

Design of asynchronous switched Takagi-Sugeno model-based $H\infty$ filters with nonlinear consequent parts for switched nonlinear systems

Issam Chekakta, Dalel Jabri, Koffi Motchon, Kevin Guelton, Djamel E C

Belkhiat

▶ To cite this version:

Issam Chekakta, Dalel Jabri, Koffi Motchon, Kevin Guelton, Djamel E C Belkhiat. Design of asynchronous switched Takagi-Sugeno model-based H ∞ filters with nonlinear consequent parts for switched nonlinear systems. International Journal of Adaptive Control and Signal Processing, 2023, 37 (6), pp.1511-1535. 10.1002/acs.3588 . hal-04026284

HAL Id: hal-04026284 https://hal.science/hal-04026284v1

Submitted on 12 Jul 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

WILEY

Design of asynchronous switched Takagi-Sugeno model-based H_{∞} filters with nonlinear consequent parts for switched nonlinear systems

Issam Chekakta¹¹ | Dalel Jabri¹ | Koffi M. D. Motchon² | Kevin Guelton² Djamel E. C. Belkhiat¹⁰

¹DAC-HR Laboratory, Ferhat Abbas University Setif-1, Setif, Algeria

²CReSTIC EA3804, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Campus Moulin de la Housse BP1039 Reims Cedex 2, 51687, France

Correspondence

Kevin Guelton, CReSTIC EA3804, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Campus Moulin de la Housse BP1039, 51687 Reims Cedex 2, France. Email: kevin.guelton@univ-reims.fr

Summary

This paper investigates the design of asynchronous switched nonlinear H_{∞} filters for a class of continuous-time nonlinear switched systems with mismatching switching laws and L_2 norm-bounded disturbances. In this context, the switched nonlinear system is modelled as a switched Takagi-Sugeno (T-S) model with nonlinear consequent parts, that is, where unmeasured nonlinear terms are kept in the nonlinear consequent parts in order to circumvent the occurrence of unmeasured premise variables, which is usually faced in conventional T-S modeling without nonlinear consequent parts. In this framework, asynchronous switched T-S filters with unmeasured nonlinear consequent parts are proposed to estimate unmeasured and/or disturbed system's outputs, even when the filter's switching law mismatches the switched nonlinear system's one, which can be in practice unknown or imprecisely measured. Based on a candidate multiple Lyapunov function, combined with a H_{∞} criterion, conditions are proposed in terms of Linear Matrix Inequalities for the design of the considered asynchronous switched T-S filters with unmeasured nonlinear consequent parts. Compared with previous related works, these conditions have the advantage of being dwell-time-independent and less conservative, thanks to the incremental quadratic constraints employed to deal with the unmeasured nonlinear consequent parts. Furthermore, acknowledging that T-S models are only representing nonlinear ones on subsets of their state space, an optimization procedure to estimate the filtering error's domain of attraction is developed. Two illustrative examples are considered to validate the proposed results. An academic one is presented to illustrate the improvements brought in terms of conservatism by the proposed switched T-S filter design methodology with regards to previous related studies. Then, a case study illustrates the effectiveness of this proposal from a switched nonlinear mass-spring system inspired from related literature.

KEYWORDS

asynchronous switching laws, H_{∞} filters' design, LMI-based conditions, nonlinear consequent parts, switched Takagi-Sugeno models

-----This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. International Journal of Adaptive Control and Signal Processing published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Int J Adapt Control Signal Process. 2023;37:1511-1535.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Over the last decades, switched systems have attracted widespread attention since they provide a convenient description for various systems that exhibit switching phenomena, where these systems can be seen naturally as a combination of continuous dynamics and discrete events. In this context, we can quote as examples, power electronics, air traffic control, robotics, chemical processes, as well as other systems in various fields. A look at the literature shows that a large number of studies related to many issues have been performed, such as modeling, stability analysis, state estimation, filtering, and so on (see e.g., References 1-7). However, many physical switched systems are not linear by nature, that is, involving switched nonlinear behaviors. Fortunately, an attractive framework to deal with nonlinear systems, namely Takagi–Sugeno (T-S) models,⁸ allows to describe nonlinear systems,⁹ and by extension switched nonlinear systems.¹⁰⁻¹⁶ Indeed, each nonlinear switched mode can be represented by a T-S model remaining on smoothly weighted combinations of vertices, valid in a compact set of the whole state space. Thanks to their polytopic convex structure, the T-S framework allows extending some of the linear control concepts to the nonlinear cases (see e.g.^{9,17-19}).

Among the issues under consideration in different fields of application, there is a growing interest in H_{∞} filtering techniques since such filters are particularly useful to estimate or filter some of the system's outputs, which cannot be measured or affected by noise disturbances.²⁰⁻²⁴ Compared with other filtering approaches such as Kalman filters, the main interest of H_{∞} filters are their robustness against unmodeled dynamics as well as they don't require any knowledge on the noise disturbance signals, excepted some bounded-energy condition.²⁰ In this context, several studies have been carried out to design H_{∞} filters. For example, the authors in²⁵ have dealt with the problem of robust H_{∞} filtering for a class of T-S fuzzy neutral systems with time-varying delays and parameter uncertainties. A delay-dependent sufficient condition for the design of the filter matrices has been formulated in terms of LMIs. The premise variables have been considered measurable in this study. In the same way, a robust H_{∞} filtering for T-S sampled-data fuzzy systems with uncertain parameters has been designed in Reference 26. Sufficient conditions ensuring the convergence of the filtering error with H_{∞} disturbance attenuation have been given in terms of LMIs. Two cases have been considered in this study depending on whether the premise variables are measurable or unmeasurable. Moreover, the design of a robust H_{∞} filtering for a class of T-S fuzzy systems with time-varying delay have been investigated in Reference 27. Based on a Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional in the delta domain, sufficient conditions ensuring the convergence of the filtering error were established in terms of LMIs in the case when the premise variables are known. Recently, the authors in²⁸ investigated the design of an event-triggered fuzzy filter for vehicle sideslip angle estimation. The nonlinear dynamics were modeled as an uncertain T-S fuzzy model. By establishing an augmented Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional candidate, the convergence conditions of the robust asynchronous fuzzy filter have been formulated in terms of LMIs. In addition, the authors in Reference 24 investigated the design of a delay-dependent H_{∞} filter for nonlinear systems with a time varying delay described by T-S fuzzy models. Based on a nonquadratic Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional, sufficient conditions ensuring the convergence of the robust H_{∞} filter with measurable premise variables have been formulated in terms of LMIs. Moreover, the design of a distributed fuzzy H_{∞} filter for a class of nonlinear systems interconnected over an undirected graph has been investigated in Reference 29. T-S fuzzy model of each subsystem was developed. By applying membership-dependent multi-Lyapunov functions, a sufficient condition was given to obtain the desired fuzzy filter parameters by solving a set of LMIs.

Furthermore, H_{∞} filter design for switched systems has been the subject of recent investigations. The design of an event-driven asynchronous H_{∞} filter for a class of networked switched linear systems has been studied in Reference 30 under constrained switching. Some sufficient conditions were established in terms of LMIs to obtain the desired filter parameters by using a Lyapunov–Krasovskii functional and the average dwell time approach. In the same way, the design of an event-triggered H_{∞} filter for networked switched linear systems subject to stochastic cyber attacks has been studied in Reference 31. Based on Lyapunov functions and average dwell time approach, a set of LMI conditions have been given to guarantee the realization of H_{∞} performance of the filtering error system. In Reference 11, the H_{∞} filtering problem for a class of switched T-S fuzzy systems under constrained and asynchronous switching has been investigated. Using multiple Lyapunov functions approach and mode-dependent average dwell time technique, some sufficient conditions ensuring the convergence of the filtering error with a weighted H_{∞} performance index have been formulated in terms of LMIs. Moreover, the premise variables are considered measurable. The design of a synchronous H_{∞} filter for a class of switched T-S fuzzy systems with measurable premise variables and under constrained switching has been studied in terms of LMIs. Moreover, the premise variables are considered measurable. The design of a synchronous H_{∞} filter for a class of switched T-S fuzzy systems with measurable premise variables and under constrained switching has been studied in Reference 13. Using Lyapunov theory with persistent dwell time condition, a set of LMI conditions have been developed to ensure the convergence of the filtering error with a prescribed nonweighted H_{∞} noise attenuation performance. In the

same context, the authors in Reference 15 investigated the design of a nonweighted asynchronous H_{∞} filter for a class of continuous time switched T-S fuzzy systems. Using fuzzy multiple Lyapunov function, sufficient conditions have been formulated in terms of LMIs to guarantee the filtering error system is globally asymptotically stable with a nonweighted H_{∞} performance. The filter was designed under minimum dwell time constraint and under the condition that the premise variables are measurable. In our recent work,¹⁶ dwell-time free LMI-based conditions for the design of an asynchronous H_{∞} filters for switched T-S systems under arbitrary switching have been investigated. To reduce the conservatism of the design conditions, LMI-based relaxation techniques^{32,33} were considered together with a descriptor redundancy approach to ensure the convergence of the filtering error with H_{∞} disturbance attenuation performances. However, the main weakness of this study is that the premise variables in the considered T-S models are considered measurable, which is often unrealistic in practice.

From the above review of the literature, it seems that the design of H_{∞} filters for switched T-S systems with Unmeasured Premise Variables (UPVs) has been rarely investigated. Hence, dealing with UPVs is the major issue that should be taken into account to design this kind of filters. Close to H_{∞} filter context, significant works have been carried out in the last decades to deal with UPVs in the case of observer design. Broadly speaking, these works can be summarized as follows:

- The most commonly used technique to deal with UPVs problem is the Lipschitz condition, which is very easy to implement. This latter is often considered to avoid the additive nonlinear term that occurs in the dynamics of the state estimation error. Hence, several studies using the Lipschitz condition have been published. For example, we can quote the research works carried out by References 34-38. Then, quite a few improvements have been introduced by using Lipschitz condition. To this end, relaxed results are proposed in Reference 39 using the Lipschitz conditions and quasi input-to-state stability to ensure bounded state estimation errors. Another Lipschitz condition-based approach has been proposed in References 35 and 36 to avoid UPVs by introducing the nonlinear terms in the consequent parts of the T-S models.
- Further improvements in terms of conservatism are proposed in Reference 40 to substitute the Lipschitz condition by applying the differential mean value theorem in order to deal with the additive term in the dynamic of the state error.
- Another approach to deal with UPVs is proposed in Reference 41, which consists in considering the error between the measured and unmeasured premise variables as model uncertainties, then applying robust control approaches.
- More recently, in Reference 42, auxiliary dynamics and immersion techniques are considered to rewrite and augment the T-S model with UPVs as a new T-S model with weighting functions depending only on measured variables. However, this approach cannot be easily generalized and may fail to provide the required transformations for the initial model due to the possible infinite number of iterations and the nature of the nonlinear entries of the system.

It is worth highlighting that the above-mentioned results are based on T-S observers or filters with an interconnection fuzzy structure involving UPVs, that is, where both the measured and unmeasured nonlinearities of the original system are considered together to provide the whole T-S fuzzy modeling interconnection structure (see e.g., References 18,34,35,38, and 41). Hence, this leads to introduce, in the LMI-based design conditions, the bounds of the mismatches occurring between the plant's T-S model membership functions, which actually depend on the real systems' states or inputs, and the observers' or filters' ones, which bring conservatism. However, an elegant alternative way can also be drawn from recent literature to circumvent the occurrence of UPVs in T-S modeling. It consists in separating the measured and unmeasured nonlinarities of the original nonlinear system, then applying T-S modeling transformations only on the measured ones, leading to T-S models with nonlinear consequent parts N-TS, see for example, References 21,43-49. In this case, the membership functions of the N-TS model only depend on the measured premises, while the unmeasured terms of the original nonlinear system are reported in the nonlinear consequent parts. In the previous literature, the nonlinear consequent parts have been firstly treated as bounded uncertainties in the design conditions^{21,43} (applying Young's inequalities), then by considering sector bounded conditions,⁴⁴ or more frequently by assuming Lipschitz constraints.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁹ Another appealing approach can be drawn from Reference 50, where incremental quadratic constraints are considered for nonlinear observers design to cope with some of the systems nonlinearities, then seldom extended to the context of N-TS fuzzy models.⁴⁵ We believe that such approach may bring a nice advantage since it allows to characterize the unknown nonlinearities satisfying incremental quadratic constraints as a set of multiplier matrices and includes as a special case Lipschitz conditions.⁵⁰ Moreover, note that the N-TS approach provides another interesting advantage since it allows to reduce the number of vertices (fuzzy rules) compared to the classical T-S representations. Even more so for their extension to switched T-S models, where the number of vertices may increase significantly according to the number of switching modes. Consequently, the switched N-TS approach appears to be the most convenient to deal with filters or observers' design for switched nonlinear systems, where only the measured nonlinearities are kept in the convex fuzzy interconnection structure and the remaining unmeasured terms are reported as nonlinear consequent parts.

