

Ab Initio Study of Electronic Transport in Cubic-HfO 2 Grain Boundaries

Elena Degoli, Eleonora Luppi, Nathalie Capron

► To cite this version:

Elena Degoli, Ele
onora Luppi, Nathalie Capron. Ab Initio Study of Electronic Transport in Cubic-Hf
O2Grain Boundaries. Journal of Nanomaterials, 2017, 2017, pp.1-9.
10.1155/2017/2404378. hal-04022365

HAL Id: hal-04022365 https://hal.science/hal-04022365

Submitted on 9 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Ab initio study of electronic transport in cubic-HfO₂ grain boundaries

Elena Degoli,^{*,†} Eleonora Luppi,^{*,‡} and Nathalie Capron^{*,¶}

†Dipartimento di Scienze e Metodi dell'Ingegneria, Università di Modena e Reggio
 Emilia, Via Amendola 2 Padiglione Morselli, I-42122 Reggio Emilia, Italy, CNR-Istituto di
 Nanoscienze-S3, via Campi 213/A, I-41100 Modena, Italy
 ‡Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7616, Laboratoire de Chimie

Théorique, F-75005 Paris, France. CNRS, UMR 7616, Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique, F-75005 Paris, France.

¶Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, UMR 7614, Laboratoire de Chimie Physique Matière et Rayonnement, F-75005 Paris, France. CNRS, UMR 7614, Laboratoire de Chimie Physique Matière et Rayonnement, F-75005 Paris, France.

E-mail: elena.degoli@unimore.it; eleonora.luppi@upmc.fr; nathalie.capron@upmc.fr

Abstract

In polycrystalline materials the grain boundaries (GBs) are particularly important as they can act as a sink for atom defects and impurities, which may drive structural transformation of the materials and consequently modify their properties. Characterising the structure and properties of GBs is critical for understanding and controlling material property. Here, we investigated how GBs can modify the structural, electronic and transport properties of the polycrystalline material HfO₂. In general, grain boundaries are considered to be detrimental to the physical stability and electronic transport in HfO₂. Anyway, studying by first principles the two most stable and common types of GBs, the tilt and the twist, we found substantial differences on the impact they have on the material properties. In fact, while tilt defects create channels of different sizes and shapes in hafnia along which the electronic transport is stronger in relation with leakage current through GBs, twist defects create a sort of amorphous structure that tends to resemble the bulk and which is independent on the number of rotated planes/atoms.

Keywords : Grain boundary engineering, hafnia, Density Functional Theory, electronic transport

Introduction

Grain boundary (GB) in a solid crystalline material is a region separating two crystals (grains) of the same phase. These two grains differ in mutual orientations and the grain boundary represents a transition region, where the atoms are shifted from their regular positions as compared to the crystal. In general, polycrystalline materials contain a wide variety of interfaces between grains that can be influenced by numerous factors, such as growth conditions and thermal treatment.

In polycrystalline materials the GB is particularly important as it can act as a sink for atom defects and impurities, which may drive structural transformation of the materials and consequently modify their properties. In fact, GBs can change the mechanical strength, thermal dissipation, electrical transport of the crystals and have consequences on their performance for specific applications in optoelectronics and photovoltaics. Therefore, characterising the structure and properties of grains and grain boundaries is critical for understanding and controlling material property.

GBs demonstrated to severely weaken the mechanical strength,¹ to impede electrical transport² and transmission³ of graphene. In crystalline monolayer molybdenum disulphide MoS_2 , depending on the type of GB formed in the crystal, an enhancement or a quenching of the photoluminescence and electrical conductivity was observed.⁴ The presence of GBs

can also trap electrons in semiconducting and insulating materials which is important for optoelectronic and photovoltaics applications.⁵ Moreover, recently the presence of GBs in Si has been studied in order to engineer these defects to improve electronic and optical properties of Si in photovoltaic devices.⁶

In the recent years, a particular interest was given to understand the role of GBs on the modification of the electronic and transport properties of transition-metal oxide hafnia (HfO₂). In fact, HfO₂ is nowadays considered the most promising candidate to replace silicon dioxide (SiO₂) as the gate dielectric material in metal-oxide-semiconductors (MOS) and complementary MOS (CMOS) technology.^{7,8} Moreover, HfO₂ is also very promising as material for resistive random access memories (RRAMs).^{9,10} HfO₂ has wide band-gap (5.7 eV), high dielectric constant (22), and exhibit good thermodynamic stability. However, HfO₂ suffers of important current leakage which has been related to neutral and charged oxygen vacancies^{11,12} and oxygen interstitials^{13,14} close to region where the GB is formed in the HfO₂. The leakage currents is related to the structure of the GB which presents favourable percolation paths for electron tunnelling.^{15–17} For this reason, GBs are generally considered to be detrimental to the physical stability and electronic transport in HfO₂. A clearer understanding and controlling of GBs become essential for optimizing device performance and reliability.

