

Screening of patients born small for gestational age with the Silver-Russell syndrome phenotype for DLK1 variants

Aurélie Pham, Marie-Laure Sobrier, Eloïse Giabicani, Marilyne Le Jules Fernandes, Delphine Mitanchez, Fréderic Brioude, Irène Netchine

▶ To cite this version:

Aurélie Pham, Marie-Laure Sobrier, Eloïse Giabicani, Marilyne Le Jules Fernandes, Delphine Mitanchez, et al.. Screening of patients born small for gestational age with the Silver-Russell syndrome phenotype for DLK1 variants. European Journal of Human Genetics, 2021, 29 (12), pp.1756-1761. 10.1038/s41431-021-00927-5. hal-04026789

HAL Id: hal-04026789 https://hal.science/hal-04026789

Submitted on 29 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Screening of patients born small for gestational age with the Silver-Russell syndrome			
2	phenotype for DLK1 variants.			
3	Running Title: DLK1 variants are not involved in Silver Russell syndrome			
4				
5	Aurélie PHAM ¹ , Marie-Laure SOBRIER ² , Eloïse GIABICANI ³ , Marilyne LE JULES			
6	FERNANDES ⁴ , Delphine MITANCHEZ ² , Fréderic BRIOUDE ³ , and Irène			
7	NETCHINE ³ .			
8	1. Sorbonne Université, INSERM UMR_S 938, Centre de Recherche Saint			
9	Antoine, AP-HP, Hôpital Armand Trousseau, service de néonatologie, 75012,			
10	Paris, France.			
11	2. Sorbonne Université, INSERM UMR_S 938, Centre de Recherche Saint			
12	Antoine, 75012, Paris, France.			
13	3. Sorbonne Université, INSERM UMR_S 938, Centre de Recherche Saint			
14	Antoine, APHP, Hôpital Armand Trousseau, Explorations Fonctionnelles			
15	Endocriniennes, F-75012, Paris, France			
16	4. APHP, Hôpital Armand Trousseau, Laboratoire de Biologie Moléculaire			
17	Endocrinienne, F-75012, Paris, France			
18 19 20	Corresponding author: irene.netchine@aphp.fr			

22 ABSTRACT

23 Silver–Russell syndrome (SRS) is a rare imprinting disorder associated with prenatal 24 and postnatal growth retardation. Loss of methylation (LOM) on chromosome 11p15 is 25 observed in 40 to 60% of patients and maternal uniparental disomy (mUPD) for 26 chromosome 7 (upd(7)mat) in approximately 5 to 10%. Patients with LOM or mUPD 27 14q32 can present clinically as SRS. Delta like non-canonical Notch ligand 1 (DLK1) is 28 one of the imprinted genes expressed from chromosome 14q32. Dlk1-null mice display 29 fetal growth restriction but no genetic defects of DLK1 have been described in human 30 patients born small for gestational age (SGA). We screened a cohort of SGA patients 31 with a SRS phenotype for *DLK1* variants using a next-generation sequencing (NGS) 32 approach to search for new molecular defects responsible for SRS. Patients born SGA 33 with a clinical suspicion of SRS and normal methylation by molecular testing at the 34 11p15 or 14q32 loci and upd(7)mat were screened for DLK1 variants using targeted 35 NGS. Among 132 patients, only two rare variants of DLK1 were identified 36 (p.(Gly35Arg) and p.(His65Arg)). Both variants were inherited from the mother of the 37 patients, which does not favor a role in pathogenicity, as the mono-allelic expression of 38 DLK1 is from the paternal-inherited allele. We did not identify any pathogenic variants 39 in *DLK1* in a large cohort of SGA patients with a SRS phenotype. *DLK1* variants are 40 not a common cause of SGA.

41

42 Keywords: Silver-Russell syndrome, small for gestational age, *DLK1*, next-generation
43 sequencing

44 INTRODUCTION

45 Fetal growth restriction (FGR), defined as the failure of the fetus to reach its genetically 46 determined growth potential, is one of the most common causes of perinatal mortality 47 and morbidity (1). It results from multiple causes, such as genetic and epigenetic 48 alterations, the environment, hormonal dysregulation, or placental vascular dysfunction. 49 More than 150 genetic disorders have been associated with FGR (2).

