

# Growth Hormone Research Society perspective on biomarkers of GH action in children and adults

Gudmundur Johannsson, Martin Bidlingmaier, Beverly M K Biller, Margaret Boguszewski, Felipe F Casanueva, Philippe Chanson, Peter E Clayton, Catherine S Choong, David Clemmons, Mehul Dattani, et al.

# ▶ To cite this version:

Gudmundur Johannsson, Martin Bidlingmaier, Beverly M K Biller, Margaret Boguszewski, Felipe F Casanueva, et al.. Growth Hormone Research Society perspective on biomarkers of GH action in children and adults. Endocrine Connections, 2018, 7 (3), pp.R126-R134. 10.1530/EC-18-0047. hal-04026568

# HAL Id: hal-04026568

https://hal.science/hal-04026568

Submitted on 13 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**REVIEW** 

# Growth Hormone Research Society perspective on biomarkers of GH action in children and adults

Gudmundur Johannsson<sup>1</sup>, Martin Bidlingmaier<sup>2</sup>, Beverly M K Biller<sup>3</sup>, Margaret Boguszewski<sup>4</sup>, Felipe F Casanueva<sup>5</sup>, Philippe Chanson<sup>6</sup>, Peter E Clayton<sup>7</sup>, Catherine S Choong<sup>8</sup>, David Clemmons<sup>9</sup>, Mehul Dattani<sup>10</sup>, Jan Frystyk<sup>11</sup>, Ken Ho<sup>12</sup>, Andrew R Hoffman<sup>13</sup>, Reiko Horikawa<sup>14</sup>, Anders Juul<sup>15</sup>, John J Kopchick<sup>16</sup>, Xiaoping Luo<sup>17</sup>, Sebastian Neggers<sup>18</sup>, Irene Netchine<sup>19</sup>, Daniel S Olsson<sup>20</sup>, Sally Radovick<sup>21</sup>, Ron Rosenfeld<sup>22</sup>, Richard J Ross<sup>23</sup>, Katharina Schilbach<sup>2</sup>, Paulo Solberg<sup>24</sup>, Christian Strasburger<sup>25</sup>, Peter Trainer<sup>26</sup>, Kevin C J Yuen<sup>27</sup>, Kerstin Wickstrom<sup>28</sup> and Jens O L Jorgensen<sup>29</sup> on behalf of the Growth Hormone Research Society

Correspondence should be addressed to J O L Jorgensen: joj@clin.au.dk

# **Abstract**

*Objective*: The Growth Hormone Research Society (GRS) convened a Workshop in 2017 to evaluate clinical endpoints, surrogate endpoints and biomarkers during GH treatment of children and adults and in patients with acromegaly.

*Participants*: GRS invited 34 international experts including clinicians, basic scientists, a regulatory scientist and physicians from the pharmaceutical industry.

# **Key Words**

- ▶ GH
- ▶ IGF-I
- ▶ GH deficiency
- ▶ acromegaly



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Nutrition, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik IV, Klinikum der Universität München, Munich, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Neuroendocrine Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Federal University of Parana, Curitiba, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department of Medicine, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, and Inserm, Paris, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Developmental Biology & Medicine, Faculty of Biology, Medicine & Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Princess Margaret Hospital & School of Medicine, University of Western Australia, Western Australia, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Department of Medicine, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 10} Great$  Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Odense University Hospital, Odense, Denmark

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Princess Alexandra Hospital and University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Department of Medicine, Stanford University and VA Palo Health Care System, Palo Alto, California, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>National Center for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>Department of Growth and Reproduction, Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>Edison Biotechnology Institute and Heritage College of Osteopathic Medicine, Ohio University, Athens, Ohio, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China

<sup>18</sup>Section of Endocrinology, Department of Medicine, Pituitary Centre Rotterdam, Erasmus University Medical Centre, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Service d'Explorations Fonctionnelles Endocriniennes, AP-HP, Hôpital Trousseau, Sorbonne Université, INSERM UMRs 938, Paris, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg and Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>Rutgers University-Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Brunswick, New Jersey, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Oregon Health Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>Charité-Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup>Barrow Pituitary Center, Barrow Neurological Institute, Department of Neuroendocrinology, University of Arizona College of Medicine, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>Medical Products Agency, Uppsala, Sweden

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark



Evidence: Current literature was reviewed and expert opinion was utilized to establish the state of the art and identify current gaps and unmet needs.

Consensus process: Following plenary presentations, breakout groups discussed questions framed by the planning committee. The attendees re-convened after each breakout session to share the group reports. A writing team compiled the breakout session reports into a document that was subsequently discussed and revised by participants. This was edited further and circulated for final review after the meeting. Participants from pharmaceutical companies were not part of the writing process. Conclusions: The clinical endpoint in paediatric GH treatment is adult height with height velocity as a surrogate endpoint. Increased life expectancy is the ideal but unfeasible clinical endpoint of GH treatment in adult GH-deficient patients (GHDA) and in patients with acromegaly. The pragmatic clinical endpoints in GHDA include normalization of body composition and quality of life, whereas symptom relief and reversal of comorbidities are used in acromegaly. Serum IGF-I is widely used as a biomarker, even though it correlates weakly with clinical endpoints in GH treatment, whereas in acromegaly, normalization of IGF-I may be related to improvement in mortality. There is an unmet need for novel biomarkers that capture the pleiotropic actions of GH in relation to GH treatment and in patients with acromegaly.

