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ABSTRACT: The surface functionalization of 2D transition metal carbides or nitrides, so-called MXenes, is one of the fundamental levers allowing to deeply modify their physicochemical properties. Beyond new approaches to control this pivotal parameter, the ability to unambiguously assess their surface chemistry is thus key to expand the application fields of this large class of 2D materials. Using a combination of experiments and state of the art density functional theory (DFT) calculations, we show that the NMR signal of the carbon - the element common to all MXenes carbides and corresponding MAX phase precursors - is extremely sensitive to the MXenes functionalization although carbon atoms are not directly bonded to the surface groups. The simulations include the orbital part to the NMR shielding and the contribution from the Knight Shift which is crucial to achieve good correlation with the experimental data, as demonstrated on a set of reference MXene precursors. Developing a theoretical protocol to straightforwardly simulate different surface chemistries, we show that the $^{13}$C NMR shift variations can be quantitatively related to different surface compositions and number of surface chemistry variants induced by the different etching agents. In addition, we propose that the etching agent not only affect the nature of the surface groups but also their spatial distribution. The direct correlation between surface chemistry and $^{13}$C NMR shift is further confirmed on the V$_2$CT$_n$, Mo$_2$CT$_n$ and Nb$_2$CT$_n$ MXenes.

- INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of graphene,1 2D materials have become a major interest in materials science, physics and chemistry because of their unique properties and countless potential applications. Among the large variety of 2D systems discovered since then, bidimensional transition metal carbides or nitrides – so-called MXenes where M denotes a transition metal and X is either C or N – occupy a very particular place for different reasons. First, MXenes exhibit metallic electrical conductivity which is quite unusual in the 2D world where one essentially finds semi-metals, semi-conductors or insulators. In addition, MXenes being obtained from the chemical exfoliation of the A-element (essentially from groups 13 and 14 of the periodic table) from the MAX phases,4 a family of more than 150 nanolaminated ternary carbides/nitrides or corresponding solid solutions,5 their chemistry is potentially extremely large (see fig. 1 for MAX phases structure). The M or X elements can thus be modified by changing the MAX phase precursor with major consequences in terms of properties like, e.g. the possibility to turn their metallic electrical behavior into semiconductor-like.6 Since the synthesis in 2011 of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$7 the first and most studied MXene to date,8 more than 40 different MXene compositions have been reported. In addition, depending on the MAX phase, the elementary thickness of the MXene layers can be changed from three to nine (M, X) atomic planes, making these materials a unique platform for the study of the structure/chemistry/properties correlation in 2D. Finally, depending on the etching process used to convert the MAX phase bulk material into 2D flakes, MXenes can demonstrate a pronounced hydrophilic character which enables their easy processing and make them behaving as 2D conductive clays.3 Because of this unique combination of properties, MXenes have been reported to exhibit outstanding characteristics for a very large range of applications covering energy storage,10 electromagnetic interference shielding,11 sensing,12 transparent conducting electrodes13 or membranes14 among many others.

Beyond the (M, X) composition and layer thickness, MXene properties are also largely influenced by their surface chemistry inherited from the exfoliation process. MXene chemical formula are then given by M$_n$X$_x$T$_y$, where the n index (varying from 1 to 4 – see figure 1) determines the layer thickness, and with the T surface functionalization...
groups being usually a mix of O, OH, F and/or Cl in the most common cases. These T-groups play a vital role in many respects: they govern the interactions between MXene layers in multilayer stacks, they are expected to play a major role in the interaction between the MXene sheets and different environments such as gases or other functional molecules/materials, which is key for the design of advanced composites. Directly bonded to the outer M elements, the d-electrons of which have a major contribution at the Fermi level, the T-groups have been consequently identified as key elements for deeply modifying the electronic structure and related properties of MXene layers with the possibility, for instance, to induce superconductivity or control their magnetic state. Given the huge possibilities offered by this lever in expanding the properties and application field of MXenes, lots of efforts have been devoted to the modification of MXenes surface chemistry, with the ultimate goal of controlling it. This can be done by playing with the exfoliation process which has a strong impact on the ratio between the different T-groups for a given MAX precursor, and can even promote single termination types. It can also be achieved through post-treatments like, e.g., thermal annealing or reaction in various atmospheres. In order to take full advantage of the possibilities offered by the surface chemistry of MXenes, other complementary alternatives must be developed.

As a direct consequence, the quantitative characterization of MXenes surface chemistry appears as a major, and still very challenging, issue. It has been tackled using different techniques like X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), transmission electron microscopy and associated spectroscopies, neutron scattering, or Raman spectroscopy among others. Generally speaking, the quantification of surface groups obtained for a priori similar MXenes can differ quite substantially depending on the used approach. There are many possible reasons for that, including differences in the etching/drying protocols or MAX phase precursors resulting in different surface chemistries, different probing volumes (e.g., quite large surfaces corresponding to the topmost atomic layers in XPS, nanometer scale areas in TEM), or difficulties in the interpretation of the collected data due to the presence of impurities or intercalated species between the layers. In this context, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy appears as a very powerful technique allowing to characterize complex, potentially disordered, materials at the molecular level over different time scales and with elemental selectivity. It proved to provide detailed structural information with extreme sensitivity to different kinds of structural defects for all types of materials including 2D ones and has thus also been used on MXenes. Like for many other materials, the interpretation of NMR spectra on MXenes often relies on the comparison with reference spectra to identify the contribution from impurities or interpret the observed NMR shifts. In this respect, and because of their very good electrical conductivity, the mechanisms involved in NMR shielding are rather complex, mixing orbital screening and Knight shifts in non-determinable proportions from the experimental point of view. NMR simulations based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations allow the computation of NMR shielding with nowadays the inclusion of Knight shifts an essential contribution for MXenes which has never been considered so far to the best of our knowledge. It is thus the purpose of the present study to show how the analysis of NMR shifts in MXenes can be achieved with these simulations, paving the way towards a more systematic use of NMR in the MXene community.