50 Silver–Russell syndrome (SRS, OMIM #180860) is a rare but well known imprinting 51 disorder (3). Clinical diagnosis of SRS is considered if a patient shows at least four of 52 the six criteria of the Netchine-Harbison clinical scoring system (NH-CSS) (3,4), which 53 includes pre- and post-natal growth retardation, relative macrocephaly at birth, body 54 asymmetry, protruding forehead, and early feeding difficulties. An underlying 55 molecular cause is identified in approximately 60% of patients with SRS (3,5). Among 56 them, loss of methylation at H19/IGF2:IG-DMR (also called ICR1) on chromosome 57 11p15 (11p15 LOM) is observed in 40 to 60% and maternal uniparental disomy for 58 chromosome 7 (upd(7)mat) in approximately 5 to 10% (3,5–7). The recent international 59 consensus of SRS recommends additional molecular testing in cases of normal 60 methylation on chromosomes 11 and 7, including screening of cyclin D kinase inhibitor 61 1c (CDKN1C) and insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2) genes (8,9). Since the first 62 consensus on SRS, new molecular defects have been identified in high mobility group 63 AT-hook 2 (HMGA2) and pleiomorphic adenoma gene 1 (PLAG1) in patients with a clinical presentation of SRS (10,11). The recent use of next-generation sequencing 64 65 (NGS) for SRS patients has improved the molecular diagnosis (12–16), but more than 66 30% of patients with SRS remain without an identified molecular cause.

67 Temple Syndrome (TS) is another rare cause of prenatal and postnatal growth restriction 68 caused by disruption of the 14q32 imprinted region. In this region, MEG3/DLK1:IG-69 DMR is normally methylated on the paternal allele (17), resulting in Delta like non-70 canonical Notch ligand 1 (DLK1), retrotransposon Gag like 1 (RTL1), and 71 Iodothyronine Deiodinase 3 (DIO3) expression from the paternal allele (18). In contrast, 72 long noncoding RNAs (maternally expressed 3 (MEG3) and maternally expressed 8 73 (MEG8)), microRNAs, and small nucleolar RNAs are expressed by the unmethylated 74 maternal allele (Figure 1). Maternal uniparental disomy of chromosome 14 75 (upd(14)mat), hypomethylation of MEG3/DLK1:IG-DMR, and paternal deletion of this 76 region all lead to the phenotype of TS. Clinical overlap between SRS and TS has been 77 described and Geoffron et al. reported 73% of patients with 14q32 disruption scoring 78 positively for SRS, with a NHCSS $\geq 4/6$ (19–22). According to Geoffron *et al.*, 14q32 79 disruption may be considered to be an alternative molecular diagnosis of SRS and 80 MEG3/DLK1:IG-DMR methylation should be tested in cases of negative results for 81 other molecular testing of SRS patients (3).

82 DLK1 is widely expressed during fetal development. It encodes a transmembrane 83 glycoprotein with six epidermal growth factor (EGF)-like motifs in its extracellular 84 domain, a juxtamembrane region with a TACE-mediated cleavage site, a single 85 transmembrane domain, and a short cytoplasmic tail (18). The exact function of DLK1 86 is uncertain but it is involved in adipogenesis and appears to play an important role in 87 preserving the pool of various progenitor cells until they differentiate (18). With the 88 generation of *Dlk1*-knockout mice, Moon *et al.* demonstrated overlapping phenotypes 89 between *Dlk1*-null mice and human upd(14)mat, including growth retardation. They 90 hypothesized that loss of *Dlk1* expression may be responsible for most of the symptoms observed in human upd(14)mat (23). Paternally inherited *DLK1* variants have been
recently identified in patients with central precocious puberty (CPP) but no growth
retardation (24,25). Genetic defects of *DLK1* have never been described in patients with
FGR.

We screened *DLK1* variants in a cohort of patients born SGA with a SRS phenotype
using an NGS approach to search for new molecular defects responsible for SRS and
assess the role of *DLK1* in fetal growth.

