

The gut glycome-microbiota axis: a missing key to optimizing endurance fitness

Allison Clark, Núria Mach

▶ To cite this version:

Allison Clark, Núria Mach. The gut glycome-microbiota axis: a missing key to optimizing endurance fitness. 2023. hal-04000415v1

HAL Id: hal-04000415 https://hal.science/hal-04000415v1

Preprint submitted on 28 Mar 2023 (v1), last revised 8 Dec 2023 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 2

4

5

The gut glycome-microbiota axis: a missing key to optimizing endurance fitness

3 Allison Clark^a, Núria Mach^{b,*}

^a UOC, Universitat de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain

^b IHAP, Université de Toulouse, INRAE, ENVT, Toulouse, France

6 7 8

9 Abstract

10 Endurance athletes offer unique physiology and metabolism compared to sedentary individuals. Athletes training at high intensities for long periods are at risk for impaired 11 12 intestinal barrier function, gastrointestinal and respiratory disturbances, and an imbalance of 13 the microbiota-glycome axis. The gut glycome profoundly affects the assembly and function of the gut microbiome, immune and mitochondrial function, and the gut barrier homeostasis. 14 15 At the same time, microbes' composition and function regulate the O-glycome, mucus 16 secretion, intestinal barrier integrity, and immune response. During endurance exercise, 17 microbial glycans foraging might enhance fuel bioavailability for the mitochondria while improving aerobic work competencies, sparing glycogen usage, and increasing performance. 18 19 Understanding how interactions between the gut microbiome and host glycome affect 20 gastrointestinal physiology, immune modulation, and the ability to harness energy in 21 response to exercise offers the opportunity to shape lifelong gut, immune, and metabolic 22 health and enhance athletic performance. Dietary glycans, pro-, and postbiotics 23 supplementation have been shown to improve intestinal mucus production and barrier 24 function, offering new opportunities for enhancing the nutritional plans for athletes.

25

Keywords: gut microbiota, energy, endurance, dietary glycans, leaky gut, glycome, mucins,
stress

28

29 Introduction

Endurance exercise can be defined as prolonged cardiovascular efforts —such as running, 30 cross-country skiing, cycling, aerobic exercise, or swimming --performed for an extended 31 32 period ^{1–3}. Endurance exercise performance primarily depends on physiological adaptations to sustain the metabolic and thermoregulatory demands of such activity ⁴, including 33 34 coordinated muscle contractions, fatty acid oxidation, increased use of glycogen stores, 35 mitochondrial biogenesis, increased reactive species (ROS) production, and electrolyte rebalance ⁵. The development of fatigue limits sports performance, so the athletes with the 36 37 most outstanding fatigue resistance often succeed ⁶.

38

It is estimated that 30-50% of endurance athletes suffer from gastrointestinal complaints, including diarrhea, vomiting, nausea, and ischemia ⁷, in which individuals show temporary gut microbial imbalances, impaired mucus integrity, intestinal barrier damage, and inflammatory responses, the so-called, leaky gut. Moreover, the excessive strain on the respiratory system (relative to its capacity) leads to exercise-induced hypoxemia ⁸. Therefore, excessive and prolonged high-intensity exercise may impart digestive and respiratory problems, reduced protection from many diseases ⁹, and worse sports performance.

46

47 New evidence has shown that the gut microbiome plays a role in athletic performance $^{10-12}$. 48 The human gut microbiome contains more than 100 trillion microorganisms and up to 10 49 million non-redundant genes 13 . It spans over 2,000 bacterial species 14,15 and has a density of 50 ~ 40 - 300 billion microorganisms in the colon 16 . 51

The athlete's gut microbiome differs from non-athletes in composition and function ^{10,11,17}. 52 Ultimately microbiota composition and function impact host athletic performance directly 53 ^{11,12,18–20}, and indirectly through myokines and other cytokines, modulating the activation of 54 the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and metabolic pathways ^{12,21,22} (Figure 1). For 55 example, changes in microbial composition and increased diversity correlate with improved 56 performance and cardiorespiratory fitness (as predicted by VO_{2 max} or the VO_{2 peak}) in 57 marathon runners regardless of sex, age, body mass index, and diet²³. Increases in the 58 Firmicutes-Bacteroidetes ratio²⁴ or depletion in *Eubacterium* spp enhance the cardiovascular 59 capacity of athletes, as assessed by the maximum oxygen consumption ²⁵. Disruption of 60 intestinal microbiota following antibiotic treatment can cause skeletal muscle endurance 61 impairment ²⁶. Similar work demonstrated significant reductions in short-chain fatty acids 62 (SCFAs), gut microbiota, and endurance capacity after antibiotic treatment ²⁷. Infusion of the 63 SCFA acetate resulted in the restoration of endurance capacity. Deeper characterization of the 64 links between athletic performance and gut microbiome revealed that just one bacterium 65 (Veillonella atypica) was required to enhance the athletic outputs in treadmill mice 66 experiments by producing propionate from exercise-induced lactate ^{12,19}. Enriching the genus 67 Bacteroides uniformis and its preferred substrate, α -cyclodextrin, enhanced endurance 68 exercise performance in mice and humans, possibly by facilitating endogenous glucose 69 production in the liver during exercise ¹¹. Lastly, exercise performance was enhanced in mice 70 by augmenting dopamine signaling during physical activity, illustrating that gut-derived 71 72 interoceptive circuits influence the rewarding properties of exercise 28 .

73

Complementary to these results, compelling new evidence suggests that intestinal glycome -74 the ensemble of glycans - may be a critical factor in overall enteric health and energy 75 production, serving as a potential missing factor in improving athletic performance. Using 76 77 horses as an endurance exercise model and along with shotgun sequencing, our team showed better athletic performance in subjects who harbored highly diverse gut microbiomes with a 78 79 greater capacity to cleave host glycosaminoglycans from the gut mucus layer ²⁹, mainly composed of mucin 2 (MUC2). This higher ability to use host gut mucins could offer 80 complimentary or unique metabolic pathways that enhance fuel bioavailability for the 81 82 mitochondria while improving aerobic work competencies and sparing glycogen usage. This 83 was the case even after factors such as diet were accounted for. Mucins are critical for pathogen recognition, immune activation, selection of commensals to repress pathogens, and, 84 even more importantly, building protective barriers ³⁰. Most of these mucin functions are 85 governed via the *O*-glycome - the ensemble of glycans and their *O*-glycosylation ³¹. Changes 86 in the expression and glycosylation of mucins are associated with dysbiosis, immune 87 dysregulation, and disease such as diabetes 32,33 . An ever-expanding field suggests that MUC-88 89 2 glycosylation and O-GlcNAcylation regulation of cell nucleocytoplasmic and mitochondrial proteins play a role in energy metabolism, intestinal barrier, and immune 90 function ^{33,34}, representing a significant challenge endurance exercise biology today. 91

92

93 There is a mutualistic relationship between the gut glycome, gut microbiota, and derived 94 metabolites. The gut microbiota is required to synthesize large gel-forming mucins 95 completely, including encapsulation, glycosylation, changes in fucosylation and sialidation 96 patterns, and thickness ^{35–37}. Being both an environmental niche and a food source, this high 97 diversity of gut mucins significantly impacts the gut microbiota composition, diversity, and 98 stability, which can, in turn, stimulate mucosal expression and, together, form the basis of the 99 host-microbial symbiosis ^{37,38}. Most bacteria use dietary fiber and starch as nutrient sources 100 ^{34;} however, many *Bacteroides* spp and *Akkermansia muciniphila* contain an extensive repertoire of enzymes that metabolize the host mucus layer ^{32,39}. In turn, the fermentation of these indigestible mucus layer glycans produces SCFAs ³⁸, which confer numerous wellknown health benefits for the host, including energy production, immune regulation, mucosa protection, and remodeling, as well as modulation of mitochondrial function ⁴⁰, the limiting factor for fatigue onset and athletic performance. Overall, there is an urge to delineate the key role that glycome-microbiota interaction might have in athletes.

107

Given the increasing interest in exercise and the growing evidence of gastrointestinal 108 109 complaints in endurance athletes, this review will provide insights into the crosstalk of the 110 gut microbiome, the host glycome landscape, and its potential impact on exercise performance. Glycans, pre-, and post-biotics have a high potential to be applied in the dietary 111 plans of athletes to prevent intestinal problems, while improving athletic performance in 112 endurance events ⁵. Therefore, we argue that the next phase in the gut microbiome and athlete 113 research should be focused on the design of nutritional strategies that target the 114 115 microorganisms able to regulate the O-glycome or the microbiota composition and function to maintain gastrointestinal mucosal health, reduce the prevalence of gastrointestinal 116 117 symptoms and enhance athletic performance.

