

Investigating the role of evaporation in dew formation under different climates using 17O-excess

Chao Tian, Wenzhe Jiao, Daniel Beysens, Kudzai Farai Kaseke,

Marie-Gabrielle Medici, Fadong Li, Lixin Wang

▶ To cite this version:

Chao Tian, Wenzhe Jiao, Daniel Beysens, Kudzai Farai Kaseke, Marie-Gabrielle Medici, et al.. Investigating the role of evaporation in dew formation under different climates using 17O-excess. Journal of Hydrology, 2021, 592, pp.125847. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125847 . hal-04005207

HAL Id: hal-04005207 https://hal.science/hal-04005207

Submitted on 19 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Investigating the role of evaporation in dew formation under different
2	climates using ¹⁷ O-excess
3	
4 5 6	Chao Tian ^{a,b} , Wenzhe Jiao ^b , Daniel Beysens ^{c,d} , Kudzai Farai Kaseke ^{b,e} , Marie-Gabrielle Medici ^f , Fadong Li ^{a,g} , Lixin Wang ^{b*}
7	^a Key Laboratory of Ecosystem Network Observation and Modeling, Institute of Geographic Sciences and
8	Natural Resources Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China
9	^b Department of Earth Sciences, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI), IN 46202,
10	USA
11	^c Physique et Mécanique des Milieux Hétérogènes, CNRS, ESPCI, PSL Research University, Sorbonne
12	Université, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 75005 Paris, France
13	^d OPUR, 2 rue Verderet, 75016 Paris, France
14	^e Earth Research Institute, University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA
15	^f LPMC, Université de Nice, CNRS-UMR 7336, 06108 Nice Cedex 2, France
16	^g University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
17	
18	
19	
20	*Corresponding author
21	Lixin Wang
22	Department of Earth Sciences
23	Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI)
24	Indianapolis, Indiana 46202, USA
25	Office phone number: 317-274-7764
26	Email: lxwang@iupui.edu
27	

28 Abstract

With increasing aridity in many regions, dew is likely to play an increasingly important role in 29 the ecohydrological processes in many ecosystems, especially in arid and semiarid regions. Few 30 31 studies investigated the role of evaporation during dew formation and how it varies under different climate settings. ¹⁷O-excess, as a new tracer, could be used to extract information of 32 evaporation dynamics from natural water samples (e.g., precipitation, river, and lake). Therefore, 33 to fill the knowledge gap in evaporation mechanisms during dew formation, we report the 34 isotopic variation (δ^2 H, δ^{18} O, δ^{17} O, and 17 O-excess) of dew and precipitation from three distinct 35 climatic regions (i.e., Gobabeb in the central Namib Desert, Nice in France with Mediterranean 36 climate, and Indianapolis in the central United States with humid continental climate). We 37 examined whether dew formed in different climate settings was affected by different degree of 38 evaporation using observed isotopic values and evaporation models during the formation 39 processes, and modeled the effects of key meteorological variables (i.e., temperature and relative 40 humidity) on ¹⁷O-excess variations. The results showed that dew in Gobabeb experienced kinetic 41 fractionation associated with evaporation under non-steady state conditions during dew 42 formation with enriched δ^{18} O and low ¹⁷O-excess values. Dew formations with temperatures 43 over 14.7°C in Indianapolis were also influenced by evaporation under non-steady state 44 conditions. However, dew formation in Nice did not experience significant evaporation. 45 Evaporation processes (equilibrium or kinetic fractionation) occurring during nights with heavy 46 dew under three climate settings were mainly related to the variation of atmosphere relative 47 humidity. The ¹⁷O-excess tracer provides a new method to distinguish the different evaporation 48 processes (equilibrium or kinetic fractionation) during dew formation and our result provides an 49 50 improved understanding of dew formation.

51 Keywords: drylands; ecohydrology; equilibrium fractionation; kinetic fractionation; stable
52 isotopes

53

54 1. Introduction

Dew is the condensation of water vapor into liquid droplets on a substrate when the 55 56 substrate surface temperature drops below the dew point (Beysens, 2018; Monteith and Unsworth, 2013). It usually occurs at night or in early morning when reduced input of shortwave 57 radiation results in a negative net radiation balance at the substrate. Dew occurs in most climate 58 zones. It is an important source of moisture for epiphytes and lichens with special physical 59 features absorbing atmospheric moisture (Gerlein-Safdi et al., 2018). Dew can reach and even 60 exceed annual rainfall and serve as a sustainable and stable water source to maintain plant and 61 small animal survival in arid and semiarid environments (Kidron et al., 2011; Tomaszkiewicz et 62 al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017), especially during periods of drought. Dew could even be the only 63 64 water source in a continental semiarid grassland (Aguirre-Gutiérrez et al., 2019). It is also viewed as a small but important part of the water balance in humid areas (Ritter et al., 2019; 65 Tuller and Chilton, 1973). Dew significantly increased soil water potential such as in Namib 66 Desert (Wang et al., 2019). It can be directly absorbed by plant roots from soil, and can reduce 67 the evaporation loss of soil moisture to mediate water status in water-stressed plants (Aguirre-68 Gutiérrez et al., 2019; Munné-Bosch and Alegre, 1999). As a water source, dew can also be 69 directly absorbed through leaves, and then alter leaf-level energy balance, reduce transpiration 70 rate, and improve photosynthesis (Grammatikopoulos and Manetas, 1994; Guo et al., 2016; Rao 71 72 et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2019).

Air temperature and relative humidity (RH), the environmental determinants of dew 73 deposition, are expected to change rapidly with climate change, and may affect the frequency 74 and amount of dew deposition (Cook et al., 2014; Nepstad et al., 2008; Tomaszkiewicz et al., 75 2016; Vuollekoski et al., 2015). Previous studies showed that nocturnal temperatures increase 76 with climate change, implying a lower RH and lower dew amounts in the future (Donat and 77 78 Alexander, 2012; Martín et al., 2012). In a continental-scale study, it is found that the frequency 79 of dew formation at night in the grasslands is between 15% and 95% during the study period and dew formation has a strong linear relationship with nocturnal RH (Ritter et al., 2019). Generally, 80 81 when dew forms, the RH of ambient air should be high enough (>70%), and the substrate surface temperature should drop below the dew point due to radiative cooling (Lekouch et al., 2010). 82 However, recent study showed that dew may form at lower RH as long as vapor saturation occur 83 at the air-substrate interface (Kidron and Starinsky, 2019). For instance, a study in the semi-arid 84 region of Loess Plateau of China indicated that dew can form when RH is around 30% (Wang 85 and Zhang, 2011). Therefore, RH controls on dew formation may differ among climate regions. 86 Most previous research does not consider evaporation during dew formation because it occurs 87 during night or in early morning and evaporation is considered minimum. As a result, the role of 88 89 evaporation during dew formation is not well understood. However, evaporation during dew formation has been observed in the past. For instance, evaporation during dew formation is 90 observed during 2:00 to 4:00 am in the Loess Plateau of China leading to a decreasing dew 91 92 amount (Wang and Zhang, 2011). It is also observed in Linze inland river basin (Fang and Ding, 2005). The knowledge gaps in dew evaporation during formation hinder our understanding of 93 dew formation mechanisms and an accurate prediction of dew formation changes under future 94 95 climates. Although the dew amount collected (traditional method) at sequential times at night or

96 in early morning can be used to indicate evaporation process, continuous dew recording is97 logistically challenging and difficult to implement due to intensive labor requirement.

Stable isotopes of traditional hydrogen and oxygen ($\delta^2 H$ and $\delta^{18}O$) are natural tracers to 98 diagnose changes in different hydrometeorological processes undergoing equilibrium and kinetic 99 fractionation during water phase change (Crawford et al., 2013; Cui et al., 2020; Soderberg et al., 100 2012; Zhao et al., 2012). The equilibrium fractionation is determined by the saturation vapor 101 pressure. The kinetic fractionation is attributed to different diffusivities of different isotopes. 102 Generally, dew is one type of liquid condensation, supposedly dominated by equilibrium 103 104 fractionation. To the best of our knowledge, there is no effort examining the two fractionation processes (equilibrium and kinetic fractionation) associated with evaporation during dew 105 formation. Condensation can be considered as the inverse of evaporation, with similar 106 107 fractionation mechanisms between vapor and liquid. As such, isotope studies on dew condensation mechanism can be used to better understand the two fractionation processes 108 associated with evaporation during dew formation process. For instance, the δ^{18} O values in 109 surface dew in Brazil consistently tracked atmospheric vapor δ^{18} O values, which is generally 110 regarded as the Rayleigh equilibrium fractionation process (Zhang et al., 2009). Wen et al. (2012) 111 point out that the effect of equilibrium fractionation on the $\delta^2 H$ and $\delta^{18} O$ of dew is greater than 112 that of the kinetic fractionation although humidity deviated from the saturation conditions by up 113 to 120% on the leaf surface in a cropland and a grassland in China. Deshpande et al. (2013) 114 recognize that dew could involve a certain degree of kinetic fractionation in super-saturated 115 environments at a coastal village of India. These dew formation studies, based on $\delta^2 H$ and $\delta^{18} O$. 116 can distinguish equilibrium and kinetic fractionation processes. However, these studies are either 117 118 based on the assumption of equilibrium fractionation during condensation (Zhang et al., 2009) or

119 require measuring atmospheric water vapor isotopes and dew isotopes simultaneously120 (Deshpande et al., 2013; Wen et al., 2012).

