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ABSTRACT

Gradient metal foam is an advanced heat transfer material that decreases resistance to bubble escape and enhances the transfer of boiling heat. In this study, boiling heat transfer and bubble behavior were studied in an experimental set-up with copper foam bilayers configurated either in positive or inverse gradients, utilizing deionized water as working fluid. Positive gradient refers to arranging metal foam layers with high pore density at the bottom, above the heat source, and low pore density on the top. Results show that the heat transfer is higher for gradient metal foam surfaces, of $6.14 \times 10^5$ W/m², versus a uniform metal foam surface, of $3.94 \times 10^5$ W/m². For the positive gradient configuration, boiling heat transfer performance first increased with the pore density, then decreased when the pore density was higher than 60 pores per inch (PPI). By contrast, for the inverse gradient, the heat transfer performance was nearly constant with increasing pore density. At the low pore density, the inverse gradient performed better than the positive gradient during the whole boiling process. At high pore density, the positive gradient structure performed better in heat transfer at the early boiling stage. Three main types of bubble escape were observed: For the positive gradient bilayer, the bubbles moved up or down without lateral interference. In contrast, for inverse gradient, the bubbles mostly escape from the sides, which is easy to induce bubble merging. The inverse gradient surface generates larger bubbles, while the positive gradient surface produces a higher frequency of bubble detachment. Accordingly, two liquid replenishment models are proposed: for the positive gradient, external liquid replenishes from the side into the copper foam, while for inverse gradient, the liquid is mainly replenished from the top.

Keywords: Gradient copper foam; Liquid replenishment; Bubble behavior; Heat transfer enhancement; Multiphase flow.

NOMENCLATURE

- $d$: metal foam pore size
- $h$: surface coefficient of heat transfer
- $H$: thickness
- $n$: layer numbers
- PPI: pores per inch
- $q$: heat flux
- $S$: total heat transfer area
- $\Delta T$: wall superheat
- $V$: volume of metal foam per layer
- $x$: distance from the thermocouple to block bottom (m)
- $\lambda$: thermal conductivity
- $\omega$: pore density
- $\varepsilon$: porosity
- $\Delta \omega$: pore density difference

1. INTRODUCTION

Since electronic devices are getting smaller and more complex on the microscale, high local temperatures are threatening the stability and performance of the devices. As a consequence, the efficient thermal control of electronic components has become a research hotspot. (He et al. 2021). For instance, boiling heat transfer is favored because it is possible to attain high heat flux without high superheating. Traditional ways to enhance boiling heat transfer include adjusting the operating pressure and temperature difference (Fan et al. 2021), roughening the heating surface (Kurhara and Myers 1960; Armin et al. 2021; Robert and
Gradient metal foam is usually formed by welding multiple layers of cell modules with different structural parameters. The gradient structure should provide an easier path for escaping bubbles, compared to metal foam of uniform pore density (Xu et al. 2015a). This was confirmed by the wettability study of An et al. (2021) who observed that heat transfer is increased with a bilayer of copper and nickel foams, versus single layers. Studying structural parameters and arrangement order of nickel-copper double layer metal foams, Xu et al. (2015b), and Xu and Qin (2018) found that heat transfer is highly controlled by the layer order. Zhang et al. (2018) observed that the nature of the fluid also controlled heat transfer in gradient metal foams, e.g. sodium dodecyl sulfate improves, whereas n-heptanol declines heat transfer. Mo et al. (2020) experimentally found that metal foams with radial pore density gradient produced bubbles at a higher rate during pool boiling, resulting in more intense heat transfer, compared with metal foams with uniform pore density. Ma et al. (2021) reported a similar observation and suggested that the radial pore density gradient could be adjusted for bubble separation and liquid replenishment to achieve higher boiling heat transfer rate. Zhou et al. (2018) studied bubble departure under different layers of metal foam, and found that bubble departure weakened with the increase of layers. Three modes for the separation of boiling bubbles have been proposed (Mao et al. 2015, Huang et al. 2018).

