

Linearity and Nonlinearity of Groups of Polynomial Automorphisms of the Plane

Olivier Mathieu

▶ To cite this version:

Olivier Mathieu. Linearity and Nonlinearity of Groups of Polynomial Automorphisms of the Plane. 2023. hal-04025690

HAL Id: hal-04025690 https://hal.science/hal-04025690

Preprint submitted on 13 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Linearity and Nonlinearity of Groups of Polynomial Automorphisms of the Plane

Olivier Mathieu *

Institut Camille Jordan du CNRS 69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France CNRS and Université de Lyon mathieu@math.univ-lyon1.fr

March 13, 2023

Abstract

Given a field K, we investigate which subgroups of the group $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ of polynomial automorphisms of the plane are linear or not.

The results are contrasted. The group $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ itself is nonlinear, except if K is finite, but it contains some large "finite-codimensional" subgroups which are linear. This phenomenon is specific to dimension two: it is easy to prove that any "finite-codimensional" subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^3$ is nonlinear, even for a finite field K.

When ch K = 0, we also look at a similar questions for f.g. subgroups, and the results are also contrasted. Some "finite-codimensional" subgroups are locally linear but not linear.

This paper is respectfully dedicated to the memory of Jacques Tits.

^{*}Research supported by the UMR 5208 du CNRS and the Shenzhen International Center for Mathematics at SUSTech

Summary

Introduction

- 1. Main Definitions and Conventions
- 2. Mixed Products
- 3. Linearity over Rings vs. over Fields
- 4. A Nonlinear f.g. Subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{Q}}$ 5. The Linear Representation of $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K$
- 6. The Linear Representation of $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$
- 7. Semi-algebraic Characters
- 8. A Nonlinearity Criterion for $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$
- 9. Two Linearity Criteria for $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$
- 10. Examples of Linear or Nonlinear $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$
- 11. Nonlinearity of Finite-Codimensional Subgroups of Aut \mathbb{A}^3_K

Introduction

Let K be a field given once and for all and let $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ be the group of polynomial automorphisms of the affine plane \mathbb{A}^2_K over K.

0.1 General Introduction

A group Γ is called *linear over a ring*, respectively *linear over a field*, if there is an embedding $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{GL}(n, R)$, resp. $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{GL}(n, L)$, for some positive integer n and some commutative ring R, resp. some field L.

Various authors have shown that the automorphism groups of algebraic varieties share many properties with linear groups, see e.g. [2] [21][4], e.g. the Tits alternative holds in Aut \mathbb{A}^2_K [16]. However, these groups are not always linear [13][19] In this paper, we will investigate the following related

Question: which subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_K$ are indeed linear or not? Roughly speaking, the answer is that $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_K$ contains large linear subgroups and small ones which are not.

In order to be more specific, let us consider the following subgroups

 $\operatorname{Aut}_0 \widehat{\mathbb{A}_K^2} = \{ \phi \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \mid \phi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}) \},\$ Aut₁ $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} = \{ \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} | d\phi|_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathrm{id} \}, \text{ and}$ SAut₀ $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} = \{ \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} | \operatorname{Jac}(\phi) = 1 \},$

where $\operatorname{Jac}(\phi) := \det d\phi$ is the jacobian of ϕ . Since $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2 / \operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is naturally isomorphic to \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} , informally speaking $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}$ is a subgroup of codimension 2. Similarly, since $\operatorname{Jac}(\phi)$ is a constant polynomial, $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$

has codimension 3 and since $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K = \operatorname{SL}(2, K) \ltimes \operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K$, the group $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ has codimension 6. Anyhow they are viewed as large subgroups.

It was known that the Cremona group $Cr_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ are not linear over a field, see [8][9]. For the large subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$, the linearity results are much more contrasted, as it is shown by the following

Theorem A. (A.1) Whenever K is infinite, the group $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is not linear, even over a ring.

(A.2) However, there is an embedding $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, K(t))$.

For a finite field K, the index $[\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2 : \operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2]$ is finite. Therefore Theorem A.1 admits the following converse

Corollary. If K is finite, the group $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is linear over the field K(t).

The existence of large linear subgroups in $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^n$ is specific to the dimension 2. The case n = 3 is enough to show this. For a finite-codimensional ideal **m** of K[x, y, z], let $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3$ be the group of all automorphisms $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x + f, y + g, z + h),$

where f, g and h belongs to **m**. Equivalently, ϕ fixes some infinitesimal neighborhood of a finite subset in \mathbb{A}^3_K . E.g. for $\mathbf{n} = (x, y, z)^2$, we have

Aut_n $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{3} = \{ \phi \in \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{3} \mid \phi(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ and } d\phi|_{\mathbf{0}} = \operatorname{id} \}.$ However the groups Aut_m \mathbb{A}_{K}^{3} are not linear, even if K is finite, as shown by

Theorem B. The group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{A}^3_K$ is not linear, even over a ring.

We will now turn our attention to the small subgroups, namely the finitely generated (f.g. in the sequel) subgroups of Aut \mathbb{A}^2_K . Let $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{O}}$ be

 $\Gamma = \langle S, T \rangle$, where S(x, y) = (y, 2x) and $T(x, y) = (x, y + x^2)$. The question of the existence of nonlinear f.g. subgroups in Aut $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is explicitly raised by Y. Cornulier in [9], is answered by the following

Theorem C. Let K be a field of characteristic zero.

(C.1) The subgroup $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is not linear, even over a ring. (C.2) Any f.g. subgroup of $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is linear over K(t).

It turns out that the group Γ , which is presented by

$$\langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^2 \tau \sigma^{-2} = \tau^2 \rangle$$

appears in [11] as the first example of a 1-related group which is residually finite but not linear over a field.

By Theorem C.1, Γ is also the first example of a 1-related group which is not linear (even over a ring) but which is embeddable in the automorphism group of an algebraic variety. Residual finiteness of Γ follows from general principles [2], but the observation that Γ acts on the finite sets \mathbb{F}_n^2 , for any odd p, and faithfully on their product, provides a concrete proof.

For an infinite field K, Theorem A.2 suggests to ask which groups $G \supset$ $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ are linear or not. Indeed, either this group contains $\operatorname{SAut}\mathbb{A}^2_K :=$ Aut₁ \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} are linear or not. Indeed, even $d_{K} = d_{K}$ $\{\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0}\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} \mid \operatorname{Jac}(\phi) = 1\}$, which is not linear, or it is ismorphic to $\operatorname{Aut}_{0}\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} := \{\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0}\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} \mid d\phi|_{0} \in S\},\$

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{S}\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} := \{ \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0}\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} \mid d\phi|_{0} \in S \},$$

for some subgroup S of GL(2, K). Therefore we ask

For a given subgroup $S \subset GL(2, K)$, is the group $Aut_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ linear? For the subgroups S of SL(2, K), three criteria provide an almost complete answer, see Theorem D. Some examples of application of the criteria are

- **Example A.** Let q be a quadratic form on K^2 and S = SO(q). If q is anisotropic, $\operatorname{Aut}_{S}\mathbb{A}^{2}_{K}$ is linear over a field extension of K. Otherwise $\operatorname{Aut}_{S}\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}$ is not linear, even over a ring.
- **Example B.** For some lattices $S \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, $Aut_S \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is linear over \mathbb{C} . For any lattice $S \subset SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not linear, even over a ring.

Example C. Let d be a squarefree integer, let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of $k := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}).$ Set K = k(x)((t)) and $S = SL(2, \mathcal{O}[x, x^{-1}, t]).$

If d > 0, the group $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}$ is not linear, even over a ring. Otherwise, $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}$ is linear over some field of characteristic zero.

0.2 About the main points of the paper

Since the topics are not ordered as in the general introduction, a summary has been provided. Also note that the statements in the introduction are often weaker than those in the main text.

For a group S, we define in Section 2 the notion of a mixed product of S as a semi-direct product $S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$, where $(E_p)_{p \in P}$ is a family of groups and S acts by permuting the factors of the free product. Hence P is a S-set such that $E_p^s = E_{s,p}$ for any $s \in S$ and $p \in P$.

In section 3, it is shown that, under a mild assumption, a mixed product (or an amalgamated product) which is linear over a ring is automatically linear over a field. This explains the dichotomy linear over a field/not linear. even over a ring in our statements. Then, we can use the theory of algebraic groups to show that some mixed products are not linear, even over a ring.

Let S be a subgroup of SL(2, K). The main question of the paper is to decide if $Aut_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is linear or not. It turns out that this group is mixed product $S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} E_{\delta}(K)$. Hence, there are two obstructions for the linearity.

First, the groups $S_{\delta} \ltimes E_{\delta}(K)$ have to be linear with a uniform bound on the degree. This problem is solved by using the notion of *semi-algebraic* characters for subgroups $\Lambda \subset K^*$. It was inspired by the famous paper of Borel and Tits [5], proving that the abstract isomorphisms of simple algebraic groups are semi-algebraic. Strictly speaking, our paper only provides a partial answer, because otherwise it would had been too long.

The second obstruction is the possibility, or not, to glue together some representations of the groups S and $S_{\delta} \ltimes E_{\delta}(K)$ to get a representation of Aut_S \mathbb{A}^2_K . Our linearity criterion is stronger in characteristic zero than in finite characteristics. In characteristic zero, we can use for the gluing process the following stronger version of

Theorem C.2. Assume K of characteristic 0. There is an embleding $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \operatorname{SL}(1 + \operatorname{lcm}(1, 2 \dots, n), K(t)).$

Here $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{<3} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ denotes the subgroup of $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ generated by the automorphisms of degree < n, for some $n \ge 3$.

The proof of the strong version of Theorem C.2 uses one idea, the pingpong lemma and one trick, based on the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1,2)$. The ping-pong lemma was originally invented by Fricke and Klein for the dynamic of groups with respect to the metric topologies, see [12]. Later, Tits used it in the context of the ultrametric topologies [23], and we follow the Tits idea. Here, the ping-pong setting requires a representation of large dimension.

As a brief conclusion

if ch K = 0, then Aut₀ A²_K is not even locally linear, SAut₀ A²_K is locally linear but not linear, and Aut₁ A²_K is linear. Therefore, the main questions arise for the groups G with Aut₁ A²_K ⊂ G ⊂ SAut₀ A²_K.
if ch K = p, SAut₀ A²_K is linear iff K is finite, while Aut₁ A²_K is always

• if $\operatorname{ch} K = p$, $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is linear iff K is finite, while $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is always linear. In particular, $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is locally linear but not linear. The existence of nonlinear f.g. subgroups is an open question.

• These phenomena are specific to dimension two.

Acknowledgements J.P. Furter and R. Boutonnet informed us that they also found a f.g. subgroup of Aut $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathcal{O}}$ which is not linear over a field [6].

We heartily thank S. Cantat, Y. Cornulier, S. Lamy, I. Soroko and the referee for interesting comments. *Special thanks are due* to Y. Benoist for his

help in the proof of Lemma 33, T. Delzant for bringing my attention to [11] and E. Zelmanov for an inspiring talk.

We also thank the hospitality of the Shenzhen International Center for Mathematics at SUSTech, where this work was partly done.

1 Main Definitions and Conventions

Througout the whole paper, K will denote a given field. Its ground field is $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ if ch K = p or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ otherwise.

1.1 Group theoretical notations

Let S be a group and let $x, y \in S$. The symbols y^x and (x, y) are defined by $y^x := xyx^{-1}$ and $(x, y) := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

By definition, a *S*-set is a set *P* endowed with an action of *S*. The stabilizer of a point $p \in P$ is the subgroup $S_p := \{s \in S \mid s.p = p\}$. The core $Core_S(A)$ of a subgroup *A* of *S* is the kernel of the action of *S* on *S*/*A*.

Similarly, a *S*-group is a group E endowed with a homomorphism $S \to \operatorname{Aut}(E)$. The corresponding semi-direct product of S by E is denoted by $S \ltimes E$. Given another *S*-groups E', a homomorphism, respectively an isomorphism, $\phi : E \to E'$ is called an *S*-homomorphism, resp. an *S*-isomorphism if it commutes with the *S*-action.

1.2 Commutative rings and group functors

Throughout the whole paper, a *commutative ring* means an associative commutative unital ring.

A group functor is a functor $G : R \mapsto G(R)$ from the category of commutative rings R to the category of groups (see e.g. [10] and [26] for the functorial approach to group theory). The standard example of a group functor is $R \mapsto \operatorname{GL}(n, R)$, where n is a given positive integer.

Given an ideal I of a commutative ring R, we denote by G(I) the kernel of the homomorphism $G(R) \to G(R/I)$. It is called the *congruence subgroup* associated to the ideal I. For example, $\operatorname{GL}(n, I)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(n, R)$ of all matrices of the form $\operatorname{id} + A$, where all entries of A are in I.

In most cases, we will only define the group G(K) when K is a field and the reader should understand that the definition over a ring is similar.

For the group $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ of polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{A}_K^2 and its consorts, our notation is not consistent, namely K is an index.

1.3 The group functors $\operatorname{Elem}_{*}(K)$, $\operatorname{Aff}(2, K)$, and their subgroups

By definition, an *elementary automorphism* of \mathbb{A}^2_K is an automorphism

 $\phi: (x, y) \mapsto (z_1 x + t, z_2 y + f(x))$

for some $z_1, z_2 \in K^*$, some $t \in K$ and some $f \in K[x]$. The group of elementary automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^2_K is denoted $\operatorname{Elem}(K)$. Set

 $\operatorname{Elem}_0(K) = \operatorname{Elem}(K) \cap \operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2,$

 $\operatorname{SElem}_0(K) = \operatorname{Elem}(K) \cap \operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$, and

 $\operatorname{Elem}_1(K) = \operatorname{Elem}(K) \cap \operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K.$

However, we will use the simplified notation E(K) for $\text{Elem}_1(K)$.

Let Aff(2, K) be the subgroup of affine automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^2_K . Set

 $B_{\text{Aff}}(K) := \text{Aff}(2, K) \cap \text{Elem}(K),$

 $B_{\mathrm{GL}}(K) := B_{\mathrm{Aff}}(K) \cap \mathrm{GL}(2, K)$, and

 $B(K) := B_{\text{Aff}}(K) \cap \text{SL}(2, K).$

Indeed $B_{\text{Aff}}(K)$, $B_{\text{GL}}(K)$ and B(K) are the standard Borel subgroups of Aff(2, K), GL(2, K) and SL(2, K). We have

Aff $(2, K)/B_{\text{Aff}}(K) = \text{GL}(2, K)/B_{\text{GL}}(K) = \text{SL}(2, K)/B(K) \simeq \mathbb{P}_K^1$, and Elem₀(K) = $B_{GL}(K) \ltimes E(K)$ and SElem₀(K) = $B(K) \ltimes E(K)$.