Recent advance in spectroscopy have now enabled to obtain high-precision measurements 121 of δ^{17} O with low natural abundance. A new hydrological tracer ¹⁷O-excess became available to 122 provide additional constraints on the mechanisms of water phase changes (Barkan and Luz, 123 2007). The major advantage of ¹⁷O-excess over the conventional isotopes is its sole RH 124 dependence between 10°C to 45°C (Barkan and Luz, 2005; Cao and Liu, 2011), which is 125 confirmed by field observations (Landais et al., 2010; Li et al., 2017; Uechi and Uemura, 2019; 126 Winkler et al., 2012). Recent studies also show that the relationship between δ'^{18} O and δ'^{17} O can 127 128 be used to better reveal tap water and precipitation formation mechanisms (Tian et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2019), differentiate synoptic drought and local drought (Kaseke et al., 2018), and 129 130 distinguish fog and dew (Kaseke et al., 2017).

According to the conceptual evaporation model, ¹⁷O-excess and the relationships between 131 different isotopic parameters (e.g., δ'^{18} O vs. δ'^{17} O; 17 O-excess vs. δ'^{18} O (or d-excess)) can be 132 133 used to infer whether water is affected by equilibrium fractionation or kinetic fractionation associated with evaporation under steady state or non-steady state (Barkan and Luz, 2005; 134 Barkan and Luz, 2007; Criss, 1999). The evaporation model under steady state condition was 135 based on traditional Rayleigh fractionation model. Rayleigh distillation assumes that water vapor 136 evaporates in isotopic equilibrium condition with no additional sources or vapor recycling 137 138 processes (e.g., evaporative recharge or atmospheric transport characteristics) (Fiorella et al., 2019; Winnick et al., 2014). However, most natural evaporation under non-steady state condition 139 depends on external atmospheric vapor. Therefore, the significant difference of boundary 140 141 conditions between steady-state and non-steady state models is the existence of atmospheric water vapor, resulting in differently shaped evaporation trajectories (Li et al., 2015). The
relationships between different isotopic parameters have been used to estimate precipitation
evaporation processes in Africa and in the central U.S. (Landais et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2018).
The relationships have also been used to analyze evaporation loss of natural water bodies in the
Sistan Oasis, Iran (Surma et al., 2015), in central Atacama Desert, Chile (Surma et al., 2018),
and in western U.S. (Passey and Ji, 2019). Overall, ¹⁷O-excess and the relationships between
different isotopic parameters are effective to explore the detailed evaporation processes.

Dew research has been largely confined to arid and semiarid environments (Beysens, 2018; 149 Tomaszkiewicz et al., 2015; Uclés et al., 2015). Therefore, a large knowledge gap exists to study 150 dew variability among different climatic regions (e.g., arid and humid regions in the inland and 151 near ocean) especially for evaporation. It is important to understand the environmental factors 152 153 influencing dew formation in different climate regions and this will better inform us how these factors will affect dew formation under climate change. Here, we investigate dew and 154 precipitation isotopic variations to explore the evaporation mechanisms of dew formation in 155 156 three different climate settings including Gobabeb Research and Training Center (hereafter Gobabeb) in the central Namib Desert with desert climate, Nice in France with Mediterranean 157 climate, and Indianapolis in the central United States with humid continental climate. We used 158 ¹⁷O-excess and the relationships between δ'^{18} O and δ'^{17} O as well as between ¹⁷O-excess and 159 δ'^{18} O (or d-excess) to characterize evaporation dynamics under different climate settings and 160 examined the influence of meteorological factors (e.g., temperature and RH) on isotopes. 161 162 Additionally, two evaporation models under steady state (i.e., Rayleigh model) and non-steady state conditions were also used to verify whether dew was affected by evaporation during its 163 164 formation. Furthermore, the sensitivity of temperature and RH, the two important meteorological

parameters in evaporation model and the most susceptible to climate change, were also analyzed
to examine their influence on dew evaporation processes under various environmental conditions.

167 2. Material and methods

168 **2.1. Site description**

This study was conducted in different climatic regions (Table 1). Gobabeb Research and 169 Training Center (23.55° S, 15.04° E; 405 m above sea level) is located about 60 km from the 170 South Atlantic Ocean on the outer edge of the central Namib Desert in Namibia. The mean 171 annual temperature and mean annual relative humidity are 21.1° C and 50%, respectively (Qiao et 172 173 al., 2020). The annual precipitation amount is less than 20 mm (Kaseke et al., 2017). Nice (43.74° N, 7.27° E; 310 m above sea level) in France is situated between the Mediterranean Sea 174 and the Alps mountains. It is Mediterranean climate associated with hot, dry summers and mild, 175 176 wet winters. The minimum and maximum of average monthly temperature are 12.4°C in January and 19.6°C in August, respectively, with an annual average of 16.0°C, based on meteorological 177 data from 1981 to 2010 (http://www.meteofrance.com/climat/france/nice/06088001/normales). 178 179 The variations of average monthly RH are from 75% in February to 80% in May, with an annual average of 78%. The mean annual precipitation is 733 mm, with over 75% of the precipitation 180 181 occurring between October and the following April. Both Gobabeb and Nice are close to the ocean. Indianapolis (39.88° N, 86.27° W; 258 m above sea level) is an inland city in the Midwest 182 of the United States. Detailed meteorological characteristics in Indianapolis have been described 183 184 in our previous study (Tian et al., 2018). In brief, mean annual temperature, mean annual relative $10.2^{\circ}C$. humidity, and precipitation 69%. 953 respectively 185 are and mm, (https://www.wunderground.com). To evaluate the degree of dryness in the three sites, aridity 186 187 index values were extracted from the Global Aridity Index dataset (https://cgiarcsi.community/data/global-aridity-and-pet-database/). The Gobabeb was hyper-arid
site with aridity index of 0.01. The Nice and Indianapolis were both humid sites with aridity
index of 0.98 and 0.96, respectively. According to the Köppen climate classification (Geiger,
1961; Koppen, 1936), the climate in Gobabeb, Nice, and Indianapolis belongs to desert climate,
Mediterranean climate, and humid continental climate, respectively.

193 **2.2. Sample collections and isotope analysis**

Event-based dew and precipitation samples were collected at each site. To reduce 194 evaporation effects on isotopes, all of dew samples were collected before dawn at each site and 195 196 stored in sealed glass vials (15 ml) for the samples in Gobabeb and Indianapolis or polyethylene bottles for the dew samples in Nice. All of the precipitation samples were collected immediately 197 after each event or at the earliest possible time in the morning if the precipitation event was 198 199 finished after midnight. Twenty-two dew samples were collected from July 2014 to June 2017 in Gobabeb. Five rainfall samples were collected in January, February, September 2014, and 200 February 2016. Four shallow groundwaters and two deep groundwaters were also collected. 201 202 Twenty-three dew samples were collected in Nice from December 2017 to April 2018. Sixtynine dew samples and 109 precipitation samples (including 99 rainfalls and 10 snowfalls) were 203 204 collected in Indianapolis from January 2017 to October 2017 and throughout 2017, respectively. All dew and precipitation samples were delivered to the IUPUI Ecohydrology Lab to measure 205 isotopic variations using a Triple Water Vapor Isotope Analyzer (T-WVIA-45-EP; Los Gatos 206 207 Research Inc. (LGR), Mountain View, CA, USA) coupled to a Water Vapor Isotope Standard Source (WVISS, LGR, Mountain View, CA, USA). The detailed operation and calibration 208 procedures were described in details by Tian et al. (2016) and Wang et al. (2009). The main 209 isotopic parameters reported here are: $\delta'^{18}O = 1000 \text{ x} \ln (\delta^{18}O + 1), \delta'^{17}O = 1000 \text{ x} \ln (\delta^{17}O + 1), \lambda$ 210

 $= \delta'^{18}O/\delta'^{17}O$, ¹⁷O-excess = ln ($\delta^{17}O + 1$) - 0.528 x ln ($\delta^{18}O + 1$), d-excess = $\delta^{2}H - 8 \times \delta^{18}O$ 211 (Barkan and Luz, 2007; Meijer and Li, 1998). Additionally, all of the isotope ratios were 212 normalized using two international water standards (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water 213 214 (VSMOW) and Standard Light Antarctic Precipitation (SLAP)) following the procedure described by Steig et al. (2014) and Schoenemann et al. (2013). Furthermore, to ensure the 215 accuracy of ¹⁷O-excess measurements, ¹⁷O-excess values were filtered through the methods of 216 Tian et al. (Tian and Wang, 2019; Tian et al., 2018). Based on the detection criterion, the 217 precision of our instrument was <0.80%, <0.06%, <0.03%, and <12 per meg (1 per meg = 218 0.001‰) for δ^2 H, δ^{18} O, δ^{17} O, and ¹⁷O-excess, respectively, which was comparable with previous 219 studies (Berman et al., 2013; Luz and Barkan, 2010; Schoenemann et al., 2013; Steig et al., 220 2014). 221

222 **2.3. Meteorological variables**

To examine dew formation mechanisms under different climate settings, nocturnal temperature and RH were used for analysis associated with ¹⁷O-excess variations. The meteorological data were available at the different meteorological stations: Gobabeb: http://www.sasscalweathernet.org/; Nice: https://www.infoclimat.fr/;

Indianapolis: https://www.wunderground.com. The download date was about October 26th,
November 30th, and October 23th in 2018 for the above three websites, respectively. The
nocturnal data in this study were screened and averaged to hourly data from 12:00 am to 6:00 am.

