



## **Did Cro-Magnon 1 have neurofibromatosis type 2? – Authors’ reply**

Philippe Charlier, Nadia Benmoussa, Philippe Froesch, Isabelle Huynh-Charlier,  
Antoine Balzeau

### **► To cite this version:**

Philippe Charlier, Nadia Benmoussa, Philippe Froesch, Isabelle Huynh-Charlier, Antoine Balzeau. Did Cro-Magnon 1 have neurofibromatosis type 2? – Authors’ reply. *The Lancet*, 2018, 392 (10148), pp.633. <10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31546-0>. <hal-04016775>

**HAL Id: hal-04016775**

**<https://hal.science/hal-04016775v1>**

Submitted on 10 Mar 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

## Title

Did Cro-Magnon 1 have neurofibromatosis type 2? – Authors' reply

## Authors

Charlier P, Benmoussa N, Froesch P, Huynh-Charlier I, Balzeau A.

## Keywords

Retrospective diagnosis; phenotype; subclassifications; genetics.

## Text

We read with interest the letter of Ruggieri et al. regarding our retrospective diagnosis of the Cro Magnon I skull.<sup>1</sup> We are pleased that the diagnosis of neurofibromatosis is not in question, but its sub-classification and clinical presentation.

First, the ambiguity of any retrospective diagnosis on old skeletal elements is due to the fact that researchers are forced to base their conclusions on traces only (here: the negative of pathological processes having since disappeared).<sup>2</sup> In addition, the absence of DNA preserved in this individual prevents from any genetic verification of the diagnosis.

Second, the case cited by Ruggieri et al. is that of an Inca child's mummy from the 17<sup>th</sup> century, with perfectly preserved organic tissues, authorizing a diagnosis of multiple neurofibromas present in the soft tissues;<sup>3</sup> it cannot reasonably serve as a comparison case with the dry skull of a fossil man.

Third, our diagnostic proposal was mainly based on comparison with cases present in anatomical reference collections, and we stress that neurofibromatosis type 1 remains the preferred diagnosis for Cro Magnon I. In this type of disease, the patient's skin is covered with tumors of dermal origin (neurofibromas) which will progressively grow and erode the bone in depth: in the case of Cro Magnon I, these lesions are at the level of the right frontal bone, the root of the nose, the left brow bone, the maxillary bone, etc. As stated by Ruggieri et al, it is true that the acoustic neuroma (or schwannoma of the *nervus vestibularis*) is one of the major signs of neurofibromatosis type 2 (it is generally bilateral, or unilateral but associated with brain tumors such as meningioma, glioma, schwannoma, posterior subcapsular lenticular opacities... which is obviously not the case in Cro Magnon I). Therefore, unilateral erosion of the internal auditory canal is absolutely not pathognomonic of neurofibromatosis 2 disease. It has to be said that further micro-CT-scan analyses will take place to detect any sphenoid wing dysplasia on Cro Magnon I, despite severe post-mortem damages, an anomaly particularly related to neurofibromatosis type 1.<sup>4</sup>

Lastly, we insist on the fact that skin lesions in neurofibromatosis type 2 are very minor, and would not have left lesions as severe as those diagnosed on the face of this patient nearly 28,000 years old.<sup>5</sup>

## References

1. Charlier P, Benmoussa N, Froesch P, Huynh-Charlier I, Balzeau A. Did Cro-Magnon 1 have neurofibromatosis type 1? *Lancet* 2018;**391**:1259.
2. Charlier P, Froesch P, Balzeau A, Huynh-Charlier I. 3-dimensional brain surface reconstruction from dried skull: interest for retrospective diagnosis in forensic anthropology. *Am J Forensic Med Pathol.* 2014;**35**(4):283-4.
3. Panzer S, Wittig H, Zesch S, Rosendahl W, Blache S, Müller-Gerbl M, Hotz G. Evidence of neurofibromatosis type 1 in a multi-morbid Inca child mummy: A paleoradiological investigation using computed tomography. *PLoS ONE* 2017;**12**(4): e0175000.
4. Arrington DK, Danehy AR, Peleggi A, Proctor MR, Irons MB, Ullrich NJ. Calvarial defects and skeletal dysplasia in patients with neurofibromatosis type 1. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* 2013;**11**(4):410-6.
5. Plana-Pia A, Bielsa-Marsol I, Carrato-Monino C. Diagnostic and prognostic relevance of the cutaneous manifestations of neurofibromatosis type 2. *Actas Dermosifiliogr.* 2017;**108**(7):630-6.