Z] = \alpha \in H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Z}_p)$.

(ii): Similar to (i). \square

It is well known that theorem 3 implies the following :

Corollary 2. *Let $X \in \text{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$.*

(i) *The standard conjectures holds for X .*

(ii) *Let $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a subfield of finite type over \mathbb{Q} over which X is defined, that is $X \simeq X_k \otimes_k \mathbb{C}$ in $\text{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$ with $X_k \in \text{PSmVar}(k)$. For $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\bar{k})$, we have the isomorphism $\theta : X \xrightarrow{\sim} X_\theta$ in Sch. Then $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\bar{k})$ induces, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, an isomorphism*

$$\theta : H_{\text{sing}}^j(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{H^j \text{ev}(X)^{-1}} H_{DR}^j(X) \xrightarrow{\theta^*} H_{DR}^j(X_\theta) \xrightarrow{H^j \text{ev}(X_\theta)} H_{\text{sing}}^j(X_\theta^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\alpha \in F^d H^{2d}(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q})$ where $F^d H^{2d}(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q}) := F^d H_{DR}^{2d}(X) \cap H_{\text{sing}}^{2d}(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q}) \subset H^{2d}(X^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C})$. If $\theta(\alpha) \in H_{\text{sing}}^{2d}(X_\theta^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\bar{k})$, then $\alpha = [Z]$ with $Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X)$.

Proof. Standard. We consider, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k' \subset \mathbb{C}$ a subfield and $Y \in \text{Var}(k')$, the canonical morphism

$$T(Y) := T^i(Y) : H_{\text{sing}}^i(Y_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{(\ell/p^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} H_{\text{sing}}^i(Y_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{et}}^i(Y_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{et}}^i(Y_{k'}, \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

For $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/k')$, we have the commutative diagrams in $C(Y \times \mathbb{N})$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{An}_* E_{usu}(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{(\ell/p^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} & \text{An}_* E_{usu}(\mathbb{Z}_p) & \xleftarrow{\text{ad}(\text{An}^*, \text{An}_*)(\mathbb{Z}_p)} & E_{\text{et}} \mathbb{Z}_{p, Y_{\mathbb{C}}} \\ \downarrow \text{ad}(\theta^*, \theta_*)(-) & & \downarrow \text{ad}(\theta^*, \theta_*)(-) & & \downarrow \text{ad}(\theta^*, \theta_*)(-) \\ \theta_* \theta^* \text{An}_* E_{usu}(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{(\ell/p^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} & \theta_* \theta^* \text{An}_* E_{usu}(\mathbb{Z}_p) & \xleftarrow{\theta_* \theta^* \text{ad}(\text{An}^*, \text{An}_*)(\mathbb{Z}_p)} & \theta_* \theta^* E_{\text{et}} \mathbb{Z}_{p, Y_{\mathbb{C}}} = E_{\text{et}} \mathbb{Z}_{p, Y_{\mathbb{C}, \theta}} \\ & & \swarrow \text{ad}(\text{An}_\theta^*, \text{An}_{\theta*})(\mathbb{Z}_p) & & \\ \text{An}_{\theta*} E_{usu}(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{(\ell/p^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}} & \text{An}_{\theta*} E_{usu}(\mathbb{Z}_p) & & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{An}_* E_{usu}(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\iota(Y_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}})} & \text{An}_* E_{usu}(\Omega_{Y_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}}^\bullet) & \xleftarrow{\Omega(\text{An})} & E_{\text{et}} \Omega_{Y_{\mathbb{C}}}^\bullet \\ \downarrow \text{ad}(\theta^*, \theta_*)(-) & & \downarrow \text{ad}(\theta^*, \theta_*)(-) & & \downarrow \text{ad}(\theta^*, \theta_*)(-) \\ \theta_* \theta^* \text{An}_* E_{usu}(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\iota(Y_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}})} & \theta_* \theta^* \text{An}_* E_{usu}(\Omega_{Y_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}}^\bullet) & \xleftarrow{\theta_* \theta^* \Omega(\text{An})} & \theta_* \theta^* E_{\text{et}} \Omega_{Y_{\mathbb{C}}}^\bullet = E_{\text{et}} \Omega_{Y_{\mathbb{C}, \theta}}^\bullet \\ & & \swarrow \Omega(\text{An}_\theta) & & \\ \text{An}_{\theta*} E_{usu}(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\iota(Y_{\mathbb{C}, \theta}^{\text{an}})} & \text{An}_{\theta*} E_{usu}(\Omega_{Y_{\mathbb{C}, \theta}^{\text{an}}}^\bullet) & & \end{array}$$

where $\text{An} : Y_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow Y$ and $\text{An}_\theta : Y_{\mathbb{C}, \theta}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow Y$ are the analytical functors. Hence for $\alpha \in H_{\text{sing}}^j(Y_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\theta(\alpha) \in H_{\text{sing}}^j(Y_{\mathbb{C}, \theta}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{Q})$, we have

$$\theta^* T(Y)(\alpha) = T(Y_\theta)(\theta(\alpha)) \in H_{\text{et}}^j(Y_{k'}, \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