Endocrine Connections (2018) **7**, **R**126–R134

### Introduction

Biological markers (biomarkers) play an essential role in the clinical care of patients, drug development and regulatory approval. Furthermore, biomarkers linked to surrogate endpoints and clinical endpoints (1, 2, 3, 4). The requirement for rigorous procedures utilizing biomarkers in drug development is evident and recognized (2, 5). The obvious biomarkers of growth hormone (GH) action in children and adults are serum levels of GH itself and of insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I). Both are used diagnostically; IGF-I is used to monitor the effects of GH replacement in GH deficiency (GHD), and both GH and IGF-I are used in the diagnosis and management of acromegaly. While serum IGF-I level is used as a surrogate endpoint in trials involving GH treatment and medical treatment of acromegaly, neither GH nor IGF-I has been subject to a structured evaluation as biomarkers, nor do we have a comprehensive definition of clinical endpoints for the treatment of GH-related disorders. Therefore, there is a need to define clinically relevant endpoints and identify and evaluate current and novel surrogate endpoints and biomarkers of therapies targeting GH.

The Growth Hormone Research Society (GRS) convened a Workshop in Aarhus, Denmark, on November 15–18, 2017 to review the current state of the field and address key issues regarding the definition of clinical endpoints for GH therapy and treatment of acromegaly, to critically evaluate current surrogate endpoints for

GH therapy and treatment of acromegaly and to discuss novel and potential biomarkers of GH action in children and adults.

## **Methods**

The structure of this Workshop was adapted from prior Workshops organized by GRS (6, 7, 8). Thirty-four invited international leaders from twelve countries across five continents participated. These included paediatric and adult endocrinologists, basic scientists, a European medicines regulator and physicians from the pharmaceutical industry. A review of the current status of clinical endpoints and biomarkers was written prior to the meeting. A planning committee of the GRS comprised academic adult and paediatric endocrinologists who determined the agenda, selected speakers to summarize key relevant topics and formulated the questions for discussion.

Following presentations that summarized the literature, three breakout groups addressed each topic in more detail by discussing the list of questions formulated by the planning committee and subsequently agreed upon by all participants. All attendees re-convened after each of the breakout sessions to share reports from the groups. At the end of days 1 and 2, a writing team compiled the breakout group reports into a final document that





was discussed and reviewed in its entirety and revised by participants on the concluding day. When there was no clear agreement by most participants, consensus was reached by voting. This draft document was edited further for formatting and references, and subsequently circulated to the academic attendees for final review after the meeting. Meeting participants from pharmaceutical companies, who participated in the Workshop, were not part of the writing team and were not present during text revision on the final day, but they were shown the manuscript before submission to identify factual errors. This report is a concise chronicle of the Workshop and is not intended to be an exhaustive review of the literature on this topic. It was written utilizing: (1) the content from the speaker presentations and the current literature in the field, (2) the combined comments of the breakout groups to the questions and (3) the collective remarks of the entire group during report-back sessions.

## **Definitions**

The following terms and definitions, which derive from an NIH expert working group (1) were used in the Workshop:

*Clinical endpoint*: A characteristic or variable that reflects how a patient feels, functions or survives.

*Biomarker*: A characteristic that is objectively measured and evaluated as an indicator of normal biological processes, pathogenic processes or pharmacological responses to a therapeutic intervention.

Surrogate endpoint: A biomarker that is intended to substitute for a clinical endpoint. A surrogate endpoint

is expected to predict benefit (or harm or lack of benefit or harm) based on epidemiologic, therapeutic, pathophysiologic or other scientific evidence.

A major emphasis was made on measures of treatment efficacy and safety.

# Clinical and surrogate endpoints during GH treatment

## **Paediatric GH therapy**

Adult height is the ultimate clinical endpoint of GH therapy in children (9), although it is recognized that normalization of height during childhood, independent of eventual height, is an additional goal. A uniform definition of adult height in the context of GH therapy in children is not available, but a pragmatic definition used in several trials have been a height gain <2 cm over the last 12 months. In clinical practice and in clinical trials, change in height velocity (cm/year) or change in height standard deviation score (SDS) are used as surrogate endpoints of efficacy and to monitor adherence to therapy in individual children with GHD (Table 1). In children being treated with GH for non-GHD conditions, the change in height velocity or height SDS is used as surrogate endpoints. In patients with Prader-Willi syndrome, although auxological measures are important, measures of the metabolic actions of GH are also valuable, including change in body mass index (BMI) and body composition (10). This also applies to other conditions such as children born small for gestational age. Growth response during the first year of GH treatment for short

Table 1 Current clinical endpoints, surrogate endpoints and biomarkers in GH therapy and acromegaly.