The aim of this work is to investigate how the GBs can modify the structural, electronic and transport properties of the polycrystalline material HfO_2 . We decided to start considering HfO_2 in its cubic phase and to construct two different types of GBs : tilt and twist. We first studied the changes in the structural and electronic properties of cubic HfO_2 due to the presence of GBs. Next, we investigated the transport properties in the framework of the Boltzmann transport equations. In order to interpret the results with respect to the experimental value we interpret the oxygen vacancy as donor which means that the system is n-doped, and the oxygen interstitial as acceptor which means that the system is p-doped.¹⁸ We do not consider possible relaxation or change in the band structures. The change of the doping is discussed as a function of the chemical potential. With this approach we want to clarify the mechanisms of electronic transport in GBs. We expect that our findings general enough to be relevant in experimental conditions.



Computational methodology

Figure 1: The cubic phase of HfO_2 is presented where Hf atoms are in yellow and O atoms in red. A cartoon representation is presented to schematise the way tilt (left) and twist (right) GBs are constructed.

The structural and electronic properties of the systems studied in this work were calculated using first-principles density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the plane-wave based Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP).^{19,20} We employed the generalised gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange-correlation functional in the parametrisation proposed by Perdew and Wang (PW91).²¹ We adopted projector augmented-wave pseudopotentials including in the valence the 5p, 5d and 6s electrons for Hf, and the 2s and 2p electrons for O. We used a plane wave cut-off of 400 eV and Γ centered Monkhorst-Pack²² k-meshes of 9×9×9 for the bulk, of 24×8×12 for the tilt and of 12×12×16 for the twist GB structures. All the structures were relaxed until the Hellmann-Feynman forces exerted on each atom become less than 0.01 eV/Å. The electronic transport properties were calculated using the BoltzTraP (**Boltz**mann**TransportProperties**) code.²³ In particular, we analysed the conductivity σ in the rigid-band and constant relaxation-time approximation.

Results

Theoretical models for HfO_2 grain boundaries

We started by considering HfO_2 in its cubic phase and we found a lattice parameter of 5.07 Å, in agreement with other theoretical calculations and experiments.^{24–27} From the cubic HfO_2 we obtained tilt GBs by cutting the crystal along the (0 -1 3) plane and rotating it of 36.86° with respect to the [1 0 0] axis belonging to the plane of the boundary (see left panel of Fig. (1)). In a similar way we built the twist GBs by cutting the cubic HfO_2 along the (3 1 0) plane and by rotating it of 36.86° with respect to the [0 0 1] axis perpendicular to the plane of the boundary (see right panel of Fig. (1)).

In this way, we constructed two tilt GBs of the type $\Sigma 5\ 36.86^{\circ}\ (0\ -1\ 3)/[1\ 0\ 0]$ and two twist GBs of the type $\Sigma 5\ 36.86^{\circ}\ (3\ 1\ 0)/[0\ 0\ 1]$. The $\Sigma 5$ notation refers to the degree of site matching. $\Sigma 5$ indicates a high symmetry and possibly low formation energy. Σ is simply evaluated as

$$\Sigma = \delta \times (h^2 + k^2 + l^2) \tag{1}$$

where $(h \ k \ l)$ is the plane of the boundary and δ is equal to 1 as $(h^2 + k^2 + l^2)$ is odd in our case.²⁵ The two tilt GBs differ in the number of atoms per unit cell : 72 atoms (Tilt72) and 108 atoms (Tilt108) and they are shown in Fig. (2) before and after geometrical relaxation. The unit cell is orthorhombic and in the case of Tilt72 the cell parameters are a = 5.11000Å, b = 16.15924Å and c = 9.69554Å while in the case of the Tilt108 the parameters are a = 5.11000Å, b = 16.15924Å and c = 14.54331Å. In the case of the twist GBs the number of atoms per unit cell is 90 (Twist90) and 120 (Twist120) and they are shown in Fig. (3) before and after geometrical relaxation. The unit cell is tetragonal and for the Twist90 the cell parameters are a = b = 5.11000Å and c = 8.17600Å while for the Twist120 they are a = b = 5.11000Å and c = 10.22000Å.



Figure 2: Tilt72 and Tilt108 are shown before and after geometrical relaxation.

