

Analytic solutions of two problems of Biology and Physics using the Jacobi elliptic functions

François Dubois, Olivier Lafitte, Clair Poignard

To cite this version:

François Dubois, Olivier Lafitte, Clair Poignard. Analytic solutions of two problems of Biology and Physics using the Jacobi elliptic functions. 16th International Symposium on Orthogonal Polynomials, Special Functions and Applications, Centre de recherches Mathématiques, Jun 2022, Montréal, Canada. hal-04004709

HAL Id: hal-04004709 <https://hal.science/hal-04004709v1>

Submitted on 25 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Analytic solutions of two problems of Biology and Physics using the Jacobi elliptic functions

Francois Dubois, Olivier Lafitte, Clair Poignard

LE CNAM-Université Sorbonne Paris Nord (LAGA)-IRL-CRM-CNRS, Centre de Recherches Mathématiques (U de Montréal)-Inria Bordeaux Sud Ouest

June 17th, 2022

KORKARYKERKER POLO

Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)

 $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{A}$

 2990

Why it is interesting to have analytic formulae and special

Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)

Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.L., F. Dubois et al)

KORK ERKER ADAM ADA

Why it is interesting to have analytic formulae and special

Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)

Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.L., F. Dubois et al)

KORK ERKER ADAM ADA

Why it is interesting to have analytic formulae and special functions?

Special functions in this presentation

Jacobi elliptic function

$$
F_m(\varphi) = \int_0^{\varphi} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - m \sin^2 \theta}}
$$

Modified Jacobi elliptic function

$$
K_m(\varphi) = \int_0^{\varphi} \sqrt{1 - m \sin^2 \theta} d\theta.
$$

Solutions of $(y')^2 = R(y)$, R rational function.

Table of contents **Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)** Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.C. **C. Dubois et al.**) None and special functions (O.C. **C. Poissing to have an**d speci

Contents

Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)

Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.L., F. Dubois et al)

KORK ERKER ADAM ADA

Why it is interesting to have analytic formulae and special functions?

Model coupling the electrostatic potential and the distribution of ions

In Ω : ρ_k is the concentration of ions of species k, of valence z_k , ψ is the electrostatic potential, e is the charge of the proton, ε_s is the dielectric constant of the cell, k_b is the Boltzmann constant and T is the temperature:

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\nabla_x \cdot \left(D_k \left(\nabla_x \rho_k + \frac{e z_k}{k_b T} \rho_k \nabla_x \psi \right) \right) = 0 \\
-\nabla_x \cdot \left(\varepsilon_s \nabla_x \psi \right) = e \sum_k z_k \rho_k\n\end{cases}
$$

Inhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition for the potential on $\partial\Omega$ and no flux condition for each species of ions.

4 0 > 4 4 + 4 = + 4 = + = + + 0 4 0 +

Reduction to a 1D model: $\Omega = [-L, L]$. Boundary conditions

- for the potential: $\psi(\pm L) = \pm \psi_D$
- for the concentration of ions: $(\rho_k' + \frac{ez_k}{k_bT})$ $\frac{ez_k}{k_bT}\rho_k\psi'$ $(\pm L) = 0.$

Table of contents **Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)** Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.C. Dubois et al., $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ O_O 0000000

Reduction of the model to a single ODE

For ion concentrations $\rho_k(x) = \rho_k(-L)e^{-\frac{ez_k}{k_bT}\psi(x)}$. Electrostatic equation:

$$
-\psi'' = \frac{e}{\varepsilon_s} \sum_k z_k \rho_k (-L) e^{-\frac{ez_k}{k_b T} \psi(x)}.
$$

Notation $\varphi(X) = \frac{e}{k_b T} \psi(XL)$, $X \in [-1, 1]$. Equation

$$
-\varphi'' = \frac{e^2 L^2}{k_b T \varepsilon_s} \sum_k z_k \rho_k (-L) e^{-z_k \varphi}.
$$

