

Diaphragm pacing improves sleep in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis

Jesus Gonzalez-Bermejo, Capucine Morélot-Panzini, François Salachas, Stefania Redolfi, Christian Straus, Marie-Hélène Becquemin, Isabelle Arnulf, Pierre-François Pradat, Gaëlle Bruneteau, Anthony R Ignagni, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Jesus Gonzalez-Bermejo, Capucine Morélot-Panzini, François Salachas, Stefania Redolfi, Christian Straus, et al.. Diaphragm pacing improves sleep in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Other Motor Neuron Disorders, 2011, 13, pp.44 - 54. 10.3109/17482968.2011.597862. hal-04004661

HAL Id: hal-04004661 https://hal.science/hal-04004661

Submitted on 25 Feb 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

AUTHOR QUERY SHEET

Author(s):	GONZALEZ-BERMEJO, MORELOT-PANZINI, SALACHAS, REDOLFI, STRAUS, BECQUEMIN, Arnulf, Pradat, Bruneteau, IGNAGNI, DIOP, ONDERS, NELSON, MENEGAUX, Meininger, SIMILOWSKI
Article title:	Diaphragm pacing improves sleep in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
Article no:	597862

Dear Author,

Please check these proofs carefully. It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to check against the original manuscript and approve or amend these proofs. A second proof is not normally provided. Informa Healthcare cannot be held responsible for uncorrected errors, even if introduced during the composition process. The journal reserves the right to charge for excessive author alterations, or for changes requested after the proofing stage has concluded.

The following queries have arisen during the editing of your manuscript and are marked in the margins of the proofs. Unless advised otherwise, submit all corrections using the CATS online correction form. Once you have added all your corrections, please ensure you press the "Submit All Corrections" button.

Page No.	Query Details	Author Reply
1	[AQ1] Affiliations are correct? Please check and advise	
3	[AQ2] We have inserted a running head. Please approve or provide an alternative.	
10	[AQ3] Ref. 14. No volume number. Could author please provide.	
9	[AQ4] Where is the asterisk in the table, please?	

1			61
2			62
3	Diaphragm pacing improves sleep	in patients with amyotrophic	63
4 5	lateral sclerosis		65
6			66
7			67
8			68
9	JESUS GONZALEZ-BERMEJO ¹⁻⁴ , CAPUCINE	L MORÉLOT-PANZINI ^{1,2} ,	69
10	FRANÇOIS SALACHAS ^{3,4} , STEFANIA REDO	LFI⁵, CHRISTIAN STRAUS ^{2,6} ,	70
11	MARIE-HÉLÈNE BECQUEMIN ⁶ , ISABELLE	ARNULF ⁵ , PIERRE-FRANÇOIS PRADAT ^{3,4} ,	71
12	GAËLLE BRUNETEAU ^{3,4} , ANTHONY R. IGN	IAGNI ⁷ , MOUSTAPHA DIOP ⁷ ,	72
13	RAYMOND ONDERS ⁸ , TERESA NELSON ⁹ , F	ABRICE MENEGAUX ¹⁰ ,	73
14	VINCENT MEININGER ^{3,4} & THOMAS SIMI	LOWSKI ^{1,2}	74
15			75
16	¹ Service de Pneumologie et Réanimation, ⁴ Département des M	1aladies du Système Nerveux, ⁵ Unité Fonctionnelle des	76
17	Pathologies du Sommeil, ⁶ Service Central d'Explorations Fon	ctionnelles Respiratoires, ¹⁰ Service de Chirurgie Générale,	77
18 [AO1] 10	Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris, Groupe Hospitalier	Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, ² Université Paris, ER10 UPMC,	78 70
20	Paris, ³ National Reference Centre for Amyotrophic Lateral Sc	lerosis, Paris, France, ⁷ Synapse Biomedical Inc., Oberlin,	79 80
20	Ohio, ⁸ Department of Surgery, University Hospitals Case Me	dical Center, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland,	81
22	Ohio, and ⁹ Technomics Research, Minneapolis, Minnesota, U	SA	82
23			83
24			84
25			85
26	Abstract		86
27	In amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) patients, respiratory	insufficiency is a major burden. Diaphragm conditioning by	87
28	electrical stimulation could interfere with lung function dec	line by promoting the development of type 1 muscle fibres.	88
29	we describe an ancillary study to a prospective, non-random	ized trial (NC100420719) assessing the effects of diaphragm	89
30	we postulated that they would provide a sensitive marker. Intr	amuscular diaphragm pacers were implanted laparoscopically	90
31	in 18 ALS patients for daily conditioning. ALS functioning so	ore (ALSFRS), FVC, sniff nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP),	91
32	and polysomnographic recordings (PSG, performed with the	stimulator turned off) were assessed before implantation and	92
20	after four months of conditioning $(n = 14)$. Sleep efficiency	w improved $(69 \pm 15\% \text{ to } 75 \pm 11\%, p = 0.0394)$ with fewer	95
35	arousals and micro-arousals. This occurred against a backgro	and of deterioration as ALSFRS-R, FVC, and SNIP declined.	94
36	to impaired expiration. Supporting a better diaphragm func	ion approas and hypoppoess during REM sleep decreased	96
37	In conclusion, in these severe patients not expected to exp	erience spontaneous improvements, diaphragm conditioning	97
38	improved sleep and there were hints at diaphragm function	changes.	98
39			99
40	Key words: Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, respiratory insufficient	y, diaphragm, diaphragm pacing, sleep-related disturbances	100
41			101
42	T . T . I		102
43	Introduction	and reduced life expectancy (9). Riluzole, a blocker	103
44	Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a neuro-	of tetrodotoxin-sensitive sodium channels, can	104
45	degenerative disorder of unknown origin leading to	delay the onset of ventilator dependence and prolong	105
46	death one to three years after diagnosis (1–4). Motor	survival by a few months (10), but there is no	106
47	neuron cell death leads to progressive weakness	curative treatment for ALS. Non-invasive ventila-	107
48	of muscles. Respiratory muscle weakness and the	tion (NIV) is now part of the standard of care	100
49	corresponding respiratory failure are prominent neg	tor patients with advanced respiratory insufficiency	109

corresponding respiratory failure are prominent neg-50

ative prognostic factors in ALS. It is associated with 51 reductions in quality of life and survival (1,3,5 6), 52 respiratory failure being the most common cause of 53 death in ALS patients (7). Specifically, the involve-54 ment of the diaphragm in the degenerative process

is associated with dyspnoea (8), sleep alterations (9),

for patients with advanced respiratory insufficiency 110 (11-13). NIV alleviates dyspnoea, improves sleep, 111 and prolongs life.

112 In the absence of curative therapy, symptomatic 113 approaches aimed at improving muscle strength 114 and/or endurance could be considered. Moderate 115 improvements of unknown clinical relevance have 116

117 Correspondence: T. Similowski, Service de Pneumologie et Réanimation, Groupe Hospitalier Pitié-Salpêtrière, 47-83 Bd. de l'Hôpital, 75651 Paris, 58 118 Cedex 13, France. Fax: 33 1 70 24 72 82. E-mail: thomas.similowski@psl.aphp.fr 59 119

ISSN 1748-2968 print/ISSN 1471-180X online © 2011 Informa Healthcare DOI: 10.3109/17482968.2011.597862

⁵⁵ 56 57

⁽Received 9 March 2011; accepted 13 June 2011) 60

been observed in response to inspiratory muscle
 training (14) and the administration of theophyl lines that slightly increase respiratory muscle
 endurance (15).

