

Photocatalysts for CO2 Reduction and Computational Insight

Yakubu Adekunle Alli, Peter Olusakin Oladoye, Abdulmujeeb T Onawole, Hazleen Anuar, Sheriff Adewuyi, Daniel Ogunbiyi, Karine Philippot

▶ To cite this version:

Yakubu Adekunle Alli, Peter Olusakin Oladoye, Abdulmujeeb T Onawole, Hazleen Anuar, Sheriff Adewuyi, et al.. Photocatalysts for CO2 Reduction and Computational Insight. Fuel, 2023, 244, pp.128101. 10.1016/j.fuel.2023.128101. hal-04031669

HAL Id: hal-04031669

https://hal.science/hal-04031669

Submitted on 16 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



1	Photocatalysts for CO ₂ Reduction and Computational Insight
2	Yakubu Adekunle Allia,b,e**, Peter Olusakin Oladoyec,*, Abdulmujeeb T. Onawoled
3	Hazleen Anuar ^e , Sheriff Adewuyi ^f , Olutobi Daniel Ogunbiyi ^c , Karine Philippot ^a
4	^a Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination du CNRS, UPR8241, Université de Toulouse,
5	UPS, INPT, Toulouse cedex 4 F-31077, France.
6	
7	^b Department of Chemical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Computing, Ahman Pategi
8	University, Patigi-Kpada Road, Patigi, Kwara State, Nigeria
9	^c Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida International University, 11200
10	SW 8th St, Miami, FL, 33199, USA
11	^d Department of Chemistry, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, KFUPM,
12	Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia
13	^e Department of Manufacturing and Materials Engineering, Kulliyyah of Engineering,
14	International Islamic University Malaysia, Jalan Gombak, 53100 Kuala Lumpur,
15	Malaysia
16	^f Department of Chemistry, Federal University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Ogun State
17	Abeokuta, 2240, Nigeria
18	*Corresponding author's e-mail address: poladoye@fiu.edu
19	*Corresponding author's address: Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida
20	International University, 11200 SW 8th St, Miami, FL, 33199, USA
21	
22	**Corresponding author's e-mail address: yakubu.alli@lcc-toulouse.fr
23	**Corresponding author's address: LCC-CNRS, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS,
24	205 Route de Narbonne, BP44099, 31077 CEDEX 4 Toulouse, France
25	
26	Abstract
27	Global warming is caused by excessive CO2 production, and reducing CO2 emissions is
28	a viable way to counteract this. It has been extensively studied how light-driver
29	processes, particularly photocatalytic systems, can transform solar energy into chemical
30	energy. In the present review exercise, the mechanism of CO ₂ reduction is described
31	using calculations based on density functional theory (DFT), and comparisons are also
32	made with regard to typical light-driven devices. Additionally, the traits of potential

- 33 materials—including metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), metal complexes,
- 34 semiconductors, Z-scheme (metal complexes/semiconductors, two semiconductors,
- 35 Dye-sensitized semiconductors) and organic semiconductors etc.—are described in
- 36 depth to show how these traits affect the CO₂ adsorption, activation, and desorption
- 37 processes. Also summarized are a number of methods for enhancing the selectivity and
- 38 efficiency of catalytic reactions. Lastly, the challenges and future outlook of light-
- 39 driven reactions for CO₂ reduction are presented.
- 40 **Keywords**: Photocatalysts; CO₂ reduction; Semiconductors; Z-schemes; MOFs

41 Table of contents

- 42 1. Introduction
- 2. Computational Studies of Photocatalysts for CO₂ Reduction
- 3. Fundamentals mechanism of photocatalysis
- 45 4. Metal-Complex Photocatalysts
- 46 5. Metal oxide photocatalysts
- 47 6. Metal-Organic Framework Photocatalysts
- 48 6.1 CO₂ capture by MOFs
- 49 6.2 The utilization of MOFs in CO₂ photoconversion
- 50 6.2.1 Mechanism of CO₂ photo-reduction by MOFs
- 51 6.2.2 Challenges of MOFs synthesis and application
- 52 7. Z-scheme photocatalysts
- 53 8. S-scheme photocatalysts
- 54 9. Organic photocatalysts
- 55 10. Conclusions and future outlook

1. Introduction

56

- 58 The global increase in population has a correspondingly warranted an increase in the
- 59 usage of fossil fuels for the existence of mankind. This is a result of the need to use
- some technologies powered and/or driven via the use of various forms of fossil fuels,
- with associated emission of CO₂ into the atmosphere at a yearly estimated emission rate
- of 3.0×10^{13} kg per year [1]. Simply put, this large use of fossil fuels has only been able
- to satisfy the world energy demand by ~81% [2]. The temperature rises due to this huge
- 64 CO₂ input into the atmosphere causing a number of harms to the global populace such

as global warming, flooding, etc. This was corroborated at the Paris Conference held by the United Nations Agreement, which highlighted that the combustion of fossil fuels, leading to the emission of CO₂ and stored in the atmosphere, could increase the global temperature of the atmosphere owing to the unequal intensity of incoming and outgoing solar energy [3]. Hence, in order to ameliorate the various health and environmental impacts, due to CO₂ emission, various reduction and/or transformation technologies have been designed by researchers.

Amongst the available technologies that have been applied to convert or reduce CO₂ are photocatalytic transformation [4,5], thermal conversion, electrocatalytic conversion [6,7], biological approach (fixation) [8,9], electrochemical transformation [10,11] and so on. In terms of efficiency, the application of catalytic or thermal transformation is limited due to the involvement of high pressure and temperature with associated or elevated process operational cost; although the two technologies have been found to be effective [12,13]. The efficiency of the electrochemical conversion of CO₂ to value-added hydrocarbon fuels is low and limits its widest application [14]. Likewise, the biological approach is impractical because of the requirements of enzyme production and the need for regeneration that are germane to the functionality of the process [15,16]. However, photocatalytic conversion has overwhelming merits when compared to others, as it primarily makes use of solar energy and photocatalyst for conversion.

Photocatalytic conversion has been described as an "artificial photosynthetic" route for the transformation of CO₂ to useful hydrocarbon and hydrogen fuels. In this vein, various previous studies have reported the use of a photocatalytic approach for the reduction and/or conversion of CO₂ [17] to methanoic acid (HCOOH) [18,19], methane (CH₄) [20,21], methanal (HCHO) [22] carbon monoxide (CO) and [23,24] and methanol (CH₃OH) [7,25]. Sequel to the applicability, effectiveness, eco-friendliness, cheapness and practicability of this method, several authors have worked on and/or reported the use of different photocatalysts to capture and reduce, by conversion of CO₂, to the above-mentioned products via "artificial photosynthetic" route. For instance, several researchers have worked on the use of metal-organic frameworks, organics, z-scheme, semiconductors, and metal complexes as photocatalysts for CO₂ conversion. In addition, a number of studies have been done in the use of computational studies to investigate the effectiveness or efficacy of photocatalysts for CO₂ conversion

of Density Functional Theory (DFT) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools such as 98 Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL). These tools are and becoming 99 widely used and might be integrated into the conventional workflow research workflow 100 101 of photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ conversion. 102 Recently, Sharma et al. published a review article on photocatalytic conversion or reduction of CO₂ using ZnO/carbon hybrid [26]. Wang et al. evaluated research papers 103 published on CO₂ reduction using copper-based photocatalysts [27]. Devi et al. 104 105 presented previous reports, by evaluation, on photoelectrochemical, electrochemical and photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ [28]. This article either narrow their evaluation to a 106 107 single material as photocatalyst, or compare a limited number of methods. However, in this review, we reported on the usefulness, effectiveness and applicability of the 108 computational approach in photo-catalytically reducing and/or transforming CO₂ as 109 described in section 2 of this article. We explained briefly the fundamental mechanism 110 of photocatalysis in section 3. Furthermore, detailed formation gathered from scattered 111 literatures on metal complexes, metal oxides, z-scheme, S-scheme and organic as 112 enviable photocatalysts were thoroughly evaluated and presented in sections 4, 5, 7, 8, 113 114 and 9 respectively. Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), which are porous materials for 115 adsorption, and which also possess photocatalytic properties [29], were discussed in 116 section 6, by evaluating their CO₂ capture capacity, CO₂ photoconversion, mechanism of CO₂ photo-reduction and the challenges of MOFs synthesis and application. Finally, 117 118 the review concludes by advocating the use of theoretical (computational) studies in the 119 conduction of experiments for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction or conversion, in order to 120 have a prior understanding of the effectiveness of photocatalysts to be employed for the 121 transformation process.

in the presence of solar energy, before experimental work is done. Examples are the use

97

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

2. Computational studies of photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction

Computational studies have become quite popular in most recent studies involving the application of materials that have photosensitizing properties for CO₂ reduction [1–4], particularly the Density Functional Theory (DFT) method making it an indispensable tool in present-day research. This is no surprise as DFT studies complement experimental works by providing atomistic insights into how the molecules studied have photosensitizing abilities capable of reducing CO₂. DFT studies help to understand the mechanism of action or pathway of CO₂ reduction by the studied materials. This

aids the modification and development of better materials. DFT has many tools including Geometry optimization, electrostatic potential maps, TD-DFT (Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory) for UV-Visible calculated spectra, molecular orbital maps, and intrinsic reaction coordinate systems, free energy profiles which are used in studying these materials. However, these are often used for studying molecules. On the other hand, Periodic DFT can study larger systems which include the molecules on catalytic surfaces.

Geometry optimization is usually the first calculation carried out on the studied system. In the case where the studied material is made up of complexes that contain transition metals. This can be sometimes challenging as a trade-off is often made between accuracy and computational costs this is further exacerbated by the spin-state energetics [5–8]. The B3LYP functional [9] with the 6-31G(d,p) basis sets is a renowned and accurate level of theory and basis set respectively for non-metal atoms in the system whilst the TZVP (Triple Zeta Valence with Polarization) basis set is more reliable for metals even though it comes at an additional computational cost [10,11]. Geometry optimizations are often used to compare and validate the bond parameters (bond lengths and angle) to experimental crystal structures derived from Single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The molecular orbitals consist of the HOMO (Highest Occupied Molecular Orbital) and Lowest Unoccupied Molecular Orbital (LUMO) and are also used in calculating energy gaps and deducing the wavelength of the excitation energies of the studied material.

For instance, in the DFT calculations done by Zhou et al [12] on MCOF-Ti₆Cu₃, a metallic cluster catalyst deduced from the closeness of the HOMO and LUMO the cluster could be excited by infrared light (1704.16 nm) excitation energy. The HOMO-LUMO maps also help to understand the distribution of electron clouds within the studied material and the transfer of electrons during the excitation process. This can be quite useful in confirming what was observed from in situ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) experimental measurements. Zhou et al were able to prove with the aid of DFT that reduction occurred on the Cu cluster whilst oxidation occurred on the Ti cluster. DFT studies are also used to investigate the non-covalent interactions (NCI) in the metallization of porphyrinic photosensitizers. Yin et al [13] employed DFT to investigate the NCI between porphyrin-based hydrogen-bonded organic framework molecules and different metals (Co, Ni, Cu, Zn). Their result showed that upon

metallization of the porphyrinic material the core changes the π - π stacking interaction and consequently the NCI between interlayer adjacent porphyrin rings. This was further confirmed by the orbital overlaps when observing the HOMO-LUMO maps. Summarily, this showed that metallization bestowed the porphyrins with different catalytic activities useful for CO₂ photoreduction. Amongst the porphyrin-metallization studied, the one with cobalt showed optimal performance. However, the recent work by Torre et al [14] used DFT calculations to predict that the source of different behaviour observed in Fe²⁺ and Co²⁺ complexes is the electronic configuration of the reduced species. That is from Co²⁺ to Co⁺, the reduction is predicted to be metal-centred leading to an observed loss in CO2 reduction reaction (CO2RR) activity and increased Hydrogen Evolution Reaction (HER) from a formal Co⁺ than Co²⁺. Further reduction from Co⁺ to Co⁰ is accompanied by significant electron rearrangement to a metal-ligand coupling interaction in the form of an open shell doublet with a formal Co²⁺ center bound to a twice reduced ligand, in this case (tpvPY2Me**)2. Though experimental analysis such as electrolysis and photocatalysis experiments suggest that this reduced specie is capable of reducing CO₂ to CO but not stable which leads to the formation of a nanoparticle film. When used in metal-ligand exchange coupling, the understanding of this instability caused by the open-shell doublet is due to DFT calculations. Figure 1 shows the DFT optimized structure of the cobalt metal-ligand.