230 **2.4. Evaporation model description**

To examine whether dew under different climate settings are affected by evaporation during formation, two types of evaporation models (steady state and non-steady state conditions) were used in this study. Simulated isotopic values were compared with the measured values. If most of the simulated isotopic values matched with the measured values at temperature and RH conditions close to the measurements, the model was considered as the optimal one. The choice of steady state or non-steady state evaporation model was also verified by the observed relationships between δ'^{18} O and δ'^{17} O as well as between ¹⁷O-excess and δ'^{18} O (or d-excess).

238 2.4.1. Evaporation simulation without external moisture sources

The atomic ratio of the residual water R_{end} under steady state condition can be calculated by the Rayleigh fractionation model as a function of a_{evap} (Criss, 1999).

where R_{end} and R_{start} are the isotopic ratios (H₂¹⁷O/H₂¹⁶O or H₂¹⁸O/H₂¹⁶O) of the residual water and initial water, respectively. f is the residual fraction of liquid water. α_{evap} is evaporation fractionation factor, a function of the RH during evaporation process (Barkan and Luz, 2007).

where ${}^{*}\alpha_{eq}$ and ${}^{*}\alpha_{diff}$ are liquid-vapor equilibrium fractionation factor and the diffusion fractionation factor for ${}^{17}O/{}^{16}O$ or ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$, respectively. R_W , R_E , and R_A are the isotopic ratios of liquid, evaporating water, and air moisture, respectively. Under the steady state experimental setup, all of the water vapor comes from the evaporating water body (i.e., no external moisture source), which means $R_A = R_E$. Therefore, the above equation (2) can be simplified to (Barkan and Luz, 2007):

253 ${}^{18}\alpha_{eq}$ and ${}^{2}\alpha_{eq}$ are controlled by temperature (Horita and Wesolowski, 1994):

254
$${}^{18}\alpha_{eq} = \exp[(-7.685 + (6.7123(10^3/T)) - (1.6664(10^6/T^2)) + (0.35041(10^9/T^3)))/10^3]$$
, (4)

255 ${}^{2}\alpha_{eq} =$

256
$$\exp[(1158.8(T^3/10^9) - 1620.1(T^2/10^6) + 794.84(T/10^3) - 161.04 + 257 2.9992(10^9/T^3))/10^3].$$
 (5)

¹⁷ α_{eq} was estimated using ¹⁷ $\alpha_{eq} = ({}^{18}\alpha_{eq})^{0.529}$ based on liquid-vapor equilibrium experiments (Barkan and Luz, 2005). The ¹⁸ α_{diff} was 1.0283, and ¹⁷ α_{diff} was (${}^{18}\alpha_{diff}$)^{0.518} based on molecular diffusivities of water vapor in air during evaporation experiments (Barkan and Luz, 2007). ² α_{diff} was estimated using ² $\alpha_{diff} = ({}^{18}\alpha_{diff})^{0.88}$ from Merlivat (1978) and confirmed by Luz et al. (2009). Therefore, in our study, the ¹⁸ α_{diff} , ² α_{diff} , and ¹⁷ α_{diff} were 1.0283, 1.02486, and 1.01456, respectively.

264 2.4.2. Evaporation simulation with external moisture sources

The isotopic ratios of residual water ($^{*}R_{w}$) under non-steady state condition can be calculated by the following equation (6) (Criss, 1999):

where f is the residual fraction of liquid water; the exponent u is the fractionation factor as a function of RH:

270
$$u = \frac{1 - {}^{*} \alpha_{evap}^{0} (1 - RH)}{{}^{*} \alpha_{evap}^{0} (1 - RH)} , \qquad (7)$$

where α_{evap}^{0} is the effective evaporation fractionation factor at 0% RH, which could be calculated by equation (2). R_{w}^{i} is the isotopic ratio of initial water. R_{w}^{s} is the predicted isotopic ratio of residual water under steady exchange with atmospheric vapor (R_{v}).

274
$${}^{*}\!\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{s}}_{\mathrm{w}} = \frac{{}^{*}\!\alpha_{\mathrm{eq}}\,\mathrm{RH}^{*}\!\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{v}}}{1 - {}^{*}\!\alpha_{\mathrm{evap}}^{0}\left(1 - \mathrm{RH}\right)} \qquad . \tag{8}$$

²⁷⁵ R_v was not directly measured in our study. It was determined either from literature value or ²⁷⁶ calculated using precipitation isotopic composition and the equilibrium fractionation factor ²⁷⁷ between liquid and vapor, as shown in equation (9) (Barkan and Luz, 2005).

278
$${}^{*}\alpha_{l/v} = \frac{(\delta 0_{l}+1)}{(\delta 0_{v}+1)} , \qquad (9)$$

where $a_{l/v}$ is a temperature-dependent equilibrium fractionation factor, calculated by the equation (4) and (5). $\delta O = (R_s/R_{ref} - 1)$, and R_s and R_{ref} are the isotope ratios (e.g., ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$ or ${}^{17}O/{}^{16}O$) of the sample and reference, respectively.

According to the relationships between δ'^{18} O and δ'^{17} O as well as between 17 O-excess and 282 δ'^{18} O (or d-excess), all of the dew in Gobabeb and some of the dew in Indianapolis were affected 283 by evaporation, while those in Nice were not affected by evaporation. The evaporated dew in 284 Indianapolis were the dew that occurred when the temperature was greater than 14.7°C (thirty-285 three events, hereafter the dew_{T>14.7} $^{\circ}_{C}$). As for the dew_{T>14.7} $^{\circ}_{C}$, there were significant relationships 286 between ¹⁷O-excess and δ'^{18} O (or d-excess) with higher correlation coefficients (r = -0.54 (or 287 0.48); p < 0.01) than the ones under lower temperature. Therefore, dew in Gobabeb and 288 Indianapolis were simulated separately using the above two evaporation models under steady 289 state and non-steady state conditions, while the dew evaporation in Nice was not simulated. For 290 each evaporation model, different boundary conditions (including different variables and 291 292 parameters) were simulated to search for the optimal model in terms of temperature, RH, residual fraction of liquid water (f), and isotopic values of both initial water (i.e., R_{start} or R_wⁱ for steady 293 state or non-steady state) and atmospheric water vapor (R_v). Different models of dew were 294 295 simulated through fixed mean nocturnal temperature parameter and adjusted RH during the observation period. If the adjusted RH value was close to the observed mean RH value, 296 297 corresponding to the similarity between the simulated and observed isotopic values including relationships between δ'^{18} O and δ'^{17} O as well as between 17 O-excess and δ'^{18} O (or d-excess), the model would be considered as the optimal one.

Generally, isotopic value of the initial water in the model was the minimum value of all the 300 observed values for one particular site (e.g., dew in Gobabeb under non-steady state condition) 301 (Table 2). However, not all models followed the above criterion because some dew with 302 minimum values might not be affected by evaporation. If the ideal model cannot be obtained 303 using the minimum value, the relatively low value will be considered as isotopic value of the 304 initial water (e.g., dew_{T>14.7} $^{o}_{C}$ in Indianapolis under non-steady state condition). With the 305 decreasing of residual fraction of liquid water (f), the evaporation processes increased associated 306 with the enriched δ^{18} O and decreasing ¹⁷O-excess, which means that f also played an important 307 role in simulating evaporation. The equilibrium fractionation factors (α_{eq}) were calculated by 308 equation (4) and (5) using average nocturnal temperature (and not daily temperature as 309 mentioned later on) because dew occurs at night. 310

The isotopic value of atmospheric water vapor was another important variable in non-steady 311 state model. The data can be deduced from previous study (e.g., dew in Gobabeb) (Uemura et al., 312 2010). They can also be calculated by the equilibrium relationship between precipitation and 313 314 water vapor following equation (9) due to the lack of direct observational vapor data. The equilibrium relationship has been applied in previous studies, such as for a prolonged rain event 315 and for monthly precipitation in Beijing, China (Wen et al., 2010). Fiorella et al. (2019) also 316 317 point out that the equilibrium assumption gives relatively accurate estimates of the isotope ratios of evaporating waters in low latitudes (equatorward of 30°). It is noteworthy that to obtain the 318 319 isotopic values of water vapor, compared with using average nocturnal temperature as mentioned 320 above, the average daily temperature was used to calculate the equilibrium fractionation factor

321 $(\alpha_{1/y})$ as shown in Table 2. This is because the process of converting precipitation into water vapor occurs during both day and night. For the isotopic values of precipitation, some of them 322 were from the directly collected samples, and others were from empirical Online Isotopes in 323 324 Precipitation Calculator (hereafter OIPC) model. Both of them were used to calculate the water vapor to further obtain optimal models in Gobabeb and Indianapolis. The ¹⁷O-excess of local 325 atmospheric vapor was assumed to be 33 per meg based on the global meteoric water (Luz and 326 Barkan, 2010) because the OIPC data only include $\delta^2 H$ and $\delta^{18}O$. Additionally, for dew in 327 Gobabeb, the mean isotopic values of measured meteoric water included not only local rainfall 328 329 but also the shallow groundwater and deep groundwater.

