

Life in an unsuspected antibiotics world: River biofilms

Olha Matviichuk, Leslie Mondamert, Claude Geffroy, Christophe Dagot,

Jérôme Labanowski

► To cite this version:

Olha Matviichuk, Leslie Mondamert, Claude Geffroy, Christophe Dagot, Jérôme Labanowski. Life in an unsuspected antibiotics world: River biofilms. Water Research, 2023, 231, pp.119611. 10.1016/j.watres.2023.119611 . hal-04032840

HAL Id: hal-04032840 https://hal.science/hal-04032840v1

Submitted on 16 Mar 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Life in an unsuspected antibiotics world: River biofilms

Olha MATVIICHUK^{a,b}, Leslie MONDAMERT^a, Claude GEFFROY^a, Christophe DAGOT^b, Jérôme LABANOWSKI^{a*}

^aInstitut de Chimie des Milieux et Matériaux de Poitiers, UMR CNRS 7285, University of Poitiers, France.

^bUMR INSERM 1092, Limoges, France.

1 *Corresponding author: jerome.labanowski@univ-poitiers.fr

2 Abstract

3 Waterborne bacteria that naturally live in biofilms are continuously exposed to pharmaceutical 4 residues, regularly released into the freshwater environment. At the source level, the discharge of 5 antibiotics into rivers has already been repeatedly linked to the development of antimicrobial 6 resistance. But what about biofilms away from the discharge point? Two rivers, with sites subject to 7 dispersed contamination of medium intensity, were studied as typical representatives of high- and 8 middle-income countries. The biofilms developed on rocks indigenous to rivers are perfectly 9 representative of environmental exposure. Our results show that away from the hotspots, the amount of antibiotics in the biofilms studied favours the maintenance and enrichment of existing resistant 10 11 strains as well as the selection of new resistant mutants, and these favourable conditions remain over 12 a period of time. Thus, in this type of river, the environmental risk of selection pressure is not only 13 present downstream of urbanised areas but is also possible upstream and far downstream of 14 wastewater treatment plant discharges. Despite this, correlation analysis found no strong positive correlation between antibiotic concentrations and the abundance of measured integrons and their 15 corresponding resistance genes. Nevertheless, this work highlights the need to consider the risks of 16 17 antibiotics beyond hotspots as well.

18 Keywords: antibiotics, antibiotic resistance, epilithic biofilm, freshwater environment, integrons, risk19 quotient.

20 **1. Introduction**

The persistence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in the environment is among the biggest emerging health threats, according to UN Environment (UNEP, no date). Many studies already link the misuse of antibiotics in medicine and agriculture to increased AMR, but the effects of antibiotics in the environment due to wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) emissions and their relationship to local microflora need to be deepened (Roche et al., 2016). In recent years, the role of the environment as a
reservoir of AMR and its dissemination pathway has become increasingly considered. Consequently,
growing attention is being paid to the presence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria (ARB) and antibiotic
residues (selectors of resistant bacteria) in the environment.

29 A recent study that surveyed 91 rivers around the world found antibiotics in the waters of nearly two-thirds of all the studied sites, from the Thames to the Mekong (Boxall and Wilkinson, 2019). Typical 30 31 concentrations range from ng/L to μ g/L, largely because of diffuse of WWTPs discharges, which are a 32 main soure of antibiotics in the aquatic environment in France (Haenni et al., 2022) and leachings from 33 livestock farms. Thus, both environmental and fecal bacteria (from animals or WWTPs) are constantly 34 exposed to antibiotics, which will probably affects microbial ecology and encourages the selection of 35 ARB or antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs) in rivers. Consequently, there is growing interest in 36 determining the potential of antibiotic concentrations in the environment that stimulate the 37 development of AMR (Chow, Ghaly and Gillings, 2021). Resistance to antibiotics is often carried by the 38 mobile genetic elements (MGEs) called integrons. These elements have a site-specific recombination 39 system that helps them capture, integrate, express, and exchange gene cassettes (Stalder et al., 2014). 40 More than 130 resistance genes (RGs) have been described among the integron cassettes, including 41 genes conferring resistance to antibiotics (Gillings, 2014). The clinical class 1 integron-integrase gene 42 is now recognized as one of the indicators of "resistance" in the environment (Zheng et al., 2020; 43 Haenni et al., 2022). Several studies have highlighted the link between integrons (more specifically 44 class 1 and 2) and Gram-negative bacteria with multidrug resistance, even if resistance is due not only 45 to cassette-borne genes, but also to other ARGs located outside the integrons, and that the integron 46 marker has good negative predictive value for acquired resistance (Leverstein-Van Hall et al., 2003; 47 Barraud et al., 2014). Since bacteria, containing integrons, can be selected not only by antibiotics but 48 also by other pollutants (e.g. heavy metals, desinfectants, etc.) Gillings et al. (2015) proposed to use 49 the class 1 integron-integrase gene as a proxy for anthropogenic pollution.

50 As most bacteria live in communities known as biofilms, it seems important to consider this bacterial 51 lifestyle to evaluate the "true" exposure of bacteria to antibiotics circulating in rivers. Biofilms are 52 densely packed communities of microbial cells that grow on surfaces and secrete extracellular 53 polymeric substances (EPS). Bacteria inside biofilms may display properties significantly different from 54 free-living bacterial cells (Flemming et al., 2016). The ecological success of biofilms lies in their 55 structure – this communal way of life provides bacteria physical protection from grazers and chemical protection from toxic compounds (Raghupathi et al., 2018; Sentenac et al., 2022). Thus, several studies 56 57 show that the components of the biofilm (EPS, polysaccharides, lipids, DNA fragments) bind almost all 58 antibiotics (and other pollutants) present in water. This property makes the biofilms an attractive 59 indicator of water quality (Balcázar, Subirats and Borrego, 2015; Proia et al., 2016; Pu et al., 2019). 60 However, there are critical gaps in knowledge about the effect of antibiotics present in the biofilm on 61 bacterial viability and the spread of AMR in the environment (Larsson et al., 2018). In order to 62 overcome the problem of antibiotic resistance, a global approach is required in human health, but also in animal and environmental health ("One Health" concept) (Destoumieux-Garzón et al., 2018). One 63 key area where research is considered urgent is the role of antibiotic contamination in the 64 65 environment.

66 Resistance, tolerance, and persistence are different responses to antibiotics exposure that lead to 67 increased survival compared to susceptible cells (Dincer, Uslu and Delik, 2020; Uruén et al., 2021). 68 Clinical knowledge and experience show that strong antibiotic exposure can stimulate ARBs as well as 69 subpopulations of cells that can survive without being resistant (persisters) (Balaban et al., 2019). 70 However, subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics can also contribute to increased mutation rates, 71 horizontal gene transfer (HGT) and biofilm formation (structure and composition), which can lead to 72 the emergence and spread of AMR (Khan, Beattie and Knapp, 2017). Furthermore, resistant 73 populations selected at subinhibitory concentrations do not lose acquired resistance in the absence of 74 antibacterial agents (Hershberg, 2017). Thus, the accumulation of antibiotics in environmental biofilm 75 can have a significant impact on the evolution of AMR in bacterial populations in environmental 76 biofilms.

77 In France, as in other high-income countries, antibiotic pollution comes mainly from WWTP 78 discharges (i.e., hotspots). Most studies focus on the risk of AMR in such hotspot situations and few 79 focus on situations where pollution is more diluted/dispersed (even if these situations cover most of 80 the surface of the catchment areas). The aim of this work was to raise the question of the "real impact" 81 of antibiotics on environmental biofilm-producing bacteria and the possible consequences in terms of 82 antibiotic resistance. To this end, an in-situ approach was developed. Antibiotic concentrations in 83 freshwater biofilms (at dispersed pollution sites or hotspots, i.e. the WWTP discharges) were 84 investigated for one year. The measured concentrations were then compared with toxicological dose 85 descriptors as well as with the abundance of AMR markers (integrons and ARGs), also measured in 86 biofilms, to determine whether antibiotic concentrations could have a direct effect on AMR 87 development.