1.4 An informal definition of finite-codimensional group subfunctor

Informally speaking, a group subfunctor $H \subset G$ has finite codimension if the functor $R \mapsto G(R)/H(R)$ is "represented" by a scheme X of finite type. In particular, it means that there is a natural transform $G/R \to X$ wich induces a bijection $G(K)/H(K) \to X(K)$ whenever K is an algebraically closed field. Since this notion is used only for presentation purpose, we will not provide a formal definition.

For example, the subgroup $\operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ has codimension 2 in $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$, since the quotient $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2 / \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is naturally \mathbb{A}_K^2 . This fact does not require to involve the elusive theory of ind-algebraic groups.

2 Mixed Products

Let S be a group and let $(E_p)_{p \in P}$ be a collection of groups indexed by some S-set P. Since we did not found a name in the literature, we will call *mixed* product of S any semi-direct product $S \ltimes *_P E_p$ where S acts on the free product $*_P E_p$ by permuting its factors, i.e. we have $E_p^s = E_{s,p}$ for any $s \in S$ and $p \in P$ (see [17] ch.4 for the definition of free products).

The connections between mixed products, amalgamated products and free products are investigated. As a consequence of the van der Kulk Theorem, we show that the groups $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ are mixed products and that the groups $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ are free products.

2.1 Amalgamated products

Let A, G_1 and G_2 be groups and let $f_1 : A \to G_1$ and $f_2 : A \to G_2$ be two group homomorphisms. Let $G_1 *_A G_2$ be the *amalgamated product* of G_1 and G_2 over A, see e.g. [20], ch. I. Since the amalgamated products satisfy a universal property, they are often *free amalgamated products*, see [17] ch.8.

In what follows, we will always assume that f_1 and f_2 are injective. Hence the homomorphisms $G_1 \to G_1 *_A G_2$ and $G_2 \to G_1 *_A G_2$ are injective, by the Theorem 1 of ch. 1 in [20]. Therefore, we will use a less formal terminology. The groups G_1 and G_2 are viewed as subgroups of $G_1 *_A G_2$ and we will say that G_1 and G_2 share A as a common subgroup.

2.2 Reduced words

The usual definition [20] of reduced words is based on the right A-cosets. In order to avoid a confusion between the set difference notation $A \setminus X$ and the A-orbits notation $A \setminus X$, we will use a definition based on the left A-cosets.

Let G_1 , G_2 be two groups sharing a common subgroup A, and let $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$. Set $G_1^* = G_1 \setminus A$, $G_2^* = G_2 \setminus A$ and let $T_1^* \subset G_1^*$ (respectively $T_2^* \subset G_2^*$) be a set of representatives of G_1^*/A (resp. of G_2^*/A).

Let Σ be the set of all finite alternating sequences $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ of ones and twos. A reduced word of type $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is a word (x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_0) where x_0 is in A, and $x_i \in T^*_{\epsilon_i}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all reduced words. The next Lemma is well-known, see e.g. [20], Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. The map

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0) \in \mathcal{R} \mapsto x_1 \dots x_n x_0 \in G_1 *_A G_2$$

is bijective.

Set $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$. For $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus A$ there is some integer $n \geq 1$, some $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma$ and some $g_i \in G_{\epsilon_i}^*$ such that $\gamma = g_1 \ldots g_n$. It follows from loc. cit. that $\gamma = x_1 \ldots x_n x_0$ for some reduced word $(x_1 \ldots x_n x_0)$ of type $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$. Since it is determined by γ , the sequence $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is called the type of γ .

2.3 Amalgamated product of subgroups

Let G_1, G_2 be two groups sharing a common subgroup A and set $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$. Let $G'_1 \subset G_1, G'_2 \subset G_2$ and $A' \subset A$ be subgroups such that

$$G'_1 \cap A = G'_2 \cap A = A'.$$

Lemma 2. (i) The natural map $G'_1 *_{A'} G'_2 \to \Gamma$ is injective.

(ii) Let $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ be a subgroup such that $\Gamma' A = \Gamma$. Then we have

$$\Gamma' = G_1' *_{A'} G_2',$$

where $G'_1 = G_1 \cap \Gamma'$, $G'_2 = G_2 \cap \Gamma'$ and $A' = A \cap \Gamma'$.

Proof. Proof of Assertion (i). For i = 1, 2 set $G_i^* = G_i \setminus A$, $G_i'^* = G_i' \setminus A'$. Let $T_i^* \subset G_i^*$ and $T_i'^* \subset G_i'^*$ be a set of representatives of G_i^*/A and $G_i'^*/A'$.

Since the maps $G'_i/A' \to G_i/A$ are injective, it can be assumed that $T'_i \subset T^*_i$. Let \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' be the set of reduced words of $G_1 *_A G_2$, and respectively of $G'_1 *_{A'} G'_2$. By definition, we have $\mathcal{R}' \subset \mathcal{R}$, thus by Lemma 1 the map $G'_1 *_{A'} G'_2 \mapsto G_1 *_A G_2$ is injective.

Proof of Assertion (ii). We will use the notations of the previous proof. Since $\Gamma'.A = \Gamma$, it follows that the maps $G'_1/A' \to G/A$ and $G'_2/A' \to G_2/A$ are bijective. Therefore \mathcal{R}' is the set of all reduced words $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_0) \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $x_0 \in A'$. It follows easily that

$$G_1 *_A G_2/G_1' *_{A'} G_2' \simeq A/A' = \Gamma/\Gamma',$$
 and therefore we have $\Gamma' = G_1' *_{A'} G_2'.$

2.4 The group $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is an amalgamated product Indeed, it is the classical

van der Kulk Theorem. [27] We have Aut $\mathbb{A}^2_K \simeq \operatorname{Aff}(2, K) *_{B_{\operatorname{Aff}}(K)} \operatorname{Elem}(K).$

2.5 Mixted products

Let S be a group, let P be a S-set and let $Q \subset P$ be a set of representatives of P/S. A mixed product $S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ satisfies the following universal property

Lemma 3. Let $\Gamma \supset S$ be a group. Assume given, for any $q \in Q$, a S_q -homomorphism $\phi_q : E_q \to \Gamma$. Then there is a unique group homomorphism $\phi : S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p \to \Gamma$

such that $\phi|_S = \text{id}$ and $\phi|_{E_q} = \phi_q$ for any $q \in Q$.

Proof. Let us define, for any $p \in P$, a S_p -homomorphism $\phi_p : E_p \to \Gamma$ as follows. Let $s \in S$ such that q := s.p belongs to Q. Set $\phi_p(u) = s^{-1}\phi_q(sus^{-1})s$,

for any $u \in E_p$. Since ϕ_q is a S_q -homomorphism, the defined homomorphism ϕ_p only depends on s modulo S_p . Moreover the collection of homomorphisms $(\phi_p)_{p \in P}$ induces a S-homomorphism from $*_{p \in P} E_p$ to Γ , which extends to the required homomorphism $\phi : S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p \to \Gamma$.

It follows that a mixed product $S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ is entirely determined by S and the the S_q -groups E_q for $q \in Q$. For the record, let's state

Lemma 4. Let $\Gamma = S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ and $\Gamma' = S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E'_p$ be two mixed groups. If for any $q \in Q$, the groups E_q and E'_q are S_q -isomorphic, then the groups Γ and Γ' are isomorphic.

2.6 Mixed products with a transitive action on P

In this subsection, we show that the mixed products with a transitive action of S on P are the amalgamated products $S *_A G$ where A is a retract in G.

First, let S, G be two groups sharing a common subgroup A with the additional assumption that A is a retract in G. Therefore, we have $G = A \ltimes E$, for some normal subgroup E of G. Set $\Gamma = S *_A G$ and let Γ_1 be the kernel of the map $\Gamma \to S$ induced by the retraction $G \to A \simeq G/E$. It is clear that $\Gamma = S \ltimes \Gamma_1$.

Lemma 5. Let P be a set of representatives of S/A. We have $\Gamma_1 \simeq *_{\gamma \in P} E^{\gamma}.$ In particular S $*_A G$ is isomorphic to the mixed product $S \ltimes *_{\gamma \in P} E^{\gamma}.$

Proof. We can assume that $1 \in P$. By Lemma 3, there is a unique homomorphism $\phi: S \ltimes *_{\gamma \in P} E^{\gamma} \to \Gamma$ such that its restriction to $E^1 = E$ and to S is the identity. Conversely, the group $S \ltimes *_{\gamma \in P} E^{\gamma}$ contains the subgroups S and $G \simeq A \ltimes E^1$ whose intersection is A. Hence, the universal property of amalgamated products provides a natural homomorphism $\psi: \Gamma \to \ltimes *_{\gamma \in P} E^{\gamma}$. Clearly, ϕ and ψ are inverses of each other, what shows the lemma.

Conversely, let $\Gamma = S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ be a mixed product.

Lemma 6. Assume that S acts transitively on P. Then we have $S \ltimes (*_{p \in P} E_p) \simeq S *_{S_q} (S_q \ltimes E_q),$ where q is any chosen point in P. The proof of the Lemma 6, based on the same use of universal properties as the previous proof, will be skipped.

2.7 The group $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is a mixed product For a subgroup S of $\operatorname{GL}(2, K)$, recall that

 $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} := \{ \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} \mid \mathrm{d}\phi_{0} \in S \}.$

As usual, a line $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$ has projective coordinates (a; b) if $\delta = K.(a, b)$. For such a δ , let $E_{\delta}(K) \subset \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_K$ be the subgroup

 $E_{\delta}(K) := \{(x, y) \mapsto (x, y) + f(bx - ay)(a, b) \mid f \in t^2 K[t]\}.$ Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{GL}(2, K)$ such that $\gamma . \delta_0 = \delta$ where $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$ has coordinates (0; 1). Then we have $E_{\delta_0}(K) = E(K)$ and $E_{\delta}(K) = E(K)^{\gamma}$.

Lemma 7. We have

$$\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K \simeq S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} E_\delta(K).$$

Proof. Clearly it is enough to prove the statement for S = GL(2, K). Since $B_{\text{Aff}}(K)$ contains the translations, we have $B_{\text{Aff}}(K)$. Aut₀ $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} = \text{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}$. Therefore by van der Kulk Theorem and Lemma 2, we have

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{0} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} \simeq \operatorname{GL}(2, K) \ast_{B_{\operatorname{GL}}(K)} \operatorname{Elem}_{0}(K).$$

Since $\operatorname{Elem}_{0}(K) = B_{\operatorname{GL}}(K) \ltimes E(K)$, it follows from Lemma 5 that
 $\operatorname{Aut}_{0} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} \simeq \operatorname{GL}(2, K) \ltimes \ast_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}} E_{\delta}(K).$

2.8 Mixed product with an almost free transitive action on P

The action of S on a set P is called *almost free transitive* if P consists of a fixed point and a free orbit under S. (It will be tacitly assumed that $S \neq 1$, so the fixed point and the free orbit are well defined.) In this subsection we show that mixed product with an almost free transitive action of S on P are the free products G * G', where S is a retract in G.

First let $\Gamma = S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ be a mixed product.

Lemma 8. Assume that the action of S on P is almost free transitive. Then Γ is isomorphic to the free product

$$(S \ltimes E_{p_0}) * E_{p_\infty},$$

where $p_0 \in P$ is the fixed point and $p_{\infty} \in P$ is any point of the free orbit.

Conversely let $\Gamma = (S \ltimes E) * F$ be a free product, where E is a S-group and F is another group.

Lemma 9. The group Γ is isomorphic to the the mixed product $S \ltimes (E * (*_{s \in S} F_s)),$ where F_s denotes a copy of F, for any $s \in S$. The easy proofs of the previous two lemmas, which follows the same pattern as Lemma 4, will be skipped.

2.9 The group $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is a free product

Let U(K) be the group of linear transforms $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + ax)$ for some $a \in K$. Let $\delta_0, \delta_\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$ be the points with projective coordinates (0; 1) and (1; 0). The group $E_{\delta_0}(K) = E(K)$ commutes with U(K).

Lemma 10. We have

 $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}^2_K \simeq (U(K) \times E_{\delta_0}(K)) * E_{\delta_\infty}(K).$

Proof. By Lemma 7, we have $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq U(K) \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_{\delta}(K)$. Since the action of U(K) on \mathbb{P}_K^1 is almost free transitive, the lemma follows from Lemma 8.

2.10 A corollary

Corollary 1. Let K, L be fields such that Card K = Card L and ch K = ch L. We have

 $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_L$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}^2_K \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{U(L)} \mathbb{A}^2_L$.

Proof. It can be assumed that K is infinite. Let \mathbb{F} be its prime subfield let E be a \mathbb{F} -vector space with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} E = \aleph_0 [K : \mathbb{F}] = \text{Card } K$.

By Lemma 7, $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is a free product of $\operatorname{Card} K$ copies of E, from which its follows that $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ only depends on the cardinality and the characteristic of the field K, hence we have $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_L^2$.

The proof that $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{U(L)} \mathbb{A}_L^2$ is identical.

3 Linearity over Rings vs. over Fields

In this section, we show that, under a mild assumption, a mixed product $S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ or an amalgamated product $G_1 *_A G_2$ which is linear over a ring is automatically linear over a field, see Corollaries 2 and 3.

3.1 Linearity Properties

For a group Γ , the strongest form of linearity is the linearity over a field. Besides the case of *prime* rings, i.e. the subrings of a field, a group which is linear over a ring R is not necessarily linear over a field. Two relevant examples are provided in the subsection 8.6.

On the opposite, there are also groups Γ which contain a f.g. subgroup which is not linear, even over a ring. These groups are nonlinear in the strongest sense.

3.2 Minimal embeddings

Let R be a commutative ring and let Γ be a subgroup of GL(n, R) for some $n \geq 1$. The embedding $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{GL}(n, R)$ is called *minimal* if for any ideal $J \neq \{0\}$ we have $\Gamma \cap \operatorname{GL}(n, J) \neq \{1\}.$

Lemma 11. Let Γ be a subgroup of GL(n, R). For some ideal J, the induced homomorphism $\Gamma \to \operatorname{GL}(n, R/J)$ is a minimal embedding.

Proof. Since R is not necessarily noetherian, the proof requires Zorn Lemma.

Let S be the set of all ideals J of R such that $\Gamma \cap \operatorname{GL}(n, J) = \{1\}$. With respect to the inclusion, $\mathcal{S} \ni \{0\}$ is a nonempty poset. For any chain $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$, the ideal $\bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{C}} I$ belongs to \mathcal{S} . Therefore Zorn Lemma implies that \mathcal{S} contains a maximal element J. It follows that the induced homomorphism $\Gamma \to \operatorname{GL}(n, R/J)$ is a minimal embedding.