2.5. Temperature and RH sensitivity analysis

To further explore the role of temperature and RH on ¹⁷O-excess variations of dew, we used the evaporation model under non-steady state mentioned above to simulate the effects of temperature and RH on ¹⁷O-excess in Gobabeb and Indianapolis (only $dew_{T \ge 14.7}^{o}c$ was used for the Indianapolis site since they are affected by evaporation).

For the sensitivity of temperature, for both of the sites, the temperature from 1.4°C (the 335 minimum nocturnal value) to 30.0° C including the maximum nocturnal value (21.4° C) were used 336 to include all of the conditions for dew formation. For each site, the average nocturnal 337 temperature and the observed minimum and maximum values for dew were also simulated to test 338 the temperature sensitivity. For the sensitivity of RH, RH ranging from 18% to 98% with every 339 10% interval was used in the two sites, which include the optimal RH 78% in Gobabeb and 98% 340 in Indianapolis as stated in the above optimal model. The other boundary conditions were 341 assumed constant by using parameters (e.g., ${}^{*}\!\!R_{w}^{i}$, ${}^{*}\!\!R_{v}$, and f) from the optimal model. 342

343

344 **3. Results**

345 **3.1. Meteorological characteristics of dew days**

There were different nocturnal temperature and RH ranges under three different climate 346 settings during the observation periods (Fig. 1). The average temperature in Nice was the lowest 347 (9.1°C) with the smallest range (3.6°C to 15.3°C), and the average in Indianapolis was the 348 highest (13.9°C) with the largest range (1.4°C to 21.4°C). The temperature in Gobabeb varied 349 350 from 3.5°C to 16.9°C with an average of 11.8°C. It is notable that the average RH in Gobabeb was the lowest (78%) with the largest range values (35% to 98%), and the average in 351 Indianapolis was the highest (92%) with the smallest range values (66% to 100%). The RH in 352 Nice varied from 55% to 94% with an average of 80%. Additionally, for the days with 353 dew_{T>14.7} $^{\circ}$ _C in Indianapolis, the temperature varied from 14.7 $^{\circ}$ C to 21.4 $^{\circ}$ C with an average of 354 17.4°C, and the RH varied from 66% to 99% with an average of 93%. 355

356 **3.2. Dew isotope variations**

A largest range of dew δ^{18} O values was observed in Nice during the study period (-16.7‰ to -0.7‰) (Fig. 2). It was close to the range in Indianapolis (-13.4‰ to 0.5‰), while the smallest range was in Gobabeb (-6.8‰ to 3.2‰). The average δ^{18} O value in Gobabeb was more enriched (-1.4‰±2.6‰) than the other two sites. The average δ^{18} O value in Nice (-7.0‰±3.8‰) was almost similar to the one in Indianapolis (-6.5‰±3.1‰), while lower than those for the dew_{T≥14.7}°_C in Indianapolis (-5.1‰±2.6‰). The δ^{2} H and δ^{17} O variations showed similar trends to δ^{18} O in the three sites (Fig. 2).

More variable dew ¹⁷O-excess values were observed in Gobabeb (-40 to 45 per meg) (Fig. 2). The range in Nice (7 to 54 per meg) was close to the one in Indianapolis (-5 to 64 per meg). The average ¹⁷O-excess value in Gobabeb (9±22 per meg) was the lowest, and the one in Nice 367 (34 ± 12 per meg) was almost identical to the ones in Indianapolis for all dew events (35 ± 11 per 368 meg) and for dews with dew_{T≥14.7}°_C (34 ± 14 per meg) (Fig. 2). The largest range of d-excess 369 values was observed in Gobabeb (-19.9‰ to 26.5‰), and the smallest range was in Nice (0.1‰ 370 to 32.3‰) (Fig. 2). The range in Indianapolis was from -5.0‰ to 32.1‰. The average d-excess 371 value in Gobabeb was the lowest ($6.4\%\pm10.0\%$). The average in Nice was the highest 372 ($18.1\%\pm8.8\%$). The average for all dew events and for dew_{T≥14.7}°_C in Indianapolis were 373 12.7‰±7.2‰ and 10.3‰±5.6‰, respectively.

The slope of δ'^{18} O- δ'^{17} O (λ) in Gobabeb (0.5202) was smaller than that in Nice and 374 Indianapolis (0.5268 and 0.5271) (Fig. 3). The slope of all the samples in the three sites was 375 0.5253. The ¹⁷O-excess was negatively correlated with δ'^{18} O in Gobabeb (r = -0.93, p < 0.001) 376 and for all the samples (r = -0.61, p < 0.001) (Fig. 4a). The ¹⁷O-excess was positively correlated 377 with d-excess (r = 0.74, p < 0.001) and the slope between ¹⁷O-excess and d-excess was 1.61 per 378 meg/‰ in Gobabeb (Fig. 4b). The ¹⁷O-excess was positively correlated with d-excess (r = 0.50, p 379 < 0.001) and the slope between ¹⁷O-excess and d-excess was 0.96 per meg/‰ for all the samples. 380 There was no relationship between ¹⁷O-excess and δ'^{18} O (or d-excess) in Nice (*p* > 0.05). For all 381 dew events in Indianapolis, there was a low negative correlation between ¹⁷O-excess and δ'^{18} O (r 382 = -0.25, p = 0.037). To probe dew evaporation in Indianapolis, dew occurring under different 383 384 temperature groups were used to analyze their relationships among different isotopic variables. The results showed that λ for the dew_{T>14.7}°_C was 0.5252. The ¹⁷O-excess was negatively 385 correlated with ${\delta'}^{18}$ O (r = -0.54, p = 0.001) and positively correlated with d-excess (r = 0.48, p = 386 0.004) associated with a slope of 1.18 per meg/‰ (Fig. 5c-d). Dew with temperature below 387 14.7°C had the higher λ (0.5280), and there was no correlation between ¹⁷O-excess and $\delta'^{18}O$ (or 388 d-excess) (p > 0.05). 389

In order to further reveal the dew formation mechanisms, the relationships between the ¹⁷Oexcess and both temperature and RH were analyzed in the three sites and for all the samples. The results showed that there was no relationship between temperature and ¹⁷O-excess, while positive correlation was observed between RH and ¹⁷O-excess for all of the samples (r = 0.34, p < 0.001) (Fig. 6). Therefore, the difference in dew ¹⁷O-excess among the three sites was mainly driven by RH differences.

396 3.3. Dew evaporation simulation

Hundreds of dew evaporation simulations were conducted under various boundary 397 398 conditions in Gobabeb and Indianapolis under steady state and non-steady state conditions. The optimal evaporation models in Gobabeb and in Indianapolis for the dew_{T>14.7} $^{o}_{C}$ were both 399 attained under non-steady state condition. The detailed variables and parameters are shown in 400 401 Table 2. Note that the isotopic values of atmospheric water vapor were both calculated on the basis of the equilibrium fractionation between precipitation and vapor (Barkan and Luz, 2005). 402 As for dew in Gobabeb, the δ^{18} O, δ^{17} O, δ^{2} H, and 17 O-excess of vapor were -13.305‰, -7.047‰, 403 404 -102.898‰, and 0 per meg, respectively. These values produced better simulation results than those from the directly observed vapor data from the South Indian and the Southern Oceans (-405 15.5±2.7‰, -8.2±1.5‰, and 16 per meg for δ^{18} O, δ^{17} O, and 17 O-excess, respectively) (Uemura et 406 al., 2010). For precipitation in Gobabeb, comparing with the isotopic values from empirical 407 Online Isotopes in Precipitation Calculator model (-2.6±0.4‰ and -13±4‰ for δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, 408 respectively), the mean isotopic values of measured local precipitation (including rainfall and 409 groundwater; -3.5±6.0‰ and -25.7±41.7‰ for δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H, respectively) were used to estimate 410 $\delta^{18}O_v$ and δ^2H_v because it could get a better match with measured values in the model. As a result, 411 412 the temperature and RH of optimal model for dew in Gobabeb were identical with those of the

413 measured average nocturnal values during the observation period (11.8°C and 78%). The 414 simulated λ (0.5199) was almost the same with the observed λ (0.5202) (Fig. 5a-b). The negative 415 correlations between ¹⁷O-excess and δ'^{18} O were similar for simulated and measured values (slope 416 = -8.10 and -7.76 per meg/‰ for both) (Fig. 5a). The positive correlation between ¹⁷O-excess 417 and d-excess for the model (slope = 1.58 per meg/‰) was similar with the observed value (1.61 418 per meg/‰) (Fig. 5b).