The NMR studies published to date on MXenes focused on different kinds of nuclei including those related to the surface groups (H, F), the transition metal when possible (e.g., Nb for Nb-based systems) and the carbon atoms. In addition, some studies also focused on the NMR signature of intercalated species (e.g., Li, Na, Si) in order to investigate intercalation mechanisms in MXene stacks. Focusing only on the spectra of the elements from the MXene structure, i.e. the M, X or T elements, the 13C has been shown to be sensitive to the MAX to MXene transformation and to the exfoliation process. As we will show, it carries a lot of information on the MXene surface chemistry provided that an unambiguous analysis can be performed. In addition, the 13C NMR spectra are generally simpler to interpret than those of the T-groups, the other elements common to most MXenes, which generally exhibit multiple contributions ascribed to side products of the exfoliation process or intercalated species. The present study thus focuses on the quantitative analysis of the 13C NMR signature as a general probe of the MXene surface chemistry with high sensitivity. By comparing state-of-the-art DFT simulations including the Knight shift contribution for the calculation of the NMR shielding, with experiments on different MAX phases (as a first group of reference materials) and then MXenes, we show that the 13C NMR shifts are directly related to the nature of surface groups in MXenes. In addition, because of its high sensitivity and its ability to probe large volumes as compared to other techniques like, e.g., XPS or TEM, a large-scale analysis of the sample can be obtained and different composition variants can be identified and quantified. Finally, we show that the 13C NMR shielding mechanisms are very different from one MXene to another, as well as for the corresponding MAX phases, so that DFT simulations like those provided in the present study offer major contributions to the NMR spectra analysis in this large class of 2D materials.

**METHODS SECTION**

MAX phases and MXene synthesis are described in supporting information, part S1.

**DFT simulations: structural models.** All MAX phases were described in the P63/mmc space group considering unit cell parameters fixed to their experimental values and relaxed atomic positions. MXenes were described as single layers considering around 18 Å vacuum between the layers. For all the investigated MXenes, atomic positions were relaxed keeping the in-plane unit cell parameters fixed to their experimental values (the c parameter value was imposed to get the previously mentioned 18 Å vacuum between the layers), as described later in the text. The structures of model systems with a single type of surface termination, corresponding to a Mo2-xX2 chemical formula, were described in the P63/mmc space group too. When considering more complicated surface chemistries, i.e. mixed surface groups, the unit cell symmetry had to be reduced.

---

**REFERENCES**

Depending on the nature of $M$, the most stable site on the MXenes surface for the $T$ groups may change. There are two typical configurations corresponding to an FCC and an HCP site, as well as an experimentally reported Bridge position,\cite{25,53} as depicted in figure 1-b for Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_2$. The most stable site, according to our DFT simulations, was considered for each MXene with FCC positions for Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_2$, V$_2$CT$_2$ and Nb$_2$CT$_2$ and an HCP position for Mo$_2$CT$_2$. In all cases, except for the pristine systems corresponding to $M_{n+1}X_nT_2$ compositions, the MXene layers were described considering fully functionalized surfaces as usually done in simulations\cite{55,56} and in agreement with many XPS quantifications\cite{23}. The structural models corresponding to the Ti$_3$C$_2$O$_2$ system with different surface groups positions (FCC, HCP or bridge), as well as those corresponding to the other $M_{n+1}X_n$ ideal systems are represented in SI part S2. Some of the models corresponding to mixed surface terminations (FCC, HCP or bridge) are given in SI, part S9. One should notice that the Mo$_2$Ga$_2$C structure is different from those of the MAX phases with a double A element layer between the octahedral layers. Structural models were drawn with VESTA software.\cite{54}

