



Spectrum of the Dirac operator in shrinking tubes

Nour Kerraoui

► To cite this version:

| Nour Kerraoui. Spectrum of the Dirac operator in shrinking tubes. 2023. <hal-04021175>

HAL Id: hal-04021175

<https://hal.science/hal-04021175v1>

Preprint submitted on 9 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 - Attribution - Non-commercial use - No
Derivative Works - International License

Spectrum of the Dirac operator in shrinking tubes

Nour Kerraoui¹

¹Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, I2M, Marseille, France.

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the spectrum of the Dirac operator posed in a tubular neighborhood of a planar loop with infinite mass boundary conditions. We show that when the width of the tubular neighborhood goes to zero the asymptotic expansion of the eigenvalues is driven by a one dimensional operator of geometric nature involving the curvature of the loop.

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivations

The Dirichlet Laplacian in thin curved tubes was the subject of many works within the last years. It is well known that the limit operator is a Shrödinger operator with a geometric electric potential. See for instance [11, 4, 5] where the convergence is understood in the norm resolvent sense, see also the works [14, 6, 8] where the adiabatic limit in neighborhoods of Riemannian submanifolds is investigated. Recently, the relativistic counterpart of such systems involving the Dirac operator attracted a lot of attention. One motivation among others of these works is that quasiparticles in promising materials such as graphene can mimic the quantum electrodynamics [1, 13].

The study of Dirac operators in domains was started in 1987 by Berry and Mondragon [2] where the operator was subject to some boundary conditions called infinite mass boundary conditions. The latter is obtained by coupling the standard Dirac operator with a mass term being zero inside the domain under consideration and infinite elsewhere, this study was mathematically justified in [15]. It initiated works concerning the self-adjointness of such operators in graphene quantum dots [12, 7, 9] and its three dimensional equivalent, the MIT bag model [10].

The main motivation of the present paper is the work realized in [18], where the authors provided a spectral study of the Dirac operator in a shrinking relativistic quantum waveguide. Contrary to the non-relativistic setting, they got that the effective operator is just the free Dirac operator on the underlying curve, the geometry is expected to appear at the following order in the asymptotic expansion. In our paper we consider the Dirac operator posed in a tubular neighborhood of a planar loop and we investigate the spectrum of this operator when the domain shrinks to the reference curve. We give an expansion of the eigenvalues in the thin-width regime where the splitting of the eigenvalues is given by a one dimensional operator. The latter is defined *via* its quadratic form and involves the curvature. We use a standard strategy based on perturbation theory applied to the square of this Dirac operator and make use of the min-max principle.

1.2 Statement of the problem & main result

For $\ell > 0$, we set $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be an injective arc length parametrization of a regular planar loop of class C^4 and set $\Gamma = \gamma(\mathbb{T})$. We are interested in the Dirac operator with infinite mass boundary conditions posed in a tubular neighborhood of size $\varepsilon > 0$ of Γ . To define this tubular neighborhood, we consider the map

$$\Phi_\varepsilon : \begin{cases} \mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1) & \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (s, t) & \mapsto \gamma(s) + \varepsilon t \nu(s), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where for $s \in \mathbb{T}$, $\nu(s)$ denotes the normal at the point $\gamma(s) \in \Gamma$ such that $(\gamma'(s), \nu(s))$ is a positively-oriented orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^2 . A well known result of differential geometry shows the existence of $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ the map Φ_ε is a global diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)$ to the tubular neighborhood of Γ of width 2ε defined by $\Omega_\varepsilon := \Phi_\varepsilon(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1))$. As we are interested in the regime $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ there is no restriction to assume that $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and we will do so all along this paper.

On its part, for $m \in \mathbb{R}$, the Dirac operator with infinite mass boundary conditions posed in Ω_ε is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)) &:= \{u \in H^1(\Omega_\varepsilon, \mathbb{C}^2) : -i\sigma_3 \sigma \cdot \nu_\varepsilon u = u \text{ on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon\}, \\ \mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)u &:= -i\sigma \cdot \nabla u + m\sigma_3 u, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma_k, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are the Pauli matrices defined by

$$\sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, there holds $\sigma \cdot x = x_1 \sigma_1 + x_2 \sigma_2$. Here ν_ε denotes the outward pointing normal vector field of Ω_ε .

As defined, $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)$ is known to be self-adjoint [12, Theorem 1.1]. It also has compact resolvent, this follows from the compact embedding of $\text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon))$ in $L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and it implies that its spectrum is composed of discrete eigenvalues accumulating to $\pm\infty$. Moreover, they are symmetric with respect to zero due to the invariance of the system under charge conjugation, corresponding to the operator $\sigma_1 C$, where C is the complex conjugation operator. Indeed, if u is an eigenfunction associated with an eigenvalue E , one notices that $\sigma_1 C u$ is an eigenfunction associated with an eigenvalue $-E$. All along the paper $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ denotes the set of positive natural integer. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $E_j(\varepsilon)$ the j -th positive eigenvalue of $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)$ counted with multiplicities.

To state the main result of this paper, we need to introduce an auxiliary one-dimensional operator defined *via* its quadratic form. Namely, consider the quadratic form

$$q^{1D}[f] = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(|f'| + i\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)\sigma_3 f|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2}{\pi^2}|f|^2 \right) ds, \quad \text{dom}(q^{1D}) = H^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^2). \quad (3)$$

where for $s \in \mathbb{T}$, $\kappa(s)$ is the curvature of the curve Γ at the point $\gamma(s)$. It is defined through the Frenet formula $\gamma''(s) = \kappa(s)\nu(s)$. This quadratic form is densely defined, closed and bounded from below thus by Kato's first representation theorem [16, Ch. VI, Thm. 2.1] it is associated with a self-adjoint operator and this operator has compact resolvent.

In order to state our main theorem we need to recall the min-max principle.