88 **2.** Material and methods

89

2. Wateria and methods

2.1 Sampling area and sample collection

90 Field experiments were conducted on two French rivers (Figure S1): the Clain river (CR) (upstream 91 (UPS) and downstream (DWS) from the WWTP of Poitiers = 160,000 population equivalent) and the 92 Vienne river (VR) (upstream from the WWTP of Châtellerault = 93,000 population equivalent). These rivers are typical of French catchment areas with moderate urbanisation and only 1 or 2 medium-sized 93 94 cities. These rivers are subject to moderate anthropogenic pressure and are not extremely polluted. 95 Pollution comes from discharges from WWTPs, which are considered in this study as hotspots, and 96 from individual sanitation systems, which generate dispersed pollution of medium intensity 97 throughout the catchment. It is interesting to study this type of river because the mechanisms 98 identified in the hotspots may "not necessarily" be the same as those involved in the dispersed 99 pollution sites. Furthermore, rivers with dispersed pollution of medium-intensity are widely present in 100 high- and middle-income European countries. The downstream biofilms collected near the discharge 101 pipe of the WWTP were, therefore, more intensively exposed to antibiotics released into the river 102 (local hotspots). Biofilms collected upstream of the WWTP may have encountered dispersed pollution 103 from upstream in the watershed. These UPS biofilms are probably not as contaminated with dead 104 bacteria, DNA residues, as the DWS biofilms.

105 Epilithic biofilms were grown on initially sterile rocks (about 1000 fragments of diorite per plot). 106 The sterile rocks were placed in rivers for 5 months and then gradually collected: a few rocks per 107 month, corresponding to one bulk sample. The biofilms were therefore cultivated for 5 months in the 108 river before the start of the experiments in order to have time to form and equilibrate 109 (microbiologically and chemically). Our biofilms developed on natural supports (rock) corresponding 110 to the rivers studied and not on artificial supports (as is widely the case: glass or plastic slides) which 111 very strongly modify/change the microbial populations and their capacity to sorb pollutants. All these 112 methodological precautions were taken to ensure that the biofilms were representative of their river 113 and the reality of their exposure condition.

Sampling campaign over one year was led from January to December 2018 (one sample per month). The biofilm was carefully scraped off the rocks with a sterile toothbrush and MilliQ grade water. The resulting solution was then gently centrifuged to remove the water. The obtained "fresh" biofilms were stored in bottles at -80 °C before the analysis.

118

2.2 Analytical methods for antibiotics quantification

Twenty commonly used antibiotics (6 classes) (Table 1) were measured in all collected samples by the procedure described in Aubertheau *et al.* (2017) and Matviichuk *et al.* (2022). Pressurized liquid extraction (ASE[™] 350, Thermo Scientific, Waltham - USA) was used to extract antibiotics from the 122 biofilm samples. Then, extracts were purified and pre-concentrated by solid-phase extraction (SPE) 123 (AutotraceTM 280, Thermo Scientific, Waltham – USA), using OASIS HLB 6cc cartridges, and finally 124 analysed by ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled with triple quadrupole mass 125 spectrometry (Shimadzu 8060, Marne-la-Vallée – France). To overcome the matrix effect (changes 126 within the biofilm with the season), quantification was obtained based on the standard addition 127 method. Concentrations in biofilms are expressed in µg of the quantified antibiotic per L of "fresh" 128 biofilm (to compare with toxicological dose descriptors). More details on the method validation as well 129 as established limits of detection and quantification are given in the supplementary information.

130

2.3 Resistance genes and integrons detection and quantification

131 Three classes of integrons (Intl1, Intl2 and Intl3) as well as eight ARGs (sul1, sul2, ermB, ermC, qnrA, 132 *qnrC, tolC, dfrF)*, which were frequently found in freshwater compartments (water, biofilm, sediment) as well as in WWTPs (Proia et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2019; Zhuang et al., 2021) and represents the 133 134 main classes of antibiotics, were examined to assess the level of AMR in biofilm communities. First, 135 the total DNA was extracted using the Fast DNA® SPIN Kit for Feces (MP Biomedicals, Illkirch – France) 136 according to the manufacturer's instruction and then stored at -80 °C until further analysis. To evaluate the sample quality accurately, the 260/280 ratio was analysed using a NanoDrop[™] OneC Microvolume 137 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The results showed an average 260/280 138 ratio ranging from 1.92 for CR DWS to 2.04 for CR UPS samples. The number of Intl1, Intl2, and Intl3 139 140 genes was quantified using the qPCR method (MX3005P real-time detection system (Stratagene®)) as 141 described by Barraud et al. (2010). The 16S rRNA encoding gene was quantified using two universal 142 primers (338F and 518R) (Table S6) by the SYBR green assay method, as described by Park and Crowley (2006). Prior to qPCR, the concentration of the DNA samples was adjusted to a range of $0.5-1.5 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$ 143 144 to prevent qPCR inhibitor effects and each sample was analysed in triplicate. Then, for optimal 145 quantification of Intl1, Intl2, Intl3 and 16S rRNA coding genes, each targeted sequence was cloned into 146 a single standard plasmid using the method described by Stalder et al. (2014). The number of copies of this plasmid was adjusted to $0.2*10^9$ copies/µL. Then, it was successively diluted ten times until 10^2 147 148 copy numbers to obtain complete standard curve that was run at the same time with DNA samples 149 and blank control during each analysis. The concentration of integrons and 16S rRNA genes was 150 calculated considering the mass of biofilm used for DNA extraction and the DNA dilution factor. Since 151 the average number of 16S rRNA-coding genes per bacterium is currently estimated to be 4.1 152 (Aubertheau et al., 2017), the number of bacteria present in each sample was estimated by dividing 153 the determined number of 16S rRNA-coding genes by 4.1. Finally, the relative abundance of integrons was calculated by dividing the average concentration of integrons (in number of copies per g of biofilm)by the estimated average number of bacteria.

ARGs were analysed by nanolitre quantitative PCR. The assay was performed using 96.96 BioMark[™]Dynamic Array for Real-Time PCR (Fluidigm Corporation, San Francisco, CA, USA) according to the protocol described by Buelow *et al.* (2018, 2020). Assay results (threshold cycle (Ct) values) were extracted using BioMark real-time PCR analysis software. The normalised gene abundance was calculated from the 16S rRNA gene abundance using the following formula: 2^(-(Ct_(RG) - Ct_(16S rRNA))) given a detection limit of 20.

162 The Pearson correlation coefficient between antibiotic concentrations and abundance of 163 ARGs/integrons was calculated using the data analysis ToolPak. Principal component analysis (PCA) 164 was performed on RStudio (version 4.1106.0) using package "Rcmdr".