1514

Wher

Another concern regarding the above literature review is related to the nature of the switching phenomena. There exists a vast literature dealing with such phenomena in the switched linear system's framework; see, for example, References 1-7,30,51-53. The most easiest case remain when the switched control law, observer of filter, share the same switching law as the switched linear plant, that is the so-called switched synchronous case.^{1,2,4,2,3,1,54} However, it is often unrealistic in practice to consider the synchronous case because it requires the availability of the plant's switching signals, which may be difficult to measure or estimate. As a result, several studies have been carried out in the asynchronous case, either in the switched linear case, see for example,^{3,5,7,30,52,53,55} or extended to the switched nonlinear system framework.^{11,13,14,16,38,56-58} Hence, the asynchronous case refers to a scenario in which the switching rules of the observer or filter do not match those of the plant. In this more realistic scenario, the mismatch can be a delay (for instance, the amount of time it takes to estimate the plant's switching rule),^{3,5,7,53,59} or it can be due to modeling uncertainties in the context of state-dependant switching laws remaining on mismatching switching hyper-planes.^{16,38,55,60} So far, design conditions for switched systems can be classified into two classes:

- Dwell-time dependent conditions (see e.g., References 3,5,7 and 51), which are useful to relax the conditions but require some knowledge on the switching rules. Especially, in this case, the considered switched systems are required to stay in each mode during at least a minimum positive dwell-time. However, such approach may be unsuitable for some switched systems, for instance when the switched systems involve state-dependent switching laws that are evolving regarding to uncertain switching hyper-planes.
- Dwell-time free conditions (see e.g., References 16,38,55,60), which are useful for arbitrary or state-dependent switching laws evolving regarding to uncertain switching hyper-planes. Despite these conditions cannot benefit from the conservatism reduction brought by dwell-time dependent conditions, they allows to cope with a larger class of switched systems since they require less restrictive knowledge about the switching phenomena.

Let us highlight that the vast majority of previous works dealing with the design of H_{∞} filters for switched T-S systems focused on switching under dwell-time conditions. Hence, to the best of the authors' knowledge, H_{∞} filter design for switched T-S systems under arbitrary switching has been rarely studied so far.

Given the earlier issues, the present proposal focuses on the asynchronous switched N-TS H_{∞} filters design for a class of switched nonlinear systems represented by N-TS (to circumvent the occurrence of UPVs), subject to external disturbances, and where the switching sequence can be uncontrolled, arbitrary or unknown. In this respect, the contributions of the present study can be summarized by the following points:

- Extending our preliminary study presented in Reference 16 to a wider class of switched N-TS fuzzy systems. To this end, the first contribution of the present proposal consists in the design asynchronous switched N-TS H_{∞} filters by considering incremental quadratic constraints,⁵⁰ enlarging the Lipschitz conditions (see the above-mentioned references), to cope with the additive nonlinear term arising from the error between the measured and UPVs.
- The second contribution of the present proposal is the ability to deal with asynchronous switching modes between the considered switched nonlinear systems and their designed switched H_{∞} filters. In this context, we assume uncontrolled, arbitrary, or unknown switching sequences, which outperform previous studies where dwell-time considerations are made (see the references mentioned earlier in this introduction). Likewise, the proposed asynchronous initialization of the switching modes between the systems and the filters is an interesting feature, particularly since all the above-mentioned studies assumed synchronous initialization.
- The third contribution of the present study consists of proposing an optimization procedure for the estimation of the attraction domain of the filtering error based on the enlargement of Lyapunov level sets. Indeed, recall that N-TS fuzzy models being only valid on a subset of the state space of a nonlinear system, and also due to the system's and the filter's membership functions mismatches, the proposed results can only be valid locally. While, to the best of the authors' knowledge, no previous N-TS model-based filters design studies from the literature took into account such an important feature.
- The last contribution concerns the common objective of most of the works in the field of T-S fuzzy model-based design, that is, the reduction of the conservatism of the proposed conditions (see, e.g., Reference 61). To this end, the use of

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the preliminaries and problem statement. In Section 3, LMI conditions for the design of asynchronous switched N-TS H_{∞} filters with unmeasured premise variables are presented. Finally, simulation results were given to compare the conservatism and to illustrate the efficiency of the designed filters with respect to previous results in recent literature.

2 | PRELIMINARIES AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

Let us consider a class of nonlinear switched systems with *m* switching modes given by:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = f_{x,\sigma(t)}(x(t)) + f_{x,\sigma(t)}^{w}(x(t))w(t) \\ z(t) = f_{z,\sigma(t)}(x(t)) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) + Dw(t), \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ is the state vector; $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ is the measured output vector and $z(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z}$ is the unmeasured output to be estimated; $w(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$ is a time-varying L_2 norm bounded exogenous disturbance input vector; $\sigma(t) \in \{1, ..., m\}$ is the switching law; $f_{x,\sigma(t)}(x(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}, f_{z,\sigma(t)}(x(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z}$ and $f_{x,\sigma(t)}^w(x(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_w}$ are nonlinear vector or matrix-valued functions that describe the dynamics of the considered system, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y \times n_x}$ and $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y \times n_w}$ are, respectively, the state selection and direct transfer matrices of the measured output equation.

Assumption 1. In this paper, we assume that there is no state jumps when switching occur in the switched nonlinear system (1), that is, all the switched modes share the same state vector x(t). Hence, without loss of generality, we can assume that the output equation is common and linear for all the switched modes since it is usually dedicated to select the state variables (or their linear combinations) which are seen by the output y(t), as well as to model the direct transfer from the exogenous disturbance input w(t) to the output y(t).

Note that, in (1), from the time-driven switching signal $\sigma(t) \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, we can define, for $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$:

$$\sigma_j(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma(t) = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2)

where obviously $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_j(t) = 1$.

Hence, the switched nonlinear system (1) can be equivalently rewritten as:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_j(t) \left(f_{x,j}(x(t)) + f_{x,j}^w(x(t))w(t) \right) \\ z(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sigma_j(t) f_{z,j}(x(t)) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) + Dw(t). \end{cases}$$
(3)

Assumption 2. $\forall j \in \{1, ..., m\}$, the nonlinear vector-valued functions $f_{x,j}(x(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ and $f_{z,j}(x(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z}$ are smooth sector bounded nonlinear functions (where $f_{x,j}(0) = 0$ and $f_{z,j}(0) = 0$). Also, together with the matrix-valued function $f_{x,j}^{w}(x(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_w}$, we assume that their entries contain p scalar bounded state-dependent nonlinear functions $\eta_{\rho}(x(t)) \in [\eta_{\rho}, \overline{\eta_{\rho}}], \rho \in \{1, ..., p\}$.

From Assumption 2, exactly matching T-S models⁸ for each switched mode *j* of the switched nonlinear system (3) can be obtained by applying the well-known sector nonlinearity approach⁹ on each nonlinearity $\eta_{\rho}(x(t)) \in [\underline{\eta}, \overline{\eta}_{\rho}]$. However, if some state variables cannot be measured, this way of doing would leads to T-S models with UPVs (see e.g., References 34-38), which is, for the reasons explained in the introduction of the present paper, what we want to overcome in the sequel. Therefore, to circumvent the occurrence of UPVs, let us rewrite the sector bounded nonlinear vector-valued functions in (3), under Assumption 2, as:

$$f_{x,j}(x(t)) = \overline{f}_{x,j}(Mx(t))x(t) + g_{x,j}(Mx(t))\overline{\phi}(Nx(t)),$$
(4)

and

$$f_{z,j}(x(t)) = \overline{f}_{z,j}(Mx(t))x(t) + g_{z,j}(Mx(t))\overline{\phi}(Nx(t)),$$
(5)

where $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n_m \times n_x}$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_x - n_m) \times n_x}$ are known matrices selecting respectively the measured and unmeasured state variables, so the nonlinear functions $\overline{f}_{x,j}(Mx(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}, \overline{f}_{z,j}(Mx(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times n_x}, g_{x,j}(Mx(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_s}$ and $g_{z,j}(Mx(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times n_s}$ contain only measurable nonlinear terms while the unmeasured terms are reported in the vector-valued sector-bounded nonlinear functions $\overline{\phi}(Nx(t)) \in \mathbb{C}^{\{N_x(t), \overline{U}x(t)\}} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_s}$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s \times n_x}$ and $\overline{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s \times n_x}$.

Remark 1. Let us notice that, for the sake of convenience and to lighten further mathematical developments, we consider in the present study that $f_{x,j}^w(x(t))$ do not depends on unmeasured state variable, that is, $f_{x,j}^w(x(t)) \equiv f_{x,j}^w(Mx(t))$. Obviously, this choice can be considered as slightly restrictive w.r.t. this class of systems but it does not affect the proof of concept in our proposal since this term only relates to the external disturbances $\omega(t)$, which in practice usually affect the system's dynamics without any nonlinear transformation (i.e., $f_{x,j}^w(x(t)) = B$ constant).

Now, applying the well-known sector nonlinearity approach,⁹ only on the nonlinear terms involving measured state variables, we can write:

$$\bar{f}_{x,j}(Mx(t)) = \sum_{i_j=1}^{r_j} h_{i_j}(Mx(t))\mathcal{A}_{i_j}, \quad \bar{f}_{z,j}(Mx(t)) = \sum_{i_j=1}^{r_j} h_{i_j}(Mx(t))\mathcal{F}_{i_j}, \quad f_{x,j}^w(Mx(t)) = \sum_{i_j=1}^{r_j} h_{i_j}(Mx(t))B_{i_j} \\
g_{x,j}(Mx(t)) = \sum_{i_j=1}^{r_j} h_{i_j}(Mx(t))H_{i_j}^x, \quad g_{z,j}(Mx(t)) = \sum_{i_j=1}^{r_j} h_{i_j}(Mx(t))H_{i_j}^z,$$
(6)

for any x(t) belonging to the validity domain D_x defined as:

$$\mathcal{D}_x = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x} : \mathfrak{L}x(t) \le \mathcal{Q} \},\tag{7}$$

where $\mathfrak{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu \times n_x}$ is a given matrix and $\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{\nu}$ (ν is the number of state variable bounds to be satisfied); r_j is the number of vertices (or fuzzy rules) in the jth mode; $\forall i_j \in \{1, \ldots, r_j\}$, $h_{i_j}(Mx(t)) \ge 0$ are normalized membership functions, which depend only on measured state variables and satisfy the convex sum property $\sum_{i=1}^{r_j} h_{i_j}(Mx(t)) = 1$; the matrices $\mathcal{A}_{i_j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$, $\mathcal{F}_{i_j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$, $B_{i_j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_w}$, $H_{i_j}^x$ define the vertices in each mode j.

Remark 2. Let us denote j and j^+ , respectively, the switched system's active mode at time t and its successor. Without loss of generality, we consider in this paper that the switches in (1) and (3) occur within switching sets defined by linear hyper-planes defined by:

$$\mathcal{S}_{jj^+} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x} : S_{jj^+} x = 0 \}, \tag{8}$$

where S_{ij^+} are real matrices with appropriate dimensions.