Number of ions of each species given

$$
n_k = \int_{-L}^{L} \rho_k(-L)e^{-\frac{ez_k}{k_bT}\psi(x)}dx \Leftrightarrow \rho_k(-L) = \frac{n_k}{\int_{-L}^{L} e^{-\frac{ez_k}{k_bT}\psi(x)}dx}.
$$

KORKARYKERKER POLO

Reduction to a elliptic equation

Equation:
$$
-\varphi'' = \frac{e^2 L}{k_b T \varepsilon_s} \sum_k n_k z_k \frac{e^{-z_k \varphi}}{\int_{-1}^1 e^{-z_k \varphi(X)} dX}
$$
. Boundary conditions $\varphi(\pm 1) = \pm \varphi_D$.

Lemma

This problem has a unique solution in $H^1([-1,1])$.

Proof: one observes that it is the Euler equation for $J(\varphi) = \int_{-1}^{1}$ 1 $\frac{1}{2}[(\varphi'(X))^2 + \frac{e^2L}{k_bT\varepsilon}]$ $\frac{e^{2}L}{k_{b}T\varepsilon_{s}}\sum_{k}n_{k}\ln(\int_{-1}^{1}e^{-z_{k}\varphi(X)}dX)]dX.$

$$
\frac{1}{2}(\varphi')^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\varphi'(X_0))^2 = \frac{e^2 L}{k_b T \varepsilon_s} \sum_k n_k \frac{e^{-z_k \varphi} - e^{-z_k \varphi(X_0)}}{\int_{-1}^1 e^{-z_k \varphi(X)} dX}.
$$
 (1)

 $f(X) = e^{-\varphi(X)}$ yields $(f')^2 = (\varphi')^2 f^2 \Rightarrow (f')^2 = R(f)$, where R is a rational fraction \Rightarrow elliptic equation.

KORKARYKERKER POLO

First application: the electroneutral case for two species of

ions $z_k = \pm 1$

Notation $n_+ = n_- = n$ and introduce $\alpha = \frac{e^2 Ln}{k_h T \varepsilon_s}$ $\frac{e^2 L n}{k_b T \varepsilon_s}$. Equation $e^{-\varphi}$ ϕ

$$
-\varphi'' = \alpha \left[\frac{e^{-\varphi}}{\int_{-1}^{1} e^{-\varphi(X)} dX} - \frac{e^{\varphi}}{\int_{-1}^{1} e^{\varphi(X)} dX} \right].
$$

One proves φ odd. Thanks to $\int_{-1}^1 e^{-\varphi(X)}dX = \int_{-1}^1 e^{\varphi(X)}dX = 2\int_0^1 e^{-\varphi(X)}dX$, reduce the equation on $(0, 1)$ to

٠

$$
(f')^2 = 2f^2 \alpha \frac{f+f^{-1}-2K}{\int_{-1}^1 e^{-\varphi(X)}dX} = \beta f(f^2 - 2Kf + 1),
$$

$$
\beta = \frac{2\alpha}{\int_{-1}^1 e^{-\varphi(X)}dX}, \ K = 1 - \frac{(\varphi'(0))^2}{2\beta} < 1, \ f(0) = 1, \ f(1) = e^{-\varphi_D}.
$$

$$
\int_{1}^{e^{-\varphi(X)}} \frac{df}{\sqrt{f(f^2 - 2Kf + 1)}} = \sqrt{\beta} X. \tag{2}
$$

Equations for determining β and K : $\int_1^{e^{-\varphi_D}}$ $\frac{d}{dt}$ $\frac{df}{\sqrt{f(f^2-t^2)}}$ $\frac{df}{f(f^2-2Kf+1)} = \sqrt{\beta}, \int_1^{e^{-\varphi}D}$ $\frac{f df}{1}$ $\frac{f df}{\sqrt{f (f^2-2)}}$ $\frac{fdf}{f(f^2-2Kf+1)}=\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\beta}}.$