118

119 Gastrointestinal symptoms in endurance athletes: the mucosal glycome damage matters

120 Over the last decades, the increased participation of the general population in endurance 121 events has raised concerns regarding the impact prolonged and intense exercise has on immune and gastrointestinal health, which can lead to worse performance ⁴¹ and recovery ⁴². 122 For example, 30-50% of endurance athletes ^{5,43} and 96% of ultramarathon runners experience 123 gastrointestinal distress, in which nausea and vomiting are the main reasons for withdrawal 124 from the competition ^{44,45}. Although most symptoms are mild and do not cause long-term 125 health effects, epigastric pain, heartburn, nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, bloody diarrhea 126 episodes, and dehydration are typical responses in endurance athletes. Oesophagitis, 127 hemorrhagic gastritis, gastric ulcer, gastrointestinal bleeding, and ischaemic bowel may 128 involve severe medical complications in endurance athletes ⁵. 129

130

Vigorous endurance training ($\geq 60 \text{ min and} \geq 70\%$ of maximum work capacity) increases 131 sympathetic nervous system activity and redistributes blood flow from the splanchnic organs 132 133 to the working skeletal muscles and peripheral circulation. This results in gut hypoxia and hypoperfusion, reduced intestinal motility and absorption capacity, damage of the specialized 134 antimicrobial protein-secreting cells (Paneth cells), the mucus-producing cells (such as goblet 135 cells), and increased loosening of tight junction protein structure ⁴⁶. The deterioration of the 136 gastrointestinal mucosal barrier enables the translocation of bacteria and lipopolysaccharides 137 (LPS) outside the gastrointestinal tract, triggering immune and inflammatory responses often 138 resulting in increased intestinal permeability 1 and, eventually, endotoxemia $^{47-49}$. Moreover, it induces oxidative stress via ROS production 50 and changes the oxygen concentration. A rat 139 140 model showed that colonic ischemia destroyed intestinal MUC2, damaging the protective 141 intestinal mucus layer ⁵¹ (Figure 2). Repairing the mucus layer is a time and energy-142 143 consuming process, and increased bacterial invasion of the lamina propria can trigger acute 144 colitis ⁵². Furthermore, emotional stress experienced by many endurance athletes can also decrease the intestinal mucus layer ³⁴ and increase intestinal permeability ¹. Repeated bouts of 145 stress decrease MUC2 synthesis and the number of goblet cells via notch signaling 146 suppression in rats ⁵³. More recently, Silva and colleagues revealed that stress strongly affects 147 the O-glycosylation of mucins, resulting in the flattening and loss of cohesive properties of 148 the mucus layer ³⁴. 149

150

On the other hand, moderate and regular physical activity improves gastrointestinal 151 symptoms in subjects with irritable bowel syndrome in humans ⁵⁴. In mice, moderate exercise 152 has been associated with a lesser degree of intestinal permeability, preservation of mucus 153 154 layer thickness, and lower rates of bacterial translocation along with up-regulated antimicrobial protein production and gene expression in small intestinal tissue (α -defensin, β -155 defensin, Reg IIIb, and Reg IIIc)⁵⁵. Therefore, variations in physical training, such as 156 intensity, volume, recovery, training time, and fluid restriction during exercise, are 157 158 determinant factors that may contribute to intestinal mucus layer impairment (e.g., reduction 159 in mucus synthesis, secretion, thickness, or viscosity) 46 .

160

161 The consequences of gut disturbances in endurance athletes may not be limited to the 162 gastrointestinal system. Recent evidence suggests that gastrointestinal damage may be 163 associated with respiratory comorbidities partly due to the bidirectional inter-organ 164 communication of the gut-lung axis ^{56–59}. Even if the mechanisms bridging gut and 165 respiratory mucins are poorly understood, respiratory disorders and exercise-induced 166 hypoxemia often cause morbidity in top-level endurance athletes due to exertion in extreme 167 conditions. Studies elucidating how the gut-lung axis communicates and the respective role 168 of mucins are warranted.

169

170 The gut glycome composition and their primary functional roles in the gut

171 One of the most critical host-produced glycans is mucin, which is continuously secreted from the goblet cells of the small intestine and colon or the surface mucous cells of the stomach 60 . 172 Mucins are a large family of heavily O-glycosylated proteins (from MUC1 to MUC20) which 173 cover all mucosal surfaces and constitute the major macromolecules in most body fluids ^{61,62}. 174 175 The prominent gut mucin in the gastrointestinal tract is the gel-forming glycoprotein MUC2 63 , which is comprised mainly of glycans, > 80% by weight, which is made up of > 100 176 structures containing combinations of at least five mono-saccharides: galactose moieties 177 (Gal), N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc), N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc), sialic acid (Sia), 178 sulfate, and fucose (Fuc)⁶⁴. Sialic acids protect underlying glycan chains from enzymatic 179 degradation⁶⁵. 180

181

The expression and composition profile of mucins vary among host tissues and within the gastrointestinal tract, which displays the highest and most diverse levels of mucin expression in the body ³⁶. Mucins clear, contain, feed, prevent the proteolytic activity of bacteria and digestive enzymes, and continuously replenish the gut microbiome ⁶⁴. Precisely, the MUC2 of the stratified inner mucus prevents microbes from reaching and translocating to the intestinal epithelium ⁶⁶. In contrast, the MUC2 of the outer mucus layer provides a natural habitat and carbon source for the commensal bacteria adapted to low levels of oxygen ^{36,67}.

189

Most of the functions of MUC2 are governed by the glycosylation of the glycome, which 190 plays a crucial role in adaptive and innate immune responses beyond acting as just a barrier 191 between the intestinal luminal antigens and microbes 31 . *O*-glycosylation is the main modification and type of glycosylation that affects mucins 30 . *O*-glycosylation hides the 192 193 194 protein core of the mucins and protects it from endogenous protease degradation, helps with 195 pathogen recognition and trapping, and activates the innate and adaptive immunity allowing tolerance throughout toll-like receptor activation, cytokines, and antimicrobial functions ³¹. 196 O-glycosylation differs along the different gastrointestinal tract regions and individuals 197 (genetic factors partly determine them ³⁷), depending on glycosyltransferase expression, 198 health or disease status, internal and external cues, microbiota composition, and function ⁶⁸. 199 Several studies suggest that the mucus layer also has direct immunological effects due to their 200

- 201 glycans, which can bind directly to immune cells through the lectin-like proteins found in the 202 latter 60 .
- 202

Unlike complex glycosylations, O-GalNAcylation, which consists of adding a single N-204 acetylglucosamine unit to a serine or threonine hydroxyl group of cytoplasmic, nuclear, and 205 mitochondrial target proteins, can also influence the mucin function during exercise ⁶⁹. This 206 O-GalNAcylation is a dynamic process by the enzymes O-GlcNAc transferase (OGT) and the 207 O-GlcNAcase (OGA)⁷⁰. The O-GalNAc glycosylation is controlled by nutrient and stress-208 sensing ^{70,71}, both important during endurance exercise. In fact, O-GalNAcylation shifts 209 related to differential modulation of the carbohydrate metabolism and mitochondrial function 210 via OGT catalyzation and subsequent AMPK activation ^{70,72}. O-GlcNAcylation also regulates 211 protein synthesis/degradation balance via key signaling pathways such as Akt/GSK3β, 212 Akt/mTOR, Myogenin/Atrogin-1, Myogenin/Mef2D, Mrf4, and PGC-1a in the skeletal 213 muscle, all of which might influence the response to exercise 73 . 214

215

We, therefore, posit that changes in glycosylation and *O*-GlcNAcylation via the gut microbiome might lead to differential modulation of carbohydrate metabolism and mitochondrial function and modulation of the gut response to exercise, illustrating how regulating the glycome can be a decisive factor in improving exercise performance. Reciprocally, the mucinome might modulate the gut microbiome composition and function, and it may be the gateway for researchers aiming to influence gut health.

222

223 The gut glycome-microbiota axis: two-way traffic

As previously mentioned, there is a mutualistic relationship between gut mucins and the 224 225 microbiota, especially with luminal and mucosal-associated microbiota. First, the mucin 226 glycosylation profile can influence the composition of mucus-associated bacteria by protecting against pathogens and selecting specific species ⁶⁸. On the other hand, the gut 227 microbiota and its metabolites facilitate the creation of the mucus layer in the colon ^{35,36} by 228 regulating O-glycosylation and MUC2 expression in the colon goblet cells ⁷⁴. Using germ-229 free mice, Johansson and colleagues $(2015)^{75}$ showed that the gut microbiota is fundamental 230 for forming a proper mucus layer in mice. First, the number of filled goblet cells in germ-free 231 232 mice was lower. Second, the inner mucus layer in the colon was thinner and, therefore, 233 penetrable to bacteria compared to conventional mice, and the glycosylation patterns differed 234 between groups. Indeed, Firmicutes, which are highly abundant in the mucus layer, have been 235 shown to specifically induce MUC2 expression and secretion in proximal colon goblet cells, leading to their encapsulation ⁷⁴. Hanson and colleagues used germ-free vs. ex-germ-free 236 mice to show that microbes cause changes in O-glycan structure and expression of pertinent 237 glycosyltransferases and glycan-modifying enzymes ³⁰. Bacteria or microbial products such 238 as LPS, flagellin, and lipoteichoic acid, among others, might target the MUC2 promoter by 239 activating nuclear factor (NF)-KKB ⁷⁵. For example, an *in vitro* study in human mucin-240 secreting goblet cell line HT29-MTX revealed that LPS increased mucin MUC5AC, MUC5B, 241 242 and cytokine mRNA expression ⁷⁶.