The effects of the geometrical relaxation in the GBs structures are immediately observable in Fig. (2) and Fig. (3) and quantitatively shown in Tabs (1,2) where we reported the distances between the atoms before (d_{nR}) and after relaxation (d_R) , together with the percentage of relaxation (R). This percentage was calculated as $R = (d_R - d_{nR})/d_{nR}*100$.

In the case of the Tilt72, we labelled from 1 to 24 the atoms which play the most important role in the relaxation. All these atoms are symmetric with respect to the xy plane. The geometrical relaxation creates two almost spherical large channels and two small channels with an hexagonal structure. The initial distances between first neighbouring atoms are of 2.213 Å and then there is a compensation between elongation and compression which is at the origin of these channels. In particular, we observe that the bigger channels are due to a strong modification of the distances between atoms 5 (17) and 9 (21) and between atoms 5



Figure 3: Twist90 and Twist120 are shown before and after geometrical relaxation.

(17) and 8 (20). The percentage of deformation is R = 29.9%. The deformation can be also investigated by studying the change of distances along the z direction. Here, the maximum deformation is related to atoms 3 (15) and 4 (16) which is R = 56.4%. Those atoms that do not play a role in the creation of the channels still keep the initial bulk distances. As a consequence, when repeating the unit cell in the space, we found that our structures are made of small and large channels with bulk-like regions in between. The mean extension of these regions is of the order of 6 Å.

A similar results was obtained for the Tilt108 where we labelled from 1 to 38 the atoms which play the most important role in the relaxation. Also in this case, all the atoms are symmetric with respect to the plane of symmetry xOy before and after the geometrical optimisation. The relaxation creates two elongated large and small channels still with an hexagonal form. The smaller channels have similar relaxation distances and percentages of the Tilt72. The elongated channels are due to a strong modification of the distances between atoms 5 (27) and 13 (29) and between atoms 5 (27) and 12 (28). The percentage of deformation is R = 62.7%. Also in this case, those atoms that do not play a role in the creation of the channels still keep the initial bulk distances leaving bulk-like regions in between channels. The mean extension of these regions is of the order of 6.3 \mathring{A} .

The twist GBs have a quite different behaviour. The rotation with respect to an axis perpendicular to the plane of the grain boundary does not create any channels in the structures as shown in Fig (3) for the Twist90 and Twist120. In fact, the twist GB alternates planes of O and Hf with a different inclination. Through an analysis of the O and Hf distances, before and after relaxation, we observed that the structure has a general tendency to rearrange as in the bulk even if it is more compact. After relaxation, the Hf-O distances have almost the same value which is around 2.000 Å which is close but smaller than the one calculated in the bulk of 2.195 Å. We showed this behaviour in Tab (2) where we considered an Hf atom at the interface between the twisted planes and its distances with the neighbouring O atoms.

Moreover, it is also worth to notice the effect imposed by the size of the periodic cell on the structural relaxation of tilt and twist GBs. In the case of the tilt, going from 72 to 108 atoms imposes a stronger constraint on the structure which creates larger channels. Instead, in the case of the twist, going from 90 to 120 atoms does not really seem to change. In both the cases the structure tries to rearrange as in the bulk.

Formation energies

The stability of the GBs was studied by calculating the formation energy of the grain boundary with respect to the cubic HfO₂. In Tab (3) we indicated for each structures, in parenthesis, the number of motif (n_{motif}) per unit cell. We also reported the total energy and the total energy per motif to calculate the formation energy of the GB as

 $E_{f} = (E_{GB}/n_{motif} - E_{bulk}/n_{motif}).$

The E_f of the twist GBs is lower than tilt GBs which demonstrates that twist GBs are the most stable structures. We put these evidences in relation with the important structural differences between tilt and twist GB. Even, if the twist structures loose most of the symmetries of the HfO₂ in the bulk phase, the distances between the atoms are very close to those of the cubic HfO_2 . While in the case of the tilt structures the creation of channels induce an extensive rearrangement of the atoms at the interface as confirmed from the calculated distances reported in Tab (1). Therefore, the tilt and twist GBs induce a substantially different redistribution of density charge in the structures which is manifest in the very different formation energies. Moreover, differences exist within the same class of GBs. Actually, while for the twist GBs E_f is very similar for both structures, the tilt GBs is very sensitive to its dimension : larger is the tilt GBs, larger is its formation energy (i.e. the system is progressively less stable).

In literature, tilt GBs have been studied which have a different formation energy per unit area. McKenna et al.^{15,17} investigated a monoclinic HfO₂ tilt GB with a formation energy of 0.6 J/m². This has a relatively low formation energy with respect to others they studied and it was chosen based on the principle that the most stable boundaries should have a high degree of site coincidence between grains. Instead, Xue et al.²⁵ studied cubic HfO₂ and reported higher formation energy of 2.92 J/m², 3.14 J/m² and 3.50 J/m².