Expression of the solution

Case $K<-1$. Polynomial $f(f+q)(f+\frac{1}{q})$ $(\frac{1}{q})$, $q > 1$. New unknown

$$
\sin^2 \varphi = \frac{e^{-\varphi(X)}}{e^{-\varphi(X)} + \frac{1}{q}}, \text{ new variable } \sin^2 \theta = \frac{f}{f + \frac{1}{q}} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{f + \frac{1}{q}},
$$

$$
2 \sin \theta \cos \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{q} \frac{df}{(f + \frac{1}{q})^2}, \cos^2 \theta = \frac{1}{q} \frac{1}{(f + \frac{1}{q})^2},
$$

$$
f(f+q)(f+\frac{1}{q}) = \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\cos^6 \theta} (q + (\frac{1}{q} - q) \sin^2 \theta).
$$

With $m=1-\frac{1}{q^2}$ q^2

$$
\frac{df}{\sqrt{f(f+q)(f+\frac{1}{q})}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{q}} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1-m\sin^2\theta}},
$$

 $F_m(\arcsin \sqrt{\frac{e^{-\varphi(X)}}{\sqrt{e^{-\varphi(X)}}}})$ $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{q}}$) – $F_m(\arcsin\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{n}}}$ $) = \sqrt{\beta}X$ (3) $e^{-\varphi(X)} + \frac{1}{a}$ $1 + \frac{1}{q}$ **K ロ ト K 何 ト K ヨ ト K ヨ ト**

Boundary layer on the potential near the charged interface. The potential in the Gouy-Chapman-Stern is described exactly.

イロト イ母 トイミト イミト ニヨー りんぺ

Table of contents **Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)** Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.C. \overline{O}

Boundary layer on the potential near the charged interface. The potential in the Gouy-Chapman-Stern is described exactly.

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

Second application: the non electroneutral case for two species of ions $z_k = \pm 1$

With $\varphi_D = 0$, $n_+ \neq n_-$, one proves that the function φ is even, has its maximum (or its minimum) at $X = 0$ according to the sign of $n_{+} - n_{-}$. Equation $(f_0 = e^{-\varphi(0)} := e^{-\varphi_0})$ $(f')^2 = 2\alpha f^2[\beta_-(\frac{1}{f} - \frac{1}{f_0})]$ $(\frac{1}{f_0}) + \beta_+(f - f_0)],$ with $\beta_- = \frac{n_-}{\int_0^1 f(x)}$ $\frac{n_-}{\int_{-1}^1 f(X)dX}, \beta_+ = \frac{n_+^2}{\int_{-1}^1 f^{-1}(x)}$ −1 $\frac{n_+}{\int_{-1}^1 f^{-1}(X)dX}.$ Need three equations fo −1 f_0, β_-, β_+ . Introduce $f_1 = \frac{\beta_+}{\beta_- f}$ $\frac{\rho_+}{\beta_-\mathit{f}_0}$. Equation $(f')^{2} = 2\alpha\beta_{+}f_{0}^{-1}f(f - f_{0})(f - f_{1}) = K^{2}f(f - f_{0})(f - f_{1}).$ Two cases: $f_1 < f_0$ (f_0 is the point of minimum of f) or $f_1 > f_0$ (f_0 is the point of maximum of f).

KORKARYKERKER POLO

Table of contents **Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)** Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.C. Dubois et al., $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{n}}}$

Solution $(0 < f_1 < f_0, m_0 f_0 = f_1)$

$$
K|X| = \int_{f_0}^{e^{-\varphi(X)}} \frac{df}{\sqrt{f(f - f_0)(f - m_0 f_0)}}
$$