Overall, while gradients of metal foam layers are clearly more efficient than a single metal foam layer for heat transfer, the mechanisms and effects using gradients are unclear. For instance, there are few studies on the effect of the arrangement order of metal foam layers on heat transfer and bubble escape. Here we studied heat transfer and bubble escape in a monolayer of copper foam, as control, and bilayers of copper foam of different pore size arranged in positive or inverse gradients, using deionized water as working fluid.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND PROCEDURES

2.1 Experimental Setup

Figure 1 shows the system for monitoring the boiling heat exchange.

![Fig. 1. Experimental setup to study the heat transfer in metal foam layers. The camera is used to observe bubble escape from the metal foam.](image-url)
The heat supply system is made up of a heating copper block, an auxiliary heater, a regulator and a power meter. The size of the glass chamber (L×W×H) is 100 mm×100 mm×250 mm. The outer wall of the chamber was covered with four pieces of 10 mm thick plexiglass to reduce heat loss.

The bottom plate is made of teflon, and in its center, there is a small square hole with a side length of 21 mm, enabling the copper block to be placed exactly inside the square hole and the working fluid to be in direct contact with the copper surface. Six thermocouples are arranged to measure temperature. The thermocouple arrangement was shown in Fig. 2, the measuring point T₁ is 8 mm away from the copper surface, and then one monitoring point is arranged every 6 mm, a total of five monitoring points, namely T₁-T₅. T₆ is placed in a vitreous cavity to measure the temperature of the working fluid.

2.2 Materials and Procedures

Figure 3 shows the three copper foam structures. Figure 3a displays a metal foam monolayer of uniform pore density, as control. Figure 3b depicts a positive gradient made of a bilayer of copper foam, in which the high pore density is at the bottom, near the heating surface, and the low pore density is at the top. Figure 3c shows the inverse gradient metal foam, with a reversed arrangement, that is the low pore density is at the bottom and the high pore density is on the top. The pore density of the metal foam varies from 10 PPI to 90 PPI, the porosity is fixed at 0.96. The length and width of each layer are both 20 mm. The total thickness of the gradient metal foam is 10 mm, which consists of two pieces of 5 mm copper foam.

Before the pool boiling experiment, the heated copper block needs to be polished with sandpaper to make its surface as smooth as possible, so as to reduce the existence of gasification core and increase the accuracy of the experiment. The surface of the copper block is then cleaned with methanol, followed by welding. The solder is rolled to obtain a layer of approximately 0.1 mm thickness and placed on top of the copper block, and then the regulator is turned on to heat it so that the solder covers the entire top surface of the copper block. When the solder is fully melted, the heat is stopped and the metal foam is quickly placed on top of the heated copper block. At the same time, heavy weights are pressed on the metal foam to ensure a tight connection (Fig. 4). The thickness of the solder layer, of 0.1 mm, which is not the same order of magnitude as the size of metal foam. Therefore, its thermal resistance is usually ignored in previous studies. The experimental procedure is detailed in our previous study (Huang et al. 2023).
2.3 Experimental and Calculation Uncertainty Analysis

Figure 5 shows the change of temperature inside the heated copper block under four different heat flux. The four fitted straight lines are in good agreement with the experimental data, the correlation coefficient $R^2$ are all higher than 0.99. This demonstrates that the heat transfer inside the heated copper block is a one-dimensional heat conduction in the vertical direction.

The heat flux $q$ can be calculated using the following correlation:

$$ q = -\lambda \frac{dT}{dx} $$

$$ q = -\lambda \left( \frac{T_\text{w} - T_\text{s}}{L} + \frac{T_\text{w} - T_\text{s}}{2L} + \frac{T_\text{w} - T_\text{s}}{3L} + \frac{T_\text{w} - T_\text{s}}{4L} \right) / 4 $$

(1)

Where $\lambda$ is the thermal conductivity of the copper block, $L$ is the distance between two adjacent thermocouples.

Superheat degree $\Delta T$ calculation formula is as follows:

$$ \Delta T = T_\text{w} - T_\text{s} $$

(2)

Where $T_\text{w}$ is the temperature at the top of the heating copper block, $T_\text{s}$ is the temperature of the saturation temperature of the water.