**Figure 1.** Crystallographic structures of MAX phases with $n = 1$ [a], 2 [b], 3 [c] octahedra layers (highlighted in grey) between the A elements layers (in blue). The octahedra layers forming the skeleton of the corresponding MXene are circled in grey. The $M$ element is represented as red spheres and the $X$ element as black ones. In [b] the three different sites considered for the $T$ groups on MXenes’ surface are given: FCC (green), HCP (purple) and Bridge (cyan). In order to ease their identification, only one surface group is sketched in these structural models but all calculations were performed on fully functionalized surfaces, i.e. corresponding to $M_{n+1}X_nT_2$ compositions (with $T = -O, -OH, -F$ or $-Cl$) – see SI, part S2. One should notice that the Mo$_2$Ga$_2$C structure is different from those of the MAX phases with a double A element layer between the octahedral layers. Structural models were drawn with VESTA software.\cite{54}
Table 1. Calculated orbital $\sigma_{\text{orb}}$, spin $\sigma_{\text{spin}}$, and isotropic $\sigma_{\text{iso}}$, shieldings compared to the measured NMR shifts $\delta_{\text{exp}}$ for the set of eleven $^{13}$C data obtained on our data set. The error on our experimental data, i.e. the spectra recorded on Ti$_2$AlC, Ti$_2$AlC$_2$, Mo$_2$TiAlC$_2$, Mo$_2$Ti$_2$AlC$_3$, and Mo$_2$Ga$_2$C, is estimated to be $\pm$0.1 ppm. This value is mainly due to the dispersion of the data recorded on different batches of the same material. The spin contribution includes both the contact, $\sigma_c$, and dipolar, $\sigma_{\text{dip}}$, terms. The deduced isotropic NMR shifts - $\delta_{\text{iso}}$ - obtained from equation 5 are given in the sixth column. In this last column, the absolute value of the relative error with respect to the experimental data ($|\text{err}| = |\delta_{\text{iso}} - \delta_{\text{exp}}|/\delta_{\text{exp}}$) is also given in brackets for each compound. The average value calculated from these data gives a 15% relative error, which will be considered as the uncertainty of our simulations (the average absolute error on this data set is 42 ppm). For the spin and orbital components, the dependence of the shielding on the “smearing” in ppm/mRy, and computed as $(\sigma(T_1) - \sigma(T_2))/(T_1 - T_2)$ with $T_1 = 8$ mRy and $T_2 = 4$ mRy, is given in square brackets. The small values obtained show the good convergence of the calculations with respect to the smearing parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{orb}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{spin}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{iso}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{exp}}$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{iso}}$ (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TiC</td>
<td>-371 [10]</td>
<td>-5 [1]</td>
<td>-376</td>
<td>527$^{40}$</td>
<td>523 (0.8 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti$_2$AlC</td>
<td>-428 [0]</td>
<td>116 [4]</td>
<td>-312</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>465 (10.7 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti$_2$AlC$_2$</td>
<td>-464 [0]</td>
<td>106 [1]</td>
<td>-358</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>506 (8.3 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo$_2$TiAlC$_2$</td>
<td>-208 [-1]</td>
<td>57 [-11]</td>
<td>-151</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>319 (18.2 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo$_2$TiAlC$_3$ (inner)</td>
<td>-234 [0]</td>
<td>-22 [-3]</td>
<td>-256</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>414 (17.3 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo$_2$TiAlC$_3$ (outer)</td>
<td>-237 [0]</td>
<td>26 [2]</td>
<td>-211</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>373 (18.5 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo$_2$Ga$_2$C</td>
<td>-202 [0]</td>
<td>142 [2]</td>
<td>-61</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>238 (35.2 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V$_2$AlC</td>
<td>-310 [0]</td>
<td>342 [-5]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>208$^{39}$</td>
<td>154 (26 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb$_2$AlC</td>
<td>-223 [0]</td>
<td>99 [-4]</td>
<td>-124</td>
<td>293$^{42}$</td>
<td>295 (0.6 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb$_2$AlC$_3$ (inner)</td>
<td>18 [-1]</td>
<td>-45 [0]</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>238$^{42}$</td>
<td>207 (13 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb$_2$AlC$_3$ (outer)</td>
<td>-190 [0]</td>
<td>79 [2]</td>
<td>-111</td>
<td>324$^{42}$</td>
<td>283 (12.6 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where the induced orbital current $j_{\text{ind}}(r)$ is obtained in a linear response approach.$^{44,45,61}$ The spin contribution is computed using the magnetic hyperfine field$^{42}$ which has two contributions, the Fermi contact term - $B_c$ - and the spin-dipolar contribution - $B_{\text{dip}}$. These two quantities are given as follows:

$$B_c = \frac{8\pi}{3} m_{av}$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 3)

$$B_{\text{dip}} = \int \frac{S(r)}{r^3} [3(\mathbf{m}(r) \mathbf{F})^2 - \mathbf{m}(r)] d^3r$$  \hspace{1cm} (eq. 4)

with $m_{av}$ the average spin density over a region near the nucleus (within the Thomson radius from the nucleus), and $S(r)$ the reciprocal of the relativistic mass enhancement. The spin contribution to the shielding is then: $\sigma_s = -B_c/B_0 - B_{\text{dip}}/B_0$.

These quantities were calculated using the all-electron, full potential augmented-plane-wave (APW) method as implemented in the WIEN2k code.$^{57,58}$ In most calculations, exchange and correlation effects were treated in the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) using the Perdew Burk Ernzerhof functional.$^{63}$ Calculations presented in the paper were performed in a scalar relativistic framework. Calculations in a fully relativistic framework, as well as considering an onsite exact exchange approximation, were also performed on Mo$_2$Ga$_2$C, Mo$_2$TiAlC$_2$, V$_2$AlC and Ti$_2$C$_2$O$_2$, without significant changes in terms of electronic structure (see Si – parts S5 and S6). For all calculations the plane wave basis set was defined using a RK$_{\text{max}}$ value of 7.5, except for –(OH) terminated MXenes where it was reduced to 3.5 due to shortest O-H bonds. The muffin-tin radii associated with the different elements of the materials under consideration were obtained from the automated routine provided with WIEN2k.

The orbital and spin components of the induced magnetic field are very sensitive to the details of the Fermi surface, and so require a very dense sampling of the Brillouin zone (BZ). In MAX phases we used a Monkhorst-Pack$^{64}$ $k$-point grid of around $60 \times 60 \times z$, with $6 < z < 12$ depending on the lattice parameter, in the first BZ for all systems. In MXenes, considering their intrinsic 2D nature, we used a $k$-point grid of around $60 \times 60 \times 1$. A ‘smearing parameter’ of 8 mRy was used to ensure consistency and converged calculations. The convergence of the NMR shielding as a function the number...
spurious signals in our spectra as observed in the two days to remove water molecules. Boron nitride (chempur 98.5+) was dried in an oven at 200° C for 2 h to decrease the samples overall conductivity. Boron nitride issues, our samples were diluted in boron nitride in order to decrease the sample’s conductivity. Magic angle spinning (MAS) is difficult. To limit conductivity issues, our samples were diluted in boron nitride in order to decrease the sample’s conductivity.