Definition 1. Let Q be a closed semi-bounded from below sesquilinear form with dense domain $\text{dom}(Q)$ in a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let A be the unique self-adjoint operator acting on \mathcal{H} generated by the sesquilinear form Q . We define the j -th min-max value of A by

$$\mu_j(A) = \inf_{\substack{W \subset \text{dom}(Q) \\ \dim W = n}} \sup_{u \in W \setminus \{0\}} \frac{Q(u, u)}{\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2}. \quad (4)$$

Proposition 1 (min-max principle). Let Q be a closed semi-bounded from below sesquilinear form with dense domain in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let A be the unique self-adjoint operator associated with Q . If we assume that A has a compact resolvent then $\mu_j(A)$ is the j -th eigenvalue of A counted with multiplicities.

The main result of this paper reads as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ there holds

$$E_j(\varepsilon) = \frac{\pi}{4\varepsilon} + \frac{2}{\pi}m - \frac{16}{\pi^3}m^2\varepsilon + \frac{2}{\pi}(m^2 + \mu_{2j}(q^{1D}))\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2).$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is performed working with the quadratic form associated with the square of the operator $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)$. First, we rewrite the problem in tubular coordinates using the map Φ_ε introduced in (1). The obtained quadratic form is posed on a weighted L^2 -space that we modify to obtain a flat metric. Roughly speaking, the resulting quadratic form is tensored up to higher order terms. Then, we use a standard reduction dimension argument by finding an upper and a lower bound for the eigenvalues of the square of $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)$ using the min-max principle. The main idea is that the first order term in the expansion is given by a transverse operator which can be explicitly analyzed and the constant order term involves a longitudinal operator defined via the quadratic form q^{1D} introduced in (3).

1.3 Structure of the paper

In section 2 we give some spectral properties of a one dimensional transverse Dirac operator. Section 3 contains some auxiliary quadratic forms that we need to study the square of the operator $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)$. The last section is devoted to prove Theorem 1 by giving an upper and a lower bound for the eigenvalues of the square of the operator.

2 The transverse operator

In this section we investigate a one dimensional transverse operator which appears in the study of our main operator.

Let $\delta \geq 0$ and $x = (x_1, x_2)^\top \in \mathbb{S}^1$, we consider the one-dimensional transverse operator $\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)$ defined as follows

$$\mathcal{T}_x(\delta) = -i(\sigma \cdot x) \frac{d}{dt} + \delta \sigma_3, \quad \text{dom}(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) = \{f \in H^1((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2) : f_2(\pm 1) = \pm i(x_1 + ix_2)f_1(\pm 1)\}.$$

The main result of this paragraph is the next proposition.

Proposition 2. The following holds.

- (i) $\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)$ is self-adjoint, has compact resolvent, its spectrum is symmetric with respect to zero and does not depend on x . Moreover, if we denote by $\lambda_j(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))$ the j -th positive eigenvalue of $\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)$ there holds

$$(2j-1)^2 \frac{\pi^2}{16} \leq \lambda_j(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))^2 - \delta^2 \leq j^2 \frac{\pi^2}{4}. \quad (5)$$

If $\phi_{j,\delta}^+$ is a normalized eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_j(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))$, then $\phi_{j,\delta}^- := \sigma_1 \overline{\phi_{j,\delta}^+}$ is a normalized eigenfunction associated with $-\lambda_j(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))$.

- (ii) There holds

$$\lambda_j(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) = \frac{(2j-1)\pi}{4} + \frac{2}{(2j-1)\pi} \delta + \left(\frac{-16}{(2j-1)^3 \pi^3} + \frac{2}{(2j-1)\pi} \right) \delta^2 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3),$$

- (iii) For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, a normalized eigenfunction associated with $\pm \lambda_j(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))$ verifies

$$\phi_{j,\delta}^\pm(t) := \phi_j^\pm(t) + \mathcal{O}(\delta), \quad (6)$$

where ϕ_j^+ is defined as

$$\phi_j^+(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{4}(t+1)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i(x_1 + ix_2) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{4}(t+1)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i(x_1 + ix_2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

and $\phi_j^- = \sigma_1 \overline{\phi_j^+}$. Here, the remainder is understood in the L^∞ -norm.

- (iv) For all $f \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))$ there holds

$$\|\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)f\|_{L^2((-1,1),\mathbb{C}^2)}^2 = \|f'\|_{L^2((-1,1),\mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \delta^2 \|f\|_{L^2((-1,1),\mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \delta(|f(1)|^2 + |f(-1)|^2).$$

The proof of the above proposition is similar to the proof of [18, Prop.10], nevertheless we give it for the sake of completeness

Proof. Since the multiplication operator by σ_3 is bounded and self-adjoint in $L^2((-1,1),\mathbb{C}^2)$ the operator $\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)$ is self-adjoint if and only if $\mathcal{T}_x(0)$ is self-adjoint. We can easily show that the operator $\mathcal{T}_x(0)$ is symmetric using an integration by parts.

For all $v \in \mathcal{D} := C_0^\infty((-1,1),\mathbb{C}^2)$ and $u \in \text{dom}\mathcal{T}_x(0)^*$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{T}_x^*(0)u, v \rangle_{L^2((-1,1),\mathbb{C}^2)} &= \langle u, \mathcal{T}_x(0)v \rangle_{L^2((-1,1),\mathbb{C}^2)} = \langle u, -i\sigma \cdot v' \rangle_{L^2((-1,1),\mathbb{C}^2)} \\ &= \langle u, \overline{-i\sigma \cdot v'} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}',\mathcal{D}} \\ &= \langle -i\sigma \cdot u', \overline{v} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}',\mathcal{D}} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{T}_x(0)^*u, \overline{v} \rangle_{\mathcal{D}',\mathcal{D}}, \end{aligned}$$

where there $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{D}', \mathcal{D}}$ is the duality bracket of distributions. In particular, we know that $\mathcal{T}_x(0)^* = -i\sigma \cdot u' \in L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$ thus we get $u \in H^1((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$. Next, an integration by parts gives for $u = (u_1, u_2)^\top \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{T}_x(0)^*)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2)^\top \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{T}_x(0))$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{T}_x(0)^* u, v \rangle_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)} &= \langle u, \mathcal{T}_x(0)v \rangle_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)} + \overline{(i(x_1 + ix_2)u_2(1) - u_1(1))} \bar{v}_1(1) \\ &\quad + \overline{(-i(x_1 + ix_2)u_2(-1) - u_1(-1))} \bar{v}_1(-1). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $v \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{T}_x(0))$, so necessarily there holds

$$0 = \overline{(i(x_1 + ix_2)u_2(1) - u_1(1))} \bar{v}_1(1) + \overline{(-i(x_1 + ix_2)u_2(-1) - u_1(-1))} \bar{v}_1(-1).$$

Since this is true for all $v \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{T}_x(0))$, we get $u_2(\pm 1) = \pm i(x_1 + ix_2)u_1(\pm 1)$ which yields $\mathcal{T}_x(0)^* = \mathcal{T}_x(0)$.