Conditions for the three constants (K^2) $f_0 = 2\alpha\beta_+$:

$$
\begin{cases}\nK = \int_{f_0}^1 \frac{df}{\sqrt{f(f-f_0)(f-m_0f_0)}},\\ \nKn_+ = 2\beta_+ \int_{f_0}^1 \frac{fdf}{\sqrt{f(f-f_0)(f-m_0f_0)}},\\ \nKn_- = 2\beta_- \int_{f_0}^1 \frac{df}{f\sqrt{f(f-f_0)(f-m_0f_0)}}.\n\end{cases}
$$
\nChange of variable in the integral $\sin^2 \varphi = \frac{f-f_0}{f-m_0f_0}$.

$$
K|X| = 2(1 - m_0)f_0^{\frac{1}{2}}F_{m_0}(\arcsin\sqrt{\frac{e^{-\varphi(X)} - f_0}{e^{-\varphi(X)} - m_0f_0}}). \tag{4}
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © 9 Q @

Table of contents Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard) **Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.**
 O.D. CO.D. CO.D. CO.D. CO.D. CO.D. CO.D. CO.D. CO.D. CO.D. CO.D.

Contents

Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)

Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.L., F. Dubois et al)

KORK ERKER ADAM ADA

Why it is interesting to have analytic formulae and special functions?

The coupled 1d model

Diffusion equation for the neutrons: density of probability $\Phi(z,t)$ of neutrons in $[0, L]$ at time t (h: enthalpy (temperature), V: velocity of neutrons)

1 $\frac{1}{V}\partial_t \Phi - \partial_z(D(h)\partial_z \Phi) + \Sigma_a(h)\Phi = \Sigma_f(h)\Phi$ Boundary conditions: $\Phi(0, t) = 0, \Phi(L, t) = 0$. Condition on the density $\int_0^L \Phi(z, 0) dz = 1$. Classical analysis: $\Phi(z,t) = e^{t\tau}\varphi(z)$. Sign of τ important. Equation on φ

$$
-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz})+\Sigma_a(h)\varphi=(\Sigma_f(h)-\frac{\tau}{V})\varphi
$$

KORKARYKERKER POLO

Definition $k_{eff} = \frac{\Sigma_f(h)}{\Sigma_f(h) - \Sigma_f(h)}$ $\frac{\sum_{f}(h)}{\sum_{f}(h)-\frac{\tau}{V}}$. Eigenvalue problem:

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + \Sigma_a(h)\varphi = \frac{\Sigma_f(h)}{k_{eff}}\varphi, \\
\varphi(0) = \varphi(L) = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$
\nSupord with the production of orthely $h' = O\sum_{i} f(i)$.

Coupled with the production of enthalpy $h' = \mathcal{Q} \Sigma_f(h) \varphi$.

Nonlinear syst

$$
\lim_{h \to 0} \begin{cases}\n-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + \Sigma_a(h)\varphi = \frac{\Sigma_f(h)}{k_{eff}}\varphi, \\
h' = \mathcal{Q}\Sigma_f(h)\varphi, \\
\varphi(0) = \varphi(L) = 0, \\
h(0) = h_e, h(L) = h_s.\n\end{cases}
$$

Four boundary conditions, problem of order 3: yields $k_{eff}:=\lambda^{-1}.$ Exact integration

$$
-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + (\frac{\Sigma_a(h)}{\Sigma_f(h)} - \lambda)\frac{h'}{Q} = 0
$$

$$
-D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz} + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{Q} = 0
$$

$$
-\frac{d\varphi}{dz}\varphi + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{\Sigma_f(h)D(h)Q^2}h' = 0
$$

$$
X(h_c) = X(h_c) = Y(h_c) = Y(h_c) = 0
$$

Finally (with $X(h_e) = X(h_s) = Y(h_e) = Y(h_s) = 0$)

$$
-\mathcal{Q}^2\frac{\varphi^2}{2} + X(h) - \lambda Y(h) = 0 \Rightarrow (h')^2 = R(h). \tag{5}
$$

$$
\text{Nonlinear system} \begin{cases} \n-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + \Sigma_a(h)\varphi = \frac{\Sigma_f(h)}{k_{eff}}\varphi, \\ \nh' = \mathcal{Q}\Sigma_f(h)\varphi, \\ \n\varphi(0) = \varphi(L) = 0, \\ \nh(0) = h_e, h(L) = h_s. \n\end{cases}
$$