5 Electrical neuromuscular stimulation of striated muscles can improve their function (16), and 6 7 preliminary observations have suggested that low 8 frequency electrical stimulation of limb muscles 9 could be beneficial in Duchenne's myopathy (17) 10 and in ALS (18). Regarding the diaphragm, dia-11 phragm pacing can reverse diaphragm disuse 12 atrophy in quadriplegic patients (19,20). It is also known, from animal models, to promote the devel-13 14 opment of oxidative fatigue-resistant type 1 muscle 15 fibres (21-23). It has therefore been hypothesized 16 that low frequency electrical stimulation of the dia-17 phragm could slow the decline in vital capacity (or 18 forced vital capacity) that is characteristic of ALS. 19 It could also be that ALS-related phrenic degenera-20 tion is heterogeneous (24), and that there can be a variable distribution of upper and lower motor 21 22 neuron losses. Exploratory attempts at electrically 23 conditioning the diaphragm in ALS patients through 24 intra-diaphragmatic phrenic nerve stimulation 25 have shown sufficient promise for a multicentre 26 trial to be launched with the approval of the US 27 Food and Drug Administration (ClinicalTrials.gov 28 NCT00420719). This trial was designed to deter-29 mine the effect of diaphragm pacing on a primary 30 endpoint of forced vital capacity decline. As of 31 March 2011, the results of this trial are pending and 32 will be the subject of a separate report.

The present article reports on an ancillary study to NCT00420719 performed within the cohort of patients implanted at the French participating centre. In this study, the impact of diaphragm pacing on sleep has been assessed using polysomnographic recording.

39 There is a close link between diaphragm function 40 and sleep abnormalities, both in general (review 41 in 25,26) and during ALS where diaphragm weak-42 ness is associated with REM sleep-related episodes 43 of hypoventilation and deteriorated sleep architec-44 ture and efficiency (9,27). Respiratory related 45 sleep disturbances can be observed in patients 46 with diaphragmatic dysfunction who otherwise 47 exhibit little spirometric abnormality (26,28). This 48 suggests that they are sensitive markers (although of 49 unknown specificity). It was therefore hypothesized 50 that, irrespective of results on forced vital capacity, 51 a positive effect of diaphragm pacing on diaphragm 52 function could improve sleep efficiency and other 53 sleep characteristics in ALS patients.

54 55

56 Methods

5758Trial design and participants

59 The study reported here was ancillary to a prospec-60 tive, non-randomized, multicentre, interventional

trial of a laparoscopically implanted diaphragm 61 pacing device (NeuRx Diaphragm Pacing System 62 (DPS)TM, Synapse, Oberlin, Ohio, USA) in 106 63 patients with ALS, conducted at seven North 64 American centres and one French centre (Clinical-65 Trials.gov NCT00420719). This trial was designed 66 to assess the impact of the DPS on the decline of 67 forced vital capacity (FVC). 68

The sleep study described here involved patients 69 attending the French centre. Local ethics committee 70 approval was obtained. The patients gave written 71 consent in accordance with the Declaration of 72 Helsinki. Eligibility criteria were as for the main 73 study, namely that patients had to be aged 18 years 74or older, with familial or sporadic ALS diagnosed as 75 laboratory-supported probable, probable or definite 76 according to the World Federation of Neurology 77 El Escorial criteria; with bilateral hemidiaphragm 78 mobility documented fluoroscopically during a 79 sniff test; an FVC between 50% and 85% of pre-80 dicted values at screening (and greater than 45% of 81 predicted at time of implant) and no underlying 82 cardiac or respiratory diseases likely to increase risk 83 from general anaesthesia. Negative pregnancy test-84 ing in females of childbearing potential was required. 85 86 Patients were excluded if they had gross cognitive dysfunction likely to impair their ability to function 87 with the device. 88 89

Procedures for implantation	and
operation of the device	

The surgical technique for device implantation is 93 described elsewhere (29,30). Briefly, following 94 mapping to identify optimal diaphragm stimulation 95 sites (31), two electrodes were implanted laparo-96 scopically in each hemidiaphragm. Electrode leads 97 were tunnelled to a percutaneous exit site. A subcu-98 taneous fifth electrode served as an anode. All 99 leads were connected to the external stimulator. 100 Diaphragm contractions were induced 8-18 times 101 per min by delivering trains of stimuli (15 Hz fre-102 quency, pulse width 90-150 µs, intensity 8-18 mA 103 as tolerated). The patients were instructed to use the 104 DPS device for three to five 30-min stimulation ses-105 sions per day, and were allowed to use it more if they 106 so wished. They were asked to fill in daily logs of 107 their stimulation sessions. In addition, they were 108 provided with a set of six 500-h batteries and 109 instructed to ask for replacements when the fourth 110 battery had run out. This provided a rough method 111 to verify their actual use of the device. 112

Data collection

113 114

115

90

91

92

After inclusion (M0), there was a three-month 116 lead-in period. The primary data collection was 117 made at the end of the third month (M3), immediately before implantation. Repeat assessments were 119 performed at months 5, 7, 9, and 12 (i.e. 2, 4, 6 and 120

1 9 months post-implant). Collected data comprised 2 ALS functional rating scale (ALSFRS-R); quality 3 of life assessment (SF-36 questionnaire); spirometric 4 variables including forced vital capacity (FVC) (32); 5 respiratory muscle variables including sniff nasal 6 inspiratory pressure (SNIP) (33), maximal inspira-7 tory and expiratory pressures (Pi,max and Pe,max, 8 respectively) (34); blood gases; fluoroscopic dia-9 phragm evaluation; tolerance and safety information. 10 In the patients in the ancillary sleep study addi-11 tional measurements at M3 and after four months 12 of diaphragm stimulation (M7) were performed. These included diaphragm function testing (oesoph-13 14 ageal, gastric and transdiaphragmatic pressure twitch 15 response -Pes,tw, Pga,tw and Pdi,tw, to supramaximal bilateral anterior magnetic stimulation (BAMPS) 16 17 (35), according to recommended procedures (36). 18 Sleep assessments included the Epworth score 19 and full-night laboratory polysomnographic 20 recordings (PSG). These recordings included three 21 electroencephalograms (Fp1/Cz, O2/Cz, C3/A2), 22 bilateral electrooculogram, surface electromyogram 23 of the chin, leg muscles, and inspiratory neck mus-24 cle, airflow via nasal pressure transducers (during 25 unassisted breathing) or a pneumotachograph 26 (during non-invasive ventilation), tracheal sounds 27 through a microphone, thoracic and abdominal belts, 28 electrocardiogram, and finger pulse oximetry.

29 These recordings were performed while the 30 patients were breathing spontaneously or under 31 non-invasive ventilation depending on their current 32 clinical status, but always with the stimulator off.