The compactness of the resolvent of $\mathcal{T}_x(0)$ is a consequence of the graph theorem and the compactness of the embedding of $H^1((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$ in $L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{T}_x(\delta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)u\|_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 &= \|-i\sigma \cdot xu'\|_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 + \delta^2 \|u\|_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)}^2 \\ &\quad + 2\delta \Re(\langle -i\sigma \cdot xu', \sigma_3 u \rangle_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)}) \geq \delta^2 \|u\|_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

since an integration by parts and the boundary conditions gives us

$$2\Re(\langle -i\sigma \cdot xu', \sigma_3 u \rangle_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)}) = |u(1)|^2 + |u(-1)|^2.$$

Note that using $\|-i\sigma \cdot xu'\|_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)} = \|u'\|_{L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)}$ proves Point (iv). By the min-max principle we deduce that if $\lambda \in Sp(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))$, then $|\lambda| \geq \delta$. Actually, the inequality is strict. Indeed, if u is an eigenfunction of $\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)$ associated with λ such that $|\lambda| = \delta$ we necessarily obtain that u is a constant fuction on $(-1, 1)$ verifying the boundary conditions. This not possible unless u is 0. We have shown that $Sp(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) \cap [-\delta, \delta] = \emptyset$.

Now, we compute its spectrum. To do so we pick $\lambda \in Sp(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))$ and chose a corresponding eigenfunction $u = (u_1, u_2)^\top \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))$. We get

$$-u_1'' = E^2 u_1, \quad E^2 = \lambda^2 - \delta^2. \tag{8}$$

Taking into account that $\delta \geq 0$ and $E > 0$, we obtain

$$u_1(t) = A \cos(E(t+1)) + B \sin(E(t+1)),$$

where $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$ and since $\lambda + \delta \neq 0$ there holds

$$u_2(t) = \frac{-i(x_1 + ix_2)E}{\lambda + \delta} B \cos(E(t+1)) + \frac{i(x_1 + ix_2)E}{\lambda + \delta} A \sin(E(t+1)).$$

Using the boundary conditions at $t = -1$ we get

$$\sqrt{\lambda + \delta} A - \sqrt{\lambda - \delta} B = 0.$$

The boundary condition at $t = 1$ gives

$$A(-\sqrt{\lambda + \delta} \cos 2E + \sqrt{\lambda - \delta} \sin 2E) - B(\sqrt{\lambda - \delta} \cos 2E + \sqrt{\lambda + \delta} \sin 2E) = 0.$$

To obtain a non-zero eigenfunction u , there must hold

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda + \delta} & -\sqrt{\lambda - \delta} \\ \sqrt{\lambda - \delta} \sin 2E - \sqrt{\lambda + \delta} \cos 2E & -\sqrt{\lambda - \delta} \cos 2E - \sqrt{\lambda + \delta} \sin 2E \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

which yields the following implicit equation

$$\delta \sin(2E) + E \cos(2E) = 0. \quad (9)$$

In particular, this implies that the spectrum of $\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)$ is symmetric with respect to the origin. If $\delta = 0$ then we have $E = |\lambda| = k\frac{\pi}{4}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\delta > 0$, then we have $\cos(2E) \neq 0$ and we get

$$\tan(2E) + \frac{E}{\delta} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Let us consider the following function

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 : I_0 = [0, \frac{\pi}{2}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto \tan(x) + \frac{x}{2\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

we easily show that $x = 0$ is the unique solution to $f_0(x) = 0$.

In the same way we define for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ the following function

$$\begin{aligned} f_p : I_p = ((2p-1)\frac{\pi}{2}, (2p+1)\frac{\pi}{2}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x &\mapsto \tan(x) + \frac{x}{2\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $f'_p > 0$ and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow (2p-1)\frac{\pi}{2}^+} f_p(x) = -\infty, \quad f_p(p\pi) = p\frac{\pi}{2\delta} > 0.$$

Thus, $f_p(x) = 0$ admits a unique solution x_p that verifies $x_p \in ((2p-1)\frac{\pi}{2}, p\pi)$. Using (10) and (8), we obtain that $\lambda_p(T_x(\delta))^2 := \frac{x_p^2}{4} + \delta^2$ is the p -th positive eigenvalue of $T_x(\delta)$. In particular, we get (5).

Now, consider the following C^∞ function

$$F : \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (\mu, \delta) \mapsto 2\delta \sin(\mu) + \mu \cos \mu. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Since for $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $F(\frac{(2p-1)\pi}{2}, 0) = 0$ and $\partial_\mu F(\frac{(2p-1)\pi}{2}, 0) = (-1)^{(p+1)} \frac{(2p-1)\pi}{2}$ one gets by the implicit function theorem that there exists $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$ and a C^∞ function $\mu_p : (-\theta_1, \theta_1) \rightarrow$

$(\frac{(2p-1)\pi}{2} - \theta_2, \frac{(2p-1)\pi}{2} + \theta_2)$ verifying $\mu_p(0) = (2p-1)\frac{\pi}{2}$ and such that for all $|\delta| < \theta_1$ we have $F(\mu_p(\delta), \delta) = 0$. Moreover, when $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$\mu_p(\delta) = \mu_p(0) + \mu'_p(0)\delta + \frac{\mu''_p(0)}{2}\delta^2 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3) = (2p-1)\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{4}{(2p-1)\pi}\delta - \frac{32}{(2p-1)^3\pi^3}\delta^2 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3).$$