Electronic properties

We investigated also which is the effect of the different atoms rearrangements and charge redistributions of tilt and twist GBs on their electronic properties. We always consider as our reference the cubic-HfO₂ bulk for which we obtained an energy band gap (E_g) of 3.81 eV (in good agreement with literature²⁸). The energy gap for the GBs studied diminished with respect to the bulk and this is particularly evident for the tilt defects. In fact, we obtain E_g = 0.84 eV for the Tilt72, $E_g = 0.27$ eV for the Tilt108, $E_g = 2.84$ eV for the Twist90 and $E_g = 3.31$ eV for the Twist120.

In Fig (4) we also report the density of states (DOS) where, for all the systems, we took the zero energy reference to be the valence band maximum energy. The DOS is reported in an energy range close to the bulk gap energy region as our goal is to investigate the presence of new states within the gap. In fact, the current leakage measured in GBs can be due to electron trapping and tunnelling in the band gap.^{15,17}

The Twist90 and Twist120 have few new states close to the conduction bands that slightly diminish the cubic HfO_2 gap. Instead, the tilt GBs present many new localised states that reduce the gaps of around 2 eV. These new states are almost flat and are due to the channels formation in the bulk structure. These new states induce a transition in HfO_2 from insulator to semiconductor.

From these observations, we conclude that tilt GB defect seems to be favourite for current leakage with respect to twist GBs. However, tilt defect seems to be the most advantageous to tune the electronic properties of HfO_2 as it permits a higher degree of manipulation.

The presence of these new states in the gap has been observed also in the GBs presented in.^{15,17,25} However, it is worth to notice that the type of modulation of these new states in the gap strongly depends on the type of GBs. In fact, this defect can create a different number of undercoordinated O and Hf at the origin of these states.



Figure 4: DOS and energy gaps of the tilt and twist GBs studied.

To deeper the origin of these new states inside the gap, we plotted in Fig. (5) the isosur-

faces of the band-decomposed partial charge density considering for the valence the energy range : HOMO - 1 eV (left panel) and for the conduction the energy range : LUMO + 1 eV (right panel). For both tilt and twist GBs the valence states are localised on the oxygen atoms while the states in the conduction are localised on the Hf-O bonds around the Hf atoms. In particular for the tilt, the atoms related to these states seem to be also those which are involved in the channels. The same is observed in the twist but in this case the charge is delocalised on planes related to the rotation of the boundary.



Figure 5: Isosurfaces of the band-decomposed partial charge density for both tilt and twist GBs. The energy range for the valence states is HOMO - 1 eV (left panel) while the energy range for the conduction states is LUMO + 1 eV (right panel).

Boltzmann electronic transport : the conductivity

The correlation between GBs and increased leakage current has been demonstrated both theoretically and experimentally.^{15–17} This effect is a result of a number of related factors such as (i) the intrinsic electronic properties of GBs which enhance direct tunnelling relative to the bulk, (ii) segregation of oxygen vacancies which increases trap assisted tunnelling currents and (iii) ionic transport via interstitial sites.^{15–17}

Here, we focused on GBs and its intrinsic electronic properties. We interpreted an oxygen vacancy as a donor which means that the system is n-doped, and an oxygen interstitial as an acceptor which means that the system is p-doped.¹⁸ Therefore, the GB can be n- and p-doped and the transport properties can therefore be studied as a function of the electron chemical potential in the framework of the Boltzmann transport equations. In particular, we used the the Boltzmann transport theory within the constant scattering-time approximation as implemented in the BoltzTraP code.²³

The Boltzmann equations for transport is obtained in the thermodynamic limit and under some conditions such as that the interactions involving more than two particles can be neglected, the collisions are elastic and involve only uncorrelated particles. This means that particles which have already collided are expected not to re-collide in the future. This approach is a simplified model to study transport which demonstrated to work well in many different cases.^{29–31} However, this model does not take into account effects such as electronhole trapping.³²

The Boltzmann equation for the calculation of the electronic conductivity tensor $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\mu, T)$ as a function of the chemical potential μ and temperature T is

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\mu, \mathbf{T}) = \frac{1}{\Omega} \int \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\epsilon) \left[-\frac{\partial f_0(\mu, \mathbf{T}, \epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} \right] \mathrm{d}\epsilon$$
(2)

where $\alpha, \beta = x, y, z$ are tensor index, Ω is the volume of the unit cell, f_0 is the Boltzmann distribution function and $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\epsilon)$ is defined as



Figure 6: σ/τ as a function of the chemical potential for the tilt and twist GBs structures studied.