163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176


177

178

179

180

181



183

184

185

Figure 1. (a) DFT optimized structure of Co^+ from calculations using $\omega B97X-D$ functionals and CH_3CN solvent adapted from coordinates in [14] and (b) unit cell of TNP-MOF adapted from CDCC structure in [15]

187 Also, DFT particularly Time-Dependent Density Functional Theory (TD-DFT) has been used to understand the light absorption properties of Tetrakis (4-carboxbiphenyl) 188 napthoporphyrin linker in metal organic frameworks (TNP-MOF) used in 189 photosensitive CO₂RR [16]. The unit cell of TNP-MOF is illustrated in Figure 1. The 190 191 TDDFT calculated absorption spectrum showed that the Q-band of TNP was much 192 higher than other ligands studied and which was in agreement with what was observed 193 experimentally from steady-state absorption spectra using Ultraviolet photoelectron 194 spectroscope. Ditto applied to the gaps between HOMO and LUMO orbitals which was 195 in concordance with the change trend observed in band positions experimentally. A linear proportional relationship was observed between the extension of π -conjugated 196 197 system of the linker and the delocalization of the π conjugated electron leading to a red shift in absorption of the linkers. The theoretical results suggested that the energy levels 198 199 of the linkers studied in this work [16] were feasible for the reduction of CO₂ into HCOOH. 200

201 Another relatively new computational method besides DFT that is applied in photosensitive CO₂ reduction is the application of Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools such 202 203 as Machine Learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL). In a recent work, Li et al [17] 204 applied an ML classification algorithm to predict catalytic performance of MOFs on a dataset derived from about 100 published experimental papers. This work discovered 205 206 that the following metals -Mn, V, Cu, Ni, Zr and Y alongside these ligands (tactmb, tdcbpp, TCPP and H₃L) could be combined to make 24 MOFs which are potential 207 catalyst for CO₂ reduction. This work tested and compared five ML algorithms-208 Support Vector Classifier (SVC), K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN), Decision Trees (DT), 209 210 Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) and Neural Network (NN) on 1311 hypothetical 211 MOFs. The SVC and NN had the best metric scores with respect to performance from 212 their F1- score and accuracy. The implementation of AI would help facilitate the discovery of new photosensitive materials capable of CO2 reduction. However, it is 213 214 important to note that computational techniques serve a complementary purpose to aid experimental work in material discovery. 215

3. Fundamental mechanism of the photocatalysis

216

217 Photocatalysis is considered to be an artificial form of photosynthesis whereby solar 218 energy is harvested for the conversion of CO₂. The pioneer work regarding 219 photocatalysis was reported by Halmann and his group using p-GaAs as photoelectrocatalyst over a cathodic bias [18]. The reduction of CO₂ by photocatalysis is similar in mechanism to the natural photosynthesis, which can be explained using three separate but linked steps: (i) the absorption of solar energy (ii) the separation of charges and (iii) surface redox reaction [19]. The light absorption induces light excited 'photohole and photo-electron' formation in a light harvesting material (semiconductors) [20]. First, a light energy of equal or greater energy is supplied to promote electron(s) from the photocatalyst valence band (VB) to its conduction band (CB) to produce photo-hole (h^+) and photo-electron (e^-) , respectively and the effectiveness of the semiconductor depended on its design in terms of band gap energy [21]. The second stage are, however, characterized with different processes, like transfer of photogenerated charges to the surface, in-band transitions, electron-hole recombination and others [22]. The last stage describes the participation of the surface charges in redox reaction, whereby the photo-electrons in the CB induces the reduction of CO₂ to various products. Also, before reduction of CO₂ takes place, the mechanism of reduction entails the coordination of the molecule (CO₂) to photocatalyst metal center for activation and metal adduct formation and then followed by the transferring of proton to metal adducts intermediates to form different products, depending on the nature of photocatalyst used [23].

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

247

248

249

Thermodynamically, due to shorter period of relaxation of electron-hole, it is less likely to have equilibrium between the forbidden bands (energy difference between the bottom of CB and top of VB) than an internal equilibrium existing within the energy levels [24]. Representing the electronic states in equilibrium (quasi-equilibrium states) as given in equations 1, 2 and 3, it is possible deduce the thermodynamic feasibility of redox reaction on catalyst surface [25]:

245
$$F_p = VB + KT ln \frac{p}{Nv} - - - - - - - - - 2$$

$$\Delta G = -|F_n - F_p| = -E_g - KT ln \frac{pn}{NcNv} - - - - - - - - 3$$

VB and CB have their usual meanings while Nv and Nc means effective density of states for VB and CB, respectively. K, p, and n represents Boltzmann constant, hole and electron concentrations, respectively. Inferentially, according to equation 3, when

the difference between pseudo-fermi levels, ΔG becomes more negative and the photocatalytic redox reaction is more spontaneous. Furthermore, the position of CB dictates CO₂ reduction thermodynamics. **Figure 2** reveals an overview of the tendency as well as the standard potentials of different types of semiconductors for production of value-added-products from CO₂ reduction.




Figure 2: ΔG and semiconductor thermodynamics with and without illumination (a); semiconductor band potential and their applicability for forming value-added-products (b). Adapted with permission from [26]. Copyright (2018) Elsevier

4. Metal-complex photocatalysts

Experimentally, the application of metal-complex photocatalysts has attracted attention and research in abating CO_2 and findings revealed that it is possible to precisely control the functionality of metal complexes, including their photophysical, electrochemical, and catalytic properties, by designing them at the molecular level [27]. As such, the photocatalytic activity of metal complexes for CO_2 reduction into hydrocarbon fuels has been explored and reported in various previous studies. For example, Hawecker and colleagues[28] for instance, used [fac-Re(bpy) (CO)₃Cl] (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) to demonstrate one of the first metal complexes as an effective homogeneous catalyst for selective and sustained photochemical reduction of CO_2 to CO in 1986. The researchers

measured a quantum yield of 14% and a faradic efficiency of 98% in the presence of additional Cl ions. Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction using metal complexes has evolved since then, and new viewpoints have fundamentally transformed the field of study.

272 It is important to mention that a photosensitizer and a CO₂-reduction catalyst are the two main components of the bulk of contemporary metal-complex-based homogeneous 273 274 photocatalytic systems. As an illustration, Kumagai and his colleagues [29] recently developed a mixed system of a ring-shaped Re(I) trinuclear complex as a 275 photosensitizer and fac-[Re(bpy)(CO)₃{OC₂H₄N(C₂H₄OH)₂}] as a catalyst which 276 277 selectively photocatalyzed CO₂ reduction to CO with the highest quantum yield of 82% and a turnover number (TON) of over 600. The group also investigated a Cu(I) 278 279 dinuclear complex as a photosensitizer and fac-Mn(bpy)(CO)3Br or Fe(phen)2(SCN)₂ 280 as catalysts (Figure 3). The total quantum yield of CO and HCOOH from CO₂ was 281 57% with TON CO + HCOOH > 1300 against fac-Mn(bpy)(CO)3Br] and the quantum yield of CO recorded against Fe(phen)2(SCN)₂ was 7% with TON_{CO} >270. In another 282 example, Takeda and co-workers [30] designed CO₂ reduction photocatalysts 283 $[Cu_2(P_2bph)_2]^{2+}$ (CuPS) (P₂bph 284 employing 4,7-diphenyl-2,9-285 di(diphenylphosphinotetramethylene)-1,10-phenanthroline) as a redox photosensitizer and fac-Mn(X_2 bpy)(CO)₃Br (Mn(4X)) (X2bpy = 4,4'- X_2 -2,2'-bipyridine (X = -H and 286 -OMe) or Mn(6mes) (6mes = 6,6'-(mesityl)2-2,2'-bipyridine)) as the catalyst. The CO₂ 287 288 reduction process was 95% selective, the overall quantum yield of CO₂ reduction products was 57%, and the Mn catalyst had a TON >1300. 289

However, because such transfer necessitates physical contact between the photosensitizer and the catalyst, electron transfer from the one-electron-reduced species to the catalyst is frequently the rate-determining step in two-component homogeneous systems like these. Despite the fact that these metal complexes demonstrated impressive photocatalytic activity for CO₂ reduction, studies have revealed that metal complexes suffer a setback when it comes to inducing a continuous selective reaction in a mixture of reactants and products due to the different reaction conditions required for CO₂ reduction.

290

291

292

293

294

295

296




Figure 3. Mechanism of photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ by Cu₂Hph as photosensitizer and fac-Mn(MeO-bpy)(CO)₃Br or Fe(phen)2(SCN)₂ as catalysts. Adapted with permission from [29]. Copyright (2022) American Chemical Society

5. Metal Oxide Photocatalysts

Fujishima and Honda [31] first demonstrated the use of metal oxides as a photocatalyst for CO₂ reduction in the 1970s, using a rutile-TiO₂-based photoelectrochemical cell for water splitting. Since then, several studies have been published that use various approaches to improve the photocatalytic performance of semiconductors for CO₂ reduction, such as the addition of cocatalysts or other surface modifications. From the available studies, semiconductors offer superior photo-oxidation activity, stability and recyclability [32]. One conspicuous advantage of semiconductor photocatalysts compared to its counterpart (metal complex) is that it can use water as an electron donor under the conditions employed for photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ [33]. However, the reduction of CO₂ in an aqueous solution is limited by low quantum efficiency due to preferential H₂ production under visible light.

Several metal oxide semiconductors have been employed in the recent decade to reduce CO₂ emissions by employing water as an electron donor [34,35]. The huge band gap, however, prevents it from using visible or solar radiation. For instance, Guo and coworkers [36] demonstrated photoreduction capability of AgTaO₃ for CO₂ reduction utilizing H₂O as an electron source. AgTaO₃ with Ag cocatalyst loaded by photo deposition demonstrated the maximum activity for CO generation among those with Pt, Rh, or Cu cocatalysts after adjusting the synthetic conditions and performing different

surface modification with variety of cocatalysts. When core/shell structured metal nanoparticles were combined with Cr₂O₃, AgTaO₃ photocatalyst demonstrated CH₄ evolution activity in photocatalytic CO₂ conversion, indicating that Cr₂O₃ species accelerated the hydrogenation process of CO₂ reduction. The results of this study showed that cocatalyst decoration changed conversion products and was crucial for multielectron photoreduction of CO₂. In another study, Liu and co-researchers [37] presented a way for improving the solar fuel generation rate of TiO₂ by loading cocatalysts, specifically bimetallic Cu-Pt and CuO, through two-step photodeposition procedures. The photocatalytic H₂ generation rate of the Cu-Pt/TiO₂-CuO sample under simulated sunlight conditions is 173.7 µmol/g.h. For photocatalytic CO₂ reduction by water, the Cu-Pt/TiO₂-CuO sample displays the release of H₂, O₂, CO, CH₄, and CH₃OH, with CO being the predominant reaction product. The O₂ and CO yields for LED-405 and LED-425 are typically 4.2 and 6.2 and 2.1 and 3.2 µmol/h.g, respectively; the CO₂-to-CO conversion ratios for separated carriers are 73.8 and 76.19%. MXene materials have recently been discovered to be ideal co-catalysts and/or catalyst supports for improving semiconductor performance for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction. According to a recent review work carried out by Shen and co-workers [38], the inclusion of M-Xene as catalyst support has been discovered to improve the separation of electrons and positive holes, improve the light absorption and photochemical effect, enhancing the adsorption and activation of CO₂ and inhibition of photo-corrosion. In one of the earlier computational studies of Mxene as a potential catalyst/cocatalyst for CO₂ reduction, Zhang et al. [39] used first-principles simulations to examine CO₂ catalytic reduction processes at oxygen vacancies in three Mxene (Ti₂CO₂, V₂CO₂ and Ti₃C₂O₂). The group considered four potential single-carbon organic compounds (HCHO, CH₃OH, CH₄, and HCOOH). Their findings show that oxygen vacancies at the surface of Mxene play a crucial role in the reduction of CO₂. They, therefore, proposed that sufficient oxygen vacancies can be introduced to the MXene surface by CO and H₂. Ti₂CO₂ has the best catalytic performance for the reduction of CO₂ among the three types of MXene. This computational investigation gives a new insight into the emerging application of Mxene in the area of photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ into hydrocarbon fuels which later come to manifestation.