243

Interestingly, mucin synthesis is regulated at the transcriptional and epigenetic levels via the gut microbiota and its metabolites. Several studies have shown that after glycan fermentation, the SCFAs butyrate and propionate epigenetically regulate *MUC2* gene expression in the human goblet cell-like LS174T cells ⁷⁷, which help maintain intestinal barrier function. In line with these findings, an elegant study by Bergstrom and Xia ³¹ showed that the SCFAs resulting from *O*-glycans fermentation drove Foxp3-derived regulatory T cell (Treg) production and regulated intestinal O₂ levels and barrier function. Another study in

inflammatory bowel disease patients in Japan⁷⁸ found that ulcerative colitis patients had 251 252 dysbiosis, fewer mucin O-glycans, and lower SCFA production, suggesting that these individuals harbored fewer bacteria that ferment mucosal glycans ⁷⁹. Ulcerative colitis 253 subjects also showed altered MUC2 O-glycosylation, carrying smaller glycans and less 254 complex glycans in the mucus layer, and also presented more inflammation and an active 255 256 disease state ⁷⁸. In vitro and in vivo experiments in dysbiotic diarrheal pigs have also shown aberrant mucin O-glycosylation and a consequent inflammatory response that damaged 257 mucosal barrier function⁸⁰. Beyond the presence and activity of gut microbes, environmental 258 259 factors such as pH, water content, gut motility, food consistency, and stool passages also 260 induce regulation of host mucus layer glycan expression, increasing the diversification of mucin structures⁸¹. Finally, new evidence also suggests that exercise-associated stress can 261 alter mucin synthesis or glycosylation patterns. 262

263

264 The gut glycome-microbiota-immune axis: tolerance and pathogen recognition

Clear evidence of mucin-microbiota interactions has come from studies about the diverse
 monosaccharide components, glycosidic linkages, and modifications that act as a barrier to
 pathogen-induced damage or innate host defense strategies that protect from inflammatory
 interactions with commensal microbiota ^{31,74}.

269

In eubiosis, the intestinal epithelium can distinguish commensal and pathogenic microbiota by specific molecular patterns from microorganisms. In humans, the immune system develops over time to recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as LPS, which are glycans mainly found on the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria ⁸², and other cell surface glycan antibody epitopes. Both secreted and transmembrane mucins offer interaction and attachment sites to glycan-binding components of microorganisms and help to regulate and tolerate the enormous diversity of the human microbiota in the eubiosis ⁸³.

277

278 Gut microbiota immune tolerance and bacterial epithelial surface protection are also regulated by the secretory IgA (SIgA), a highly abundant antibody mainly located in the intestinal mucosa, especially in Peyer's patches ⁷⁹. SIgA is heavily glycosylated in which carbohydrates comprise 25% of the secretory component ⁸⁴. Recent studies have shown that 279 280 281 their glycan structures are important microbiota-binding sites, thus illustrating their role in 282 modulating and tolerating gut microorganisms⁸⁵. Some commensals express highly strain-283 specific superantigens that induce SIgA responses, whereas IgA glycosylation enables the recognition of Gram-positive bacterial glycans⁸⁶. Besides SIgA, intestinal IgA interacts 284 285 directly with intestinal microbial glycans in which microbes can bind to N and O-linked 286 glycans⁸⁶, making it a key driver of commensal bacteria selection. Interestingly, endurance 287 288 athletes have been shown to have higher amounts of sIgA secretion by favoring mucosal adaptation to exercise-induced tissue damage ⁸⁷. The remaining question is whether immune 289 290 cell glycome modifications are complementary mechanisms of the holobiont to adapt to 291 endurance exercise.

292

Disadvantageously, mucin-type *O*-glycans can also tip the balance in favor of susceptibility to microbial infections, virulence, and ensuing tissue damage ³¹. Pathogens utilize mucin *O*glycans as receptors to evade host immune responses and act as a food source ^{30,62,82,88}. Many pathogens have adapted to use the host glycan structures siglecs, a family of sialic acidbinding immunoglobulin-like receptors that regulate adaptive and innate immune responses through their glycan ligand recognition ³¹. For example, *Neisseria meningitidis, Haemophilus influenzae, Campylobacter jejuni, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Group B Streptococcus,* and *Escherichia coli* K1 ^{89,90} utilize host sialic acid as a means for their survival and evasion of host immune responses. *Group B Streptococcus* binds to siglecs to downregulate leukocyte bactericidal capacity, thus escaping host immune recognition ⁸⁹. *Bacteroides theta* uses the 9-*O*-acetylesterase EstA to remove 9-OAc, allowing pathogenic *E. coli* strains to use their sialidases to liberate Sia for nutritional sources ⁶⁵.

305

Although the interaction between infection and glycan regulation isn't fully understood, it is 306 known that pathogens not only reshape the host glycome on host cell surfaces and cause 307 aberrant expression of host glycosyltransferases and glycosidases ³⁰ but also create LPS 308 309 sialylation. Interestingly, pathogens can add sialic acid units to their oligosaccharides and 310 glycoproteins, allowing pathogens to evade host immune responses by disguising themselves 311 as a host cell, otherwise called molecular mimicry. In other words, some bacteria can modify 312 their capsule composition, promoting immune system evasion. This process also enables the pathogen to interact directly with sialic acid-specific siglecs found on the surface of host 313 immune cells ⁹⁰. Complementary, other pathogens can degrade the mucus layer and penetrate 314 315 or modify mucus pH, influencing its viscosity. This is possible thanks to mucin-degrading 316 proteoses, chemotaxis, and flagella, which allow pathogens to move inside the mucus, adhere to and produce infection 68 . 317

318

319 The gut glycome-microbiota axis: Host mucin foraging, a feast for all

Interconnections between the gut microbiota and colonic mucus are not limited to the gut 320 microbiota-glycome-host immune system connections and attachment site ⁷⁴. Mucins also 321 322 serve as metabolic substrates, providing a nutritional advantage to microorganisms adapted to the mucosal environment and favoring their replication and colonization⁹¹. Only a limited 323 number of bacterial species from the Gram-negative Bacteroidetes, Gram-positive 324 Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia phyla can directly access mucin as a carbon 325 source, utilizing the obtained energy to feed themselves and to produce SCFA ⁹². These 326 bacteria encode thousands of carbohydrate-active-enzymes (CAZymes) that are strain or subspecies-dependent $^{93-95}$ and associated transport systems, enabling complex 327 328 associated transport systems, 329 polysaccharide chain uptake, depolymerization, and fermentation into CO₂ and hostabsorbable SCFA, namely acetate, propionate, and butyrate ⁹⁶. These SCFAs diffuse back 330 through the inner mucus layer and serve as an energy source for local epithelial cells and, to 331 some extent, the body ⁹⁷. Given that an estimated 70% of caloric needs come from SCFAs in 332 ruminants and 10% in humans, they are a critical fuel source for the body ⁹⁸. Once bacterial 333 334 glycosidases have started to act on the mucin glycans, the host-specific glycan epitopes will 335 disappear. The bacteria will continue to degrade the glycans, and finally, the protein 336 backbone will be exposed and degraded, contributing to mucus degradation. Only small 337 amounts of mucin appear in the feces showing that the commensal bacteria efficiently utilize host mucins ⁹⁷. 338

339

340 The primary enzymatic activity needed for MUC2 breakdown is glycosyl hydrolases (GHs), 341 such as endo- β -*N*-acetylgalactosaminidase, fucosidases, *N*-acetyl- β -hexosaminidases, β galactosidases, and sialidases. The first targets for these exoglycosidases are the terminal 342 343 residues on the O-glycans, such as sialic acid, fucose, and glycosulfate. The proportion of 344 these terminal glycan epitopes varies along the gastrointestinal tract, with a decreasing gradient of fucose and an increasing amount of sialic acid from the ileum to the rectum in 345 humans⁹⁹. Sialic acid, therefore, represents a much-coveted source of nutrients for the gut 346 bacteria that inhabit the mucus niche in the large intestine ⁶⁷. This can result in the release of 347 monosaccharides, such as N-acetylglucosamine, N-acetylgalactosamine, and galactose, used 348 by the microbial degrader itself or by other resident microbes ³⁷. 349