Four boundary conditions, problem of order 3: yields $k_{eff}:=\lambda^{-1}.$ Exact integration

$$
-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + (\frac{\Sigma_a(h)}{\Sigma_f(h)} - \lambda)\frac{h'}{\mathcal{Q}} = 0
$$

$$
-D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz} + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{\mathcal{Q}} = 0
$$

$$
-\frac{d\varphi}{dz}\varphi + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{\Sigma_f(h)D(h)\mathcal{Q}^2}h' = 0
$$
Finally (with $X(h_e) = X(h_s) = Y(h_e) = Y(h_s) = 0$)

 $-\mathcal{Q}^2\frac{\varphi^2}{2}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial 2} + X(h) - \lambda Y(h) = 0 \Rightarrow (h')^2 = R(h).$ (5)

$$
\text{Nonlinear system} \begin{cases} \n-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + \Sigma_a(h)\varphi = \frac{\Sigma_f(h)}{k_{eff}}\varphi, \\ \nh' = \mathcal{Q}\Sigma_f(h)\varphi, \\ \n\varphi(0) = \varphi(L) = 0, \\ \nh(0) = h_e, h(L) = h_s. \n\end{cases}
$$

Four boundary conditions, problem of order 3: yields $k_{eff}:=\lambda^{-1}.$ Exact integration

$$
-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + (\frac{\Sigma_a(h)}{\Sigma_f(h)} - \lambda)\frac{h'}{Q} = 0
$$

$$
-D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz} + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{Q} = 0
$$

$$
-\frac{d\varphi}{dz}\varphi + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{\Sigma_f(h)D(h)Q}h' = 0
$$

$$
X(h) = X(h) - X(h) - X(h) = 0
$$

Finally (with $X(h_e) = X(h_s) = Y(h_e) = Y(h_s) = 0$)

$$
-\mathcal{Q}^2\frac{\varphi^2}{2} + X(h) - \lambda Y(h) = 0 \Rightarrow (h')^2 = R(h). \tag{5}
$$

$$
\text{Nonlinear system} \begin{cases} \n-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + \Sigma_a(h)\varphi = \frac{\Sigma_f(h)}{k_{eff}}\varphi, \\ \nh' = \mathcal{Q}\Sigma_f(h)\varphi, \\ \n\varphi(0) = \varphi(L) = 0, \\ \nh(0) = h_e, h(L) = h_s. \n\end{cases}
$$

Four boundary conditions, problem of order 3: yields $k_{eff}:=\lambda^{-1}.$ Exact integration

$$
-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + (\frac{\Sigma_a(h)}{\Sigma_f(h)} - \lambda)\frac{h'}{\mathcal{Q}} = 0
$$

$$
-D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz} + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{\mathcal{Q}} = 0
$$

$$
-\frac{d\varphi}{dz}\varphi + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{\Sigma_f(h)D(h)\mathcal{Q}^2}h' = 0
$$

Finally (with $X(h_e) = X(h_s) = Y(h_e) = Y(h_s) = 0$)

$$
-\mathcal{Q}^2\frac{\varphi^2}{2} + X(h) - \lambda Y(h) = 0 \Rightarrow (h')^2 = R(h). \tag{5}
$$

$$
\text{Nonlinear system} \begin{cases} \n-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + \Sigma_a(h)\varphi = \frac{\Sigma_f(h)}{k_{eff}}\varphi, \\ \nh' = \mathcal{Q}\Sigma_f(h)\varphi, \\ \n\varphi(0) = \varphi(L) = 0, \\ \nh(0) = h_e, h(L) = h_s. \n\end{cases}
$$