According to the Newton cooling formula:

$$ h = q / \Delta T = q / (T_\text{w} - T_\text{s}) $$

(3)

According to the uniform metal foam model (Du et al., 2012), the total surface area of heat transfer of copper foam can be expressed as follows:

$$ S_{\text{total}} \approx \sum_{n=1}^{n} V_T \sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha + \pi^2}} (1 - \epsilon) / d_p $$

(4)

Where $n$ is the layer value of gradient metal foams, $V$ is the volume occupied by each layer of metal foam and $d_p$ is metal foam pore size. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$ V = 0.02 \times 0.02 \times H $$

$$ d_p = 0.0254 / \omega $$

(5)

(6)

Where $H$ is the metal foam thickness and $\omega$ is metal foam pore density.

Substituting Eq. (5) (6) into Eq. (4) to get $S_{\text{total}}$ of the gradient metal foams.

$$ S_{\text{total}} = \sum_{n=1}^{n} 0.02 \times 0.02 \times H \times \omega \times \sqrt{4(\pi + \pi^2)(1 - \epsilon)} / 0.0254 $$

(7)

The main error source of the heat flux and heat transfer coefficient came from the location of the monitoring point and its measurement method. The two relative errors are calculated in Eq. (8) and (9) (Moffat 1988, Sarangi et al. 2015):

$$ \frac{\Delta q}{q} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta L}{L}\right)^2} $$

(8)

$$ \frac{\Delta h}{h} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta T_\text{w}}{T_\text{w} - T_\text{s}}\right)^2} $$

(9)

After analysis, the error caused by calculation of $q$ and $T_\text{w}$ is less than 10%, which is reasonable. The temperature measurement of the thermocouple can be considered accurate, with an error of only 0.5% and within 1 K of the actual value. The error of $\lambda$ and $L$ is 3.1% and 3.3% respectively. 6.9% is the maximum uncertainty of heat flux.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Gradient Difference

We designed three bilayers of copper foams of different pore density, 10 (top)-90 (bottom), 40-60 and 50-50 PPI, of 80, 20 and 0 PPI of gradient difference, respectively (Eq. 7, Table 1), to study their heat transfer.

Results show that all copper foam surfaces display higher heat flux and heat transfer than the smooth copper surface used as control (Fig. 6). For example, when the superheat degree is 15 K, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$\omega$ (PPI)</th>
<th>H (mm)</th>
<th>$\epsilon$</th>
<th>$S_{\text{total}}$ (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Copper foam</td>
<td>10-90</td>
<td>5-5</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>0.011360957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Copper foam</td>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>5-5</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>0.011360957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Copper foam</td>
<td>50-50</td>
<td>5-5</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>0.011360957</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Metal foam structure parameters.
Fig. 6. Effect of different gradient differences on pool boiling heat transfer for the same heat transfer area. Gradient layers are arranged positively from the top layer toward the bottom layer, e.g. 10-90 refers to a two layer composite with a top layer of 10 PPI pore density and a bottom layer of 90 PPI pore density.

Heat flux for smooth surface is $2.68 \times 10^5$ W/m$^2$, while they are $3.94 \times 10^5$, $5.88 \times 10^5$ and $6.14 \times 10^5$ W/m$^2$ for 50-50, 10-90 and 40-60 PPI. In the initial boiling period, when the superheat is low and fewer bubbles escape, the replenishment rate of liquid is the main factor that determines the heat transfer of boiling. The metal foam bilayer with a step difference of 80 PPI (10-90 PPI) has the largest pore in the top layer of 10 PPI, which is conducive to liquid replenishment, so it shows higher performance. During boiling, however, the superheat increases and more bubbles escape. The number of nucleation points and bubble escape rate become the main factors controlling heat transfer. The bilayer with a step difference of 20 PPI (40-60 PPI) becomes the most effective. This is because the upper layer has a higher pore density of 40 PPI, and the total heat transfer area and bubble nucleation point are also higher, which is conducive to the development of pool boiling heat transfer. However, it was noted that although 50-50 PPI had a higher pore density, the performance was poor because the high pore density prevented the bubble from escaping and was not conducive to heat transfer.