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,\mathbf{k}} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(i,\mathbf{k}) \frac{\delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{i,\mathbf{k}})}{\delta\epsilon},\tag{3}$$

N is the number of k-points, $\epsilon_{i,\mathbf{k}}$ are the energy bands indexed by bands (i) and k-points (\mathbf{k}) . The quantity $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(i,\mathbf{k})$ is

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta}(i,\mathbf{k}) = e^2 \tau_{i,\mathbf{k}} v_{\alpha}(i,\mathbf{k}) v_{\beta}(i,\mathbf{k})$$
(4)

where e is the electron charge, $\tau_{i,\mathbf{k}}$ is the relaxation time and $v_{\alpha}(i,\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \frac{\partial \epsilon_{i,\mathbf{k}}}{\partial k_{\alpha}}$ component of group velocities.

In our calculations we assume that $\tau_{i,\mathbf{k}}$ is constant. This approximation is often adopted in first-principles calculations,^{33,34} and despite its simplicity it often turns out to be a good approximation for bulk materials, even in the case of anisotropic systems.³⁵ Moreover, in our analysis we assume that τ does not strongly change in GBs with respect to bulk. For this



Figure 7: σ/τ projected along a, b and c axis as a function of the chemical potential for the tilt and twist GBs structures studied.

reason, we assume that all the structures have the same value. This is a strong statement, which, however, does not substantially change the results.

In Fig. (6) we show σ/τ where we used $\tau = 1$ fs for all the structures.²³ The σ/τ as a function of the electron chemical potential has to be interpreted as if the system were n-doped (O vacancies) in the case of positive μ or p-doped (O interstitials) in the case of negative μ .

Considering the conductivity of bulk as a reference we observe that σ/τ is particularly large for the tilt GBs. The magnitude is higher than twist and it is more important in the gap region. The new electronic states give a strong contribution to increase current.

The behaviour of σ/τ is strongly related to the DOS. The tilt defects create new states in the gap which demonstrated to be crucial in enhancing electronic transport. Instead, the transport in the twist defects, despite some few new states in the gap, seems to be generally quenched in particular for positive μ . We also considered the role of anisotropy in electronic transport as we show in Fig. (7). In the case of Tilt72 and Tilt 108 the anisotropy is much higher than in Twist90 and Twist120. In particular, it is interesting to note that a huge increasing of the conductivity is observed along the direction of the channels in the tilt GBs. These put in evidence the role of the channels in the leakage current.

Conclusions

We investigated how tilt and twin GBs modify the structural, electronic and transport properties of the polycrystalline HfO₂. The different structural properties of these defects have a strong impact on their electronic and transport properties. In fact, in the case of the tilt it is possible to create channels of different sizes and shapes while for the twist GBs the defect creates a sort of amorphous structure, that tends to resemble the bulk, independent on the number of rotated planes/atoms. These structural differences and the strong anisotropy of tilt GBs reflect in a major stability of twist with respect to tilt GBs. Nevertheless tilt defects result to be crucial for the enhancing of the electronic transport that results to be stronger along their channels in relation with leakage current through GBs. The different behaviour of the tilt and twist GBs can be used, for example, to properly engineer innovative materials in order to change the electrical resistance of the device between two distinct levels (this is actually a common characteristic of the metal-oxide-based resistance switching memories), i.e., the High- and Low-Resistance-State, associated with different atomic arrangements of the same dielectric material.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Andrea Ferretti for discussion and the (SHO) ISCRA C cineca project for time machine. We are also grateful to Professor Hafner (Faculty of Physics and Center for Computational Materials Science, University of Vienna, Austria) for allowing us to use the VASP code.