As for the dew_{T>14.7} $^{o}_{C}$ in Indianapolis, the δ^{18} O, δ^{17} O, δ^{2} H, and 17 O-excess of the vapor were 419 -14.950‰, -7.902‰, -109.725‰, and 20 per meg, respectively. The isotopic values of 420 precipitation were from the observed value during dew observation (-5.25‰ and -33.79‰ for 421 δ^{18} O and δ^{2} H). Using the observed precipitation values could get better simulated values than 422 using the OIPC values (-3.20‰ and -15.40‰). With these parameters (Table 2), RH of optimal 423 424 model in Indianapolis (98%) was found close to the measured average nocturnal values (93%) (Fig. 5c-d). The modeled λ (0.5250) was close to the observed one (0.5252). The negative 425 correlations between ¹⁷O-excess and δ'^{18} O were similar for simulated and measured values (slope 426 = -3.01 and -2.84 per meg/% for both) (Fig. 5c). The positive correlation between ¹⁷O-excess 427 and d-excess for the model (slope = 1.17 per meg/‰) was almost identical to the observed value 428 (slope = 1.18 per meg/%) (Fig. 5d). 429

430 **3.4.** The sensitivity of temperature and RH on dew ¹⁷O-excess

In order to assess the dew ¹⁷O-excess sensitivity to temperature and RH, the evaporation models mentioned above were also used to simulate the dew ¹⁷O-excess responses to different environmental conditions in Gobabeb and Indianapolis. The dew ¹⁷O-excess sensitivity with respect to temperature and RH is shown in Fig. 7. The results indicated the ¹⁷O-excess were more sensitive to changes in RH regardless the formation sites. For instance, for dew in Gobabeb,

negative correlations were observed between ¹⁷O-excess and δ'^{18} O modeled by the non-steady 436 evaporation model. The values of λ (0.5183 to 0.5208) varied slightly with large changes in 437 temperature (1.4°C to 30.0°C) when RH was 78% (the optimal model parameter). However, the λ 438 439 values (0.5187 to 0.5252) changed more significantly with large changes in RH (18% to 98%) when temperature was 11.8°C (the average value during the study period) (Fig. 7a-b). Similarly, 440 for the dew_{T>14.7} $^{\circ}_{C}$ in Indianapolis, λ only varied from 0.5238 to 0.5260 with large changes in 441 temperature (1.4°C to 30.0°C) when RH was 98%, while λ varied from 0.5227 to 0.5255 with 442 large changes in RH (18% to 98%) when temperature was 17.4°C (Fig. 7c-d). It was worth 443 noting that λ decreased with increasing temperature (1.4°C to 30.0°C) for dew in Gobabeb and 444 Indianapolis with dew_{T>14.7}°_C, while there was no significant linear relationship between λ and 445 RH regardless the dew formation sites. 446

447

448 **4. Discussion**

449 **4.1. Dew evaporation mechanisms**

450 Dew is recognized as an important contribution to the annual water balance in arid and 451 semiarid ecosystems (Aguirre-Gutiérrez et al., 2019; Kidron et al., 2011; Tomaszkiewicz et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2017) even in humid region (Ritter et al., 2019; Tuller and Chilton, 1973). 452 453 The importance of dew may be magnified in arid regions to alleviate water stress on natural 454 ecosystems under changing climate (Rahimi et al., 2013). Dew formation obeys relatively 455 complex phase change processes in different environments. In reality, dew formation does not 456 always occur within a short time window but often lasts for several hours during the night or in 457 early morning. Dew is often collected before dawn for many dew researches, but evaporation is likely to be unavoidable during dew formation. Evaporation can occur when the conditions for 458 459 dew formation are not fulfilled any more, e.g., with lower relative humidity, which decreases the 460 dew point temperature with respect to air temperature, during wind gusts where heat exchange with air is enhanced, or rise of cloud cover, decreasing radiative cooling. In the previous studies 461 on dew evaporation, the different fractionation processes (equilibrium or kinetic fractionation) 462 463 are speculated based on the dew isotopic variations of condensation since evaporation and condensation are inverse phase-change processes (Deshpande et al., 2013; Wen et al., 2012). 464 However, these studies did not provide direct evidence of different fractionation processes due to 465 the lack of real-time monitoring of vapor isotopic variation. In addition, because real-time 466 monitoring of vapor isotopic variation needs intensive labor and other logistics (e.g., instrument 467 468 purchase, deployment, and power consumption), it is difficult to test dew formation mechanism at long-time scale and no research has been conducted to examine the different degrees of 469 evaporation. In the current study, to alleviate these constraints, we used ¹⁷O-excess and its 470 relationships with other isotopic parameters (e.g., δ'^{18} O vs. δ'^{17} O; 17 O-excess vs. δ'^{18} O (or d-471 excess)) to probe whether dew is affected by equilibrium fractionation or kinetic fractionation 472 associated with evaporation using dew from three distinct climate settings. 473

The largest range of dew ¹⁷O-excess values was observed in arid Gobabeb with lowest 474 average ¹⁷O-excess value (9±22 per meg) and the most enriched δ^{18} O value (-1.4‰±2.6‰) than 475 476 observed in other two humid regions in Nice and Indianapolis (Fig. 2). The λ value (0.5202) was the lowest in Gobabeb, which was close to the diffusive fractionation of atmospheric water vapor 477 (0.5185) (Barkan and Luz, 2007) and close to previous study result at the same site (0.516) 478 (Kaseke et al., 2017). There were significant correlations between ¹⁷O-excess and both δ'^{18} O and 479 d-excess in Gobabeb. The slope between ¹⁷O-excess and d-excess in Gobabeb (1.61 per meg/‰) 480 is similar to the values predicted by re-evaporation model in African monsoon rainfall (1.6 to 2.0 481 482 per meg/‰) (Landais et al., 2010). These indicated that the dew in Gobabeb might be more

susceptible to kinetic fractionation associated with evaporation at non-steady state than the other two humid regions in Nice and Indianapolis, which exerts a stronger impact on the isotopic exchange process leading to the more enriched δ^{18} O values and lower ¹⁷O-excess values for Gobabeb dew. This has been confirmed by the detailed evaporation modeling as described in section 4.2 (Fig. 5).

The λ value of dew in Nice (0.5268) is close to the equilibrium fractionation exponent (0.529) of the liquid-vapor equilibrium and global meteoric water line (0.528) (Barkan and Luz, 2005; Luz and Barkan, 2010). It appears that Rayleigh distillation, which usually limits to the equilibrium processes, was the main mechanism explaining the temporal variations in the dew isotope values (Li et al., 2015; Wen et al., 2010).

For the dew_{T>147} $^{0}_{C}$ in Indianapolis, the positive correlation between 17 O-excess and δ'^{18} O 493 and the negative correlation between 17 O-excess and d-excess (1.18 per meg/%) was comparable 494 with the results of tap water in the United States (0.7 to 2.0 per meg/‰) (Li et al., 2015). 495 Additionally, the λ value (0.5252) of the dew_{T>14.7} °_C was lower than the equilibrium fractionation 496 497 exponent (0.529), with relatively high RH (93%). According to the evaporation models mentioned by Li et al. (2015), if the evaporation process occurred under steady state with high 498 RH, the λ would be high and close to the equilibrium fractionation exponent. This demonstrated 499 that the dew_{T>14.7} $^{\circ}_{C}$ in Indianapolis likely go through evaporation at non-steady state conditions, 500 which is consistent with the theoretical evaporation model predictions as further discussed below. 501

502 **4.2. Data-evaporation model comparison**

503 To validate whether dew is influenced by evaporation as expected with ¹⁷O-excess and δ^{18} O 504 observations, two evaporation models under steady state based on Rayleigh model and non-505 steady state model were used to reproduce the observed results, which reflects different degrees of equilibrium or kinetic fractionation associated with evaporation. To evaluate the quality of model fitting, a series of the evaporation-controlled evolution of ¹⁷O-excess over δ'^{18} O (or dexcess) had been simulated with variable boundary conditions.

In our study, dew in Gobabeb and the $dew_{T>14.7}^{o}C$ in Indianapolis both experienced kinetic 509 fractionation associated with evaporation under non-steady state condition. The initial water 510 isotopic values were the observed minimum isotopic values in Gobabeb, while they were not the 511 minimum values in Indianapolis. These indicated that all of the dew samples in Gobabeb could 512 be included in the evaporation model and were susceptible to the evaporation, while not all of the 513 $dew_{T>14.7} C_{C}^{o}$ in Indianapolis were affected by evaporation. Compared with simulated values under 514 Rayleigh evaporation process, isotopic variation of residual water was significantly enriched 515 during kinetic fractionation associated with evaporation process under non-steady state 516 conditions. As for dew in Gobabeb, the observed δ^{18} O and δ^{17} O values were better matched with 517 the simulated values under non-steady state than those under steady state. To facilitate the 518 comparison with previous studies, the slope between ¹⁷O-excess and d-excess (or δ'^{18} O) for the 519 520 observed and simulated dew in Gobabeb were calculated based on linear correlations, although the significance of quadratic relationship between ¹⁷O-excess and d-excess was a little higher 521 than that of the linear relationship. The similar positive correlation between ¹⁷O-excess and d-522 excess for the model and the observed value (1.58 per meg/‰ vs. 1.61 per meg/‰) indicated that 523 the isotopic variations of dew in Gobabeb should mainly occur under non-steady state 524 evaporation condition. 525

¹⁷O-excess of water vapor in the optimal model in Gobabeb was 0 per meg as calculated from the relationship between δ^{17} O and δ^{18} O. This is a value that fits better with the observed data than using the mean value of global meteoric water 33 per meg (Luz and Barkan, 2010), which is