Hence, when $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) = \frac{(2p-1)\pi}{4} + \frac{2}{(2p-1)\pi}\delta + \left(\frac{-16}{(2p-1)^3\pi^3} + \frac{2}{(2p-1)\pi} \right) \delta^2 + \mathcal{O}(\delta^3),$$

this proves (ii). Finally we prove (iii). For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\phi_{p,\delta}^+$ be a corresponding normalized eigenfunction to $\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))$ given by

$$\phi_{p,\delta}^+(t) = \frac{1}{N_{p,\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cos(E_p(t+1)) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i(x_1 + ix_2) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{N_{p,\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sin(E_p(t+1)) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) + \delta}{E_p} \\ i(x_1 + ix_2) \frac{E_p}{\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) + \delta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where we have set $E_p = \sqrt{\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta))^2 - \delta^2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} N_{p,\delta} = 2(1 + \frac{1}{4E_p} \sin(4E_p)) + (1 - \frac{1}{4E_p} \sin(4E_p)) & \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) + \delta}{E_p} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{E_p}{\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) + \delta} \right)^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2E_p} (1 - \cos 4E_p) \left(\frac{\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) + \delta}{E_p} - \frac{E_p}{\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) + \delta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Remark that when $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\frac{1}{N_{p,\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{1}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta), \quad \frac{\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) + \delta}{E_p} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{E_p}{\lambda_p(\mathcal{T}_x(\delta)) + \delta} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\delta) \quad (13)$$

and also that we have for all $t \in (-1, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(E_p(t+1)) - \cos((2p-1)\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1)) &= \cos(\frac{\pi}{4}(2p-1)(t+1))(\cos(c_\delta(t+1)) - 1) \\ &\quad - \sin(\frac{\pi}{4}(2p-1)(t+1))\sin(c_\delta(t+1)), \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c_\delta = \mathcal{O}(\delta)$ when $\delta \rightarrow 0$. By the mean value theorem applied to the cosine and sine functions we get

$$|\cos(E_p(t+1)) - \cos((2p-1)\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1))| \leq 2|c_\delta(t+1)| \leq 4|c_\delta|.$$

Hence, $\cos(E_p(t+1)) = \cos((2p-1)\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1)) + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$ with a uniform remainder. Similarly, one would get $\sin(E_p(t+1)) = \sin((2p-1)\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1)) + \mathcal{O}(\delta)$. Hence, from this and from (12) and (13) we get

$$\phi_{p,\delta}^+(t) = \phi_p^+(t) + \mathcal{O}(\delta),$$

with a uniform remainder and we obtain (6). □

3 Auxiliary quadratic forms

In this section we give a two-sided estimate of the quadratic form of the square of the operator $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)$.

Let us introduce the quadratic form associated with $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)^2$ defined as

$$a[u] := \|D_\Gamma(\varepsilon)u\|^2, \quad \text{dom}(a) := \text{dom}(D_\Gamma(\varepsilon)).$$

We have the following proposition

Proposition 3. There exists $\varepsilon' > 0$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon')$ there exists a unitary map $U : L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon, \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$ such that for all $u \in \text{dom}(a)$ we have

$$c^+[Uu] \leq a[u] \leq c^-[Uu],$$

where c^\pm are the quadratic forms given by

$$\begin{aligned} c^\pm[w] &:= (1 \pm C\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} |\partial_s w|^2 ds dt - \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} \frac{\kappa^2}{4} |w|^2 ds dt + (m^2 \pm C\varepsilon) \|w\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_t w|^2 dt + m\varepsilon(|w(s, 1)|^2 + |w(s, -1)|^2) \right) ds \right), \\ \text{dom}(c^\pm) &= U(\text{dom}(a)) = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2) : \forall s \in \mathbb{T}, \mp i\sigma_3 \sigma \cdot \nu(s)u(s, \pm 1) = u(s, \pm 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By adapting the proof of [17, Lemma 3.3, Prop. 3.5], for all $u \in \text{dom}(a)$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} a[u] &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla u|^2 dx + m^2 \|u\|^2 + \int_0^\ell \left(m + \frac{\kappa(s)}{2(1 + \varepsilon\kappa(s))} \right) |u(\Phi_\varepsilon(s, -1))|^2 (1 + \varepsilon\kappa(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^\ell \left(m - \frac{\kappa(s)}{2(1 - \varepsilon\kappa(s))} \right) |u(\Phi_\varepsilon(s, 1))|^2 (1 - \varepsilon\kappa(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the unitary map U_1 defined as follows

$$U_1 : L^2(\Omega_\varepsilon) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), g_\varepsilon(s, t) ds dt), \quad (U_1 u)(s, t) := u(\Phi_\varepsilon(s, t)).$$

where the metric g_ε is given by $g_\varepsilon(s, t) := \varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa(s))$. In particular, for all $v \in U_1(\text{dom}(a))$ there holds

$$\begin{aligned} b[v] &:= a[U_1^{-1}v] = \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} \left(\frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa(s))^2} |\partial_s v|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |\partial_t v|^2 \right) g_\varepsilon(s, t) ds dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\ell \left(\left(m + \frac{\kappa(s)}{2(1 + \varepsilon\kappa(s))} \right) |v(s, -1)|^2 g_\varepsilon(s, -1) \right) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\ell \left(\left(m - \frac{\kappa(s)}{2(1 - \varepsilon\kappa(s))} \right) |v(s, 1)|^2 g_\varepsilon(s, 1) \right) ds + m^2 \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} (|v|^2 g_\varepsilon(s, t)) ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the unitary map

$$U_2 : L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), g_\varepsilon(s, t) ds dt) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)), \quad (U_2 v)(s, t) := \sqrt{g_\varepsilon(s, t)} v.$$

For $w = \sqrt{g_\varepsilon}v$, there holds

$$(\partial_t v)(s, t) = \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_\varepsilon(s, t)}} w(s, t) \right) = \frac{\varepsilon^2 \kappa'(s)}{2g_\varepsilon(s, t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} w(s, t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_\varepsilon(s, t)}} \partial_t w(s, t)$$

and

$$(\partial_s v)(s, t) = \partial_s \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{g_\varepsilon(s, t)}} w(s, t) \right) = \frac{\varepsilon^2 t \kappa''(s)}{2g_\varepsilon(s, t)^{\frac{3}{2}}} w(s, t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_\varepsilon(s, t)}} \partial_s w(s, t).$$