98

99 **METHODS**

100 Population studied. Patients included were referred to our molecular laboratory 101 because they were born SGA (birth length and/or weight with a standard deviation score 102 (SDS) < -2 (26)) with a clinical suspicion of SRS. Patients born SGA with a NH-CSS \geq 103 4/6 or a NH-CSS = 3/6 with a strong clinical suspicion of SRS (relative macrocephaly 104 and/or protruding forehead), negative molecular testing for H19/IGF2:IG-DMR 105 (11p15.5) and DLK1/MEG3:IG-DMR (14q32.2) loss of methylation and upd(7)mat, and 106 negative molecular testing for CDKN1C, IGF2, HMGA2, and PLAG1 variants were 107 included in this study. Written informed consent for participation was received from all 108 patients or parents, in accordance with national ethics rules (Assistance Publique-109 Hôpitaux de Paris authorization no. 681). Patients were either followed at Armand 110 Trousseau Children's Hospital or referred by other clinical centers for molecular 111 analysis. Postnatal growth parameters are expressed as SDS according to charts by 112 Sempé and Pedron (27). Blood samples were collected during routine biological follow-113 up at clinical visits. DNA was extracted in our laboratory from peripheral blood samples

using an in-house protocol after cell lysis by a salting-out procedure, as previouslydescribed (28,29).

116 Next-generation sequencing. *DLK1* was sequenced as a SRS candidate gene using 117 targeted sequencing. Library preparation, gene enrichment, sequencing, and data 118 analysis were performed by IntegraGen SA (Evry, France) or by our laboratory with a 119 pipeline designed by SOPHiA GENETICS (Lausanne, Switzerland).

Sanger sequencing. Variations of *DLK1* identified through NGS were verified for the probands and their parents by Sanger sequencing using the ABI PRISM Big Dye Terminator v3.0 Cycle Sequencing Kit and an ABI 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies, Courtaboeuf, France).

124 In silico analysis. The allele frequency was checked in the GnomAD database (online 125 https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/) to predict the functional consequences of any 126 identified *DLK1* variants. Interspecies alignment of *DLK1* was performed using Clustal 127 Omega (online tool from The European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI), 128 http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) and damage prediction scores were obtained 129 using the Polyphen-2 bioinformatic tool (30). Variants were also classified as benign or 130 likely benign, pathogenic or likely pathogenic, or of uncertain significance following 131 the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for 132 Molecular Pathology (ACMG/AMP) classification of variants (31). Six main categories 133 are evaluated according to these guidelines: population data (prevalence of the variant in 134 control populations), computational in silico predictive data, functional characterization, 135 segregation, de novo data, and allelic data.

136 Statistical analysis. Characteristics of the population are described as percentages for

137 qualitative variables or as the SDS and mean (range) for quantitative variables.

138

139 **RESULTS**

140 Clinical characteristics of patients screened for DLK1 variants

Samples from 132 patients referred for molecular genetic testing for SRS and without disturbances of 11p15 and 14q32 methylation or upd(7)mat were analyzed using a targeted NSG-based approach (93 by Integragen and 39 using the pipeline of SOPHiA GENETICS). Clinical data for at least four NH-CSS criteria were available for all patients and was complete (all six criteria available) for 61 (46%). All patients were born SGA. Patient characteristics are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

147 Screening for *DLK1* variants

Among the 132 patients born SGA with a clinical suspicion of SRS, only two rare heterozygous variants of *DLK1* were identified in two independent patients (NM_003836.6:c.103G>C, p.(Gly35Arg) and NM_003836.6: c.194A>G, p.(His65Arg)).

152 Phenotype of patients with identified *DLK1* variants

Patient 1 was the third female child of two non-consanguineous parents. The proband's parents were healthy. The final height of the father was 176 cm (0.2 SDS) and that of the mother 152 cm (-2.0 SDS). Proband's mother was not born SGA and had no clinical features of SRS. The proband's sisters were healthy, without prenatal or postnatal growth restriction. Patient 1 was born at 36 + 2 weeks of amenorrhea (WA). Her birth weight was 1,930 g (-1.9 SDS), birth length 41 cm (-3.6 SDS), and head circumference 159 31cm (-1.6 SDS). She did not experience catch up growth, with a height of 98.5 cm (-160 3.3 SDS), weight of 13.5 kg (-3.4 SDS), and head circumference of 49 cm (-1.5 SDS) at 161 six years of age. She had no other remarkable features. She was not treated by growth-162 hormone (GH) therapy. She had feeding difficulties and a protruding forehead and 163 fulfilled 5 of the 6 criteria of the NH-CSS. NGS sequencing of DLK1 revealed the 164 heterozygous NM 003836.6: c.103G>C variant located in exon 2, predicting an amino-165 acid substitution at codon 35 (p.(Gly35Arg)). Gly35 is located within the first EGF-like 166 motif in the extracellular domain of DLK1. This variant was inherited from her healthy 167 mother, who carried the same heterozygous variant (Figure 2).