From the above developments, the switched nonlinear system (1) can be exactly represented on the compact subset D_x of its state space by a switched T-S model with nonlinear consequent parts (switched N-TS) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i_j=1}^{r_j} \sigma_j(t) h_{i_j}(Mx(t)) \left(\mathcal{A}_{i_j} x(t) + H_{i_j}^x \overline{\phi}(Nx(t)) + B_{i_j} w(t) \right) \\ z(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i_j=1}^{r_j} \sigma_j(t) h_{i_j}(Mx(t)) (\mathcal{F}_{i_j} x(t) + H_{i_j}^z \overline{\phi}(Nx(t))) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) + Dw(t) \end{aligned}$$
(9)

Furthermore, a change of origin for the nonlinear consequent term is performed such that $\phi(Nx(t)) = \overline{\phi}(x(t)) - \underline{U}x(t)$. Thus we have $\phi(Nx(t)) \in co\{0, Ux(t)\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_s}$, with $U = \overline{U} - \underline{U}$, and the N-TS (9) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{j}=1}^{r_{j}} \sigma_{j}(t) h_{i_{j}}(Mx(t)) \left(A_{i_{j}}x(t) + H_{i_{j}}^{x}\phi(Nx(t)) + B_{i_{j}}w(t) \right) \\ z(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{j}=1}^{r_{j}} \sigma_{j}(t) h_{i_{j}}(Mx(t)) (F_{i_{j}}x(t) + H_{i_{j}}^{z}\phi(Nx(t))) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) + Dw(t), \end{aligned}$$
(10)

with $A_{i_j} = A_{i_j} + H_{i_j}^x \underline{U}$ and $F_{i_j} = \mathcal{F}_{i_j} + H_{i_j}^z \underline{U}$.

Such a change of variable is useful for design purpose so that the following property holds.

Property 1. (Reference 44) The vector of nonlinearities $\phi(Nx(t)) \in co\{0, Ux(t)\}$ satisfies the following sector-boundedness condition:

$$\phi(Nx(t))^T \Upsilon(\phi(Nx(t)) - Ux(t)) \le 0, \tag{11}$$

where $\Upsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s \times n_s}$ is any positive-definite diagonal matrix.

Remark 3. Recall that an interesting feature of switched N-TS systems is that they can be used to overcome the occurrence of UPVs efficiently, see for example, References 21,43-48. Indeed, as highlighted from the above developments, a switched N-TS model consists in transforming the original switched nonlinear system (1) into a nominal switched T-S model with additive nonlinear consequent parts. In this case, the premise variables of the N-TS will only depend on the measured states, while the nonlinear terms depending on unmeasured variables are reported into the nonlinear consequent part. Moreover, another interesting feature of N-TS approaches is that the resulting number of vertices involved in the design conditions can be significantly reduced to help relaxing the conservatism or the computational complexity versus classical T-S modeling approaches.

In this paper, given switched N-TS systems (10), we propose the design of asynchronous switched N-TS filters given by:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}}(t) = \sum_{\hat{j}=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{j=1}}^{r_{j}} \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{j}}(t) h_{i_{j}}(M\hat{x}(t)) \left(\hat{A}_{i_{j}}\hat{x}(t) + H_{i_{j}}^{x}\phi(N\hat{x}(t)) + \hat{B}_{i_{j}}y(t) \right) \\ \hat{z}(t) = \sum_{\hat{j}=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{j=1}}^{r_{j}} \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{j}}(t) h_{i_{j}}(M\hat{x}(t)) \left(\hat{F}_{i_{j}}\hat{x}(t) + H_{i_{j}}^{z}\phi(N\hat{x}(t)) \right), \end{cases}$$
(12)

where $\hat{x}(t)$ is the filter's state vector, \hat{A}_{i_j} , \hat{B}_{i_j} , \hat{F}_{i_j} are gain matrices to be synthesized; $\forall \hat{j} \in \{1, ..., m\}$, $\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{j}}(t) \in \{0, 1\}$, with $\sum_{i_j}^m \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{j}}(t) = 1$, define the switching law of the filter, which is assumed to evolve according to the filter's own switching sets \hat{S}_{ij}^{+} defined by the hyper-planes:

$$\hat{S}_{\hat{i}\hat{i}}^{+} = \{ \hat{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x} : \hat{S}_{\hat{i}\hat{i}}^{+} \hat{x} = 0 \},$$
(13)

where \hat{S}_{jj^+} are real matrices with appropriate dimensions, \hat{j} and \hat{j}^+ denote, respectively, the switched N-TS filter's active mode at time *t* and its successor.

Remark 4. Let us recall that considering the filter's switching signals $\hat{\sigma}_j(t)$ asynchronous with regards to the switched system's ones $\sigma_j(t)$ is relevant when the latter are unavailable or imprecisely measured, as mentioned in the introduction of this paper.

2.1 | Notation

J

In the sequel, we will denote switched convex combinations of matrices $\mathcal{M}_{(.)}$ with appropriate dimensions by:

$$\mathcal{M}_{h_{\sigma}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{j}=1}^{r_{j}} \sigma_{j}(t) h_{i_{j}}(Mx(t)) \mathcal{M}_{i_{j}}, \ \mathcal{M}_{h_{\hat{\sigma}}} = \sum_{\hat{j}=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{j}=1}^{r_{j}} \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{j}}(t) h_{i_{j}}(M\hat{x}(t)) \mathcal{M}_{i_{j}}, \mathcal{M}_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\hat{j}_{j}=1}^{m} \sum_{i_{j}=1}^{r_{j}} \sum_{i_{j}=1}^{r_{j}} \sigma_{j}(t) \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{j}}(t) h_{i_{j}}(Mx(t)) h_{i_{j}}(M\hat{x}(t)) \mathcal{M}_{i_{j}i_{j}}, \ \text{and} \ \mathcal{M}_{\hat{\sigma}} = \sum_{\hat{j}=1}^{m} \hat{\sigma}_{\hat{j}}(t) \mathcal{M}_{\hat{j}}.$$

Moreover, the time *t* as functions argument will be omitted when no ambiguity arises. \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} stands for the pseudo-inverse of non square matrices \mathcal{M} . For real square matrices \mathcal{M} , we denote $\mathcal{H}e(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}^T$. Finally, $\mathcal{M}_{(v)}$ denotes the v^{th} row of a matrix \mathcal{M} .

Let us define the filtering errors $e_x(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t)$ and $e_z(t) = z(t) - \hat{z}(t)$, we can write:

$$\dot{e}_{x}(t) = \left(A_{h_{\sigma}}x(t) + H_{h_{\sigma}}^{x}\phi(Nx(t)) + B_{h_{\sigma}}w(t)\right) - \left(\hat{A}_{h_{\sigma}}\hat{x}(t) + H_{h_{\sigma}}^{x}\phi(N\hat{x}(t)) + \hat{B}_{h_{\sigma}}y(t)\right) \\ = A_{h_{\sigma}}e_{x}(t) + (A_{h_{\sigma}} - \hat{A}_{h_{\sigma}})\hat{x}(t) + H_{h_{\sigma}}^{x}\phi_{e}(t) + (H_{h_{\sigma}}^{x} - H_{h_{\sigma}}^{x})\phi(N\hat{x}(t)) + B_{h_{\sigma}}w(t) - \hat{B}_{h_{\sigma}}(Cx(t) + Dw(t)),$$
(14)

and:

$$e_{z}(t) = \left(F_{h_{\sigma}}x(t) + H_{h_{\sigma}}^{z}\phi(Nx(t))\right) - \left(\hat{F}_{h_{\hat{\sigma}}}\hat{x}(t) + H_{h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{z}\phi(N\hat{x}(t))\right)$$

= $F_{h_{\sigma}}e_{x}(t) + (F_{h_{\sigma}} - \hat{F}_{h_{\hat{\sigma}}})\hat{x}(t) + H_{h_{\sigma}}^{z}\phi_{e}(t) + (H_{h_{\sigma}}^{z} - H_{h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{z})\phi(N\hat{x}(t)),$ (15)

where $\phi_e(t) = \phi(Nx(t)) - \phi(N\hat{x}(t))$. Then, considering the augmented state error vector $e(t) = \left[e_x(t)^T e_z(t)^T\right]^T$ and introducing $\phi_a(t) = \left[\phi^T(N\hat{x}(t)) \quad \phi_e^T(t)\right]^T$ and $\tilde{w}(t) = \left[x^T(t) \quad \hat{x}^T(t) \quad w^T(t)\right]^T$, a compact form of the filtering-error dynamics can be written as the following descriptor:

$$E\dot{e}(t) = \tilde{A}_{h_{\sigma}}e(t) + \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\dot{\sigma}}}\phi_{a}(t) + \tilde{B}_{h_{\sigma}h_{\dot{\sigma}}}\tilde{w}(t),$$
(16)

with

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{A}_{h_{\sigma}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{h_{\sigma}} & 0 \\ F_{h_{\sigma}} & -I \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\sigma}} = \begin{bmatrix} H_{h_{\sigma}}^{x} - H_{h_{\sigma}}^{x} & H_{h_{\sigma}}^{x} \\ H_{h_{\sigma}}^{z} - H_{h_{\sigma}}^{z} & H_{h_{\sigma}}^{z} \end{bmatrix}, \tilde{B}_{h_{\sigma}h_{\sigma}} = \begin{bmatrix} -\hat{B}_{h_{\sigma}}C & A_{h_{\sigma}} - \hat{A}_{h_{\sigma}} & B_{h_{\sigma}} - \hat{B}_{h_{\sigma}}D \\ 0 & F_{h_{\sigma}} - \hat{F}_{h_{\sigma}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$
(17)

Assumption 3. Let us assume that the characterization of the nonlinear term $\phi(Nx(t))$ can be made based on a set \mathcal{W} of symmetric matrices $W_{h_{\sigma}} = \text{diag}\left(W_{h_{\sigma}}^{11}, W_{h_{\sigma}}^{22}\right)$, with $= W_{h_{\sigma}}^{11^{T}} > 0$ and $W_{h_{\sigma}}^{22} = W_{h_{\sigma}}^{22^{T}} < 0$. Hence, Following the work of Açíkmeşe and Corless,⁵⁰ all $W_{h_{\sigma}} \in \mathcal{W}$ satisfies the Incremental Quadratic Constraint (δQC) given by:

$$\varphi(t, q_1, q_2) = \begin{bmatrix} q_1 - q_2 \\ \phi(q_1, t) - \phi(q_2, t) \end{bmatrix}^T W_{h_\sigma} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 - q_2 \\ \phi(q_1, t) - \phi(q_2, t) \end{bmatrix} \ge 0,$$
(18)

where $q_1 = Nx(t)$ and $q_2 = N\hat{x}(t)$.

Remark 5. In order to cope with the term $\phi_e(t)$ in the filtering error dynamics (16), an alternative is to consider Lipschitz conditions, however this may lead to conservatism. Instead, the δQC (18) is more general since it includes as a special case the Lipschitz condition. Indeed, note that the Lipschitz condition $\|\phi(q_1, t) - \phi(q_2, t)\| \le \mu \|q_1 - q_2\|$, with $\mu \ge 0$, can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} q_1 - q_2 \\ \phi(q_1, t) - \phi(q_2, t) \end{bmatrix}^T W_{h_{\sigma}} \begin{bmatrix} q_1 - q_2 \\ \phi(q_1, t) - \phi(q_2, t) \end{bmatrix} \ge 0,$$

with the incremental multiplier matrix $W_{h_{\sigma}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu^2 I & 0\\ 0 & -I \end{bmatrix}$ satisfying the δQC (18).

Now, let us recall that the switched N-TS model (10) is valid and guarantee an exact representation of the switched nonlinear system (1) inside a domain of validity D_x defined in (7). Hence, to design a switched N-TS filter that has the same structure as the considered switched N-TS system, it is necessary to consider a domain of validity $D_{\hat{x}}$, similarly to the one of the switched N-TS system (10) (i.e., using the same nonlinear sectors). These allow to define the domain of validity of the estimation error D_{e_x} as follows:

$$D_{e_x} = \{ e_x \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x} : \mathfrak{L}e_x(t) \le 2Q \}.$$
(19)

Remark 6. Note that the initialization of the filter's state is arbitrary by nature. Indeed, for practical reasons, it is well-known that the whole system's state is not supposed to be available (else, filtering or any other estimation techniques would be irrelevant). Therefore, it is not realistic to assume that the system's initial state x(0) is known and the filter can be initialized in any other initial state $\hat{x}(0) \in D_{\hat{x}}$. For the sake of convenience, in this study, we choose to set the filter's initial state as $\hat{x}(0) = 0$ so that the estimation of the domain of attraction will be easier since, in this case we have $e_x(0) = x(0)$.

From now, the following problem statement for the design of the asynchronous switched N-TS filter (12) can be made.

2.2 | Problem statement

The objective of this work is to synthesize the gain matrices of the asynchronous switched N-TS filters (12) such that the following requirements are satisfied, together with maximizing the estimate $D_a \subseteq D_{e_x}$ of the domain of attraction, that is, the guaranteed domain of convergence of the filtering errors.