In particular we get

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |\partial_t v|^2 g_\varepsilon(s, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |\partial_t w|^2 + \frac{\kappa^2}{4(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^2} |w|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)} \partial_t (|w|^2)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^2} |\partial_s v|^2 g_\varepsilon(s, t) = \frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^2} |\partial_s w|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon^2 t^2 (\kappa')^2}{4(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^4} |w|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon t \kappa'}{2(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^3} \partial_s (|w|^2).$$

Remark that an integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\kappa}{2\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)} \partial_t (|w|^2) dt &= - \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\kappa^2}{2(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^2} |w|^2 dt + \frac{\kappa}{2\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon \kappa)} |w(s, 1)|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{2\varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon \kappa)} |w(s, -1)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\varepsilon t \kappa'}{2(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^3} \partial_s (|w|^2) ds = -\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{t \kappa''}{2(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^3} |w|^2 ds - \varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{3t^2 (\kappa')^2}{2(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^4} |w|^2 ds.$$

Now, pick $w \in U_2(U_1 \text{dom}(a))$ and set $v = U_2^{-1}w$. In particular, remark that it yields

$$\begin{aligned} c[w] := b[U_2^{-1}w] &= \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} \left(\frac{1}{(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^2} |\partial_s w|^2 - \varepsilon \frac{t \kappa''}{2(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^3} |w|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \frac{5}{4} \frac{t^2 (\kappa')^2}{(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^4} |w|^2 \right) ds dt \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{4(1 - \varepsilon t \kappa)^2} |w|^2 \right) ds dt + \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |\partial_t w|^2 \right) ds dt \\ &\quad + \frac{m}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(|w(s, -1)|^2 + |w(s, 1)|^2 \right) ds + m^2 \|w\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using that κ, κ' and κ'' are in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, $|t| < 1$ and taking $U = U_2 U_1$ we get the proposition. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we will prove the main theorem by deriving an upper and a lower bound for the eigenvalues of the square of $\mathcal{D}_\Gamma(\varepsilon)$.

4.1 Upper bound

The aim of this paragraph is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$

$$\mu_j(a) \leq \frac{\lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \mu_j(q^{1D}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

In order to give the proof we need the following lemma

Lemma 1. Let $\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^\pm$ be the eigenfunctions of the transverse operator $\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon)$ given in (iii) of Proposition 2. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^\pm(t) &:= \partial_s \phi_1^\pm(t) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \\ \partial_s^2 \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^\pm(t) &:= \partial_s^2 \phi_1^\pm(t) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\partial_s \phi_1^+(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \kappa n \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\kappa n \end{pmatrix} \tag{15}$$

and

$$\partial_s^2 \phi_1^+(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \partial_s(\kappa n) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1)\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\partial_s(\kappa n) \end{pmatrix}$$

and for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, $\partial_s^j \phi_1^- = \sigma_1 \overline{\partial_s^j \phi_1^+}$ with $n = \nu_1 + i\nu_2$. Here, the remainder is understood in the L^∞ -norm.

Proof. After remarking that for all $t \in (-1, 1)$ and $j \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$\partial_s^j \Phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+(t) = \frac{1}{N_{1,m\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cos(E_1(m\varepsilon)(t+1)) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \partial_s^{j-1}(\kappa n) \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{N_{1,m\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sin(E_1(m\varepsilon)(t+1)) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\partial_s^{j-1}(\kappa n) \frac{E_1(m\varepsilon)}{\lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon)) + m\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$

from this point the proof of Lemma 1 goes along the same lines as the proof of Point (iii) Proposition 2. \square

Proof of Proposition 4. Let $f^\pm \in H^1(\mathbb{T})$ and consider the trial-function $u = f^+ \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + f^- \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-$. One notices that $u \in \text{dom}(c^+)$ and by definition of u there holds

$$c^+[u] = (1 + C\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} |\partial_s u|^2 ds dt - \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} \frac{\kappa^2}{4} |u|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 \|u\|^2 + C\varepsilon \|u\|^2.$$

We remark that thanks to the orthogonality of $\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+$ and $\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-$ in $L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$ we have

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} (|f^+|^2 + |f^-|^2) ds = \|f\|^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{4} |u|^2\right) ds dt = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\kappa^2}{4} |f|^2 ds,$$

where we have set $f = \begin{pmatrix} f^+ \\ f^- \end{pmatrix} \in H^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^2)$. Moreover, there holds

$$|\partial_s u|^2 = |(f^+)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + (f^-)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-|^2 + |f^+ \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+) + f^- \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-)|^2 + 2\Re\left(\langle (f^+)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + (f^-)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-, f^+ \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+) + f^- \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-) \rangle\right). \quad (16)$$

In particular, we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(|(f^+)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + (f^-)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-|^2 \right) dt = |f'|^2. \quad (17)$$

By Lemma 1 we have

$$|f^+ \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+) + f^- \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-)|^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \left(\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1)\right) - \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{4}(t+1)\right) \right)^2 |f|^2 + |f|^2 \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) + 2\Re(f^+ \overline{f^-}) \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(|f^+ \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+) + f^- \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-)|^2 \right) dt = \frac{\kappa^2}{4} \left(2 - \frac{4}{\pi} \right) |f|^2 + |f|^2 \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) + 2\Re(f^+ \overline{f^-}) \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon), \quad (18)$$

where all the remainder are uniform in the variable t . Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (f^+)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + (f^-)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-, f^+ \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+) + f^- \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-) \rangle &= (f^+)' \overline{f^+} \langle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle + (f^+)' \overline{f^-} \langle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \\ &\quad + (f^-)' \overline{f^+} \langle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle + (f^-)' \overline{f^-} \langle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

But remark that there holds

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(\langle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \right) dt = \frac{i\kappa}{4} \left(\frac{4}{\pi} - 2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

as well as

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(\langle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \right) dt = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