Fig. 7. Effect of pore density on boiling heat transfer performance under three gradient metal foam. Gradient layers are arranged from the top layer toward the bottom layer, e.g. 10-30 refers to a two layer composite with a top layer of 10 PPI pore density and a bottom layer of 30 PPI pore density.

3.2 Effect of Pore Density

Figure 7 shows the effect of pore density on boiling heat transfer under three gradient metal foam. As shown in Fig. 7a, The results show that for uniform gradient, when the pore density is less than 60 PPI, the increase of pore density leads to the increase of bubble nucleation point and heat transfer surface area. Therefore, the boiling heat transfer performance of uniform metal foam is directly proportional to the pore density. Whereas, when the pore density exceeds 60 PPI, the effect of metal foam on enhancing the boiling process is greatly
Weakened due to the increase of bubble escape resistance. The 60-60 PPI uniform bilayer has certain advantages in the number of nucleation points, heat transfer area and bubble escape ability, so the performance is better.

While for bilayer positive gradient, as shown in Fig. 7b), the boiling heat transfer performance does not decrease with the increase of pore density. The 60-80 PPI bilayer depicting the highest performance, because the pore size of the upper layer is larger, the resistance of bubble escape is reduced, it is difficult to cause bubble blockage, and the liquid replenishment rate can be increased.

It is noted in Fig. 7c that the heat transfer performance of the inverse gradient bilayers of metal foam does not change significantly with the pore density, which indicates the number of bubble nucleation points and the heat transfer area are not influencing the heat transfer.

### 3.3 Comparison of Positive and Inverse Gradients

We compared the heat transfer performance of positive bilayer gradients, 10-30 PPI and 60-80 PPI, with their inverse counterparts, 30-10 PPI and 80-60 PPI, in Fig. 8. Results show that at low pore density the heat transfer of the inverse gradient 30-10 PPI is better than that of the positive gradient 10-30 PPI. For higher pore density of 60-80 PPI and 80-60 PPI, the positive gradient 60-80 PPI shows better heat transfer performance at the beginning of boiling. However, as the superheat increases, the boiling heat transfer with an inverse gradient of 80-60 PPI grows rapidly and exceeds the positive gradient performance at the middle and late stages of boiling.

### 3.4 Bubble Dynamics

Figure 9 shows the bubble dynamic behavior of metal foam with positive gradient and inverse gradient under different heat fluxes. Photos show that bubble escape is very different for positive versus inverse gradient of metal foam bilayers. Specifically, for the positive gradient, boiling bubbles are escaping vertically upward and downward. The corresponding force analysis and detachment form are explained in Fig. 10a. When the heat flux is low, the heat flow driving force $F_b$ is small, and the bubbles cannot timely displace the surrounding liquid, resulting in the expansion of the bubble volume and escape mainly by buoyancy $F_i$.

When the heat flux is high, both the bubble growth force $F_a$ and the heat flow driving force $F_b$ increase. Moreover, due to the larger pores in the upper metal foam, the bubble escape resistance $F_e$ is greater, which is conducive to the bubble escaping from the surface of the copper foam, which is also confirmed in Fig. 11b. Metal foam with a positive gradient (40-60 PPI) has a higher bubble departure frequency. Figure 11a shows that the bubble
Fig.10. Positive and inverse gradients metal foam bubble detachment form, $F_a$ is the bubble growth force, $F_b$ is the heat flow driving force, $F_i$ is the buoyancy force, $F_{cp}$ is the contact pressure of the copper foam skeleton, $F_s$ is the surface tension, and $F_\sigma$ is the bubble brought by the metal foam skeleton escape resistance.

The bubble diameter increases from about 5 to 7 mm with heat flux, then decrease to a plateau at about 6 mm, probably as a result of small bubbles still produced at high heat flux, resulting in an overall insignificant change in bubble size. At this time, the main factors that determine the boiling heat transfer performance of positive gradient metal foam are the number of bubble nucleation points and the total heat transfer area. Figure 8 illustrates this point. The metal foam with a structure of 60-80 PPI has smaller pores than that with a structure of 10-30 PPI, so it has more nucleation points and heat transfer area, and better heat transfer performance.