- i. $\forall e_x \in D_a \subseteq D_{e_x}$, the unmeasured output filtering error $e_z(t)$ is converging to the origin, that is, $\lim_{t \to +\infty} e_z(t) = 0$, when w(t) = 0.
- ii. For all nonzero $\tilde{w}(t) \in L_2[0, \infty)$, the filtering error dynamics (16) has a prescribed disturbance attenuation level γ , such that:

$$\frac{\|e_{z}(t)\|_{S}^{2}}{\|\tilde{w}(t)\|_{P}^{2}} \le \gamma^{2},$$
(20)

that is to say, if the following H_{∞} criterion is satisfied:

$$\int_0^\infty e_z^T(t) S e_z(t) dt \le \gamma^2 \int_0^\infty \tilde{w}^T(t) \mathcal{R} \tilde{w}(t) dt,$$
(21)

where \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} are known weighting positive diagonal matrices with appropriate dimension.

Furthermore, the following lemmas will be used to relax the LMI conditions derived as the main results in the next section.

Lemma 1. (*Reference 32*) For any matrices Z, R, L, P, and Q with appropriate dimensions, one has:

$$Z^{T}P + P^{T}Z + Q < 0 \iff \exists R, L : \begin{bmatrix} Z^{T}L^{T} + LZ + Q & (*) \\ P - L^{T} + R^{T}Z & -R^{T} - R \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$

$$(22)$$

Lemma 2. (*Reference 33*) Let i = 1, ..., r and $\delta_i(.) > 0$ being scalar functions satisfying the convex sum property $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \delta_i(.) = 1$. For same sized symmetric matrices Γ_{ij} , the inequality $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \delta_i(.) \delta_j(.) \Gamma_{ij} < 0$ is verified if, $\forall (i, k) = \{1, ..., r\}^2$:

$$\frac{1}{r-1}\Gamma_{ii} + \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{ki} + \Gamma_{ik}) < 0.$$
(23)

This concludes the preliminaries. The following section will be devoted to provide LMI conditions for the design of asynchronous switched N-TS H_{∞} filters with nonlinear consequent parts and incremental quadratic constraints, such that the above problem statement is fulfilled.

3 | MAIN RESULTS

In this section, the problem of H_{∞} filtering for the switched N-TS systems (10) and the estimation of filtered unmeasured output vector z(t) is considered. To this end, sufficient LMI-based conditions for the design of asynchronous switched N-TS filters (12) with nonlinear consequent parts, incremental quadratic constraints and arbitrary switching (without dwell-time conditions) are provided. These are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Consider the switched N-TS system (10), and the switched N-TS H_{∞} filters (12). $\forall (j, \hat{j}) \in \{1, \ldots, m\}^2, \forall (i_j, k_j) \in \{1, \ldots, r_j\}^2$ and $\forall q_j \in \{1, \ldots, r_j\}$, both requirements of the above problem statement are satisfied if there exist a scalar $\gamma > 0$ and real matrices \hat{A}_{i_j} , \hat{B}_{i_j} , \hat{F}_{i_j} , $G_{\hat{j}}$, L_{k_j} , R_{k_j} , $X_{\hat{j}}^1 = X_{\hat{j}}^{1^T}$, $X_{\hat{j}}^2$, $X_{\hat{j}}^3$ and diagonal matrices $\Upsilon_{i_j} \ge 0$, which verify the following optimization problem:

$$\min \gamma^{2}, \max trace(X_{j}^{1})$$
s.t. (25), (26), (27) and $X_{j}^{1} > 0$
(24)

1519

WILEY

where

1520

$$\frac{1}{r_j - 1} \Xi_{i_j i_j q_j} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\Xi_{i_j k_j q_j} + \Xi_{k_j i_j q_j} \right) < 0, \ \forall (i_j, k_j) \in \{1, \dots, r_j\}^2,$$
(25)

$$X_{\hat{j}^{+}}^{1} = X_{\hat{j}}^{1} + G_{\hat{j}}^{T}C + C^{T}G_{\hat{j}}, \forall (\hat{j}, \hat{j}^{+}) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^{2}$$
(26)

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_{\hat{j}}^{1} & (*) \\ \mathfrak{L}_{(\nu)}X_{\hat{j}}^{1} & 4Q_{(\nu)}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \ge 0, \forall \nu \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}, \forall \hat{j} \in \{1, \dots, m\},$$
(27)

with

$$\Xi_{i_{j}k_{j},q_{j}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}e(L_{k_{j}}\tilde{A}_{i_{j}}^{T}) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ X_{j} - L_{k_{i}}^{T} + R_{k_{j}}^{T}\tilde{A}_{i_{j}}^{T} & -R_{k_{j}} - R_{k_{j}}^{T} & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ B_{i_{j}q_{j}}^{T} & 0 & -\mathcal{R}\gamma^{2} & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ \Gamma_{i_{j}q_{j}}^{1^{T}} & 0 & Y_{i_{j}}U\overline{\Psi} - 2Y_{i_{j}} & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ \Gamma_{i_{j}k_{j}}^{2^{T}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & W_{i_{j}}^{22} & (*) & (*) \\ \Psi X_{j} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I & (*) \\ \widetilde{N}X_{j} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\overline{W}_{i_{j}}^{11} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(28)$$

where:

$$\tilde{A}_{i_j} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{i_j} & 0\\ F_{i_j} & -I \end{bmatrix}, \\ \tilde{B}_{i_j q_j} = \begin{bmatrix} -\hat{B}_{q_j} C & A_{i_j} - \hat{A}_{q_j} & B_{i_j} - \hat{B}_{q_j} D\\ 0 & F_{i_j} - \hat{F}_{q_j} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Gamma^1_{i_j q_j} = \begin{bmatrix} H^x_{i_j} - H^x_{q_j} \\ H^z_{i_j} - H^z_{q_j} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Gamma^2_{i_j q_j} = \begin{bmatrix} H^x_{i_j} \\ H^z_{i_j} \end{bmatrix},$$
(29)

and

$$\psi = diag\left(0, S^{1/2}\right), \widetilde{N} = \begin{bmatrix} N & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \overline{\Psi} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \overline{W}_{i_j}^{11} = \left(W_{i_j}^{11}\right)^{-1}.$$
(30)

In this case, the filter's state vector must be updated at the switching times according to:

$$\hat{x}^{+}(t) = \left(I - \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-1} \left(C\mathcal{L}_{j}^{-1}\right)^{\dagger} C\right) \hat{x}(t) + \mathcal{L}_{j}^{-1} \left(C\mathcal{L}_{j}^{-1}\right)^{\dagger} y(t),$$
(31)

with $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}} = \mathbf{V}_{\hat{j}} \sqrt{\Lambda_{\hat{j}}} \mathbf{V}_{\hat{j}}^{T}$, where $\mathbf{V}_{\hat{j}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are composed of the orthonormal eigenvectors of $P_{\hat{j}}^{1} = \left(X_{\hat{j}}^{1}\right)^{-1}$, and where $\Lambda_{\hat{j}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are diagonal matrices, which entries are the eigenvalues of $P_{\hat{j}}^{1}$.

Furthermore, the intersection of Lyapunov level sets $\mathcal{L}(1)$, defined by (32), provides an estimate of the domain of attraction D_a of e_x .

$$\mathscr{L}(1) = \bigcap_{\hat{j} \in \mathcal{I}_m} \left\{ e_x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x} : e_x^T(0) P_{\hat{j}}^1 e_x(0) \le 1 \right\},\tag{32}$$

Proof. Let us consider a multiple quadratic Lyapunov candidate function given by:

$$V(t, e(t)) = e(t)^{T} E P_{\hat{\sigma}} e(t),$$
(33)

where $EP_{\hat{\sigma}} = P_{\hat{\sigma}}^T E > 0$, with $P_{\hat{\sigma}} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{\hat{\sigma}}^1 & 0 \\ P_{\hat{\sigma}}^2 & P_{\hat{\sigma}}^3 \end{bmatrix}$ and $P_{\hat{\sigma}}^1 = P_{\hat{\sigma}}^{1^T} > 0$. Taking the time derivative of (33), yields:

$$\dot{V}(t, e(t)) = 2e^{T}(t)P_{\hat{\sigma}}^{T}E\dot{e}(t) = 2e^{T}(t)P_{\hat{\sigma}}^{T}\left(\tilde{A}_{h_{\sigma}}e(t) + \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}\phi_{a}(t) + \tilde{B}_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}\tilde{w}(t)\right).$$
(34)

Hence, the items i. and ii. of the problem statement defined in Section 2 are satisfied if there exists γ such that the following inequality holds:

$$\dot{V}(t,e(t)) + e_{z}(t)^{T}Se_{z}(t) - \gamma^{2}\tilde{w}(t)^{T}\mathcal{R}\tilde{w}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e(t) \\ \tilde{w}(t) \\ \phi(N\hat{x}(t)) \\ \phi_{e}(t) \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}e(\tilde{A}_{h_{\sigma}}^{T}P_{\hat{\sigma}}) + \psi^{\top}\psi & (*) & (*) \\ \tilde{B}_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{T}P_{\hat{\sigma}} & -\mathcal{R}\gamma^{2} & (*) & (*) \\ \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{T}P_{\hat{\sigma}} & 0 & 0 & (*) \\ \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{2}P_{\hat{\sigma}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e(t) \\ \tilde{w}(t) \\ \phi(N\hat{x}(t)) \\ \phi_{e}(t) \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$
(35)

On the other hand, the $\delta QC\,(18)$ is equivalent to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e(t) \\ \phi_e(t) \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{N}^T W_{h_\sigma}^{11} \widetilde{N} & 0 \\ 0 & W_{h_\sigma}^{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e(t) \\ \phi_e(t) \end{bmatrix} \ge 0.$$
(36)

From (11) and (36), the inequality (35) holds, $\forall \left[e^T(t) \quad \tilde{w}^T(t) \quad \phi^T(N\hat{x}(t)) \quad \phi_e^T(t) \right] \neq 0$, if:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}e(\tilde{A}_{h_{\sigma}}^{T}P_{\hat{\sigma}}) + \tilde{N}^{T}W_{h_{\sigma}}^{11}\tilde{N} + \psi^{T}\psi & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ B_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{T}P_{\hat{\sigma}} & -\mathcal{R}\gamma^{2} & (*) & (*) \\ \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{1T}P_{\hat{\sigma}} & \gamma_{h_{\sigma}}U\overline{\Psi} & -2\gamma_{h_{\sigma}} & (*) \\ \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{2T}P_{\hat{\sigma}} & 0 & 0 & W_{h_{\sigma}}^{22} \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$
(37)

Performing a congruence transformation to (37) with the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(X_{\hat{\sigma}}, I, I, I)$ where $X_{\hat{\sigma}} = P_{\hat{\sigma}}^{-1}$, the following inequality is obtained:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}e(X_{\hat{\sigma}}^{T}\tilde{A}_{h_{\sigma}}^{T}) + X_{\hat{\sigma}}^{T}\tilde{N}^{T}W_{h_{\sigma}}^{11}\tilde{N}X_{\hat{\sigma}} + X_{\hat{\sigma}}^{T}\psi^{\top}\psi X_{\hat{\sigma}} & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ B_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{T} & -\mathcal{R}\gamma^{2} & (*) & (*) \\ \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{1T} & \Upsilon_{h_{\sigma}}U\overline{\Psi} & -2\Upsilon_{h_{\sigma}} & (*) \\ \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\hat{\sigma}}}^{2T} & 0 & 0 & W_{h_{\sigma}}^{22} \end{bmatrix} < 0.$$
(38)

By applying the Schur complement, the inequality (38) is equivalent to:

Then, by applying Lemma 1, the inequality (39) holds if $\exists (L_{h_{\sigma}}, R_{h_{\sigma}})$ such that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}e(L_{h_{\sigma}}\tilde{A}_{h_{\sigma}}^{T}) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ X_{\hat{\sigma}} - L_{h_{\sigma}}^{T} + R_{h_{\sigma}}^{T}\tilde{A}_{h_{\sigma}}^{T} & -R_{h_{\sigma}} - R_{h_{\sigma}}^{T} & (*) & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ B_{h_{\sigma}h_{\sigma}}^{T} & 0 & -\mathcal{R}\gamma^{2} & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\sigma}}^{1^{T}} & 0 & \Upsilon_{h_{\sigma}}U\overline{\Psi} - 2\Upsilon_{h_{\sigma}} & (*) & (*) & (*) \\ \Gamma_{h_{\sigma}h_{\sigma}}^{2^{T}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & W_{h_{\sigma}}^{22} & (*) & (*) \\ \psi X_{\hat{\sigma}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -I & (*) \\ \widetilde{N}X_{\hat{\sigma}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\overline{W}_{h_{\sigma}}^{11} \end{bmatrix} < 0,$$
(40)

which lead, after the application of Lemma 2, to the conditions expressed as LMIs in (25).