3.3 Groups with trivial normal centralizers

By definition, a group Γ has the trivial normal centralizers property if, for any subset $S \not\subset \{1\}$, its centralizer $C_{\Gamma}(S)$ is not normal, except if $C_{\Gamma}(S)$ is the trivial group. Equivalently, if H_1 and H_2 are commuting normal subgroups of Γ , then one of them is trivial.

Lemma 12. Let Γ be a group with the trivial normal centralizers property. If Γ is linear over a ring, then Γ is also linear over a field.

Proof. By hypothesis and Lemma 11, there exists a minimal embedding ρ : $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{GL}(n, R)$ for some commutative ring R. The case $\Gamma = \{1\}$ can be excluded, so we will assume that $R \neq \{0\}$.

Let I_1, I_2 be ideals of R with $I_1.I_2 = 0$. Since $H_1 := \Gamma \cap \operatorname{GL}(n, I_1)$ and $H_2 := \Gamma \cap \operatorname{GL}(n, I_2)$ are commuting normal subgroups of Γ , one of them is trivial. Since ρ is minimal, I_1 or I_2 is the zero ideal. Thus R is prime.

It follows that $\Gamma \subset \operatorname{GL}(n, K)$, where K is the fraction field of R.

3.4 Amalgamated products with a trivial core

Let G_1, G_2 be two groups sharing a common subgroup A and set $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$.

Let Σ be the set of all finite alternating sequences $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ of ones and twos. For $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$, let $\Sigma_{i,j}$ be be the subset of all $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n) \in \Sigma$ starting with i and ending with j and let $\Gamma_{i,j}$ be the set of all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ of type $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ for some $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \Sigma_{i,j}$. Therefore we have

 $\Gamma = A \sqcup \Gamma_{1,1} \sqcup \Gamma_{2,2} \sqcup \Gamma_{1,2} \sqcup \Gamma_{2,1}.$

By definition, the amalgamated product $G_1 *_A G_2$ is called *nondegenerate* if $G_1 \neq A$ and $G_2 \neq A$. It is called *dihedral* if $G_1 = G_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and $A = \{1\}$, and *nondihedral* otherwise.

Lemma 13. Let $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$ be a nondegenerate and nondihedral amalgamated product such that $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(A)$ is trivial.

For any element
$$g \neq 1$$
 of Γ , there are $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ such that $g^{\gamma_1} \in \Gamma_{1,1}$ and $g^{\gamma_2} \in \Gamma_{2,2}$.

In particular Γ has the trivial normal centralizers property.

Proof. First it should be noted that A cannot be simultaneously a subgroup of index 2 in G_1 and in G_2 . Otherwise the core hypothesis implies that $A = \{1\}$ and Γ would be the dihedral group. Hence we can assume that G_2/A contains at least 3 elements.

Next it is clear that $G_i^* \Gamma_{j,k} \subset \Gamma_{i,k}$ and $\Gamma_{k,j} G_i^* \subset \Gamma_{k,i}$ whenever $i \neq j$. *Proof that the conjugacy class of any* $g \neq 1$ *intersects both* $\Gamma_{1,1}$ *and* $\Gamma_{2,2}$. Let $\gamma_1 \in G_1^*$ and $\gamma_2 \in G_2^*$. We have $\Gamma_{2,2}^{\gamma_1} \subset \Gamma_{1,1}$ and $\Gamma_{1,1}^{\gamma_2} \subset \Gamma_{2,2}$. Therefore the claim is proved for any $g \in \Gamma_{1,1} \cup \Gamma_{2,2}$. Moreover it is now enough to prove that the conjugacy class of any $g \neq 1$ intersects $\Gamma_{2,2}$.

Assume now $g \in \Gamma_{2,1}$. We have g = u.v for some $u \in G_2^*$ and $v \in \Gamma_{1,1}$. Since $[G_2 : A] \geq 3$, there is $\gamma \in G_2^*$ such that $\gamma.u \notin A$. It follows that $\gamma.g$ belongs to $\Gamma_{2,1}$, and therefore g^{γ} belongs to $\Gamma_{2,2}$.

For $g \in \Gamma_{1,2}$, the claim follows from the fact that g^{-1} belongs to $\Gamma_{2,1}$.

Last, let $g \in A \setminus \{1\}$. Since $\operatorname{Core}_A(\Gamma)$ is trivial, there is $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that g^{γ} is not in A. Thus g^{γ} belongs to $\Gamma_{i,j}$ for some i, j. So g is conjugate to some element in $\Gamma_{2,2}$ by the previous considerations.

Proof that Γ has the trivial normal centralizers property. Let H_1 , H_2 be nontrivial normal subgroups. By the previous point, there are elements g_1 , g_2 with

 $g_1 \in H_1 \cap \Gamma_{1,1}$ and $g_2 \in H_2 \cap \Gamma_{2,2}$.

Since we have $g_1g_2 \in \Gamma_{1,2}$ and $g_2g_1 \in \Gamma_{2,1}$, it follows that $g_1g_2 \neq g_2g_1$. Therefore H_1 and H_2 do not commute. **Corollary 2.** Let $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$ be a nondegenerate amalgameted product such that $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(A)$ is trivial¹.

If Γ is linear over a ring, then Γ is linear over a field.

Proof. Since the infinite dihedral group is linear over a field, we will assume that the amalgamated product $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$ is also nondihedral. Thus the result is an obvious corollary of Lemmas 12 and 13.

3.5 Mixed products with trivial core

Let S be a group, and let $S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ be a mixed product of S.

Let Σ be the set of all finite sequences $\boldsymbol{\pi} = (p_1, \ldots, p_m)$ of elements of P with $p_i \neq p_{i+1}$, for any i < m. Set $\Gamma_1 = *_{p \in P} E_p$ and $E_p^* = E_p \setminus \{1\}$. Any element $u \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \{1\}$ is uniquely written as $u = u_1 \dots u_m$, where $u_i \in E_{p_i}^*$ for some $m \geq 1$ and some sequence $\pi = (p_1, \ldots, p_m) \in \Sigma$. The decomposition $u = u_1 \dots u_m$ is called the *reduced decomposition* of u, π is called its type and m is called its *length*. For $p, p' \in P$, let $E_{p,p'}$ be the set of all elements $u \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \{1\}$ whose type is a sequence π starting with p and ending with p'.

By definition, the free product $*_{p \in P} E_p$, or, by extension, the mixed product $S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$, is called *nondegenerate* if Card $P \geq 2$ and $E_p \neq \{1\}$ for any $p \in P$. For a nondegenerate mixed product $S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$, we have C

$$\operatorname{ore}_{\Gamma}(S) = \operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(\cap_P S_p).$$

The mixed product $S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ is called *dihedral* if Card P = 2, if $E_p =$ $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for any $p \in P$ and if

 $S = \{1\}$ or $S \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ permutes the two factors. It is called *nondihedral* otherwise.

Lemma 14. Let $\Gamma = S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ be a nondegenerate and nondihedral mixed product such that $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(\cap_P S_p) = \{1\}$. Let $p \in P$.

(i) For any element $\gamma \in \Gamma_1 \setminus \{1\}$, there is $v \in \Gamma_1$ such that γ^v belongs to $E_{p,p}$. (ii) For any element $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_1$, there is $v \in \Gamma_1$ such that $(\gamma, v) \neq 1$.

In particular Γ has the trivial normal centralizers property.

Proof. Proof of Assertion(i). By hypothesis, Γ_1 is not the infinite dihedral group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. So the assertion follows from Lemma 13 when Card P =2. Therefore, we will assume that Card $P \geq 3$.

¹As it has been noticed by the referee, this is equivalent to the faithfulness of the action of Γ on the associated Bass-Serre tree.

The element γ belongs to E_{p_1,p_2} for some $p_1, p_2 \in P$. Let $p_3 \in P \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$ and let $v \in E_{p,p_3}$. Thus the element γ^v belongs to $E_{p,p}$.

Proof of Assertion (ii). Let $\gamma = su$, where $s \in S \setminus \{1\}$ and $u \in \Gamma_1$.

Obviously Γ/S and Γ_1 are isomorphic S-sets. Since $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(S)$ is trivial, there is $v \in \Gamma_1$ such that $(s, v) \neq 1$. Thus, we will now assume that $u \neq 1$.

Let $u = u_1 \ldots u_m$ be its reduced decomposition, let (p_1, \ldots, p_m) be its type and let $v \in E_{p'}^*$, where $p' \neq p_m$. By definition, $u_1 \ldots u_m .v. u_m^{-1} \ldots u_1^{-1}$ is a reduced decomposition of the element $w = uvu^{-1}$. Hence w and w^s have lenght $2m + 1 \geq 2$. Thus we have $w^s \neq v$, or, equivalently $v^{\gamma} \neq v$. Hence we have $(\gamma, v) \neq 1$.

Proof that Γ has the trivial normal centralizers property. Let H, H' be two nontrivial normal subgroups of Γ . Let $p \neq p'$ be elements of P. By the Assertions (i) and (ii), there are elements g, g' with

 $g \in H \cap E_{p,p}$ and $g' \in H' \cap E_{p',p'}$. Since we have $gg' \in E_{p,p'}$ and $g'g \in E_{p',p}$, it follows that $gg' \neq g'g$. Therefore H and H' do not commute.

Corollary 3. Let $\Gamma = S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ be a nondegenerate mixed product such that $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(\cap_P S_p) = \{1\}.$

If Γ is linear over a ring, then Γ is linear over a field.

Proof. Since the infinite dihedral group is linear over a field, we will assume that the mixed product $\Gamma = S \ltimes *_{p \in P} E_p$ is also nondihedral. Then the result is an obvious corollary of Lemmas 12 and 14.

4 A Nonlinear f.g. Subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{O}}$

Let Γ be the group with presentation

$$\langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^2 \tau \sigma^{-2} = \tau^2 \rangle.$$

In [11], C. Drutu and M. Sapir showed that Γ is not linear over a field². We show that Γ is neither linear over a ring, and that Γ is isomorphic to an explicit subgroup of Aut₀ $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{O}}$, which proves Theorem A.2.

4.1 The amalgamated decomposition $\Gamma = G_1 *_A G_2$ Let us consider the following subgroups of Γ

 $^{^{2}}$ In the first version of this paper that appeared in the arXiv, I was unaware of [11]. I'm grateful to T. Delzant for providing this reference.

 $G_1 = \langle \sigma \rangle, G_2 = \langle \sigma^2, \tau \rangle$ and $A = \langle \sigma^2 \rangle.$

The groups G_2 is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ where any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ acts over $\mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ by multiplication by 2^n . The group Γ is the amalgamated product $\Gamma \simeq G_1 *_A G_2$.

Lemma 15. The group Γ has the trivial normal centralizers property.

Proof. Set $H = \mathbb{Z}[1/2].\tau$. The A-sets G_2/A and H are isomorphic, hence A acts faithfully on G_2/A . Therefore $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(A) \subset \operatorname{Core}_{G_2}(A)$ is trivial, and the assertion follows from Lemma 13.

4.2 Quasi-unipotent endomorphisms

Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field K. An element $u \in GL(V)$ is called *quasi-unipotent* if all its eigenvalues are roots of unity. The *quasi-order* of a quasi-unipotent endomorphism u is the smallest positiver integer m such that u^m is unipotent.

If u is unipotent and ch K = 0, set

 $\log u = \log(1 - (1 - u)) := \sum_{k \ge 1} (1 - u)^k / k,$ which is well-defined since 1 - u is nilpotent.

Lemma 16. Let $h, u \in GL(V)$. Assume that u has infinite order and $huh^{-1} = u^2$. Then u is quasi-unipotent of quasi-order m for some odd integer m. Moreover K has characteristic zero, and

$$heh^{-1} = 2e_s$$

where $e := \log u^m$.

Proof. Let Spec u be the spectrum of u. By hypothesis the map $\lambda \in$ Spec $u \mapsto \lambda^2 \in$ Spec u is bijective. Hence all eigenvalues of u are odd roots of unity, what proves that u is quasi-unipotent of odd quasi-order m.

Over any field of finite characteristic, the unipotent endomorphisms have finite order. Hence we have ch K = 0. Moreover, we have

$$heh^{-1} = h(\log u^m)h^{-1} = \log(hu^m h^{-1}) = \log(u^{2m}) = 2e.$$

4.3 Nonlinearity of Γ

Drutu-Sapir Lemma. The group Γ is not linear over a field.

The result is a particular case of Corollary 4 in [11]. Since their proof is based on an earlier result of [28], we shall provide a direct proof.

Proof. Assume otherwise and let $\rho' : \Gamma \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ be an embedding, where V is a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field K. Since τ has infinite order and $\sigma^2 \tau \sigma^{-2} = \tau^2$, it follows from Lemma 16 that K has characteristic zero, $\rho'(\tau)$ is quasi-unipotent of odd quasi-order m.

Step 1: there is another embedding $\rho : \Gamma \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ such that $\rho(\tau)$ is unipotent. Let $\psi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be the group homomorphism defined by $\psi(\sigma) = \sigma$, and $\psi(\tau) = \tau^m$. Since $\psi(G_1) \cap \psi(G_2) = A$, it follows from Lemma 2 that the natural homomorphism $\psi(G_1) *_A \psi(G_2) \to \Gamma$ is injective. Hence ψ is injective and $\rho := \rho' \circ \psi$ is an embedding such that $\rho(\tau) = \rho'(\tau)^m$ is unipotent.

Step 2: the unipotent subgroup $U \subset \operatorname{GL}(V)$. Set $h = \rho(\sigma^2)$, set $\Pi = \operatorname{Spec} h$ be its spectrum, and for each $\lambda \in \Pi$, let $V_{(\lambda)}$ be the corresponding generalized eigenspace. An eigenvalue $\lambda \in \Pi$ is called *minimal* if $2^{-n}\lambda \notin \Pi$ for any integer n > 0. Let Π_{min} be the set of minimal eigenvalues and set

 $\Pi_{\geq} k = \{ \lambda \in \Pi \mid \lambda \in 2^l \Pi_{min} \text{ for some } l \geq k \},\$

for $k \ge 0$. The filtration $\Pi = \Pi_{\ge 0} \supset \Pi_{\ge 1} \supset \ldots$ of the set Π induces the following filtration of V

 $V = V_{\geq 0} \supset V_{\geq 1} \supset \ldots,$

where $V_{\geq k} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Pi_{\geq k}} V_{(\lambda)}$. Let U be the group of all $g \in \operatorname{GL}(V)$ such that $(g - \operatorname{id})V_{\geq k} \subset V_{\geq k+1}$ for all $k \geq 0$. For some suitable basis, U is a group of upper triangular matrices. Therefore U is nilpotent.