References

- Huang, P. Y.; Ruiz-Vargas, C. S.; van der Zande, A. M.; Whitney, W. S.; Levendorf, M. P.; Kevek, J. W.; Garg, S.; Alden, J. S.; Hustedt, C. J.; Zhu, Y.; Park, J.; McEuen, P. L.; Muller, D. A. Grains and grain boundaries in single-layer graphene atomic patchwork quilts. Nature **2011**, 469, 389–392.
- (2) Yu, Q. et al. Control and characterization of individual grains and grain boundaries in graphene grown by chemical vapour deposition. Nature Materials 2011, 10, 443–449.
- (3) Zhang, H.; Lee, G.; Gong, C.; Colombo, L.; Cho, K. Grain Boundary Effect on Electrical Transport Properties of Graphene. <u>The Journal of Physical Chemistry C</u> 2014, <u>118</u>, 2338–2343.
- (4) van der Zande, A. M.; Huang, P. Y.; Chenet, D. A.; Berkelbach, T. C.; You, Y.; Lee, G.-H.; Heinz, T. F.; Reichman, D. R.; Muller, D. A.; Hone, J. C. Grains and grain boundaries in highly crystalline monolayer molybdenum disulphide. <u>Nature Materials</u> 2013, <u>12</u>, 554–561.
- (5) McKenna, K. P.; Shluger, A. L. Electron-trapping polycrystalline materials with negative electron affinity. Nature Materials 2008, 7, 859–862.
- (6) Raghunathan, R.; Johlin, E.; Grossman, J. C. Grain Boundary Engineering for Improved Thin Silicon Photovoltaics. Nano Letters 2014, 14, 4943–4950.
- (7) Park, B. K.; Park, J.; Cho, M.; Hwang, C. S.; Oh, K.; Han, Y.; Yang, D. Y. Interfacial reaction between chemically vapor-deposited HfO2 thin films and a HF-cleaned Si substrate during film growth and postannealing. <u>Applied Physics Letters</u> 2002, <u>80</u>, 2368–2370.

- (8) Gusev, E.; Jr., C. C.; Copel, M.; DEmic, C.; Gribelyuk, M. Ultrathin HfO2 films grown on silicon by atomic layer deposition for advanced gate dielectrics applications. <u>Microelectronic Engineering</u> 2003, <u>69</u>, 145 – 151, Proceedings of the Symposium and Summer School on: Nano and Giga Challenges in Microelectronics Research and Opportunities in Russia.
- (9) Clima, S.; Govoreanu, B.; Jurczak, M.; Pourtois, G. HfOx as {RRAM} material First principles insights on the working principles. <u>Microelectronic Engineering</u> 2014, <u>120</u>, 13 18, MAM2013, March 10-13, Leuven, Belgium.
- (10) Puglisi, F. M.; Pavan, P.; Padovani, A.; Larcher, L. A study on HfO2 {RRAM} in {HRS} based on IV and {RTN} analysis. <u>Solid-State Electronics</u> 2014, <u>102</u>, 69 75, Selected papers from {ESSDERC} 2013.
- (11) Islamov, D. R.; Gritsenko, V. A.; Cheng, C. H.; Chin, A. Origin of traps and charge transport mechanism in hafnia. Applied Physics Letters 2014, 105, 222901.
- (12) Capron, N.; Broqvist, P.; Pasquarello, A. Migration of oxygen vacancy in HfO₂ and across the HfO₂/SiO₂ interface: A first-principles investigation. <u>Applied Physics Letters</u> 2007, 91, 192905.
- (13) Goncharova, L. V.; Dalponte, M.; Starodub, D. G.; Gustafsson, T.; Garfunkel, E.; Lysaght, P. S.; Foran, B.; Barnett, J.; Bersuker, G. Oxygen diffusion and reactions in Hf-based dielectrics. Applied Physics Letters **2006**, 89, 044108.
- (14) Tang, C.; Ramprasad, R. Point defect chemistry in amorphous HfO₂: Density functional theory calculations. Phys. Rev. B 2010, 81, 161201.
- (15) McKenna, K.; Shluger, A. The interaction of oxygen vacancies with grain boundaries in monoclinic HfO₂. Applied Physics Letters **2009**, 95, 222111.

- (16) Pirrotta, O.; Larcher, L.; Lanza, M.; Padovani, A.; Porti, M.; Nafría, M.; Bersuker, G. Leakage current through the polycrystalline HfO₂: Trap densities at grains and grain boundaries. Journal of Applied Physics **2013**, 114, 134503.
- (17) McKenna, K.; Shluger, A.; Iglesias, V.; Porti, M.; Nafra, M.; Lanza, M.; Bersuker, G. Grain boundary mediated leakage current in polycrystalline HfO₂ films. <u>Microelectronic</u> <u>Engineering</u> 2011, <u>88</u>, 1272 – 1275, Proceedings of the 17th Biennial International Insulating Films on Semiconductor Conference17th Biennial International Insulating Films on Semiconductor Conference.
- (18) P. J. Gellings and H. J. M. Bouwmeester, The CRC Handbook of Solid State Electrochemistry, CRC Press, Inc., 1997.
- (19) Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals. <u>Phys. Rev. B</u> 1993, <u>47</u>, 558.
- (20) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. Phys. Rev. B 1996, 54, 11169.
- (21) Perdew, J.; Wang, Y. Accurate and simple analytic representation of the electron-gas correlation energy. Physical Review B 1992, 45, 13244.
- Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D. Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. <u>Physical</u> Review B **1976**, 13, 5188.
- (23) Madsen, G. K. H.; Singh, D. J. BoltzTraP. A code for calculating band-structure dependent quantities. Computer Physics Communications 2006, 175, 67 – 71.
- (24) Foster, A. S.; Lopez Gejo, F.; Shluger, A. L.; Nieminen, R. M. Vacancy and interstitial defects in hafnia. <u>Phys. Rev. B</u> 2002, 65, 174117.
- (25) Xue, K.-H.; Blaise, P.; Fonseca, L. R. C.; Molas, G.; Vianello, E.; Traor, B.;