350

The glycobiome of Clostridia, Bacteroides spp., Barnesiella intestinihominis, Eubacterium 351 352 spp., Bifidobacterium spp., Vibrio cholerae, Ruminococcus spp., and Akkermansia *muciniphila* represents one of the largest predicted enzyme arsenals for mucin metabolism 353 ^{31,52}. For example, A. *muciniphila*, a symbiotic bacterium of the mucus layer, utilizes mucin 354 as its sole carbon, nitrogen, and energy source via a combination of different enzymatic 355 activities, including proteases, sulphatases, and GHs ¹⁰⁰. However, Ravcheev and Theile 356 (2017)⁵² reported that genes for the cleavage of mucin glycans were found in 86% of the 397 357 genomes of gut microbes, which is significantly higher than a previous estimation. We expect 358 359 those populations frequently found on the mucosal side (e.g., Lachnospiraceae, 360 Enterobacteriaceae, Bacteroides, Eubacterium rectale, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii, 361 Eubacterium cylindroides, Clostridium histolyticum, Clostridium lituseburense, and A. 362 *muciniphila*) harbor the enzymatic machinery to forage mucins. In vivo complete degradation of mucins in the gut likely relies on the cooperative action of several hosts glycan-consuming 363 microbial species. It is also possible that many uncharacterized bacteria, archaea, or other 364 365 microorganisms can grow on host glycan by cross-feeding activities dependent on other 366 glycan utilizers.

367

368 Microbial mucus layer foraging: extra energy and an athletic performance advantage

Endurance exercise has been studied as a significant long-term modifier of the intestinal 369 microbiome composition and function in animal and human studies ^{12,17,101,102}. Shotgun 370 371 metagenomics analysis of the fecal samples shows that athletes had a different microbiome 372 composition from a functional point of view, with the increased microbial representation of genes involved in carbohydrate and amino acid metabolism and SCFA production²¹. In 373 another study, the average abundance of taxa involved in energy and carbohydrate 374 375 metabolism, including Prevotella and Methanobrevibacter smithii, was significantly higher in professional cyclists compared to amateur cyclists and was correlated with the frequency of 376 training ¹⁰³. Barton and colleagues (2018) ¹⁰¹ found induction of microbiome pathways 377 involved in glycan biosynthesis and lipid metabolism in professional rugby athletes. The 378 mucin-degrading commensal A. muciniphila, which regulates intestinal inflammation and 379 barrier function, has also been reported to be more abundant in athletes ^{22,102}. In agreement 380 with these findings, our team revealed in an Arabian horse endurance model that gut 381 microbiomes with high abundances of Verrumicrobia, including the A. muciniphila and 382 383 Actinobacteria taxa, showed an enrichment in CAZymes families that act in a concerted manner to cleave host glycans such as glycoproteins (e.g., mucin) into fermentable 384 monosaccharides²⁹. For instance, GH29, which encodes fucosidases, and GH20 families, 385 which include hexosaminidase and Lacto-N-biosidase activities ¹⁰⁴, were enriched in fit 386 individuals, coupled with the GH18, GH88, and GH95, and the polysaccharide lyases (PL) 387 PL8, PL12, GH18, GH88, and GH95. Associated with this glycan enzymatic machinery, we 388 389 also observed an enrichment of KEGG orthologous groups (KOs) related to glycan biosynthesis and metabolism (K09953, K18770, K12309, K12551, K01137, K03276, 390 391 K12985, K14459). These findings suggest that inathletes mucin cross-feeding is essential to microbial community development ^{104,105}. 392

393

Such results are further supported by metatranscriptome data of bifidobacteria, known mucin degraders, which revealed pronounced transcription of GH gene families involved in mucin degradation ¹⁰⁶. Recent data also showed that athletes harbor higher abundances of *Bifidobacterium* ¹⁰⁷. Moreover, it is plausible that the forage and fermentation of *O*-glycans in athletes' guts not only influences the microbe-microbe interaction and the fitness of affected organisms by releasing molecules in the environment, such as acetate and lactate but, in turn, may affect the gut homeostasis and control inflammation and gut barrier function ¹⁰⁸. Barton 401 et al. ¹⁰¹ already speculated that the athlete's gut microbiome possesses a functional capacity
402 primed for tissue repair and a more remarkable ability to harness energy (Figure 3). This
403 assertion reflects the significant energy demands and tissue adaptation during intense exercise
404 and elite sports ²¹.

405

During exercise, it is estimated that most SCFA (60-70%) serve as an energy source for colonocytes ⁹⁸. The remaining SCFAs are sensed by G-coupled-protein-receptors, primarily GPCR41, GPCR42, and GPCR109A, located in enteroendocrine cells or can be transported to the bloodstream to hepatic, muscle cells and adipocytes where they can regulate host glucose and fat metabolism by stimulating the release of hormones such as glucagon-like peptide 1 (GPL-1) ⁹⁸, which are critical fuel sources for athletes ¹⁰⁹.

412

413 Although SCFAs production from host glycan catabolism might be an effective and 414 alternative way to fuel host during extraneous activity, the authors caution against the need to understand the consequences of excessive mucus layer foraging during exercise. The 415 416 insufficient host replacement of the complex glycans following the microbiota consumption 417 rate might impair mucosa functioning. A thinner mucus layer due to microbial degradation has been associated with severe gastrointestinal problems, including LPS and bacteria translocation ¹¹⁰, dysglycemia ¹¹¹, and increased susceptibility to overt pathogens, including 418 419 *Citrobacter rodentium*¹¹². Nonetheless, Jakobsson et al. (2015)¹¹⁰ showed that a thinner 420 421 mucus layer is still functional and does not directly lead to a loss of tolerance defense toward 422 the microbiota, as evidenced by a lack of overt idiopathic inflammation. This shows the 423 power of MUC2 O-glycans in protecting against aggressive communities in these extreme conditions, allowing a co-existence with the gut microbiota, often mutualistic, despite the 424 potential of the microbes to cause harm 31 . 425

426

427 A symbiotic and coevolved relationship between the microbiome-encoded enzymatic 428 machinery capable of digesting host mucins and the host's dynamic expression of mucin 429 glycans seems to be established upon exercise. Considering the difficulty in obtaining these 430 measurements *in vivo*, this assumption remains to be tested. These results raise the possibility that the makeup of the gut microbiome and its capacity to metabolize glycans may be a 431 432 determiner of the effectiveness of exercise. In line with this, a recent study by Morita et al¹¹, 433 shows that *Bacteroides uniformis*, a dominant component of the gut microbiota with an 434 expanded glycolytic capability to utilize both dietary and endogenous glycans, enhances 435 endurance exercise performance in mice and human males. Therefore, properly seeding the 436 gut microbiota and mucosa early with those microorganisms might maximize athletic 437 performance.

438

439 *O*-GlcNAcylation, energy metabolism, and exercise: a potential key for optimizing 440 athletic performance

To date, researchers still haven't agreed about the exact role O-GlcNAcylation plays in 441 response to exercise. Looking closer, O-GlcNAcylation is essential for glucose and 442 carbohydrate metabolism during exercise and skeletal muscle mitochondrial function 443 ^{72,73,113,114}. In an elegant study, Shi and colleagues (2018) ⁷² analyzed muscle tissue from 444 muscle-specific OGT knockout mice in metabolic cages. The OGT knockout mice showed 445 446 increased whole-body energy expenditure, changes in muscle substrate use, and 447 mitochondrial dysfunction compared to wild-type mice. The shifts in mitochondrial function 448 included increased energy substrate oxidation and mitochondrial dysfunction, confirming that OGT plays an essential role in mitochondrial and overall energy metabolism and, therefore, 449 450 fatigue resistance. OGT and OGA expressions differ depending on muscle type metabolism during exercise and in response to reactive oxygen species (ROS) and heat shock proteins, altering carbohydrate metabolism and various signaling pathways such as PGC-1 α in the skeletal muscles ⁷³. Thus, exercise is a crucial regulator of the *O*-GlcNAc gene expression, signaling, and protein modification, all of which modulate energy metabolism ⁶⁹.