Step 3: $\rho(\Gamma)$ is nilpotent by commutative. Since $\rho(G_1)$ commutes with h, we have $\rho(G_1).V_{\geq k} = V_{\geq k}$ for any integer k. Therefore $\rho(G_1)$ normalizes U.

Set $u = \rho(\tau)$ and $e = \log u$. By Lemma 16, we have $heh^{-1} = 2e$ and therefore we have $e.V_{\geq k} \subset V_{\geq k+1}$. It follows that $u = \exp e$ belongs to U. Since $\rho(\Gamma) = \langle \rho(G_1), u \rangle$ we have

$$\rho(\Gamma) \subset \rho(G_1) \ltimes U,$$

and therefore $\rho(\Gamma)$ is nilpotent by commutative. Hence $\rho(\Gamma)$ contains a nontrivial normal abelian subgroup. This contradicts Lemma 15, which states that Γ has the trivial normal centralizers property.

Lemma 17. The group Γ is not linear, even over a ring.

Proof. By Lemma 15 the group Γ has the trivial normal centralizers property. It follows from Lemmas 12 and 4 that Γ is not linear, even over a ring.

4.4 Proof of Theorem C.1

Theorem C.1. The subgroup $\langle S, T \rangle$ of $\operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is not linear, even over a ring, where

$$S(x,y) = (y, 2x)$$
 and $T(x,y) = (x, y + x^2)$.

Proof. Set $H_1 = \langle S \rangle$, $H_2 = \langle S^2, T \rangle$, $C = \langle S^2 \rangle$.

We have $S^2 = 2.$ id, therefore we have $H_1 \cap B_{\text{Aff}}(K) = C$. Moreover H_2 is the group of automorphisms of the form

$$(x,y) \mapsto (2^k x, 2^k y + rx^2),$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$, therefore $H_2 \cap B_{\text{Aff}}(K) = C$. It follows from Lemma 2 and van der Kulk Theorem that the natural homomorphism $H_1 *_C H_2 \to \text{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is injective.

There is a group isomorphism $\Gamma \to H_1 *_C H_2$ sending σ to S^{-1} and τ to T. Thus, by Lemma 17, the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ generated by S and T is not linear, even over a ring.

5 The Linear Representation of $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K$

In this section and the next one, we will use a ping-pong lemma. It will be convenient to define the *highest component* of any nonzero vector valued polynomial $f(t) = \sum_k f_k t^k$ by $hc(f) := f_m$, where m is the degree of f.

5.1 A ping-pong lemma

Let S be a group, let P be a S-set and let $\Gamma = S \ltimes *_{p \in P} F_p$ be a mixed product of S.

Lemma 18. Let Ω be a Γ -set, and let $(\Omega_p)_{p \in P}$ be a collection of subsets in Ω . Assume

(i) the free product $*_{p \in P} F_p$ is nondegenerate and nondihedral,

(*ii*) $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(\cap_P S_p)$ is trivial,

(iii) the subsets Ω_p are nonempty and disjoint, and

(iv) we have $F_p^* \Omega_q \subset \Omega_p$ whenever $p \neq q$,

where F_p^* denotes $F_p \setminus \{1\}$.

Then the action of Γ on Ω is faithful.

Proof. Let $p \neq p'$ be two elements in P. By Lemma 14, Assertion (i) any nontrivial normal subgroup $H \subset \Gamma$ contains to some $\gamma \in E_{p,p}$. We have $\gamma \Omega_{p'} \subset \Omega_p$, therefore γ acts nontrivially on Ω . Since any nontrivial normal subgroup H acts nontrivially, the action of Γ on Ω is faithful. \Box

5.2 The group SL(2, tK[t]) is generated by its transvections

It is well known that SL(2, K[t]) is generated by its transvections, but this property does not extend to most congruence subgroups. It is nevertheless the case for the congruence subgroup associated with the ideal tK[t].

More precisely, for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, let $e_{\delta} \in \text{End}(K^2)$ be a nilpotent element with $\operatorname{Im} e_{\delta} = \delta$. Set

 $U_{\delta}(tK[t]) := \{ \mathrm{id} + f(t)e_{\delta} \mid f \in tK[t] \},\$

which is a subgroup of SL(2, tK[t]). Let $\gamma \in GL(2, K)$ such that $\gamma \cdot \delta_0 = \delta$ where $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$ has coordinates (0; 1). Then we have

 $U_{\delta_0}(tK[t]) = U(tK[t])$ and $U_{\delta}(tK[t]) = U(tK[t])^{\gamma}$. The elements of $\bigcup_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_{k'}} U_{\delta}(tK[t])$ are called the *transvections* of SL(2, tK[t]).

Lemma 19. The group SL(2, tK[t]) is generated by its transvections.

Proof. Any element $G(t) \in SL(2, tK[t])$ is a polynomial $G(t) = \sum_{n>0} A_n t^n$ where A_n belong to $\operatorname{End}(K^2)$ and $A_0 = \operatorname{id}$. The proof that G(t) is a product of transvections runs by induction on the degree of G(t).

We can assume that $M := \deg G(t)$ is positive. Since det G(t) is constant, we have det $A_M = 0$, i.e. A_M has rank one. Set $\delta = \operatorname{Im} A_M$, $\delta' = \operatorname{Ker} A_M$ and let (u, v) be a basis of K^2 with $v \in \delta'$. The equation det G(t) = 1 means

$$\sum_{n,m < N} A_n . u \wedge A_m . v t^{n+m} = u \wedge v .$$

There exists an integer N such that

 $\operatorname{Im} A_N \not\subset \delta$, but $\operatorname{Im} A_m \subset \delta$, for any m > N.

The previous identity implies that

 $\sum_{n+m=M+N} A_n \cdot u \wedge A_m \cdot v = 0.$ We have $A_n \cdot u \wedge A_m \cdot v = 0$, whenever n, m are bigger than N and $A_M \cdot v = 0$. Hence we get $A_M . u \wedge A_N . v = 0$, or, equivalently $A_N . \delta' \subset \delta$. Therefore we have $A_M = ce_{\delta} A_N$ for some $c \in K$. Set

$$H(t) = (1 - ce_{\delta} t^{M-N}).G(t).$$

Since $e_{\delta}A_m = 0$ for any m > N, it follows that H(t) has degree $\leq M$. Moreover, its degree M component is $A_M - ce_{\gamma}A_N = 0$. Therefore H(t) has degree < N, what proves the lemma by induction.

5.3 The groups SL(2, tK[t]) and $SL_{U(K)}(2, K[t])$ are free products Let δ_0 , δ_∞ be the points in \mathbb{P}^1_K with coordinates (0; 1) and (1; 0). Set $SL_{U(K)}(2, K[t]) = \{G(t) \in SL(2, K[t] \mid G(0) \in U(K)\}.$

Lemma 20. We have $\mathrm{SL}(2, tK[t]) = *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} U_{\delta}(tK[t] \text{ and } \mathrm{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t]) = U([K[t]) * U_{\delta_{\infty}}(tK[t]).$ *Proof.* The elements of $\Omega := K[t]^2 \setminus \{0\}$ are nonzero K^2 -valued polynomials. For $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, set

 $\Omega_{\delta} = \{ v \in \Omega \mid hc(v) \in \delta \}.$

For any $\delta \neq \delta'$ in \mathbb{P}^1_K , the restriction of e_{δ} to δ' is injective, hence $\operatorname{hc}(H(t).v) = \operatorname{hc}(H(t).\operatorname{hc}(v))$,

for any $H(t) \in U_{\delta}(tK[t]) \setminus \{1\}$ and any $v \in \Omega_{\delta'}$. It follows that $(U_{\delta}(tK[t]) \setminus \{1\}) . \Omega_{\delta'} \subset \Omega_{\delta}.$

Hence by Lemma 18, the free product $*_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} U_{\delta}(tK[t])$ embeds in SL(2, tK[t]), and by Lemma 19, we have

$$*_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} U_{\delta}(tK[t]) = \mathrm{SL}(2, tK[t]),$$

what proves the first assertion. Moreover, we have

 $\operatorname{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t]) \simeq U(K) \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} U_{\delta}(tK[t]).$

Since U(K) acts freely and transitively on $\mathbb{P}_{K}^{1} \setminus \{\delta_{0}\}$ and since $U(K[t]) = U(K) \times U_{\delta_{0}}(tK[t])$, it follows from Lemma 8 that

$$\operatorname{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t]) = U([K[t]) * U_{\delta_{\infty}}(tK[t]).$$

Remark. The group $\operatorname{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t])$ is the "lower nilradical" of the affine Kac-Moody group $\operatorname{SL}(2, K[t, t^{-1}])$. In [24], Tits defined the "lower nilradical" of any Kac-Moody group in term of an inductive limit, which is essentially equivalent to the previous lemma for $\operatorname{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t])$.

Since the notes [24] are not widely distributed, let us mention that an equivalent result is stated in [26], Section 3.2 and 3.2, see also [25].

5.4 Proof of Theorem A.2

Lemma 21. There are isomorphisms Aut₁ $\mathbb{A}^2_K \simeq \mathrm{SL}(2, tK[t])$ and Aut_{U(K)} $\mathbb{A}^2_K \simeq \mathrm{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t])$.

Proof. By Lemmas 7 and 20, $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ and $\operatorname{SL}(2, tK[t])$ are free products of $\operatorname{Card} \mathbb{P}^1_K$ copies of a K-vector space of dimension \aleph_0 . Therefore these two groups are isomorphic.

The proof of the isomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \simeq \operatorname{SL}_{U(K)}(2, K[t])$ follows similarly from Lemmas 10 and 20.

Theorem A.2. The groups $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ are linear over K(t). Moreover if $K \supset k(t)$ for some infinite field k, then there exists an embedding $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, K)$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 21 that $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}^2_K$ are subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(2, K(t))$, and therefore they are linear over K(t). Assume now that $K \supset k(t)$ for some infinite field k. We claim that there exists a field L with $L(t) \subset K$ and Card L =Card K. If Card $K = \aleph_0$, then the subfield k satisfies the claim. Otherwise, we have trdeg $K > \aleph_0$ and there is an embedding $L(t) \subset K$ for some subfield L with trdeg L =trdeg K. Since trdeg L =Card L =Card K, the claim is proved.

It follows from Corollary 1 that

 $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} \simeq \operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_{L}^{2} \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, L(t)) \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, K),$ therefore $\operatorname{Aut}_{1} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{U(K)} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}$ are subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(2, K).$

5.5 A Corollary

For a a finite field K, $\operatorname{Aut}_1 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ has finite index in $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_K^2$, hence

Corollary 4. For a a finite field K, the group $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is linear over K(t).

6 The Linear Representation of $SAut_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}^2_K$

For $n \geq 3$, let $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ generated by all automorphisms $\phi \in \operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ of degree < n. In this section, we will assume that K has characteristic zero, in order to show that the group $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is linear, what proves Theorem C.2. Unfortunately, our approach does not extend to fields of finite characteristics.

6.1 The group $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{\leq n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is a mixed product with trivial core Let $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1$ with projective coordinates (a; b). Let $E_{\delta}^{\leq n}(K) \subset E_{\delta}(K)$ be the subgroup of all automorphisms of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y) + f(bx - ay)(a, b)$ where $f(t) \in t^2 K[t]$ and deg f(t) < n.

Lemma 22. For any $n \geq 3$, the group $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is isomorphic to the nondegenerate mixed product

 $\Gamma := \mathrm{SL}(2, K) \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} E_{\delta}^{< n}(K).$ Moreover $\mathrm{Core}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{SL}(2, K))$ is trivial.

Proof. By induction over n, one easily prove that any reduced decomposition $u = u_1 \ldots u_n$ in the free product $*_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} E_{\delta}(K)$, where $u_i \in E_{\delta_i}(K)$, has degree $N := \prod \deg u_i$ and its highest degree component is of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (bx - ay)^N(c, d)$, where (c; d) and (a; b) are the coordinates of δ_1 and δ_n .

By Lemma 7, $SAut_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is isomorphic to the mixed product

 $SL(2, K) \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} E_{\delta}(K).$

Hence $\mathrm{SAut}_0^{< n}$ is generated by $\mathrm{SL}(2,K)$ and the subgroups $E_{\delta}^{< n}(K)$. It follows that

 $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}^2_K \simeq \operatorname{SL}(2, K) \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K} E_{\delta}^{< n}(K).$

It has been noticed that $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(\operatorname{SL}(2, K))$ acts trivially on \mathbb{P}^{1}_{K} , hence it is included in $\{\pm 1\}$. Set $\tau(x, y) := (x, y + x^{2})$. Since $\tau^{-\operatorname{id}}(x, y) = (x, y - x^{2}) \neq \tau(x, y)$ or ch K = 2, it follows that $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(\operatorname{SL}(2, K))$ is trivial. \Box

6.2 The square root η of e

Let ϵ be an odd variable. For an integer $N \geq 1$, let $L(N) \subset K[x, y]$ and $\hat{L}(N) \subset K[x, y, \epsilon]$ be the subspaces of homogenous polynomials of degree N. Let (e, h, f) be the usual basis of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, K)$. As an SL(2, K)-module, we have $\hat{L}(N) = L(N) \oplus L(N-1)$ and e acts as the derivation $x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. Set

$$\eta = x \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} + \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

It is clear that $\eta^2 = e$. Indeed $\hat{L}(N)$ is a representation of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(1,2)$, and $\eta \in \mathfrak{osp}(1,2)$ is an odd element such that $\eta^2 = e$.

For any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$ with projective coordinates (a; b), set $L_{\delta} := K.(ax+by)^N$ and $L^*_{\delta} = L_{\delta} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$ be the point with projective coordinate (0; 1). Since $(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y})^N (ax+by)^N = N! (bx)^N$, it follows that

Lemma 23. Let $\delta \neq \delta_0$ be another point in \mathbb{P}^1_K . Then for any $v \in L^*_{\delta}$, the element $\eta^{2N} \cdot v = e^N \cdot v$ belongs to $L^*_{\delta_0}$.

6.3 The representation ρ_N of $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ on $\hat{L}(N) \otimes K[t]$ We will extend the natural representation of of $\operatorname{SL}(2, K)$ on $\hat{L}(N) \otimes K[t]$ to $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ as follows. For any automorphism $\tau \in E(K)$, set $\rho_N(\tau) = \exp(t\eta f(\eta)),$

if $\tau(x, y) = (x, y+f(x))$, where $f(x) \in x^2 K[x]$. Since $[e, \eta] = 0$ and $[h, \eta] = \eta$, the homomorphism ρ_N is B(K)-equivariant. By Lemma 3, ρ_N extends to a K[t]-linear action of SAut₀ \mathbb{A}^2_K .