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

In a recent paper, for example, Li and co-authors [40] reported a ternary material containing MXene as an efficient photocatalyst (**Figure 4**). According to the group findings, bronze TiO₂ (TiO₂(B)) Schottky junction composites were created using Ti₃C₂ MXene and electrostatic self-assembly. The surface of the TiO₂(B)/Ti₃C₂ MXene was then further covered with Ag₃PO₄ quantum dots through in situ self-growth, and Ag₃PO₄ joined TiO₂(B) and Ti₃C₂ MXene to create heterojunctions and Schottky junctions, respectively. These functional connections worked together to create the photocatalyst TiO₂(B)/Ti₃C₂ MXene/Ag₃PO₄. Under the synergistic influence of these functional connections, the mobility and quick separation capabilities of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs of the composite photocatalyst were greatly increased, and the recombination of photogenerated electron-hole pairs was successfully prevented [41]. Additionally, light absorption performance has been increased. As a result, the composite photocatalyst demonstrated exceptional photocatalytic activity.

Furthermore, in the search for effective and eco-friendly semiconductor photocatalysts for the reduction of CO₂ to different hydrocarbon fuels, some scientists have incorporated biological enzymes into semiconductors [42]. For example, White et al. [43] showed that [NiFe] CODH II from *Carboxydothermus hydrogenoformans* can be effectively activated by light to reduce CO₂ to CO. To do this, the researchers developed a hybrid photosystem combining CdSe/CdS dot-2in-rod nanocrystals and CODH II. The use of a low-potential redox mediator to aid electron transport resulted in quantum yields of up to 19% and turnover frequencies of 9 s⁻¹. These findings establish a new standard for effectively lowering CO₂ emissions using photocatalytic enzyme systems.




Figure 4. Mechanism of enhanced photocatalytic properties of semiconductor by MXene. Reproduced with the permission of [40]

6. Metal-Organic Framework Photocatalysts

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are described as three-dimensional structures having multiple linkers as organic molecules and inorganic nodes [44,45]. Fundamentally, photocatalysts are semi-conducting materials, in which upon irradiation, electrons (e^-) in the material are excited from the valence band to the conduction band[46,47]. The migration of electron, due to the reception of light energy, usually leave behind a positive vacant hole (h^+) in the valence band part of the photocatalyst as shown in **Figure 5**[48]. Here, the electron moves from HOMO (valence band) to LUMO (conduction band) and this serves as the basic feature of any semi-conducting substance like MOFs. To function effectively as a catalyst, the interfacial electron transfers as well as the separation of the e^- and h^+ requires optimization [49], else the separated charges may recombine and thus, resulting in energy-wasting process [50–52].




Figure 5. Separated charges on MOF for CO₂ photo-reduction process [48]

In chemical and petrochemical industrial processes, zeolites have found catalytic application sequel to its chemical and thermal robustness, in addition with the easy formation of the acidic site [53]. Zeolites can be synthesized and it can be found occurring naturally. In recent years, attention has shifted towards the use of synthetic form as the size and shape can be sufficiently altered to give the desired materials by simply adjusting synthesis condition like time length, temperature required for synthetic zeolite formation and Si/Al ratio [54,55]. Characteristically, zeolites have negatively charged tetrahedral aluminoxy which is loosely attached to the zeolite skeletal structure and commonly counter-balanced by Group 1A or 2A elements, like Ca²⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺ and so on [56]. Zeolite basicity is enhanced when more aluminum atoms are present in aluminosilicate framework; and the more the basicity of zeolite, the high the capacity to capture CO₂ [57].

Photochemically, zeolites are inert, behave as insulating material and are therefore not excited when irradiated [58,59]. When compared, MOFs are also descried as poor conductors due to the presence of organic ligand p-orbital conjugation, however, scientists have attempted to incorporate electrical conductivity into MOFs following the achievement of Allendorf in applying MOFs as microelectronic material [60]. MOFs are characterized as hybrid materials with tunable structure which confers on them versatility than any organic or inorganic semi-conducting materials [60,61]. The light absorbing portion of MOFs can be linked to the organic linkers that are connected to the inorganic part of MOFs (metal nodes); here, the organic linkers are receptive to light and induce the activation of quantum dots [62]

The photocatalytic properties are exhibited, just as in the case of semiconductors, via ligand-to-metal-charge transfer (LMCT) and $\pi \to \pi^*$ electron transition in organic linkers in the visible (400-800 nm) and ultraviolet (200-400 nm) region of the electromagnetic spectrum, similar to semiconductors [63]. Pure zeolite, on the other hand, are only capable of absorbing light at wavelength lower than 220 nm [64]. Garcia et al. investigation into the property of MOFs confirmed the semiconducting features of MOFs via LMCT which resulted into separation of charges to form e^- and h^+ [65]. They found that photoinduced electron transfer processes to viologen produces the corresponding viologen radical cation, while holes of MOF-5 were effective at oxidizing N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-p-phenylenediamine. The detection of delocalized electrons was observed by using laser flash photolysis technique, revealing microseconds residence time of electrons in the conduction band (CB). Findings have revealed that, besides LMCT, $\pi \to \pi^*$ in MOFs ligand is suggested as a feasible mechanism for the semiconducting properties of some MOFs [66,67].

431 6.1 CO₂ capture by MOFs

The simultaneous capturing and storage of carbon dioxide as well as its transformation to fuels are now the primary focus of research in CO₂ reduction, in order to tackle the global climate change [68–71]. The dominant capturing approach is via chemisorption onto the functionality on the MOFs. Flaig and coworkers observed that there was 2.3 times increment in the capturing of CO₂ by IR-MOF-74-III-CH₂NH₂ at lower CO₂ pressures and it as ascribed to promotion of chemisorption of CO₂ due to the presence of two alkyl-amines per organic linker in the MOF [72]. **Figure 6** shows a typical mechanism for CO₂ capture by IR-MOF-74-III-(CH₂NH₂)₂. The three basic approaches employed by using MOFs for the reduction of CO₂ emission coming from fuel combustion in power plants oxy-fuel combustion, post-combustion and pre-combustion capturing [48] The choice of any of the aforementioned methods solely depends on a number of factors like CO₂ concentration and its partial pressure in flue gas, and the merits and demerits of each of the approach. The comparison of the approaches is presented in **Table 1**.




Figure 6. Schematic representation of mechanistic CO₂ capture by IR-MOF-74-III- (CH₂NH₂)₂ (Mg2(2',5'-bis(aminomethyl)-3,3"-dioxido-[1,1':4',1"-terphen-yl]-4,4"-dicarboxylate) Adapted with the permission [72]. Copyright (2017) Elsevier

Table 1. Merits and demerits various approached for CO₂ capture [48]

Merits									
Entry	Post-combustion	Pre-combustion	Oxy-combustion						
1.	Frequently employed methods	Less volume of water is	No need for onsite-						
	in CO ₂ capture	required in this process,	operation and can						
		compared to post-	fit into an existing						
		combustion method	power plant						
2.	Plant efficiency is enhanced	Synthetic gas can find	It requires a low-						
	and CO ₂ emissions reduced	application in powering	cost to capture CO ₂ .						
	due to development of	turbine cycle	For instance, more						
	powdered coal systems		than of CO ₂ can be						
			captured by this						
			low-cost approach						
3.	Research and developments	This approach requires a	Pollutants released						
	are ongoing to further	low-energy for CO ₂	by this approach						
	improve the adsorption	capture compared to	are at low-cost.						
	capacity of adsorbents and	other methods							
	capturing instrument								
4.	Suitable for current and future	Often applied in	Large volumes of						
	coal-powered power plants	industries	steams are						
			generated						

Demei	Demerits							
Entry	Post-combustion	Pre-combustion	Oxy-combustion					
1.	The efficiency is negatively	Supporting systems	Scaling-up requires					
	affected due to steam	extensively needed	further findings or					
	extraction that reduce the		experiments					
	flow into turbine							
2.	For high CO ₂ capture, this	Only suitable for new	Leakage of CO ₂ is					
	method requires large volume	power plants	most possible					
	of water and a high							
	circulation volume.							
3.	At ambient condition, the	In this method, energy is	High energy is					
	partial pressure of CO ₂ is low	majorly lost	needed					
4.	Reduction in efficiency as a	Expensive to procure	Overall, it is not					
	result of application of amine	equipment	cost-effective					
	technologies in this approach							

Previous studies have reported the features of MOFs that are suitable for CO₂ capture. For instance, it was mentioned that MOF for CO₂ capture should have enhanced porosity, CO₂ adsorption capacity and selectivity [73]. Also, the authors mentioned that a perfect material for CO₂ capture should possess an extremely reduced uptake ability for other gasses like nitrogen and methane, when compared with its adsorption capacity for CO₂ [73] According to Kang et al. in any industrial process involving the separation of gases, it is usually a challenging task. However, MOFs have proven to be suitable materials to be used in gas separation applications in industries [74]. MOF materials' unique structural and chemical features, such as pore porosity, open metal sites, and polar functional groups in the pore channel size, have informed their effectiveness in capturing CO₂ [75]**Table 2** presents some examples of MOFs, their CO₂ uptake capacity and operating conditions.

Table 2. A compiled list of some MOFs and the adsorption capacity for CO₂

Entry	Type of MOFs	Operating conditions	CO ₂ adsorption	References
			capacity	
1.	UMCM-1-NH ₂ -	T=25 °C; P= 18 bar	19.8 mmol/g	[76]

	MA			
2.	A core–shell MOF	T=0 °C; P= 1 bar	41 mmol/g	[77]
3.	HCM-Cu ₃ (BTC) ₂₋₃	T=25 °C; P= 1 bar	2.8 mmol/g	[78]
4.	(ZIF-20)	T=0 °C; P= 760 Torr	70 mL/g	[79]
5.	Zn(adc) (4,40-	T= -78.15 °C; P= 1	130 mmol/g	[80]
	bpe) _{0.5}	p/p		
6.	Zn(II)-based MOFs	T=25 °C; P= 1 bar	9.2 mmol/g	[81]
7.	(PCN-5)	T = -78.15 °C; $P =$	210 mg/g	[82]
		760 Torr		
8.	SNU-110	$T = 78 {}^{\circ}\text{C}; P = 1 \text{bar}$	6 mmol/g	[83]
9.	$Cu(dhbc)_2$ (4,40-	T=24.85 °C; P=0.4~8	70 mL/g	[84]
	bpy)	atm		
10.	Cu(pyrdc)(bpp)	T = -78.15 °C; $P =$	varies	[85]
		varies		
11.	MOF with PEI	T = 78 °C; $P = 0.15$	4.2 mmol/g	[86]
		bar		
12.	[Ni(bpe) ₂	T = -78.15 °C; $P = 1$	35 mL/g	[85]
	$(N(CN)_2)](N(CN)_2$	p/p		
13.	Cu(bdc) (4,40-	T=24.85 °C; $P=0.10$	70 mL/g	[84]
	bpy) _{0.5}	MPa		
14.	MIL-53 with BNH _x	T = 0 °C; $P = 1$ bar	4.5 mmol/g	[87]
15.	(MIL-53)	$T = 30.85 {}^{\circ}\text{C}; P = 20$	7.5 mmol/g	[88]
		bar		
16.	NJU-Bai12	T = 0 °C; $P = 20$ bar	28.3 mmol/g	[89]
17.	Cu(fam) (4,40-	$T = -78.15 {}^{\circ}\text{C}; P =$	100 mL/g	[90]
	bpe) _{0.5}	760 torr		

6.2 The utilization of MOFs in CO₂ photoconversion

Photo-conversion also known as photocatalysis are basically catalytic reactions that occurs under the influence of sunlight [91]. Metal organic frameworks which comprise of a metal linked to organic ligands to form a well-coordinated network are often used as photocatalyst for CO₂ conversion due to their promising potentials to solve environmental problems associated with CO₂ release. Other conventional

472 photocatalysts such as semiconducting compounds (e.g., Zn₂GeO₄, Zn₂GeO₄, CdS) that incorporates a metal-zeolite framework have lower performance due to lower efficiency 473 of charge separations, surface area and weak adsorption capacity for CO₂ [92]. In 474 475 contrast, MOFs are known to be a good material for CO2 conversion because the 476 framework supports high absorption of photons due to electrophilic aromatic polymeric 477 species present in the structure following electron transfer from the organic ligands to the central atoms thus leading to charge separation of localized excitons [64,93]. 478 479 Another thrilling discovery is that the adsorptive potentials of MOFs can be enhanced 480 by utilization of light harvesting components which can be synthesized using a visible light-sensitive metal like iron (Fe) [94]. However, this might affect their adsorptive 481 482 capacity CO_2 selectivity media, and in aqueous gases and acid [95]. Organic components or linkers used for light harvesting responds to light due to f 483 actors like functionality e.g., 2aminoterephthalic acid, or inclusion of photoreactive met 484 alloligands[94,96]. 485 486 Furthermore, due to the porous structure of MOFs, photosensitive materials can be incorporated into the cavity's framework which is quite difficult to achieve in other 487 488 semiconductor catalysts such as Zn₂GeO₄, CdS since the photosensitive sites are 489 dispersed on the exterior surface of the complex instead of the structure's cavity [92,97]. In addition to this, the immobilization of the photoactive sites in the linker 490 491 coupled with the cavity structures and metal mode of MOFs makes them a suitable candidate for a lot of applications especially when designing smart materials that are 492 493 sensitive to external stimuli. This potential characteristic makes them more versatile in 494 use compared to zeolites[92,98]. Even though several efforts have been put into the 495 optimization of the photolytic performance of MOFs, some milestones are yet to be 496 covered.