455

As previously mentioned, the O-GlcNAcylation of transcription factors and enzymes 456 457 involved in oxidative stress signaling, glucose metabolism, gluconeogenesis, and mitochondrial function is needed to cope with stress and nutrient depletion during exercise, 458 including transcriptional activator peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor one alpha 459 (PGC-1 α), AMPK, forkhead box 1 (FOXO1), and surtin 1 (SIRT1) ^{70,72,115}. Precisely, OGT activates PGC-1 α , which promotes hepatic gluconeogenesis ¹¹⁴, and regulates lipid and 460 461 glucose metabolism via activating the transcription factors peroxisome proliferator-activated 462 receptor (PPAR) α , PPAR δ , PPAR γ , liver X receptor (LXR), and farnesoid X receptor (FXR) 463 ⁶⁹. Conversely, PGC-1 α has been shown to target OGT to FoxO1 in fasting conditions in liver 464 cells 114,116 . PGC-1 α also regulates SIRT1 and the enzyme AMP-activated protein kinase 465 (AMPK) in various tissues, including skeletal muscles ⁷⁰. Additionally, PGC-1a/ OGT 466 complex induces *O*-GlyNAcylation of the transcription factor FOXO1¹¹⁷, which upregulates 467 genes coding for gluconeogenesis enzymes ⁷⁰ and is upregulated in response to oxidative 468 stress ^{118,119}. Besides PGC-1a, O-GlcNAcylation can also activate CREB in liver cells which 469 was shown to promote gluconeogenesis ⁷⁰. In addition, *in vitro* studies have demonstrated 470 that OGT epigenetically regulates AMPK expression in skeletal muscle cells ⁷⁰. Given the 471 472 role O-GlcNAcylation plays in signaling pathways involved in energy metabolism and 473 mitochondrial function, epigenetic regulation of the O-GlyNAcylation function could be a 474 potential therapeutic target for optimizing athletic performance.

475

Gut microbes also regulate O-GlcNAcylation. Notably, gut *A. muciniphila* and *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* produce OGT and OGA. They can hydrolyze *O*-GlcNAcylated proteins in epithelial cells and immune cells, which activates NF-κβ signaling and regulates proinflammatory immune responses ¹²⁰, T-cell immune responses against viral bacterial, fungal, and parasitic infections ¹²¹. Of interest is the fact that recent studies have reported a higher abundance of *Akkermansia* in athletes ^{122–124} making this bacteria a potential therapeutic target for exercise-induced intestinal inflammation and pathogen translocation.

483

Though research is in its infancy, there is a potential crosstalk between O-GlcNAcylation, 484 SCFAs, and secondary bile acids, all of which are related to energy metabolism, mitochondrial function, and oxidative stress in and out of the intestines ^{50,119,125}. Oxidative 485 486 stress, hypoxia, and ischemia, which occur in endurance athletes under extreme physical 487 488 pressure, all affect O-GlcNAcylation levels, although the exact mechanisms are not yet fully understood ¹¹⁹. Nevertheless, primary evidence shows that SCFAs can regulate O-489 GlcNAcylation glucose metabolism through various means, such as improving insulin 490 sensitivity by directly activating AMPK, which induces PGC-1 α in skeletal muscles ¹²⁶, liver 491 and adipose tissues ¹²⁷. SCFAs have been shown to regulate energy metabolism during 492 exercise^{1,128} through many of the same signaling pathways as O-GlcNAcylation, namely 493 AMPK and PGC-1 α ¹²⁹. SCFAs also activate *SIRT1*, which plays a role in mitochondrial 494 biogenesis via PGC-1 α deacetylation ¹³⁰. In skeletal muscle cells and brown adipose cells, 495 butyrate has been shown to phosphorylate AMPK and p38, which then activates PGC-1a 496 resulting in FAO and ATP production 127,131 . The SCFA also regulates protein O-497 498 GlcNAcylation in intestinal L cells, a type of enteroendocrine cells that produce the hormones glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and peptide YY (PYY), therefore, regulating 499

- glucose and lipid metabolism 132 . In the same line, intestinal epithelial levels of *O*-GlcNAc reduce in response to microbial depletion and can be partially rescued by SCFAs in mice 133 .
- 502

Secondary bile acids, produced by anaerobic bacteria that degrade bile acids ¹³⁴, are key 503 signaling molecules for regulating energy metabolism by activating GLP-1 in L cells, 504 regulating glucose and lipid metabolism, and acting as a ligand for the nuclear receptor FXR 505 ¹³⁵. FXR is an essential metabolic regulator found in various tissues, including intestinal, 506 liver, pancreatic, and muscles, and regulates the enteropathic circulation of bile acids, 507 glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, lipogenesis, and lipoprotein biosynthesis and transport, and is 508 sensitive to glucose levels held by O-GlcNAcylation ¹³⁶. Studies in vitro and FRX ^{-/-} 509 C57BL/6J mice illustrated that O-GlcNAcylation increased FXR transcriptional activity ¹³⁶. 510 FXR also targets the SIRT1 gene ¹³⁵, which is directly regulated by bile acids, SCFAs, and O-511 GlcNAcylation¹¹⁵. 512

- 513 Given such complexity, we hypothesize that the mucin *O*-glycome and *O*-GlcNAcylation are 514 essential during endurance exercise, especially when gut homeostasis is perturbed.
- 515

516 Nutritional strategies for gut mucosal health and remodeling in athletes

517 Many endurance athletes are encouraged to consume high amounts of simple carbohydrates, 518 protein, and low amounts of fat and fiber to provide quick energy and to avoid potential 519 digestive issues such as gas and distension that high-fiber diets can sometimes cause ¹.

520

521 Interestingly, when dietary fiber is insufficiently available in the gut lumen, bacterial genomic regulation and intestinal microbial ecosystem equilibrium shifts toward mucus layer foraging ¹³⁷. A study by Desai et al. (2016) ¹¹² illustrated how dietary fiber deficiencies could 522 523 promote specific gut bacterial populations and their enzymes and cellular metabolism shift 524 towards host mucin glycans as an energy source, leading to erosion of the colonic mucus 525 layer. These findings have also been validated in a study of mice fed a fiber-free diet ¹¹². In 526 527 line with these findings, excessive and aggressive mucus-degrading communities were observed in response to Western-style diets that are high in saturated fats and simple 528 carbohydrates but low in dietary fiber, resulting in higher mucus layer permeability ¹³⁸. Lack 529 530 of dietary fiber induced defects of the inner colonic mucus layer, which included a strongly reduced growth rate and higher mucus layer penetrability after changing from a chow diet to 531 Western-style diets in mice. In support of this notion, Schroeder et al. (2018) ⁶⁶ observed 532 533 significantly decreased diversity and reduced abundance of Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria 534 in the absence of fiber for up to 40 days. The forced foraging on host glycans leads to thinning of the mucus layer ⁶⁶ and increases the risk factor for infection by enteric pathogens 535 ¹³⁸. In lack of dietary-derived carbohydrates, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* revealed a 536 capacity to turn to host mucus glycans when polysaccharides were absent from the diet ¹³⁹. 537

538

539 Since gut mucins are essential to ensure alternative energy sources during endurance exercise 540 and enhance performance, mucosal glycosylation, composition, and thickness defects may 541 worsen the athlete's performance. We thus propose that the new dietary frontiers in athletes 542 should target modification of the gut glycome-microbiota axis throughout the administration 543 of probiotics, prebiotics, or postbiotics (Figure 4; Table 1).

544

545 **Probiotics that modify the gut glycome-microbiota axis**

Probiotic supplementation may be an effective strategy to improve or maintain intestinal
barrier health and function in endurance athletes commonly affected by gastrointestinal
complaints. The administration of *Lactobacillus* spp has been shown to stimulate *MUC3*expression in human intestinal epithelial cells and MUC2 production and secretion ^{140,141}. In

550 line with this, L. reuteri increased mucus layer thickness after the dextran sulfate sodiuminduced intestinal barrier damage ¹⁴². Evidence also shows that L. reuteri can improve 551 intestinal barrier integrity by increasing the expression of tight junction proteins in the intestinal epithelial cells ¹⁴³. Other examples include *Bifidobacterium longum* 552 553 supplementation, which restored mucus growth in mice after four weeks of feeding with a 554 Western-style diet ¹⁴⁴. The administration of the mucin-degrading A. *muciniphila*, which 555 556 represents ~1-3% of the intestinal microbiota in humans, has shown promising probiotic effects, including metabolic modulation, immune system regulation, gut barrier function, and other physiological and homeostatic functions $^{145-147}$. Mice treated with a high-fat diet and A. 557 558 559 muciniphila presented restored mucus layer thickness. Although this bacteria is a mucus 560 degrader, its oral supplementation increases the number and differentiation of Paneth cells and goblet cells in the small intestine and subsequently accelerates intestinal epithelial 561 regeneration ¹⁴⁸. Most of these vital functions are determined via translational *O*-glycosylation events in the mucins ¹⁰⁰. Interestingly, co-culturing experiments of *A*. 562 563 muciniphila with non-mucus-degrading butyrate-producing bacteria ⁹⁴ can indirectly 564 565 stimulate intestinal butyrate levels in the vicinity of the intestinal epithelial cells with potential health benefits to the host. These findings provide support for the use of different 566 preparations of A. muciniphila as therapeutic options to target athletes and associated 567 exercise-induced gastrointestinal disorders. 568

569

570 Beyond bacteria, the supplementation of *Gloiopeltis furcate*, an edible alga containing 571 bioactive polysaccharides, improved the integrity of the colonic epithelial layer by 572 remodeling the interactions between the gut microbiota and mucin *O*-glycans ¹⁴⁹. 573 Specifically, this alga increased the proportions of complex long-chain mucin *O*-glycans in 574 the epithelial layer with two terminal *N*- acetylneuraminic acid residues. It promoted the 575 growth of probiotic bacteria, including *Roseburia* spp. and *Muribaculaceae*.