Patients and treatments	Clinical efficacy endpoints	Surrogate endpoints	Biochemical biomarker
Paediatric GH treatment			
GH deficiency	Adult height	Change in height SDS/growth velocity	IGF-I
GH deficiency in the transition period	Body composition	Peak bone mass, DXA Z score, LBM, and FM	IGF-I
CRI, Noonan syndrome, SHOX, Turner syndrome, SGA, SRS, ISS	Adult height	Change in height SDS/growth velocity	IGF-I
Prader–Willi syndrome	Body composition Adult height	Neuro-cognition Muscle tone	IGF-I
Adult GH treatment	Body composition Quality of life	Anthropometry, DXA Z score, LBM and FM Questionnaires	IGF-I
Acromegaly treatment	Serum IGF-I and GH reduction Symptom relief	IGF-I, GH Symptom scores	IGF-I

CRI, chronic renal insufficiency; DXA, dual X-ray absorptiometry; FM, fat mass; IGF-I, insulin-like growth factor I; ISS, idiopathic short stature; LBM, lean body mass; SDS, standard deviation score; SGA, small for gestational age; SHOX, short stature homeobox deficiency; SRS, Silver–Russell syndrome.





stature is correlated with growth rates in subsequent years and adult height. Prediction models using auxology, bone age and other variables have been advocated as tools for individual patient management (10, 11, 12). Measurement of body proportions can be helpful in certain subgroup of patients, such as cancer survivors receiving spinal radiation. Bone age and decrease in growth velocity can be used as biomarkers for epiphyseal maturation to determine the time at which GH treatment should cease.

# Transition from childhood to adult GH replacement therapy

At the time when a patient reaches adult height, GHD is either reconfirmed by retesting or recognised as a lifelong condition based on the underlying pathology. Since growth can no longer be used as a biomarker, the clinical focus in patients during the transition turns to adult endpoints, such as peak bone mass and body composition including fat mass and lean body mass (13).

# **Adult GH replacement**

Adult patients are only treated when there is an established diagnosis of GHD with the goal of replacing the insufficient hormone. Adult GHD is recognized as a syndrome with various and multifactorial comorbidities affecting different organ systems (14). Therefore, the impact of GH therapy cannot be directly correlated with a single clinical endpoint. Unlike in paediatric GH therapy, where measurement of growth is central to all GH-treated patients, the goals of adult GH replacement therapy take into consideration age, functional status and comorbidities.

Clinical endpoints for GH replacement in adults with GHD include body composition and quality of life (QoL). Measurement of body composition may include waist circumference, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scan for bone mineral density and content (BMD/BMC), and where available, fat distribution such as truncal fat and lean body mass (Table 1). The routine consultation should include patient reported outcomes such as mood, motivation, energy levels, physical mobility, activities of daily living and employment. Formal questionnaires are used in some health systems to determine the eligibility for treatment and to monitor response to therapy (15).

A reduction in excess mortality in adult GHD would be an ideal clinical endpoint, but such data are unlikely to be forthcoming. The information available suggests there is an increased mortality in adult patients with hypopituitarism including GHD due to cardiovascular (CV) disease (16). Therefore, biomarkers for CV risk, including blood pressure, visceral fat, lipids and high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hsCRP), are commonly measured.

Safety is monitored by asking about GH side effects, including oedema, carpal tunnel syndrome and joint pains and via measurement of haemoglobin A1C (HbA1C) levels.

# **Biochemical biomarkers during GH treatment**

#### IGF-I

Serum IGF-I is a biomarker of GH status, often being low in GHD and high in GH excess. It is not subject to the diurnal variation or pulsatility that is characteristic of endogenous GH secretion. GH has direct, IGF-I-independent actions as well as indirect effects mediated through hepatic and local tissue IGF-I production. Serum IGF-I, which mainly reflects liver-derived IGF-I, is suppressed during catabolic conditions and has significant within-individual and inter-assay variability (7, 17, 18). Despite these limitations, measurement of serum IGF-I levels is currently used as a biomarker for GH action (Table 1).

# Use of serum IGF-I in paediatric GH treatment

Measurement of serum IGF-I during GH therapy in children is used as a biomarker for adherence. Its relationship to growth rate and final height is influenced by other variables including bone age, birth length and nutritional status, and it therefore has a limited role as a marker of efficacy. This is particularly true for subsets of non-GHD patients, who may have some degree of associated GH and IGF-I insensitivity (19). Failure to raise serum IGF-I concentrations during GH treatment may be an early indication of GH insensitivity and could warrant further evaluation and alternative treatments (20).

The increase in IGF-I with GH treatment is dose dependent and dosing can be adjusted with a goal of attaining an IGF-I within the normal range. However, as noted earlier, serum IGF-I has proved disappointing as a direct correlate to clinical outcome, but it is currently the best option available. This highlights the need for new biomarkers to predict the efficacy and safety of therapy in individual patients.