De Salvo, B.; Ghibaudo, G.; Nishi, Y. Grain boundary composition and conduction in HfO₂: An ab initio study. <u>Applied Physics Letters</u> **2013**, <u>102</u>, 201908.

- (26) Rignanese, G.-M. Dielectric properties of crystalline and amorphous transition metal oxides and silicates as potential high-κ candidates: the contribution of density-functional theory. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **2005**, 17, R357.
- (27) Wang, J.; Li, H. P.; Stevens, R. Hafnia and hafnia-toughened ceramics. <u>Journal of</u> Materials Science **1992**, 27, 5397–5430.
- (28) Jiang, H.; Gomez-Abal, R. I.; Rinke, P.; Scheffler, M. Electronic band structure of zirconia and hafnia polymorphs from the GW perspective. <u>Phys. Rev. B</u> 2010, <u>81</u>, 085119.
- (29) Sohier, T.; Calandra, M.; Park, C.-H.; Bonini, N.; Marzari, N.; Mauri, F. Phononlimited resistivity of graphene by first-principles calculations: Electron-phonon interactions, strain-induced gauge field, and Boltzmann equation. <u>Phys. Rev. B</u> 2014, <u>90</u>, 125414.
- (30) Aziz, A.; Mangelis, P.; Vaqueiro, P.; Powell, A. V.; Grau-Crespo, R. Electron and phonon transport in shandite-structured Ni₃Sn₂S₂. Phys. Rev. B 2016, 94, 165131.
- (31) Fiorentini, M.; Bonini, N. Thermoelectric coefficients of n-doped silicon from first principles via the solution of the Boltzmann transport equation. <u>Phys. Rev. B</u> 2016, <u>94</u>, 085204.
- (32) Wolf, M. J.; McKenna, K. P.; Shluger, A. L. Hole Trapping at Surfaces of m-ZrO2 and m-HfO2 Nanocrystals. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2012, 116, 25888–25897.
- (33) Scheidemantel, T. J.; Ambrosch-Draxl, C.; Thonhauser, T.; Badding, J. V.; Sofo, J. O.
 Transport coefficients from first-principles calculations. Phys. Rev. B 2003, 68, 125210.

- (34) Madsen, G. K. H. Automated Search for New Thermoelectric Materials: The Case of LiZnSb. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2006, 128, 12140–12146.
- (35) Allen, P. B.; Pickett, W. E.; Krakauer, H. Anisotropic normal-state transport properties predicted and analyzed for high- T_c oxide superconductors. <u>Phys. Rev. B</u> **1988**, <u>37</u>, 7482–7490.

Table 1: We report the distances between the atoms as labelled in Fig. (2) for Tilt72 and Tilt108 before (d_{nR}) and after geometry relaxation (d_R) . We also report the percentage of relaxation R which is calculated as $R = (d_R - d_{nR})/d_{nR}*100$.