Four boundary conditions, problem of order 3: yields $k_{eff}:=\lambda^{-1}.$ Exact integration

$$
-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + (\frac{\Sigma_a(h)}{\Sigma_f(h)} - \lambda)\frac{h'}{Q} = 0
$$

$$
-D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz} + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{Q} = 0
$$

$$
-\frac{d\varphi}{dz}\varphi + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{\Sigma_f(h)D(h)Q^2}h' = 0
$$

$$
K(h) = K(h) - V(h) - V(h) = 0
$$

Finally (with $X(h_e) = X(h_s) = Y(h_e) = Y(h_s) = 0$)

$$
-\mathcal{Q}^2\frac{\varphi^2}{2} + X(h) - \lambda Y(h) = 0 \Rightarrow (h')^2 = R(h). \tag{5}
$$

ϕ,

 299

Coupled problem and its integration

$$
\text{Nonlinear system} \begin{cases} \n-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + \Sigma_a(h)\varphi = \frac{\Sigma_f(h)}{k_{eff}}\varphi\\ \nh' = \mathcal{Q}\Sigma_f(h)\varphi, \\ \n\varphi(0) = \varphi(L) = 0, \\ \nh(0) = h_e, h(L) = h_s. \n\end{cases}
$$

Four boundary conditions, problem of order 3: yields $k_{eff}:=\lambda^{-1}.$ Exact integration

$$
-\frac{d}{dz}(D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz}) + (\frac{\Sigma_a(h)}{\Sigma_f(h)} - \lambda)\frac{h'}{\mathcal{Q}} = 0
$$

$$
-D(h)\frac{d\varphi}{dz} + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{\mathcal{Q}} = 0
$$

$$
-\frac{d\varphi}{dz}\varphi + \frac{F(h) - \lambda h + C_0}{\Sigma_f(h)D(h)\mathcal{Q}^2}h' = 0
$$
Finally (with $X(h_e) = X(h_s) = Y(h_e) = Y(h_s) = 0$)

$$
-\mathcal{Q}^2\frac{\varphi^2}{2} + X(h) - \lambda Y(h) = 0 \Rightarrow (h')^2 = R(h). \tag{5}
$$

First example: $X(h) \simeq (h - h_e)(h_s - h)(a_X h + b_X)$, $Y(h) \simeq (h - h_e)(h_s - h)(a_V h + b_V).$

Obtain a_X, a_Y, b_X, b_Y by projection of solutions of certain ODEs.

$$
(h')^{2} = \mu^{2}(h - h_{e})(h_{s} - h)((a_{X} - \lambda a_{Y})h + (b_{X} - \lambda b_{Y})).
$$

New unknown $h(z) = h_e + (h_s - h_e) \sin^2 \theta(z)$, $\theta(0) = 0$, $\theta(L) = \frac{\pi}{2}$:

$$
(\theta'(z))^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{4} [(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y) + (a_X - \lambda a_Y)(h_s - h_e)\sin^2\theta(z)).
$$

Define
$$
m(\lambda) = -\frac{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)(h_s - h_e)}{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)}
$$
. Equality

$$
\int_0^{\theta(z)} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - m \sin^2 \theta}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \sqrt{(a_X - \lambda a_Y) h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)} z. \tag{6}
$$

$$
F_{m(\lambda)}(\frac{\pi}{2})=\frac{\mu}{2}\sqrt{(a_X-\lambda a_Y)h_e+(b_X-\lambda b_Y)}L.
$$

First example: $X(h) \simeq (h - h_e)(h_s - h)(a_X h + b_X)$, $Y(h) \simeq (h - h_e)(h_s - h)(a_Y h + b_Y).$

Obtain a_X, a_Y, b_X, b_Y by projection of solutions of certain ODEs.

$$
(h')^{2} = \mu^{2}(h - h_{e})(h_{s} - h)((a_{X} - \lambda a_{Y})h + (b_{X} - \lambda b_{Y})).
$$