The mixture was then ground in a mortar until it appeared homogeneous and used to fill a Bruker 4 mm ZrO2 rotor. The sample was then weighed into a rotor. The 13C NMR experimental shifts δiso can be calculated as

$$\delta_{iso} = 183.11 - 0.90 \sigma_{iso} \quad (R^2 = 0.86)$$

with 18 rotor periods per echo, and 16k transients were recorded in total. Each echo signal was stored in a separate FID in a 2D experiment, and Fourier transform of the full echoes were performed in the direct dimension, using a 1000 Hz Gaussian line broadening function. All the full echo spectra were then summed together to obtain the 13C spectrum. A tetramethylsilane (TMS) solution was used as a reference for the 13C chemical shift. The data was analyzed using the TopSpin software (TopSpin 4.1.4). Finally, the DMfit program was used for peak fitting.66

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**MAX phases: from the theoretical shielding σiso to the experimental NMR shift δexp.** Experimentally, the NMR shift is determined with respect to a reference. In order to compare simulations with experiments in the case of MXenes, one has thus to obtain the correlation law between the calculated isotropic shielding σiso and the experimental isotropic NMR shifts δexp. To do so the linear relationship converting the calculated shielding σiso to a calculated NMR shifts δiso, directly comparable to the experimental NMR shifts δexp, should be determined.66-68 Such a linear relationship can be obtained considering a set of reference materials. In our case, we have naturally chosen Al-based MAX phases and Mo2Ga2C as reference materials, together with TiC, in order to next discuss and analyze the 13C in MXenes. In addition to being the precursors for MXenes, MAX phases and Mo2Ga2C are expected to have well-defined crystallographic structures and chemistries as compared to MXenes, where the surface chemistry and side-products inherited from the exfoliation process complicate the structure in a given multilayer stack. A dataset of 13C NMR experimental shifts was thus built combining data from the literature obtained on V2AlC, Nb2AlC, Nb3AlC2, Ti3AlC2 and TiC with our measurements performed on Ti2AlC and Mo-based MAX phases - namely Mo2Ta2C3, Mo2Ti2AlC3 and Mo2Ga2C (the corresponding spectra are given in SI – part S7). This set of data allows considering MAX phases with different transition metals and n indexes (see figure 1). In addition, one can distinguish between two carbon crystallographic sites, so-called inner and outer in figure 1-c, in a given structure for the Mo2Ta2C3 and Nb3AlC2 413 MAX phases. Overall, this gives eleven experimental points to be compared with the corresponding simulations.

The comparison between the calculated isotropic shielding σiso and the experimental isotropic NMR shifts δiso are given in the fourth and fifth columns of table 1 and plotted in figure 2, evidencing the expected linear correlation between these two quantities. The linear least square fit obtained from that data set gives the following relation:

$$\delta_{iso} = 183.11 - 0.90 \sigma_{iso} \quad (eq. 5)$$

with an R² of 0.86 showing a rather good correlation. The value of the slope in this relation, i.e. -0.90, is close to the ideal value of -1 and is satisfactory for GGA-PBE calculations. Using equation 5, it is now possible to obtain the theoretical δiso for each material and compare it to the δexp as done in the sixth column of table 1. In this column are also

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>δexp (ppm)</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>δiso (ppm)</th>
<th>δexp-δiso (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti2AlC</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb2AlC</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb3AlC2</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti3AlC2</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiC</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo2Ta2C3</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo2Ti2AlC3</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo2Ga2C</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>183.11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2. Correlation between the calculated shielding σiso in the reference materials (Ti2AlC, Ti3AlC2, Mo2Ti2AlC3, Mo2Ta2C3, Nb2AlC, Nb3AlC2 and TiC) and the experimental NMR shift δexp.**
given in brackets the absolute values of the relative errors between the \( \delta_{\text{exp}} \) and \( \delta_{\text{iso}} \) for each compound. The mean value calculated from this set of relative errors is 15%, which will be taken as an estimate of the uncertainty of our simulations. This value, although a little higher, is similar to that reported by Khoo et al.\(^\text{4}^\) for the Al and Sc NMR shielding in Heusler phases using the same approach.

Before analyzing the \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR shielding in MXenes, we propose a short discussion on the shielding mechanism in our reference materials. As expected from their intrinsic metallic character, the NMR shielding is a combination of both the orbital and spin components. These two contributions are of opposite sign for almost all compounds, but TiC and the inner carbon crystallographic site in Mo\(_2\)TiAlC\(_2\) as can be seen in the two first columns of table 1 and summarized in figure 3. The relative contribution of these two components to the isotropic shielding \( \delta_{\text{iso}} \) changes significantly from one phase to another but some general trends can be observed. \( \sigma_{\text{orb}} \) is generally larger than \( \sigma_{\text{spin}} \) although the spin part has a very significant contribution for almost all MAX phases studied here, pointing out the absolute necessity to take this latter contribution into account to reproduce experimental data. For V\(_2\)AlC, it is even greater than the orbital part. In addition, as detailed in SI (table S8), the spin contribution is usually dominated by the contact term, the dipolar term being generally smaller. Moreover, one can observe that the spin contribution generally has a diamagnetic behavior (positive value) whereas the orbital part has a paramagnetic contribution (negative value). Finally, it is to be noted that the orbital part of the shielding is rather different from one phase to another evidencing the complexity of these materials and the role played by the chemical composition and the structure (i.e. the value of \( n \)) on the carbon electronic structure in MAX phases.