165

3. Results and discussion

166

3.1. Are there a lot of antibiotics in biofilms?

167 Analysis of 20 antibiotics in biofilms collected monthly (over one year) reveal the huge occurrence 168 of these compounds: 18-20 and 19 antibiotics were detected in the two studied rivers (in CR and VR biofilms, respectively - Figure 1). Differences of occurrence were observed depending on the 169 170 antibiotic's class but on average, the most frequently detected in both upstream sites (CR UPS and VR) were macrolides (in CR - 81 % and in VR - 100 % of all macrolides analysed). In VR, up to 16 antibiotics 171 were detected in 100% of biofilms. The other antibiotics were detected in over 50% of biofilms: OTC 172 173 and SQX in 80%, ENX in 60% of samples. In the CR UPS 4 compounds (JOS, T-T, SMZ and MTZ) were 174 detected in all analysed biofilms. The others were found in the half of the samples (except MED and 175 NFX detected at 44% and 33%, respectively). These findings confirm the data from other studies that 176 have reported the presence of antibiotics in biofilms (Aubertheau et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2018).

177 In the CR, results show a slight but statistically significant difference in antibiotic occurrences 178 between the upstream and the downstream points. This confirms the variability of antibiotics 179 contamination depending on the proximity of the pollution sources. Thus, 8 antibiotics (CPR, LVX, NFX, 180 JOS, SPR, SMZ, SMX, MTZ) were detected in 100% of biofilms collected downstream the WWTP. The 181 other antibiotics were found at more than 67% of samples analysed (except ENX, MED, SQX detected 182 at 44% and OTC detected in 22% of samples). The discharge of treated wastewater, however, 183 considerably modified the presence of certain antibacterial drugs such as CPR. Ciprofloxacin is known 184 for its strong affinity to particles (Zhang et al., 2018), hence its absence in areas distant from the 185



Figure 1. Distribution and superimposition of 20 antibiotics and 2 common pesticides concentrations in biofilms (in μg/L) grown and collected from two
 rivers with their mutant selection (MIC-MSC) windows (A) and relative abundance of *Intl1* and *Intl3* upstream (UPS) of the WWTP in the CR (B), in the VR
 (C), downstream (DWS) of the WWTP in the CR (D). MIC-MSC bars mark the boundaries of MSWs. * - concentration of ENX was <LOD in several UPS samples

189 WWTPs can be explained by rapid adsorption on sludge or on suspended solids or sediments.

190

3.2. What are the theoretical risks of so many antibiotics?

191 Our results indicate that antibiotic concentration ranges (min, max, and mean) differ depending on 192 the river or the proximity to pollution sources. The highest concentrations (in $\mu g/L$) are observed for 193 LVX (20.85), AZM (16.64), and TMP (16.68) in CR UPS and SMX (145.70) in VR. The lowest 194 concentrations are observed for MTZ (0.09) and SMZ (0.28) in CR and RXM (0.69) and CLR (0.74) in VR. 195 The results also show that the maximum concentrations found downstream of the WWTP are higher 196 than upstream for certain compounds: LVX (141.05), AZM (274.25), SPR (85.82), and lower for SMZ 197 (1.61) and TMP (10.60). Several of the antibiotics analysed (CLR, ERY, and SMX) were also quantified 198 at similar concentrations in other studies (Zhang et al., 2018). It should be noted, however, that the 199 concentrations remain far below from the values observed in the area polluted by drugs-producing 200 industries (Larsson, Pedro and Paxeus, 2007). Our results also point out that the concentrations of 201 antibiotics in biofilms are as high as the concentrations of certain pesticides. For instance, the 202 concentrations of atrazine (ATZ) (an herbicide banned in France since 2003 and in Europe since 2007) 203 varies from 0.04 to 14.45 µg/L and that of epoxiconazole (EXZ) (a fungicide banned in France since May 204 2019) varies from 0.92 to 261.29 μ g/L (Figure 1) (note, ATZ and EXZ are used as examples to illustrate 205 pesticide concentration ranges in biofilms and for comparison with antibiotic concentrations).

206 All these findings show that river biofilms may provide a "place" for the exposure of bacteria to 207 antibiotics. Considering this, the content of antibiotics found in biofilms was compared with the 208 toxicological dose descriptors to assess the potential effects expected depending on the range of 209 exposure. Thus, concentrations found in biofilms were compared first with their predicted no-effect 210 concentrations (PNEC_{ENV}) (Table 1) to determine the extent of their impact on the bacteria (based on 211 eco-toxicology). The corresponding PNECENV was calculated using EC50 published in the literature for 212 the marine bacterium V. fischeri (see Table 1). For this purpose, the EC50 value was divided by an 213 estimate factor of 1000 as described in the EU Technical Guidance on Risk Assessment (De Bruijn et 214 al., 2003). The results show that the maximum and average concentrations (Table S9) of four fluoroquinolones (CPR, ENX, LVX, and NFX) and two macrolides (AZM, T-T) exceeded PNECENV in at least 215 216 one of the studied sites. Thus, the average and maximum concentrations of CPR and LVX exceeded the 217 $PNEC_{ENV}$ value of 11.5 µg/L and 23 µg/L, respectively, in the CR DWS. For ENX, concentrations were 218 higher than the corresponding PNEC_{ENV} of 0.05 μ g/L in the VR and CR DWS. The concentrations of AZM 219 were above the PNEC_{ENV} of 11 µg/L at both CR sites. For T-T, its average concentration exceeded 220 $PNEC_{ENV}$ of 1.8 µg/L only in the VR, while its maximum measured concentrations were above $PNEC_{ENV}$ in the VR and CR UPS. The concentrations of NFX exceeded the PNEC_{ENV} value of 0.02 μ g/L at all sites. 221

222 Consequently, potential hazardous effects are expected for these compounds. However, it should 223 be noted that the minimum concentrations (Table S9) of certain compounds (AZM, and CLR for the 224 upstream points) (Figure 1) are under their PNEC_{ENV}, suggesting a non-permanent threat level. We also 225 used the risk quotient (RQ) method to compare toxicity to environmental exposure (US EPA, 2020). RQ 226 is calculated by dividing a point estimate of exposure (i.e., median concentration measured in biofilms) 227 by a point estimate of ecological effects data (PNEC_{ENV}). If the resulting RQ \geq 1, this indicates an 228 environmental risk for bacteria; a RQ = 0.1 - 1 indicates an average risk, and from 0.01 to 0.1 indicates 229 a low risk (Patrolecco *et al.*, 2018). Since we found several values for $PNEC_{ENV}$ in the literature, which 230 sometimes vary considerably, we decided to review them all and focus especially on the lowest ones. 231 In addition, since the median concentration gives only a global value, which can "hide" values with 232 RQ>1, we also calculated the percentage of samples with RQ above 1 (see Table 1, given in brackets 233 next to RQ values).

234 The calculated RQs show that the median concentration of six compounds (ENX, FMQ, NFX, SMX, 235 OTC and TMP) represents an environmental risk for aquatic bacteria (RQ>1) in both rivers. 236 Concentrations of ENR, ERY, RXM, SPR, SMZ and MTZ pose no environmental risk in either river. Also, 237 median concentrations of FMQ and CLR (in both rivers), AZM (in the CR UPS and VR), T-T (in the CR – 238 both sites), SMX (in the CR UPS), and LVX (in the VR) have an average environmental risk. For the 239 remaining compounds, the median concentrations gave RQs greater than 1 in at least one of the 240 measured samples (i.e., AZM, T-T and SMX in the CR UPS and CLR in the CR DWS). It is worth noting 241 that the value of RQ showed a slight difference between the two studied rivers – 7 compounds have 242 RQ >1 in the VR, 4 and 9 in the up and downstream points in the CR.