Lemma 24. Assume that 2N is divisible by lcm(1, 2, ..., n). Then the restriction of ρ_N to $SAut_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is faithful.

Proof. For any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, set $\Omega_{\delta} = \{v(t) \in \hat{L}(N) \otimes K[t] \setminus \{0\} \mid hc(v(t)) \in L^*_{\delta}\}.$ First step. We claim that $(E^{<n}_{\delta_0}(K) \setminus \{1\}).\Omega_{\delta} \subset \Omega_{\delta_0},$ for any for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$ with $\delta \neq \delta_0$. Let $\tau(x, y) = (x, y + f(x))$ be in $E_{\delta_0}^{< n}(K) \setminus \{1\}$. We have $f(x) = ax^k +$ higher terms, for some $a \in K^*$ and some k with $2 \leq k < n$. By definition, we have

$$\rho_N(\tau) = \exp t\eta f(\eta) = \sum_{m \ge 0} \frac{\eta^m f(\eta)^m}{m!} t^m.$$

Since $\eta f(\eta)$ is divisible by η^{k+1} and $\eta^{2N+1} = 0$, it follows that $\eta^m f(\eta)^m = 0$ for m > 2N/(k+1). Since k+1 divides 2N, $\rho_N(\tau)$ is a polynomial of degree exactly d := 2N/(k+1) and we have

$$\operatorname{nc}(\rho_N(\tau)) = \frac{a^d}{d!} \eta^{2N}.$$

Let $v(t) \in \Omega_{\delta}$. By Lemma 23, $hc(\rho_N(\tau)).hc(v(t))$ is nonzero and belongs to L_{δ_0} . It follows that $\rho_N(\tau).\Omega_{\delta} \subset \Omega_{\delta_0}$, what proves the claim.

Second step: use of the ping-pong lemma. Using the previous result and the fact that the groups $E_{\delta}^{< n}(K)$ are conjugate under $\mathrm{SL}(2, K)$, it follows that $(E_{\delta}^{< n}(K) \setminus \{1\}) \Omega_{\delta'} \subset \Omega_{\delta}$, for any distinct $\delta, \delta' \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$.

Therefore, the restriction of ρ_N to $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is faithful by Lemma 18. \Box

6.4 Proof of Theorem C.2 Since dim $\hat{L}(N) = 2N + 1$, Lemma 24 implies that

Theorem C.2. For any $n \ge 3$, there is an embedding $\operatorname{SAut}_0^{< n} \mathbb{A}_K^2 \subset \operatorname{SL}(1 + \operatorname{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, n), K(t)).$ In particular, any f.g. subgroup of $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is linear over K(t).

7 Semi-algebraic Characters

Let $\Lambda \subset K^*$ be a subgroup. For any $n \geq 1$, let $K_n \subset K$ be the subfield generated by Λ^n . Let L be an algebraically closed field, which contains at least one subfield isomorphic to K_1 .

For $n \geq 1$, a group homomorphism $\chi : \Lambda \to L^*$ is called a *semi-algebraic* character of degree n if $\chi(z) = \mu(z^n)$ for some field embedding $\mu : K_n \to L$. Let $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda)$ be the set of all semi-algebraic characters of Λ of degree n. The degree of a semi-algebraic character is not uniquely defined. Given $n \neq m$, we will show a criterion for the disjointness of $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda)$.

7.1 The invariant $I_n(\Lambda)$

Let $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ be the group algebra of Λ over the ground field \mathbb{F} of K. For a field $E \supset \mathbb{F}$, any group homomorphism $\chi : \Lambda \to E^*$ extends to an algebra homomorphism $\hat{\chi} : \mathbb{F}[\Lambda] \to E$. One should not confuse Ker χ with

 $\operatorname{Ker} \hat{\chi} := \{ \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda} \lambda \in \mathbb{F}[\Lambda] \mid \sum_{\lambda} a_{\lambda} \chi(\lambda) = 0 \}.$ For $n \geq 1$, let χ_n be the homomorphism $\chi_n : \lambda \in \Lambda \mapsto \lambda^n \in K_n^*$. Set $I_n(\Lambda) = \operatorname{Ker} \hat{\chi}_n$.

Lemma 25. A group homomorphism $\chi : \Lambda \to L^*$ is a semi-algebraic character of degree n iff Ker $\hat{\chi} = I_n(\Lambda)$.

In particular, we have $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda) = \mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda)$ or $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda) = \emptyset$, for any positive integers $n \neq m$.

Proof. By definition the fraction field of the prime ring $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$ is K_n . Hence $\hat{\chi}$ factors through K_n , i.e. $\hat{\chi} = \mu \circ \hat{\chi}_n$ for some field embedding $\mu: K_n \to L$. The first point follows, as well as the second.

7.2 Minimal subgroups of K^*

Let $\Lambda \subset K^*$ be a subgroup. By definition, the *transcendental degree* of Λ is trdeg $\Lambda := \operatorname{trdeg} K_1$ and its *rank* is $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda := \dim \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Both are cardinals, and we have $\operatorname{trdeg} \Lambda \leq \operatorname{rk} \Lambda$. We say that Λ is a *good subgroup* of K^* if trdeg $\Lambda' = \operatorname{rk} \Lambda'$, for any f.g. subgroup Λ' of Λ and a *bad subgroup* otherwise.

Assume now that Λ is a free abelian group of rank $r < \infty$, with basis x_1, \ldots, x_r . The ring $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ is isomorphic to the ring $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ of Laurent polynomials. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$, set $x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, x_r^{\alpha_r}$. The support of a Laurent polynomial $P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^r} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ is the set

Supp $P := \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^r \mid a_\alpha \neq 0 \}.$

Assume now that trdeg $\Lambda = r - 1$. Since $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ is a unique factorization domain, $I_1(\Lambda)$ is a principal ideal, and let P be one its generator. The other generators are the polynomials $ax^{\gamma}P$, for $a \in \mathbb{F}^*$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. Hence the subgroup $X(\Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z}^r$ generated by $\alpha - \beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Supp } P$ only depends on Λ . Moreover, if $0 \in \text{Supp } P$, then we have $X(\Lambda) = \langle \text{Supp } P \rangle$.

A subgroup $\Lambda \subset K^*$ is called *minimally bad* if

- (i) Λ is a f.g. free group, and
- (ii) we have $r := \operatorname{rk} \Lambda = 1 + \operatorname{trdeg} \Lambda$, and $X(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}^r$.

Lemma 26. Let $\Lambda \subset K^*$ be a bad subgroup of K^* .

Then Λ contains a minimally bad subgroup Λ' .

Proof. By definition, Λ contains a f.g. bad subgroup Λ_0 . Moreover, we can assume that Λ_0 is torsion free.

Let \mathcal{C} be the set of all subgroups $\Pi \subset \Lambda_0$ such that $\operatorname{rk} \Pi > \operatorname{trdeg} \Pi$. Let us pick one element of \mathcal{C} , say Π' , of minimal rank. It is clear that $r := \operatorname{rk} \Pi' =$ $1 + \text{trdeg } \Pi'$. Let $x_1, \ldots x_r$ be a basis of Π' and let $P = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^r} a_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ be a generator of the ideal $I_1(\Pi')$ of $\mathbb{F}[\Pi'] \simeq \mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ such that $a_0 \neq 0$.

It is clear that $\Lambda' := \langle x^{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \text{Supp } P \rangle$ is a minimally bad subgroup. \Box

7.3 Newton polygones of P_n

Let $r \geq 1$ be an integer. Let P be one generator of a principal ideal I of $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$. The Newton polygone Newton(P) of P is the convex closure of Supp P in $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^r$, and let $\operatorname{Ext}(P)$ be its set of extremal points. Up to translation by \mathbb{Z}^r , Ext(P) is an invariant of I. Hence the largest integer e(P)such that $\alpha - \beta \in e(P).\mathbb{Z}^r$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in Ext(P)$ only depends on I.

It will convenient to choose an ordering of \mathbb{Z}^r . A Laurent polynomial $P \in$ $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ is normalized if $a_0 = 1$ and any $\alpha \in \text{Supp } P$ is nonnegative. Any principal ideal I of $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ has a unique normalized generator P. Since 0 belongs to $\operatorname{Ext}(P)$, we have $\operatorname{Ext}(P) \subset e(P).\mathbb{Z}^r$.

Let $\Lambda \subset K^*$ be a minimal subgroup and let x_1, \ldots, x_r be a basis of Λ . For any $n \geq 1$, let P_n be the normalized generator of $I_n(\Lambda)$.

Lemma 27. Assume that $n \ge 1$ is not divisible by ch K. Then we have Newton $(P_n) = \frac{n^{r-1}}{f_n}$ Newton (P_1) ,

for some integer f_n dividing $e(P_1)$.

Proof. In $\overline{\mathbb{F}}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$ the polynomial P_1 decomposes uniquely as $P_1 = Q_1 \dots Q_k$

where Q_1, Q_2, \ldots are normalized irreducible polynomials in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_r^{\pm 1}]$. Since they are permuted by $Gal(\mathbb{F})$, we have $\operatorname{Supp} Q_1 = \operatorname{Supp} Q_2...$, hence

(i) Supp Q_1 generates \mathbb{Z}^r , and

(ii) Newton $(Q_1) = \frac{1}{k}$ Newton (P_1) and k divides $e(P_1)$.

Let $\mu_n \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}}^*$ be the group of all *n*th root of one. For various $(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_r) \in$ μ_n^r , the normalized polynomials $Q_1(\zeta_1 x_1, \ldots, \zeta_r x_r)$ are pairwise distinct by the assertion (i). Thus the polynomial $R = \prod_{\zeta_1,\ldots,\zeta_r \in \mu_r^r} Q_1(\zeta_1 x_1,\ldots,\zeta_r x_r)$ is irreducible in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}[x_1^{\pm n}, \dots, x_r^{\pm n}]$.

Set $G_1 = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}) \mid Q_1^{\sigma} = Q_1 \}$ and $G = \{ \sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}) \mid R^{\sigma} = R \}.$ Since R is normalized, the polynomials R^{σ} for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F})/G$ are pairwise distinct, and therefore $S = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F})/G} R^{\sigma}$ is a normalized irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[x_1^{\pm n}, \dots, x_r^{\pm n}]$. It follows that $P_n(x_1^n, \dots, x_r^n) = S(x_1, \dots, x_r).$

Since
$$[\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}):G] = k$$
, the integer $f_n := [G:G_1]$ divides $e(P_1)$, and
Newton $(P_n) = \frac{n^{r-1}}{f_n} \operatorname{Newton}(P_1)$.

7.4 The criterion for disjointness of $\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda)$

Lemma 28. Let $\Lambda \subset K^*$ be a bad subgroup of K^* . Then there is an integer $e \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda) \cap \mathcal{X}_m(\Lambda) = \emptyset$$

whenever the integers $n \neq m$ are coprime to e.

Proof. By Lemma 26, Λ contains a minimal subgroup Λ' . Moreover by Lemma 25, it is enough to show the lemma for Λ' . Therefore we can assume that Λ itself is minimal. For any $n \geq 1$, let P_n be the normalized generator of $I_n(\Lambda)$, relative to some order of Λ .

Proof when $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda = 1$. Thus $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ and a generator x of Λ is an algebraic number of infinite order. Let $n, m \geq 1$ be two integers such that $P_n = P_m$. Since $P_n(\lambda^m) = 0$, there is $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\lambda^m = \sigma(\lambda^n)$. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer such that $\sigma^k(\lambda) = \lambda$. Therefore, we have

$$\lambda^{n^{\kappa}} = \sigma^k(\lambda^{n^{\kappa}}) = \lambda^{m^{\kappa}}$$

Since λ has infinite order, it follows that n = m. In this case, the lemma is proved for e = 1.

Proof when $r := \operatorname{rk} \Lambda \geq 2$. Set $e = pe(P_1)$ if $\operatorname{ch} K = p$ and $e = e(P_1)$ ortherwise. Let $n, m \geq 1$ be two integers prime to e such that $P_n = P_m$. By Lemma 27, we have $\frac{n^{r-1}}{f_n} = \frac{m^{r-1}}{f_m}$ for some integers f_n and f_m dividing e. It follows that n = m.

8 A Nonlinearity Criterion for $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$

Given a subgroup S_0 of B(K), we first investigate a necessary condition for the existence of a given embedding

$$o: S_0 \ltimes E(K) \to \mathrm{GL}(V),$$

where V is a finite-dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field L. Let $W(\rho) \subset \operatorname{End} V$ be the linear subspace generated by $\rho(E(K))$.

The commutative group structure on E(K) will be denoted additively. Indeed E(K) has a natural structure of a graded vector space over K, namely $E(K) = \bigoplus_{n>3} K.T_n$ where $T_n(x, y) = (x, y + x^{n-1})$.

For any $g \in B(K)$, set $\chi_B(g) = \lambda$ if $g(x, y) = (\lambda^{-1}x, \lambda y + tx)$, for some $\lambda \in K^*$ and $t \in K$. The set of all eigenvalues of elements in S_0 is the group $\Lambda := \chi_B(S_0) \subset K^*$. We have $gT_ng^{-1} = \chi_B(g)^nT_n$. Since the action of S_0 on E(K) factors through Λ , it follows that E(K) and $W(\rho)$ are Λ -modules.

8.1 An obvious estimate

A integer $n \ge 1$ is called a *divisor of* Λ if Λ contains a primitive *n*th root of one. Let $d(\Lambda)$ be the number, finite or infinite, of divisors of Λ .

Lemma 29. We have $d(\Lambda) \leq 2 + (\dim V)^2$.

Proof. For each divisor n with $n \geq 3$, set $t_n = \rho(T_n)$. Since $gt_ng^{-1} = t_n$ iff $\chi(g)^n = 1$, it follows that the elements t_n are linearly independent. Thus we have dim End $V \geq d(\Lambda) - 2$, from which the assertion follows.

8.2 Unipotent representations

Lemma 30. (i) Assume that $\operatorname{ch} K = 0$ and $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda \geq 1$. Then $\operatorname{ch} L = 0$ and $\rho(E(K))$ is a unipotent group.

(ii) Assume that $\operatorname{ch} K = p$. Then $\operatorname{ch} L = p$ and $\rho(E(K))$ is a unipotent group.

Proof. First let us prove Assertion (i). Let $V = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Omega} V_{(\chi)}$ be the generalized weight decomposition of the E(K)-module V, where Ω is the set of group homomorphisms $\chi : E(K) \to L^*$ such that $V_{(\chi)} \neq 0$.

Let $\chi \in \Omega$. Since Ω is finite, the group $S'_0 = \{s \in S_0 \mid \chi^s = \chi\}$ has finite index in S_0 . There is some $s \in S'_0$ such that $\chi_B(g)$ has infinite order. It follows that the map $e \in E(K) \mapsto e - ses^{-1} \in E(K)$ is invertible. Therefore χ is trivial and $\rho(E(K))$ is a unipotent group.