IGF-I is also used as a long-term safety marker during GH treatment. This practice is based on an extrapolation from epidemiologic data in healthy adult populations, and





meta-analyses and life course data in patients with acromegaly that have shown an increase in morbidity and mortality of subjects having upper-normal or consistently elevated IGF-I levels (6). Nevertheless, modestly and transiently elevated serum IGF-I concentrations in GH-treated paediatric patients have not been linked to adverse effects. There are no accepted guidelines for IGF-I levels during GH treatment in GHD children, but where possible, IGF-I should be maintained within the normal range, although modest elevations above +2 s.d. may be acceptable under certain circumstances. During the treatment of non-GHD states, in order to achieve an acceptable growth response, IGF-I may transiently be above the normal range; however, the safety implications are unknown.

### Use of serum IGF-I in transition and adult GHD

During GH replacement, the GH dose in adults is titrated to target IGF-I levels within the normal range. Serum IGF-I levels do not correlate well with clinical endpoints, but may help guiding dose titration. Despite the limitations noted earlier, it is used as a biomarker for safety. GH dose during the transition period is typically in-between paediatric and adult doses, with adjustment primarily based on serum IGF-I, just as in adult patients (13).

# Insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 3 (IGFBP-3), acid-labile subunit (ALS) and bioactive IGF-I

IGF-binding protein 3 (IGFBP-3) is the major carrier protein for IGF-I in serum. There are limited data indicating that IGFBP-3 predicts GH responsiveness or safety. However, epidemiologic data suggest that high IGFBP-3 levels may reduce the IGF-I-associated risk of certain cancers (21). Therefore, additional studies measuring IGF-I, IGFBP-3 and their molar ratio during GH therapy as safety markers may be useful. Bioactive IGF-I as measured by the kinase-receptor activation (KIRA) assay is a useful research tool and accurately reflects changes in GH-responsive proteins (22). However, this assay is labour-intensive and costly and at the current time cannot be utilized in large clinical studies or clinical practice. The ALS is a GH-dependent protein, but its usefulness in clinical practice has not been established (23).

# Biomarkers in the context of long-acting growth hormone (LAGH) products

Neither daily GH administration nor LAGH recapitulate the natural pattern of GH secretion. Unlike daily GH, steady state IGF-I levels do not occur with LAGH products. Each of these novel products has a unique pharmacodynamic (PD) profile, which means that they cannot be considered as a homogenous group. Modelling approaches based on PD measurement can be used to indicate the optimal time for serum IGF-I measurement for dose titration purposes (24). The relationship between IGF-I and IGFBP-3 may also be different among the various LAGH products (25). Since some of these agents are GH analogues as opposed to authentic GH, they may potentially exert other biological effects that could affect safety and efficacy. This suggests there may be a need to develop biomarkers that are specific for a given LAGH product. Given the differences among these products, specific pharmacovigilance programmes may be essential for each LAGH product (8).

# Clinical endpoints and biomarkers in acromegaly

Acromegaly is diagnosed by a combination of clinical signs and symptoms, pituitary MRI, and increased serum IGF-I and GH levels. Therapeutic options include surgery, medical therapy and radiotherapy. There remain a number of clinical controversies, which might be addressed by improved biomarkers.

Key clinical endpoints in acromegaly are amelioration of the signs, symptoms and comorbidities associated with tumour mass effect and excess GH secretion, with the goal of normalization of life expectancy. Composite scoring/grading systems for acromegaly disease activity, which combine clinical, histopathology, tumour characteristics and biochemical parameters, have been developed. However, they have not yet been adapted and validated for individual patient care (26, 27). A disease-related QoL questionnaire as well as a symptom-scoring instrument have been developed and validated, and they may serve as independent markers for patient-related outcomes (28, 29).

Anthropometric measures such as changes in finger thickness measured by ring size, lean body mass and fat mass have been assessed using DXA and CT in clinical trials, but these methods have not been applied uniformly in clinical care. This indicates a need for validated tools adapted for patient management.

Serum IGF-I and GH levels are established biomarkers of disease activity in acromegaly and elevated levels have been associated with excess mortality. IGF-I is the most commonly measured biomarker for determining the success of treatment (Table 1). GH can be valuable in





assessing the effect of pituitary surgery and is an index of completion of tumour removal. Clinical practice varies regarding the method used to evaluate GH; random measurements, oral glucose suppression of GH and 'day curves' with timed measurements across the day have all been used (30).

It can be difficult to determine whether treated patients with discordant IGF-I and GH levels are optimally controlled. There are a number of reasons for this discrepancy, such as oral oestrogen treatment, nutritional status, history of pituitary radiotherapy, assay variability and inadequate reference ranges. In addition, there are therapy-specific changes that can lead to this discrepancy. For example, during somatostatin analogue treatment, there is a reduction in serum IGF-I that is not associated with a proportional reduction of GH (31). Measurement of GH is not helpful during treatment with GH receptor antagonists (32). Consideration of these factors should be taken into account before making therapeutic decisions.

Measurement of serum IGFBP-3 or ALS does not provide additional value beyond serum IGF-I in the routine management of patients with acromegaly.

Treatment should be monitored according to the treatment-specific side effects. Monitoring of glucose metabolism and CV risk factors is standard of care for patients with acromegaly (33). Potent therapies for acromegaly may result in overtreatment, as manifested as subnormal levels of serum IGF-I.