33 The sleep stages (37), arousals (38), and respira-34 tory events (39) were scored visually according to 35 international criteria. Hypopnoea was defined as more than 50% decrease in airflow associated with 36 37 a 3% oxygen desaturation or an arousal. Outcomes 38

of particular interest were total sleep time, sleep 61 efficiency, sleep latency, duration of stage N1-N2, 62 N3 and REM sleep, arousal index, apnoea and 63 hypopnoea index during sleep and during REM 64 sleep, the proportion of time spent with an SpO₂ 65 (transcutaneous pulsed oxygen saturation) below 66 90% and inspiratory neck muscle use expressed 67 as the ratio of the time spent with a visible EMG 68 activity to total sleep period. The study design is 69 summarized in Figure 1. 70

Statistical analysis

To minimize bias, the analysis was not restricted 74 to those of the implanted patients who underwent 75 76 both the M3 and the M7 sleep studies ('sleep studies' cohort, n = 14), but it was conducted on the 77 whole 'implanted cohort' (n = 18). Missing data 78 were categorized as non-informative (not relating to 79 the outcome under study) or informative (missing 80 systematically or possibly relating to the outcome, 81 e.g. where a patient was physically unable to perform 82 a test). Statistical comparisons were conducted 83 after imputing non-informative missing data with the median of the observed data from the sleep studies cohort, and imputing informative missing 86 data with the 10th or 90th percentile, whichever 87 coincided with the worst performance relative to the 88 89 median. Data were summarized using the mean, standard deviation, median, minimum and maxi-90 mum for continuous variables and number and per-91 cent for categorical variables. Continuous variables 92 were paired within patients and statistical signifi-93 cance (defined as a probability p of a type 1 error 94 below 0.05) was assessed using the Wilcoxon signed 95 rank test. Of importance, the imputing process 96 97 had minimal effects on the significance levels when



39 40 Month 0 Month 1.5 Month 3 Month 5 Month 7 Month 9 Month 12 atment data 41 lead-in data lead-in data ent data treatment data atment data steb ni.hea 42 43 44 (NCT00420719) 45 main study 46 2 0 1 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 47 48 home conditioning stimulation 49 patient DPS enrollment implant 50 51 52 initial setup start stimulation 53 setup adjustments 54 ancillary 55 sleep study 1 sleep study 2 sleep study 56 (M3) (M7) 57

84 85

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

71

72

comparing the analysis of observed versus imputed
 data (results not shown). Given the exploratory
 nature of the study, the interpretation of the results
 was conducted from the uncorrected analysis, but a
 multiplicity correction was performed for informa tion using Hochberg's procedure (40).

8 **Results**

7

10 Thirty-eight patients were screened between September 2008 and March 2009. Twenty-four met 11 12 the inclusion criteria and were enrolled. Among these, one died from massive inhalation during the 13 14 run-in period, one withdrew consent, and four had 15 an excessive fall in FVC (FVC less than 45% at the end of the run-in). As a result, 18 patients were 16 17 implanted (Figure 2). There were no serious adverse 18 events during or following anaesthesia or relating to device insertion. Mean hospital stay was two days. 19 20 Minor complaints of shoulder pain on initiation of stimulation were resolved by adjustment to stimula-21 tor settings (final settings at seven months: pulse 22 width $116.6 \pm 30.4 \, \mu s$, intensity $9.65 \pm 3.35 \, mA$). 23 The 18 implanted patients ('implanted cohort') 24 25 completed baseline sleep studies and diaphragm 26 stimulation derived measurement at M3. Fourteen of them completed evaluations at four months after 27 28 device implantation (M7) ('sleep studies' cohort) 29 (Table I). Of these 14 patients, six were classified clinically as having a predominantly 'upper motor 30 neuron' form, six a predominantly 'lower motor 31 neuron' form, and two a 'balanced' form. 32

The stimulation logs showed that all the patients in the sleep studies cohort used their stimulator according to the instructions received (eight patients were very consistent in performing five sessions each day; three started with three sessions and increased 61 to five after two months; and three started with three 62 sessions, went to five, and then stimulated them-63 selves for several hours per day). The number of 64 missed days between M3 and M7 never exceeded 65 eight except in two cases (55 and 60 days). In addi-66 tion, 11 patients in the sleep cohort continued to 67 order batteries after M7, which indicates a sustained 68 use of the device after the end of the sleep study. 69

The ALSFRS-R deteriorated between M370and M7 (Table II), without significant change in its71respiratory subscore. No significant change was72observed in the SF-36 score.73

FVC declined significantly (Table II). This decline 74(from $66 \pm 12\%$ predicted to $58 \pm 18\%$) was princi-75 pally expiratory in nature. The expiratory reserve 76 volume (ERV) declined from 70 + 32% predicted 77 to 54 + 27% (p = 0.0134), while the decline in 78 inspiratory capacity (IC) did not reach statistical 79 significance. The Pi,max and Pe,max declined at 80 similar rates of magnitude, but only the decline 81 in Pe,max was statistically significant (Table II). 82 Twitch pressures were low to start with (Pes,tw 83 7.3 ± 5.9 cm H₂O, for an expected value above 84 11 (36); Pdi,tw 9.3 + 7.2 cm H_2O , for an expected 85 value above 20 (36)). They deteriorated significantly 86 during the follow-up period (Table II). 87

Between M3 and M7, none of the patients 88 was put on any form of sedative treatment. Half of 89 the patients were already on NIV at the time of 90 implantation (Table I). In this subpopulation there 91 was no settings change between the two sleep 92 studies. The other half of the patients was not under 93 94 NIV at the time of implantation, and in this subpopulation there was no case of NIV initiation 95 between the two sleep studies. 96



1 Table I. Demographics and disease characteristics of patients, 2 as observed at inclusion (M0).

	Observed $n = 18$ Implanted cohort	Observed $n = 14$ Sleep study cohort
Gender		
Male	10 (56%)	9 (64%)
Female	8 (44%)	5 (36%)
Age at inclusion	66±6 (66)	66 ± 6 (67)
(years)	52 - 76	52 - 76
Weight (kg)	65±10 (63)	64±10 (62)
	50 - 85	50 - 85
Height (m)	$1.7 \pm 0.1 \ (1.7)$	$1.7 \pm 0.1 \ (1.7)$
	1.6 - 1.8	1.6 - 1.7
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.6 ± 3.1 (24.1)	23.1 ± 3.3 (22.5)
	18.5 - 28.5	18.5 - 28.5
Disease duration at	42±27 (35)	43±30 (33)
inclusion (months)	9 - 124	9 - 124
ALSFRS-R at	34.0±7.8 (36.0)	33.0±8.2 (36.0)
inclusion	15 - 42	15 - 42
Site of disease onset		
Bulbar	2 (11%)	2 (14%)
Limb	16 (89%)	12 (86%)
Bulbar involvement*	9.9 ± 2.4 (10.5)	$9.7 \pm 2.6 (10.5)$
	4 - 12	4 - 12
Use of riluzole	17/18 (94%)	13/14 (93%)
Use of NIV at	9/18 (50%)	7/14 (50%)
inclusion		
Use of PEG at	0/18 (0%)	0/14 (0%)
inclusion		
FVC (% predicted),	69 ± 10 (68)	71 ± 9 (69)
sitting	54 - 92	54 - 92
IC (% predicted),	69 ± 18 (73)	71 ± 16 (74)
sitting	40 - 98 N = 15	45 - 98 N = 12
ERV (% predicted),	78 ± 28 (74)	77 ± 28 (75)
sitting	46 - 131 N = 15	46 - 131 N = 12
SNIP (% predicted)	42 ± 24 (36)	45 ± 26 (42)
	16 - 108	16 - 108
Pi,max (% predicted)	57 ± 28 (54)	59 ± 30 (57)
	16 - 128 N = 17	16 - 128 N = 13
Pe,max (%	40 ± 18 (36)	42 ± 18 (36)
predicted)	18 - 66 N = 15	21 - 66 N = 11
pH	7.42 ± 0.02 (7.42)	7.42 ± 0.02 (7.42)
-	7.39 – 7.45	7.39 – 7.45
PaO ₂ (mmHg)	77±12 (80)	80 ± 7 (80)
2 \ 0,	39 - 88	70 - 88
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	46 ± 8 (44)	44 ± 3 (44)
2 . 6/	35 - 73	35 - 49
Inclusion-	3.2 ± 0.2 (3.2)	$3.2 \pm 0.3 (3.2)$
implantation delay (months)	2.8 - 3.9	2.8 - 3.9