Having a closer look at the data in table 1, one can notice that for two particular compounds, i.e. Mo\(_2\)Ga\(_2\)C and V\(_2\)AlC, the calculated NMR shifts are far from the measured values with relative errors of 35.2% and 26%, respectively. For both cases, fully relativistic and onsite exact exchange calculations were conducted in order to test possible improvements of the simulations. No significant changes in terms of electronic structure were obtained (see SI – parts S5 and S6). Looking for another possible explanation, one can notice that in these systems \( \sigma_{\text{spin}} \) has a very high contribution to the NMR shift. Given that this contribution comes from conduction electrons, one can reasonably assume that any deviation from the ideal stoichiometry we have considered will modify the valence filling and thus the density of states at the Fermi level leading to a significant change in \( \delta_{\text{exp}} \). The chemical composition of the Mo\(_2\)Ga\(_2\)C powders was thus investigated using Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy. The obtained chemical composition, normalized to two Mo, is Mo\(_{19}\)Ga\(_{38}\)C\(_{63}\) evidencing important sub-stoichiometries on both the Ga and C sites (the quantification of the carbon content should be considered with care). This could be an explanation for the large difference between experiments and calculations. Concerning the V\(_2\)AlC data which were taken from the literature\(^\text{5}^\), we could not find any chemical composition in the paper. However sub-stoichiometries on the Al or C sites could also be envisaged as an explanation for the strong deviation between the experimental and theoretical values. The effect would be particularly important for V\(_2\)AlC since the DOS has a peak at the Fermi level, as shown in SI - part S5, which make the corresponding calculation very sensitive to chemical composition changes.\(^\text{47}^\) Finally, one can observe that the shift differences calculated between the two inequivalent carbon atoms in the 413 structures (so-called inner and outer in figure 1-c) are in very good agreement with those obtained experimentally.

Given the good agreement obtained between the calculated NMR shifts \( \delta_{\text{iso}} \) and the measured experimental NMR shifts \( \delta_{\text{exp}} \) in MAX phases, the established linear relation will now be used to determine \( \delta_{\text{iso}} \) from the \( \delta_{\text{exp}} \) in MXenes in order to analyze the role of the functionalization on the \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR spectra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Calculated (^{13}\text{C}) NMR shielding for the three surface group sites, FFC, HCP and Bridge on Ti(_3)C(_2)O(_2)</th>
<th>( \sigma_{\text{orb}} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_{\text{spin}} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_{\text{iso}} )</th>
<th>( \delta_{\text{iso}} ) (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti(_3)C(_2)O(_2) (FCC)</td>
<td>-376</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-422</td>
<td>564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti(_3)C(_2)O(_2) (HCP)</td>
<td>-335</td>
<td>-45</td>
<td>-381</td>
<td>526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti(_3)C(_2)O(_2) (Bridge)</td>
<td>-387</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-388</td>
<td>533</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
well-defined materials and the corresponding determined linear relationship, we proceed with the comparative study of NMR experimental spectra and calculated shielding in MXenes. We compare experimental and calculated NMR shieldings in Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$. Nb$_x$CT$_x$, V$_x$CT$_x$, Mo$_y$CT$_x$, MXenes, to give a quite general picture for the MXene family.

**Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$: the benchmark MXene.** We first focus on the Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ benchmark material to determine the main structural parameters affecting the simulation of the $^{13}$C NMR shifts and find relevant approaches for their description. We will mainly focus on two important aspects: 1) the importance of the surface site for a given termination $T$, and 2) the influence of a mixture of different surface terminations $T$ and $T’$. Considering the first point, and as mentioned before, there are typically three different sites to be considered for the surface terminations on Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ (see figure 1-b and SI – part S2), i.e. directly on top of the transition metal atoms in the center of the sheet (FCC), on top of the sublayer X element (HCP) and finally the Bridge site. We therefore analyzed the influence of the surface group positions on the calculated $^{13}$C NMR shielding to determine the effects of the different surface group sites on peak displacements.

Table 2 reports the calculated isotropic value of NMR shielding for three different types of -O termination positions of in the Ti$_3$C$_2$O$_x$:MXene. The orbital and spin contributions are given also, as well as the corresponding NMR shifts $\delta_{iso}$ deduced from equation 5. Only small differences are observed between these three positions, with at most 52 ppm on the orbital component and 45 ppm on the spin component, which results in a 38 ppm maximum difference on the calculated NMR shift $\delta_{iso}$. This difference represents a relative error of around 7% with respect to the calculated $\delta_{iso}$ values, which is lower than the 15% estimated uncertainty determined on our correlation curve from MAX phases and discussed in table 1. In addition, the 38 ppm difference is very similar to the intrinsic width of the $^{13}$C NMR peaks recorded on Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ (see figure 5 discussed later and SI – part S10). We will therefore consider, as a first approximation, that the positions of the surface groups on the MXenes sheet do not greatly affect the calculated NMR shifts. Experimentally, and considering the intrinsic width of the NMR peaks as discussed later, the different termination sites should therefore not generate new peaks in the NMR spectra, but may instead lead to a slight broadening of the spectral signatures. The NMR shifts will then be calculated considering only the most stable position for Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$, the FCC one in the present case.