168 Patient 2 was the first female child of two non-consanguineous parents. The mother's 169 final height was 169 cm (1.0 SDS). The proband's father was born SGA at 35 WA. The 170 father's birth weight and height were 1,290g (-3.4 SDS) and 38 cm (-4.7 SDS). Father's 171 head circumference at birth was unknown. The proband's father had no feeding 172 difficulties during childhood and initially experienced catch-up growth with a height at -1 SDS between 10 and 14 years of age, but his final height was only 163 cm (-2.0 SDS). 173 174 He was not treated with GH therapy. NH-CSS of proband's father was 1/4. Patient 2 175 was a 29 WA-preterm girl with a birth weight of 920 g (-1.9 SDS), birth length of 34 176 cm (-3.0 SDS), and head circumference of 25 cm (-1.2 SDS). SGA was diagnosed in the 177 second trimester of gestation. She did not develop feeding difficulties but had a protruding forehead. By 16 months of age, she had not experienced catch-up growth, 178 179 with a height of 68.5 cm (-3.0 SDS) and a head circumference of 44.5 cm (-1.0 SDS). 180 She was suspected of having SRS, with a NH-CSS = 4/6 and fifth finger clinodactyly. 181 At five years of age, she experienced premature adrenarche without precocious puberty, 182 responsible for catch-up growth, with a height of 105.4 cm (-0.8 SDS). NGS sequencing

of *DLK1* revealed that she carried a heterozygous NM_003836.6:c.194A>G variation in
exon 3 of *DLK1*, predicting an amino-acid substitution at codon 65 (p.(His65Arg)).
His65 is located within the second EGF-like motif of the extracellular domain of *DLK1*.
This variant was inherited from her healthy mother who carried the same heterozygous
variant (Figure 2).

188 In silico analysis of the two DLK1 variations

189 The two variants NM 003836.6:c.103G>C p.(Gly35Arg) and NM 003836.6: 190 c.194A>G p.(His65Arg) are described in GnomAD and dbSNP (rs762558665 and rs147224004) with an allele frequency in the general population of 7.0 x 10^{-6} and 191 4.7×10^{-4} , respectively. Interspecies alignment of the amino-acid sequences of *DLK1* 192 193 that residue Gly35 is invariant in vertebrates. showed The variation 194 NM_003836.6:c.103G>C p.(Gly35Arg) is predicted to be probably damaging, with a 195 score of 1.000 by the Polyphen-2 bioinformatic tools of variation damage prediction. 196 This variant is classified as a variant of uncertain significance (class 3) according to the 197 ACMP/AMP classification (PM2-PP3).

Residue His65 is conserved only within Pan Troglodytes. Polyphen-2 predicted the
variation p.His65Arg to be benign, with a score of 0.215. Variant NM_003836.6:
c.194A>G p.(His65Arg) is classified as likely benign (class 1) according to the
ACMP/AMP classification (PM2-BP4-BS4).

202 The two variants NM_003836.6:c.103G>C p.(Gly35Arg) and NM_003836.6: 203 c.194A>G p.(His65Arg) were not described in clinvar. We submitted it ... (à 204 completer).

205

206 **DISCUSSION**

207 We found two rare heterozygous variants of DLK1 in a cohort of 132 SGA patients with 208 clinical suspicion of SRS and no identified molecular defects. The variants have already 209 been reported in databases but with a low frequency. However, caution should be paid 210 about variants frequencies regarding imprinted genes, as such a variant might have a 211 different clinical impact depending on the maternal or parental inheritance. Segregation 212 analysis did not favor a pathogenic effect of these two variants, as they were both on the 213 maternal allele, which is silent due to the maternal imprint of this gene. Thus, we did 214 not identify any pathogenic *DLK1* variants in our cohort.