243 To consider the resistance risk, concentrations of antibiotics in biofilms were also compared with 244 $PNEC_{MIC}$, which represents the antibiotic concentrations over which several effects may occur, leading 245 to resistance selection. This comparison showed that the median concentrations of almost all 246 antibiotics in both rivers (except ERY and RXM in CR UPS and SMX) exceeded PNECMIC, meaning that 247 antibiotic levels in river biofilms can lead to the development of antibiotic resistance in biofilm 248 bacterial communities. Moreover, five to eight (depending on the site) of studied antibiotics are 249 present in the biofilms at concentrations sufficient to obtain resistance, according to the mutant 250 selection window (MSW) hypothesis, which states that the selection of resistant mutants occurs in the 251 concentration range between the minimum selective concentration (MSC) and the minimum inhibitory 252 concentration (MIC) (Gullberg et al., 2011). There are several compounds systematically present in the 253 MSC-MIC window: ENR, NFX and SPR (Figure 2). Others, such as AZM are found in the MSC-MIC window only at the CR upstream point and the VR. FMQ is present also in the MSC-MIC window only in the 254 255 samples collected in the CR. Thus, all these antibiotics are at concentrations that theoretically inhibit 256 the growth of susceptible strains, enrich existing resistant mutants, and can even select for resistant 257 mutants from non-resistant populations. The risk analysis points out that several antibiotics are found 258 in biofilms at concentrations higher than MIC (LVX) or lower than MSC (ERY and SMX). It is believed that if the obtained concentration of an antibiotic exceeds the MIC, the growth rate of non-resistant 259 strains will be inhibited in favour of already resistant bacteria or (at far above MIC concentrations) 260 261 even can lead to the death of the bacteria. If the obtained concentration of antibiotic is below the 262 MSC, the risk of developing/acquiring resistance is negligible. Recent studies show that sub-MSC 263 increase persistence mechanisms in species that have not yet developed/acquired resistance but does 264 not promote the acquisition of resistance through other mechanisms (i.e., mutagenesis), nor does it 265 disrupt vital functions in bacterial cells (Stanton et al., 2020). In our study, RXM, T-T and SMX are lower 266 than MSC for the CR UPS and the VR.



Figure 2. Schematic representation of ATBs concentrations measured in biofilms compared to the mutant selection window. Whether an antibiotic belongs to a specific zone is determined by the position of its median value. Bold - means that all measured concentrations are within the range of the corresponding window, unbolded- means that median concentration is within the range of the corresponding window

Thus, based on Figure 2, it is possible to conclude that the upstream positions (CR, VR) are characterized by the presence of sub-MIC and sub-MSC antibiotic concentrations in biofilms, while the downstream position (CR) is characterized by sub-MIC and over-MICs, favourable for the maintenance of resistant bacteria.

275 **3.3. Are there any witnesses of the resistance?**

276 Resistant integrons are frequently studied in bacteriology due to their ability to acquire, exchange,
277 and express genes encoding resistance to antibiotics. Thus, class 1, class 2 and class 3 integrons were

evaluated in all samples as indicators of antibiotic resistance, as suggested by Haenni *et al.* (2022). In
addition, eight ARGs (*sul1, sul2, ermB, ermC, qnrA, qnrC, tolC, dfrF*) were also analysed to see if the
appearance of integrons could be directly related to the presence of antibiotics and, if so, used as AMR
indicators in the environment.

282 The results showed the presence of class 1 (*Intl1*) in the biofilms of both rivers (Figure 1). Class 2 283 integrons were not detected and Class 3 (Intl3) integrons were quantified in the CR biofilms and 284 detected but not quantified in the VR biofilms. Int/1 was detected in all downstream-collected samples 285 and in 78 % of the upstream samples. For Int/3, these rates were 89 and 56 %, respectively. In samples 286 collected from the VR, Intl1 was detected in only half of the analysed biofilms. The relative abundance 287 (mean value) of these two classes of integrons is higher in the biofilms collected from the CR 288 (downstream point) than in the others: CR DWS: Intl1 - 0.14, Intl3 - 0.02; CR UPS: Intl1 - 0.02, Intl3 -289 0.01; VR: Intl1 - 0.06, Intl3 - 0. The presence of class 1 and 3 integrons in polluted water and their 290 enrichment in WWTP discharges has already been described in various studies (Gillings et al., 2015; 291 Bengtsson-Palme and Larsson, 2016; Aubertheau et al., 2017; Cacace et al., 2019; Matviichuk et al., 292 2022). The CR and VR biofilms exhibit a high abundance of Intl1, close to the values observed in 293 urbanized areas and/or exposed to WWTP effluents (see a comparison of results with data from the 294 literature - Figure 3). There is little environmental data on class 3 integrons (compared to two other 295 classes) but the abundance found in the CR is consistent with values from other river biofilms 296 (Aubertheau et al., 2017). In most cases, the enrichment of integrons in wastewater-exposed 297 environments is associated with mechanisms of acquired resistance, in particular through HGT 298 (Karkman et al., 2016; An et al., 2018). The fact is that WWTPs create unique conditions for this. The 299 increased density of bacteria, presence of many stressors (antimicrobials, heavy metals, reduced 300 nutrients, organic pollutants, etc.), as well as a large variety of MGEs and ARBs contribute to the 301 horizontal transfer of integrons. Diverse MGEs (including plasmids, integrative and conjugative 302 elements, transposons, and integrons) are present in wastewater and can be captured and integrated 303 into the genome of biofilm community cells. A study by Che et al. (2019) revealed that most of the 304 ARGs found in WWTP compartments were carried by plasmids, known to be one of the main carriers 305 of integrons, which have no self-replication or transfer systems (Domingues, da Silva and Nielsen, 306 2012). Several studies have illustrated the enrichment of Intl1 in WWTP effluent (Che et al., 2019), in 307 sediments near the discharge site (Karkman et al., 2016) or in the freshwater biofilm impacted by 308 sewage effluent (Lehmann et al., 2016). All these studies linked this enrichment to HGT, so it can be 309 assumed that the same mechanism led to an increase in IntI1 in CR DWS and VR biofilms (since several 310 WWTPs are located upstream of this sampling site).

312

311 Regarding the presence of ARGs, similar observations to the profiles of integrons were made: the

313 Table 1. Median concentrations of antibiotics (C_{med}) detected in benthic biofilms of the Clain river (CR) upstream (UPS) and downstream (DWS) of the WWTP and the

314 Vienne river (VR) (in μg/L), their PNECs_{ENV} and PNECs_{MIC}, and corresponding RQs (based on the median concentration of antibiotics).

				Resistance selection			Combined median concentrations			References for PNEC _{env}			
Class	Compound	Abbr.	PNEC _{ENV} ^a	RQ Clain UPS	RQ VR	RQ Clain DWS	PNEC _{MIC} ^b	MSC	MIC	C _{med} CR UPS	C _{med} VR	C _{med} CR DWS	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin	CPR	11.50	-	-	1.81 (100%)	0.06	1.00	2.00	-	-	21.39	(Martins <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
	Enoxacin	ENX	0.05	-	252.1 (60%)	22.16 (44%)	-	-	-	-	12.60	1.11	(Backhaus, Scholze and Grimme, 2000)
	Enrofloxacin	ENR	326.80	0.01	0.02	<0.01	0.06	0.50	8.00	2.4	5.53	5.5	(Park and Choi, 2008)
	Flumequine	FMQ	11.00	0.10	0.22	0.23	0.25	4.00	64.00	6.52	2.84	4.17	(Zounková <i>et al.,</i> 2011)
			0.02		110 (7 (1000/)	120 02 (070/)							(Backhaus, Scholze
			0.02	54.74 (56%)	118.67 (100%)	126.83 (67%)							and Grimme, 2000)
	Levofloxacin	LVX	23.00	0.09	0.37	3.7 (100%)	0.25	4.00	4.00	20.85	8.49	85.07	(Le Page <i>et al.,</i> 2017)
	Norfloxacin	NFX	0.02	195.16 (33%)	296.97 (100%)	320.4 (100%)	0.50	4.00	16.00	10.59	13.03	6.89	(Backhaus, Scholze and Grimme, 2000)
Macrolides	Azithromycin	A7M	NF 10*	0.66 (22%)	0 35	11 3 (78%)	0.25	4 00	16.00	12.35	5.58	124.2	(Harada <i>et al.,</i> 2008)
				0.00 (11/0)	0.00		0.20		20.00			1	
	Clarithromycin	CLR	NE 100*	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.25	2	8 00	1.77	2.12	11.87	(Isidori <i>et al.</i> 2005)
			NE 10 *	0.13	0.20	0.52 (33%)	0.23	-	0.00				(1514011 et ul., 2003)
	Erythromycin	ERY	NE 100*	0.01	0.02	0.03	1.00	8.00	16.00	0.91	2.02	3.17	(Isidori <i>et al.,</i> 2005)
	Josamycin	JOS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.21	2.75	1.33	-
	Midecamycin	MED	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.88	4.91	2.12	-