529 commonly used when direct observational data are lacking (e.g., in the Sistan Oasis, Iran (Surma 530 et al., 2015) and in central Atacama Desert, Chile (Surma et al., 2018)). Note that our study is the first to use the calculated ¹⁷O-excess values of water vapor to predict the evaporation model. It 531 532 demonstrates that the mean value of global meteoric waters does not apply anywhere, especially in arid region where other water resources other than precipitation (e.g., groundwater) have also 533 534 an important impact on the local water cycle. The optimal model temperature and RH values in Gobabeb are found identical to the mean nocturnal temperature and RH during the observation 535 period (11.8°C and 78%). 536

As for the dew_{T \ge 14.7} $^{o}_{C}$ in Indianapolis, the optimal simulated $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{17}O$ values under 537 non-steady state condition are closer to the observation than those under steady state, resulting in 538 a simulated λ (0.5250) similar to the observed value (0.5252) (Fig. 5c-d), thus suggesting that 539 evaporation under non-steady state condition is more appropriate during the study period. The 540 positive relationship between ¹⁷O-excess and d-excess of the optimal model closely coincides 541 with the measured relationship (slopes 1.17 per meg/‰ vs. 1.18 per meg/‰) (Fig. 5d), which 542 indicates that dew_{T>14.7}°_C in Indianapolis with high RH (93%) experiences a certain degree of 543 evaporation at non-steady state condition. Therefore, if RH is close to saturation (i.e., for nearly 544 545 saturated air 100% relative humidity) and λ not close to the equilibrium fractionation exponent (0.529), the evaporation process is more likely to occur under non-steady state. This means that 546 if there are two evaporation processes with same RH, the lower λ indicates that water is more 547 548 susceptible to evaporation under non-steady state, a result also verified by the evaporation processes of tap waters in the U.S. (Li et al., 2015). 549

550 During the process of evaporation simulation for the dew_{T ≥ 14.7} ^o_C in Indianapolis, the isotopic 551 values of atmospheric water vapor, without direct measurements, were also inferred based on the

24

552 assumption that vapor and precipitation condensation is an equilibrium fractionation process (Barkan and Luz, 2005). For the isotopic values of precipitation, the precipitation events between 553 May and September were selected and their mean value was calculated because the dew_{T>14.7} $^{\circ}C$ 554 mainly occurred during the period. The isotopic values of the measured precipitations were lower 555 than those from the OIPC model and could give better prediction. Additionally, the ¹⁷O-excess of 556 water vapor in optimal model in Indianapolis was 20 per meg, which is a better value than using 557 the mean value of global meteoric waters 33 per meg (Luz and Barkan, 2010). This further 558 provides data on water vapor isotopes in Indianapolis during May and September. In 559 560 consequence, the RH of optimal model in Indianapolis (98%) was close to the observed average 561 nocturnal value (93%), which indicates that the optimal model at non-steady state condition can basically simulate the observed values. The reason is that, during the late spring, summer, and 562 563 early fall, long nights and high temperature with low RH, makes evaporation more likely to occur. 564

565 **4.3. Sensitivity of temperature and RH**

566 Although dew formation is included in many global climate models (Rosenzweig and Abramopoulos, 1997), the role of evaporation during dew formation in different climatic regions, 567 568 especially under climate change, is not well understood. The isotope evaporation models include two important meteorological parameters: temperature and RH, and both are changing rapidly 569 under climate change with increasing temperature and decreasing RH. Lower air temperature and 570 higher RH are favorable meteorological conditions for the formation of dew (Beysens, 1995; Li, 571 2002; Ye et al., 2007). But the sensitivity of the effects of temperature and RH on evaporation 572 processes (indicated by ¹⁷O-excess) during the dew formation is not clear. To this end, the ¹⁷O-573 574 excess sensitivity analysis to temperature and RH were performed based on the different 575 evaporation processes under non-steady state conditions in Gobabeb and Indianapolis with the $dew_{T>14.7}$ C. The evaporation lines curved under non-steady states in both Gobabeb and 576 Indianapolis (Fig. 7). For the sensitivity of temperature, the range of slope λ varied slightly by 577 578 0.0025 and 0.0022 in Gobabeb and Indianapolis, respectively. There were negative relationships between temperature and λ at both sites. The evaporation lines at both sites were clustered 579 together and changes slightly with the increasing of temperature from 1.4°C to 30°C at the two 580 sites. These indicated that the ¹⁷O-excess and δ'^{18} O were less sensitive to temperature (from 581 1.4°C to 30°C), especially for ¹⁷O-excess variations, which were also observed for groundwater 582 evaporation with no detectable change in ¹⁷O-excess from 18°C to 28°C in central Atacama 583 Desert, Chile (Surma et al., 2018). Surma et al. (2015) also showed that air temperature (from 584 10°C to 30°C) play a minor role for the isotopic composition of evaporating water of natural 585 586 water bodies in the Sistan Oasis, Iran. Notably, all of the λ (ranging from 0.5183 to 0.5208) in Gobabeb were the low, close to the diffusion fractionation (kinetic) factor for water vapor (0.518) 587 (Barkan and Luz, 2007). However, the λ in Indianapolis (ranging from 0.5238 to 0.5260) were 588 589 higher and had less departure from the global meteoric waters line (0.528) (Luz and Barkan, 2010) and equilibrium fractionation factor for water (0.529) (Barkan and Luz, 2005). The 590 difference is possibly due to RH difference (78% vs. 98% at two sites). This indirectly confirms 591 the importance of the RH in evaporation as further discussed below in the sensitivity analysis. 592

593 Concerning the sensitivity of RH (from 18% to 98%) under fixed temperature, with the 594 decreasing of RH, evaporation curves tend to be more stretched (Fig. 7). This is similar to what 595 was observed for groundwater evaporation in central Atacama Desert, Chile (RH from 25% to 596 65%) (Surma et al., 2018). The slope λ range of simulated dew varied widely by 0.0065 and 597 0.0028 for the two sites. These demonstrated that the dew ¹⁷O-excess values were more sensitive 598 to the changes in RH than that in temperature regardless the location. This strengthened the view that ¹⁷O-excess is principally influenced by RH during 10°C to 45°C, which has been confirmed 599 by theoretical experiments (Barkan and Luz, 2005; Cao and Liu, 2011) and previous field 600 601 observations (Landais et al., 2010; Li et al., 2017; Uechi and Uemura, 2019; Winkler et al., 2012). Meanwhile, this confirms that RH is the principal drivers of dew formation in the 602 evaporation model under non-steady state. Furthermore, the ¹⁷O-excess for all of the dew data in 603 our study was positively correlated with RH, which is consistent with the observations in Africa 604 monsoon rainfall (Landais et al., 2010). However,¹⁷O-excess has no relationship with 605 temperature, meaning that the local RH exerts an important influence on ¹⁷O-excess during dew 606 evaporation under the different climate settings. 607

608 **5. Conclusions**

Dew plays an increasing important role in the ecohydrological processes in many 609 ecosystems especially under climate change. The present report is the first to study and analyze 610 whether dew is influenced by different degree of evaporation by means of ¹⁷O-excess and the 611 relationships between different isotopic parameters (e.g., δ'^{18} O vs. δ'^{17} O; 17 O-excess vs. δ'^{18} O (or 612 d-excess)). The study has been carried out in three different sites with various climate settings 613 (Gobabeb: desert climate, Nice: Mediterranean climate, and Indianapolis: humid continental 614 climate). Mean value ¹⁷O-excess of dew in hyper-arid Gobabeb (9±22 per meg) was the lowest 615 with the largest range, while they were similar in other two humid regions Nice (34±12 per meg) 616 and Indianapolis (35±11 per meg). Based on observed data and simulations, we conclude that 617 dew formation in Gobabeb experienced kinetic fractionation processes associated with 618 619 evaporation under non-steady state, as well as for some of the dew events with temperature over 14.7°C in Indianapolis, while the dew formation in Nice did not experience significant 620

evaporation. The local RH difference is responsible for the evaporation difference (equilibrium
or kinetic fractionation) of dew formation, which is also supported by the sensitivity analysis.
Informed by these results, ¹⁷O-excess can be considered as a useful tracer to reveal the different
evaporation process (equilibrium or kinetic fractionation) during dew formation under different
climate settings.

626

627 Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis Research Support Funds Grant, the President's International Research Awards from Indiana University, U.S. National Science Foundation (EAR-1554894), and the National Science Foundation of China (42007155). We would like to thank two anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions, which we believe significantly strengthened our manuscript.