215 No variants of DLK1 have been reported in SGA patients. Dauber et al. identified a 216 complex defect of DLK1 (14-kb deletion and 269-bp duplication) in four patients with 217 familial central precocious puberty (CPP). The four patients did not show prenatal or 218 postnatal growth failure, and other classical clinical features of Temple or Silver-Russell 219 syndrome, such as feeding difficulties, facial dysmorphia, precocious obesity, and 220 relative macrocephaly, were excluded (24). Gomes et al. identified three frameshift 221 variants of DLK1 (p.Gly199Alafs*11, p.Val271Cysfs*14, and p.Pro160Leufs*50) in 222 five women from three families with CPP. Among them, three experienced postnatal 223 growth failure, but no data were available about birth weight or length (25). Montenegro 224 et al. described a deletion (c.401_404 + 8del) in the splice-site junction of DLK1 in a 225 girl with sporadic CPP without postnatal growth failure. No data about prenatal growth 226 were available for this patient (32).

The role of DLK1 in fetal growth is not well established. Murine models have suggested a role for *Dlk1* in fetal and postnatal growth. Indeed, *Dlk1*-null mice and heterozygous mice with paternal inheritance of the *Dlk1*-knockout allele showed prenatal and

230 postnatal growth restriction (23,33). By contrast, heterozygous mice with maternal 231 inheritance of the Dlk1-knockout allele did not experience growth restriction. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that Dlk1 promotes Gh expression. Dlk1-null mice showed 232 233 reduced pituitary GH content and mice overexpressing *Dlk1* had excessive pituitary and 234 circulating levels of GH (33,34). The modulation of GH levels could explain, at least in 235 part, the postnatal growth failure of mice lacking *Dlk1*, but not the prenatal growth 236 failure. During fetal life, *Dlk1* is expressed in the placenta in the endothelial cells of the 237 placental labyrinth but is not required for its development (18). Mice with a conditional 238 deletion of *Dlk1* in placental endothelial cells did not show FGR (35). Reduced DLK1 239 levels in maternal blood samples have been shown in the second and third trimester of 240 gestation with FGR (36,37). However, a causal relationship between low DLK1 levels 241 and FGR has not been demonstrated or whether DLK1 levels simply reflect fetal 242 weight.

To date, less is known about the contribution of individual genes of the 14q32 domain in the TS phenotype and the overlapping features with SRS. FGR could, for example, be explained by the action of several genes of the 14q32 domain in concert with genes in other imprinted domains (38). Indeed, Abi Habib *et al.* demonstrated that overexpression of *MEG3* and *MEG8* in TS patients with 14q32 hypomethylation is associated with downregulation of *IGF2* transcription from the 11p15 imprinting region (28).

In conclusion, we did not identify any variants in *DLK1* in a cohort of 132 patients with suspected SRS. Although we screened a large cohort of patients for *DLK1* variants, we cannot rule out the possibility of a role of *DLK1* in fetal growth and the SRS phenotype.

However, a frequent contribution of *DLK1* variants among the molecular causes of SRS
is unlikely. We did not identify a new molecular cause of SRS by the targeted NGS
approach. Whole exome and genome sequencing and characterization of the entire
methylome offer promising perspectives for the identification of new molecular causes
of SRS.

258

Acknowledgments: We thank the patients, their families and physicians, and the « Association Française des Familles ayant un enfant atteint du Syndrome Silver-Russell ou ne' Petit pour l'âge Gestationnel (AFIF/PAG) ». We thank Cristina DAS NEVES and Nathalie THIBAUD for their contribution of this work.

263

264 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

265

Funding: This study received collaborative grant funding from the Agence Nationale
de la Recherche (project "IMP-REGULOME", ANR-18-CE12-0022-02).

268 **Contributorship statement**

AP: conception of the work, analysis and interpretation of the data, drafting of themanuscript, and final approval of the published version.

271 MLS, DM, EG, FB, and IN: conception of the work, analysis and interpretation of the

data, critical revision of the work for important intellectual content, and final approval

273 of the published version.