576

577 Prebiotics that modify the gut glycome-microbiota axis

578 Prebiotics are non-digestible food sources that beneficial intestinal microbes feed on, 579 promoting valuable species' growth and survival. Pruss and colleagues have recently shown 580 that supplementing O-glycan-like human milk oligosaccharides (HMOs) or mucin-type Oglycans purified from commercially derived porcine gastric mucin modified the functionality 581 of dysbiotic microbiota. After glycan supplementation, these dysbiotic communities were shifted back to a eubiotic (healthy-associated) state ¹⁵⁰. They also demonstrated that oral 582 583 584 porcine mucin glycans suppressed *Clostridium difficile* abundance after antibiotic treatment, delayed the onset of diet-induced obesity, and increased the relative quantity of A. 585 muciniphila ³⁶. Likewise, rats fed the O-glycan GlcNAc produced higher levels of the anti-586 inflammatory SCFA *n*-butyrate, RORgt+Treg, and IgA-producing cells in colonic lamina propria than Wistar rats fed porcine stomach proteins ¹⁵¹, demonstrating the fundamental role of the microbiota-glycome axis on the host immune responses ¹⁵². The increased secretory IgA might prevent the toxic and pathogenic gut invasion ¹⁵³, a critical phenomenon in 587 588 589 590 591 endurance athletes.

592

593 Studies show that inulin, a water-soluble dietary fiber obtained from chicory, and the long-594 chain arabinoxylans, an important non-starch polysaccharide in cereal grains, can increase 595 colonic mucus levels as well as SCFAs ^{144,154,155}. Both inulin and long-chain arabinoxylans 596 shifted the gut microbiota from acetate towards health-promoting propionate and butyrate-597 producers (*Roseburia intestinalis, Eubacterium rectale, Anaerostipes caccae*) and 598 bifidobacteria (*B. longum*) ¹⁵⁵. These authors pointed to *A. muciniphila* as a potential marker 599 of mucus layer status (the higher, the better). Additionally, (Bishara et al., 2013) ¹³⁸ found

- 600 that mucus layer growth positively correlated with *Bifidobacterium* abundance. Furthermore, 601 these long-chain arabinoxylans shifted mucin degradation to distal regions, where mucin-602 degraders may produce beneficial metabolites (*e.g.*, propionate by *A. muciniphila*) so that 603 prebiotics could improve gut health along the length of the intestine. This is of great interest 604 since most digestive troubles in athletes originate in the distal colon. Interestingly, building a 605 stable microbial community after administering prebiotics such as inulin could take several 606 days to a week ¹⁵⁵.
- 607

As it has been shown that the gut microbiota is highly variable between individuals, new
experiments are warranted to evaluate to which extent the described benefits can be extended
to athletes. While increasing prebiotic intake may decrease the simple carbohydrate intake,
the resulting prebiotic-SCFA production may improve muscle glycogen storage and
metabolism during race²⁹.

613

617

614 Overall, these studies suggest that using specifically-tailored glycans as a prebiotic 615 contributes to the growth of beneficial gut bacteria and prevents mucus layer degradation and 616 permeability, reducing the risk of gastrointestinal disorders.

618 **Postbiotics that modify the gut glycome-microbiota axis**

619 Using non-living microbial materials (postbiotics), such as the outer-membrane pili proteins 620 of *A. muciniphila*, might also increase intestinal barrier function ¹⁵⁶. Treating mice with only 621 this protein (Amuc_1100) and without the bacteria also recapitulates the effects of the 622 bacterium, increasing the number of goblet cells and reinforcing the intestinal barrier ⁹³. 623 Strikingly, this protein binds and activates TLR2, thereby possibly explaining the effects of 624 the bacteria on both the regulation of immunity and the reinforcement of the gut barrier ⁹³.

625

Bioactive SCFA administration (primary butyrate) also promotes MUC2 and MUC5AC gene 626 expression and increases epithelial cell integrity after damage ¹⁵⁷. Analogously, the injection 627 of gastric and intestinal SCFAs led to increased expression of intestinal tight junction proteins occludin and claudin-1 genes¹⁵⁸ and decreased the gene and protein abundances of 628 629 IL-1β in the colon, coupled with reduced infiltration of neutrophils to the gut *lamina propria*. 630 631 Whether administering other bacterial components (e.g., purified flagellin from Gramnegative bacteria, LPA from Gram-positive bacteria, and LPS) can regulate gut homeostasis 632 needs to be yet tested. Using postbiotics instead of viable microbial cells (probiotics) has 633 634 been shown to deliver many advantages in the upstream production and application 635 possibilities of products that can be added to nutrition. Studying the diet-microbiota-mucus 636 axis further might help design improved postbiotic options for treating conditions that involve 637 mucus layer defects.

638

639 Conclusions

640 An increasing number of clinical problems outside and inside the gut have emerged as one of the most critical factors affecting endurance athletes. Recent evidence shows a complex 641 642 bidirectional interaction between the dietary and host-derived glycans and the gut microbiota, yet research has just scratched the surface in the context of exercise. While the microbiota's 643 use of intestinal mucin glycans as a source of nutrients confers a competitive energy 644 advantage for athletes, gut microbiota composition and function are important factors 645 contributing to the protection of the intestinal mucus barrier function and gut homeostasis. 646 647 This intertwined connection might be boosted by enhancing dietary glycan availability (e.g., 648 dietary fiber, inulin, long-chain arabinoxylans), an uncommon practice in elite athletes, or via 649 probiotics and postbiotics supplementation. This practice will likely change the gut 650 ecosystem, improving numerous functions required for commensal bacterial life, the gut 651 environment, and the host's epithelial immune system and mucus layer thickness. The exact manner and how the gut microbiota composition and related compounds contribute to gut 652 homeostasis in athletes is mainly unknown. Still, Bifidobacterium and A. muciniphila and its 653 components appear as potential critical bacteria to maintain gut health and avoid intestinal 654 disorders in athletes. Moreover, studies elucidating the respiratory and colon mucus systems 655 656 and their interactions with the commensal microbiome are highly prioritized for endurance athletes, where gastrointestinal and respiratory comorbidities are commonly associated. 657

658

659 Many questions remain in every area of energy metabolism, the regulation of mucinome 660 during exercise, optimal training interventions, and the potential for manipulating metabolic responses for ergogenic benefits. Exercise science combined with sports nutrition will thus 661 continue to be a fruitful research area for many years as researchers seek a greater 662 understanding of the mechanistic bases for athletic successes. New studies matching 663 metagenomic, metabolomic, transcriptomics, and glycomic data are warranted to understand 664 665 how diet and microbiota composition and function regulate the intestinal mucus barrier, energy production, immune responses, and dynamic and complex glycobiology during 666 667 exercise.

668 TABLES

669 Table 1: Nutritional strategies that regulate gut mucosal and immune health

Nutrient	Experimental Model	Results	References
Dietary fiber			
	Gnotobiotic mouse model of mice colonized with 14 human gut microbiota species	Bacterial glycan metabolism switched from fiber degradation to mucus layer glycan foraging when fed a fiber-free diet. The gut microbiota used host-secreted mucus glycoproteins as a nutrient source, leading to the erosion of the colonic mucus barrier and increased susceptibility to <i>Citrobacter rodentium</i> infection.	Desai et al. (2016) ¹¹²
	Mice fed a fiber-depleted, Western style diet for 10- 40 days.	Significantly decreased diversity and reduced abundance of Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria, and reduced colonic mucus thickness.	Schroeder et al. (2018) ⁶⁶
	Applying the BacSpace pipeline to gnotobiotic and human-microbiota colonized mice	A diet free of microbiota accessible carbohydrates (MACs) resulted in thinner mucus in the distal colon, increased proximity of microbes to the epithelium, and increased expression of the inflammatory marker REG3β. Increased <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> invasion was also observed in mouse stomach gland cells.	Earle et al. (2015) ¹⁵⁹
Probiotics			
Lactobacillus plantarum 299v and Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG	In vitro model of human intestinal epithelial cell lines treated with Lactobacillus plantarum 299v and Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG	Both probiotics inhibited the attachment of pathogenic <i>Escherichia coli</i> to HT-29 intestinal epithelial cells possibly by inducing <i>MUC2</i> and <i>MUC3</i> gene expression.	Mack et al. (1999) ¹⁶⁰
Lactobacillus reuteri R2L	Mice were given rat-deriven Lactobacillus reuteri R2LC or human-derived 4659 by gavage once daily for 14 days, and colitis was induced with 3% dextran sulphate sodium to drinking water for the last 7 days of this period.	The two <i>L. reuteri</i> strains protected against colitis in mice partly due to the increased mucus thickness and tightened intestinal epithelium junctions occludin and ZO-1 in the stem cell area of the colonic crypts.	Ahl et al. (2015) ¹⁴²
Bifidobacterium longum	Bifidobacterium longum	Mice were fed a Western style diet for 8 weeks. Supplementation with <i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> for 4 weeks restored intestinal mucus growth.	Schroder et al. (2018) 144
Bifidobacterium longum subsp. Longum OLP-01 (OLP-01)	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> <i>subsp. Longum OLP-01 (OLP- 01)</i> was isolated from an elite Olympic athlete, was combined with a six-week	The exercise + OLP-01 group had higher endurance and improved fatigue- associated indexes (lactate, ammonia, creatine kinase, lactate dehydrogenase, and glycogen) and inflammation levels	Huang et al. (2020) ¹⁶¹