Using that $\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- = \overline{\sigma_1 \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+}$, we get that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(\langle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \right) dt = \frac{i\kappa}{4} \left(2 - \frac{4}{\pi} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^1 \left(\langle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \right) dt = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-1}^1 \langle (f^+)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + (f^-)' \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-, f^+ \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+) + f^- \partial_s(\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-) \rangle \\ &= (f^+)' \overline{f^+} \frac{i\kappa}{4} \left(\frac{4}{\pi} - 2 \right) + (f^-)' \overline{f^-} \frac{i\kappa}{4} \left(2 - \frac{4}{\pi} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \left((f^+)' \overline{f^+} + (f^+)' \overline{f^-} + (f^-)' \overline{f^+} + (f^-)' \overline{f^-} \right) \\ &= \left\langle f', \frac{i\kappa}{4} \left(2 - \frac{4}{\pi} \right) \sigma_3 f \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon) \left((f^+)' \overline{f^+} + (f^+)' \overline{f^-} + (f^-)' \overline{f^+} + (f^-)' \overline{f^-} \right). \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (17), (18) and the above equality in (16) we get, for some constant $c' > 0$

$$\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s u|^2 dt \leq |f' + i\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi})\sigma_3 f|^2 + \kappa^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)^2 |f|^2 + c'\varepsilon |f'|^2 + c'\varepsilon |f|^2. \quad (20)$$

Now, remark that

$$\begin{aligned} |f'|^2 &= |f' + i\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi})\sigma_3 f - i\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi})\sigma_3 f|^2 \\ &= |f' + i\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi})\sigma_3 f|^2 + \kappa^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)^2 |f|^2 - 2\Re\left(\langle f' + i\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi})\sigma_3 f, i\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi})\sigma_3 f \rangle\right) \\ &\leq |f' + i\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi})\sigma_3 f|^2 + c''|f|^2 + c''(|f' + i\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi})\sigma_3 f|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for some constant $c'' > 0$, where we have used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to control the last term and the elementary identity $ab \leq \frac{1}{2}a^2 + \frac{1}{2}b^2$ (for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$).

Taking into account (20) and (21) we finally obtain for some new constants $k, k' > 0$ that

$$c^+[u] \leq (1 + k\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(|f' + i\kappa(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi})\sigma_3 f|^2 - \frac{\kappa^2}{\pi^2} |f|^2 \right) ds + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 + k'\varepsilon \right) \|f\|^2.$$

Using the min-max principle one gets the expected result. \square

4.2 Lower bound

The goal of this paragraph is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$

$$\mu_j(a) \geq \frac{\lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \mu_j(q^{1D}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

In order to prove the above proposition we need the next lemma.

Lemma 2. Let Π_ε be the orthogonal projectors initially defined for $u \in L^2((-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$ by

$$\Pi_\varepsilon u = \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-, \quad \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp = Id - \Pi_\varepsilon.$$

Then, the map $[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon]u := \partial_s(\Pi_\varepsilon u) - \Pi_\varepsilon(\partial_s u)$ defined for $u \in C^1(\mathbb{T}, L^2(-1, 1))$ extends by density to a bounded operator from $H^q(\mathbb{T}, L^2(-1, 1))$ to $H^q(\mathbb{T}, L^2(-1, 1))$ still denoted $[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon]$ and whose norm remains uniformly bounded for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (for $q \in \{0, 1\}$). The same conclusion holds for $[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp] = -[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon]$.

Proof. The first part is proven by noticing that for $u \in C^1(\mathbb{T}, L^2(-1, 1))$ we have

$$[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon]u = \langle u, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \langle u, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- + \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon]u\| &\leq \|\langle u, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \| + \|\langle u, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \| + \|\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \| \\
&\quad + \|\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \| \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\langle u, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\langle u, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle|^2 \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+|^2 dt \right) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle|^2 \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-|^2 dt \right) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{-1}^1 |u|^2 dt \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+|^2 dt \right) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left(\int_{-1}^1 |u|^2 dt \right) \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-|^2 dt \right) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle|^2 \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+|^2 dt \right) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle|^2 \left(\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-|^2 dt \right) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 1 and the explicit expression of $\partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^\pm$ given in (15) we know that there exists $c, k > 0$ such that for all $s \in \mathbb{T}$ we have for ε sufficiently small

$$\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^\pm|^2 dt \leq (c + k\varepsilon)^2.$$

Here we have used that by hypothesis $\kappa \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. It yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon]u\| &\leq 2(c + k\varepsilon)\|u\| + (c + k\varepsilon) \left(\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle|^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
&\leq 4(c + k\varepsilon)\|u\|,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that the last two-terms in the first line are controlled by the norm of the orthogonal projectors on $\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^\pm$. Now, recall that γ is of class C^4 which implies that $\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^\pm \in C^3(\mathbb{T}, C^\infty(-1, 1))$ and $\partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^\pm \in C^2(\mathbb{T}, C^\infty(-1, 1))$. Taking this into account for all $u \in C^1(\mathbb{T}, L^2((-1, 1)))$ we have

$$\partial_s[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon]u = [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon]\partial_s u + \sum_{j=\pm} \left(\langle u, \partial_s^2 \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^j \rangle \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^j + \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^j \rangle \partial_s^2 \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^j + 2\langle u, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^j \rangle \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^j \right)$$

Proceeding as above and using once again Lemma 1, we get that for ε small enough there exists some constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\partial_s[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon]u\| \leq c(\|\partial_s u\| + \|u\|).$$

Hence, this extends by density to any function in $H^1(\mathbb{T}, L^2((-1, 1)))$ and the lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Note that for all $u \in \text{dom}(c^-)$ there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} |\partial_s u|^2 ds dt = \int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} |\partial_s(\Pi_\varepsilon u + \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u)|^2 ds dt = \|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 + \|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2 + 2\Re \left(\langle \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u, \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u \rangle \right).$$