## Novel biomarkers - an unmet need

GH and IGF-I have been used as biomarkers for several decades, and they have been essential to the management of GH-treated patients and patients with acromegaly (17, 34). Improvements in assay sensitivity and specificity and standardisation over the subsequent years have been helpful, but markers more closely linked to efficacy and safety endpoints are still needed (35).

# **Paediatric indications**

In paediatrics, there is currently an unmet need for better predictors of GH treatment efficacy in relation to linear growth response, metabolic benefit and safety. There is a need for biomarkers that reflect therapeutic response in specific tissues such as the growth plate and skeleton in a variety of disorders associated with short stature. (36).

# **Adult GH replacement**

Serum IGF-I primarily reflects GH action in the liver (37), but its relationship to clinically meaningful efficacy endpoints such as measures of body composition is limited in individual patients (38). Other GH-responsive biomarkers can be measured, such as bone turnover markers, but the long-term value of their measurement in clinical practice is unknown. There is a major need for biomarkers that reflect the diverse effects of GH therapy on carbohydrate, protein and lipid metabolism as well as QoL in a dose-dependent manner.

## **Acromegaly**

The ideal biochemical biomarker of efficacy should accurately reflect disease activity and be independent of treatment modality. As with adult GHD, there is a need for biomarkers that reflect improvement of metabolic status during therapy. There is also a need for a biomarker to predict optimal response to therapy, especially in circumstances when GH and IGF-I are discordant.

### **Potential candidates**

A number of GH-responsive markers including matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9, vascular endothelial growth factor, isoforms of apolipoprotein A-1 and haptoglobin and afamin have been identified (39, 40, 41). Their usefulness as biomarkers should be established in future studies. GH doping detection strategies have highlighted proteins such as procollagen Type III N-peptide and other bone markers in serum that may have utility in acromegaly management in the future (42, 43). A pharmacogenomic study has identified genetic biomarkers of responsiveness to GH treatment of children with GHD or Turner syndrome, which seems a promising future tool (44). In addition, circulating levels of a degradation fragment of type X collagen, which is a by-product of endochondral ossification, may provide a tool to monitor growth in paediatric patients (45).

# Conclusion

Adult height remains the clinical endpoint of GH treatment in paediatric patients, and height velocity during the first and second year after treatment initiation is useful surrogate endpoints. In adult GHD patients, a reduction in truncal fat mass is typically the main clinical efficacy endpoint for regulatory purposes, but



improvement in QoL and physical fitness are also used in clinical practice. Lowering of elevated IGF-I levels in combination with symptom relief are efficacy endpoints used both for regulatory approval of novel drugs for acromegaly treatment and in clinical practice. Serum IGF-I is above all the most widely used biochemical biomarker during GH treatment as well as in acromegaly (Table 1); nonetheless, serum IGF-I correlates only weakly with clinical endpoints of efficacy.

There is an unmet need for novel biomarkers within the field of GH treatment and acromegaly. Systems medicine approaches using genomics, epigenomics, metabolomics and proteomics may facilitate selection of patients for therapy and improve prediction of clinical endpoints. Therefore, such approaches deserve further study, and the collection of suitable samples for biobanking should be considered in relevant clinical trials. The identification of novel biomarkers for action of GH requires access to samples from prospective controlled interventional trials. At present, numerous large-scale studies are being undertaken to examine the efficacy of LAGH preparations and new treatments for acromegaly, which provide the ideal opportunity to prospectively identify such novel markers.

#### **Declaration of interest**

B M K B: research grants to Massachusetts General Hospital from Novo Nordisk, OPKO, Versartis. Occasional consultant to Ferring, Merck Serono, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, Sandoz, M B: lecture fees from Pfizer, IDS, Sandoz and Novartis, consultant for OPKO, Chiasma, Ono, Ascendis, Genexine, GenScience, Versartis and Roche, Research grant to Klinikum der Universitaet from IDS. C C: Clinical Investigator: OPKO Biologics sponsored trial. Education grant: Merck Serono. P C: unrestricted research and educational grants from Ipsen, Novartis, Novo Nordisk, and Pfizer. Investigator for clinical trials funded by Novartis, Pfizer, Ipsen, Italpharmaco, Antisense, Prolor Biotech, Chiasma, Æterna Zentaris. Previous member of the Advisory Board of HypoCCS sponsored by Eli Lilly. Lecture fees from Ipsen, Novartis, and Pfizer. P E C: Ad board work for Novo Nordisk, Investigator for PREDICT supported by Merck. K H: ad board member for Novo Nordisk, Versatis and Pfizer. Speaker fees from Ipsen and Novo Nordisk. A R H: consulted for Ascendis, GeneScience, Genexine, Ono, Pfizer, Sandoz, Teva and Versartis. G J: lecture fees and grants from Novartis, Novo Nordisk, Pfizer, and Sandoz; lecture fees from Merck Serono and Otsuka; consultancy fees from Astra Zeneca and Shire. J O L J: unrestricted grants and lecture fees from Novartis, Letcure fees and ad board work for Pfizer, lecture fees from Novo Nordisk and Ipsen. J J K: Lecture fees from Pfizer and consult for Teva, Versartis, and Merck Serono. I N: speaker at meetings promoted by Sandoz, Merck Serono and research support from Merck Serono and Pfizer. D O: Consultant for Sandoz, Ipsen and Pfizer. R J R: RJR Director Asterion Ltd and Diurnal Ltd. S R: consultant for Ferring Pharmaceuticals and Ascendis. R R: consultant for OPKO, Ascendis, Genexine, GenScience, P S: lecture fees from Pfizer and Merck Serono and travel support from Pfizer and Novo Nordisk. P T: Ad board work for Novartis, Ono, Chiasma, Antisense Pharmaceuticals, Strongbridge. K C J Y: Received research grants through Swedish Neuroscience Institute from Aeterna Zentaris, Novo Nordisk, OPKO Biologics, Pfizer, Teva Pharmaceuticals, and Versartis, and served on advisory boards for Aeterna Zentaris, Pfizer, Novo Nordisk, Sandoz, and Versartis.