634 **REFERENCE**

- Aguirre-Gutiérrez, C.A., Holwerda, F., Goldsmith, G.R., Delgado, J., Yepez, E., Carbajal, N., Escoto Rodríguez, M., Arredondo, J.T., 2019. The importance of dew in the water balance of a
 continental semiarid grassland. Journal of Arid Environments, 168: 26-35.
- Barkan, E., Luz, B., 2005. High precision measurements of ¹⁷O/¹⁶O and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratios in H₂O. Rapid
 Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 19(24): 3737-3742.
- Barkan, E., Luz, B., 2007. Diffusivity fractionations of H₂¹⁶O/H₂¹⁷O and H₂¹⁶O/H₂¹⁸O in air and their
 implications for isotope hydrology. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 21(18): 2999-3005.
- 642Berman, E.S., Levin, N.E., Landais, A., Li, S., Owano, T., 2013. Measurement of δ^{18} O, δ^{17} O and 17 O-excess643in water by Off-Axis Integrated Cavity Output Spectroscopy and Isotope Ratio Mass644Spectrometry. Anal. Chem., 85(21): 10392-10398.
- 645 Beysens, D., 1995. The formation of dew. Atmos. Res., 39(1-3): 215-237.
- 646 Beysens, D., 2018. Dew Water. River Publishers.
- 647 Cao, X., Liu, Y., 2011. Equilibrium mass-dependent fractionation relationships for triple oxygen isotopes.
 648 Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 75(23): 7435-7445.
- 649 Cook, B.I., Smerdon, J.E., Seager, R., Coats, S., 2014. Global warming and 21 st century drying. Clim. Dyn.,
 650 43(9-10): 2607-2627.
- Crawford, J., Hughes, C.E., Parkes, S.D., 2013. Is the isotopic composition of event based precipitation
 driven by moisture source or synoptic scale weather in the Sydney Basin, Australia? Journal of
 Hydrology, 507: 213-226.
- 654 Criss, R.E., 1999. Principles of stable isotope distribution. Oxford University Press on Demand.
- Cui, J., Tian, L., Wei, Z., Huntingford, C., Wang, P., Cai, Z., Ma, N., Wang, L., 2020. Quantifying the
 controls on evapotranspiration partitioning in the highest alpine meadow ecosystems. Water
 Resour. Res. https://doi.org/10.1029/2019WR024815.
- Deshpande, R., Maurya, A., Kumar, B., Sarkar, A., Gupta, S., 2013. Kinetic fractionation of water isotopes
 during liquid condensation under super-saturated condition. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta,
 100: 60-72.
- Donat, M.G., Alexander, L.V., 2012. The shifting probability distribution of global daytime and night-time
 temperatures. Geophys. Res. Lett., 39(14).
- Fang, J., Ding, Y., 2005. Study of the condensation water and its effect factors on the Fringes of Desert
 Oasis. J. Glaciol. Geocryol., 27(5): 755-760.
- Fiorella, R.P., West, J.B., Bowen, G.J., 2019. Biased estimates of the isotope ratios of steady-state
 evaporation from the assumption of equilibrium between vapour and precipitation. Hydrol.
 Process.: 1-15.
- 668 Geiger, R., 1961. berarbeitete Neuausgabe von Geiger, R: Köppen-Geiger/Klima der Erde. Wandkarte
 669 (wall map), 1: 16.
- Gerlein-Safdi, C., Koohafkan, M.C., Chung, M., Rockwell, F.E., Thompson, S., Caylor, K.K., 2018. Dew
 deposition suppresses transpiration and carbon uptake in leaves. Agricultural and Forest
 Meteorology, 259: 305-316.
- Grammatikopoulos, G., Manetas, Y., 1994. Direct absorption of water by hairy leaves of Phlomis
 fruticosa and its contribution to drought avoidance. Canadian Journal of Botany, 72(12): 1805 1811.
- 676 Guo, X., Zha, T., Jia, X., Wu, B., Feng, W., Xie, J., Gong, J., Zhang, Y., Peltola, H., 2016. Dynamics of Dew in 677 a Cold Desert-Shrub Ecosystem and Its Abiotic Controls. Atmosphere, 7(3): 32.
- Horita, J., Wesolowski, D.J., 1994. Liquid-vapor fractionation of oxygen and hydrogen isotopes of water
 from the freezing to the critical temperature. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 58(16): 34253437.

- Kaseke, K.F., Wang, L., Seely, M.K., 2017. Nonrainfall water origins and formation mechanisms. Sci. adv.,
 3(3): e1603131.
- Kaseke, K.F., Wang, L., Wanke, H., Tian, C., Lanning, M., Jiao, W., 2018. Precipitation origins and key
 drivers of precipitation isotope (180, 2H, and 170) compositions over Windhoek. Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123(14): 7311-7330.
- Kidron, G.J., Starinsky, A., 2019. Measurements and ecological implications of non-rainfall water in
 desert ecosystems–A Review. Ecohydrology: e2121.
- Kidron, G.J., Temina, M., Starinsky, A., 2011. An investigation of the role of water (rain and dew) in
 controlling the growth form of lichens on cobbles in the Negev Desert. Geomicrobiology Journal,
 28(4): 335-346.
- 691 Koppen, W., 1936. Das geographische system der klimat. Handbuch der klimatologie: 46.
- Landais, A., Risi, C., Bony, S., Vimeux, F., Descroix, L., Falourd, S., Bouygues, A., 2010. Combined
 measurements of ¹⁷O-excess and d-excess in African monsoon precipitation: Implications for
 evaluating convective parameterizations. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 298(1): 104-112.
- Lekouch, I., Mileta, M., Muselli, M., Milimouk-Melnytchouk, I., Šojat, V., Kabbachi, B., Beysens, D., 2010.
 Comparative chemical analysis of dew and rain water. Atmos. Res., 95(2-3): 224-234.
- Li, S., Levin, N.E., Chesson, L.A., 2015. Continental scale variation in ¹⁷O-excess of meteoric waters in the
 United States. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 164: 110-126.
- Li, S., Levin, N.E., Soderberg, K., Dennis, K.J., Caylor, K.K., 2017. Triple oxygen isotope composition of leaf
 waters in Mpala, central Kenya. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 468: 38-50.
- Li, X., 2002. Effects of gravel and sand mulches on dew deposition in the semiarid region of China. J.
 Hydrol., 260(1-4): 151-160.
- Luz, B., Barkan, E., 2010. Variations of ¹⁷O/¹⁶O and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O in meteoric waters. Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta, 74(22): 6276-6286.
- Luz, B., Barkan, E., Yam, R., Shemesh, A., 2009. Fractionation of oxygen and hydrogen isotopes in
 evaporating water. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 73(22): 6697-6703.
- Martín, J.L., Bethencourt, J., Cuevas-Agulló, E., 2012. Assessment of global warming on the island of
 Tenerife, Canary Islands (Spain). Trends in minimum, maximum and mean temperatures since
 1944. Climatic Change, 114(2): 343-355.
- 710 Meijer, H., Li, W., 1998. The use of electrolysis for accurate δ^{17} O and δ^{18} O isotope measurements in 711 water. Isotopes Environ. Health Studies, 34(4): 349-369.
- 712 Merlivat, L., 1978. Molecular diffusivities of $H_2^{16}O$, $HD^{16}O$, and $H_2^{18}O$ in gases. The Journal of Chemical 713 Physics, 69(6): 2864-2871.
- Monteith, J., Unsworth, M., 2013. Principles of environmental physics: plants, animals, and the
 atmosphere. Academic Press.
- Munné-Bosch, S., Alegre, L., 1999. Role of dew on the recovery of water-stressed Melissa officinalis L.
 plants. J. Plant Physiol., 154(5-6): 759-766.
- Nepstad, D.C., Stickler, C.M., Filho, B.S.-., Merry, F., 2008. Interactions among Amazon land use, forests
 and climate: prospects for a near-term forest tipping point. Philos. T. R. Soc. B., 363(1498): 17371746.
- Passey, B.H., Ji, H., 2019. Triple oxygen isotope signatures of evaporation in lake waters and carbonates:
 A case study from the western United States. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 518: 1-12.
- Qiao, N., Zhang, L., Huang, C., Jiao, W., Maggs-Kölling, G., Marais, E., Wang, L., 2020. Satellite observed
 positive impacts of fog on vegetation. Geophys. Res. Lett.: e2020GL088428.
- Rahimi, J., Ebrahimpour, M., Khalili, A., 2013. Spatial changes of extended De Martonne climatic zones
 affected by climate change in Iran. Theor. Appl. Climatol., 112(3-4): 409-418.