6.2.1 Mechanism of CO₂ photo-reduction by MOFs

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

CO₂ photo-reduction takes place in the presence of light (200-800 nm). This reduction can lead to the formation of CH₄, CO, HCHO, and CH₃OH, depending on the reduction potential [75] (see **Table 3**). The photo-reduction of CO₂ occurs in a series of steps as follows. The CO₂ pollutant is adsorbed on a photocatalyst like MOFs, there is formation, separation and transportation of charge carriers (photo-hole and photoelectron) and the surface species (CO₂) react with the formed charges to form various products depending on reduction potential needed for a particular product to be

formed [99,100]. As a result of the interaction of the photo-hole and photo-electron forms, photocatalysts with low bandgap and high redox potential are suitable for CO₂ photo-transformation. With the use of MOFs, light is first absorbed by the photocatalyst (MOFs) resulting in charge (photohole-photoelectron) separation via excitation of electron from the highest occupied molecular orbital of organic linkers in MOFs (HOMO) to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital of organic linkers in MOFs (LUMO) [101]. Subsequently, the adsorbed CO₂ consumes the electrons formed (in the LUMO) to form different categories of value-added products such as CO, CH₄, CH₃OH and HCOOH.

Table 3: Various standard reduction potentials of CO₂

Entry	Reduction potentials	Normal hydrogen electrode vs reduction potential (V)
1.	$CO_2 + 8H^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow HCHO + H_2O$	-0.24
2.	$CO_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow HCHO + H_2O$	-0.48
3.	$H_2O \rightarrow 1/2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$	+0.41
4.	$CO_2 + 6H^+ + 6e^- \rightarrow CH_3OH + H2O$	-0.38
5.	$CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow CO + H_2O$	-0.53
6.	$CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow HCOOH$	-0.61
7.	$CO_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow HCOO^-$	-0.49

6.2.2 Challenges of MOFs synthesis and application

The chemical and thermal instability of MOF photocatalysts during synthesis is a significant issue. At temperatures above 250°C, several MOFs break down during synthesis. Because of interactions with species that contain nucleophiles in the synthetic chemicals, the network structure may become unstable [102,103]. A few examples of such nucleophilic substances are amines and alkoxide. The potential for photochemical instability that results from extended exposure to the metal-organic network to irradiation and causes photo-oxidation of the organic moiety of the

framework is another aspect that hinders MOFs' performance. Therefore, it is essential to pay attention to this material's photostability when exposed to light [64]. This might be done by running a timed-control experiment to determine the exact time allowance for the MOFs of interest, then utilizing spectroscopic methods and the X-ray diffraction (XRD) method to confirm the stability.

7. Z-scheme photocatalysts

The natural process of photosynthesis, in which photogenerated electrons are carried through a Z-scheme mode, allows green plants to efficiently convert CO₂ and H₂O to O₂ and carbohydrates [104]. An artificial Z-scheme photocatalyst with higher potential for generating high photocatalytic performance in CO₂ reduction is one that was inspired by this natural photosynthesis process [105]. The artificial Z-scheme photocatalyst usually consists of two semiconductors. The roadmap for the historical evolution of Z-scheme photocatalysts [106] and different charger transfer routes of Z-scheme family are presented in **Figure 7**. In summary, Z-scheme photocatalysts can be divided into three generation [105] (1) First generation: traditional Z-scheme photocatalysts in redox ion pairs such as Fe3⁺/Fe²⁺ is used as medium for charge carriers (2) Second generation: all solid state Z-scheme photocatalysts in which electron conductor such as platinum nanoparticles is employed to serve as charge transfer medium (3) Third generation: direct Z-scheme photocatalysts, in which the charge carrier transfer is mediated by an internal electric field rather than a third party and a direct contact between two semiconductors is ensured.

It is important to mention that high stability for water oxidation is one benefit of semiconductors but low selectivity for CO₂ reduction is one major drawback (accompanied with H₂ evolution). A molecular metal complex, in contrast, has moderate ability for oxidation process under the condition of photocatalytic CO₂ reduction but good selectivity for photo- and electrochemical CO₂ reduction. With these advantages and disadvantages of metal complexes and semiconductors in photocatalytic CO₂ reduction systems in mind, it seems likely that a hybrid system with a metal complex and a semiconductor acting as the reduction and oxidation centers, respectively, could function as an efficient visible-light photocatalyst for CO₂ reduction with high selectivity using water as the electron source. In aqueous environments, the performance of such metal-complex/semiconductor hybrid systems could be improved

by anchoring water-insoluble metal-complex (photo)catalysts to solid surfaces to avert unfavorable aggregation [107].

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

This section focuses on scientific literature on two semiconductors and hybrid photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction. One of the earliest research works on Z-scheme (metal-complex/semiconductor) was reported by Sato and co-workers [108] in the year 2015. The team demonstrated a semiconductor/metal-complex hybrid photocatalyst for selective CO₂ reduction, employing the metal complex catalyst [Ru(dcbpy)₂]Cl₂([Rudcbpy]) (dcpy =4,4' -dicarboxy-2,2' -bippyridine) with electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction. The Z-scheme approach, at least in theory, can solve both the poor reduction performance of semiconductor photocatalysts and the poor oxidation ability of metalcomplex photocatalysts. Because less energy is needed to drive CO₂ reduction and water oxidation than in a one-step photoexcitation system, a Z-scheme system can utilize a wider range of visible light. Both the metal complex and the semiconductor components have a significant impact on the photocatalytic performance of such tworeaction schemes. Therefore, the development of metal complexes and semiconductors is crucial. Table 4 lists some of the recently developed metal-complex/semiconductor hybrid systems for CO₂ reduction using visible light photocatalysis and photoelectrochemistry.




Figure 7. (a) Roadmap for the of evolution of Z-scheme photocatalysts (b) Traditional Z-scheme charge transfer mechanism (c) All-solid-state Z-scheme charge transfer mechanism (d) Direct Z-scheme charge transfer mechanism. Adapted with the permission [105]. Copyright (2018) Elsevier.

Table 4. Z-scheme metal complex/semiconductor hybrid system for the reduction of CO₂ by photocatalysis and photoelectrochemistry driven by visible light

Z-scheme	Cocat	Conditions	Yi	Catalys	Main	Remarks	Ref
Photocatalys	alysts		el	t dose	product		•
ts			d	(mg)			
			(
			%				
)				
TaON and	Ag	300W	-	4	Hydrogen	It demonstrates the huge	[10
Ta/N-co-		xenon			and	potential of band-tunable	9]
doped TiO ₂		lamp;			formate	mixed-anion	
in		λ>400 &				semiconductors as	
conjunction		365 nm;				components of Z-scheme	
with a		15h;				hybrid photocatalysts for	
binuclear Ru		pH:6.4				CO ₂ reduction via visible	
(II) complex,						light.	
GaN:ZnO							
solid							
solutions							
Copolymeriz	polym	300W	98	20	Formic	The study unmistakably	[11
ed carbon	er	xenon			acid	demonstrated that, even	0]
nitride paired		lamp;				without the need for the	
with Ru (II)		λ>500 nm;				labor-intensive	
complex		5h				postsynthesis	
						modifications typically	
						used to increase electron	
						density, a straightforward	
						modification of a bulk	
GaN:ZnO solid solutions Copolymeriz ed carbon nitride paired with Ru (II)		xenon lamp; $\lambda > 500$ nm;	98	20		The study unmistakably demonstrated that, even without the need for the labor-intensive postsynthesis modifications typically used to increase electron density, a straightforward	

					cobalt complexes, they	
					molecular deposition of	
or surfaces					necessary for the	
semiconduct					hydroxyl groups)	
different		5h			functionalities (such as	
three		λ>420 nm;			lack surface	3
Co(III) on		lamp;			hybrid photocatalysts	2]
Macrocyclic	No	mercury	10		Because N-Ta2O5-based	[11
					slightly	
					CBM to shift upward just	
					edge to shift red, but the	
					Ta2O5's absorption band	
					oxygen atoms causes	
					groups for surface	
					replacement of NH	
					work that the	
					calculations done in this	
11) 0114		6			appears from the	
hybrid		15h; pH:5-			complex. Additionally, it	
doped Ta ₂ O ₅)		750 nm;		uciu	the Ta_2O_5 to the Ru-	
nitrogen		λ>390 -		acid	electron transport from	
$Ta_2O_2(1)$ Ta_2O_5 :		lamp;		and formic	the surface facilitates	*]
Ta ₂ O ₂ (N-	1 (11)	xenon	10	monoxide	that NH ₃ adsorption on	1]
Ru-complex	NH ₃	500W	10	Carbon	The research contends	[11
					the hybrid photocatalyst.	
					photocatalytic activity of	
					increase the	
					approach becomes another efficient way to	
					the copolymerization	
					semiconductor through	

a a du a m		Vanan	monovido	CO. conversion the in 21
carbon	er	xenon	monoxide	CO ₂ conversion, the in 3]
nitride with		lamp;		situ polymerization of the
polymeric		λ>500 nm;		phthalocyanine is
cobalt		5h		essential because it
phthalocyani				enables control over the
ne catalyst				catalyst loading.
Mn-	Dye	60W LED > 10	Formate	The amount of MnP [11
immobilized		lamp; 99	and carbon	loaded onto the surface 4]
TiO ₂ hybrid		λ≥400 nm;	monoxide	of TiO2 was discovered
(OrgD-		23h		to be a key factor in
$ TiO_2 $ - MnP)				controlling the product
				selectivity (HCOO-/CO)
Ir	Ir (III)	60W LED - 10	Carbon	This study establishes [11
X^+ immobili	compl	lamp;	monoxide	that Ir-X+ can function in 5]
zed on ReC	ex	λ>400 nm		solution as an efficient
anchored				and reliable
TiO ₂ hybrid				photosensitizer for the
catalyst				CO ₂ reduction based on
				the TiO ₂ /ReC binary
				catalyst. It also suggests
				that the structure-
				reactivity relationships
				investigated in this study
				may provide a strategic
				basis for the molecular
				design of Ir-complex-
				based photosensitizers
				that will lead to the
				creation of effective and
				long-lasting CO2
				2 2
				reduction systems.