Let us focus on the last term in the last equality. There holds

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u, \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u \rangle &= \langle \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^2 u, \partial_s (\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp)^2 u \rangle = \langle ([\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] + \Pi_\varepsilon \partial_s) \Pi_\varepsilon u, ([\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp] + \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s) \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u \rangle \\ &= \langle [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u, [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp] \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u \rangle + \langle [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u \rangle + \langle \Pi_\varepsilon \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u, [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp] \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u \rangle \\ &:= J_1 + J_2 + J_3.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we deal with each term appearing in the right-hand side of the last expression. Using Lemma 2, and the elementary inequality $ab \leq \frac{a^2}{2} + \frac{b^2}{2}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$|J_1| \leq \|[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u\| \|[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp] \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\| \leq \frac{c_1}{2} \varepsilon \|\Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 + \frac{c_1}{2\varepsilon} \|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2,$$

where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant. Regarding J_3 , similarly one gets that there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$|J_3| \leq \|\Pi_\varepsilon \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u\| \|[\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp] \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\| \leq c_2 \|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u\| \|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\| \leq \frac{c_2}{2} \varepsilon \|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 + \frac{c_2}{2\varepsilon} \|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2.$$

We are left with the investigation of the term J_2 . Note that there holds

$$J_2 = \langle \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u, \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u \rangle.$$

In particular, we get

$$\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u = \langle \Pi_\varepsilon u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \langle \Pi_\varepsilon u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \quad (23)$$

and we note that $\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u \in H^1(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$. In particular performing an integration by parts, one gets

$$\langle \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u, \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u \rangle = -\langle \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u \rangle,$$

as the boundary term vanishes. Now, using (23) we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u &= \langle \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \langle \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- + \langle \Pi_\varepsilon u, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \\ &\quad + \langle \Pi_\varepsilon u, \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- + \langle \Pi_\varepsilon u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \\ &\quad + \langle \Pi_\varepsilon u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp \partial_s \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-. \quad (24)\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2, there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$\|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp [\partial_s, \Pi_\varepsilon] \Pi_\varepsilon u\| \leq c_3 (\|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u\| + \|\Pi_\varepsilon u\|).$$

Hence, for J_2 , there holds

$$\begin{aligned}|J_2| &\leq c_3 (\|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u\| + \|\Pi_\varepsilon u\|) \|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\| \leq c_3 \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (\|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u\| + \|\Pi_\varepsilon u\|)^2 + c_3 \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2 \\ &\leq c_3 \varepsilon \|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 + c_3 \varepsilon \|\Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 + \frac{c_3}{2\varepsilon} \|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, collecting all the estimates, one gets for some $c_4 > 0$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1)} |\partial_s u|^2 ds dt \geq (1 - c_4 \varepsilon) \|\partial_s \Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 - c_4 \varepsilon \|\Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 - \frac{c_4}{\varepsilon} \|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c^-[u] &\geq (1-C\varepsilon)(1-c_4\varepsilon)\|\partial_s\Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 - (1-C\varepsilon)c_4\varepsilon\|\Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 - (1-C\varepsilon)\frac{c_4}{\varepsilon}\|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}\times(-1,1)} \frac{\kappa^2}{4}|\Pi_\varepsilon u|^2 ds dt \\
&\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}\times(-1,1)} \frac{\kappa^2}{4}|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u|^2 ds dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2\|\Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\lambda_2(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2\|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2 - C\varepsilon\|u\|^2 \\
&\geq (1-c_5\varepsilon)\|\partial_s\Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}\times(-1,1)} \frac{\kappa^2}{4}|\Pi_\varepsilon u|^2 ds dt + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - c_5\varepsilon\right)\|\Pi_\varepsilon u\|^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\lambda_2(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - \frac{c_5}{\varepsilon}\right)\|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c_5 > 0$. Here we have used that $\mu_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon)^2) = \lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2$, that $\mu_3(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon)^2) = \lambda_2(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2$ and that $\kappa \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Now we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s\Pi_\varepsilon u|^2 dt = \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s(\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle)\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \partial_s(\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle)\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- + \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle\partial_s\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle\partial_s\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-|^2 dt \\
&= \int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s(\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle)\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \partial_s(\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle)\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-|^2 dt + \int_{-1}^1 |\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle\partial_s\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle\partial_s\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-|^2 dt \\
&\quad + 2\Re\left(\int_{-1}^1 \langle \partial_s(\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle)\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \partial_s(\langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle)\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^-, \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle\partial_s\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^+ + \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle\partial_s\phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^- \rangle dt\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we set $f^\pm := \langle u, \phi_{1,m\varepsilon}^\pm \rangle$ and $f = \begin{pmatrix} f^+ \\ f^- \end{pmatrix}$. Remark that $|f|^2 = \int_{-1}^1 |\Pi_\varepsilon u|^2 dt$. Proceeding in the same way as for the obtention of Equations (18), (19), (20) and (21) one obtains the existence $c_6 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{-1}^1 |\partial_s\Pi_\varepsilon u|^2 dt \geq (1-c_6\varepsilon)|f'| + i\kappa\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)\sigma_3 f|^2 + \kappa^2\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi}\right)^2|f|^2 - c_6\varepsilon|f|^2.$$

Hence, there holds

$$c^-[u] \geq (1-c_7\varepsilon)q^{1D}[f] + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - c_7'\varepsilon\right)\|f\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\lambda_2(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - \frac{c_7}{\varepsilon}\right)\|\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u\|^2, \quad (25)$$

for some constants $c_7, c_7' > 0$.

Now we define the quadratic form Q with tensor product domain:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(f, v) &:= (1-c_7\varepsilon)q^{1D}[f] + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - c_7'\varepsilon\right)\|f\|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\lambda_2(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - \frac{c_7}{\varepsilon}\right)\|v\|^2, \\
\text{dom}(Q) &:= H^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^2) \times \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we give the quadratic form Q_1 acting on $\Pi_\varepsilon L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2) \times \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$ by

$Q(V_1 v_1, v_2) = Q_1(v_1, v_2)$, where V_1 is the unitary map given by

$$V_1 : \Pi_\varepsilon L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C}^2)$$

$$u \mapsto V_1 u = \begin{pmatrix} \langle u, \phi_{1, m\varepsilon}^+ \rangle \\ \langle u, \phi_{1, m\varepsilon}^- \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $u \in \text{dom}(c^-)$, by (25) we get

$$c^-[u] \geq Q(V_1 \Pi_\varepsilon u, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u) = Q_1(\Pi_\varepsilon u, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u).$$