Since E(K) is torsion-free, L has characteristic 0.

The proof of Assertion (ii) follows from the fact that E(K) is an elementary *p*-group of infinite rank.

8.3 A linearity criterion for $S_0 \ltimes E(K)$

Lemma 31. Assume again that ρ is a faithful representation of $S_0 \ltimes E(K)$. Then Λ is a good subgroup of K^* .

Proof. By Lemma 30, K and \mathbb{F} have the same ground field \mathbb{F} . Moreover, for any $n \geq 3$, $\rho(E_n)$ is a unipotent group, where $E_n = K \cdot T_n$.

We claim that, for any $n \geq 3$, there is a $L[\Lambda]$ -submodule $W' \subset W(\rho)$ such that $W(\rho)/W'$ contains a $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ -submodule X isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$. Proof for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$. In that case $W' = \{0\}$ and $X := \log \rho(\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda].T_n)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ -submodule of $W(\rho)$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$. Proof for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$. As a substitute for the log, set $\theta(a) = 1 - \rho(a)$ for any $a \in E_n$. Let $M \subset W(\rho)$ be the linear space generated by $\theta(E_n)$. Since

$$\theta(a)\theta(b) = \theta(a) + \theta(b) - \theta(a+b),$$

M is a nonunital algebra and $M^p = \{0\}$. Since ρ is injective, we have $\theta^{-1}(M^p) = \{0\}$. Thus there exists a unique integer m < p such that

 $Y := \theta^{-1}(M^m) \neq \{0\} \text{ but } \theta^{-1}(M^{m+1}) = \{0\}.$

Set $W' = M^{m+1}$. It follows from the previous formula that Y is a subgroup of E_n and the induced map $\overline{\theta} : Y \to W(\rho)/W'$ is additive. Thus $\overline{\theta}$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]$ -modules. Since Ker $\overline{\theta}$ is trivial, $\overline{\theta}$ is injective. Any cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]$ -sumodule of Y is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$, therefore $W(\rho)/W'$ contains a $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]$ -submodule X isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p[\Lambda]/I_n(\Lambda)$.

We claim now that, for any $n \geq 3$, $W(\rho)_{(\chi)} \neq 0$ for some $\chi \in \mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda)$. Let Z be the L-vector space generated by X, and let $Z = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \Omega_Z} Z_{(\chi)}$ be the decomposition of the $L[\Lambda]$ -module Z into generalized weight spaces, where Ω_Z is the set of group homomorphisms $\chi : \Lambda \to L^*$ such that $Z_{(\chi)} \neq 0$. For each $\chi \in \Omega_Z$, let I_{χ} be the annihilator in $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ of $Z_{(\chi)}$. It follows that

$$I_n(\Lambda) = \bigcap_{\chi \in \Omega_Z} I_{\chi}.$$

Since Ω_Z is finite and $I_n(\Lambda)$ is a prime ideal, we have $I_n(\Lambda) = I_{\chi}$, for some $\chi \in \Omega_Z$. Moreover the radical of I_{χ} is $\operatorname{Ker}\hat{\chi}$, hence $I_n(\Lambda) = \operatorname{Ker}\hat{\chi}$. Thus by Lemma 25, χ is a semi-algebraic character of degree n. Moreover we have $W(\rho)_{\chi} \neq 0$, what proves the claim.

End of the proof. Assume otherwise, namely that Λ is bad subgroup of K^* . Then by Lemma 28, there is an infinite set T of integers $n \geq 3$ such that the family $(\mathcal{X}_n(\Lambda))_{n\in T}$ consists of mutually disjoint sets. This would contradict that the finite set of generalized weights of $W(\rho)$ intersects each of them. \Box

8.4 Proof of the Nonlinearity Criterion

Let S be a subgroup of SL(2, K). For $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, let $\Lambda_\delta \subset K^*$ be the subgroup of all eigenvalues of elements $g \in S_\delta$.

Nonlinearity Criterion. Assume one of the following two hypotheses

(i) Λ_{δ} is a bad subgroup of K^* for some $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, or (ii) the function $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K \to d(\Lambda_{\delta})$ is unbounded. Then the group $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is not linear, even over a ring.

Proof. By contraposition, we will assume that $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is linear over a ring, and we will show that neither Assertion (i) nor Assertion (ii) holds.

By Lemma 7, $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is the mixed product $\Gamma := S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_K^1} E_{\delta}(K)$. Since $\bigcap_{\delta \in \delta} S_{\delta} \subset \{\pm 1\}$ and $T_3^{-\operatorname{id}} = -T_3$, it follows that $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(\bigcap_{\delta \in \delta} S_{\delta})$ is trivial. Hence by Corollary 3, $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is linear over a field. Let $\rho : \operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2 \to \operatorname{GL}(n, L)$ be an injective homomorphism, for some algebraically closed field L and some positive integer n.

Since ρ provides a faithful representation of $B_{\delta} \ltimes E_{\delta}(K)$, it follows from Lemma 31 that Λ_{δ} is a good subgroup of K^* . Moreover by Lemma 29, we have $d(\Lambda_{\delta}) \leq 2 + n^2$.

Therefore neither Assertion (i) nor Assertion (ii) holds.

8.5 Proof of the Theorem A.1 of the introduction.

Theorem A.1. For any infinite field, $\operatorname{SAut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is not linear, even over a field.

Proof. With the previous notations, we have $\operatorname{Aut}_0 \mathbb{A}^2_K = \operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{SL}(2,K)} \mathbb{A}^2_K$, and $\Lambda_{\delta} = K^*$, for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$. Therefore it is enough to check that K^* satisfies one of the two assertions of the Nonlinearity Criterion.

If K is an infinite subfield of \mathbb{F}_p , then $d(K^*)$ is infinite. Otherwise K contains \mathbb{Q} or a transcendental element t. For the subgroup $\Lambda := \langle 2 \rangle$ in the first case or $\Lambda := \langle t, t+1 \rangle$ in the second case, it is clear that $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda > \operatorname{trdeg} \Lambda$. Hence K^* itself is a bad group.

8.6 Comparison with Cornulier's Theorem

Let G_{Cor} be the group of all automorphisms of \mathbb{Q}^2 of the form

 $(x,y) \mapsto (x+u,y+f(x)), \text{ for some } u \in K \text{ and } f(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t].$

The group G_{Cor} is locally nilpotent but not nilpotent, hence [9]

Cornulier Theorem. Neither G_{Cor} nor $\text{Aut } \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{O}}$ is linear over a field.

Set $R := \mathbb{Q}[[t]] \oplus I$, where $I := \mathbb{Q}((t))/\mathbb{Q}[[t]]$ is a square-zero ideal. Let Γ be the subgroup of SL(2, R) generated by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1+t & 0\\ 0 & (1+t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ a & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ when a runs over I .

The group Γ is isomorphic to $G_{\text{Cor}} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \ltimes \mathbb{Q}[x]$, where \mathbb{Q} acts by translation on $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, hence G_{Cor} is linear over R. Similarly, the subgroup $\text{SElem}_0(K)$ is isomorphic to $K^* \ltimes x^2 K[x]$, where K^* acts on $x^2 K[x]$ by multiplication. Since $\text{SElem}_0(K)$ embeds into $\prod_{n\geq 2} (K^* \ltimes Kx^n)$, it is a subgroup of $\text{GL}(2, K^\infty)$.

Therefore both G_{Cor} and $\operatorname{SElem}_0(K)$ (for K infinite) are linear over some rings but not over a field. This explains the motivation of section 3.

Two Linearity Criteria for $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ 9

For a subgroup S of SL(2, K), there are two linearity criteria for $Aut_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$. The second one, stronger, is only proved for a field of characteristic zero.

Let $\Lambda \subset K^*$ be a subgroup. For any $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ -module M and any $n \geq 1$, set $M^{(n)} = (\rho_n)_* M$, where ρ_n is the group homomorphism $x \in \Lambda \mapsto x^n \in \Lambda$.

9.1 The standard module for torsion-free good subgroups of K^* Assume now that Λ is a torsion-free good subgroup of K^* . By definition, the

standard $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ -module is K_1 , and it is denoted by $\mathrm{St}(\Lambda)$.

Given a K-vector space V and an integer $n \ge 1$, it is clear that $V^{(n)}$ is a direct sum of standard modules, and its multiplicity is the cardinal

 $[V^{(n)}: \operatorname{St}(\Lambda)] = [\Lambda: \Lambda^n] \dim_{K_1} V = \dim_{K_n} V.$

9.2 The First Linearity Criterion

Let S be a subgroup of $SL_S(2, K)$ and let Λ_{δ} be the set of all eigenvalues of S_{δ} , for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$. Set $\mathrm{SL}_S(2, K[t]) := \{ G \in \mathrm{SL}(2, K[t]) \mid G(1) \in S \}.$

Linearity Criterion 1. Assume that Λ_{δ} is a torsion-free good subgroup of K^* , for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$. Then, for some field extension L of K, there is an embedding

 $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \mathbb{A}^{2}_{K} \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, L(t)).$ Moreover if $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{\delta} \leq \aleph_0$ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, then we have $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \mathbb{A}^{2}_{K} \simeq \operatorname{SL}_{S}(2, K[t]) \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, K(t)).$

Proof. Set $M = \operatorname{Sup} \operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{\delta}$, where δ runs over \mathbb{P}^1_K . There exists a field extension $L \supset K$, which satisfies one of the following two hypotheses

 (\mathcal{I}_1) $[L:K] \geq M$ if $M > \aleph_0$, or

 (\mathcal{I}_2) L = K if $M \leq \aleph_0$

It follows from Lemmas 7 and Lemma 20 that

Aut_S $\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2} = S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}} E_{\delta}(K)$, and $\operatorname{SL}_{S}(2, L[t]) = S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_{L}^{1}} U_{\delta}(zL[z]) \supset S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}_{K}^{1}} U_{\delta}(zL[z]).$

Let $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$. The $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda_{\delta}]$ -modules $E_{\delta}(K)$ and $U_{\delta}(zL[z])$ are copies of standard $\mathbb{F}[\Lambda]$ -module. Since $E_{\delta}(K) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \ge 3} K^{(n)}$, we have

 $[E_{\delta}(K) : \operatorname{St}(\Lambda)] = \sum_{n \ge 3} [\Lambda : \Lambda^n][K : K_1].$

On the other hand, $U_{\delta}(zL[z])$ is isomorphic to \aleph_0 copies of $L^{(2)}$, therefore we have

 $[U_{\delta}(zL[z]) : \operatorname{St}(\Lambda)] = \aleph_0 [\Lambda : \Lambda^2][L : K][K : K_1].$

Hence (\mathcal{I}_1) implies the existence of a S_{δ} -equivariant embedding

 $\psi_{\delta}: U_{\delta}(zL[z]) \to E_{\delta}(K),$

and (\mathcal{I}_2) implies the existence a S_{δ} -equivariant isomorphism

 $\psi_{\delta}: U_{\delta}(zK|z|) \to E_{\delta}(K).$

Therefore by Lemma 3, (\mathcal{I}_1) implies the existence of a an embedding $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \mathbb{A}^{2}_{K} \subset S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^{1}_{K}} U_{\delta}(zL[z]) \subset \operatorname{SL}_{S}(2, L[t]) \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, L(t)),$ and (\mathcal{I}_{2}) implies the existence an isomorphism

 $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \mathbb{A}^{2}_{K} \simeq S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^{1}_{K}} U_{\delta}(zK[z]) \simeq \operatorname{SL}_{S}(2, K[t]) \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, L(t)).$

Theorem D. Let R be a f.g. subring of K and let $S \subset SL(2, R)$. If $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{\delta} = \operatorname{trdeg} \Lambda_{\delta}$ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, then $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is linear over K(t). Otherwise, $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is not linear, even over a ring.

Proof. The second assertion follows from the Nonlinearity Criterion.

In order to prove the first one, assume now that $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{\delta} = \operatorname{trdeg} \Lambda_{\delta}$ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$. Let L be the field of fraction of R and set $F = \overline{\mathbb{F}} \cap L$. Since R is a f.g. ring, F is a finite extension of F. Therefore the set $\mu \subset K$ of roots of unity in F or in a quadratic extension of F is finite.

Let **m** be a maximal ideal of R such that $p := \operatorname{ch} R/\mathbf{m}$ is coprime to Card μ . Indeed if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ the characteristic of R/\mathbf{m} is arbitrarily large, while in the opposite case, ch R/\mathbf{m} is automatically coprime to Card μ .

Set $S' = \{g \in S \mid g \equiv \operatorname{id} \operatorname{mod} \mathbf{m}\}$. For any $\delta \in P_K^1$ let Λ'_{δ} be the eigenvalues of S'_{δ} . Since S' is residually p-group, Λ'_{δ} is torsion-free. Since $[S:S'] < \infty$, we can assume that S = S'.

We claim that Λ_{δ} is f.g. for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$. If δ is defined over a field F', where F' = F or F' is a quadratic extension of F, then $\Lambda_{\delta} \subset \overline{R}^*$, where \overline{R} is the integral closure of R in F'. Since \overline{R}^* is f.g., so is Λ_{δ} . Otherwise, Λ_{δ} is trivial. Hence Λ_{δ} is a torsion-free good subgroup of K^* for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$.

The Linearity Criterion 1 implies that $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is linear over K(t).

9.3 The standard modules for finite-torsion good subgroups of K^*

From now on, K is a field of characteristic zero. Let Λ be a good subgroup of K^* , such that Card $\Lambda \cap \mu_{\infty} = n$ for some $n < \infty$.

The $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ -module $\operatorname{St}_d(\Lambda) := K_d$, where d is a divisor of n, are called the standard $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ -modules. By Baer Theorem [1], Λ is isomorphic to $\mu_n \times \overline{\Lambda}$, where $\overline{\Lambda} = \Lambda/\mu_n$ is torsion-free. It follows that $\operatorname{St}_d(\Lambda) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{n/d}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\overline{\Lambda}^d)$.

Given a K-vector space V and an integer $m \ge 1$, it is clear that $V^{(m)}$ is a direct sum of the standard module $\operatorname{St}_{\operatorname{gcd}(n,m)}(\Lambda)$, and its multiplicity is the cardinal

 $[V^{(m)}: \operatorname{St}_{gcd(n,m)}(\Lambda)] = [\overline{\Lambda}: \overline{\Lambda}^n] \phi(n) / \phi(\operatorname{gcd}(n,m)) \dim_{K_1} V.$

Lemma 32. Let S_0 be a subgroup of B such that $\chi_B(S_0) = \Lambda$ is a good subgroup of K^* such that $n := \text{Card } \Lambda \cap \mu_{\infty}$ is finite. Let l > n be a prime number and let $L \supset K$ be a field such that $[L : K] \ge \aleph_0 \operatorname{rk}(\Lambda)$.