	Roxithromycin	RXM	> 1000	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	1.00	8.00	32.00	0.93	2.65	6.93	(Choi <i>et al.,</i> 2008)
	Spiramycin	SPR	8263	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.50	4.00	125.00	8.97	3.7	28.02	(Lofrano <i>et al.,</i> 2018)
	Tylosine tartrate	T-T	1.8	0.46 (22%)	1.75 (100%)	0.58	4.00	32.00	2000	1.35	3.16	<loq< td=""><td>(van der Grinten <i>et al.,</i> 2010)</td></loq<>	(van der Grinten <i>et al.,</i> 2010)
	Sulfamethazine	SMZ	344.70	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	-	-	-	1.33	3.78	1.13	(Białk-Bielińska <i>et al.,</i>
namides			>100	0.01	0.04	0.01							2011)
	Sulfamethoxazole	SMX	78.1	0.02	0.04	0.05	16.00	125.00	1000	3.26	3.38	6.19	(Kim <i>et al.,</i> 2007)
			23.3	0.05	0.15	0.17							(Isidori <i>et al.,</i> 2005)
sulfo			1 5	0 66 (22%)	2 26 (10.0%)	2 50 (80%)							(van der Grinten <i>et al.,</i>
0,			1.5	0.00 (2276)	2.20 (100%)	2.39 (8970)							2010)
	Sulfaquinoxaline	SQX	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.21	4.31	2.09	-
	Metronidazole	MT7	243.00	<0.01	0.01	<0.01	0.13	2 00	16.00	0.81	2.83	0.87	(Kołodziejska <i>et al.,</i>
Others	Wett official officia	10112	243.00	10.01	0.01	(0.01	0.15	2.00	10.00				2013)
	Oxytetracycline	OTC	108.00	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.50	4.00	125.00	1.89	7.8	<loq< td=""><td>(Isidori <i>et al.,</i> 2005)</td></loq<>	(Isidori <i>et al.,</i> 2005)
			64.50	0.01	0.12	0.04							(Kołodziejska <i>et al.,</i>
			04.50	0.01	0.12	0.04							2013)
			0.1	0.22 (700/)		22.02.(220/)							(van der Grinten <i>et al.,</i>
			0.1	9.22 (78%)	75.78 (80%)	22.93 (22%)							2010)
	Trimethoprim	TMP	176.70	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.50	8.00	16.00	3.36	6.37	7.03	(Kim <i>et al.,</i> 2007)
					22 77 (1000)								(van der Grinten <i>et al.,</i>
			0.28	6.59 (89%)	22.77 (100%)	12.31 (89%)							2010)

315

NE 10/100- no acute effect (EC50) at 10/100 mg/L; a - PNECENV was calculated from the EC50, found in the literature, divided by the appropriate assessment factor; b - given by 316 (Bengtsson-Palme and Larsson, 2016); RQ was calculated by dividing median concentration (Cmed) of antibiotic by its related PNECENV; values in brackets represent the percentage of samples 317 among all samples analysed with RQs > 1. Cells stained in red highlight compounds with RQ>1 and orange highlight compounds with RQ>1 in at least one analysed biofilm.

- 318 normalised gene abundance increased with increasing anthropogenic pressure (lowest in CR UPS and
- highest in CR DWS) (Figure S3). Moreover, the distribution of ARGs in CR UPS differs from that in VR
- 320 and CR DWS. Whereas in the latter two, *sul2* is the most represented, followed by *dfrF*, in CR UPS *dfrF*
- 321 predominates and *sul2* comes second.



Figure 3. Relative abundance (copies/16S RNA) of *Intl1* in studied river biofilms and different solid matrices reported in the literature (Stalder *et al.*, 2012; Subirats *et al.*, 2017; Labadie *et al.*, 2020), UPS – upstream, DWS – downstream, CR – Clain river, VR – Vienne river.

The present data show that the quantity of resistance integrons does not directly correlate with antibiotic concentrations (considered separately or as a sum by family) measured in the CR or VR biofilms. The values of R² are less than 0.5. Only the sum of fluoroquinolones (FQ) shows a high correlation with *Intl3* ($r^2 = 0.885$, p-value <0.001) in the biofilms collected in CR UPS (Table S10). No correlation between FQs and *Intl3* was found in CR DWS, but it was observed for MTZ and JOS with *Intl1*. No significant correlation patterns were found between antibiotic content and the abundance of integrons in VR biofilms.

A similar pattern was observed between antibiotics and associated RGs. In CR UPS, macrolide (MRL) and fluoroquinolone (FQ) RGs (*ermB*, *ermC* and *qnrA*) were significantly cross correlated with members of both these classes of antibiotics simultaneously (Figure 4, Table S10). For instance, ENR, FMQ and NFX (fluoroquinolones) appeared to be significantly (p-value >0.001) correlated with macrolide RG (*ermB*) and fluoroquinolone RG (*qnrA*). Whereas the other genes seem to appear uninfluenced by the presence of antibiotics. The same situation, but even more pronounced, is observed in CR DWS (ENX, ENR and NFX significantly (p-value >0.001) correlated with *ermB*), suggesting that the occurrence of ARGs is probably strongly influenced by WWTP discharges. In the VR biofilms correlation coefficient showed no association between antibiotics and ARGs, even though PCA (Figure 4B) demonstrated the potential for some correlations (i.e., SMZ and *sul1*). This sampling point is not directly in an urbanised area and is not located in the immediate vicinity of a WWTP, therefore the explanation for the observations made may be that the observed evidence of resistance is the result of previous exposure, which is maintained due to the persistence of antibiotics in the environment.



Figure 4. Principal component analysis (PCA) of antibiotics, their related resistance genes and integrons: A –
 CR upstream, B – VR, C – CR downstream

The co-occurrence of integrons (as a carriers of different resistance genes), ARGs and antibiotics is likely to be causal, as co-selection of integrons and ARGs occurs in environments polluted with drugs, 349 disinfectants and heavy metals (Gillings et al., 2015). This co-selection is most likely due to the physical 350 location of class 1 integrons on a series of transposons and plasmids that also carry various RGs 351 (including ARGs). In the Wales and Davies's review (2015), several examples illustrate the increased 352 frequency of ARB in river water microcosms exposed to heavy metals or in agriculture and aquaculture 353 where heavy metals have been used. This increase can be due to the mechanism, which is called cross-354 resistance and refers to the phenomenon where ARGs multiply even in the absence of their selective 355 agents (Pal et al., 2017; Haenni et al., 2022). This is because MGEs (e.g., plasmids, integrons or 356 transposons) often carry several groups of RGs (e.g., biocides, metals and ARGs), so selection of 357 integrons can occur not only through antibiotic exposure, but also through co-selection. For instance, 358 Gaze et al. (2011) investigated the effect of quaternary ammonium compounds (QACs) (disinfectants, 359 sanitizers, detergents and biocides) in co-selecting ARGs and showed their enrichment due to the 360 predominance of Intl1 as a result of QACs exposure. The phenomenon of co-selection is also closely 361 related to HGT, as well as to the efflux pump mechanism, which is one of the mechanisms responsible 362 for cross-resistance and a frequently used "defence" mechanism in bacterial biofilms (Wales and 363 Davies, 2015; Pal et al., 2017; Cheng et al., 2019; Matviichuk et al., 2022).