45 *Bulbar involvement = sum of ALSFRS-R speech, salivation and swallowing scores.

46 Values as mean \pm SD (median) (min-max) n = (if less than value 47 supplied in column header).

BMI: body mass index; ALSFRS-R: amyotrophic lateral
sclerosis functional rating scale; NIV: non-invasive ventilation;
PEG: percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy; FVC: forced vital
capacity volume; IC: inspiratory capacity; ERV: expiratory
reserve; SNIP, sniff nasal inspiratory pressure; Pi,max: maximal
static inspiratory pressure measured at the mouth; Pe,max:
maximal static expiratory pressure measured at the mouth
(predicted values from Black and Hyatt).

pH, PaO₂, PaCO₂ from arterial samples taken during unassisted spontaneous room air breathing.
FPV and IC are the availation and ineniratory components of

ERV and IC are the expiratory and inspiratory components of
 VC, respectively.

- 58
- 59
- 60

Regarding sleep, we did not observe significant 61 changes in the Epworth sleepiness scale, in gross 62 sleep architecture, and in the percentage of time 63 spent with an SpO₂ below 90% (Table III). Time 64 in stages N1, N2, N3 and REM sleep remained 65 similar over the study period. Sleep efficiency sig-66 nificantly improved from 69 + 15% to 75 + 11%67 (p = 0.0394) (Table III, Figure 3a). This was driven 68 by a decrease in the time spent awake after sleep 69 onset (wake after sleep onset, WASO), from a mean 70 of 182 min to 136 min (p = 0.0032) (Table III, 71Figure 3b). The arousal index (awakenings and 72 micro-awakenings, the latter not accounted for in 73 the WASO) was also significantly reduced from a 74 mean of 22 per h to 16 per h (p = 0.0005) (Table III, 75 Figure 3c). While the overall apnoea-hypopnoea 76 index was unchanged (Table III), there was a 77 significant reduction in the REM-related apnoea-78 hypopnoea index from a mean of 10.4 per h to 4 79 per h (p = 0.045) (Table III). The use of neck muscle 80 during sleep, as studied from EMG recordings 81 (see Methods), decreased significantly between M3 82 and M7 (p = 0.0093). Only two patients in the sleep 83 studies cohort clearly deteriorated in terms of 84 the above sleep characteristics, in spite of reporting 85 using the stimulator. The others were either stable 86 (n=2) or improving (n=10). 87

There was no discernible relationship between 88 DPS application and the studied outcomes (includ-89 ing in the two patients who deteriorated). There 90 were also no obvious differences between bulbar and 91 spinal onset patients, and no obvious differences 92 between upper motor neuron versus lower motor 93 neuron patients (although the two patients who 94 deteriorated had a dominant pattern of lower motor 95 neuron lesions). 96

Discussion

This study shows that after four months of diaphragm 100 conditioning, patients with ALS exhibit significant 101 sleep improvements, irrespective of their status 102 regarding NIV. Sleep efficiency increased (median 103 9%), with a reduction in arousal index driving a 104 decrease of wake after sleep onset (median 69 min). 105 These changes are all the more noteworthy that 106 they occurred against a background of continuing 107 deterioration (of the ALSFRS-R score, of FVC, of 108 respiratory pressures). They were therefore observed 109 in patients not expected to experience any form of 110 spontaneous improvement. Of note, no comparable 111 NIV data are available. As an indication of the mag-112 nitude of the observed effect, we note that widely 113 prescribed pharmacological treatments for primary 114 insomnia increase sleep efficiency by 6-7% and 115 reduce wake after sleep onset by 15–20 min (41,42). 116 The risks associated with surgical implantation of 117 the stimulators were low, as already reported (29). 118 Sleep studies can be confounded by the so-called 119

'first-night effect' where patients, unfamiliar with 120

97 98

6 J. Gonzalez-Bermejo et al.

1

Table II. ALSFRS-R and respiratory variables at month 3 (M3; baseline) and month 7 (M7; four months after device implantation61and diaphragm conditioning). (Implanted cohort) (Difference imputed).62

			Observed and in	nputed
	Observed		Difference	
Parameter	M3	M7	(M7 - M3) n = 18	<i>p</i> -value [≭]
ALSFRS-R	30±7 (30)	25±8 (24)	-4.9 ± 6.1 (-1.0)	0.0032*
	14 - 42	12 - 34	-18 - 2	
	n = 18	n = 15		
VC (% predicted)	66±12 (64)	58±18 (63)	-9.4 ± 10.8 (-8.5)	0.0024*
	49 - 89	22 - 85	-29 - 19	
	n = 18	n = 15		
ERV (% predicted)	70 ± 32 (65)	54±27 (49)	-14.1 ± 21.4 (-11.5)	0.0134*
	26 - 136	21 - 117	-37 - 39	
	n = 18	n = 15		
IC (% predicted)	65 ± 22 (58)	58±21 (59)	$-10.3 \pm 21.2 \ (-5.8)$	0.0817
	27 - 115	22 - 89	-62 - 24	
	n = 18	n = 15		
SNIP (% predicted)	37±16 (32)	33±19 (24)	$-9.1\pm8.6~(-8.0)$	0.0010*
	19 - 70	8 - 70	-21 - 15	
	n = 15	n = 15		
Pi,max (% predicted)	47 ± 19 (45)	44 ± 18 (44)	-6.1 ± 16.8 (0)	0.3510
	20 - 85	12 - 77	-35 - 18	
	n = 16	n = 15		
Pe,max (% predicted)	32±18 (29)	28 ± 17 (27)	$-6.3 \pm 7.6 \ (-6.5)$	0.0027*
	10 - 73	7 - 59	-18 - 15	
	n = 14	n = 15		
Pes, twitch with $BAMPS(cmH_2O)$	7.3 ± 5.9 (4.8)	5.3 ± 5.4 (3.5)	-2.5 ± 3.5 (-1.8)	0.0055*
	1.1 - 19.0	0 - 16.4	-13.1 - 3.7	
	n = 17	n = 15		
Pga, twitch with BAMPS (cm H_2O)	3.1 ± 2.6 (2.8)	2.6 ± 3.1 (2.0)	-1.4 ± 2.0 (-0.9)	0.0160*
	0 - 8.3	-2.3 - 9.0	-6.5 - 1.3	
	n = 14	n = 15		
Pdi, twitch with BAMPS (cm H_2O)	9.3±7.2 (6.3)	7.5 ± 8.0 (4.8)	$-3.6 \pm 4.9 \ (-2.5)$	0.0009*
	1.9 - 24.6	0 - 25.4	-19.7 - 3.7	
	n = 14	n = 15		

[#]Wilcoxon signed rank test.