#### **Funding**

The workshop was supported, in part, by unrestricted educational grants from Novo Nordisk, Ascendis Pharma, IDS, and Sandoz..

#### Disclaimer

The views expressed in this article are personal and not necessarily the views of the European Medicines Agency, the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use or the Medical Products Agency.

#### Acknowledgements

The GRS and all the authors of this report would like to thank the following Workshop participants from the industry for their invaluable and unrestricted sponsorship, comments and perspectives: Michael Højby (Novo Nordisk), David Karpf (Ascendis Pharma), Jenny Manolopoulou (IDS) and Hichem Zouater (Sandoz). Judith Andersen is thanked for her undaunting assistance in arranging the Workshop.

# References

- 1 Biomarkers Definitions Working Group. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints: preferred definitions and conceptual framework. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 2001 **69** 89–95. (https://doi.org/10.1067/mcp.2001.113989)
- 2 Lesko L & Atkinson AJ Jr. Use of biomarkers and surrogate endpoints in drug development and regulatory decision making: criteria, validation, strategies. *Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology* 2001 **41** 347–366. (https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev. pharmtox.41.1.347)
- 3 Fleming TR. Surrogate endpoints and FDA's accelerated approval process. *Health Affairs* 2005 **24** 67–78. (https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.24.1.67)
- 4 Fleming TR & Powers JH. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints in clinical trials. *Statistics in Medicine* 2012 **31** 2973–2984. (https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.5403)
- 5 Wickström K & Moseley J. Biomarkers and surrogate endpoints in drug development: a European regulatory view. *Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science* 2017 **58** BIO27–BIO33. (https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.17-21778)
- 6 Allen DB, Backeljauw P, Bidlingmaier M, Biller BMK, Boguszewski M, Burman P, Butler G, Chihara K, Christiansen J, Cianfarani S, *et al.* GH safety workshop position paper: a critical appraisal of recombinant human GH therapy in children and adults. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2016 **174** P1–P9. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EIE.15.0873)
- 7 Clemmons DR. Consensus statement on the standardization and evaluation of growth hormone and insulinlike growth factor assays. *Clinical Chemistry* 2011 **57** 555–559. (https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2010.150631)
- 8 Christiansen JS, Backeljauw PF, Bidlingmaier M, Biller BMK, Boguszewski MCS, Casanueva FF, Chanson P, Chatelain P, Choong CS, Clemmons DR, et al. Growth Hormone Research Society perspective on the development of long-acting growth hormone preparations. European Journal of Endocrinology 2016 **174** C1–C8. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-16-0111)
- 9 Grimberg A, DiVall SA, Polychronakos C, Allen DB, Cohen LE, Quintos JB, Rossi WC, Feudtner C & Hassan Murad M. Guidelines for growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor-I treatment in children and adolescents: growth hormone deficiency, idiopathic short stature, and primary insulin-like growth factor-I deficiency. *Hormone Research in Paediatrics* 2016 **86** 361–397. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000452150)