New unknown $h(z) = h_e + (h_s - h_e) \sin^2 \theta(z)$, $\theta(0) = 0$, $\theta(L) = \frac{\pi}{2}$:

$$
(\theta'(z))^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{4} [(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y) + (a_X - \lambda a_Y)(h_s - h_e)\sin^2(\theta(z)).
$$

Define
$$
m(\lambda) = -\frac{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)(h_s - h_e)}{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)}
$$
. Equality

$$
\int_0^{\theta(z)} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - m \sin^2 \theta}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \sqrt{(a_X - \lambda a_Y) h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)} z. \tag{6}
$$

$$
F_{m(\lambda)}(\frac{\pi}{2})=\frac{\mu}{2}\sqrt{(a_X-\lambda a_Y)h_e+(b_X-\lambda b_Y)}L.
$$

First example: $X(h) \simeq (h - h_e)(h_s - h)(a_X h + b_X)$, $Y(h) \simeq (h - h_e)(h_s - h)(a_Y h + b_Y).$

Obtain a_X, a_Y, b_X, b_Y by projection of solutions of certain ODEs.

$$
(h')^{2} = \mu^{2}(h - h_{e})(h_{s} - h)((a_{X} - \lambda a_{Y})h + (b_{X} - \lambda b_{Y})).
$$

New unknown $h(z) = h_e + (h_s - h_e) \sin^2 \theta(z)$, $\theta(0) = 0$, $\theta(L) = \frac{\pi}{2}$:

$$
(\theta'(z))^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{4} [(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y) + (a_X - \lambda a_Y)(h_s - h_e)\sin^2(\theta(z)).
$$

Define
$$
m(\lambda) = -\frac{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)(h_s - h_e)}{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)}
$$
. Equality

$$
\int_0^{\theta(z)} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - m \sin^2 \theta}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \sqrt{(a_X - \lambda a_Y) h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)} z.
$$
 (6)

$$
F_{m(\lambda)}(\frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{\mu}{2} \sqrt{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)} L.
$$

First example: $X(h) \simeq (h - h_e)(h_s - h)(a_X h + b_X)$, $Y(h) \simeq (h - h_e)(h_s - h)(a_V h + b_V).$

Obtain a_X, a_Y, b_X, b_Y by projection of solutions of certain ODEs.

$$
(h')^{2} = \mu^{2}(h - h_{e})(h_{s} - h)((a_{X} - \lambda a_{Y})h + (b_{X} - \lambda b_{Y})).
$$

New unknown $h(z) = h_e + (h_s - h_e) \sin^2 \theta(z)$, $\theta(0) = 0$, $\theta(L) = \frac{\pi}{2}$:

$$
(\theta'(z))^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{4} [(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y) + (a_X - \lambda a_Y)(h_s - h_e)\sin^2(\theta(z)).
$$

Define
$$
m(\lambda) = -\frac{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)(h_s - h_e)}{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)}
$$
. Equality

$$
\int_0^{\theta(z)} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{1 - m \sin^2 \theta}} = \frac{\mu}{2} \sqrt{(a_X - \lambda a_Y) h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)} z.
$$
 (6)

$$
F_{m(\lambda)}(\frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{\mu}{2} \sqrt{(a_X - \lambda a_Y)h_e + (b_X - \lambda b_Y)} L.
$$

Second example (joint work with F. Dubois): $D=1, \Sigma_a=1, \Sigma_f$ known at three values h_1, h_2, h_3

Possible choice of solutions for the coupled problem

- 1. Σ_f interpolation polynomial of degree $2 \rightarrow Y$ polynomial of degree four \rightarrow elliptic function as solutions (see A-S formulae 17.4.41 to 17.4.57), after transformation of the polynomial to $(t^2 - a^2)(b^2 - t^2)$ or $(a^2 - t^2)(t^2 + b^2)$ by homographic transform of the roots of Y into $\pm a, \pm b$ or $\pm a, \pm ib$.
- 2. Σ_f continuous, piecewise linear on intervals $[h_e, h_1], [h_1, h_2], [h_2, h_3], [h_3, h_s] \to Y \in C^1$ piecewise cubic polynomial h piecewise an inverse incomplete elliptic function.