OrgD = Organic sensitizer [4,4'-bis(diethoxyphosphorylmethyl)-2,2'-bipyridine], MnP

= Manganese complex, $ReC = Re(4,4'-Y_2-bpy)(CO)_3Cl$ (ReC = ReP; $Y = CH_2PO_3H_2$)

and CBM: Conduction band

584 Very recently, conscious efforts have been made to explore the possibility of two semiconductors Z-scheme two-step photoexcitation approach to reduce CO₂ using 585 water as the electron source in a powder-based suspension system or without sacrificial 586 587 reagent. For example, Huang et al. [116] successfully developed a typical type II heterojunction utilizing composite materials made of In₂S₃/TiO₂. They discovered that 588 589 a high separation efficiency of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs has been attained 590 by adjusting the In₂S₃/TiO₂ ratio. Without the use of an extra sacrificial agent, it was found that 5 % In₂S₃/TiO₂ demonstrated a CH₄ generation rate of 16.21 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, 591 and the catalytic activity is significantly higher than that of pure TiO₂ nanosheets. The 592 593 large specific surface area and improved visible light harvesting of the hybrid catalyst 594 were both credited with its high catalytic activity. In another study, Che and co-workers 595 [117] demonstrated that Z-scheme g-C₃N₄/Ag₂CrO₄ photocatalysts exhibited excellent hydrogen evolution efficiency in comparison with the individual Ag₂CrO₄ and g-C₃N₄ 596 597 under visible light irradiation (420 nm). They found that by optimizing the In₂S₃/TiO₂ 598 ratio, a high separation was achieved. The composite that had the best mass-to-volume 599 ratio, 23.1%, had the highest photocatalytic activity, which was 902.1 μ mol g⁻¹ h⁻¹. The creation of the Z-scheme g-C₃N₄/Ag₂CrO₄ heterostructures, which had greater 600 separation and transfer efficiencies of the photogenerated electron-hole pairs, is 601 credited with the increased photocatalytic activity. Additionally, the photocorrosion of 602 603 Ag₂CrO₄ nanoparticles was significantly reduced due to the firm coupling of Ag₂CrO₄ 604 and g-C₃N₄ in heterostructures. This research may bring fresh insights into the design 605 of Z-scheme heterostructures and contribute to our understanding of the mechanism of 606 g-C₃N₄/silver composites. In a related study, Sun et al. [118] reported type II heterostructure of In₂O₃/BiOI spherical nanosheets as a promising photocatalyst for the 607 608 reduction of CO₂. The group found that the improved BI-10 had the highest photocatalytic yields, with CO and CH₄ yields of 11.98 mol g1h1 and 5.69 mol g1h1, 609 respectively. As well as being 5.3 and 4.2 times higher than pure BiOI and pure In₂O₃ 610 and 2.1 and 1.9 times higher than pure BiOI and pure In₂O₃, respectively, the yields of 611 CO and CH₄ were also greater. This is explained by the type II heterojunction formed 612 by the close contact of In₂O₃ and BiOI, which broadens the photoreaction's spectral 613

- range and facilitates effective charge separation and transfer at the heterojunction interface. This research can serve as a model for catalyst modification that is generally
- applicable to photocatalysis.
- A shuttle redox mediator was discovered to promote electron transfer between two
- 618 semiconductors in these systems. Because of its numerous advantages, the
- 619 semiconductor-based Z-scheme approach has become a popular candidate in
- photocatalytic CO₂ reduction research, but especially in water splitting. These include
- the ability to separate reduction/oxidation products as well as the use of a broader range
- of visible light. Furthermore, semiconductors with a reduction potential suitable for
- either CO₂ reduction or water oxidation can be used on each side of the system.
- However, difficulties with thermodynamics, charge-transfer dynamics, applicability
- and practical synthesis are faced by all types of Z-scheme heterojunction. In this case, it
- 626 is preferable to substitute Z-scheme in favour of a fresh idea that explicitly explains the
- mechanism of the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction (PCRR).

8. S-scheme

- To overcome the limitations of the Z-scheme heterojunctions, Yu and his colleagues
- 630 [119] proposed a new step-scheme abbreviated as S-scheme heterojunction. This S-
- 631 scheme heterojunction is made up of staggered band structures of reduction
- photocatalyst (RP) and oxidation photocatalyst (OP) which is similar to type II
- 633 heterojunctions but with an absolutely different pathway of charge transfer. Unlike
- other types of heterojunctions, the RP of S-scheme photocatalyst is constructed with
- higher CB and VB levels and smaller work function compared to OP (Figure 8a).
- According to the review paper of Xu et al. [120], the charge transfer pathway of S-
- scheme heterojunction can be explained in three steps as thus; (1) When OP and RP
- interact, electrons in the RP randomly disperse to OP, resulting in layer depletion and
- layer accumulation close to the interface in RP and OP, as shown in **Figure 8a**. The OP
- becomes more negatively charged, while the RP becomes more positively charged, and
- an internal electric field directing from the RP to the OP is formed at the same time
- 642 (Figure 8b). This internal electric field accelerates the transfer of photogenerated
- electrons from OP to RP (2) When the two semiconductors touch, their Fermi energy
- levels align to the same level, which causes an increase and decrease, respectively, in
- 645 the Fermi levels of OP and RP (Figure 8c). (3) Due to the Coulombic attraction

between the holes and electrons, the photogenerated e⁻ in the CB of the OP and the h⁺ in the VB of the RP are inclined at the interface. In summary, electron recombination in the CB of OP and hole recombination in the VB of RP are driven by three factors including the internal electric field, band bending and Coulombic attraction. Therefore, the powerful photogenerated electrons in the CB of RP and the holes in the VB of OP are preserved to take part in the PCRR while the useless electrons and holes are eliminated via recombination. It is important to note that the S-scheme heterojunction is suitable for PCRR because the reserved photogenerated electrons and holes are spatially separated and have significant redox abilities because of the diversified charge-transfer mode.

Considering the dynamic and unambiguous charge transfer mechanism, strong redox ability and accelerate electrons and holes of S-scheme heterojunction, researchers have developed strong interest particularly for PCRR. For instance, Zhang et al. [121] reported a novel S-scheme composite consisting of ultrasmall copper phosphosulfide (us-Cu₃P|S) nanocrystals anchored on 2D g-C3N4 nanosheets synthesized via a wet chemical method. The estimated work function (ϕ) of g-C₃N₄ and us-Cu₃PIS are 3.93 and 5.16 eV. The group claims that the Fermi levels of g-C3N4 and usCu₃P|S shift to the same energy level of 0.52 V when they are coupled, adjusting the band positions of the us-Cu₃P|S/CN composite (**Figure 9**). Such band alignment of g-C₃N₄ and us-Cu₃P|S permits either type-II heterojunction or S-scheme interfaces, leading to two distinct electron transfer pathways. According to the S-scheme mechanism, it is possible that g-C₃N₄ conducts the H₂O oxidation reaction while us-Cu₃P|S conducts the CO₂ reduction reaction because the VB position of us-Cu₃P|S in the composite is below the O₂/H₂O standard redox potential. In a nutshell, us-Cu₃P|S and g-C₃N₄ interact to form an S-scheme heterojunction that serves as an electron transfer channel and promotes the separation and migration of photogenerated charge carriers by forming interfacial P-N chemical bonds. As a result of the altered band structures of us-Cu₃P|S and g-C₃N₄ during composite formation, a photocatalytic CO generation rate of 137 µmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ which is eight times higher than that of pristine g-C₃N₄ is produced.

Aside from the previously mentioned 2D architecture g-C₃N₄, Wang and his colleagues [122] carefully encapsulated ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets on the outer layer of TiO₂ hollow spheres in order to create a 1D hierarchical S-scheme TiO₂@ZnIn₂S₄ heterojunction for improved PCRR. The in situ irradiated x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, electron

paramagnetic resonance and work function analysis demontrate S-scheme charge transfer mechanism (**Figure 10**). When compared to regular pristine ZnIn₂S₄ and TiO₂, S-scheme TiO₂@ZnIn₂S₄ heterojunction photocatalyst performance is significantly improved. S-scheme TiO₂@ZnIn₂S₄ heterojunction's total CO₂ photoreduction conversion rates (the sum yield of CO, CH₃OH and CH₄) increased by 2.75 and 4.43 times, respectively. According to experimental results, this logical design offers a lot of active sites and large surface areas for multi-electron PCRR as well as effectively separating useful photogenerated electrons and holes.

In another recent study, a group of researchers [123] developed a novel S-scheme heterojunction of CsPbBr3 nanocrystals/CoAl layered double hydroxide nanosheets composite as an efficient photocatalyst for CO₂ reduction (Figure 11). The S-scheme heterojunction not only allowed photogenerated electron/hole pairs to separate, but it also kept CB photoinduced reducing ability on the CsPbBr₃. Additionally, the increased CO₂ adsorption and activation were significantly improved in the PCRR compared to single photocatalyst. The CPB/LDH composite demonstrated significantly improved photocatalytic properties in PCRR as a result of these synergistic actions. The 0.6 CPB/LDH sample had the highest activity, with quantum yield of 59.5 mol.g⁻¹ (CO + CH₄) which were 9.9-fold higher than the original CoAl-LDH and 2.6-fold higher than CsPbBr₃. Wang et al. recently reported hierarchical hollow structured S-scheme photocatalysts of TiO₂ nanosheet arrays-decorated In₂O₃ hexagonal nanotubes by insitu hydrothermal method [124]. The team discovered that the In₂O₃@TiO₂ photocatalyst internal electric field acts as a powerful driving force for the S-scheme electron transfer from the CB of TiO₂ to the VB of In₂O₃. For the selective photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ with H₂O into hydrocarbon fuel (CH₄) without the use of a sacrificial agent, the In₂O₃@TiO₂ catalysts demonstrated outstanding performance. The S-scheme with the highest selectivity (88.1%) and yield (11.1 mol g⁻¹ ¹h⁻¹) of the CH₄ product is In₂O₃@TiO₂-10 among all the tested photocatalysts.

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696 697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704




Figure 8: Mechanism of charge transfer in S-scheme heterojunction. Adapted with the permision from ref. [125]. Copyright (2022) Willey Online Library.

708

709




Figure 9: Charge tranfer route of us-Cu₃P|S/CN S-scheme heterojunction under irradiation. Adapted with the permision from ref.[121]. Copyright (2021) American Chemical Society




Figure 11: Charge tranfer mechanism of hierarchical S-scheme $TiO_2@ZnIn_2S_4$ heterojunction. Adapted with the permision from ref. [122]. Copyright (2021) Willey Online Library




Figure 11: Mechanism of PCRR by CPB/LDH S-scheme heterojunction under irradiation. Adapted with the permision from ref.[123]. Copyright (2022) American Chemical Society

9. Organic photocatalysts

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

Without a brief overview of recent studies using microbes or organic materials as effective photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction, this review exercise would not have been completed. Photosynthetic biohybrid systems, which combine the remarkable lightharvesting abilities of semiconductors with the capacity for biological cells to synthesize energy, have become a promising platform for solar-to-chemical conversion. In recent time, Gai and co-authors [126] invented a non-photosynthetic bacteria called Moorella thermoacetica that efficiently reduces CO₂ to create acetic acid through an organic, π-conjugated semiconductor-bacteria biohybrid photosynthetic system (see Figure 12). In order to form a p-n heterojunction (PFP/PDI layer), which offers a higher hole/electron separation efficiency, both cationic electron-transporting (n-type) perylene diimide derivative (PDI) and hole-transporting (p-type) poly(fluorene-cophenylene) (PFP) molecules were coated on the surface of the bacteria as photosensitizers. The cationic side chains of organic semiconductors might intercalate into cell membranes, assuring the effective electron transmission to bacteria. Additionally, the π -conjugated semiconductors have high light-harvesting capacity and biocompatibility. Moorella thermoacetica is able to utilize photoexcited electrons from the PFP/PDI heterojunction in order to activate the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway to produce acetic acid from CO₂ in the presence of light. This organic biohybrid's efficiency of 1.6% is comparable to that of previously reported inorganic biohybrid systems. In addition to opening up a new area for research into organic semiconductor applications in bioenergy, this work also offers a practical biomanufacturing method for creating organic semiconductor-bacteria biohybrids for effective solar-to-chemical conversion.




Figure 12. Organic semiconductor-bacteria biohybrid photosynthetic pathway [126]

10. Conclusions and future outlook

744

745

747

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

Metal-organic frameworks, metal complexes, semiconductors, Z-schemes and organic photocatalysts designed for the reduction of CO₂ under visible light irradiation are reviewed. Furthermore, in order to produce a balance report, the review surveys computational studies on some of the aforementioned material. According to the literature, certain metal-organic frameworks, metal complexes, and semiconductors can function as suitable photocatalysts for CO2 reduction with high selectivity and superior turnover number, producing desired products such as CO, CH₄, CH₃OH, HCOOH or HCOO⁻. Overall, the findings demonstrate that metal-complex photocatalysts are most effective when immobilized on a semiconductor with a sufficiently negative conduction-band potential. Weaker electron donors that cannot be oxidized by the metal complex can be used as a result of this immobilization. Therefore, these metal complex/semiconductor hybrid photocatalysts outperform equivalent single-component systems having only a metal complex or a semiconductor under the identical reaction circumstances. The same outstanding synergistic effect was also reported for Z-scheme, MOFs and organic composites though with some unresolved challenges of charge transfer particularly with Z-scheme photocatalysts. As a prove of concept, S-scheme heterostructures was reported as a better replacement because of its unambiguous charge transfer mechanism. In addition, the review demonstrates the role of functionalizing different photocatalysts platforms with co-catalyst for effective CO₂
adsorption, suppression of photogenerated electron-hole pairs, efficient light absorption
etc. The literature also emphasizes the importance of theoretical investigations that can,
at least partially, explain the electron-transfer process that takes place in such
composites or hybrid systems, offering a logical strategy for future performance
enhancements based on the optimization of MOFs, metal complexes, semiconductors
and their hybrids.