CHEKAKTA ET AL

Moreover, in order to ensure the decreasing of the Lyapunov function at the switching instants, the following inequality must be verified:

$$V(t^+, e^+(t)) \le V(t, e(t)),$$
 (41)

where $e^+(t)$ is the updated error of the filter in the forthcoming mode \hat{j}^+ .

Following the pioneer work of Pettersson,⁵⁵ it can be deduced from (33) that (41) is satisfied if:

$$\left(x - \hat{x}^{+}\right)^{T} P_{\hat{j}^{+}}^{1} \left(x - \hat{x}^{+}\right) \leq \left(x - \hat{x}\right)^{T} P_{\hat{j}}^{1} \left(x - \hat{x}\right).$$
(42)

Moreover, recall that we have y = Cx + Dw, then assume that when the switches occurs at switching instants, \hat{x}^+ verifies $y = C\hat{x}^+ + Dw$. In this case, we can write $C(x - \hat{x}^+) = 0$ and for any matrix *G* of appropriate dimension we have⁵⁵:

$$(x - \hat{x}^{+})^{T} (G^{T}C + C^{T}G) (x - \hat{x}^{+}) = 0.$$
(43)

Therefore, from (43), if there exist G_{i} such that the equality (26) is satisfied, then the inequality (42) yields to:

$$\left(x - \hat{x}^{+}\right)^{T} P_{\hat{j}}^{1} \left(x - \hat{x}^{+}\right) \leq (x - \hat{x})^{T} P_{\hat{j}}^{1} (x - \hat{x}).$$
(44)

Furthermore, the updated value of the filter's state $\hat{x}^+(t) \in \overline{S}_{\hat{j}\hat{j}^+}$ have now to be determined such that the previous inequality is satisfied. To this end, consider the spectral decomposition $P_{\hat{j}}^1 = \mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}^T \mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}$, with $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}} = V_{\hat{j}} \sqrt{\Lambda_{\hat{j}}} V_{\hat{j}}^T \in \Re^{n \times n}$,⁶² (44) is satisfied if:

$$\|\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}(x-\hat{x}^{+})\| \le \|\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}(x-\hat{x})\|.$$
(45)

To find the updated value \hat{x}^+ , lying on the hyper-plane $y(t) = C\hat{x}^+(t)$, such that the distance $\|\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}(x - \hat{x}^+)\|$ is minimized, the optimization problem is defined as follows:

$$\min_{\hat{x}^{\dagger}} \|\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}(\hat{x}^{\dagger} - \hat{x})\|$$
subject to : $C\hat{x}^{\dagger} = y.$
(46)

By introducing a scalar $\alpha_{\hat{j}} = \mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}(\hat{x}^+ - \hat{x})$, we have $\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}\hat{x}^+ = \alpha_{\hat{j}} + \mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}\hat{x}$, then the above stated optimization problem can be reformulated as:

$$\min_{\hat{x}^{+}} \|\alpha_{\hat{j}}\|$$
subject to : $C\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}^{-1}\alpha_{\hat{j}} = y - C\hat{x},$
(47)

which admits for solution the minimum least square length to $y(t) - C\hat{x}$, that is:

$$\alpha_{\hat{j}} = \left(F\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}^{-1}\right)^{\dagger}(y(t) - C\hat{x}),\tag{48}$$

and so:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}\hat{x}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}\hat{x} + \left(C\mathcal{L}_{\hat{j}}^{-1}\right)^{\dagger}(y - C\hat{x}).$$
(49)

Finally, left multiplying (49) by \mathcal{L}_{i}^{-1} , the updated value \hat{x}^{+} can be computed as (31).

To conclude this proof, it remains to provide an estimate of the domain of attraction $\mathcal{D}_a \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{e_x}$ (see requirement i. in the problem statement defined in the previous section). Notice that the Lyapunov function (33) can be rewritten as $V(t, e(t)) = e_x^T(0)P_j^1e_x(0)$. Hence, let us consider the Lyapunov level set $\mathcal{L}(1)$ defined, at t = 0, by (32). Performing a congruence transformation on (27) by diag $\left(P_j^1, 1\right)$, then applying the Schur Complement, we get:

$$P_{\hat{j}}^{1} - \frac{\mathfrak{Q}_{(\nu)}^{1}\mathfrak{Q}_{(\nu)}}{4Q_{(\nu)}^{2}} \ge 0, \forall \hat{j} \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$
(50)

1522

Pre- and post-multiplying (50) by $e_x^T(0)$ and its transpose provides:

$$e_x^T(0)P_{\hat{j}}^1 e_x^T(0) - \frac{e_x^T(0)\mathfrak{Q}_{(v)}^T\mathfrak{Q}_{(v)}e_x^T(0)}{4Q_{(v)}^2} \ge 0, \forall \hat{j} \in \{1, \dots, m\}.$$
(51)

Consequently, for any $e_x(0) \in \mathcal{L}(1)$, the inequality $e_x^T(0)\mathfrak{Q}_{(v)}^T\mathfrak{Q}_{(v)}e_x^T(0) \leq 4\mathcal{Q}_{(v)}^2$ holds and, from the definition (19) of the error domain \mathcal{D}_{e_x} , one gets that $\mathcal{L}(1) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{e_x}$. Finally, a simple procedure to enlarge $\mathcal{L}(1)$ is to maximize the trace of X_i^1 with $\hat{j} \in \{1, ..., m\}$, as proposed in (24).

Remark 7. The asynchronous switched N-TS H_{∞} filters design conditions includes as special case the design of synchronous switched Filter by replacing the index \hat{j} with j in the LMI-based conditions of Theorem 1. Moreover, another special case includes our preliminary results for the design of asynchronous switched T-S filter without UPVs presented in,¹⁶ which can be recovered by eliminating the fourth, fifth, and seventh rows, and by setting $\mathcal{R} = I$, $\mathcal{S} = I$. Finally, the special case which considers Lipchitz conditions to deal with the nonlinear consequent part (see Remark 5) can be retrieved by setting $W_{i_{i}}^{11} = \mu^{2}I$ and $W_{i_{i}}^{22} = -I$.

This concludes the main results. The following section will be dedicated to illustrate its effectiveness over numerical simulations, compared with related recent results from the literature.

4 | SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed asynchronous switched N-TS H_{∞} filters design methodology, two simulation examples are presented in this section. The first one is an academic example devoted to compare the conservatism of the LMI conditions provided in Theorem 1 with regards to related recent results proposed in References 11,13,15, and 16. The second example illustrates the effectiveness of the present proposal in simulation by considering a switched model of a mass-spring system drawn from References 30 and 54, extended to the switched nonlinear framework by considering nonlinear stiffness, as proposed in Reference 63, subject to external disturbances and considering arbitrary mismatching switching laws.

4.1 | Academic example

Let us consider a switched nonlinear system with three modes (m = 3, i.e., $\sigma(t) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) defined by:

$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -1 & \Delta_{\sigma(t)}\eta_{1}(x_{1}(t)) + \theta_{\sigma(t)} \\ \beta_{\sigma(t)}(3 + \eta_{1}(x_{1}(t))) & -2\sigma(t) \end{bmatrix}}_{\bar{f}_{x,\sigma(t)}(x_{1}(t))} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}(t) \\ x_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{g_{x,\sigma(t)} = H^{x}} \underbrace{\sin(x_{2}(t))}_{\bar{\phi}(x_{2}(t))} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{5} + \sigma(t)e^{-2} \end{bmatrix}}_{f_{x,\sigma(t)}^{w} = B_{\sigma(t)}} w(t) \\ z(t) = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{f_{z,\sigma(t)}} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}(t) \\ x_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix} \\ y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}(t) \\ x_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2}w(t) \end{cases}$$
(52)

where $\eta_1(x_1(t)) = x_1(t)$ and with the switching parameters $\Delta_1 = \frac{3}{2}$, $\Delta_2 = 2 + \frac{1+2a-b}{6}$, $\Delta_3 = 3 + \frac{3+ab}{6}$, $\theta_1 = 2$, $\theta_2 = 1 + a - \frac{b}{2}$, $\theta_3 = 2 + \frac{ab}{2}$, $\beta_1 = \frac{b}{6}$ and $\beta_2 = \beta_3 = 0$. Moreover, *a* and *b* are two scalar parameters dedicated to further check the feasibility fields of the design conditions proposed in Theorem 1, then to compare their conservatism with the following previous related results from the literature:

• Theorem 1 in Reference 11, which proposes the design of H_{∞} filters for switched T-S systems with asynchronous switching and measured premises,

WILEY 152

1524 | WILF

- Theorem 3 in Reference 13, which considers synchronous filtering for switched T-S systems with persistent dwell-time with measured premises.
- Theorem 1 in Reference 15, which considers non-weighted asynchronous H_∞ filtering design for switched T-S systems with minimum dwell time switching,
- Theorem 1 in Reference 16, which constitutes a special case (published preliminary results) of this paper, where the premise variables were assumed measurable.

To obtain a classical switched T-S representation of the above nonlinear switched system, we should consider applying the sector nonlinearity approach⁹ on both the terms $\eta_1(x_1(t)) = x_1(t)$ and $\eta_2(x_2(t)) = sinc(x_2(t))$, leading to four vertices in each switched mode for standard T-S modeling without nonlinear consequent parts. However, assuming that the second state variable $x_2(t)$ is unmeasured, this would make $\eta_2(x_2(t))$ unavailable. Hence, to circumvent the problem of UPVs in conventional T-S modeling, let us consider the N-TS modeling approach, which allows to reduce the number of vertices to two in each modes. To do so, let us assume that $x_2(t) \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ is unmeasured. Then, let $\overline{\phi}(x_2(t)) = sin(x_2(t)) \in co\left\{\frac{2}{\pi}x_2(t), x_2(t)\right\}$ and apply a change of origin such that $\phi(x_2(t)) = \overline{\phi}(x_2(t)) - \frac{2}{\pi}x_2(t) \in co\left\{0, \frac{\pi-2}{\pi}x_2(t)\right\}$, leading to $U = \left[0 \quad (\pi - 2)/\pi\right]$ (see Property 1). So, applying the sector nonlinearity approach⁹ for $x_1(t) \in [-3, 3]$, we can write for each switched mode $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$:

$$\eta_1(x_1(t)) = h_{1_i}(x_1(t)) \times (-3) + h_{2_i}(x_1(t)) \times 3,$$
(53)

with the membership functions:

$$h_{1_j}(x_1(t)) = \frac{3 - x_1(t)}{6}, \ h_{2_j}(x_1(t)) = \frac{x_1(t) + 3}{6} \text{ and } h_{1_j}(x_1(t)) + h_{2_j}(x_1(t)) = 1,$$
 (54)

Hence, the switched nonlinear system (52) can be rewritten as a N-TS system (10) with $r_j = 2$ vertices in each of its m = 3 modes ($j = \{1, 2, 3\}$) with the matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1_{1}} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3\Delta_{1} + \theta_{1} \\ 0 & -2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \end{bmatrix}, A_{2_{1}} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3\Delta_{1} + \theta_{1} \\ b & -2 + \frac{2}{\pi} \end{bmatrix}, A_{1_{2}} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3\Delta_{2} + \theta_{2} \\ 0 & -4 + \frac{2}{\pi} \end{bmatrix}, A_{2_{2}} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3\Delta_{2} + \theta_{2} \\ 0 & -4 + \frac{2}{\pi} \end{bmatrix}, \\ A_{1_{3}} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3\Delta_{3} + \theta_{3} \\ 0 & -6 + \frac{2}{\pi} \end{bmatrix}, A_{2_{3}} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3\Delta_{3} + \theta_{3} \\ 0 & -6 + \frac{2}{\pi} \end{bmatrix}, B_{1_{1}} &= B_{2_{1}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{5} + 1e^{-2} \end{bmatrix}, B_{1_{1}} &= B_{2_{2}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{5} + 2e^{-2} \end{bmatrix}, \\ B_{1_{3}} &= B_{2_{3}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{5} + 3e^{-2} \end{bmatrix}, H_{i_{j}}^{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, F_{i_{j}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, H_{i_{j}}^{z} &= 0 \ (\forall j \in \{1, 2, 3\} \text{ and } \forall i_{j} \in \{1, 2\}), \\ M &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, N &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, C &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } D &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(55)$$

Note that, since we consider here that $x_1(t) \in [-3, 3]$ and $x_2(t) \in \left\lfloor -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2} \right\rfloor$, the obtained N-TS represents exactly the switched nonlinear system (52) on a validity domain \mathcal{D}_x defined in (7) with:

$$\mathfrak{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{\pi}{2} \\ \frac{\pi}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (56)

For different values of $a \in [-1, 3]$ and $b \in [-2, 0.5]$ with a step of 0.1, the feasibility regions obtained from Theorem 1 using YALMIP and SeduMi in MATLAB⁶⁴ is compared with the ones obtained from the previous related studies.^{11,13,15,16} These results are shown in Figure 1. For these tests, each considered LMI-based conditions are checked over 1066 points (*a*, *b*). The feasibility field provided by theorem 1 in Reference 11 (65 solutions, 6.1%) contains the one provided by theorem 3 in Reference 13 (91 solutions, 8.54%), which in turn includes the one obtained with theorem 1 in Reference 15 (179



FIGURE 1 Feasibility regions provided by Theorem 1 and the considered studies.