Then there is a S_0 -equivariant embedding $E(K) \subset E^{<2l}(L)$.

Proof. Let D be the set of divisors of n. The $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]$ -module E(K) and $E^{<2l}(L)$ are direct sums of standard modules, therefore we have

$$E(K) = \bigoplus_{d \in D} \operatorname{St}_d(\Lambda)^{m_d}, \text{ and} E^{<2l}(L) = \bigoplus_{d \in D} \operatorname{St}_d(\Lambda)^{n_d},$$

where the multiplicities m_d and n_d are cardinals. Therefore it is enough to prove that $n_d \ge m_d$ for any $d \in D$.

Since $E(K) = \bigoplus_{m \ge 3} K.T_m$, it is clear that

 $m_d := [E(K)(d) : \operatorname{St}_d(\Lambda)] \le \aleph_0 \operatorname{rk}(\Lambda)[K : K_1].$

Similarly, we have $E^{<2l}(L) = \bigoplus_{3 \le m \le 2l} L.T_m$. Let $d \in D$. If $d \ge 3$, $L.T_d$ is a direct sum of standard modules $\operatorname{St}_d(\Lambda)$ and it is clear that $[L.T_d :$ $\operatorname{St}_d(\Lambda)] \ge [L:K][K:K_1] \ge \aleph_0 \operatorname{rk}(\Lambda)[K:K_1]$, and therefore $n_d \ge m_d$. Since l is coprime to n, then $L.T_l$ is a direct sum of standard modules $\operatorname{St}_1(\Lambda)$, and we have $n_1 \ge m_1$.

If n is odd, the assertion is proved. Otherwise, $L.T_{2l}$ is a direct sum of standard modules $St_2(\Lambda)$, and similarly we have $n_2 \ge m_2$.

9.4 The Second Linearity Criterion

Linearity Criterion 2. Let K be a field of characteristic zero. Assume that (i) Λ_{δ} is a good subgroup of K^* , for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, and (ii) the function $\delta \mapsto \text{Card } \Lambda \cap \mu_{\infty}$ is bounded. Then $\text{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is a linear group over some field extension L of K.

Proof. Let $L \supset K$ be a field such that $[L:K] \geq \aleph_0 M$, where $M = \operatorname{Sup rk} \Lambda_{\delta}$, and let $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^1_K$ be a set of representatives of \mathbb{P}^1_K/S_0 . By Lemma 32 there is a S_{δ} -embedding $\psi_{\delta} : E_{\delta}(K) \to E_{\delta}^{<2l}(L)$ where $l > \operatorname{Max} \operatorname{Card} \Lambda \cap \mu_{\infty}$ is a prime number. Therefore we get some embeddings

 $\operatorname{Aut}_{S}\mathbb{A}^{2}_{K} \simeq S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^{1}_{K}} E_{\delta}(K) \subset S \ltimes *_{\delta \in \mathbb{P}^{1}_{K}} E^{<2l}_{\delta}(L) \subset \operatorname{Aut}^{<2l}_{0}\mathbb{A}^{2}_{L}.$ So, the stong version of Theorem C.2 implies that $\operatorname{Aut}_{S}\mathbb{A}^{2}_{K}$ is linear. \Box

10 Examples of Linear or Nonlinear $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$

We provide three examples using the Linearity/Nonlinearity Criteria.

9.1 Example A, with S = SO(q) and K infinite

Example A. Let q be a quadratic form on K^2 and S = SO(q). If q is anisotropic, $Aut_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is linear over a field extension of K. Otherwise $Aut_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is not linear, even over a ring.

As we will see, the proofs in characteristic p and characteristic 0 are very different. E.g. the group $S = SO(2, \mathbb{R})$ has no subgroups of finite index. Therefore the proof cannot be reduced to the Linearity Criterion 1.

Proof. If q is isotropic or degenerate, we have $\Lambda_{\delta} = K^*$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$. The proof of Theorem A.1 shows that K^* itself is bad. Hence by the Nonlinearity Criterion, $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is not linear, even over a ring.

Assume now that q is anisotropic. Let $L \supset K$ be the quadratic extension splitting q. Then SO(q) is isomorphic to $S := \{z \in L^* \mid N_{L/K}(z) = 1\}$. Let S^{∞} be the subgroup of all $s \in S$ of order a power of 2.

1. Proof for ch K = p. We claim that S^{∞} is finite. So we can assume that $\operatorname{Card} S^{\infty} \geq 4$. Since $\sqrt{-1} \in S$ and $S \cap K^* = \{\pm 1\}$, it follows that $L = K(\sqrt{-1})$. Therefore $p \equiv -1 \mod 4$, and $L = K.\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Let $s \in S^{\infty}$ of order > 2. There is an integer $n \geq 1$ such that $s \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2n}}$ where $\mathbb{F}_{p^n} \subset K$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \not\subset K$, the integer n is odd. Since $\operatorname{Card} \mathbb{F}_{p^{2n}}^*/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ is odd, s belongs to $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$. Hence $S^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ is finite.

By Baer's theorem [1], we have $S = S^{\infty} \times S'$ for some subgroup $S' \subset S$. Since $S'_{\delta} = \{1\}$ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, the group $\operatorname{Aut}_{S'} \mathbb{A}^2_K$ embeds into $\operatorname{SL}(2, K(t))$ by the Linearity Criterion 1. Since

$$\left[\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathrm{SO}(q)}\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}:\operatorname{Aut}_{S'}\mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}\right]<\infty,$$

the group $\operatorname{Aut}_{\operatorname{SO}(q)} \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is also linear over K(t).

2. Proof for ch K = 0. Since $SO(q)_{\delta} = \{\pm 1\}$ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_K$, the group $\operatorname{Aut}_{SO(q)}$ is linear over a field extension of K by the Linearity Criterion 2

9.2 A preparatory lemma for the example B

We did not found a reference for the next well-known result. The proof that $l(\gamma_a)$ is arbitrarily large is due to Y. Benoist.

Lemma 33. There are lattices $S \subset SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\operatorname{Tr} \gamma$ is a transcendental number for any infinite order element $\gamma \in S$.

Proof. Let $g \geq 2$. Let Σ_g be the oriented Riemann surface of genus g, let $T(\Sigma_q)$ be its Teichmüller space and let Π_q be the group presented by

 $\langle \alpha_1, \beta_1 \dots \alpha_g, \beta_g \mid \prod_{1 \le k \le q} (\alpha_k, \beta_k) = 1 \rangle.$

Let γ be a conjugacy class in Π_g . Any point $a \in T(\Sigma_g)$ determines a group homorphism $\rho_a: \Pi_q \to \pi_1(\Sigma_q)$ and an hyperbolic metric g_a on Σ_q , up to some equivalence [15], ch.5. Hence $\rho_a(\gamma)$ is represented by a unique closed g_a -geodesic $\gamma_a: S^1 \to \Sigma_g$, i.e. a geodesic relative to the metric g_a .

In elementary terms, γ is represented, modulo $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})\text{-conjugacy, by a}$ hyperbolic element $h_a \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. The complete geodesic $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{H}$ whose the extreme points in $\partial \mathbb{H}$ are the fixed points of h_a , is the locus of minima for the function $z \in \mathbb{H} \mapsto d_{\mathbb{H}}(z, h_a.z)$. The g_a -geodesic γ_a , up to reparametrization, is the image in Σ_q of any segment $[z, h_a.z]$ of Γ .

We claim that the length function $a \mapsto l(\gamma_a)$ is not constant. Let us pick another conjugacy class δ in Π_g such that $\rho_a(\delta)$ is represented by a simple geodesic δ_a which meets γ_a transversally (since $T(\Sigma_a)$ is connected, this condition is independent of a). By a corollary of the collar theorem, \mathbf{S}

$$h \frac{l(\gamma_a)}{2} \operatorname{sh} \frac{l(\delta_a)}{2} > 1,$$

see 4.1.2 in [7]. Since $l(\delta_a)$ can be arbitrarily small, $l(\gamma_a)$ is arbitrarily large. Each $a \in T(\Sigma_g)$ determines an homomorphism $\pi_1(\Sigma_g) \to PSL(2,\mathbb{R})$ up

to some equivalence, which induces a homomorphism

 $\tilde{\rho}_a: \Pi_g \to \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$

defined up to conjugacy by $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

For $q \in PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$, Tr q^2 is well-defined. We have

$$\operatorname{Tr} \tilde{\rho}_a(\gamma)^2 = 2 \operatorname{ch} l(\gamma_a).$$

Let \mathcal{I} be the set of irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$. For $P \in \mathcal{I}$, set $\Omega(\gamma, P) = \{ a \in T(\Sigma_q) \mid P(\operatorname{Tr} \tilde{\rho}_a(\gamma)^2) \neq 0 \}.$

Since the function $a \mapsto P(\operatorname{Tr} \tilde{\rho}_a(\gamma)^2)$ is nonconstant and analytic, $\Omega(\gamma, P)$ is a dense open subset of $T(\Sigma_g)$. By the Baire Theorem

$$\Omega := \bigcap_{\gamma \neq 1, P \in \mathcal{I}} \Omega(\gamma, P)$$

is dense. For any $a \in \Omega$, the lattice

 $S := \{s \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \mid s \mod \pm 1 \text{ belongs to } \tilde{\rho}_a(\Pi_q)\}$ satisfies the required condition.

9.3 Example B, where S is a lattice

Example B. For some lattices $S \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, $Aut_S \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is linear over \mathbb{C} . For any lattice $S \subset SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not linear, even over a ring.

Proof. Let $S \subset SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ be a lattice as in Lemma 33. Then for any $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$, it is clear that Λ_{δ} has rank one and contains a transcendental element, or it is finite. Hence $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \mathbb{A}^{2}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is linear over \mathbb{C} by Theorem E.

Let S be a lattice of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, let $g \in S$ be of infinite order and let $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a fixed point of g. By the Garland-Raghunathan rigidity theorem 0.11 of [14], the eigenvalues of g are algebraic numbers. Since $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{\delta} > \operatorname{trdeg} \Lambda_{\delta}$, Theorem E implies that $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}}$ is not linear, even over a ring.

9.4 A preparatory lemma for example C

Lemma 34. Let R be a prime normal ring with fraction field k and let $m \geq 1$. Let B be the integral closure of $R[t_1, \ldots, t_m]$ in some quadratic extension $L_1 \subset k((t_1, \ldots, t_m))$ of $k(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$ Then B^*/R^* is isomorphic to $\{1\}$ or \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. Since R is normal, we have $B \cap k = R$, hence the map $B^*/R^* \to R^*$ $(k \otimes B)^*/k^*$ is one to one. So we can assume that R = k. Set C = Spec B. There is a unique normal compactification \overline{C} of C such that the finite map

 $C \to \mathbb{A}_k^m = \text{Spec } k[t_1, \dots, t_m] \text{ extends to a finite map } \pi : \overline{C} \to \mathbb{P}_k^m.$ Set $Z := \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}_k^{m-1})$, where $\mathbb{P}_k^{m-1} := \mathbb{P}_k^m \setminus \mathbb{A}_k^m$. For any irreducible divisor D in \overline{C} , let v_D be the corresponding valuation.

If Z is irreducible, then $v_Z(f) \leq 0$ for any $f \in B$. Hence $v_Z(f) = 0$ for any $f \in B^*$, and therefore $B^* = k^*$.

Otherwise, Z is the union of two divisors Z_1 and Z_2 . For any $f \in B^* \setminus k^*$, either $v_{Z_1}(f) < 0$ or $v_{Z_2}(f) < 0$. Hence the homomorphism $f \in B^* \mapsto$ $(v_{Z_1}(f), v_{Z_2}(f)) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ embeds B^*/k^* in a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank ≤ 1 .

9.5 Example 1, where $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{\delta}$ is not constant

Let A be a torsion-free additive group of any rank, let d, m > 0 be integers with d square-free and let \mathcal{O} be the ring of integers of $k := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Set $K = k(A)((t_1, \dots, t_m))$ where k(A) is the field of fractions of k[A], and $S := SL(2 \ \mathcal{O}[A][t_1, \dots, t_m])$

$$\mathcal{G} := \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}[A][t_1, \ldots, t_m]).$$

The Example C of the introduction is the case $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and m = 1.

Example C. If d < 0, then $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is linear over a field extension of K. Otherwise, $\operatorname{Aut}_{S} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}$ is not linear, even over a ring.

Proof. Set $L_0 := k(A)(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$.

Proof if d > 0. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is a real field, we have $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{O}^* = 1 > \operatorname{trdeg} \mathcal{O}^* = 0$. For $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_{L_0}$, the group $\Lambda_{\delta} = \mathcal{O}^* \times A$ is a bad subgroup of K^* . So, by the Nonlinearity Criterion, $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}^2_K$ is not linear, even over a ring.

Proof if d < 0. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{P}^1_L$.

If δ belongs to $\mathbb{P}^1_{L_0}$, we have $\Lambda_{\delta} = \mathcal{O}^* \times A$. Since $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is an imaginary field, $\Lambda_{\delta} = \mathcal{O}^* \times A$ is a good subgroup of K^* and Card $\Lambda_{\delta} \cap \mu_{\infty} \leq 6$.

Assume now that δ belongs to $\mathbb{P}^1_{L_1} \setminus \mathbb{P}^1_{L_0}$, where L_1 is a quadratic extension of L_0 . Let B be the algebraic closure of $\mathcal{O}[A][t_1, \ldots, t_m]$ in L_1 and let $N := \{z \in L_1 \mid N_{L_1/L_0}(z) = 1\}$ be the norm group. It is clear that $\Lambda_{\delta} = N \cap B^*.$

By Lemma 34, we have $\Lambda_{\delta} = \{\pm 1\}$ or $\Lambda_{\delta} = \{\pm 1\} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Since \mathcal{O} is algebraically closed in L, it should be noted that when $\operatorname{rk} \Lambda_{\delta} = 1$, we also have trdeg $\Lambda_{\delta} = 1$. Thus Λ_{δ} is a good subgroup of K^* and $\operatorname{Card} \Lambda_{\delta} \cap \mu_{\infty} = 2$. Otherwise, we have $\Lambda_{\delta} = \{\pm 1\}$ and the same conclusion holds.

Therefore, by the Linearity Criterion 2, $\operatorname{Aut}_S \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is linear over some field extension of K.

11 Nonlinearity of Finite-Codimensional Subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^3_K$

The Theorem A.2 shows that $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{2}$ contains some finite-codimensional subgroups, which are linear as abstract groups. However, this result does not extend to \mathbb{A}_{K}^{n} , for $n \geq 3$, as it will be shown in this section.