A second important point when describing MXene surfaces is to evaluate the influence of a mixture of terminations. Considering that in model Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ compounds with T = O, -F, -(OH) or -Cl terminations, the the theoretical NMR shifts $\delta_{iso}$ range from 564, 315, 339 and 334 ppm, respectively (see table 3), the effect of a mixed arrangement on the surface was investigated considering a mixture of -O and -F terminations as their calculated NMR shifts are the further apart (in addition, NMR shifts corresponding to -F, -(OH) or -Cl groups are very close). $^{13}$C NMR shifts $\delta_{iso}$ were thus calculated in solid solutions, changing the -O to -F ratio onto the surfaces with the following target compositions: Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_{1-x}$O$_x$:0.5, Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_{1-x}$O$_{1.5}$, Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_{0.5}$O$_{1.5}$. Since NMR is a probe of the local order at a very short range, the NMR shifts are discussed according to the composition of the nearest surface from the investigated carbon atom (see the structural models given in fig. 4 and SI part S9). As an example, the purple rectangle in fig. 4 shows a model compound having an average Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_{1-x}$O$_x$ composition, with two distinct signals for the two C atoms depending of their proximity to either a Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_{1-x}$O$_{1.5}$ surface or a Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_{1-x}$O$_{1.5}$. As evidenced in fig. 4, the calculated NMR shifts spread from the range of fully -F terminated surface to fully -O terminated surface in a monotonous way, with an approximately linear evolution. Focusing on the models corresponding to Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_{1-x}$O$_x$, surfaces (orange rectangle in fig. 4), one can see that the $^{13}$C shift is not only sensitive to

### Table 3. $^{13}$C $\delta_{iso}$ values obtained for different surface functionalizations in the Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ system (last column). The decomposition into the orbital and spin contributions is given together with the corresponding $\delta_{iso}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$\sigma_{orb}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{spin}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{iso}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{iso}$ (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti$_3$C$_2$O$_x$ (FCC)</td>
<td>-376</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-422</td>
<td>564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_2$ (FCC)</td>
<td>-289</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>-147</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti$_3$C$_2$(OH)$_2$ (FCC)</td>
<td>-288</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>-173</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti$_3$C$_2$Cl$_2$ (FCC)</td>
<td>-288</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>-167</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti$_3$C$_2$</td>
<td>-410</td>
<td>-253</td>
<td>-663</td>
<td>781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.** Calculated $^{13}$C NMR shifts $\delta_{iso}$ in different Ti$_3$C$_2$:F$_x$ (0 < x < 2) for the carbon atoms in different structures and represented as a function of the composition of the nearest surface from the investigated carbon atom. In the structural models, the F (0) atoms are displayed as green (blue) spheres. For more detailed visualization of the structures corresponding to the Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_{0.5}$ and Ti$_3$C$_2$(OH)$_2$: see S1 – part S9.
the composition of the closest surface but, for a given composition, it is also dependent on the atomic distribution of the first neighboring T-groups. This explains the drift regarding the linearity. Therefore, in the case of closely mixed -O and -F terminations homogeneously distributed on the MXene surface, we expect the $^{13}$C NMR signal to be a single peak, the position of which would depend on the average O/F ratio. From the calculation point of view, we will consider in a first approximation that the effect of the mixing of surface terminations on the $^{13}$C NMR shift can be evaluated using the weighted average, based on the chemical composition, of the NMR shift values obtained from the model compounds (i.e. corresponding to a Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ composition). A theoretical justification of this approximation is obtained by calculating the difference between the average of the NMR shifts obtained from the solid solution for each surface composition given in figure 4 (i.e. 25%F/75%O; 50%F/50%O and 25%F/75%O), and the corresponding value deduced from the model compounds (e.g. 0.25×Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_2$ + 0.75×Ti$_3$C$_2$O$_2$ for a Ti$_3$C$_2$F$_{0.5}$O$_{1.5}$ composition). A mean error of 13% is obtained which is similar to the average error expected from our correlation curve.

In order to validate our calculation protocol, comparisons were performed with the NMR shifts obtained experimentally for Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ etched with the HF or LiF/HCl exfoliation processes (see SI part S1 for the synthesis protocols) presented in figure 5 a) and b), respectively. These spectra are in very good agreement with those reported by Hope et al. The different contributions in these spectra, identified through the fitting of the experimental data using a minimum set of three gaussian functions in each case – labelled lines 1 to 3 –, are given at the bottom of the figure for each case. The global fit, which matches very well the experimental data, is superimposed to the experimental spectrum in the middle.

Figure 5. $^{13}$C NMR spectra (red lines – top curves) recorded on Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ samples etched with (a) HF and (b) LiF/HCl (see SI – part S1 for the synthesis protocols). The corresponding decomposition in terms of three gaussian functions in each case is given at the bottom and the global model (blue line) is superimposed to the experimental spectrum in the middle.
per Ti$_3$C$_2$ formula was considered, as usually reported in the literature:

$$y = \frac{2x_{\text{exp}}^2 + 3x_{\text{exp}}^2}{564 + 327} \quad (\text{eq. 6})$$

This gives oxygen contents of about 0.7 and 1.2 for the two regions, the highest oxygen content corresponding to the higher $^{13}$C NMR shift. Beyond displaying an overall surface chemistry consistent with XPS quantifications as confirmed by the position of the main contribution of the $^{13}$C signal, the HF-prepared MXene sheets thus also exhibit other oxygen enriched regions, the proportion of which can be estimated from the areas of the corresponding NMR peaks and correspond to 13 and 12 % of the global intensity for lines 2 and 3, respectively (for such estimates, the negligible effect of the T$_2$ relaxation time on the different contributions identified here has been checked, see SI part S11). These HF-prepared MXene layers exhibit non-uniform functionalization with oxygen-enriched regions, which is consistent with the fact that such a harsh environment favors the formation of defective layers leading to different local surface chemistries. In this regard, being able to identify the proportion of "damaged" MXene layers from NMR experiments is of great importance for the rationalization of MXene properties regarding the etching process.