Method	Feasibility	S_{lr}	\mathcal{N}_{c}	${\mathcal N}_d$	γ	Solver time (s)
Theorem 1 in Reference 11	6.1%	$5n_x + n_z + n_w$	136	181	0.1936	4.77
Theorem 3 in Reference 13	8.54%	$3n_x + n_w + n_y$	52	148	0.4725	1.21
Theorem 1 in Reference 15	16.8%	$12n_x + n_w + n_z$	652	1097	0.1530	24.79
Theorem 1 in Reference 16	19.7%	$4n_x + 3n_z + n_w$	580	2693	1.0	18.80
Theorem 1 in this paper	63.79%	$5n_x + 3n_z + 2n_\phi + n_w$	90	218	0.0245	0.84

TABLE 1 Computational complexity of the considered studies.

solutions, (16.8%). Theorem 1 in Reference 16, which is a particular result of Theorem 1 of the present paper, provides 210 feasible solutions (19.7%) and it is logically included in the feasibility field we have obtained with the proposed dwell-time free LMI conditions. This clearly confirms that our proposal, using N-TS modeling to circumvent the occurrence of UPVs, provides better results and thus a significant conservatism improvement.

Moreover, to emphasize the computational complexity of the LMI-based conditions proposed in Theorem 1, compared with the ones proposed in References 11,13,15, and 16, Table 1 provides the size S_{lr} of the LMI rows, the number N_c of conditions, and the number N_d of decision variables. This shows that the conditions presented in Theorem 1 require fewer decision variables and LMI conditions than the ones proposed in References 16 and 15. Then, compared to References 11 and 13, the difference of computational complexity remains reasonable and above all, the dwell-time free LMI conditions proposed in this paper achieve the lowest H_{∞} performance γ , listed in Table 1 as the minimal values obtained from each results over all the test points (a, b). Furthermore, for the particular point (a, b) = (2, 0), the computational time achieved to solve the different conditions are also given in Table 1 (we use for this test a 2013 HP notebook-2000 laptop having a 2.0GHz Intel Core I7 2nd generation processor and 8GB of memory). This emphasizes that the conditions of Theorem 1, which always provide the best results, are less computationally costly than the other tested results from the literature.

Now, for simulation purposes, let us consider this first example at the particular point (a = 1, b = -1), where no solution can be found from previous results.^{11,13,15,16} Let the weighting parameters of the H_{∞} criterion (21) be set as $\mathcal{R} = \text{diag}(150I_2, 150I_2, 1), S = 1$ and the δQC constraints (see Assumption 3) be defined by $W_{i_j}^{11} = 0.2I, W_{i_j}^{22} = -1$. Using YALMIP and SeDuMi in Matlab^{64,65} to solve the conditions of Theorem 1, we obtain a minimized H_{∞} performance index of $\gamma = 0.0735$ and the switched N-TS filter gain matrices given by:

$$\hat{A}_{1_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.0395 & -5.3603 \\ 0.0023 & -2.7921 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \hat{A}_{2_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4579 & 8.0586 \\ -0.5248 & -2.1121 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \hat{A}_{1_2} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.0393 & -5.4133 \\ 0.0028 & -2.7823 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \hat{A}_{2_2} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4271 & 8.1495 \\ -0.5397 & -2.1560 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{A}_{1_3} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.0392 & -5.4596 \\ 0.0037 & -2.7776 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \hat{A}_{2_3} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.4209 & 8.2376 \\ -0.5528 & -2.1814 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \hat{B}_{1_1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0077 \\ 0.4232 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \hat{B}_{2_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0123 \\ 0.4206 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \hat{B}_{1_2} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0074 \\ 0.4236 \end{bmatrix},$$

1525

$$\hat{B}_{2_{2}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0115\\ 0.420 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{B}_{1_{3}} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0076\\ 0.4240 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{B}_{2_{3}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0104\\ 0.4188 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{F}_{1_{1}}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0001\\ 1.0092 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{F}_{2_{1}}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0073\\ 1.0136 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\hat{F}_{1_{2}}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0003\\ 1.0092 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{F}_{2_{2}}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0087\\ 1.0146 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{F}_{1_{3}}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0005\\ 1.0094 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{F}_{2_{3}}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0107\\ 1.0148 \end{bmatrix},$$

as well as the Lyapunov matrices:

****/II =

1526

$$P_1^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 35.8408 & -0.3675 \\ -0.3675 & 3.4015 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}, P_2^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 34.6381 & -0.4356 \\ -0.4356 & 3.4015 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}, P_3^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 33.6029 & -0.5111 \\ -0.5111 & 3.4015 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

We assume that the system is switching according to hyper-planes S_{ij^+} which depend on the system's states, while the filter is switching according to the estimated hyper-planes \hat{S}_{ij^+} depending on the estimated states. This makes the system's and the filter's switching laws asynchronous, despite the fact that they are evolving according to the same hyper-planes. For simulation purpose, these linear hyper-planes (8) are defined by $S_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $S_{13} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$, $S_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$, $S_{23} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $S_{31} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$. Moreover, to better highlight such an asynchronous switching behavior, the system and the filter have been initialized in different switching modes ($j_{t=0} = 3$, $\hat{j}_{t=0} = 1$) with their respective initial conditions. $x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\hat{x}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$ (see Remark 6). Finally, to perform the simulation, we also assume a disturbance input set as $w(t) = 20 \cos(3.2\pi t)e^{-0.04t} + v(t)$ where v(t) is a normally distributed random signal (with a sampling period of 0.00025 *s*, a zero mean value, a maximal amplitude of 4 and a SD equal to 1). Figure 2 shows the evolution of the switching signals, where the asynchronous switching behavior of the designed switched N-TS filter with regard to the switched nonlinear system can be clearly observed. Figure 3 shows the measured output y(t) and the input disturbance signal w(t). Then, the unmeasured output z(t) and its estimate $\hat{z}(t)$, as well as the unmeasured output filtering error $e_z(t)$, are plotted in Figure 4.

Moreover, let us notice that from these simulations, for $t \in [0, t_f]$ with $t_f = 9$ s, we can provide an estimate of the achieved disturbance attenuation level as:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\int_{0}^{t_{f}} e_{z}^{T}(t)e_{z}(t)dt}{\int_{0}^{t_{f}} \tilde{w}^{T}(t)R\tilde{w}(t)dt}} = 0.0079.$$
(57)

It shows that the γ -level disturbance attenuation criterion (21) is satisfied since it is lower than $\gamma = 0.0735$ obtained from the application of Theorem 1. Furthermore, the evolution of the δQC function $\varphi(t, q_1, q_2) = \left[(q_1 - q_2)^{\top} \quad (\phi(q_1, t) - \phi(q_2, t))^{\top}\right] W_{h_\sigma} \left[(q_1 - q_2)^{\top} \quad (\phi(q_1, t) - \phi(q_2, t))^{\top}\right]^{\top}$ is depicted in Figure 5, which is always positive. Hence, it verifies the Incremental Quadratic Constraint (18).



FIGURE 2 Switched modes evolution of the switched system (52) and the designed switched filter (12).



FIGURE 3 Measured output y(t) and disturbance input w(t).



FIGURE 4 Unmeasured output z(t), its estimate $\hat{z}(t)$ and filtering error $e_z(t)$.



FIGURE 5 Satisfaction of the incremental quadratic constraint (18).

Finally, Figure 6 shows the estimate of the filtering error domain of attraction D_a , projected on the planes (e_{x_1}, e_{x_2}) and (x_1, x_2) . We can observe that the state and error trajectories remain in D_a for initial conditions taken on its edge.

From this first numerical example, we can conclude that the presented simulations show the efficiency of the proposed switched N-TS H_{∞} filter design methodology in providing good estimation $\hat{z}(t)$ of the unmeasured output z(t), with significant conservatism improvements compared to previous related results,^{11,13,15,16} despite the occurrence of asynchronous switching and the application of a noisy input disturbance signal.

1527



FIGURE 6 Projection of $D_a = \mathcal{L}(1)$ (green lines), D_{e_x} , D_x (red dashed-lines) on planes of interest, states and output error trajectories (blue lines for the filter, black lines for the system), switching hyper-plane frontiers (dashed-dotted black lines).



FIGURE 7 Switched mass-spring system.

4.2 | Switched nonlinear mass-spring system

Let us consider the switched mass-spring system depicted in Figure 7, drawn from References 30 and 54, and governed by the following switched state space model with $\sigma(t) \in \{1, 2\}$:

$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) \\ \ddot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \ddot{x}_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{k_{c}+k_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} & \frac{k_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} & -\frac{c}{m_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{k_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{2}} & -\frac{k_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{2}} & 0 & -\frac{c}{m_{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}(t) \\ x_{2}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{m_{1}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} w(t)$$

$$z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t)$$

$$y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + 0.8w(t),$$
(58)

where $x_1(t)$ and $x_2(t)$ denotes the displacements of respectively the masses $m_1 = 6 kg$ and $m_2 = 1 kg$; k_c is the stiffness of the left spring while k_1 and k_2 are the stiffness of both the right springs which are assumed to switch according to further defined switching hyper-planes.

Now, to extend the mass-spring system (58) in the nonlinear framework, let us assume hardening springs, as modeled in Reference 63, where:

$$k_c = \kappa_c \left(1 + a_c^2 x_1^2(t) \right) \text{ and } k_{\sigma(t)} = \kappa_{\sigma(t)} \left(1 + a_{\sigma(t)}^2 x_2^2(t) \right), \text{ for } \sigma(t) \in \{1, 2\}.$$
 (59)

where $\kappa_c = 10$ N/m, $\kappa_1 = 10$ N/m and $\kappa_2 = 20$ N/m are the nominal springs' stiffness; $a_c = 0.4$, $a_1 = 0.1$ and $a_2 = 0.2$ are the spring's hardening coefficients.