	exercise training program. Institute of Cancer Research mice were assigned to sedintary, exercise, <i>OLP-01</i> , or exercise + <i>OLP-01</i> and administered probiotics or treadmill exercise for 6 weeks.	compared to the other groups. The exercise + OLP-01 group also had significantly higher SCFA levels (acetate, butyrate, propionate)	
Akkermansia muciniphila	C57BL/6 mice were supplemented with <i>A</i> . <i>muciniphila</i> for 14 days and then injected with Concanvalin A to induce liver injury. Blood, liver, ileum, and colon aamples were analyzed for liver injury, systemic inflammation, and intestinal barrier function.	Mice supplemented with <i>A. muciniphila</i> had lower levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (IL-2, IFN- γ , IL-12p40, MCP-1, MIP-1a, MIP-1b) and increased expression of <i>Occludin</i> and <i>Tjp-</i> <i>1</i> which improved intestinal barrier function and reduced systemic LPS levels. restored mucus layer thickness and an increased number of goblet cells and the production of antimicrobial peptides. Fecal 16S rRNA sequence analysis showed that <i>A. muciniphila</i> increased microbial richness and diversity.	Wu et al., (2017) ¹⁴⁸
Akkermansia muciniphila	Human peripheral blood mononuclear cell lines HEK- Blue hTLR2, hTLR4, hTLR5, hTLR9 and hNOD2 were treated <i>in vitro</i> with the outer- membrane pili proteins of <i>A.</i> <i>muciniphila.</i>	A. muciniphila induced IL-8, IL-6, IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α . It also increased trans- epithelial resistance in a Caco-2 model system. The highly abundant pili-like protein Amuc_1100 of A. muciniphila modulated the host immunological homeostasis within the gut mucosa, which improved gut barrier function.	Ottman et al. (2017) ¹⁵⁶
Akkermansia muciniphila	Mice were fed a high fat diet and a purified form of <i>A. municinphila.</i>	The outer membrain protein Amuc_1100 of <i>A. mucinphila</i> increasing the number of goblet cells and improved intestinal barrier function likely by binding to and activating TLR2.	Plovier et al. (2017) ⁹³
Akkermansia muciniphila	co-culturing experiments of A. muciniphila with non-mucus- degrading butyrate-producing bacteria Anaerostipes caccae, Eubacterium hallii, and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii	resulted in syntrophic growth and production of butyrate.	Belzer et al. (2017) ⁹⁴
Prebiotics			
Inulin and long- chain arabinoxylans	Axenic rats were inoculated with a human faecal microbiota for 6 weeks were then fed inulin and/ or long- chain arabinoxylans.	Inulin and long-chain arabinoxylans stimulated the growth of butyrate-proucing bacterial groups (Roseburia intestinalis, Eubacterium rectale, Anaerostipes caccae) and bifidobacteria (B. longum) respectively and shifted mucin degradation to distal regions where mucin-degraders may produce beneficial metabolites (<i>e.g.</i> propionate by <i>Akkermansia muciniphila</i>).	van den Abbeele et al. (2011) ¹⁵⁵
<i>O</i> -glycan-like human milk	6-week-old GF mice were bi- colonized with two HMO-	Supplementing with <i>O</i> -glycan-like HMOs or mucin-type <i>O</i> -glycans purified from	Pruss et al., (2021) ¹⁵⁰

oligosaccharides (HMOs)	utilizing taxa common to the infant gut: <i>Bacteroides</i> and <i>B.</i> <i>infantis</i> . Mice were fed a microbiota-accessible carbohydrates deficient diet supplemented with HMOs (1% in water for 1 week. Feces and cecal contents were collected and milk glycans were measured by high- performance liquid chromatography.	commercially derived porcine gastric mucin aided in recovering lost microbiota post antibiotic treatment and increased the relative abundance of A. muciniphila.		
<i>O</i> -glycan GlcNAc	6-week old Wistar rats were fed a control diet, control diet + porcine stomach mucin, control diet + GlcNAc, fucose, or N-acetylneuraminic acid, or control diet + porcine mucin or GlcNAc. Microbiota composition, SCFAs, cecal O- glycan content, and immune cells like IgA+, Tregs, and inflammatory cytokines were analyzed.	Rats fed the <i>O</i> -glycan GlcNAc produced higher levels of <i>n</i> -butyrate and IgA+ plasma cells and Tregs compared to the other groups.	Hino et al. (2020) ¹⁵¹	
Postbiotics				
Butyrate	C57BL/6 mice were fed a high fat diet (58% calories from fat supplemented with butyrate (5% wt/wt).	Butyrate supplementation increased energy expenditure and mitochondrial function and biogenesis in type I skeletal muscle fiber and brown fat by inducing PPAR mRNA expression.	Gao et al. (2009) ¹⁶²	
SCFAs	<i>In vitro</i> model of human colon epithelium using HT29-MTX- E12 cells were differentiated for 42 days exposed to dextran sulphate sodium (DSS). The effects of SCFAs were tested to study proliferation, epithelial integrity and mucus production.	Cells treated with butyrate or a SCFA mixture significantly reduced DSS- induced intesitnal epithelial damage. The SCFA mixture and butyrate alone induced <i>ZO-1, MUC2</i> and <i>MUC5AC</i> mRNA expression.	Giromini et al. (2022)	
SCFAs	Gastric infusion of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) on gut barrier function in a pig model	Injection of gastric and intestinal SCFAs led to increased mRNA expression of intestinal tight junction proteins occludin and claudin-1.	Diao et al. (2019) ¹⁵⁸	

670

671672 FIGURES

673

Figure 1. Gut microbiota's impact on athlete's metabolism and physiology

The gut microbiota in athletes is critical for its positive contributions to host energy metabolism and physiology during exercise, such as hydration, heat production, cardiovascular fitness, gut-lung axis regulation, redox reactions, immune responses, mucus

678 foraging and intestinal mucosa protection, and homeostasis. Additionally, the gut microbiota

and its metabolites regulate skeletal muscle function and neurotransmitter production relatedto fatigue, sensation, and motivation. This figure has been created with BioRender.com.

681

Figure 2. Gastrointestinal symptoms in endurance athletes: mucosal glycome damage matters

Left panel: The mutualistic relationship between the gut glycome, gut microbiota, and derived metabolites under eubiosis. On the one hand, the gut microbiota and their metabolism induce the synthesis of large gel-forming mucins, including encapsulation, glycosylation, changes in fucosylation and sialidation patterns, and thickness. On the other hand, the mucin layer serves as an environmental niche and a food source for the microbiota. The high diversity of gut mucins impacts the gut microbiota composition, diversity, and stability, but also influences the immune and mitochondrial function.

691

Right panel: The mutualistic relationship between the gut glycome and the microbiota under 692 a disrupted gut ecosystem and environment. Vigorous endurance training increases 693 694 sympathetic nervous system activity and redistributes blood flow from the splanchnic organs to the working skeletal muscles and peripheral circulation. This results in gut hypoxia and 695 hypoperfusion, reduced intestinal motility and absorption capacity, damage of the specialized 696 697 antimicrobial protein-secreting cells (Paneth cells), the mucus-producing goblet cells, and increased loosening of tight junction protein structure and MUC2 destruction. The 698 699 deterioration of the gastrointestinal mucosal barrier enables the translocation of bacteria and 700 lipopolysaccharides (LPS) outside the gastrointestinal tract, triggering immune and inflammatory responses often resulting in increased intestinal permeability and, eventually, 701 702 endotoxemia. Moreover, it induces oxidative stress via ROS production. Changes in the gut barrier integrity involve changes in the expression and glycosylation of mucins, and thus 703 704 immune dysregulation and risk of disease onset. This figure has been created with 705 BioRender.com.