The above inequality takes the form $c^-[u] \geq Q(Vu)$, where $Vu = (V_1 \Pi_\varepsilon u, \Pi_\varepsilon^\perp u)$. As V is unitary we get by the min-max principle that $\mu_j(c^-) \geq \mu_j(Q)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Remark that we have the representation $Q_1 = q_1 \oplus q_2$ where q_1 and q_2 are two quadratic forms acting on $\Pi_\varepsilon L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\Pi_\varepsilon^\perp L^2(\mathbb{T} \times (-1, 1), \mathbb{C}^2)$, respectively by

$$q_1[u] = (1 - c_7 \varepsilon) q^{1D}[V_1 u] + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - c'_7 \varepsilon \right) \|V_1 u\|^2$$

and

$$q_2[v] = \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \lambda_2(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - \frac{c_7}{\varepsilon} \right) \|v\|^2,$$

On the other hand since $\lambda_2(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 > \frac{9\pi^2}{16}$ by (ii) of Proposition 2, and $\lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 \leq \frac{\pi^2}{4} + (m\varepsilon)^2$ one gets that for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that for $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \lambda_2(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - \frac{c_7}{\varepsilon} \geq (1 - c_7 \varepsilon) \lambda_j(q^{1D}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2 - c'_7 \varepsilon.$$

This implies that

$$\mu_j(c^-) \geq \mu_j(q_1).$$

This concludes the proof of this proposition. \square

Finally, we are able to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof is obtained taking into account Propositions 4 and 5 and noting that because of the symmetry of the spectrum we have $E_j(\varepsilon) = \sqrt{\mu_{2j}(a)}$. Hence, there holds

$$E_j(\varepsilon)^2 = \frac{\lambda_1(\mathcal{T}_\nu(m\varepsilon))^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \mu_{2j}(q^{1D}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{16\varepsilon^2} + \frac{m}{\varepsilon} - \frac{4}{\pi^2} m^2 + m^2 + \mu_{2j}(q^{1D}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon).$$

Hence, there holds

$$E_j(\varepsilon) = \frac{\pi}{4\varepsilon} \sqrt{1 + \frac{16}{\pi^2} m\varepsilon - \frac{64}{\pi^4} m^2 \varepsilon^2 + \frac{16}{\pi^2} (m^2 + \mu_{2j}(q^{1D})) \varepsilon^2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{4\varepsilon} \left(1 + \frac{8}{\pi^2} m\varepsilon - \frac{64}{\pi^4} m^2 \varepsilon^2 + \frac{8}{\pi^2} (m^2 + \mu_{2j}(q^{1D})) \varepsilon^2 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$

$$= \frac{\pi}{4\varepsilon} + \frac{2}{\pi} m - \frac{16}{\pi^3} m^2 \varepsilon + \frac{2}{\pi} (m^2 + \mu_{2j}(q^{1D})) \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$

which proves Theorem 1. \square

References

- [1] A. H. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. M. R. Peres, K. S. Novoselov, and A. K. Geim, *The electronic properties of graphene*. Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 109 (2009).
- [2] Berry, M.V., Mondragon, R.J.: *Neutrino billiards: time-reversal symmetrybreaking without magnetic fields*. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A **412** (1842), 53–74 (1987).
- [3] C. Bär, W. Ballman: *Guide to Elliptic Boundary Value Problems for Dirac-Type Operators*. In W. Ballman et al. (Eds.): *Arbeitstagung Bonn 2013*. Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 319, Birkhäuser, 2016, pp. 43–80.
- [4] C. R. de Oliveira, *Quantum singular operator limits of thin Dirichlet tubes via Γ -convergence*, Rep. Math. Phys. **66** (2010), 375–406.
- [5] D. Krejčířík and H. Šediváková, *The effective Hamiltonian in curved quantum waveguides under mild regularity assumptions*, Rev. Math. Phys. **24** (2012), 1250018.
- [6] J. Lampart and S. Teufel, *The adiabatic limit of Schrödinger operators on fibre bundles*, Math. Anal. **367** (2017), 1647–1683.
- [7] J.-M. Barbaroux, H. D. Cornean, L. Le Treust, and E. Stockmeyer, *Resolvent convergence to Dirac operators on planar domains*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **20** (2019), 1877–1891.
- [8] J. Wachsuth and S. Teufel, *Effective Hamiltonians for constrained quantum systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **230** (2013), no. 1083.
- [9] L. Le Treust and T. Ourmières-Bonafos, *Self-adjointness of Dirac operators with infinite mass boundary conditions in sectors*, Ann. H. Poincaré **19** (2018), 1465–1487.
- [10] N. Arrizabalaga, L. Le Treust, and N. Raymond, *On the MIT bag model in the non-relativistic limit*, Comm. Math. Phys. **354** (2017), no. 2, 641–669. MR 3663620.
- [11] P. Duclos and P. Exner, *Curvature-induced bound states in quantum waveguides in two and three dimensions*, Rev. Math. Phys. **7** (1995), 73–102.
- [12] R. D. Benguria, S. Fournais, E. Stockmeyer, and H. Van Den Bosch, *Self-adjointness of two-dimensional Dirac operators on domains*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **18** (2017), 1371–1383.
- [13] C. L. Fefferman, M. Weinstein: *Honeycomb lattice potentials and Dirac points*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25**, 1169–1220, 2012.
- [14] S. Haag, J. Lampart, and S. Teufel, *Generalised quantum waveguides*, Ann. H. Poincaré **16** (2015), 2535–2568. ‘
- [15] Stockmeyer, E., Vugalter, S.: *Infinite mass boundary conditions for Dirac operators*. J. Spectr. Theory **9** (2019), no. 2, pp. 569–600.
- [16] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995, Reprint of the Corr. Print. of the 2nd ed. 1980.

- [17] V. Lotoreichik and T. Ourmières-Bonafos, *A sharp upper bound on the spectral gap for graphene quantum dots*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. (2019).
- [18] W. Borrelli, P. Briet, D. Krejčířík, T. Ourmières-Bonafos, *Spectral properties of relativistic quantum waveguides*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **23**, 4069–4114 (2022).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-022-01179-9>.