Hence, by substituting (59) in (58), and assuming that x_2 is unmeasured, it yields the following nonlinear switched model of the considered nonlinear mass-spring system:

$$\begin{cases} \begin{vmatrix} \dot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) \\ \ddot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \ddot{x}_{2}(t) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{\kappa_{c}}{m_{1}} \left(1 + a_{c}^{2} x_{1}^{2}(t)\right) - \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} & \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} & -\frac{c}{m_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} & -\frac{c}{m_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{2}} & -\frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{2}} & 0 & -\frac{c}{m_{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}(t) \\ x_{2}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} a_{\sigma(t)} \\ -\frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{2}} a_{\sigma(t)}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \left(x_{2}^{3}(t) - x_{2}^{2}(t) x_{1}(t) \right) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{m_{1}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} w(t) \\ z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + 0.8w(t) \end{cases}$$

$$(60)$$

Let us assume that $x_1(t) \in [-2, 2]$ and $x_2(t) \in [-1, 1]$. Thus, we can define the validity domain D_x in (7) with:

$$\mathfrak{L} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathcal{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (61)

Also, we can write:

$$\overline{\phi}(x(t)) = x_2^3(t) - x_2^2(t)x_1(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -x_2^2(t) & x_2^2(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{bmatrix} \in \operatorname{co}\left\{-Ux(t), Ux(t)\right\},\tag{62}$$

with $U = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

-

Hence, we can apply the change of variable $\phi(x(t) = \overline{\phi}(x(t)) + Ux(t) \in \text{co} \{0, 2Ux(t)\}$, so that the switched nonlinear system (60) can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) \\ \ddot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \ddot{x}_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{\kappa_{c}}{m_{1}} \left(1 + a_{c}^{2}\eta(x_{1}(t))\right) + \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} \left(a_{\sigma(t)}^{2} - 1\right) & \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} \left(1 - a_{\sigma(t)}^{2}\right) & -\frac{c}{m_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} \left(x_{1}(t)\right) + \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} \left(a_{\sigma(t)}^{2} - 1\right) & \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{2}} \left(a_{\sigma(t)}^{2} - 1\right) & 0 & -\frac{c}{m_{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{1}(t) \\ x_{2}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{1}(t) \\ \dot{x}_{2}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{1}} a_{\sigma(t)}^{2} \\ -\frac{\kappa_{\sigma(t)}}{m_{2}} a_{\sigma(t)}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \psi(x(t)) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{m_{1}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \psi(t) \\ z(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + 0.8w(t), \end{cases}$$

$$(63)$$

with $\eta(x_1(t)) = x_1^2(t)$, which can be decomposed, by apply the sector nonlinearity approach,⁹ as:

$$\eta(x_{1}(t)) = \underbrace{\frac{x_{1}^{2}(t)}{4}}_{h_{1_{j}}(x_{1}(t)) \ge 0} \times 4 + \underbrace{\frac{4 - x_{1}^{2}(t)}{4}}_{h_{2_{j}}(x_{1}(t)) \ge 0} \times 0, \text{ where } h_{1_{j}}(x_{1}(t)) + h_{2_{j}}(x_{1}(t)) = 1, \forall j \in \{1, 2\},$$
(64)

leading to a N-TS model (10) with two switched modes ($j \in \{1, 2\}$) and two T-S vertices ($i_j \in \{1, 2\}$) specified by the matrices:

$$A_{1_{j}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{\kappa_{c}}{m_{1}} \left(1 + 4a_{c}^{2}\right) + \frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{1}} \left(a_{j}^{2} - 1\right) & \frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{1}} \left(1 - a_{j}^{2}\right) & -\frac{c}{m_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{2}} \left(1 - a_{j}^{2}\right) & \frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{2}} \left(a_{j}^{2} - 1\right) & 0 & -\frac{c}{m_{2}} \end{bmatrix}, A_{2_{j}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{\kappa_{c}}{m_{1}} + \frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{1}} \left(a_{j}^{2} - 1\right) & \frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{1}} \left(1 - a_{j}^{2}\right) & -\frac{c}{m_{1}} & 0 \\ \frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{2}} \left(1 - a_{j}^{2}\right) & \frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{2}} \left(a_{j}^{2} - 1\right) & 0 & -\frac{c}{m_{2}} \end{bmatrix}, B_{1_{j}} = B_{2_{j}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{m_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{m_{1}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, H_{1_{j}}^{x} = H_{2_{j}}^{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{1}} a_{j}^{2} & -\frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{2}} a_{j}^{2} \\ -\frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{2}} a_{j}^{2} & -\frac{\kappa_{j}}{m_{2}} a_{j}^{2} \end{bmatrix}, F_{1_{j}} = F_{2_{j}} = N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, H_{1_{j}}^{z} = H_{2_{j}}^{z} = 0, C = M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, D = \frac{4}{5}.$$

$$(65)$$

WILEY 1529

1530 WILEY

To illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed switched nonlinear design procedure, let the weighting parameters of the H_{∞} criterion (21) be set as $\mathcal{R} = \text{diag}(250I_4, 250I_4, 1)$ and S = 1 and the δQC constraints (see Assumption 3) be defined by $W_{i_j}^{11} = 0.26I$, $W_{i_j}^{22} = -0.1$. Solving the LMI conditions of Theorem 1 in Matlab with the use of YALMIP and SeDuMi,⁶⁴ one obtains a minimized H_{∞} performance index $\gamma = 0.0775$ and the switched N-TS filter (12) gain matrices given by:

$$\begin{split} \hat{A}_{1_{1}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.07530.1450 & 1.0000.0000 \\ 0.04440.0673 & -0.00001.0000 \\ -4.12672.1224 & -0.8333 - 0.0000 \\ 10.4952 - 10.2954 & 0.0000 - 5.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{A}_{2_{1}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0833 & 0.1442 & 1.0000 & -0.0000 \\ 0.619 & 0.0922 & -0.0000 & 1.0000 \\ -3.4674 & 1.3555 & -0.8333 & 0.0000 \\ 9.8202 & -9.4648 & -0.0000 & -5.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \hat{B}_{1_{1}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0000 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.2071 \\ -0.0000 \\ 0.836 & 0.0693 & -0.0000 & 1.0000 \\ -5.7447 & 3.7354 & -0.8333 & 0.0000 \\ 19.7566 & -19.9103 & 0.0000 & -5.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{A}_{2_{2}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.1015 & 0.1080 & 1.0000 & -0.0000 \\ 0.0971 & 0.0910 & 0.0000 & 1.0000 \\ -5.0383 & 2.9238 & -0.8333 & -0.0000 \\ 19.0457 & -18.9358 & -0.0000 & -5.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \hat{B}_{1_{2}} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.0000 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.0001 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071 \\ 0.2071$$

and the Lyapunov matrices:

	1.9411	1.7887	-1.1547	0.1795	-1		1.8585	1.6720	-1.1351	0.2570	-1	
p ¹ _	1.7887	3.9090	-3.0718	-4.2428	\mathbf{p}^1		1.6720	3.9090	-3.0718	-4.2428		(67)
$r_1 =$	-1.1547	-3.0718	5.2046	0.8520	, r ₂	=	-1.1351	-3.0718	5.2046	0.8520	•	(07)
	0.1795	-4.2428	0.8520	26.4345			0.2570	-4.2428	0.8520	26.4345		

For simulation purposes, we assume that the switched nonlinear system (60) switches according to the hyper-planes (8) with $S_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $S_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Moreover, to better highlight the asynchronous behavior of the switched mass-spring system and the designed switched N-TS filter, it is assumed that the latter switches according to different hyper-planes (13) with $\hat{S}_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\hat{S}_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Furthermore we set the initial conditions to $x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.4 & 0.01 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}^T$ and $\hat{x}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T$, so that the system and the filter are respectively initialized in different modes, i.e. $j_{t=0} = 2$ and $\hat{j}_{t=0} = 1$. We set the noise disturbance input as $w(t) = 8 \sin(0.8\pi t)e^{-0.01t} + v(t)$, where v(t) is a normally distributed random signal (with a sampling period of 0.001 *s*, a zero mean value, a maximal amplitude of 2 and a standard deviation equal to 1).

Figure 8 shows the evolution of the measured output y(t) and the noisy disturbance w(t). Figure 9 shows the evolution of the asynchronous switching signals. The unmeasured output z(t) and its estimate $\hat{z}(t)$ are depicted in Figure 10, together with the filtering error $e_z(t)$. Figure 11 confirms that the δQC constraints (18) is always verified since it remains positive. Finally, the estimation of the domain of attraction D_a are plotted in Figure 12, projected on the planes of



FIGURE 8 Measured output y(t) and disturbance input w(t).



FIGURE 9 Switched modes evolution of the switched system (60) and the designed switched filter (12).



FIGURE 10 Unmeasured output z(t), its estimate $\hat{z}(t)$ and filtering error $e_z(t)$.

1531

WILEY



FIGURE 11 Satisfaction of the incremental quadratic constraint (18).



FIGURE 12 Projection of $D_a = \mathcal{L}(1)$ (green lines), D_{e_x} , D_x (red dashed-lines) on planes of interest, states and output error trajectories (blue lines for the filter, black lines for the system), system's switching hyper-plane frontiers (black lines), filter's switching hyper-plane frontiers (dashed-dotted black lines)

interest (e_{x_1}, e_{x_2}) and (x_1, x_2) , together with the system's and filter's state trajectories. As expected, for initial conditions taken inside D_a , the state estimation error $e_x(t)$, the state trajectory x(t) and the filter's state $\hat{x}(t)$ remains in D_a . Furthermore, from the simulation results depicted in Figures 8 and 9, for $t \in [0, t_f]$ with $t_f = 30s$, we obtain an estimate of the achieved disturbance attenuation level as:

$$\sqrt{\frac{\int_{0}^{t_{f}} e_{z}^{T}(t)e_{z}(t)dt}{\int_{0}^{t_{f}} \tilde{w}^{T}(t)R\tilde{w}(t)dt}} = 0.0039,$$
(68)

which satisfies the γ -level disturbance attenuation criterion (21) since it is lower than the obtained $\gamma = 0.0775$ from the solution of Theorem 1.

From these simulations, we can conclude that the designed switched N-TS filter successfully estimates the unmeasured displacement $z(t) = x_2(t)$ despite the switched asynchronous phenomena as well as the noisy input disturbances affecting the dynamic behavior of the considered switched nonlinear mass-spring system.

5 | CONCLUSION

This paper has investigated the design of asynchronous switched T-S H_{∞} filters with nonlinear consequent parts (switched N-TS filters) for a class of continuous-time switched nonlinear systems involving sector bounded nonlinearities, subject to bounded exogenous disturbances and mismatching switching laws. Such asynchronous switched N-TS filters are proposed to estimate unmeasured output under arbitrary switching, without any consideration of dwell-time (dwell-time independent), and to circumvent the occurrence of unmeasured premise variables brought by standard T-S modeling.

The design conditions, expressed in terms of Linear Matrix Inequalities, have been derived based on a multiple Lyapunov functional and an H_{∞} criterion to attenuate the input disturbances. Incremental Quadratic Constraints have been assumed to cope with the system's unmeasured nonlinear terms, rewritten as nonlinear consequent parts with the considered N-TS modeling approach. Such obtained N-TS model being valid on a compact subset of the system's state space, an optimization procedure has also been proposed to estimate the closed-loop domains of attraction of the filtering-error. Two simulation examples have been provided to illustrate the effectiveness of this proposal. First, an academic example, used to compare the conservatism of the proposed conditions with the recent related literature, shown the superiority of the proposed design conditions in terms of relaxation as well as in terms of lower computational complexity. The second example consists in the simulation of a switched mass-spring system with input disturbances, drawn from the literature then extended to the nonlinear framework by considering hardening springs. Again, with this second example, the effectiveness of the proposed design conditions have been confirmed, highlighting the ability of the designed switched N-TS filter to provide good estimation of the unmeasured output despite noisy input disturbances and mismatching switching sets (i.e., switched asynchronous behavior). Starting from this proposal, many extensions are possible. Among them, we intend, for our further works, to focus on the adaptation of such filtering techniques in the context of non-fragile design or fault-tolerant control.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Issam Chekakta https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3122-2912 Dalel Jabri https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6147-9455 Koffi M. D. Motchon https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4752-2530 Kevin Guelton https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3292-7746 Djamel E. C. Belkhiat https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1396-0292