Focusing now on the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum collected on the LiF/HCl sample, given in figure 5 b, it appears to be significantly different from that of the HF sample. One can clearly distinguish three peaks at 552, 520 and 398 ppm. Hope et al. attributed the peaks at 552 and 520 ppm to Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ and TiC impurities, respectively. Given that this MXene sample was synthesized from exactly the same batch of MAX phase powder as used for the HF samples, these results show that the MAX phase exfoliation is probably less effective using that etching agent. Compared to the HF sample, the MXene contribution appears at higher shift (i.e. 398 ppm vs 376 ppm) which is fully consistent with the higher oxygen content expected with this exfoliation protocol. In addition, one can clearly notice that this contribution is fitted by a single component which, according to our previous analysis, reflects a much more homogeneous distribution of the surface groups on this MXene as compared to the HF sample. This is consistent with the fact the LiF/HCl method leads to much less defective MXene layers as compared to the HF exfoliation process and thus to much more homogeneous environment. Taking the XPS composition for these MXene layers, i.e. Ti$_3$C$_{1-x}$O$_x$([Al$_{1-x}$Ti$_x$])$_{23}$OH$_{3.17}$F$_{0.75}$,$^{23}$ we get a theoretical $^{13}$C NMR shift of around 443 ppm which is higher than the 398 ppm experimental value, but is still satisfactory considering our uncertainty. However, it is very interesting to notice that the 443 ppm value is very close to the average between the 520 and 398 ppm experimental peaks. Given the very similar broadening of lines 1 and 2 (see SI – part S10), which is significantly larger than that corresponding to the well-crystallized Ti$_3$AlC$_2$ phase, and the fact that the average value between the 520 and 398 ppm shifts (i.e. 459 ppm) is very close to NMR shift deduced from the XPS composition of the flakes, one could also attribute this broad 520 ppm peak to another MXene contribution, and not to TiC as proposed previously. Such an interpretation would underline two kinds of segregated regions in terms of surface functionalization, with partially F-rich or O-rich regions. Using the same approach as for the HF sample one obtains O contents of 1.7 for line 2 (with a relative amount of 24 % of the global intensity) and 0.6 for line 1 (with a relative amount of 64 %). The negligible effect of the T$_2$ relaxation time on these signals has again been checked (see SI part S11). Such a hypothesis, which will have to be confirmed by other characterizations, would give new perspectives on the role of the exfoliation process on the MXene surface by highlighting an effect on the average content of the different T-groups, but also on their spatial distribution.

Given the possibility that it offers in probing large volumes, $^{13}$C NMR thus appears as a very interesting tool allowing to extend the analysis of the surface chemistry of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ MXene sheets beyond what is usually obtained with other local probes techniques such as XPS or TEM-based spectroscopies, which intrinsically probe smaller volumes. In addition, given its very high sensitivity to local environment changes around the C atoms, $^{13}$C NMR shifts also give access to important characteristics of the Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ sheets such as the number of composition variants, their relative amount and dispersion in terms of structural disorder. Considering the crucial role played by oxygen groups on Ti-based MXenes (e.g., possible band gap opening or improvement on energy storage capacity), such information is key to the rationalization and optimization of their properties in many application fields. The information provided at the $^{13}$C NMR signal can also be correlated to the density of defects in the MXenes layers, providing a rather complete description of the layer’s functionalization.

**Extension to other MXenes.** Following the results obtained on the benchmark Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ MXene, we end by proposing an analysis of the sensitivity of the $^{13}$C NMR shift on their

---

**Figure 6.** Correlation between the calculated (y-axis) and experimental (x-axis) $^{13}$C NMR shifts in different MXenes synthesized using the HF exfoliation process: Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ (red), Nb$_2$CT$_2$ (green), V$_2$CT$_2$ (blue) and Mo$_2$CT$_2$ (orange). Dashed lines represent the uncertainty of our theoretical model, i.e. ±15%. MXenes’ NMR experimental and calculated shifts are plotted for different surface terminations: no termination (square), -O (circle), -F (triangle up), -(OH) (diamond) and -T$_x$ average theoretical calculated NMR shifts deduced from the XPS quantifications of references 23, 28 and 69 (star).
functionalization for other systems investigated in the literature, i.e. \( VCT \), \( NbCT \), and \( MoCT \), and having different M elements. A quantitative analysis like that provided for \( TiCT \) is however more difficult to elaborate since the compositions of the different MXenes are generally not given in the corresponding references excepted for \( VCT \) where Harris et al. proposed \( VCT(OH) \). We will therefore first focus this discussion on the computational aspect. With that respect, it is important to notice that we here stick to the GGA level for our DFT simulations: this approximation is expected to be rather good for \( NbCT \) and \( MoCO \) but could not give the right ground state for \( VCT \). The results obtained for the \( ^{13}C \) NMR shifts for four different terminations, i.e. \( '=0 \), \( -OH \), \( -F \) and pristine surfaces, are summarized in figure 6. The NMR shifts for \( -Cl \) surface terminations were not investigated as all these materials were synthesized using the HF approach, avoiding the presence of chlorine as terminal groups. Figure 6 plots the calculated NMR shifts, based on equation 5, versus the experimental \( \delta_{\text{exp}} \) NMR shifts in the studied MXenes. Colors stand for a given MXene: \( TiCT \) (red), \( NbCT \) (green), \( VCT \) (blue) and \( MoCT \) (orange) and the symbols differentiate the type of surface terminations: \( -O \) (circle), \( -F \) (triangle up), \( -OH \) (diamond) and bare MXenes (square). The dashed lines represent the 15% uncertainty estimated for our simulations. The corresponding numerical data are given in table S12 in the supporting information. The data discussed previously on the HF \( TiCT \) sample are also given.