Despite the success recorded so far in the field of photocatalytic CO₂ conversion to solar fuel production using metal complex, metal oxides, Z-scheme, organic semiconductors and MOFs, there is still a long way to go before having the scientific and technological capability to make this "artificial photosynthesis" practical to use for the production of hydrocarbon fuels and feedstock chemicals in large scale because of the unsatisfactory light harvesting, low-conversion efficiency, and lack of specific product selectivity. To begin with, developing more active and selective photocatalysts capable of successfully retarding charge recombination and capturing the solar spectrum is critical in the field of CO₂ photoreduction.

Another significant challenge in the field of CO₂ photoreduction is the lack of a standard technique for measuring photocatalytic performance, as well as a relevant parameter that allows quantitative comparison of CO₂ conversion efficiencies to specific fuels. One of the reasons is that the final activity and selectivity of CO₂ conversion are influenced by a variety of conditions such as light intensity and spectrum, reaction temperature, humidity, CO₂ concentration, pH and components of the photoreaction solution, mass and distribution of photocatalysts, and even reaction vessel shape. To correct this shortcoming and offer a solid foundation for further CO₂ conversion development, suitable performance evaluation systems for photocatalytic CO₂ conversion are required. A major issue that should not be overlooked in this field is confirming that CO₂ is the actual substrate for carbon-containing compounds, as state-of-the-art CO₂ photoreduction activities are currently quite low. One way to address this problem is to conduct trace experiments in order to confirm the carbon source.

Ultimately, a better understanding of photocatalytic CO₂ conversion reaction and deactivation mechanisms is required for optimizing surface, facets, phases, and other

material qualities, reaction circumstances, and other previously unknown features. It is general knowledge in the scientific community that photocatalytic CO₂ conversions to solar fuels are typically complex multielectron processes aided by H₂O, and the activity and selectivity of specific products compete with those of other products. A combination of experimental and theoretical findings from fundamental investigations and computer simulations should be favored since it is the most effective way to increase our understanding of CO₂ photoreduction mechanisms. In summary, photocatalytic CO₂ conversion to solar fuels is a technology that meets two goals with one method, providing a package solution to present global warming and energy demand by utilizing unlimited solar energy and increasing atmospheric CO₂. As a result, in both theory and practice, the research and design of CO₂ photoreduction systems with high stability, recyclability, nontoxicity, high efficiency, and wide spectral response under sunlight irradiation is crucial. It is our hope that this review work will channel a new path and concomitantly play an interesting role in this important research field.

Funding

799

800

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

814

822

This research received no external funding

816 Acknowledgements

- Alli, Y.A. would like to appreciate LCC-CNRS and Prof. Karine Philippot in particular
- 818 for providing him with laboratory space and enabling environment for postdoctoral
- 819 research activities

820 Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

- Liang S, Zeng G, Zhong X, Deng H, Zhong Z, Lin Z, et al. Efficient photoreduction of diluted CO2 using lattice-strained Ni1- xSe nanoflowers.
- Journal of CO2 Utilization 2022;64:102193.
- Saini S, Abraham BM, Jain SL. Light assisted nickel (II) grafted-g-carbon nitride molecular hybrid promoted hydrocarboxylation of olefins with CO2 at atmospheric pressure condition. Journal of CO2 Utilization 2022;55:101812.

- Perini JAL, Torquato LDM, Irikura K, Zanoni MVB. Ag/polydopamine-modified Ti/TiO2 nanotube arrays: A platform for enhanced CO2 photoelectroreduction to methanol. Journal of CO2 Utilization 2019;34:596–605.
- Chair K, Luna Caceres CA, Rajak S, Schott O, Ramírez-Caballero GE, Maris T, et al. Photocatalytic Carbon Dioxide Reduction and Density Functional Theory Investigation of 2, 6-(Pyridin-2-yl)-1, 3, 5-triazine-2, 4-diamine and Its Cobalt and Nickel Complexes. ACS Appl Energy Mater 2022.
- Chan B, Gill PMW, Kimura M. Assessment of DFT Methods for Transition Metals with the TMC151 Compilation of Data Sets and Comparison with Accuracies for Main-Group Chemistry. J Chem Theory Comput 2019;15:acs.jctc.9b00239. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jctc.9b00239.
- Pinter B, Chankisjijev A, Geerlings P, Harvey JN. Conceptual Insights into DFT Spin-State Energetics of Octahedral Transition-Metal Complexes through a Density Difference Analysis. Chemistry A European Journal 2018;24:5281–92. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201704657.
- Bühl M, Reimann C, Pantazis DA, Bredow T, Neese F. Geometries of third-row transition-metal complexes from density-functional theory. J Chem Theory Comput 2008;4:1449–59. https://doi.org/10.1021/ct800172j.
- [8] Castro-Ocampo O, Celaya CA, González-Reyes L, Hernández-Pérez I, Garibay Febles V, Jaramillo-Quintero OA, et al. Understanding hydroxyl radicals
 addition to CO2 on α-Fe2O3(1 1 0) surface photocatalyst for organic compounds
 production. Fuel 2022;310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.122465.
- Zhou Z, Liu Y, Li M, Gu JD. Two or three domains: a new view of tree of life in the genomics era. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2018;102:3049–58. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-8831-x.
- Laun J, Bredow T. BSSE-corrected consistent Gaussian basis sets of triple-zeta valence with polarization quality of the sixth period for solid-state calculations. J Comput Chem 2021;42:1064–72.
- 857 [11] Onawole AT, Hussein IA, Saad MA, Ismail N, Alshami A, Nasser MS. 858 Theoretical Studies of a Silica Functionalized Acrylamide for Calcium Scale 859 Inhibition. Polymers (Basel) 2022;14:2073–4360.
- Zhou J, Li J, Kan L, Zhang L, Huang Q, Yan Y, et al. Linking oxidative and reductive clusters to prepare crystalline porous catalysts for photocatalytic CO2 reduction with H2O. Nat Commun 2022;13:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32449-z.
- Yin Q, Alexandrov E V., Si D, Huang Q, Fang Z, Zhang Y, et al. Metallization-Prompted Robust Porphyrin-Based Hydrogen-Bonded Organic Frameworks for

- Photocatalytic CO 2 Reduction . Angewandte Chemie 2022;134. https://doi.org/10.1002/ange.202115854.
- B68 [14] De La Torre P, Derrick JS, Snider A, Smith PT, Loipersberger M, Head-Gordon M, et al. Exchange Coupling Determines Metal-Dependent Efficiency for Ironand Cobalt-Catalyzed Photochemical CO2 Reduction. ACS Catal 2022;12:8484–93. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.2c02072.
- 872 [15] Abdelhamid HN. Removal of carbon dioxide using zeolitic imidazolate 873 frameworks: Adsorption and conversion via catalysis. Appl Organomet Chem 874 2022;36:1–38. https://doi.org/10.1002/aoc.6753.
- Zeng JY, Wang XS, Xie BR, Li QR, Zhang XZ. Large π-Conjugated Metal Organic Frameworks for Infrared-Light-Driven CO2Reduction. J Am Chem Soc
 2022;144:1218–31. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.1c10110.
- Example 17 Li S, Zhang Y, Hu Y, Wang B, Sun S, Yang X, et al. Predicting metal-organic frameworks as catalysts to fix carbon dioxide to cyclic carbonate by machine learning. Journal of Materiomics 2021;7:1029–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmat.2021.02.005.
- Halmann M. Photoelectrochemical reduction of aqueous carbon dioxide on ptype gallium phosphide in liquid junction solar cells. Nature 1978;275:115–6.
- Khalil M, Gunlazuardi J, Ivandini TA, Umar A. Photocatalytic conversion of CO2 using earth-abundant catalysts: A review on mechanism and catalytic performance. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2019;113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109246.
- 888 [20] Li K, An X, Park KH, Khraisheh M, Tang J. A critical review of CO2 889 photoconversion: Catalysts and reactors. Catal Today 2014;224:3–12. 890 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2013.12.006.
- Fan W, Zhang Q, Wang Y. Semiconductor-based nanocomposites for photocatalytic H2 production and CO2 conversion. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 2013;15:2632–49. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cp43524a.
- 894 [22] Sun Z, Dong J, Chen C, Zhang S, Zhu Y. Photocatalytic and electrocatalytic 895 CO2 conversion: from fundamental principles to design of catalysts. Journal of 896 Chemical Technology and Biotechnology 2021;96:1161–75. 897 https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.6653.
- 898 [23] Kuramochi Y, Ishitani O, Ishida H. Reaction mechanisms of catalytic 899 photochemical CO2 reduction using Re(I) and Ru(II) complexes. Coord Chem 900 Rev 2018;373:333–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2017.11.023.

- 901 [24] Ohtani B. Revisiting the fundamental physical chemistry in heterogeneous photocatalysis: Its thermodynamics and kinetics. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 2014;16:1788–97. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cp53653j.
- Zürch M, Chang HT, Borja LJ, Kraus PM, Cushing SK, Gandman A, et al.
 Direct and simultaneous observation of ultrafast electron and hole dynamics in germanium. Nat Commun 2017;8. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15734.
- 907 [26] Shehzad N, Tahir M, Johari K, Murugesan T, Hussain M. A critical review on 908 TiO2 based photocatalytic CO2 reduction system: Strategies to improve 909 efficiency. Journal of CO2 Utilization 2018;26:98–122. 910 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2018.04.026.
- 911 [27] Maeda K. Metal-Complex/Semiconductor Hybrid Photocatalysts and 912 Photoelectrodes for CO2 Reduction Driven by Visible Light. Advanced 913 Materials 2019;31. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201808205.
- Hawecker J, Lehn J -M, Ziessel R. Photochemical and Electrochemical 914 915 Reduction of Carbon Dioxide to Carbon Monoxide Mediated by (2,2'-Bipyridine)tricarbonylchlororhenium(I) and Related Complexes 916 1986;69:1990-2012. Homogeneous 917 Catalysts. Chim Acta https://doi.org/10.1002/hlca.19860690824. 918
- [29] Kumagai H, Tamaki Y, Ishitani O. Photocatalytic Systems for CO2 Reduction:
 Metal-Complex Photocatalysts and Their Hybrids with Photofunctional Solid
 Materials. Acc Chem Res 2022;55:978–90.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.1c00705.
- [30] Takeda H, Kamiyama H, Okamoto K, Irimajiri M, Mizutani T, Koike K, et al.
 Highly Efficient and Robust Photocatalytic Systems for CO2 Reduction
 Consisting of a Cu(I) Photosensitizer and Mn(I) Catalysts. J Am Chem Soc
 2018;140:17241–54. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b10619.
- 927 [31] Fujishima A, Honda K. Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode. Nature 1972;238:37–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/238037a0.
- 929 [32] Sharma A, Hosseini-Bandegharaei A, Kumar N, Kumar S, Kumari K. Insight 930 into ZnO/carbon hybrid materials for photocatalytic reduction of CO2: An in-931 depth review. Journal of CO2 Utilization 2022;65. 932 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2022.102205.
- 933 [33] Kim J, Kwon EE. Photoconversion of carbon dioxide into fuels using semiconductors. Journal of CO2 Utilization 2019;33:72–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCOU.2019.05.012.
- Yoshino S, Takayama T, Yamaguchi Y, Iwase A, Kudo A. CO2Reduction Using
 Water as an Electron Donor over Heterogeneous Photocatalysts Aiming at