REFERENCES

- 1. Liberzon D. Switching in Systems and Control. Springer; 2003.
- 2. Daafouz J, Riedinger P, Iung C. Stability analysis and control synthesis for switched systems: a switched Lyapunov function approach. *IEEE Trans Autom Control*. 2002;47(11):1883-1887.
- 3. Zhang L, Gao H. Asynchronously switched control of switched linear systems with average dwell time. Automatica. 2010;46(5):953-958.
- 4. Belkhiat DEC, Messai N, Manamanni N. Design of robust fault detection observers for discrete-time linear switched systems. *IFAC Proc Vol.* 2011;44(1):6622-6627.
- 5. Yuan S, Zhang L, De Schutter B, Baldi S. A novel Lyapunov function for a non-weighted L2 gain of asynchronously switched linear systems. *Automatica*. 2018;87:310-317.
- 6. Alessandri A, Sanfelice RG. Hysteresis-based switching observers for linear systems using quadratic boundedness. *Automatica*. 2022;136:109982.
- 7. Fei Z, Chen W, Zhao X. Interval estimation for asynchronously switched positive systems. Automatica. 2022;143:110427.
- 8. Takagi T, Sugeno M. Fuzzy identification of systems and its applications to modeling and control. *IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern*. 1985;SMC-15(1):116-132.
- 9. Tanaka K, Wang HO. Fuzzy Control Systems Design and Analysis: A Linear Matrix Inequality Approach. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2001.
- 10. Jabri D, Guelton K, Manamanni N, Jaadari A, Chinh CD. Robust stabilization of nonlinear systems based on a switched fuzzy control law. *Control Eng Appl Inform*. 2012;14(2):40-49.
- 11. Hong Y, Zhang H, Zheng Q. Asynchronous H∞ filtering for switched T–S fuzzy systems and its application to the continuous stirred tank reactor. *Int J Fuzzy Syst.* 2018;20(5):1470-1482.
- 12. Cherifi A, Guelton K, Arcese L, Leite VJ. Global non-quadratic D-stabilization of Takagi–Sugeno systems with piecewise continuous membership functions. *Appl Math Comput.* 2019;351:23-36.
- 13. Shi S, Fei Z, Wang T, Xu Y. Filtering for switched T-S fuzzy systems with persistent dwell time. *IEEE Trans Cybern*. 2019;49(5):1923-1931.
- 14. Zare K, Shasadeghi M, Izadian A, Niknam T, Asemani MH. Switching TS fuzzy model-based dynamic sliding mode observer design for non-differentiable nonlinear systems. *Eng Appl Artif Intel.* 2020;96:103990.
- 15. Liu C, Li Y, Zheng Q, Zhang H. Non-weighted asynchronous H∞ filtering for continuous-time switched fuzzy systems. *Int J Fuzzy Syst.* 2020;22(6):1892-1904.
- 16. Chekakta I, Belkhiat DEC, Guelton K, Motchon KM, Jabri D. Asynchronous switched Takagi-Sugeno H∞ filters design for switched nonlinear systems. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*. 2022;55(1):351-356.
- 17. Guerra TM, Vermeiren L. LMI-based relaxed nonquadratic stabilization conditions for nonlinear systems in the Takagi–Sugeno's form. *Automatica*. 2004;40(5):823-829.

CHEKAKTA ET AL.

- 1534 WILEY
- 18. Lendek Z, Guerra TM, Babuska R, De Schutter B. Stability Analysis and Nonlinear Observer Design Using Takagi-Sugeno Fuzzy Models. Springer; 2011:262.
- Lopes AN, Leite VJ, Silva LF, Guelton K. Anti-windup TS fuzzy PI-like control for discrete-time nonlinear systems with saturated actuators. Int J Fuzzy Syst. 2020;22(1):46-61.
- 20. Assawinchaichote W, Nguang SK, Shi P. Robust H∞ fuzzy filter design for uncertain nonlinear singularly perturbed systems with Markovian jumps: an LMI approach. *Inform Sci.* 2007;177(7):1699-1714.
- 21. Yoneyama J. H∞ filtering for fuzzy systems with immeasurable premise variables: an uncertain system approach. *Fuzzy Set Syst.* 2009;160(12):1738-1748.
- 22. Yan H, Xu X, Zhang H, Yang F. Distributed event-triggered H∞ state estimation for T–S fuzzy systems over filtering networks. *J Franklin Inst*. 2017;354(9):3760-3779.
- 23. Tao J, Lu R, Su H, Shi P, Wu ZG. Asynchronous filtering of nonlinear Markov jump systems with randomly occurred quantization via T–S fuzzy models. *IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst.* 2017;26(4):1866-1877.
- 24. El Aiss H, Zoulagh T, El Hajjaji A, Hmamed A. Full and reduced-order H∞ filtering of Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy time-varying delay systems: input–output approach. *Int J Adapt Control Signal Process*. 2021;35(5):748-768.
- 25. Li Z, Xu S, Zou Y, Chu Y. Robust H∞ filter design of uncertain TS fuzzy neutral systems with time-varying delays. *Int J Syst Sci.* 2011;42(7):1231-1238.
- 26. Yoneyama J. Robust H∞ filtering for sampled-data fuzzy systems. *Fuzzy Set Syst.* 2013;217:110-129.
- 27. Xu M. Robust H∞ filtering design for Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy systems with time-varying delay via delta operator approach. *Adv Mech Eng.* 2018;10(1):1687814017745396.
- 28. Li W, Xie Z, Wong PK, Hu Y, Ge G, Zhao J. Event-triggered asynchronous fuzzy filtering for vehicle sideslip angle estimation with data quantization and dropouts. *IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst.* 2022;30(8):2822-2836.
- 29. Xue X, Xu L, Yu X. Distributed H∞ fuzzy filtering for nonlinear systems interconnected over graphs. *Int J Adapt Control Signal Process*. 2022;36(5):1172-1195.
- 30. Ren H, Zong G, Karimi HR. Asynchronous finite-time filtering of networked switched systems and its application: an event-driven method. *IEEE Trans Circuits Syst I Regul Pap.* 2018;66(1):391-402.
- 31. Qi Y, Zhao X, Huang J. H∞ filtering for switched systems subject to stochastic cyber attacks: a double adaptive storage event-triggering communication. *Appl Math Comput.* 2021;394:125789.
- 32. Peaucelle D, Arzelier D, Bachelier O, Bernussou J. A new robust script D sign-stability condition for real convex polytopic uncertainty. *Syst Control Lett.* 2000;40(1):21-30.
- 33. Tuan HD, Apkarian P, Nguyen TQ. Robust and reduced-order filtering: new LMI-based characterizations and methods. *IEEE Trans Signal Process*. 2001;49(12):2975-2984.
- 34. Ichalal D, Marx B, Ragot J, Maquin D. State estimation of Takagi-Sugeno systems with unmeasurable premise variables. *IET Control Theory Applic*. 2010;4(5):897-908.
- 35. Moodi H, Farrokhi M. On observer-based controller design for Sugeno systems with unmeasurable premise variables. *ISA Trans.* 2014;53(2):305-316.
- 36. Moodi H, Bustan D. Unmeasurable premise avoidance in T-S fuzzy observers. Paper presented at: 2017 5th International Conference on Control, Instrumentation, and Automation (ICCIA), Shiraz, Iran, 2018:144-149.
- 37. Xie WB, Li H, Wang ZH, Zhang J. Observer-based controller design for a TS fuzzy system with unknown premise variables. *Int J Control Autom Syst.* 2019;17(4):907-915.
- 38. Chekakta I, Belkhiat DEC, Guelton K, Jabri D, Manamanni N. Asynchronous observer design for switched T-S systems with unmeasurable premises and switching mismatches. *Eng Appl Artif Intel.* 2021;104:104371.
- 39. Ichalal D, Marx B, Ragot J, Maquin D. Advances in observer design for Takagi-Sugeno systems with unmeasurable premise variables. Paper presented at: 2012 20th Mediterranean Conference on Control & Automation (MED), Barcelona, Spain, 2012:848-853.
- Ichalal D, Marx B, Maquin D, Ragot J. On observer design for nonlinear Takagi-Sugeno systems with unmeasurable premise variable. Paper presented at: 2011 International Symposium on Advanced Control of Industrial Processes (ADCONIP), Hongzhou, P.R. China, 2011: 353–358.
- 41. López-Estrada FR, Astorga-Zaragoza CM, Theilliol D, Ponsart JC, Valencia-Palomo G, Torres L. Observer synthesis for a class of Takagi–Sugeno descriptor system with unmeasurable premise variable. Application to fault diagnosis. *Int J Syst Sci.* 2017;48(16):3419-3430.
- 42. Ichalal D, Marx B, Mammar S, Maquin D, Ragot J. How to cope with unmeasurable premise variables in Takagi–Sugeno observer design: dynamic extension approach. *Eng Appl Artif Intel.* 2018;67:430-435.
- Bouarar T, Guelton K, Mansouri B, Manamanni N. Lmi stability conditions for takagi-sugeno uncertain descriptors. Paper presented at: 2007 IEEE International Fuzzy Systems Conference. IEEE, London, UK, 2007:1-6.
- 44. Dong J, Wang Y, Yang GH. Control synthesis of continuous-time TS fuzzy systems with local nonlinear models. *IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern B Cybern*. 2009;39(5):1245-1258.
- 45. Moodi H, Farrokhi M. Robust observer-based controller design for Takagi–Sugeno systems with nonlinear consequent parts. *Fuzzy Set Syst.* 2015;273:141-154.
- 46. Araújo RF, Torres LA, Palhares RM. Distributed control of networked nonlinear systems via interconnected Takagi–Sugeno fuzzy systems with nonlinear consequent. *IEEE Trans Syst Man Cybern Syst.* 2019;51(8):4858-4867.
- 47. Moodi H, Farrokhi M, Guerra TM, Lauber J. On stabilization conditions for T–S systems with nonlinear consequent parts. *Int J Fuzzy Syst.* 2019;21(1):84-94.

- 48. Nagy Z, Lendek Z, Buşoniu L. Observer design for a class of nonlinear systems with nonscalar-input nonlinear consequents. *IEEE Control Syst Lett.* 2020;5(3):971-976.
- 49. Nagy Z, Lendek Z, Buşoniu L. TS fuzzy observer-based controller design for a class of discrete-time nonlinear systems. *IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst.* 2022;30(2):555-566.
- 50. Açıkmeşe B, Corless M. Observers for systems with nonlinearities satisfying incremental quadratic constraints. *Automatica*. 2011;47(7):1339-1348.
- 51. Mao Y, Zhang H, Xu S. The exponential stability and asynchronous stabilization of a class of switched nonlinear system via the T-S fuzzy model. *IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst.* 2014;22(4):817-828.
- 52. Han M, Zhang R, Zhang L, Zhao Y, Pan W. Asynchronous observer design for switched linear systems: a tube-based approach. *IEEE/CAA J Autom Sin.* 2020;7(1):70-81.
- 53. Etienne L, Motchon K, Duviella E, Guelton K. Impulsive observer design for switched linear systems with time varying sampling and (a)synchronous switching rules. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*. 2020;53(2):6434-6439.
- 54. Zhang L, Zhuang S, Shi P. Non-weighted quasi-time-dependent H∞ filtering for switched linear systems with persistent dwell-time. *Automatica*. 2015;54:201-209.
- 55. Pettersson S. Switched state jump observers for switched systems. IFAC Proc Vol. 2005;38:127-132.
- 56. Xiang W, Xiao J, Iqbal MN. Robust observer design for nonlinear uncertain switched systems under asynchronous switching. *Nonlinear Anal Hybrid Syst.* 2012;6(1):754-773.
- 57. Wang T, Tong S. Observer-based output-feedback asynchronous control for switched fuzzy systems. *IEEE Trans Cybern*. 2017;47(9):2579-2591.
- 58. Liu Q, Zhao J. Switched adaptive observers design for a class of switched uncertain nonlinear systems. *Nonlinear Anal Hybrid Syst.* 2020;36:100866.
- 59. Zhai D, Lu AY, Dong J, Zhang Q. Adaptive tracking control for a class of switched nonlinear systems under asynchronous switching. *IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst.* 2018;26(3):1245-1256.
- 60. Yang D, Li X, Song S. Design of state-dependent switching laws for stability of switched stochastic neural networks with time-delays. *IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst.* 2019;31(6):1808-1819.
- 61. Sala A. On the conservativeness of fuzzy and fuzzy-polynomial control of nonlinear systems. Annu Rev Control. 2009;33(1):48-58.
- 62. Derinkuyu K, Pinar MÇ. On the S-procedure and some variants. Math Methods Oper Res. 2006;64(1):55-77.
- 63. Khalil HK. Nonlinear Systems. 3rd ed. Prentice-Hall; 2002.
- 64. Lofberg J. YALMIP: a toolbox for modeling and optimization in MATLAB. Paper presented at: 2004 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (IEEE Cat. No.04CH37508), Taipei, Taiwan, 2004:284-289.
- 65. Labit Y, Peaucelle D, Henrion D. SeDuMi interface 1.02: a tool for solving LMI problems with SeDuMi. Paper presented at: IEEE International Symposium on Computer Aided Control System Design. IEEE, Glasgow, UK, 2002:272-277.

How to cite this article: Chekakta I, Jabri D, Motchon KMD, Guelton K, Belkhiat DEC. Design of asynchronous switched Takagi–Sugeno model-based H_{∞} filters with nonlinear consequent parts for switched nonlinear systems. *Int J Adapt Control Signal Process.* 2023;37(6):1511-1535. doi: 10.1002/acs.3588