One first notices from these calculations that the pristine surfaces generally induce a very significant \( ^{13}C \) shift to higher values compared of the functionalized surfaces. This points \( ^{13}C \) NMR as a very sensitive probe for surface vacancies. Another important conclusion that can be drawn from these calculations is that the surface functionalization on the MXene sheet does not induce the same shift of the \( ^{13}C \) NMR signal. For example, for the \( NbCT \) MXene, the different surface groups give almost the same value for the \( ^{13}C \) shift, ranging from 259 ppm for \( NbCT \) to 288 ppm for \( NbCO(OH) \). This is in striking difference with \( TiCT \) systems for instance. For this particular case and disregarding potential defects in the MXene layer due to, e.g. the exfoliation step, the \( ^{13}C \) NMR signal is thus expected to be mainly influenced by the amount of vacancies on the MXene surface. Considering the \( MoCT \) system, functional groups do affect the NMR chemical shift with \( -OH \) groups giving the lowest NMR shift (~37 ppm) and oxygen-terminated layers, the largest one (~211 ppm). It is noticeable from table S12 that these changes are mainly due to the spin component of the shielding, pointing out the crucial role of the terminations on the electron population at the Fermi level.

Although no surface chemistry is available for the \( NbCT \) and \( MoCT \) MXenes investigated by NMR, one can think of taking compositions determined in other studies to compare our calculations with the experimental data. For the present purpose and to be consistent with the approach developed for \( TiCT \) we used the XPS quantifications of Halim et al. for the \( NbCT \) and \( MoCT \) systems, both being performed on concentrated HF-exfoliated MXenes like the samples investigated by NMR. We however expect such an approach to have a rather limited applicability since MXenes surface chemistry and quality is known to critically depend on the MAX phase precursors as well as on the details of the etching process (e.g. duration of exfoliation, temperature, delamination conditions, drying step) which usually differ from one study to another. Additionally, for \( NbCT \), two XPS compositions were proposed by Halim et al. for \( NbCO(OH)_{3}F_{0.6} \) and \( NbCO(OH)_{2}F_{0.4} \). Assuming that the \( OH \) and \( O_{ads} \) contributions can be both described by the \( NbC(OH)_{2}F \) system and adding a 2x contribution of the pristine surface when \( x < 2 \), we predict the following NMR shifts for these two compositions: 276 ppm and 438 ppm (green star in fig.6), respectively. Compared to the experimental value, i.e. 430 ppm, the composition obtained by XPS after sputtering lead to a quite remarkable agreement. Similarly, the XPS composition of reference 69 by Halim et al. for the Mo-based system, i.e. \( MoC(OH)_{3}OH_{0.4}F_{0.6} \), leads to a theoretical NMR shift of 177 ppm (orange star in fig.6), which is very close to the experimental value reported by Deevas et al., 175 ppm. Although such good agreements were quite unexpected given the limitations mentioned before, it gives strong support to the calculations presented in this study. Our calculated values for \( NbCT \) and \( MoCT \) can thus probably be used to analyze the \( ^{13}C \) NMR shift in these materials provided the adequate characterization of their surface chemistry is performed. This highlights the potentially large appeal of the here-proposed method, based on the comparison between experiments and simulations of the \( ^{13}C \) NMR shift, for the rationalization of the surface functionalization of MXene sheets.

**CONCLUSIONS**

As a summary, a comparative study of experimental \( ^{13}C \) NMR shifts and corresponding simulated shielding from first-principles calculations in MAX phases and MXenes has been provided. Calculations were performed considering both the orbital and spin contributions to the magnetic shielding, a complete scheme which proved to be essential to fully describe the investigated materials. A methodology for the interpretation of the calculated magnetic shielding was developed considering a set of eleven reference materials, including MAX phases, \( MoO_{2}Ga_{2}C \) and \( TiC \), with different structures and chemical compositions. The importance of considering the spin contribution to the shielding (Knight Shift) in the total magnetic shielding is clearly emphasized as it may be the dominant component for some compositions and varies significantly from one MAX phase to another, all MAX phases being metallic. Based on the analysis performed on MAX phases, a linear relationship allowing to directly connect the isotropic calculated \( ^{13}C \) NMR shielding \( \delta_{\text{iso}} \) to the experimental value \( \delta_{\text{exp}} \) has been obtained and was shown to give very good results.

The developed methodology has then been used to assess the role of the surface functionalization on the \( ^{13}C \) NMR spectra in the \( TiCT \), \( NbCT \), \( VCT \), \( MoCT \) and MXenes. Using \( TiCT \) as a benchmark MXene, we assessed the influence of different structural parameters related to the surface groups (e.g. their position or their mixing on the MXene surface) on the \( ^{13}C \) NMR shifts. We could thus propose a very simple approach allowing to take these parameters into account based on the weighted average of NMR shifts calculated in model systems. Based on this methodology, we show that the \( ^{13}C \) NMR shifts can be related to the surface
chemistry of the Ti$_2$C$_7$T$_x$ MXene sheets in a quantitative way and, beyond that, allows to identify and quantify different composition variants in a single batch which is hardly possible with other more local techniques. In addition, we propose that the choice of the etching agent may not only influence the nature of the surface groups but their spatial distribution onto the surface too. Our theoretical proposal has been extended to three other MXenes showing very diverse effects of the surface groups on the calculated $^{13}$C NMR shifts and overall good agreement with experimental data from the literature.

As a conclusion, we show that first-principles calculations of the NMR shielding in MXenes can be used as a predictive tool and quantitative support for the interpretation of $^{13}$C NMR spectra in this very large class of 2D materials. This signature offers direct information on the nature of the surface termination in Ti$_2$C$_7$T$_x$ (and most probably on other MXenes), as well as their spatial distribution and number of compositional variants. Given the crucial role played by the functionalization on their properties and the numerous opportunities provided by NMR to dynamically probe materials behavior under operating conditions, combining DFT simulations with NMR experiments - especially for $^{13}$C - opens large opportunities to better characterize and understand MXene materials for future applications.
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