274 CDN and MLJF: Acquisition of the data and final approval of the published version.

276 **REFERENCES**

- Gaccioli F, Aye ILMH, Sovio U, Charnock-Jones DS, Smith GCS. Screening for fetal growth restriction using fetal biometry combined with maternal biomarkers. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2018;218(2S):S725–37.
- Giabicani E, Pham A, Brioude F, Mitanchez D, Netchine I. Diagnosis and
 management of postnatal fetal growth restriction. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol
 Metab. 2018 Aug;32(4):523–34.
- Wakeling EL, Brioude F, Lokulo-Sodipe O, O'Connell SM, Salem J, Bliek J, et al.
 Diagnosis and management of Silver-Russell syndrome: first international
 consensus statement. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2017;13(2):105–24.
- Azzi S, Salem J, Thibaud N, Chantot-Bastaraud S, Lieber E, Netchine I, et al. A
 prospective study validating a clinical scoring system and demonstrating
 phenotypical-genotypical correlations in Silver-Russell syndrome. J Med Genet.
 2015 Jul;52(7):446–53.
- 5. Netchine I, Rossignol S, Dufourg M-N, Azzi S, Rousseau A, Perin L, et al. 11p15
 imprinting center region 1 loss of methylation is a common and specific cause of
 typical Russell-Silver syndrome: clinical scoring system and epigeneticphenotypic correlations. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007 Aug;92(8):3148–54.
- 6. Gicquel C, Rossignol S, Cabrol S, Houang M, Steunou V, Barbu V, et al.
 Epimutation of the telomeric imprinting center region on chromosome 11p15 in
 Silver-Russell syndrome. Nat Genet. 2005 Sep;37(9):1003–7.
- Kotzot D, Schmitt S, Bernasconi F, Robinson WP, Lurie IW, Ilyina H, et al.
 Uniparental disomy 7 in Silver-Russell syndrome and primordial growth
 retardation. Hum Mol Genet. 1995 Apr;4(4):583–7.
- Begemann M, Zirn B, Santen G, Wirthgen E, Soellner L, Büttel H-M, et al.
 Paternally Inherited IGF2 Mutation and Growth Restriction. N Engl J Med. 2015
 Jul 23;373(4):349–56.
- Brioude F, Oliver-Petit I, Blaise A, Praz F, Rossignol S, Le Jule M, et al.
 CDKN1C mutation affecting the PCNA-binding domain as a cause of familial
 Russell Silver syndrome. J Med Genet. 2013 Dec;50(12):823–30.
- Abi Habib W, Brioude F, Edouard T, Bennett JT, Lienhardt-Roussie A, Tixier F,
 et al. Genetic disruption of the oncogenic HMGA2-PLAG1-IGF2 pathway causes
 fetal growth restriction. Genet Med. 2018;20(2):250–8.
- 309 11. De Crescenzo A, Citro V, Freschi A, Sparago A, Palumbo O, Cubellis MV, et al.
 310 A splicing mutation of the HMGA2 gene is associated with Silver-Russell
 311 syndrome phenotype. J Hum Genet. 2015 Jun;60(6):287–93.