- 10 Deal CL, Tony M, Hoybye C, Allen DB, Tauber M & Christiansen JS. Growth Hormone Research Society workshop summary: consensus guidelines for recombinant human growth hormone therapy in Prader-Willi syndrome. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2013 **98** E1072–E1087. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2012-3888)
- 11 Ranke MB, Lindberg A, Brosz M, Kaspers S, Loftus J, Wollmann H, Maria K-H & Roelants M. Accurate long-term prediction of height during the first four years of growth hormone treatment in prepubertal children with growth hormone deficiency or Turner syndrome. *Hormone Research in Paediatrics* 2012 **78** 8–17. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000339468)
- 12 Ranke MB & Lindberg A. Prediction models for short children born small for gestational age (SGA) covering the total growth phase. Analyses based on data from KIGS (Pfizer International Growth Database). *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making* 2011 **11** 38. (https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-11-38)
- 13 Clayton PE, Cuneo RC, Juul A, Monson JP, Shalet SM & Tauber M. Consensus statement on the management of the GH-treated adolescent in the transition to adult care. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2005 **152** 165–170. (https://doi.org/10.1530/eie.1.01829)
- 14 Carroll PV, Christ ER, Bengtsson BA, Carlsson L, Christiansen JS, Clemmons D, Hintz R, Ho K, Laron Z, Sizonenko P, et al. Growth hormone deficiency in adulthood and the effects of growth hormone replacement: a review. The members of Growth Hormone Research Society Scientific Committee. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology* and Metabolism 1998 83 382–395. (https://doi.org/10.1210/ jcem.83.2.4594)
- 15 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. *Human growth hormone (somatropin) in adults with gowth hormone deficiency*. Technology appraisal guidance [TA64]. London, UK: NICE, 2003. (available at: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta64)
- 16 Pappachan JM, Raskauskiene D, Kutty VR & Clayton RN. Excess mortality associated with hypopituitarism in adults: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2015 **100** 1405–1411. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-3787)
- 17 Frystyk J, Freda P & Clemmons DR. The current status of IGF-I assays a 2009 update. *Growth Hormone and IGF Research* 2010 **20** 8–18. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ghir.2009.09.004)
- 18 Isley WL, Underwood LE & Clemmons DR. Dietary components that regulate serum somatomedin-C concentrations in humans. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 1983 **71** 175–182. (https://doi.org/10.1172/ICI110757)
- 19 Kriström B, Lundberg E, Jonsson B & Albertsson-Wikland K. IGF-1 and growth response to adult height in a randomized GH treatment trial in short non-GH-deficient children. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014 **99** 2917–2924. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-1101)
- 20 Cohen P, Germak J, Rogol AD, Weng W, Kappelgaard A-M & Rosenfeld RG. Variable degree of growth hormone (GH) and insulinlike growth factor (IGF) sensitivity in children with idiopathic short stature compared with GH-deficient patients: evidence from an IGF-based dosing study of short children. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2010 **95** 2089–2098. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2009-2139)
- 21 Ali O, Cohen P & Lee KW. Epidemiology and biology of insulinlike growth factor binding protein-3 (IGFBP-3) as an anti-cancer molecule. *Hormone and Metabolic Research* 2003 **35** 726–733. (https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-814146)
- 22 Chen JW, Ledet T, Ørskov H, Jessen N, Lund S, Whittaker J, De Meyts P, Larsen MB, Christiansen JS & Frystyk J. A highly sensitive and specific assay for determination of IGF-I bioactivity in human serum. *American Journal of Physiology: Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2003 **284** E1149–E1155. (https://doi.org/10.1152/ ajpendo.00410.2002)

- 23 de Boer H, Blok GJ, Popp-Snijders C, Stuurman L, Baxter RC & van der Veen E. Monitoring of growth hormone replacement therapy in adults, based on measurement of serum markers. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1996 81 1371–1377.
- 24 Fisher DM, Rosenfeld RG, Jaron-Mendelson M, Amitzi L, Koren R & Hart G. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic modeling of MOD-4023, a long-acting human growth hormone, in growth hormone deficiency children. *Hormone Research in Paediatrics* 2017 **87** 324–332. (https://doi.org/10.1159/000470842)
- 25 Battelino T, Rasmussen MH, De Schepper J, Zuckerman-Levin N, Gucev Z, Sävendahl L & NN8640-4042 Study Group. Somapacitan, a once-weekly reversible albumin-binding GH derivative, in children with GH deficiency: a randomized dose-escalation trial. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2017 87 350–358. (https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.13409)
- 26 van der Lely AJ, Gomez R, Pleil A, Badia X, Brue T, Buchfelder M, Burman P, Clemmons D, Ghigo E, Jørgensen JOL, et al. Development of ACRODAT®, a new software medical device to assess disease activity in patients with acromegaly. Pituitary 2017 20 692–701. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11102-017-0835-5)
- 27 Giustina A, Bevan JS, Bronstein MD, Casanueva FF, Chanson P, Petersenn S, Thanh XMT, Sert C, Houchard A, Guillemin I, *et al.* SAGIT®: clinician-reported outcome instrument for managing acromegaly in clinical practice—development and results from a pilot study. *Pituitary* 2016 **19** 39–49. (https://doi.org/10.1007/s11102-015-0681-2)
- 28 Webb SM, Prieto L, Badia X, Albareda M, Catalá M, Gaztambide S, Lucas T, Paramo C, Pico A, Lucas A, *et al.* Acromegaly Quality of Life Questionnaire (ACROQOL) a new health-related quality of life questionnaire for patients with acromegaly: development and psychometric properties. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2002 **57** 251–258. (https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2265.2002.01597.x)
- 29 Trainer PJ, Drake WM, Katznelson L, Freda PU, Herman-Bonert V, van der Lely AJ, Dimaraki EV, Stewart PM, Friend KE, Vance ML, *et al.* Treatment of acromegaly with the growth hormone-receptor antagonist pegvisomant. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000 **342** 1171–1177. (https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM200004203421604)
- 30 Giustina A, Chanson P, Kleinberg D, Bronstein MD, Clemmons DR, Klibanski A, van der Lely AJ, Strasburger CJ, Lamberts SW, Ho KK, et al. Expert consensus document: a consensus on the medical treatment of acromegaly. Nature Reviews Endocrinology 2014 10 243. (https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2014.21)
- 31 Dal J, Klose M, Heck A, Andersen M, Kistorp C, Nielsen EH, Bollerslev J, Feldt-Rasmussen U & Jørgensen JOL. Targeting either GH or IGF-I during somatostatin analogue treatment in patients with acromegaly: a randomized multicentre study. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2018 **178** 67–76. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-17-0546)
- 32 Madsen M, Fisker S, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Andreassen M, Kristensen LØ, Ørskov H & Jørgensen JO. Circulating levels of pegvisomant and endogenous growth hormone during prolonged pegvisomant therapy in patients with acromegaly. *Clinical Endocrinology* 2014 **80** 92–100. (https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.12239)
- 33 Katznelson L, Laws JER, Melmed S, Molitch ME, Murad MH, Utz A, Wass JA & Endocrine Society. Acromegaly: an Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2014 **99** 3933–3951. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-2700)
- 34 Bidlingmaier M & Freda PU. Measurement of human growth hormone by immunoassays: current status, unsolved problems and clinical consequences. *Growth Hormone and IGF Research* 2009 **20** 19–25. (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ghir.2009.09.005)
- 35 Clemmons DR. Consensus statement on the standardization and evaluation of growth hormone and insulin-like growth factor assays. *Clinical Chemistry* 2011 **57** 555–559. (https://doi.org/10.1373/ clinchem.2010.150631)