For our purpose, the case n = 3 is enough. Unlike in the introduction, it will be convenient to use the coordinates (z, x, y) for \mathbb{A}_K^3 . Let TAut \mathbb{A}_K^3 be the the subgroup of tame automorphisms of \mathbb{A}_K^3 , see 10.5 for the definition. By the famous result of Shestakov and Urmibaev [22], TAut \mathbb{A}_K^3 is a proper subgroup of Aut \mathbb{A}_K^3 .

Let **m** be a finite-codimensional ideal in K[z, x, y]. Let $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{A}_{K}^{3}$ be the group of all polynomial automorphisms ϕ of the form

$$(z, x, y) \mapsto (z + f, x + g, y + h),$$

where f, h and g belongs to \mathbf{m} . Set

 $\operatorname{TAut}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3 = \operatorname{TAut} \mathbb{A}_K^3 \cap \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{A}_K^3.$

The nonlinearity result for n = 3, valid even if K is finite, is unrelated with the existence of wild automorphisms in \mathbb{A}^3_K , as shown by **Theorem B.** For any finite-codimensional ideal **m** of K[z, x, y], the groups $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{A}^3_K$ and $\operatorname{TAut}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{A}^3_K$ are not linear, even over a ring.

The proof uses the folklore embedding Φ , likely known by Nagata, and used in [22]. The simplest obstruction for linearity is due to a nonnilpotent locally nilpotent subgroup. In characteristic zero, the proof is easy, and it follows the line of [9] together with Corollary 2. In characteristic p, the proof involves the strange formula of Lemma 37.

11.1 Nilpotency class of some p-groups

The *nilpotency class* of a nilpotent group is the lenght of its ascending central series. Let p be a prime integer, and let E be an elementary p-group of rank r. Note that E acts by translation on $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ and set $G(r) = E \ltimes \mathbb{F}_p[E]$.

Set $E = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_r$, where each D_i has rank 1. For each i, the socle filtration of the D_i -module $\mathbb{F}_p[D_i]$ has length p and we have $\mathbb{F}_p[E] = \mathbb{F}_p[D_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{F}_p[D_n]$. Hence the socle filtration of the E-module $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ has length 1 + (p-1)r. It follows that

Lemma 35. The nilpotency class of the nilpotent group G(r) is 1 + (p-1)r.

Let M be a cyclic $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ -module generated by some $f \in M$.

Lemma 36. If $\sum_{u \in E} u.f \neq 0$, then the $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ -module M is free of rank one.

Proof. Set $N = \sum_{u \in E} e^u$, where $(e^u)_{u \in E}$ is the usual basis of $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$. Note that $\mathbb{F}_p.N = H^0(E, \mathbb{F}_p[E])$, hence any nonzero ideal of $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ contains N. Since $N.f \neq 0$, M is freely generated by f.

11.2 A formula

Lemma 37. Let A be a commutative \mathbb{F}_p -algebra and let $E \subset A$ be a linear subspace of dimension r. Then we have

$$\sum_{u \in E} u^{p^r - 1} = \prod_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} u$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the claim for $A = \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ and $E = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{F}_p.x_i$. Set $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := \sum_{u \in E} u^{p^r-1}$, set $H = \mathbb{F}_p.x_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_p.x_3 \cdots \oplus \mathbb{F}_p.x_r$ and for $v \in H$, set $Q_v := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} (\lambda x_1 + v)^{p^r-1}$. For any integer $n \ge 0$, we have $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} \lambda^n = 0$ except if n is a positive multiple of p-1. Hence

$$Q_v = \sum_{n>0} c_n x_1^{n(p-1)} v^{p^r - 1 - n(p-1)},$$

for some $c_n \in \mathbb{F}_p$ and the polynomial Q_v is divisible by x_1^{p-1} . Since $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{v \in H} Q_v$, the polynomial $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is divisible by x_1^{p-1} . By $\operatorname{GL}(r, \mathbb{F}_p)$ -invariance,

the polynomial $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is divisible by x_1^{p-1} . By $GL(r, \mathbb{F}_p)$ -invariance, $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ is divisible by u_1^{p-1} for all $u \in E \setminus \{0\}$. Hence it is divisible by $\prod_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} u$. Since both polynomials have degree $p^r - 1$, it follows that

$$P(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = c \prod_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} u$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{F}_p$.

As it is a universal constant, we can compute c for $A = E = \mathbb{F}_{p^r}$. Since $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^r} \lambda^{p^r-1} = -1$, and $\prod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p^r} \lambda = -1$, it follows that c = 1.

11.3 The locally nilpotent group G(I)

Let \mathbb{F} be a prime field. For any ideal I of a commutative \mathbb{F} -algebra A, let us consider the semi-direct product $G(I) := I \ltimes I[t]$, where I acts by translation on the space I[t] of polynomials with coefficients in I.

Let $E \subset I$ be an additive subgroup, let $f(t) \in I[t] \setminus \{0\}$ and let M be the additive subgroup generated by all polynomials f(t+u) when u runs over E. The group $E \ltimes M$, which is a subgroup of G(I), is obvioully nilpotent.

Lemma 38. Assume that the algebra A is prime.

(i) If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$, the nilpotency class of $E \ltimes M$ is $1 + \deg f$.

(ii) Assume that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$, that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} E = r$ and that $f(t) = ax^{p^r-1}$ for some $a \in I \setminus \{0\}$. Then the group $E \ltimes M$ has nilpotency class 1 + r(p-1). (iii) If $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} I = \infty$, the group G(I) is locally nilpotent but not nilpotent.

Proof. For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ the proof is obvious. From now on, we will assume that $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ and we will prove the second assertion.

Set $g(t) = \sum_{u \in E} f(t+u)$. We have $g(0) = \sum_{u \in E} au^{p^r-1} = a \prod_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} u$ by Lemma 37. Since $g(0) \neq 0$, it follows from Lemma 36 that the $\mathbb{F}_p[E]$ module M is free of rank one, and $E \ltimes M$ is isomorphic to G(r). Thus its nilpotency class is 1 + r(p-1) by Lemma 35.

11.4 The amalgamated product $\operatorname{Aff}(2, I) *_{B_{Aff}(I)} \operatorname{Elem}(I)$ From now on, let I be a proper nonzero ideal in K[z].

Lemma 39. The group $\operatorname{Elem}(I)$ is not linear over a field.

Proof. Since I is a proper ideal, Elem(I) is the group of all automorphisms $\phi: (x, y) \mapsto (x + u, y + f(x)),$

for some $u \in I$ and $f \in I[x]$. It follows that Elem(I) is isomorphic to the group G(I), and therefore Elem(I) contains subgroups of abitrarily large nilpotency class by Lemma 38. Hence Elem(I) is not linear over a field. \Box

Lemma 40. The group $\operatorname{Aff}(2, I) *_{B_{Aff}(I)} \operatorname{Elem}(I)$ is not linear, even over a ring.

Proof. By Lemma 39, the group $\operatorname{Elem}(I)$ is not linear over a field. Therefore by Corollary 1, it is enough to show that the amalgamated product $\Gamma := \operatorname{Aff}(2, I) *_{B_{Aff}(I)} \operatorname{Elem}(I)$ satisfies

$$\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(B_{Aff}(I)) = \{1\}.$$

In order to do so, we first define two specific automorphisms γ and ϕ as follows. Let $r \in I \setminus \{0\}$ and let $n \geq 3$ be an integer coprime to ch K. Let $\gamma \in \operatorname{Aff}(2, I)$ be the linear map $(x, y) \mapsto (x + ry, y)$ and let $\phi \in \operatorname{Elem}(I)$ be the polynomial automorphisms $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + rx^n)$.

Let g be an arbitrary element of $B_{Aff}(I) \setminus \{1\}$. By definition, g is an affine map $(x, y) \mapsto (x + u, y + v + wx)$ for some $u, v. w \in I$.

If $w \neq 0$, the linear part of g^{γ} is not lower triangular, therefore g^{γ} is not in $B_{Aff}(I)$. If w = 0 but $u \neq 0$, then the leading term g^{ϕ} , which is $(x, y) \mapsto (0, nrux^{n-1})$, has degree ≥ 2 . Therefore g^{ϕ} is not in $B_{Aff}(I)$. Last if u = w = 0, then v is not equal to zero. It follows that the leading term of $g^{\gamma\phi}$, which is $(x, y) \mapsto (0, nr^2vx^{n-1})$, has degree ≥ 2 . Therefore $g^{\gamma\phi}$ is not in $B_{Aff}(I)$.

Hence, for any $g \in B_{Aff}(I) \setminus \{1\}$ at least one of the three elements g^{γ} , g^{ϕ} or $g^{\gamma\phi}$ is not in $B_{Aff}(I)$. Therefore $\operatorname{Core}_{\Gamma}(B_{Aff}(I))$ is trivial.

11.5 Proof of Theorem B

The group TAut $\mathbb{A}^3_K \subset \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^3_K$ of tame automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3_K is TAut $\mathbb{A}^3_K = \langle \operatorname{Aff}(3, K), T(3, K) \rangle$,

where $\operatorname{Aff}(3, K)$ is the group of affine automorphisms of \mathbb{A}^3_K and T(3, K) is the group of all triangular automorphisms

 $(z, x, y) \mapsto (z, x + f(z), y + g(z, x)),$

where f and g are polynomials. Note that $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_{K[z]} = \operatorname{Aut}_{K[z]} K[z, x, y]$ is obvioulally the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^3_K = \operatorname{Aut}_K K[z, x, y]$ of all automorphisms of the form

$$(z, x, y) \mapsto (z, f(z, x, y, z), g(z, x, z)),$$

where f and g are polynomials.

It is easy to see that the groups $\operatorname{Elem}(K[z])$ and $\operatorname{Aff}(2, K[z])$ are subgroups of $\operatorname{TAut} \mathbb{A}^3_K$. Therefore using the van der Kulk Theorem applied to the field K(z) and Lemma 2, one obtains the folklore embedding [22]

 $\Phi : \operatorname{Aff}(2, K[z]) *_{B(K[z])} \operatorname{Elem}(K[z]) \to \operatorname{Aut} \mathbb{A}^2_{K[z]} \cap \operatorname{TAut} \mathbb{A}^3_{K},$

which was likely known by Nagata. The hard and beautiful result of [22] states that Φ is onto, a result which is not needed here.

Now, we prove the Theorem B.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the ideal **m** is also a proper ideal. Hence the ideal $I := \mathbf{m} \cap K[z]$ is nonzero and proper.

The previously defined morphism Φ induces an embedding

$$\operatorname{Aff}(2, I) *_{B_{Aff}(I)} \operatorname{Elem}(I) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{A}^3_K.$$

Hence by Lemma 40, the group $\operatorname{TAut}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbb{A}^3_K$ is not linear, even over a ring. \Box

References

- R. Baer, Erweiterung von Gruppen und ihren Isomorphismen, Mathematische Zeitschrift 38 (1934) 375-416.
- [2] H. Bass and A. Lubotzky, Automorphisms of groups and of schemes of finite type, Israel J. Math. 44 (1983)1-22.
- [3] G. Baumslag and D. Solitar, Some two-generator one-relator non-Hopfian groups, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society 68 (1962) 199–201.
- [4] O. Bezushchak, V. Petravchuk and E. Zelmanov, Automorphisms and Derivations of Affine Commutative and PI-Algebras, Preprint (2022) https://arxiv.org/pdf/2211.10781.pdf
- [5] A. Borel and J. Tits. Homomorphismes "abstraits" de groupes algébriques simples. Ann. Math.128 (1973) 499-571.
- [6] R. Boutonnet and J.Ph. Furter, private communication.
- [7] P. Buser, *Geometry and Spectra of Compact Riemann Surfaces*, Birkhäuser, Progress in Math. 106 (1992).

- [8] S. Cantat, Sur les groupes de transformations birationnelles des surfaces, Ann. of Math. 174 (2011) 299-340.
- [9] Y. Cornulier, Nonlinearity of some subgroups of the planar Cremona group, Preprint (2017) http://arxiv.org/abs/1701.00275v1
- [10] M. Demazure, Sous-groupes algébriques de rang maximum du groupe de Cremona, Ann. sc. de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 4 (1970) 507-588.
- [11] C. Drutu and M. Sapir, Non-linear residually finite groups, Journal of Algebra 284 (2005) 174–178.
- [12] W. Fenchel and J. Nielsen, *Discontinuous groups of isometries in the hyperbolic plane*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 29(2003).
- [13] E. Formanek and C. Procesi, The automorphism group of a free group is not linear. J. Algebra 149 (1992), no. 2, 494–499.
- [14] H. Garland and M.S. Raghunathan, Fundamental domains for lattices in (ℝ)-rank 1 semi-simple Lie groups. Ann. of Math. 2 (1970) 279-326.
- [15] Y. Imagushi and M. Tanigushi, An Introduction to Teichmüller spaces Springer-Verlag (1992)
- [16] S. Lamy, L'alternative de Tits pour Aut[C²], Journal of Algebra 239 (2001) 413-437.
- [17] W. Magnus, A. Karass and D. Solitar Combinatorial Group Theory, Dover (1966)
- [18] B. Maskit, *Kleinian groups*, Springer-Verlag, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 287 (1988).
- $\operatorname{Aut}(F_n)$ [19] V. Popov, Embeddings of Groups into Automorphism Groups of Algebraic Varieties, Preprint (2022)http://arxiv.org/abs/2106.02072v2
- [20] J.P. Serre Arbres, amalgames, SL_2 Astérisque 49 (1983).
- [21] J.P. Serre, Le groupe de Cremona et ses sous-groupes finis, Séminaire Bourbaki 1000, Astérisque 332 (2010) 75-100.

- [22] I. Shestakov and U. Urmibaev, The tame and the wild automorphisms of polynomial rings in three variables, J. Am. Math. Soc. 17 (2004) 197-227.
- [23] J. Tits, Free subgroups in linear groups. J. Algebra, 172 (1972) 250-270.
- [24] J. Tits. Résumé de cours, Annuaire du Collège de France, 82e année (1981-1982) 91-105.
- [25] J. Tits, Uniqueness and Presentation of Kac-Moody Groups over Fields, J. of Algebra 105 (1987) 542-573.
- [26] J. Tits, Groupes associés aux algèbres de Kac-Moody, Bourbaki Seminar 700. Astérisque, 177-178 (1989) 7-31.
- [27] W. van der Kulk. On polynomial rings in two variables. Nieuw Arch. Wiskd.114 (1953) 33-41.
- [28] B.A.F. Wehrfritz, Generalized free products of linear groups, Proc. London Math. Soc. (27 (1973) 402-424.