364 Besides, the lack of correlation can be explained by several other factors. It may be related to the 365 structure of biofilms. EPS, which envelops bacteria and keeps them on the surface, is one of the 366 mechanisms to protect bacteria within biofilms (Khatoon et al., 2018; Karygianni et al., 2020). The 367 presence of specific components (such as proteins or polysaccharides) and certain physicochemical 368 properties (such as electrical impedance) reduce the diffusion of chemicals inside the biofilm and thus 369 limit the exposure of bacteria (Hathroubi et al., 2017; Gebreyohannes et al., 2019; Karygianni et al., 370 2020). Only some antibiotics (such as tetracycline and ciprofloxacin) are reportedly able to penetrate 371 the biofilm matrix (Gebreyohannes et al., 2019). The ability of bacteria to communicate with each 372 other (a mechanism called quorum sensing) may help regulate their EPS production when the presence of antibiotic is detected (Gebreyohannes et al., 2019; Uruén et al., 2021). Likewise, bacteria are capable 373 374 of producing degrading enzymes which reduces the antibiotics content in the immediate vicinity of 375 cell (Cairns et al., 2018). Consequently, concentration gradients or hot spots probably exist in the 376 biofilm (Figure S2), thus, bacterial cells are presumably exposed to local concentrations that would be 377 lower than the bulk concentration (Haenni et al., 2022). This configuration would limit the possibilities 378 of maintaining/acquiring resistance. Indeed, the measured amount of antibiotics corresponds to bulk 379 concentrations, which probably do not reflect the exact concentration of antibiotics around the 380 bacterial cell. Secondly, the enrichment of integrons may be related to co-selection mechanisms 381 between resistance to antibiotics and heavy metals and/or biocides that are commonly present in the 382 human-exposed environment (Matviichuk et al., 2022).

383

3.4. A world of antibiotics that raises many questions

Despite the apparent lack of correlation, the coexistence of integrons and antimicrobials makes river biofilms a microenvironment that must be monitored to effectively "fight" against antibiotic resistance. This uncharted world of bacterial communities, containing such a diversity of antibiotics and genes, also highlights the interconnectedness of urban/anthropogenic and natural ecosystems, which can play a crucial role in the emergence and spread of resistance. A recent reformulation of the classic "One Health" approach highlights the importance of such microbial communication between humans, animals, plants, and the external environment.

391 The results presented also call into question the limitations of global measurements, given the 392 structural complexity of the biofilm. A similar issue was also raised by Haenni et al. (2022), which 393 reinforces the importance of this question. It would be crucial to access concentrations near the 394 bacterial cells to determine true exposure. If the gradient hypothesis is confirmed, the bulk 395 concentrations would not allow us to anticipate the potential for selection in the biofilm. In addition, 396 the MSC and MIC values found in the literature were determined for bacteria isolated in hospitals or 397 planktonic bacteria, not for bacteria living in a biofilm. Thus, it is possible that the MSC and MIC values 398 we referred to are different from the concentrations that can select for resistance in bacteria living in 399 biofilms.

400 Another important point concerns the assessment of antibiotic resistance. Quantification of 401 integrons (and few ARGs) provides a first estimate of the presence of resistance markers, but the 402 diversity of antibiotics and potential co-selectors present in the biofilm makes it difficult to 403 demonstrate direct correlation. Thus, RNA sequencing (metatranscriptomics) will be required to assess 404 the expression levels and diversity of functionally viable resistance genes, which will allow analysis of 405 specific correlations with the presence of certain antibiotics or families. Moreover, it is necessary to 406 complement the conducted research with metagenomics, which will provide a global view of the 407 population dynamics within the biofilm and evaluate how microbial communities are structured under 408 the influence of various antimicrobial agents.

409 Conclusion

This study highlights that dispersed pollution is sufficient to contaminate biofilms to levels capable of posing a risk for the acquisition and maintenance of antibiotic resistance. Specifically, our results have shown that conditions favourable to the "selection pressure of resistant bacteria" are not only present downstream of urbanised areas but are also possible upstream and far downstream of WWTP discharges. Furthermore, our results also show that these "favourable conditions" are sustainable over time as the antibiotic concentrations in biofilms are within or exceed the MSC-MIC window several months of the year. However, this risk seems to be lower in upstream biofilms (less antibiotics at concentrations > MIC) than in biofilms in hotspots downstream of WWTPs. These results underline the need to consider the risk of antibiotics and AMR also outside the hotspots, i.e., in areas of dispersed pollution of medium intensity, which are common patterns in high- and middle-income countries.

420 As in other studies, the relationship between the presence of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance 421 descriptors could not be demonstrated. Thus, no direct correlation was found between antibiotic 422 concentrations and the abundance of integrons (Intl1, Intl3) or specific resistance genes, both 423 upstream of the WWTP discharge and in its direct vicinity (local hotspot). However, to better 424 understand the mechanism of AMR in environmental biofilms, further studies covering the study of 425 internal and external biofilm parameters are needed. In particular, this work highlights the need to 426 study the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of antibiotics within biofilms, which will help to fill gaps in 427 the assessment of the role of the environment in antimicrobial resistance and other ecotoxicological 428 effects on microbial communities.

429 Acknowledgments

430 We wish to thank Maha AL BADANY, Hiba ZIND, Jocelina PARANHOS ROSA DE VARGAS, and 431 Margaux GASCHET for their contribution to this work. This research was supported by The French 432 Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES) and the region of Nouvelle-433 Aquitaine.

434 Funding sources

Olha MATVIICHUK - received funding from Region of Nouvelle-Aquitaine Grant APR 2018 and the
French Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety (ANSES) Grant EST-2017
ABR-28.

Christophe DAGOT, Jérôme LABANOWSKI, Leslie MONDAMERT - received funding from the French
Agency for Food, Environmental and Occupational Health and Safety (ANSES) Grant EST-2017 ABR-28.
Jérôme LABANOWSKI, Leslie MONDAMERT received funding from the French Water Agency of LoireBretagne.

References

An, X. L. *et al.* (2018) 'Impact of wastewater treatment on the prevalence of integrons and the genetic diversity of integron gene cassettes', *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. American Society for Microbiology, 84(9). doi: 10.1128/aem.02766-17.

Aubertheau, E. *et al.* (2017) 'Impact of wastewater treatment plant discharge on the contamination of river biofilms by pharmaceuticals and antibiotic resistance', *Science of the Total Environment*. Elsevier B.V., 579, pp. 1387–1398. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.136.

Backhaus, T., Scholze, M. and Grimme, L. H. (2000) 'The single substance and mixture toxicity of quinolones to the bioluminescent bacterium Vibrio fischeri', *Aquatic Toxicology*, 49(1–2), pp. 49–61. doi: 10.1016/s0166-445x(99)00069-7.

Balaban, N. Q. *et al.* (2019) 'Definitions and guidelines for research on antibiotic persistence', *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. Springer US, 17(7), pp. 441–448. doi: 10.1038/s41579-019-0196-3.