Tilt72						
	d_{nR} (Å)	d_R (Å)	R (%)			
$d_{1-3} = d_{2-4} = d_{13-15} = d_{14-16}$	2.213	2.070	-6.46			
$d_{3-5} = d_{4-5} = d_{15-17} = d_{16-17}$	2.213	2.016	-8.90			
$d_{3-7} = d_{4-6} = d_{15-19} = d_{16-18}$	2.213	1.979	-10.57			
$d_{5\!-\!9} = d_{5\!-\!8} = d_{17\!-\!21} = d_{17\!-\!20}$	2.213	2.875	29.9			
$d_{7-9} = d_{6-8} = d_{19-21} = d_{18-20}$	2.213	2.190	-1.04			
$d_{9-11} = d_{8-10} = d_{21-23} = d_{20-22}$	2.213	1.971	-10.94			
$d_{11-12} = d_{10-12} = d_{23-24} = d_{22-24}$	2.213	2.114	-4.47			
$d_{12-13} = d_{12-14} = d_{24-1} = d_{24-2}$	2.213	2.231	0.81			
$d_{1-2} = d_{13-14}$	3.232	2.685	-16.9			
$d_{3-4} = d_{15-16}$	1.616	2.527	56.4			
$d_{7-6} = d_{19-18}$	4.848	4.848	0.0			
$d_{9-8} = d_{21-20}$	3.232	3.895	20.5			
$d_{11-10} = d_{23-22}$	1.616	2.325	43.9			
$d_{5-12} = d_{17-24}$	4.237	4.453	5.1			
$d_{12-17} = d_{24-5}$	4.237	4.037	-4.7			
Tilt108						
	d_{nR} (Å)	d_R (Å)	R (%)			
$d_{1\!-\!3} = d_{2\!-\!4} = d_{19\!-\!21} = d_{18\!-\!22}$	2.213	2.179	-1.5			
$d_{35} = d_{45} = d_{2127} = d_{2227}$	2.213	1.966	-1.1			
$d_{37} = d_{46} = d_{2125} = d_{2226}$	2.213	1.930	-1.27			
$d_{513} = d_{512} = d_{2729} = d_{2728}$	2.213	3.602	62.7			
$d_{7-9} = d_{6-8} = d_{25-31} = d_{26-30}$	2.213	1.880	-15.0			
$d_{7-13} = d_{6-12} = d_{25-29} = d_{26-28}$	2.213	3.114	40.7			
$d_{9-11} = d_{8-10} = d_{31-35} = d_{30-34}$	2.213	2.104	-4.9			
$d_{11-13} = d_{10-12} = d_{29-35} = d_{28-34}$	2.213	2.081	-5.9			
$d_{13-15} = d_{12-14} = d_{29-33} = d_{28-32}$	2.213	1.953	-11.7			
$d_{15-20} = d_{14-20} = d_{33-36} = d_{32-36}$	2.213	2.116	-4.4			
$d_{20-19} = d_{20-18} = d_{36-1} = d_{36-2}$	2.213	2.192	-1.0			
$d_{5-15} = d_{5-14} = d_{27-33} = d_{27-32}$	2.213	2.971	34.2			
$d_{1-2} = d_{19-18}$	3.232	2.524	-21.9			
$d_{3-4} = d_{21-22}$	1.616	2.439	50.9			
$d_{7-6} = d_{25-26}$	4.848	5.489	13.2			
$d_{9-8} = d_{31-30}$	8.080	7.921	-2.0			
$d_{11-10} = d_{35-34}$	6.464	7.771	20.2			
$d_{13-12} = d_{29-28}$	3.232	5.065	56.7			
$d_{15-14} = d_{33-32}$	1.616	2.288	56.4			
$d_{17-16} = d_{37-38}$	4.848	3.709	23.5			
d_{5-20}	4.237	4.188	-1.2			
d_{20-27}	4.237	4.285	-1.1			

Table 2: Distances between Hf at the interface of the twisted planes and its neighbouring O atoms for Twin90 and Twin120 before and after relaxation. We also report the percentage of relaxation R which is calculated as $R = (d_R - d_{nR})/d_{nR}*100$. In the bulk the distance between O and Hf is 2.195 Å.

	Twin90		
	d_{nR} (Å)	d_R (Å)	R (%)
d_1	1.512	2.064	36.51
d_2	2.213	2.000	-9.62
d_3	2.213	2.006	-9.35
d_4	2.213	2.032	-8.18
d_5	2.213	2.170	-1.94
d_6	2.213	2.199	-0.63
	Twin120		
	d_{nR} (Å)	d_R (Å)	R (%)
d_1	1.512	2.017	33.40
d_2	2.213	1.988	-10.17
d_3	2.213	1.996	-9.81
d_4	2.213	2.074	-6.70
d_5	2.213	2.113	-4.82
d_6	2.213	2.160	-2.56

Table 3: For each structure studied, we report the number of motif, n_{motif} , in the unit cell (in parenthesis), the total energies E (eV), the total energies per motif E/n_{motif} (eV) and the formation energies E_f (eV) which are calculated as $E_f = (E_{GB}/n_{motif} - E_{bulk}/n_{motif})$.

	E (eV)	E/n_{motif} (eV)	$E_{f} (eV)$	$\rm E_f (J/m^2)$
Bulk (4)	-121.49	-30.37		
Twist90 (30)	-896.83	-29.89	0.48	0.29
Twist120 (40)	-1202.51	-30.06	0.31	0.19
Tilt $72(24)$	-691.62	-28.82	1.55	0.30
Tilt $108 (36)$	-1011.99	-28.11	2.26	0.43