727 Rao, B., Liu, Y., Wang, W., Hu, C., Dunhai, L., Lan, S., 2009. Influence of dew on biomass and photosystem II activity of cyanobacterial crusts in the Hopq Desert, northwest China. Soil 728 729 Biology and Biochemistry, 41(12): 2387-2393. 730 Ritter, F., Berkelhammer, M., Beysens, D., 2019. Dew frequency across the US from a network of in situ 731 radiometers. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc., 23(2): 1179-1197. 732 Rosenzweig, C., Abramopoulos, F., 1997. Land-surface model development for the GISS GCM. J. Climate, 733 10(8): 2040-2054. Schoenemann, S.W., Schauer, A.J., Steig, E.J., 2013. Measurement of SLAP2 and GISP δ^{17} O and proposed 734 VSMOW-SLAP normalization for δ^{17} O and Γ^{17} O-excess. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 27(5): 735 736 582-590. 737 Soderberg, K., Good, S.P., Wang, L., Caylor, K., 2012. Stable isotopes of water vapor in the vadose zone: 738 A review of measurement and modeling techniques. Vadose Zone Journal, 11(3). 739 Steig, E., Gkinis, V., Schauer, A., Schoenemann, S., Samek, K., Hoffnagle, J., Dennis, K., Tan, S., 2014. 740 Calibrated high-precision ¹⁷O-excess measurements using cavity ring-down spectroscopy with laser-current-tuned cavity resonance. Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7(8): 2421-2435. 741 742 Surma, J., Assonov, S., Bolourchi, M., Staubwasser, M., 2015. Triple oxygen isotope signatures in 743 evaporated water bodies from the Sistan Oasis, Iran. Geophys. Res. Lett., 42(20): 8456-8462. Surma, J., Assonov, S., Herwartz, D., Voigt, C., Staubwasser, M., 2018. The evolution of ¹⁷O-excess in 744 745 surface water of the arid environment during recharge and evaporation. Sci. Rep., 8(1): 4972. 746 Tian, C., Wang, L., 2019. Stable isotope variations of daily precipitation from 2014-2018 in the central 747 United States. Sci. Data, 6: 190018. 748 Tian, C., Wang, L., Jiao, W., Li, F., Tian, F., Zhao, S., 2020. Triple isotope variations of monthly tap water 749 in China. Sci. Data, 7(1): 1-6. Tian, C., Wang, L., Kaseke, K.F., Bird, B.W., 2018. Stable isotope compositions (δ^2 H, δ^{18} O and δ^{17} O) of 750 rainfall and snowfall in the central United States. Sci. Rep., 8: 6712. 751 Tian, C., Wang, L., Novick, K.A., 2016. Water vapor $\delta^2 H$, $\delta^{18} O$ and $\delta^{17} O$ measurements using an off-axis 752 753 integrated cavity output spectrometer-sensitivity to water vapor concentration, delta value and 754 averaging-time. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 30(19): 2077-2086. Tian, C., Wang, L., Tian, F., Zhao, S., Jiao, W., 2019. Spatial and temporal variations of tap water ¹⁷O-755 756 excess in China. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta: 1-14. 757 Tomaszkiewicz, M., Abou Najm, M., Beysens, D., Alameddine, I., El-Fadel, M., 2015. Dew as a sustainable 758 non-conventional water resource: a critical review. Environmental Reviews, 23(4): 425-442. 759 Tomaszkiewicz, M., Najm, M.A., Beysens, D., Alameddine, I., Zeid, E.B., El-Fadel, M., 2016. Projected 760 climate change impacts upon dew yield in the Mediterranean basin. Sci. Total Environ., 566: 761 1339-1348. 762 Tuller, S.E., Chilton, R., 1973. The role of dew in the seasonal moisture balance of a summer-dry climate. 763 Agricultural Meteorology, 11: 135-142. 764 Uclés, O., Villagarcía, L., Cantón, Y., Lázaro, R., Domingo, F., 2015. Non-rainfall water inputs are 765 controlled by aspect in a semiarid ecosystem. Journal of Arid Environments, 113: 43-50. 766 Uechi, Y., Uemura, R., 2019. Dominant influence of the humidity in the moisture source region on the 767 17O-excess in precipitation on a subtropical island. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 513: 20-28. 768 Uemura, R., Barkan, E., Abe, O., Luz, B., 2010. Triple isotope composition of oxygen in atmospheric water 769 vapor. Geophysical Research Letters, 37(4). 770 Vuollekoski, H., Vogt, M., Sinclair, V.A., Duplissy, J., Järvinen, H., Kyrö, E.-M., Makkonen, R., Petäjä, T., 771 Prisle, N.L., Räisänen, P., 2015. Estimates of global dew collection potential on artificial surfaces. 772 Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sc.(19): 601-613.

- Wang, L., Caylor, K.K., Dragoni, D., 2009. On the calibration of continuous, high-precision δ¹⁸O and δ²H
 measurements using an off-axis integrated cavity output spectrometer. Rapid Commun. Mass
 Spectrom., 23(4): 530-536.
- Wang, L., Kaseke, K.F., Ravi, S., Jiao, W., Mushi, R., Shuuya, T., Maggs-Kölling, G., 2019. Convergent
 vegetation fog and dew water use in the Namib Desert. Ecohydrology: e2130.
- Wang, L., Kaseke, K.F., Seely, M.K., 2017. Effects of non-rainfall water inputs on ecosystem functions.
 WIRES. Water, 4(1): e1179.
- Wang, S., Zhang, Q., 2011. Atmospheric physical characteristics of dew formation in semi-arid in loess
 plateau. Acta. Phys. Sin., 60(5): 059203.
- Wen, X., Lee, X., Sun, X., Wang, J., Hu, Z., Li, S., Yu, G., 2012. Dew water isotopic ratios and their
 relationships to ecosystem water pools and fluxes in a cropland and a grassland in China.
 Oecologia, 168(2): 549-561.
- Wen, X., Zhang, S., Sun, X., Yu, G., Lee, X., 2010. Water vapor and precipitation isotope ratios in Beijing,
 China. J. Geophys. Res., 115(D1).
- Winkler, R., Landais, A., Sodemann, H., Dümbgen, L., Prié, F., Masson-Delmotte, V., Stenni, B., Jouzel, J.,
 2012. Deglaciation records of ¹⁷O-excess in East Antarctica: reliable reconstruction of oceanic
 normalized relative humidity from coastal sites. Clim. Past, 8(1): 1-16.
- Winnick, M.J., Chamberlain, C.P., Caves, J.K., Welker, J.M., 2014. Quantifying the isotopic 'continental effect'. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 406: 123-133.
- Ye, Y., Zhou, K., Song, L., Jin, J., Peng, S., 2007. Dew amounts and its correlations with meteorological
 factors in urban landscapes of Guangzhou, China. Atmospheric Research, 86(1): 21-29.
- Zhang, X., Yang, Z., Niu, G., Wang, X., 2009. Stable water isotope simulation in different reservoirs of
 Manaus, Brazil, by Community Land Model incorporating stable isotopic effect. International
 Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 29(5): 619-628.
- Zhang, Y., Hao, X., Sun, H., Hua, D., Qin, J., 2019. How Populus euphratica utilizes dew in an extremely
 arid region. Plant Soil: 1-16.
- 799 Zhao, L., Xiao, H., Zhou, M., Cheng, G., Wang, L., Yin, L., Ren, J., 2012. Factors controlling spatial and 800 seasonal distributions of precipitation δ^{18} O in China. Hydrological Processes, 26(1): 143-152.

801

Site	Country	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	Elevation (m, a.s.l)	Mean annual temperature (°C)	Mean annual relative humidity (%)	Precipitation (mm)	Aridity index	Köppen climate classification
Gobabeb Research									
and Training Center	Namiba	-23.55	15.04	405	21.1	50	<20	0.01	Desert climate
									Mediterranean
Nice	France	43.74	7.27	310	16.0	78	733	0.98	climate
	United								Humid continental
Indianapolis	State	39.88	-86.27	258	10.2	69	953	0.96	climate

Table 1. The detailed information of the three studied sites under different climate settings.

Variable or parameter	Dawin Cababab	Davy ⁰ in Indiananalia
variable of parameter S^{18} of initial system		$Dew_{T \ge 14.7 \text{ C}}$ in indianapolis
	-6.771	-9.164
δ ² H of initial water	-27.708	-56.232
δ^{17} O of initial water	-3.552	-4.813
f	0.5	0.7
$^{18}\alpha_{eq}$	1.01055	1.01001
$^{2}\alpha_{eq}$	1.09455	1.08745
$^{17}\alpha_{eq}$	1.00557	1.00528
$^{18}\alpha_{ m diff}$	1.02830	1.02830
$^{2}\alpha_{\rm diff}$	1.02486	1.02486
$^{17}\alpha_{\rm diff}$	1.01456	1.01456
Average nocturnal temperature	11.8	17.4
Average nocturnal relative humidity	78	93
Simulated relative humidity	78	98
Average daily temperature	18.6	19.2
$^{18}\alpha_{l/v}$	1.00990	1.00985
$^{2}\alpha_{l/v}$	1.08600	1.08529
$^{17}\alpha_{l/v}$	1.00523	1.00520
δ^{18} O of precipitation	-3.533	-5.248
$\delta^2 H$ of precipitation	-25.743	-33.791
δ^{17} O of precipitation	-1.857	-2.745
δ^{18} O of atmospheric water vapor	-13.305	-14.950
$\delta^2 H$ of atmospheric water vapor	-102.898	-109.725
δ^{17} O of atmospheric water vapor	-7.047	-7.902
δ^{17} O-excess of atmospheric water vapor	0	20

Table 2. The variables and parameters of optimal evaporation models under non-steady state conditions for the dew samples in Gobabeb and Indianapolis. For Indianapolis, the samples are the ones with temperature over 14.7°C.



Figure 1. Daily nocturnal average temperature and relatively humidity at Gobabeb (a), Nice (b), and Indianapolis (c).



Figure 2. Dew stable isotope variations at Gobabeb (a), Nice (b), and Indianapolis (c). From top to bottom: ¹⁷O-excess, d-excess, $\delta^{17}O$, $\delta^{18}O$, and $\delta^{2}H$.



Figure 3. The relationships between $\delta^{17}O$ and $\delta^{18}O$ based on daily dew at Gobabeb (a), Nice (b), Indianapolis (c), and all of the three sites (d).



Figure 4. The relationships between ¹⁷O-excess and both δ^{18} O (a) and d-excess (b) based on daily dew at Gobabeb, Nice, and Indianapolis.



Figure 5. Modeled isotopic evolution of different sources at Gobabeb (a-b), and Indianapolis (c-d) in comparison to measured dew isotopic compositions.



Figure 6. The relationships between ¹⁷O-excess and both temperature (a) and relative humidity (b) based on daily dew at Gobabeb, Nice, and Indianapolis.



Figure 7. Modeled isotopic values (star) in Gobabeb and Indianapolis under different temperature and relative humidity in comparison to measured dew isotopic compositions (circle).