Balcázar, J. L., Subirats, J. and Borrego, C. M. (2015) 'The role of biofilms as environmental reservoirs of antibiotic resistance', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6(OCT), pp. 1–9. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01216.

Barraud, O. *et al.* (2010) 'Quantitative multiplex real-time PCR for detecting class 1, 2 and 3 integrons', *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 65(8), pp. 1642–1645. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkq167.

Barraud, O. *et al.* (2014) 'Value of integron detection for predicting antibiotic resistance in patients with Gram-negative septicaemia', *International journal of antimicrobial agents*. Int J Antimicrob Agents, 44(4), pp. 351–353. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.06.008.

Bengtsson-Palme, J. and Larsson, J. (2016) 'Concentrations of antibiotics predicted to select for resistant bacteria : Proposed limits for environmental regulation', *Environment International*, 86, pp. 140–149. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2015.10.015.

Białk-Bielińska, A. *et al.* (2011) 'Ecotoxicity evaluation of selected sulfonamides', *Chemosphere*, 85(6), pp. 928–933. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.06.058.

Boxall, A. B. A. and Wilkinson, J. (2019) 'Identifying hotspots of resistance selection from antibiotic exposure in urban environments around the world.', in *SETAC Europe 29th Annual Meeting, Helsinki, Finland.*, p. 30.

De Bruijn, J. et al. (2003) Technical guidance document on risk assessment Part II. doi: 10.1002/mp.12308.

Buelow, E. *et al.* (2018) 'Limited influence of hospital wastewater on the microbiome and resistome of wastewater in a community sewerage system', *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 94(7). doi:

10.1093/femsec/fiy087.

Buelow, E. *et al.* (2020) 'Hospital discharges in urban sanitation systems : Long-term monitoring of wastewater resistome and microbiota in relationship to their eco-exposome', *Water Research X*, 7. doi: 10.1016/j.wroa.2020.100045.

Cacace, D. *et al.* (2019) 'Antibiotic resistance genes in treated wastewater and in the receiving water bodies: A pan-European survey of urban settings', *Water Research*. Elsevier Ltd, 162, pp. 320–330. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2019.06.039.

Cairns, J. *et al.* (2018) 'Ecology determines how low antibiotic concentration impacts community composition and horizontal transfer of resistance genes', *Communications Biology*. Springer US, 1(1), pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1038/s42003-018-0041-7.

Che, Y. *et al.* (2019) 'Mobile antibiotic resistome in wastewater treatment plants revealed by Nanopore metagenomic sequencing', *Microbiome 2019 7:1*. BioMed Central, 7(1), pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1186/S40168-019-0663-0.

Cheng, G. *et al.* (2019) 'Selection and dissemination of antimicrobial resistance in Agri-food production', *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control.* BioMed Central, 8(1). doi: 10.1186/S13756-019-0623-2.

Choi, K. *et al.* (2008) 'Occurrences and ecological risks of roxithromycin, trimethoprim, and chloramphenicol in the Han River, Korea', *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 27(3), pp. 711–719. doi: 10.1897/07-143.1.

Chow, L. K. M., Ghaly, T. M. and Gillings, M. R. (2021) 'A survey of sub-inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics in the environment', *Journal of Environmental Sciences*. Elsevier B.V., 99, pp. 21–27. doi: 10.1016/j.jes.2020.05.030.

Destoumieux-Garzón, D. *et al.* (2018) 'The one health concept: 10 years old and a long road ahead', *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*. Frontiers Media S.A., 5(FEB). doi: 10.3389/fvets.2018.00014.

Dincer, S., Uslu, F. M. and Delik, A. (2020) 'Antibiotic resistance in biofilm', *Bacterial Biofilms*. IntechOpen. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.92388.

Domingues, S., da Silva, G. and Nielsen, K. (2012) 'Integrons: Vehicles and pathways for horizontal dissemination in bacteria', *Mobile Genetic Elements*, 2(5), pp. 211–223. doi: 10.4161/mge.22967.

Flemming, H. *et al.* (2016) 'Biofilms : an emergent form of bacterial life', *Nature Publishing Group*. Nature Publishing Group, 14(9), pp. 563–575. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2016.94.

Gaze, W. H. et al. (2011) 'Impacts of anthropogenic activity on the ecology of class 1 integrons and

integron-associated genes in the environment', *The ISME Journal*. Nature Publishing Group, 5(8), pp. 1253–1261. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.15.

Gebreyohannes, G. *et al.* (2019) 'Challenges of intervention, treatment, and antibiotic resistance of biofilm-forming microorganisms', *Heliyon*. Elsevier Ltd, 5(8). doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02192.

Gillings, M. R. (2014) 'Integrons: Past, present, and future', *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews : MMBR*. American Society for Microbiology (ASM), 78(2), p. 277. doi: 10.1128/mmbr.00056-13.

Gillings, M. R. *et al.* (2015) 'Using the class 1 integron-integrase gene as a proxy for anthropogenic pollution', *ISME Journal*. Nature Publishing Group, 9(6), pp. 1269–1279. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2014.226.

van der Grinten, E. *et al.* (2010) 'Comparing the sensitivity of algal, cyanobacterial and bacterial bioassays to different groups of antibiotics', *Chemosphere*. Elsevier Ltd, 80(1), pp. 1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.04.011.

Gullberg, E. *et al.* (2011) 'Selection of resistant bacteria at very low antibiotic concentrations', *PLoS Pathogens*, 7(7), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002158.

Guo, X. pan *et al.* (2018) 'Biofilms as a sink for antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in the Yangtze Estuary', *Water Research*. Elsevier Ltd, 129, pp. 277–286. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2017.11.029.

Haenni, M. *et al.* (2022) 'Environmental contamination in a high-income country (France) by antibiotics, antibiotic-resistant bacteria, and antibiotic resistance genes: Status and possible causes', *Environment International*. Pergamon, 159, p. 107047. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2021.107047.

Harada, A. *et al.* (2008) 'Biological effects of PPCPs on aquatic lives and evaluation of river waters affected by different wastewater treatment levels', *Water Science and Technology*, 58(8), pp. 1541–1546. doi: 10.2166/wst.2008.742.

Hathroubi, S. *et al.* (2017) 'Biofilms: Microbial shelters against antibiotics', *Microbial Drug Resistance*, 23(2), pp. 147–156. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2016.0087.

Hershberg, R. (2017) 'Antibiotic-independent adaptive effects of antibiotic resistance mutations', *Trends in Genetics*. Elsevier Ltd, 33(8), pp. 521–528. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2017.05.003.

Huang, Z. *et al.* (2019) 'Occurrence and distribution of antibiotic resistance genes in the water and sediments of Qingcaosha Reservoir, Shanghai, China', *Environmental Sciences Europe*. SpringerOpen, 31(1), pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1186/S12302-019-0265-2.

Isidori, M. *et al.* (2005) 'Toxic and genotoxic evaluation of six antibiotics on non-target organisms', *Science of the Total Environment*, 346(1–3), pp. 87–98. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.11.017.

Karkman, A. *et al.* (2016) 'High-throughput quantification of antibiotic resistance genes from an urban wastewater treatment plant', *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. Oxford University Press, 92(3). doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiw014.

Karygianni, L. *et al.* (2020) 'Biofilm matrixome: Extracellular components in structured microbial communities', *Trends in Microbiology*. The Author(s), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2020.03.016.

Khan, S., Beattie, T. K. and Knapp, C. W. (2017) 'The use of minimum selectable concentrations (MSCs) for determining the selection of antimicrobial resistant bacteria', *Ecotoxicology*. Springer US, 26(2), pp. 283–292. doi: 10.1007/s10646-017-1762-y.