- 938 Artificial Photosynthesis. Acc Chem Res 2022;55:966–77.
- 939 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.1c00676.
- 940 [35] Fall B, Gaye C, Niang M, Alli YA, Diaw AKD, Fall M, et al. Removal of Toxic
- 941 Chromium Ions in Aqueous Medium Using a New Sorbent Based on
- 942 rGO@CNT@Fe2O3. Chemistry Africa 2022. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42250-
- 943 022-00499-x.
- 944 [36] Guo Q, Xu J, Luo Y, Yang Y, Wang Z, He H. Cocatalyst Modification of
- 945 AgTaO3 Photocatalyst for Conversion of Carbon Dioxide with Water. The
- Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2021;125:26389–97.
- 947 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.1c06502.
- 948 [37] Liu J, Liu M, Yang X, Chen H, Frank Liu S, Yan J. Photo-Redeposition
- 949 Synthesis of Bimetal Pt-Cu Co-catalysts for TiO2 Photocatalytic Solar-Fuel
- 950 Production. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering 2020;8:6055–64.
- 951 https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c00969.
- 952 [38] Shen J, Wu Z, Li C, Zhang C, Genest A, Rupprechter G, et al. Emerging
- applications of MXene materials in CO2 photocatalysis. FlatChem 2021;28.
- 954 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flatc.2021.100252.
- 955 [39] Zhang X, Zhang Z, Li J, Zhao X, Wu D, Zhou Z. Ti2CO2 MXene: A highly
- active and selective photocatalyst for CO2 reduction. J Mater Chem A Mater
- 957 2017;5:12899–903. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ta03557h.
- 958 [40] Li Y, Zhang M, Liu Y, Zhao Q, Li X, Zhou Q, et al. Construction of Bronze
- 959 TiO2/Ti3 C2 MXene/Ag3 PO4 Ternary Composite Photocatalyst toward High
- Photocatalytic Performance. Catalysts 2022;12.
- 961 https://doi.org/10.3390/catal12060599.
- 962 [41] Pal K, Sajjadifar S, Abd Elkodous M, Alli YA, Gomes F, Jeevanandam J, et al.
- Soft, Self-Assembly Liquid Crystalline Nanocomposite for Superior Switching.
- 964 Electronic Materials Letters 2019;15:84–101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13391-
- 965 018-0098-y.
- 966 [42] Singh P, Srivastava R. Utilization of bio-inspired catalyst for CO2 reduction into
- green fuels: Recent advancement and future perspectives. Journal of CO2
- 968 Utilization 2021;53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2021.101748.
- 969 [43] W. White D, Esckilsen D, Kyu Lee S, W. Ragsdale S, Brian Dyer R. Efficient,
- 270 Light-Driven Reduction of CO2 to CO by a Carbon Monoxide Dehydrogenase—
- 971 CdSe/CdS Nanorod Photosystem. J Phys Chem Lett 2022;13:5553–6.
- 972 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.2c01412.

- 973 [44] Bétard A, Fischer RA. Metal-organic framework thin films: From fundamentals 974 to applications. Chem Rev 2012;112:1055–83.
- 975 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr200167v.
- 976 [45] Kitagawa S, Kitaura R, Noro SI. Functional porous coordination polymers. 977 Angewandte Chemie - International Edition 2004;43:2334–75. 978 https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200300610.
- [46] Liu G, Sheng Y, Ager JW, Kraft M, Xu R. Research advances towards large-scale solar hydrogen production from water. EnergyChem 2019;1:100014.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enchem.2019.100014.
- 982 [47] Oladoye PO, Adegboyega SA, Giwa A-RA. Remediation potentials of composite 983 metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) for dyes as water contaminants: A 984 comprehensive review of recent literatures. Environ Nanotechnol Monit Manag 985 2021;16:100568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enmm.2021.100568.
- 986 [48] Elhenawy SEM, Khraisheh M, Almomani F, Walker G. Metal-organic 987 frameworks as a platform for CO2 capture and chemical processes: Adsorption, 988 membrane separation, catalytic-conversion, and electrochemical reduction of 989 CO2. Catalysts 2020;10:1–33. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10111293.
- 990 [49] Yan A, Shi X, Huang F, Fujitsuka M, Majima T. Efficient photocatalytic H2 evolution using NiS/ZnIn2S4 heterostructures with enhanced charge separation 991 992 and interfacial charge transfer. Appl Catal В 2019;250:163-70. 993 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.02.075.
- 994 [50] Corma A, García H, Llabrés I Xamena FX. Engineering metal organic 995 frameworks for heterogeneous catalysis. Chem Rev 2010;110:4606–55. 996 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr9003924.
- 997 [51] Pannwitz A, Wenger OS. Proton-coupled multi-electron transfer and its 998 relevance for artificial photosynthesis and photoredox catalysis. Chemical 999 Communications 2019;55:4004–14. https://doi.org/10.1039/c9cc00821g.
- Ejeromedoghene O, Oderinde O, Okoye CO, Oladipo A, Alli YA. Microporous metal-organic frameworks based on deep eutectic solvents for adsorption of toxic gases and volatile organic compounds: A review. Chemical Engineering Journal Advances 2022;12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceja.2022.100361.
- Hwang A, Bhan A. Deactivation of Zeolites and Zeotypes in Methanol-to-Hydrocarbons Catalysis: Mechanisms and Circumvention. Acc Chem Res 2019:2647–56. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.9b00204.
- 1007 [54] Choudhary A, Das B, Ray S. Encapsulated Schiff base nickel complex in zeolite 1008 Y: Correlation between catalytic activities and extent of distortion supported by

- experimental and DFT studies. Inorganica Chim Acta 2017;462:256–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ica.2017.04.003.
- 1011 [55] Li S, Yang H, Wang S, Wang J, Fan W, Dong M. Improvement of adsorption and catalytic properties of zeolites by precisely controlling their particle morphology. Chemical Communications 2022;58:2041–54. https://doi.org/10.1039/d1cc05537b.
- 1015 [56] Algieri C, Drioli E. Zeolite membranes: Synthesis and applications. Sep Purif 1016 Technol 2022;278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2021.119295.
- 1017 [57] Madhu J, Ramakrishnan VM, Santhanam A, Natarajan M, Palanisamy B, Velauthapillai D, et al. Comparison of three different structures of zeolites prepared by template-free hydrothermal method and its CO2 adsorption properties. Environ Res 2022:113949. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113949.
- 1022 [58] Kubička D, Kikhtyanin O. Opportunities for zeolites in biomass upgrading-1023 Lessons from the refining and petrochemical industry. Catal Today 1024 2015;243:10–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2014.07.043.
- Ennaert T, van Aelst J, Dijkmans J, de Clercq R, Schutyser W, Dusselier M, et al. Potential and challenges of zeolite chemistry in the catalytic conversion of biomass. Chem Soc Rev 2016;45:584–611. https://doi.org/10.1039/c5cs00859j.
- 1028 [60] Allendorf MD, Schwartzberg A, Stavila V, Talin AA. A roadmap to implementing metal-organic frameworks in electronic devices: Challenges and critical directions. Chemistry A European Journal 2011;17:11372–88. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201101595.
- 1032 [61] Usman M. Concatenated convolution-polar codes over Rayleigh channels
 1033 degraded as erasure channels. Proceedings of the 10th International Conference
 1034 on Intelligent Systems and Control, ISCO 2016, Institute of Electrical and
 1035 Electronics Engineers Inc.; 2016. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISCO.2016.7727053.
- Wang D, Li Z. Iron-based metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) for visible-light-induced photocatalysis. Research on Chemical Intermediates 2017;43:5169–86.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11164-017-3042-0.
- Thang T, Lin W. Metal-organic frameworks for artificial photosynthesis and photocatalysis. Chem Soc Rev 2014;43:5982–93. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cs00103f.
- 1042 [64] Ezugwu CI, Liu S, Li C, Zhuiykov S, Roy S, Verpoort F. Engineering metal-1043 organic frameworks for efficient photocatalytic conversion of CO2 into solar 1044 fuels. Coord Chem Rev 2022;450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2021.214245.

- 1045 [65] Alvaro M, Carbonell E, Ferrer B, Llabrés I Xamena FX, Garcia H.
 1046 Semiconductor behavior of a metal-organic framework (MOF). Chemistry A
 1047 European Journal 2007;13:5106–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200601003.
- 1048 [66] Gordillo MA, Benavides PA, Panda DK, Saha S. The Advent of Electrically Conducting Double-Helical Metal-Organic Frameworks Featuring Butterfly1050 Shaped Electron-Rich π-Extended Tetrathiafulvalene Ligands. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2020;12:12955–61. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b20234.
- Lu J, Wang SH, Li Y, Wang WF, Sun C, Li PX, et al. Heat-resistant Pb(ii)-based X-ray scintillating metal-organic frameworks for sensitive dosage detectionviaan aggregation-induced luminescent chromophore. Dalton Transactions 2020;49:7309–14. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0dt00974a.
- Ludig S, Haller M, Bauer N. Tackling long-term climate change together: The case of flexible CCS and fluctuating renewable energy. Energy Procedia, vol. 4, Elsevier Ltd; 2011, p. 2580–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.156.
- 1059 [69] Miyagawa T, Matsuhashi R, Murai S, Muraok M. Comparative assessment of CCS with other technologies mitigating climate change. Energy Procedia, vol. 4, Elsevier Ltd; 2011, p. 5710–4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.565.
- 1062 [70] Koljonen T, Flyktman M, Lehtilä A, Pahkala K, Peltola E, Savolainen I. The role 1063 of CCS and renewables in tackling climate change. Energy Procedia, vol. 1, 1064 2009, p. 4323–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2009.02.245.
- Hanaoka T, Masui T. Exploring the 2 °c Target Scenarios by Considering Climate Benefits and Health Benefits Role of Biomass and CCS. Energy Procedia, vol. 114, Elsevier Ltd; 2017, p. 2618–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.03.1424.
- 1069 [72] Flaig RW, Osborn Popp TM, Fracaroli AM, Kapustin EA, Kalmutzki MJ, Altamimi RM, et al. The Chemistry of CO2 Capture in an Amine-Functionalized 1071 Metal-Organic Framework under Dry and Humid Conditions. J Am Chem Soc 2017;139:12125–8. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b06382.
- 1073 [73] Zou X;, Zhu G. CO2 Capture with MOF Membranes: In Microporous Materials for Separation Membranes. Hoboken, NJ, USA: John Wiley & Sons; 2019.
- 1075 [74] Kang Z, Fan L, Sun D. Recent advances and challenges of metal-organic framework membranes for gas separation. J Mater Chem A Mater 2017;5:10073–91. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ta01142c.
- 1078 [75] Elhenawy SEM, Khraisheh M, Almomani F, Walker G. Metal-organic frameworks as a platform for CO2 capture and chemical processes: Adsorption, membrane separation, catalytic-conversion, and electrochemical reduction of CO2. Catalysts 2020;10:1–33. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10111293.

- 1082 [76] Xiang Z, Peng X, Cheng X, Li X, Cao D. CNT@Cu3(BTC)2 and metal-organic 1083 frameworks for separation of CO2/CH4 mixture. Journal of Physical Chemistry 1084 C 2011;115:19864–71. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp206959k.
- 1085 [77] Li T, Sullivan JE, Rosi NL. Design and preparation of a core-shell metal-organic framework for selective CO2 capture. J Am Chem Soc 2013;135:9984–7. https://doi.org/10.1021/ja403008j.
- Qian D, Lei C, Hao GP, Li WC, Lu AH. Synthesis of hierarchical porous carbon monoliths with incorporated metal-organic frameworks for enhancing volumetric based CO2 capture capability. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2012;4:6125–32. https://doi.org/10.1021/am301772k.
- 1092 [79] Hayashi H, Côté AP, Furukawa H, O'Keeffe M, Yaghi OM. Zeolite A 1093 imidazolate frameworks. Nat Mater 2007;6:501–6. 1094 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1927.
- 1095 [80] Chen B, Ma S, Hurtado EJ, Lobkovsky EB, Zhou HC. A triply interpenetrated microporous metal-organic framework for selective sorption of gas molecules.