*Remained statistically significant after application of the Hochberg multiple testing procedure.

35 Values as mean \pm SD (median) (min-max) n = (if less than value supplied in column header).

36 FVC: forced vital capacity; ERV: expiratory reserve volume; IC: inspiratory capacity;

SNIP: sniff nasal inspiratory pressure; Pi,max: maximal static inspiratory pressure measured at the mouth; Pe,max: maximal static
 expiratory pressure measured at the mouth; Pes: oesophageal pressure; BAMPS: bilateral anterior magnetic stimulation; Pga: gastric
 pressure; Pdi: transdiaphragmatic pressure, computed as Pga-Pes.

40

41 42 the sleep laboratory environment, experience a 43 deterioration of their sleep during their first night in 44 the laboratory (43). In our patients, the comparison 45 of the M3 and M7 nights (Table III) did not show 46 any of the characteristics of the first-night effect 47 (namely, more N1-N2, less N3, less REM, a longer 48 sleep latency) (43). In addition, six patients had 49 undergone a sleep recording for clinical reasons prior 50 to their inclusion in the study. There was no signifi-51 cant difference between these prior nights and the 52 M3 nights (time lag five to eight months) regarding 53 the first-night effect criteria (Table IV). A placebo 54 effect cannot be excluded (a reason why it was 55 decided against including a sleep quality questionnaire among the outcomes). However, the pattern of 56 sleep improvement and its pathophysiological coher-57 ence go against the likelihood of a placebo effect 58 59 being the sole explanation of the results. Other con-60 cerns could be raised. The study population was

small, but significant changes were observed in 102 key indices of sleep. Most of them, if not all, resisted 103 the correction for multiplicity. Not all patients 104 completed the second sleep study at M7, but this 105 was accounted for conservatively at imputation. 106 There was no control group because this study was 107 ancillary to a larger one that was powered on FVC 108 and during which the patients served as their own 109 controls. It is therefore not possible to determine 110 with certainty whether, or how, the evolution of ALS 111 was influenced by the intervention. In spite of these 112 limitations that are real and of importance, the 113 observed sleep improvements were physiologically 114 coherent and, again, occurred in spite of a general 115 and respiratory decline. For these reasons, we believe 116 that these results are important and require close 117 consideration. 118

Although they are fragmentary, there are 119 arguments to support the hypothesis that diaphragm 120

94

95

96

100

61

92

93

94

95

96

97

Table III. Sleep and neck muscle use data M3–M7 (n = 18 Cohort (Difference imputed)).

			Observed and in	nputed	
	Observed		D:00		
Variable	M3 (n=18)	M7 (n = 14)	(M7–M3) $(n = 18)$	p-value#	
Epworth sleepiness score (0-24)	5.9 ± 4.0 (5.5)	6.0 ± 5.1 (5.0)	$-0.8 \pm 3.1 \ (-2.0)$	0.0745	
	1.0 - 13.0	0 - 20.0	-6.0 - 8.0		
Total sleep period (min)	$582 \pm 60 (566)$	551 ± 118 (551)	-42 ± 107 (-19)	0.0969	
	484 - 710	304 - 758	-246 - 140	0.6010	
lotal sleep time (min)	370 ± 119 (404)	411 ± 112 (413)	26 ± 104 (35)	0.6013	
	1.2 - 521	212 - 557	-111 - 256		
Sleep efficiency (%)	69 ± 15 (70)	75 ± 11 (76)	8 ± 12 (9)	0.0394	
	33 - 90	51 - 88	-13 - 28	0.0000	
Sleep latency (min)	$35 \pm 41 (23)$	$53 \pm 51 (33)$	$11 \pm 52 (5)$	0.3829	
Sterry N1 N2	0 - 179	10 - 153	-127 - 109	0.0000	
(0) of total along time)	63 ± 12 (65)	$67 \pm 14 (66)$	$0.1 \pm 12.1 \ (0.9)$	0.9229	
(% of total sleep time)	40 - 85	41 - 93	-10.0 - 20.3	0.0069	
$\binom{0}{2}$ of total alasen times	20 ± 10 (19)	$20 \pm 12 (17)$	1.5 ± 10.5 (4.0)	0.9008	
	3 - 41 16 + 5 (16)	1 - 38 14 + 7 (15)	-15.0 - 25.7	0 4749	
(% of total clean time)	$10 \pm 5(10)$	$14 \pm 7 (15)$	$-1.4 \pm 0.9 (-0.5)$	0.4746	
(70 of total sleep time) Wake after sleep onset (min)	6 - 23	0 - 24 126 + 65 (114)	-15.0 - 12.0 50 + 72 (60)	0.0022	
wake after sleep onset (inin)	54 474	130 ± 03 (114) 63 264	$-39 \pm 75 (-09)$ 210 73	0.0052	
Arousal Index (per h)	22 ± 10 (22)	16 ± 8 (14)	-6 ± 7 (-7)	0.0005	
(per n)	6 - 42	9 - 38	-21 - 6	0.0005	
Apnoea-hypopnoea index (per h)	8 ± 15 (1)	5 + 9(1)	-4 + 10 (-1)	0.1196	
	0 - 56	0 - 32	-35 - 4		
REM Apnoea-hypopnoea index (per h)	10.4 ± 19.0 (1.4)	$4.0 \pm 7.0 (0.0)$	-7.4 ± 15.8 (-1.8)	0.0450	
	0.0 - 58.7	0.0 - 24.5	-58.7 - 6.8		
Neck muscle use (% of total sleep period)	34 ± 28 (22)	27 ± 25 (17)	$-5.2 \pm 9.3 \ (-3.8)$	0.0093	
/	5 - 100	5 - 100	-29.6 - 12.4		
	n = 14				
Time spent with SpO ₂ less than 90%	$2.6 \pm 4.6 \ (0.2)$	$4.1 \pm 7.0 \ (1.2)$	$1.4 \pm 4.3 \ (0.0)$	0.2661	
(% of total sleep period)	0.0 - 16.6	0.0 - 21.5	-7.9 - 10.8		

32 [#]Wilcoxon signed rank test.

33 *Remained statistically significant after application of the Hochberg multiple testing procedure.

Values as mean \pm SD (median) (min-max), with mention of n = if less than supplied in column header.

REM: rapid eye movements sleep; Sp0_2 : transcutaneous pulsed oxygen saturation.