312 12. Akawi NA, Ali BR, Hamamy H, Al-Hadidy A, Al-Gazali L. Is autosomal 313 recessive Silver-Russel syndrome a separate entity or is it part of the 3-M 314 syndrome spectrum? Am J Med Genet A. 2011 Jun;155A(6):1236-45. 315 13. Inoue T, Nakamura A, Iwahashi-Odano M, Tanase-Nakao K, Matsubara K, Nishioka J, et al. Contribution of gene mutations to Silver-Russell syndrome 316 317 phenotype: multigene sequencing analysis in 92 etiology-unknown patients. Clin 318 Epigenetics. 2020 Jun 16;12(1):86. 319 14. Meyer R, Begemann M, Hübner CT, Dey D, Kuechler A, Elgizouli M, et al. One 320 test for all: whole exome sequencing significantly improves the diagnostic yield in 321 growth retarded patients referred for molecular testing for Silver-Russell 322 syndrome. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2021 Jan 22;16(1):42. 323 15. Meyer R, Soellner L, Begemann M, Dicks S, Fekete G, Rahner N, et al. Targeted 324 Next Generation Sequencing Approach in Patients Referred for Silver-Russell 325 Syndrome Testing Increases the Mutation Detection Rate and Provides Decisive 326 Information for Clinical Management. J Pediatr. 2017;187:206-212.e1. 327 16. Neuheuser L, Meyer R, Begemann M, Elbracht M, Eggermann T. Next generation 328 sequencing and imprinting disorders: Current applications and future perspectives: 329 Lessons from Silver-Russell syndrome. Molecular and Cellular Probes. 2019 Apr 330 1;44:1–7. 331 17. Temple IK, Cockwell A, Hassold T, Pettay D, Jacobs P. Maternal uniparental 332 disomy for chromosome 14. Journal of Medical Genetics. 1991 Aug 1;28(8):511-333 4. 334 18. Traustadóttir GÁ, Lagoni LV, Ankerstjerne LBS, Bisgaard HC, Jensen CH, 335 Andersen DC. The imprinted gene Delta like non-canonical Notch ligand 1 (Dlk1) 336 is conserved in mammals, and serves a growth modulatory role during tissue 337 development and regeneration through Notch dependent and independent 338 mechanisms. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev. 2019;46:17-27. 339 19. Geoffron S, Abi Habib W, Chantot-Bastaraud S, Dubern B, Steunou V, Azzi S, et 340 al. Chromosome 14q32.2 Imprinted Region Disruption as an Alternative 341 Molecular Diagnosis of Silver-Russell Syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2018 342 Jul 1;103(7):2436-46. 343 20. Kagami M, Nagasaki K, Kosaki R, Horikawa R, Naiki Y, Saitoh S, et al. Temple 344 syndrome: comprehensive molecular and clinical findings in 32 Japanese patients. 345 Genet Med. 2017;19(12):1356-66. 346 21. Kagami M, Mizuno S, Matsubara K, Nakabayashi K, Sano S, Fuke T, et al. 347 Epimutations of the IG-DMR and the MEG3-DMR at the 14q32.2 imprinted 348 region in two patients with Silver-Russell Syndrome-compatible phenotype. Eur J 349 Hum Genet. 2015 Aug;23(8):1062-7.

350 351 352 353	22.	Poole RL, Docherty LE, Al Sayegh A, Caliebe A, Turner C, Baple E, et al. Targeted methylation testing of a patient cohort broadens the epigenetic and clinical description of imprinting disorders. Am J Med Genet A. 2013 Sep;161A(9):2174–82.
354 355 356	23.	Moon YS, Smas CM, Lee K, Villena JA, Kim K-H, Yun EJ, et al. Mice lacking paternally expressed Pref-1/Dlk1 display growth retardation and accelerated adiposity. Mol Cell Biol. 2002 Aug;22(15):5585–92.
357 358 359	24.	Dauber A, Cunha-Silva M, Macedo DB, Brito VN, Abreu AP, Roberts SA, et al. Paternally Inherited DLK1 Deletion Associated With Familial Central Precocious Puberty. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2017 01;102(5):1557–67.
360 361 362	25.	Gomes LG, Cunha-Silva M, Crespo RP, Ramos CO, Montenegro LR, Canton A, et al. DLK1 Is a Novel Link Between Reproduction and Metabolism. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2019 Jun 1;104(6):2112–20.
363 364 365	26.	Usher R, McLean F. Intrauterine growth of live-born Caucasian infants at sea level: standards obtained from measurements in 7 dimensions of infants born between 25 and 44 weeks of gestation. J Pediatr. 1969 Jun;74(6):901–10.
366 367	27.	Sempé (M). — Auxologie, méthode et séquences. Bulletins et Mémoires de la Société d'Anthropologie de Paris. 1980;7(1):77–77.
368 369 370	28.	Abi Habib W, Brioude F, Azzi S, Rossignol S, Linglart A, Sobrier M-L, et al. Transcriptional profiling at the <i>DLK1/MEG3</i> domain explains clinical overlap between imprinting disorders. Sci Adv. 2019 Feb;5(2):eaau9425.
371 372	29.	Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. Nucleic Acids Res. 1988 Feb 11;16(3):1215.
373 374 375	30.	Adzhubei IA, Schmidt S, Peshkin L, Ramensky VE, Gerasimova A, Bork P, et al. A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations. Nat Methods. 2010 Apr;7(4):248–9.
376 377 378 379	31.	Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015 May;17(5):405–24.
380 381 382 383	32.	Montenegro L, Labarta JI, Piovesan M, Canton APM, Corripio R, Soriano-Guillén L, et al. Novel Genetic and Biochemical Findings of DLK1 in Children with Central Precocious Puberty: A Brazilian-Spanish Study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2020 Oct 1;105(10).
384 385 386	33.	Cheung LYM, Rizzoti K, Lovell-Badge R, Le Tissier PR. Pituitary phenotypes of mice lacking the notch signalling ligand delta-like 1 homologue. J Neuroendocrinol. 2013 Apr;25(4):391–401.