- 36 Baron J, Sävendahl L, De Luca F, Dauber A, Phillip M, Wit JM & Nilsson O. Short and tall stature: a new paradigm emerges. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* 2015 11 735. (https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2015.165)
- 37 Sjögren K, Liu J-L, Blad K, Skrtic S, Vidal O, Wallenius V, LeRoith D, Törnell J, Isaksson OG, Jansson JO, et al. Liver-derived insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) is the principal source of IGF-I in blood but is not required for postnatal body growth in mice. PNAS 1999 96 7088–7092.
- 38 Bengtsson B-AK, Abs R, Bennmarker H, Monson JP, Feldt-Rasmussen U, Hernberg-Ståhl E, Westberg B, Wilton P & Wüster C. The effects of treatment and the individual responsiveness to growth hormone (GH) replacement therapy in 665 GH-deficient adults. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1999 **84** 3929–3935. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.84.11.6088)
- 39 Randeva HS, Lewandowski KC, Komorowski J, Murray RD, O'Callaghan CJ, Hillhouse EW, Stepien H & Shalet SM. Growth hormone replacement decreases plasma levels of matrix metalloproteinases (2 and 9) and vascular endothelial growth factor in growth hormone–deficient individuals. *Circulation* 2004 **109** 2405–2410. (https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CJR.0000129763.51060.77)
- 40 Cruz-Topete D, Jorgensen JOL, Christensen B, Sackmann-Sala L, Krusenstjerna-Hafstrøm T, Jara A, Okada S & Kopchick JJ. Identification of new biomarkers of low-dose GH replacement therapy in GH-deficient patients. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2011 **96** 2089–2097. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-0197)

- 41 Cruz-Topete D, Christensen B, Sackmann-Sala L, Okada S, Jorgensen JOL & Kopchick JJ. Serum proteome changes in acromegalic patients following transsphenoidal surgery: novel biomarkers of disease activity. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2011 **164** 157–167. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-10-0754)
- 42 Longobardi S, Keay N, Ehrnborg C, Cittadini A, Rosén T, Dall R, Boroujerdi MA, Bassett EE, Healy ML, Pentecost C, *et al.* Growth hormone (GH) effects on bone and collagen turnover in healthy adults and its potential as a marker of GH abuse in sports: a double blind, placebo-controlled study. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 2000 **85** 1505–1512. (https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.85.4.6551)
- 43 Nguyen TV, Nelson AE, Howe CJ, Seibel MJ, Baxter RC, Handelsman DJ, Kazlauskas R & Ho KK. Within-subject variability and analytic imprecision of insulinlike growth factor axis and collagen markers: implications for clinical diagnosis and doping tests. *Clinical Chemistry* 2008 **54** 1268–1276. (https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2008.105726)
- 44 Clayton P, Chatelain P, Tatò L, Yoo HW, Ambler GR, Belgorosky A, Quinteiro S, Deal C, Stevens A, Raelson J, *et al.* A pharmacogenomic approach to the treatment of children with GH deficiency or Turner syndrome. *European Journal of Endocrinology* 2013 **169** 277–289. (https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-13-0069)
- 45 Coghlan RF, Oberdorf JA, Sienko S, Aiona MD, Boston BA, Connelly KJ, Bahney C, LaRouche J, Almubarak SM, Coleman DT, *et al.* A degradation fragment of type X collagen is a real-time marker for bone growth velocity. *Science Translational Medicine* 2017 **9** eaan4669. (https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aan4669)

Received in final form 20 February 2018
Accepted 26 February 2018
Accepted Preprint published online 26 February 2018



© 2018 Growth Hormone Research Society

Published by Bioscientifica Ltd