36 37

1

pacing was efficient in interfering with diaphragm 38 function, as follows. FVC decreased in our patients, 39 40 but to interpret this finding properly it must be kept in mind that the FVC manoeuvre consists of a max-41 42 imal inspiration (inspiratory capacity) and a maximal expiration (expiratory reserve volume) performed 43 44 sequentially. A reduction in FVC can therefore result 45 from an inspiratory ailment, an expiratory one, or both. In our patients, the reduction in FVC 46 was driven by a reduction in the expiratory compo-47 nent (Table II), on which diaphragm conditioning 48 49 would not be expected to have any direct effect. 50 In contrast, there was no significant decrease in 51 inspiratory capacity (Table II). This is compatible with diaphragm pacing altering the course of dia-52 phragmatic degeneration against a background of 53 54 expiratory deterioration. Similarly, maximal expira-55 tory pressure decreased but that was not the case with maximal inspiratory pressure (Table II). Another 56 and perhaps stronger argument in favour of an actual 57 effect of diaphragm conditioning is the reduction 58 59 in REM sleep-related hypopnoea (Table III) that occurred between M3 and M7. REM sleep constitutes 60

the most vulnerable period in the presence of 98 respiratory muscle weakness, and REM sleep related 99 episodes of hypoventilation are closely linked 100 with diaphragmatic dysfunction (9,25,26). Further-101 more, our patients exhibited a significant decrease 102 in inspiratory neck muscle activity at night. How-103 ever, patients suffering from diaphragm paralysis 104 have an increased recruitment of extradiaphragmatic 105 inspiratory muscle at night (28), and there is a 106 link between diaphragmatic dysfunction and inspira-107 tory neck muscle use in ALS patients (8,44). It is 108 thus reasonable to speculate that the reduced neck 109 muscle activity in our patients was possible because 110 of an improved diaphragm function. It is important 111 to note that improvements in sleep were seen in 112 patients who received NIV at M3 as well as 113 in patients who did not. This further supports the 114 argument that diaphragm pacing did indeed change 115 diaphragm function. 116

One intriguing question at this stage is how to 117 reconcile an improvement in diaphragm function 118 allowing sleep to improve with the observed reductions in sniff nasal inspiratory pressure and twitch 120 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

23



20 Figure 3. Sleep efficiency (A), wake after sleep onset (B) and arousal index (C) depicted as individual patients' 'before-after' plots 21 (top row; M3: white squares. M7: black circles) and as the M7-M3 difference (bottom row; individual values with the median and interquartile space). 22

24 pressures. Chronic, low-frequency, electrical stimu-25 lation of a muscle can change its fibre type composi-26 tion (45,46). In the diaphragm, for example, animal 27 models have shown that the 'normal' mixture of 28 rapid and slow fibres is replaced after a few weeks 29 by a uniform population of slow fibres (21-23). 30 Experience from diaphragm pacing in quadriplegic 31 patients suggests that this also occurs in humans, the 32 increase in contractility during diaphragm recondi-33 tioning being accompanied by a progressive reduc-34 tion in the stimulus frequency at which fusion of the 35 contraction is observed (47). Slow fibres are impor-36 tant for muscle endurance but they produce less 37 force than fast fibres. Thus, an improved diaphragm 38 endurance despite a loss of diaphragm strength is 39 theoretically possible. Similar phenomena have been 40 observed in response to electrical conditioning of 41 striated muscles (48,49). This would explain positive 42 effects of diaphragm conditioning on ventilation 43 during REM sleep-improved endurance - that 44 would be concomitant with reductions in twitch 45 pressures and SNIP (loss in force) - that are more 46 dependent on fast fibres. In this perspective, SNIP 47 - fast contraction - decreased in our patients while 48 static inspiratory pressure - slow contraction - did 49 not significantly do so. To further test the 'endurance 50 hypothesis' and strengthen the evidence regarding 51 the putative effect of pacing on diaphragm proper-52 ties, we conducted a post-hoc analysis of the 53 sniff nasal inspiratory pressure (SNIP) tracings 54 obtained in the 'sleep cohort' patients. During the 55 SNIP procedure, the patients perform several (ca. 10) 56 sniff manoeuvres in succession. We hypothesized 57 that 1) because our patients already had markedly 58 weak muscles at the time of inclusion, this could 59 60

84 suffice to induce fatigue-compatible changes in the 85 SNIP dynamics; 2) a positive effect of diaphragm 86 pacing on diaphragmatic endurance would reduce 87 the magnitude of such changes. We observed that at 88 M3, the SNIP value corresponding to the last effort 89 performed during a given 'sniff session' was signifi-90 cantly lower than the SNIP value produced during 91 whichever of the first three efforts was the best (12% 92 on average, p = 0.0085, Wilcoxon signed rank test). 93 This is compatible with incipient fatigue, whatever 94 its origin. In contrast, at M7, this difference was not 95 present (+1% on average, n.s.) even though the 96 SNIP value had declined. Future studies should spe-97 cifically address this issue in a prospective manner.

98 In conclusion, we submit that the sleep improve-99 ments observed in our patients give this study a rea-100 sonably strong proof-of-concept value. The findings 101 are all the more important given that these patients 102 would have been expected to deteriorate over the 103 duration of the protocol. The study therefore pro-104 vides a rationale for future work aimed at delineating 105 the clinical relevance of diaphragm conditioning 106 in ALS, and at defining optimal target populations 107 (pacing should be more beneficial in patients 108 with predominant upper motor neuron forms), 109 implantation timing, and stimulation parameters. 110

To answer these questions, randomized con-111 trolled trials will be needed both to corroborate our 112 findings and to determine how diaphragm condi-113 tioning and NIV will interact and complement one 114 another. Meanwhile, it should be kept in mind that 115 in a condition that has such a poor prognosis, every 116 step that has the potential to alleviate symptoms and 117 improve quality of life represents a significant 118 advance. 119

120

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75 76

77

78

79

80

81

82

Parameter	Pre-study $(n=6)$	M3 (n = 14)	Difference (M3-PreStudy) (n=6)	p-value
Total sleep time	442±94 (471)	378±75 (404)	-23.4±51.9 (-11.0)	0.3125
	282 - 532	236 - 464	-90 - 45	
	n = 5		n = 5	
Sleep latency	32±18 (23)	38±45 (23)	$2.6 \pm 17.8 \ (-2.0)$	1.000
	19 - 63	10 - 179	-19 - 29	
	n = 5		n = 5	
Stage 1-2 duration	63 ± 10 (64)	66 ± 12 (67)	8.4 ± 14.7 (8.0)	0.3125
	46 - 72	41 - 85	-10.4 - 30.3	
	n = 5		n = 5	
Stage 3-4 duration	24 ± 16 (19)	18 ± 9 (17)	$-8.8 \pm 16.6 \ (-2.2)$	0.3125
	13 - 52	3 - 41	-37.0 - 6.0	
	n = 5		n = 5	
REM duration	20 ± 9 (17)	15 ± 4 (15)	$-8.6 \pm 11.7 \ (-6.1)$	0.1875
	11 – 35	8 – 23	-26.3 - 4.4	
	n = 5		n = 5	

[AQ4] 19 *If less than that supplied in column header.

#Wilcoxon signed rank test. 20

80 The pre-studies were performed two to five months before inclusion in the study (time lag three to eight months). They led to NIV 21 81 in two cases, adjustments of NIV settings in one case, continuous positive airway pressure for obstructive sleep apnoea in one case, 22 82 and no intervention in two cases.