387 388 389	34.	Charalambous M, Da Rocha ST, Radford EJ, Medina-Gomez G, Curran S, Pinnock SB, et al. DLK1/PREF1 regulates nutrient metabolism and protects from steatosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014 Nov 11;111(45):16088–93.
390 391 392	35.	Appelbe OK, Yevtodiyenko A, Muniz-Talavera H, Schmidt JV. Conditional deletions refine the embryonic requirement for Dlk1. Mech Dev. 2013 Feb;130(2–3):143–59.
393 394 395	36.	Cleaton MAM, Dent CL, Howard M, Corish JA, Gutteridge I, Sovio U, et al. Fetus-derived DLK1 is required for maternal metabolic adaptations to pregnancy and is associated with fetal growth restriction. Nat Genet. 2016;48(12):1473–80.
396 397 398	37.	MacDonald TM, Walker SP, Hiscock R, Cannon P, Harper A, Murray E, et al. Circulating Delta-like homolog 1 (DLK1) at 36 weeks is correlated with birthweight and is of placental origin. Placenta. 2020;91:24–30.
399 400 401	38.	Howard M, Charalambous M. Molecular basis of imprinting disorders affecting chromosome 14: lessons from murine models. Reproduction. 2015 May;149(5):R237-249.

403 Figure Legends

404 Figure 1. Schematic representation of the imprinted domain of the 14q32 region. The 405 green line indicates the differentially methylated region (the imprinting control center of 406 14q32, named IG-DMR methylated on the paternal allele). The green star represents 407 methylated DMRs. Blue boxes indicate genes expressed from the paternal (pat) allele 408 (*DLK1*, *RTL1*, and *DIO3*). Red boxes indicate genes expressed from the maternal (mat) 409 allele (the non-coding genes *MEG3* and *MEG8*, and a cluster of snoRNAs and 410 miRNAs).



412

413 Figure 2. Intrafamilial segregation of variants p.Gly35Arg (p.G35R, c.103G>C,
414 rs762558665) of *DLK1* in family 1 (a) and p.His65Arg (p.H65R, c.194A>G,
415 rs147224004) of *DLK1* in family 2 (b). The two variants were inherited from the mother
416 of the patients. In black, patients born SGA.



	n	Mean	(Min-Max)	< -2 SDS
				(%)
Gestational age at birth (weeks)	133	37	(26-42)	
Weight (g)	130	1874	(530-3170)	
Weight (SDS)		-2.6	(-6.0 to 1.8)	89 (68%)
Length (cm)	125	40.9	(30-48)	
Length (SDS)		-3.7	(-8.6 to -1.2)	118 (94%)
Head circumference (cm)	126	30.7	(21-38)	
Head circumference (SDS)		-1.8	(-5.2 to 1.8)	48 (38%)

422 Table 1. Anthropometric data at birth for patients included in the analysis. SDS:

423 standard deviation score.

424

	n (%)	
SGA (BW and/or BL \leq -2SDS for gestational age)	132/132 (100%)	
Relative macrocephaly at birth	93/128 (73.0%)	
Postnatal growth failure	95/105 (90.0%)	
Feeding difficulties and/or low BMI	113/128 (88.0%)	
Protruding forehead	54/74 (73.0%)	
Body asymmetry	7/127 (5.5%)	
NH-CSS = 3	60 (45.5%)	
NH-CSS = 4	48 (36.4%)	
NH-CSS = 5	22 (16.6%)	
NH-CSS = 6	2 (1.5%)	

425 Table 2. Clinical features and NH-CSS for patients included in the analysis. SGA: small

426 for gestational age, BW: birth weight, BL: birth length, SDS: standard deviation score,

427 BMI: body mass index. NH-CSS: Netchine-Harbison clinical scoring system.