KORKARYKERKER POLO

In the first case, equation on λ of the form $F_{m(\lambda)}(g(\lambda)) = L.$ In the second case, one has a more complicated equation. Main result for applications: the values of λ are quite different, though the solutions are extremely similar.

Second example (joint work with F. Dubois): $D=1, \Sigma_a=1, \Sigma_f$ known at three values h_1, h_2, h_3

Possible choice of solutions for the coupled problem

- 1. Σ_f interpolation polynomial of degree $2 \rightarrow Y$ polynomial of degree four \rightarrow elliptic function as solutions (see A-S formulae 17.4.41 to 17.4.57), after transformation of the polynomial to $(t^2 - a^2)(b^2 - t^2)$ or $(a^2 - t^2)(t^2 + b^2)$ by homographic transform of the roots of Y into $\pm a, \pm b$ or $\pm a, \pm ib$.
- 2. Σ_f continuous, piecewise linear on intervals $[h_e, h_1], [h_1, h_2], [h_2, h_3], [h_3, h_s] \to Y \in C^1$ piecewise cubic polynomial h piecewise an inverse incomplete elliptic function.

KORKARYKERKER POLO

In the first case, equation on λ of the form $F_{m(\lambda)}(g(\lambda)) = L.$ In the second case, one has a more complicated equation. Main result for applications: the values of λ are quite different, though the solutions are extremely similar.

Second example (joint work with F. Dubois): $D=1, \Sigma_a=1, \Sigma_f$ known at three values h_1, h_2, h_3

Possible choice of solutions for the coupled problem

- 1. Σ_f interpolation polynomial of degree $2 \rightarrow Y$ polynomial of degree four \rightarrow elliptic function as solutions (see A-S formulae 17.4.41 to 17.4.57), after transformation of the polynomial to $(t^2 - a^2)(b^2 - t^2)$ or $(a^2 - t^2)(t^2 + b^2)$ by homographic transform of the roots of Y into $\pm a, \pm b$ or $\pm a, \pm ib$.
- 2. Σ_f continuous, piecewise linear on intervals $[h_e, h_1], [h_1, h_2], [h_2, h_3], [h_3, h_s] \to Y \in C^1$ piecewise cubic polynomial h piecewise an inverse incomplete elliptic function.

In the first case, equation on λ of the form $F_{m(\lambda)}(g(\lambda)) = L.$ In the second case, one has a more complicated equation. Main result for applications: the values of λ are quite different, though the solutions are extremely similar.

Table of contents Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard) Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (
 0000000
 0000000

Figure: Function $h \mapsto \Sigma(h)$.

K ロ ▶ K 個 ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ 이 할 → 9 Q Q →

 φ and λ

KORK ERKER ADA ADA KORA

Figure: Functions $z \mapsto \varphi(z)$: λ : 1.01722, 1.07373, 1.0043, 1.01991, 1, 01507.

Contents

Potential in a cell generated by a density of ions (O.L.-C. Poignard)

Coupling thermohydraulics and neutronics (O.L., F. Dubois et al)

 $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{A}$

 2990

Why it is interesting to have analytic formulae and special functions?

Why does one need analytic formulae?

- Quick and accurate calculations and graphical representation thanks to the presence of the special functions in packages.
- In the study of the potential inside a cell: link with models of exchanges of ions: dependency in terms of L and of φ_D or of number of ions.
- Uncertainty and sensitivity analysis (one has a dependency on parameters, n and L in the case of the first problem, n_-, n_+, L in the case of the second problem, given values of $D(h)$, $\Sigma_a(h)$, $\Sigma_f(h)$ in the third problem)

4 0 > 4 4 + 4 = + 4 = + = + + 0 4 0 +