23

24 25

Acknowledgements 26

27 This work was supported by grants from two 28 patients' associations - the Association pour la 29 Recherche sur la Sclérose Latérale Amyotrophique 30 (ARSla), Paris, and the Association Française contre 31 les Myopathies (AFM), Paris. It was partially funded 32 by Synapse Biomedical Inc., Oberlin, Ohio, USA, 33 which provided the stimulators used at the French 34 centre free of charge, took legal responsibility for the 35 study according to French law on human research 36 (liability insurance), and paid Technomics Research 37 for statistical analysis.

38 Medical writing and editorial assistance 39 were provided by Winnie McFadzean and Rosie 40 Mallett. The corresponding funding was drawn from 41 the grant provided by the Association pour la Recher-42 che sur la Sclérose Latérale Amyotrophique 43 (ARSla). 44

The authors are grateful to Lucette Lacomblez 45 for her help at the initial stage of the project.

46 Funding was provided by Synapse Biomedical 47 Inc., Association pour la Recherche sur la Sclérose 48 Latérale Amyotrophique (ARSla), and Association 49 Française contre les Myopathies (AFM). 50

51

52 Declaration of interest: Jesus Gonzalez-Bermejo received honoraria from Synapse Biomedical 53 54 Europe for educational talks on intradiaphragmatic 55 phrenic stimulation at two Spanish centres in 2008. Moustapha Diop is an employee and officer of 56 Synapse Biomedical Inc., and owns equity in the 57 company. Anthony Ignagni is an employee and offi-58 cer of Synapse Biomedical Inc., owns equity in the 59 company, and has intellectual property rights in the 60

diaphragm pacing system. Raymond Onders 86 owns equity in Synapse Biomedical Inc. and has 87 intellectual property rights in the diaphragm pacing 88 system. Case Western Reserve University and 89 University Hospitals Case Medical Center also 90 have equity and intellectual property rights in 91 diaphragm pacing. Teresa Nelson is a part-owner 92 of Technomics Research LLC, to which Synapse 93 Biomedical Inc. paid per-hour fees for performing 94 the statistical analysis. Fabrice Menegaux has been 95 asked by Synapse Biomedical Inc. to train two other 96 European surgeons in the implantation procedure, 97 in exchange for which Synapse paid a subsidy to 98 the non-profit research association to which Fabrice 99 Menegaux belongs (4000 euros paid in 2010). 100 Thomas Similowski received honoraria for the trans-101 lation from English to French of the technical and 102 users' manual of two respiratory neurostimulators 103 (the 'Atrostim' in 2002 (Atrotech, Tampere, Finland) 104 and the 'NeurRxDP4' in 2007 (Synapse Biomed., 105 Oberlin, Ohio, USA)). 106

Thomas Similowski, Jesus Gonzalez-Bermejo, 107 Christian Morélot-Panzini, Capucine Straus, 108 Marie-Helene Becquemin and Isabelle Arnulf belong 109 to a non-profit research association (ADOREP, 110 Association pour le Développement et l'Organisation 111 de la Recherche en Pneumologie) that received 112 an unrestricted grant from Synapse Biomedical 113 Europe, to support research on respiratory neuro-114 stimulation (3000 euros paid in 2010). 115

Capucine Morélot-Panzini, François Salachas, 116 Isabelle Arnulf, Christian Straus, Marie-Hélène 117 Becquemin, Pierre-François Pradat, and Vincent 118 Meininger have no personal conflict of interest 119 relevant to this study. 120

79

83

84

References

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

- Louwerse ES, Visser CE, Bossuyt PM, Weverling GJ. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: mortality risk during the course of the disease and prognostic factors. The Netherlands ALS Consortium. J Neurol Sci. 1997;152 (Suppl 1): S10–7.
- Millul A, Beghi E, Logroscino G, Micheli A, Vitelli E, Zardi A. Survival of patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis in a population based registry. Neuroepidemiology. 2005;25:114–9.
- 10 3. Rowland LP, Shneider NA. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. N
 11 Engl J Med. 2001;344:1688–700.
- Zoccolella S, Beghi E, Palagano G, Fraddosio A, Guerra V, Samarelli V, et al. Analysis of survival and prognostic factors in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a population based study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2008;79:33–7.
 - Bourke SC, Shaw PJ, Gibson GJ. Respiratory function vs. sleep-disordered breathing as predictors of QoL in ALS. Neurology. 2001;57:2040–4.
- 17 Neurology. 2001;57:2040–4.
 6. Czaplinski A, Yen AA, Appel SH. Forced vital capacity (FVC) as an indicator of survival and disease progression in an ALS clinic population. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2006;77:390–2.
- Gil J, Funalot B, Verschueren A, Danel-Brunaud V, Camu W, Vandenberghe N, et al. Causes of death among French patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a prospective study. Eur J Neurol. 2008;15:1245–51.
 Similowski T, Attali V, Bensimon G, Salachas F, Mehiri S.
- Similowski T, Attali V, Bensimon G, Salachas F, Mehiri S,
 Arnulf I, et al. Diaphragmatic dysfunction and dyspnoea in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Eur Respir J. 2000;15: 332–7.
- 332-7.
 Arnulf I, Similowski T, Salachas F, Garma L, Mehiri S, Attali V, et al. Sleep disorders and diaphragmatic function in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Am J Respir
 Crit Care Med. 2000;161:849–56.
- Miller RG, Mitchell JD, Lyon M, Moore DH. Riluzole for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)/motor neuron disease (MND). Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007:CD001447.
 Derlie SC, Taraliana M, Williama TL, Berlie de BE, Sherrer
- 11. Bourke SC, Tomlinson M, Williams TL, Bullock RE, Shaw
 PJ, Gibson GJ. Effects of non-invasive ventilation on survival and quality of life in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. Lancet Neurol. 2006;5: 140–7.
- Lechtzin N, Scott Y, Busse AM, Clawson LL, Kimball R, Wiener CM. Early use of non-invasive ventilation prolongs survival in subjects with ALS. Amyotroph Lateral Scler.
 2007;8:185–8.
- 41 13. Tripodoro VA, de Vito EL. Management of dyspnoea in advanced motor neuron diseases. Curr Opin Support Palliat Care. 2008;2:173–9.
- [AQ3] 43 44 45 46
 14. Cheah BC, Boland RA, Brodaty NE, Zoing MC, Jeffery SE, McKenzie DK, Kiernan MC. Inspirational-inspiratory muscle training in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Amyotroph Lateral Scler. 2009:1–9.
 - 15. Berto MC, Filha SC, Camelier A, Rosa FW, de Souza Bulle
 Oliveira A, Jardim JR. Acute action of aminophylline in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Acta Neurol Scand. 2007;115:301–5.
 - 50 16. Sheffler LR, Chae J. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation in neurorehabilitation. Muscle Nerve. 2007;35:562–90.
 - Scott OM, Hyde SA, Vrbova G, Dubowitz V. Therapeutic possibilities of chronic low frequency electrical stimulation in children with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. J Neurol Sci. 1990;95:171–82.
 - 18. Handa I, Sihimizu H, Mimani H, Ihashi K, Yagi R,
 Matsushita N, et al. Long-term effects of therapeutic electrical stimulation for ALS patients. Proceedings of the 8th
 World Congress of the International Rehabilation Medicine Association. 1997:1139–42.
 - 59 19. DiMarco AF. Restoration of respiratory muscle function60 following spinal cord injury. Review of electrical and

magnetic stimulation techniques. Respir Physiol Neurobiol. 61 2005;147:273–87. 62