(i): Let $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a subfield of finite type over \mathbb{Q} such that X is defined. Denote $G = \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ the Galois group. Denote $d_X = \dim(X)$ and for short $X^{\text{an}} = X$. Let $L^j \in H_{\text{sing}}^{2d_X-2j}(X \times X, \mathbb{Q})$ the class inducing the cup product with of the intersection of j hyperplane sections on $H_{\text{sing}}^{d_X-j}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and zero on $H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$, $i \neq d_X - j$. Let $\Lambda^j \in H_{\text{sing}}^{2d_X+2j}(X \times X, \mathbb{Q})$ inducing the inverse of L_j on $H_{\text{sing}}^{d_X+j}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and zero on $H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$, $i \neq d_X + j$. Consider an isomorphism $\sigma_p : \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $\hat{k}_{\sigma_p} \subset \mathbb{C}_p$ is unramified

and X has good reduction. We have $T(X \times X)(L^j) \in H_{et}^{2d_X-2j}((X \times X)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)^G$ since it is the class of an algebraic cycle. Hence,

$$T(X \times X)(\Lambda^j) \in H_{et}^{2d_X+2j}((X \times X)_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_p)^G$$

since the inverse of a Galois invariant morphism is Galois invariant. Hence, by theorem 3(i),

$$\Lambda^j = [Z], \text{ with } Z \in \mathcal{Z}^{d_X+j}(X \times X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

(ii): As $\alpha \in H^{2d} \Gamma(X_{\bullet}, \Omega_X)$, where $X = \cup_{i=1}^s X_i$ is an open affine cover, there exists a subfield $k \subset k' \subset \mathbb{C}$ of finite type over \mathbb{Q} over which α is defined, that is $\alpha \in H_{DR}^{2d}(X_{k'}) \subset H_{DR}^{2d}(X)$, where $X_{k'} := X_k \otimes_k k' \in \text{PSmVar}(k')$ satisfy $X_{k'} \otimes_{k'} \mathbb{C} \simeq X$ in $\text{PSmVar}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \delta(k', X_{k'})$ be a prime number. Consider

$$T(X_{k'})(\alpha) \in H^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}'}, \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

If $\theta(\alpha) \in H_{\text{sing}}^{2d}(X_{\theta}^{an}, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\bar{k}')$, we get

$$T(X_{k'})(\alpha) = 1/\text{card}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\bar{k}')\alpha) \sum_{\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/k)} \theta^{-1,*} T(X_{\theta})(\theta(\alpha)) \in H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}'}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = H_{et}^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p),$$

hence, for $g \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}'/k)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot T(X_{k'})(\alpha) &= 1/\text{card}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\bar{k}')\alpha) \sum_{\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/k)} g \cdot \theta^{-1,*} T(X_{\theta})(\theta(\alpha)) \\ &= 1/\text{card}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/k)\alpha) \sum_{\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/k)} \theta^{-1,*} T(X_{\theta})(\theta(\alpha)) = T(X_{k'})(\alpha) \in H_{et}^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}'}, \mathbb{Q}_p), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $T(X_{k'})(\alpha) \in H^{2d}(X_{\bar{k}'}, \mathbb{Q}_p)^G$, with $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{k}'/k)$. Hence, if $\theta(\alpha) \in H_{\text{sing}}^{2d}(X_{\theta}^{an}, \mathbb{Q})$ for all $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\bar{k}')$, we get by theorem 3(i),

$$T(X)(\alpha) = T(X_{k'})(\alpha) = [Z], \text{ with } Z \in \mathcal{Z}^d(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

□

References

- [1] F.Andreatta, A.Iovitta, *Semi-stable sheaves and comparaison isomorphisms in the semi-stable case*, Rencontre de seminaire mathematique de l'universite de Padova, Tome 128, 2012, p.131-286.
- [2] B.Bhatt, P.Scholze, *The pro-etale topology for schemes*, Asterisque No 369, 2015, 99-201.
- [3] J.Bouali, *The De Rham, complex and p adic Hodge realization functor for relative motives of algebraic varieties over a field of characteristic zero*, arxiv 2203.10875, preprint, 2022.
- [4] J.Bouali *Complex vs etale Abel Jacobi map for higher Chow groups and algebraicity of the zero locus of etale normal functions*, arxiv 2211.15317, preprint, 2022.
- [5] D.C.Cisinski, F.Deglise, *Triangulated categories of mixed motivated*, arxiv preprint 0912.2110, 2009-arxiv.org.
- [6] L.Illusie, *Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline*, Annales scientifiques de l'ENS, tome 12, 1979, p.501-661.
- [7] F.Lecomte, N.Wach, *Réalisation de Hodge des motifs de Voevodsky*, manuscripta mathematica.141,663-697, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2012.

[8] P.Scholze, *p-adic Hodge theory for rigid analytic varieties*, Forum of Mathematics, Pi,1,el,(2013).

LAGA UMR CNRS 7539
Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 99 av Jean-Baptiste Clement,
93430 Villetaneuse, France,
bouali@math.univ-paris13.fr