 1097 Inorg Chem 2007;46:8490–2. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic7014034.
- Masoomi MY, Stylianou KC, Morsali A, Retailleau P, Maspoch D. Selective CO2 capture in metal-organic frameworks with azine-functionalized pores generated by mechanosynthesis. Cryst Growth Des 2014;14:2092–6. https://doi.org/10.1021/cg500033b.
- 1102 [82] Ma S, Wang X sen, Manis ES, Collier CD, Zhou HC. Metal-organic framework 1103 based on a trinickel secondary building unit exhibiting gas-sorption hysteresis. 1104 Inorg Chem 2007;46:3432–4. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic070338v.
- Hong DH, Suh MP. Selective CO2adsorption in a metal—organic framework constructed from an organic ligand with flexible joints. Chemical Communications 2012;48:9168–70. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cc34482c.
- 1108 [84] Kitaura R, Seki K, Akiyama G, Kitagawa S. Flexible Coordination Polymers 1109 Porous Coordination-Polymer Crystals with Gated Channels Specific for 1110 Supercritical Gases**. Angew Chem Int Ed 2003;42:428–31.
- 1111 [85] Maji TK, Matsuda R, Kitagawa S. A flexible interpenetrating coordination 1112 framework with a bimodal porous functionality. Nat Mater 2007;6:142–8. 1113 https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1827.
- 1114 [86] Lin Y, Yan Q, Kong C, Chen L. Polyethyleneimine incorporated metal-organic 1115 frameworks adsorbent for highly selective CO2 capture. Sci Rep 2013;3. 1116 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep01859.
- 1117 [87] Bataille T, Bracco S, Comotti A, Costantino F, Guerri A, Ienco A, et al. Solvent 1118 dependent synthesis of micro-and nano-crystalline phosphinate based 1D tubular

- MOF: Structure and CO2 adsorption selectivity. CrystEngComm 2012;14:7170–1120 3. https://doi.org/10.1039/c2ce26138c.
- 1121 [88] Llewellyn PL, Bourrelly S, Serre C, Filinchuk Y, Férey G. How hydration drastically improves adsorption selectivity for CO 2 over CH4 in the flexible chromium terephthalate MIL-53. Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2006;45:7751–4. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200602278.
- 21125 [89] Zheng B, Yun R, Bai J, Lu Z, Du L, Li Y. Expanded porous MOF-505 analogue exhibiting large hydrogen storage capacity and selective carbon dioxide adsorption. Inorg Chem 2013;52:2823–9. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic301598n.
- 1128 [90] Chen B, Ma S, Zapata F, Fronczek FR, Lobkovsky EB, Zhou HC. Rationally designed micropores within a metal-organic framework for selective sorption of gas molecules. Inorg Chem 2007;46:1233–6. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic0616434.
- 1131 [91] Adabala S, Dutta DP. A review on recent advances in metal chalcogenide-based 1132 photocatalysts for CO2reduction. J Environ Chem Eng 2022;10:107763. 1133 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2022.107763.
- Ezugwu CI, Liu S, Li C, Zhuiykov S, Roy S, Verpoort F. Engineering metalorganic frameworks for efficient photocatalytic conversion of CO2 into solar fuels. Coord Chem Rev 2022;450:214245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2021.214245.
- 1138 [93] Toyao T, Saito M, Dohshi S, Mochizuki K, Iwata M, Higashimura H, et al.
 1139 Development of a Ru complex-incorporated MOF photocatalyst for hydrogen
 1140 production under visible-light irradiation. Chemical Communications
 1141 2014;50:6779–81. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cc02397h.
- 1142 [94] Deng X, Li Z, García H. Visible Light Induced Organic Transformations Using 1143 Metal-Organic-Frameworks (MOFs). Chemistry - A European Journal 1144 2017;23:11189–209. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201701460.
- 1145 [95] Al-Rowaili FN, Zahid U, Onaizi S, Khaled M, Jamal A, AL-Mutairi EM. A 1146 review for Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) utilization in capture and 1147 conversion of carbon dioxide into valuable products. Journal of CO2 Utilization 1148 2021;53:101715. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2021.101715.
- 1149 [96] Toyao T, Saito M, Dohshi S, Mochizuki K, Iwata M, Higashimura H, et al.
 1150 Development of a Ru complex-incorporated MOF photocatalyst for hydrogen
 1151 production under visible-light irradiation. Chemical Communications
 1152 2014;50:6779–81. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cc02397h.
- 1153 [97] Zhang Y, Liu H, Gao F, Tan X, Cai Y, Hu B, et al. Application of MOFs and COFs for photocatalysis in CO2 reduction, H2 generation, and environmental

treatment. EnergyChem 2022;4:100078. 1155 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enchem.2022.100078. 1156 Alvaro M, Carbonell E, Ferrer B, Llabrés I Xamena FX, Garcia H. 1157 [98] Semiconductor behavior of a metal-organic framework (MOF). Chemistry - A 1158 European Journal 2007;13:5106–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200601003. 1159 Ješić D, Lašič Jurković D, Pohar A, Suhadolnik L, Likozar B. Engineering [99] 1160 photocatalytic and photoelectrocatalytic CO2 reduction reactions: Mechanisms, 1161 intrinsic kinetics, mass transfer resistances, reactors and multi-scale modelling 1162 simulations. Chemical Engineering Journal 2021;407. 1163 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126799. 1164 1165 [100] Mu Q, Zhu W, Li X, Zhang C, Su Y, Lian Y, et al. Electrostatic charge transfer for boosting the photocatalytic CO2 reduction on metal centers of 2D MOF/rGO 1166 1167 heterostructure. Appl Catal В 2020;262. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2019.118144. 1168 [101] Omar S, Shkir M, Ajmal Khan M, Ahmad Z, AlFaify S. A comprehensive study 1169 on molecular geometry, optical, HOMO-LUMO, and nonlinear properties of 1,3-1170 diphenyl-2-propen-1-ones chalcone and its derivatives for optoelectronic 1171 applications: computational **Optik** (Stuttg) 2020;204. 1172 A approach. 1173 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2020.164172. 1174 [102] Ma S, Wang X sen, Manis ES, Collier CD, Zhou HC. Metal-organic framework based on a trinickel secondary building unit exhibiting gas-sorption hysteresis. 1175 Inorg Chem 2007;46:3432–4. https://doi.org/10.1021/ic070338v. 1176 [103] Al-Rowaili FN, Zahid U, Onaizi S, Khaled M, Jamal A, AL-Mutairi EM. A 1177 review for Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) utilization in capture and 1178 conversion of carbon dioxide into valuable products. Journal of CO2 Utilization 1179 1180 2021;53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2021.101715. 1181 [104] Zhang W, Mohamed AR, Ong WJ. Z-Scheme Photocatalytic Systems for Carbon Dioxide Reduction: Where Are We Now? Angewandte Chemie - International 1182 Edition 2020;59:22894–915. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201914925. 1183 [105] Xu O, Zhang L, Yu J, Wageh S, Al-ghamdi AA, Jaroniec M. Direct Z-scheme 1184 photocatalysts: Principles, synthesis, and applications. Materials Today 1185 2018;xxx. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mattod.2018.04.008. 1186

[106] Low J, Jiang C, Cheng B, Wageh S, Al-Ghamdi AA, Yu J. A Review of Direct

Small

Photocatalysts.

https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.201700080.

Methods

2017;1.

1187

1188

1189

Z-Scheme

- 1190 [107] Okoye-Chine CG, Otun K, Shiba N, Rashama C, Ugwu SN, Onyeaka H, et al.
- 1191 Conversion of carbon dioxide into fuels—A review. Journal of CO2 Utilization
- 2022;62:102099. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCOU.2022.102099.
- 1193 [108] Sato S, Arai T, Morikawa T. Toward solar-driven photocatalytic CO2 reduction
- using water as an electron donor. Inorg Chem 2015;54:5105–13.
- 1195 https://doi.org/10.1021/ic502766g.
- 1196 [109] Nakada A, Kuriki R, Sekizawa K, Nishioka S, Vequizo JJM, Uchiyama T, et al.
- Effects of Interfacial Electron Transfer in Metal Complex-Semiconductor Hybrid
- Photocatalysts on Z-Scheme CO2 Reduction under Visible Light. ACS Catal
- 2018;8:9744–54. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b03062.
- 1200 [110] Tsounis C, Kuriki R, Shibata K, Jhon M. Vequizo J, Lu D, Yamakata A, et al.
- 1201 Copolymerization Approach to Improving Ru(II)-Complex/C3N4 Hybrid
- Photocatalysts for Visible-Light CO2 Reduction. ACS Sustainable Chemistry
- 1203 & amp; Engineering 2018;6:15333–40.
- https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b03782.
- 1205 [111] Shirai S, Sato S, M. Suzuki T, Jinnouchi R, Ohba N, Asahi R, et al. Effects of
- Ta2O5 Surface Modification by NH3 on the Electronic Structure of a Ru-
- 1207 Complex/N-Ta2O5 Hybrid Photocatalyst for Selective CO2 Reduction. The
- Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2018;122:1921–9.
- 1209 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.7b09670.
- 1210 [112] Huang P, Pantovich SA, Okolie NO, Deskins NA, Li G. Hybrid Carbon Dioxide
- Reduction Photocatalysts Consisting of Macrocyclic Cobalt(III) Complexes
- Deposited on Semiconductor Surfaces. ChemPhotoChem 2020;4:420-6.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/cptc.201900282.
- 1214 [113] Roy S, Reisner E. Visible-Light-Driven CO2 Reduction by Mesoporous Carbon
- 1215 Nitride Modified with Polymeric Cobalt Phthalocyanine. Angewandte Chemie -
- 1216 International Edition 2019;58:12180–4. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201907082.
- 1217 [114] Woo S-J, Choi S, Kim S-Y, Soo Kim P, Hyoung Jo J, Hoon Kim C, et al. Highly
- Selective and Durable Photochemical CO2 Reduction by Molecular Mn(I)
- 1219 Catalyst Fixed on a Particular Dye-Sensitized TiO2 Platform. ACS Catal
- 2019;9:2580–93. https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.8b03816.
- 1221 [115] Cheong H-Y, Kim S-Y, Cho Y-J, Won Cho D, Hoon Kim C, Son H-J, et al.
- Photosensitization Behavior of Ir(III) Complexes in Selective Reduction of CO2
- by Re(I)-Complex-Anchored TiO2 Hybrid Catalyst. Inorg Chem
- 2017;56:12042–53. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.7b01963.
- 1225 [116] Huang G, Shen Q, Ma X, Zhong J, Chen J, Huang J, et al. Preparation of an
- 1226 In2S3/TiO2 Heterostructure for Enhanced Activity in Carbon Dioxide

- Photocatalytic Reduction. ChemPhotoChem 2021;5:438–44.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/cptc.202000295.
- 1229 [117] Che Y, Lu B, Qi Q, Chang H, Zhai J, Wang K, et al. Bio-inspired Z-scheme g-
- 1230 C3N4/Ag2CrO4 for efficient visible-light photocatalytic hydrogen generation.
- 1231 Sci Rep 2018;8:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-34287-w.
- 1232 [118] Sun N, Zhou M, Ma X, Cheng Z, Wu J, Qi Y, et al. Self-assembled spherical
- In2O3/BiOI heterojunctions for enhanced photocatalytic CO2 reduction activity.
- 1234 Journal of CO2 Utilization 2022;65:102220.
- 1235 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCOU.2022.102220.
- 1236 [119] Xu F, Meng K, Cheng B, Wang S, Xu J, Yu J. Unique S-scheme heterojunctions
- in self-assembled TiO2/CsPbBr3 hybrids for CO2 photoreduction. Nat Commun
- 2020;11:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18350-7.
- 1239 [120] Xu Q, Zhang L, Cheng B, Fan J, Yu J. S-Scheme Heterojunction Photocatalyst.
- 1240 Chem 2020;6:1543–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chempr.2020.06.010.
- 1241 [121] Zhang X, Kim D, Yan J, Lee LYS. Photocatalytic CO2Reduction Enabled by
- 1242 Interfacial S-Scheme Heterojunction between Ultrasmall Copper Phosphosulfide
- and g-C3N4. ACS Appl Mater Interfaces 2021;13:9762–70.
- 1244 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c17926.
- 1245 [122] Wang L, Cheng B, Zhang L, Yu J. In situ Irradiated XPS Investigation on S-
- Scheme TiO2@ZnIn2S4 Photocatalyst for Efficient Photocatalytic CO2
- Reduction. Small 2021;17. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202103447.
- 1248 [123] Li D, Zhou J, Zhang Z, Jiang Y, Dong Z, Xu J, et al. Enhanced Photocatalytic
- Activity for CO2Reduction over a CsPbBr3/CoAl-LDH Composite: Insight into
- the S-Scheme Charge Transfer Mechanism. ACS Appl Energy Mater 2022.
- 1251 https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem.2c00612.
- 1252 [124] Wang Y, He W, Xiong J, Tang Z, Wei Y, Wang X, et al. MIL-68 (In)-derived
- In2O3@TiO2 S-scheme heterojunction with hierarchical hollow structure for
- selective photoconversion of CO2 to hydrocarbon fuels. Fuel 2023;331.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.125719.
- 1256 [125] Zhang L, Zhang J, Yu H, Yu J. Emerging S-Scheme Photocatalyst. Advanced
- 1257 Materials 2022;34. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202107668.
- 1258 [126] Gai P, Yu W, Zhao H, Qi R, Li F, Liu L, et al. Solar-Powered Organic
- Semiconductor–Bacteria Biohybrids for CO2 Reduction into Acetic Acid.
- 1260 Angewandte Chemie International Edition 2020;59:7224–9.
- https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202001047.