- 20. Glenn WW, Holcomb WG, Shaw RK, Hogan JF, Holschuh KR. Long-term ventilatory support by diaphragm pacing in quadriplegia. Ann Surg. 1976;183:566–77.
- Acker MA, Mannion JD, Brown WE, Salmons S, Henriksson
 J, Bitto T, et al. Canine diaphragm muscle after one year of continuous electrical stimulation: its potential as a myocardial substitute. J Appl Physiol. 1987;62:1264–70.
- Marzocchi M, Brouillette RT, Klemka-Walden LM, Heller SL, Weese-Mayer DE, Brozanski BS, et al. Effects of continuous low-frequency pacing on immature canine diaphragm. J Appl Physiol. 1990;69:892–8.
- Peterson DK, Nochomovitz ML, Stellato TA, Mortimer JT. Long-term intramuscular electrical activation of the phrenic nerve: safety and reliability. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering. 1994;41:1115–26.
- Bradley WG, Good P, Rasool CG, Adelman LS. Morphometric and biochemical studies of peripheral nerves in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Ann Neurol. 1983;14:267–77.
- Culebras A. Sleep disorders and neuromuscular disease. Semin Neurol. 2005;25:33–8.
- 26. Steier J, Jolley CJ, Seymour J, Kaul S, Luo YM, Rafferty GF, 79
 et al. Sleep-disordered breathing in unilateral diaphragm paralysis or severe weakness. Eur Respir J. 2008;32: 81
 1479–87. 82
- Ferguson KA, Strong MJ, Ahmad D, George CF. Sleepdisordered breathing in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Chest. 1996;110:664–9.
 82
 84
- Bennett JR, Dunroy HM, Corfield DR, Hart N, Simonds AK, Polkey MI, Morrell MJ. Respiratory muscle activity during REM sleep in patients with diaphragm paralysis. Neurology. 2004;62:134–7.
- 29. Onders RP, Carlin AM, Elmo M, Sivashankaran S, Katirji B, Schilz R. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: the midwestern surgical experience with the diaphragm pacing stimulation system shows that general anaesthesia can be safely performed. Am J Surg. 2009;197:386–90.
 88
 89
 90
 91
 92
- 30. Onders RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, Road J, et al. Complete worldwide operative experience in laparoscopic diaphragm pacing: results and differences in spinal cord injured patients and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients. Surg Endosc. 2009;23:1433–40.
 30. Onders RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 93
 33. Onders RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 94
 34. Onders RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 94. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 95. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 94. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 95. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 94. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 94. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 94. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 94. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 95. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 96. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 96. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 96. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 97. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 96. Onderse RP, Elmo M, Khansarinia S, Bowman B, Yee J, 97. Onderse RP, Patra S, Patra S,
- Onders RP, Dimarco AF, Ignagni AR, Aiyar H, Mortimer JT. Mapping the phrenic nerve motor point: the key to a successful laparoscopic diaphragm pacing system in the first human series. Surgery. 2004;136:819–26.
 97
 98
 99
- 32. Quanjer PH, Tammeling GJ, Cotes JE, Pedersen OF, Peslin R, Yernault JC. Lung volumes and forced ventilatory flows. Report of working party standardization of lung function tests, European community for steel and coal. Official statement of the European Respiratory Society. Eur Respir J Suppl. 1993;16:5–40.
 32. Quanjer PH, Tammeling GJ, Cotes JE, Pedersen OF, 100
 101
 102
 103
 104
- 33. Uldry C, Fitting JW. Maximal values of sniff nasal 105 inspiratory pressure in healthy subjects. Thorax. 1995;50: 106 371-5.
 107
- Black LF, Hyatt RE. Maximal respiratory pressures: normal values and relationship to age and sex. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1969;99:696–702.
- 35. Mills GH, Kyroussis D, Hamnegard CH, Polkey MI, 110
 Green M, Moxham J. Bilateral magnetic stimulation of the phrenic nerves from an anterolateral approach. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1996;154:1099–105.
- 36. American Thoracic Society, European Respiratory Society.
 ATS/ERS statement on respiratory muscle testing. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2002;166:518–624.
 113
- 37. Rechstchaffen A, Kales A. A manual of standardized terminology, techniques and scoring system for sleep stages of human subjects. Los Angeles, CA, USA: UCLA Brain Information Service/Brain Research Institute; 1968.
 110
- American Sleep Disorders Association. EEG arousals: 119 scoring rules and examples. A preliminary report from 120

the sleep disorders Atlas task force of the American Sleep pressure response to cervical magnetic stimulation. Am J Disorders Association. Sleep. 1992;15:173-84. Respir Crit Care Med. 1997;156:509-14. 39. Iber C, Ancoli-Israel S, Chesson A, Quan SF. The AASM 45. Nuhr M, Crevenna R, Gohlsch B, Bittner C, Pleiner J, manual for the scoring of sleep and associated events: Wiesinger G, et al. Functional and biochemical properties of chronically stimulated human skeletal muscle. Eur J Appl rules, terminology, and technical specification, 1st edn. Westchester, Illinois, USA; 2007. Physiol. 2003;89:202-8. 40. Hochberg Y, Benjamini Y. More powerful procedures 46. Pette D. Fibre transformation and fibre replacement in for multiple significance testing. Stat Med. 1990;9:811-8. chronically stimulated muscle. J Heart Lung Transplant. 41. Erman MK, Zammit G, Rubens R, Schaefer K, Wessel T, 1992;11:S299-305. Amato D, et al. A polysomnographic placebo-controlled 47. Nochomovitz ML, Hopkins M, Brodkey J, Montenegro H, evaluation of the efficacy and safety of eszopiclone relative Mortimer JT, Cherniack NS. Conditioning of the diaphragm to placebo and zolpidem in the treatment of primary insomwith phrenic nerve stimulation after prolonged disuse. nia. J Clin Sleep Med. 2008;4:229-34. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1984;130:685-8. 42. Roth T, Soubrane C, Titeux L, Walsh JK. Efficacy and safety 48. Dangain J, Vrbova G. Long-term effect of low frequency of zolpidem-mr: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study in chronic electrical stimulation on the fast hind limb muscles adults with primary insomnia. Sleep Med. 2006;7:397-406. of dystrophic mice. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1989; 43. Agnew HW Jr, Webb WB, Williams RL. The first-night effect: 52:1382-9. an EEG study of sleep. Psychophysiology. 1966;2:263-6. 49. Kernell D, Eerbeek O. Recovery after intense chronic 44. Attali V, Mehiri S, Straus C, Salachas F, Arnulf I, stimulation: a physiological study of cat's fast muscle. J Appl Meininger V, et al. Influence of neck muscles on mouth Physiol. 1991;70:1763-9.