Khatoon, Z. *et al.* (2018) 'Bacterial biofilm formation on implantable devices and approaches to its treatment and prevention', *Heliyon*. Elsevier Ltd, 4(12), p. e01067. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e01067.

Kim, Y. *et al.* (2007) 'Aquatic toxicity of acetaminophen, carbamazepine, cimetidine, diltiazem and six major sulfonamides, and their potential ecological risks in Korea', *Environment International*, 33(3), pp. 370–375. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2006.11.017.

Kołodziejska, M. *et al.* (2013) 'Aquatic toxicity of four veterinary drugs commonly applied in fish farming and animal husbandry', *Chemosphere*, 92(9), pp. 1253–1259. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2013.04.057.

Labadie, P. *et al.* (2020) 'Contaminants of emerging concern in the Seine river basin: Overview of recent research', pp. 355–380. doi: 10.1007/698_2019_381.

Larsson, D. G. J. *et al.* (2018) 'Critical knowledge gaps and research needs related to the environmental dimensions of antibiotic resistance', 117(January), pp. 132–138. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2018.04.041.

Larsson, D. G. J., Pedro, C. De and Paxeus, N. (2007) 'Effluent from drug manufactures contains extremely high levels of pharmaceuticals', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 148(3), pp. 751–755. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.07.008.

Lehmann, K. *et al.* (2016) 'Trace levels of sewage effluent are sufficient to increase class 1 integron prevalence in freshwater biofilms without changing the core community', *Water Research*, 106, pp. 163–170. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2016.09.035.

Leverstein-Van Hall, M. A. *et al.* (2003) 'Multidrug resistance among enterobacteriaceae is strongly associated with the presence of integrons and is independent of species or isolate origin', *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 187(2), pp. 251–259. doi: 10.1086/345880.

Lofrano, G. et al. (2018) 'Municipal wastewater spiramycin removal by conventional treatments and

heterogeneous photocatalysis', *Science of the Total Environment*. Elsevier B.V., 624, pp. 461–469. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.12.145.

Martins, N. *et al.* (2012) 'Ecotoxicological effects of ciprofloxacin on freshwater species: Data integration and derivation of toxicity thresholds for risk assessment', *Ecotoxicology*, 21(4), pp. 1167–1176. doi: 10.1007/s10646-012-0871-x.

Matviichuk, O. *et al.* (2022) 'River biofilms microbiome and resistome responses to wastewater treatment plant effluents containing antibiotics', *Frontiers in Microbiology*. Frontiers Media S.A., 13, p. 163. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.795206/bibtex.

Le Page, G. *et al.* (2017) 'Integrating human and environmental health in antibiotic risk assessment: A critical analysis of protection goals, species sensitivity and antimicrobial resistance', *Environment International*. Elsevier, 109(May), pp. 155–169. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2017.09.013.

Pal, C. *et al.* (2017) 'Metal resistance and its association with antibiotic resistance'. Elsevier Ltd., 70, pp. 261–313. doi: 10.1016/bs.ampbs.2017.02.001.

Park, J. W. and Crowley, D. E. (2006) 'Dynamic changes in nahAc gene copy numbers during degradation of naphthalene in PAH-contaminated soils', *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 72(6), pp. 1322–1329. doi: 10.1007/s00253-006-0423-5.

Park, S. and Choi, K. (2008) 'Hazard assessment of commonly used agricultural antibiotics on aquatic ecosystems', *Ecotoxicology*, 17(6), pp. 526–538. doi: 10.1007/s10646-008-0209-x.

Patrolecco, L. *et al.* (2018) 'Persistence of the antibiotic sulfamethoxazole in river water alone or in the co-presence of ciprofloxacin', *Science of the Total Environment*. Elsevier B.V., 640–641, pp. 1438–1446. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.025.

Proia, L. *et al.* (2016) 'Occurrence and persistence of antibiotic resistance genes in river biofilms after wastewater inputs in small rivers', *Environmental Pollution*. Elsevier, 210, pp. 121–128. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2015.11.035.

Pu, Y. *et al.* (2019) 'Could benthic biofilm analyses be used as a reliable proxy for freshwater environmental health?', *Environmental Pollution*. Elsevier Ltd, 252, pp. 440–449. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2019.05.111.

Raghupathi, P. K. *et al.* (2018) 'Synergistic interactions within a multispecies biofilm enhance individual species protection against grazing by a pelagic protozoan', *Frontiers in Microbiology*. Frontiers Media S.A., 8(JAN). doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02649.

Roche, M. et al. (2016) 'Misuse of antibiotics reserved for hospital settings in outpatients: a prospective

clinical audit in a university hospital in Southern France', *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*. Elsevier, 48(1), pp. 96–100. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.03.015.

Sentenac, H. *et al.* (2022) 'The significance of biofilms to human, animal, plant and ecosystem health', *Functional Ecology*. John Wiley and Sons Inc, 36(2), pp. 294–313. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13947/suppinfo.

Stalder, T. *et al.* (2012) 'Integron involvement in environmental spread of antibiotic resistance', 3(April), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00119.

Stalder, T. *et al.* (2014) 'Quantitative and qualitative impact of hospital effluent on dissemination of the integron pool', *ISME Journal*, 8(4), pp. 768–777. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.189.

Stanton, I. *et al.* (2020) 'Evolution of antibiotic resistance at low antibiotic concentrations including selection below the minimal selective concentration', *Communications Biology*. Nature Research, 3(1). doi: 10.1038/s42003-020-01176-w.

Subirats, J. *et al.* (2017) 'Wastewater pollution differently affects the antibiotic resistance gene pool and biofilm bacterial communities across streambed compartments', *Molecular Ecology*, 26(20), pp. 5567–5581. doi: 10.1111/mec.14288.

UNEP (no date) Antimicrobial resistance: a global threat. Available at: https://www.unenvironment.org/explore-topics/chemicals-waste/what-we-do/emergingissues/antimicrobial-resistance-global-threat (Accessed: 9 December 2020).

Uruén, C. *et al.* (2021) 'Biofilms as promoters of bacterial antibiotic resistance and tolerance', *Antibiotics*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI), 10(1), pp. 1–36. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10010003.

US EPA (2020) *Technical overview of ecological risk assessment: Risk characterization*. Available at: https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-science-and-assessing-pesticide-risks/technical-overview-ecological-risk-assessment-risk (Accessed: 16 August 2020).

Wales, A. D. and Davies, R. H. (2015) 'Co-Selection of resistance to antibiotics, biocides and heavy metals, and its relevance to foodborne pathogens', *Antibiotics*. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI), 4(4), p. 567. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics4040567.

Zhang, L. *et al.* (2018) 'Coexisting sediments and suspended particles change the sorption of lindane and ciprofloxacin in waters', *Environmental Chemistry Letters*. Springer International Publishing, 16(3), pp. 1043–1048. doi: 10.1007/s10311-018-0715-x.

Zheng, W. et al. (2020) 'Clinical class 1 integron-integrase gene – A promising indicator to monitor the

abundance and elimination of antibiotic resistance genes in an urban wastewater treatment plant', *Environment International*. Elsevier Ltd, 135. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2019.105372.

Zhuang, M. *et al.* (2021) 'Distribution of antibiotic resistance genes in the environment', *Environmental Pollution*. Elsevier Ltd, 285, p. 117402. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117402.

Zounková, R. *et al.* (2011) 'Complex evaluation of ecotoxicity and genotoxicity of antimicrobials oxytetracycline and flumequine used in aquaculture', *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 30(5), pp. 1184–1189. doi: 10.1002/etc.486.