However, for the inverse gradient, most bubbles detach from the layer sides due to the small pore size of the upper layer (Fig. 10a). Only few small bubbles detach from the top at the late boiling stage (Fig. 10b). Here, bubbles forming inside the bottom layers cannot easily escape upward, as is demonstrated in Fig. 11b, and the inverse gradient structure (60-40 PPI) has a smaller bubble departure frequency. As a consequence, they merge together and the increasing gaseous pressure pushes bubbles horizontally to escape sideward. Once bubble escape the layers, they move upward due to buoyancy. Multiple bubbles tend to aggregate into one large bubble during escape, leading to re-absorption into the copper foam. Figure 11a shows that the bubble diameter appears to increase from about 6 to 8 mm, but these trends require further studies to be confirmed due the large fluctuations observed.

Fig. 11. Variation of the bubble departure diameter and bubble departure frequency in positive gradient and inverse gradient metal foams.
The main factor that determines the boiling heat transfer performance of inverse gradient metal foams is the liquid replenishment rate. This is confirmed in Fig. 8, when a large number of bubbles escape, the metal foam with a structure of 80-60 PPI has more liquid filling positions, but due to the high pore density, the bubble escape resistance increases, so the heat transfer performance is only slightly increased compared with the metal foam with 30-10 PPI.

3.5 Liquid Replenishment Model

The pool boiling process includes nucleation, bubble growth, bubble separation, rewetting and re-nucleation. The replenishment of external liquid is the premise for rewetting and re-nucleation of bubble nucleation, and is also an important factor affecting the heat transfer performance of pool boiling. Therefore, the amount and location of external liquid replenishment can directly affect the boiling heat transfer performance.

Figure 12 shows the liquid replenishment model under positive and inverse gradients. According to the analysis of the bubble detachment form in the previous section, when the gradient is positive, as shown in Fig.10a), the bubbles mainly detached from the top. As a consequence, it is difficult for the external liquid to replenish from the top into the copper foam layer; therefore, the liquid enters the copper foam side (hollow blue arrow in Fig. 12a).

On the contrary, the inverse gradient structure is difficult for boiling bubbles to escape from the top because the upper pores are smaller. As shown in Fig. 10b) and Fig. 10c), most bubbles detach from the copper foam side. As a result, the liquid is mostly replenished from the top, with a small amount entering from the side (blue hollow arrow in Fig. 12b). This greatly shortens the escape path. This implies that the inverse gradient bilayer has a faster replenishing rate, which is conducive to the enhancement of boiling heat transfer.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, the effect of copper foam with different gradient structures on boiling heat transfer performance was investigated by visualization experiments. The effect of pore density and gradient arrangement and the bubble escape forms and liquid replenishment models are discussed for positive gradient and inverse gradient metal foams. The following conclusions are drawn:

1. At the early stage of boiling, copper foam bilayers of larger gradient difference show a stronger boiling heat transfer performance. At middle and late boiling stages, layers of lower gradient difference display the best heat transfer performance. The boiling heat transfer performance of positive gradient increases with pore density, whereas boiling heat transfer performance of the inverse gradient is almost unaffected by pore density.

2. When the pore density is low, the boiling heat transfer performance of the inverse gradient bilayer is stronger than the positive gradient counterpart during the whole boiling process. Whereas, when the pore density is high, the positive gradient bilayer shows better heat transfer performance at the early boiling stage.

3. The bubble escape forms in positive and inverse gradients are quite different, and three different bubble detachment forms are observed. For the positive gradient bilayer, the bubbles move vertically up- and downward, without lateral interference. By contrast, for the inverse gradient, the bubbles mainly escape sideward, and the bubble longitudinal merging is common. The inverse gradient surface displays larger bubbles on average, while the positive gradient surface shows higher bubble detachment frequency.

4. Liquid replenishment models for positive gradient and inverse gradient were constructed. For positive gradients, external liquid replenishes from the side into the copper foam. For inverse gradient, the liquid is mainly replenished from the top.
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