706

707 Figure 3: The gut glycome-microbiota axis in the gut of athletes

In healthy athletes, mucins (mainly MUC2) clear, contain, feed, prevent the proteolytic 708 709 activity of bacteria and digestive enzymes, and continuously replenish the gut microbiome. 710 The mucinome might modulate the gut microbiome composition and function (e.g., SCFA and secondary bile acids production), and it may be the gateway for researchers aiming to 711 influence gut health. Moreover, its O-glycosylation and the O-GalNAcylation, might control 712 713 the nutrient and stress sensing during exercise and the mitochondrial function, illustrating 714 how regulating the glycome-microbiota axis can be a decisive factor in improving exercise 715 performance. Reciprocally, the athlete's gut microbiome possesses a functional capacity 716 primed for tissue repair and a more remarkable ability to harness energy from mucins. This 717 figure has been created with BioRender.com.

718

719 Figure 4. New dietary frontiers in athletes: targeting the gut glycome-microbiota axis throughout the administration of probiotics, prebiotics, or postbiotics. 720 The administration of probiotics involving Lactobacillus, Akkermansia, and Bifidobacterium 721 722 strains, as well as prebiotics such as inulin, long-chain arabinoxylans, and exogenous mucinlike glycans, appears as an effective strategy to improve or maintain the intestinal barrier 723 724 health and function of athletes. These nutritional strategies increase the mucus thickness and 725 the SCFA-producing bacteria. The SCFAs enter the bloodstream, modulating glucose and fat 726 metabolism in the liver and skeletal muscles via transcription factor (PCG-1a, SIRT, FOXO1) 727 and OGT regulation.

728

729	Ackn	owledgments		
730	The a	uthors would like to thank the participants and researchers who contributed works cited		
731	in this paper.			
732				
733	Auth	ors' contributions		
734	AC a	nd NM conceptualized, designed, and drafted this review. The authors read and		
735	appro	ved the final manuscript.		
736				
737	Fund	ing		
738		uthors received no remuneration for writing and reviewing this review.		
739				
740	Avail	ability of data and materials		
741		pplicable.		
742				
743	Ethic	s approval		
744		pplicable.		
745				
746	Cons	ent for publication		
747	Not applicable.			
748				
749	Com	peting interests		
750		uthors declare no competing interests.		
751				
752	Refer	ence		
753	1.	Clark A, Mach N. Exercise-induced stress behavior, gut-microbiota-brain axis and		
754		diet: a systematic review for athletes. J Int Soc Sports Nutr 2016;13:43.		
755		https://doi.org/10.1186/s12970-016-0155-6.		
756	2.	Joyner MJ, Coyle EF. Endurance exercise performance: The physiology of champions.		
757		J Physiol 2008; 586 :35–44. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.143834.		
758	3.	Mach N, Fuster-Botella D. Endurance exercise and gut microbiota: A review. <i>J Sport</i>		
759		<i>Heal Sci</i> 2017; 6 :179–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2016.05.001.		
760	4.	Shave R, Howatson G, Dickson D, Young L. Exercise-induced cardiac remodeling:		
761		Lessons from humans, horses, and dogs. Vet Sci 2017;4:9.		
762		https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci4010009.		
763	5.	De Oliveira EP, Burini RC, Jeukendrup A. Gastrointestinal complaints during		
764		exercise: Prevalence, etiology, and nutritional recommendations. Sport Med		
765		2014; 44 :79–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-014-0153-2.		
766	6.	Hargreaves M, Spriet LL. Skeletal muscle energy metabolism during exercise. <i>Nat</i>		
767		Metab 2020;2:817–28. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-020-0251-4.		
768	7.	de Oliveira EP, Burini RC. Carbohydrate-dependent, exercise-induced gastrointestinal		
769		distress. Nutrients 2014;6:4191–9. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu6104191.		
770	8.	Durand F, Raberin A. Exercise-Induced Hypoxemia in Endurance Athletes:		
771		Consequences for Altitude Exposure. Front Sport Act Living 2021;3.		
772		https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2021.663674.		
773	9.	Neufer PD, Bamman MM, Muoio DM, Bouchard C, Cooper DM, Goodpaster BH, et		
774		al. Understanding the Cellular and Molecular Mechanisms of Physical Activity-		
775		Induced Health Benefits. <i>Cell Metab</i> 2015; 22 :4–11.		
776		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.05.011.		
777	10.	Mohr AE, Jäger R, Carpenter KC, Kerksick CM, Purpura M, Townsend JR, et al. The		
778		athletic gut microbiota. Vol. 17, Journal of the International Society of Sports		

- Nutrition. Journal of the International Society of Sports Nutrition, 2020. 1–33 p.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12970-020-00353-w.
- Morita H, Kano C, Ishii C, Kagata N, Ishikawa T, Hirayama A, et al. Bacteroides
 uniformis and its preferred substrate, α-cyclodextrin, enhance endurance exercise
 performance in mice and human males. *Sci Adv* 2023;9.
- 784 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.add2120.
- Scheiman J, Luber JM, Chavkin TA, MacDonald T, Tung A, Pham LD, et al. Metaomics analysis of elite athletes identifies a performance-enhancing microbe that
 functions via lactate metabolism. *Nat Med* 2019;25:1104–9.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0485-4.
- Forster SC, Browne HP, Kumar N, Hunt M, Denise H, Mitchell A, et al. HPMCD: The database of human microbial communities from metagenomic datasets and microbial reference genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016;44:D604–9.
 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1216.
- Jousset A, Bienhold C, Chatzinotas A, Gallien L, Gobet A, Kurm V, et al. Where less
 may be more: How the rare biosphere pulls ecosystems strings. *ISME J* 2017;11:853–
 62. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.174.
- Donaldson GP, Lee SM, Mazmanian SK. Gut biogeography of the bacterial
 microbiota. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2015;14:20–32. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3552.
- Vandeputte D, Falony G, Vieira-Silva S, Tito RY, Joossens M, Raes J. Stool
 consistency is strongly associated with gut microbiota richness and composition,
 enterotypes and bacterial growth rates. *Gut* 2016;65:57–62.
 https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309618.
- Barton W, Cronin O, Garcia- Perez I, Whiston R, Holmes E, Woods T, et al. The effects of sustained fitness improvement on the gut microbiome: A longitudinal, repeated measures case- study approach. *Transl Sport Med* 2021;4:174–92.
- 805 https://doi.org/10.1002/tsm2.215.
- Frampton J, Murphy KG, Frost G, Chambers ES. Short-chain fatty acids as potential regulators of skeletal muscle metabolism and function. *Nat Metab* 2020;2:840–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42255-020-0188-7.
- Lundberg JO, Moretti C, Benjamin N, Weitzberg E. Symbiotic bacteria enhance
 exercise performance. *Br J Sports Med* 2021;55:243. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports2020-102094.
- Ticinesi A, Lauretani F, Tana C, Nouvenne A, Ridolo E, Meschi T. Exercise and
 immune system as modulators of intestinal microbiome: Implications for the gutmuscle axis hypothesis. *Exerc Immunol Rev* 2019;25:84–95.
- 815 21. Barton W, Penney NC, Cronin O, Garcia-Perez I, Molloy MG, Holmes E, et al. The
 816 microbiome of professional athletes differs from that of more sedentary subjects in
 817 composition and particularly at the functional metabolic level. *Gut* 2017:gutjnl-2016818 313627. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313627.
- Petersen LM, Bautista EJ, Nguyen H, Hanson BM, Chen L, Lek SH, et al. Community
 characteristics of the gut microbiomes of competitive cyclists. *Microbiome* 2017;5:98.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0320-4.
- 822 23. Estaki M, Pither J, Baumeister P, Little JP, Gill SK, Ghosh S, et al. Cardiorespiratory
 823 fitness as a predictor of intestinal microbial diversity and distinct metagenomic
 824 functions. *Microbiome* 2016;4:42. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-016-0189-7.
- 824 Tunctions. *Microbiome* 2010;4:42. https://doi.org/10.1180/s40108-010-0189-7.
 825 24. Durk R, Castillo E, Marquez-Magaña L, Grosicki G, Bolter N, Lee M, et al. Gut
- Microbiota Composition is Related to Cardiorespiratory Fitness. Int J Sport Nutr Exerc
 Metab 2019;29:249–253. https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0024.Gut.
- 828 25. Yang Y, Shi Y, Wiklund P, Tan X, Wu N, Zhang X, et al. The association between

- cardiorespiratory fitness and gut microbiota composition in premenopausal women.
 Nutrients 2017;9:1–11. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9080792.
- 831 26. Nay K, Jollet M, Goustard B, Baati N, Vernus B, Pontones M, et al. Gut bacteria are
 832 critical for optimal muscle function: A potential link with glucose homeostasis. *Am J*833 *Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2019;**317**:E158–71.
- 834 https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00521.2018.
- 835 27. Okamoto T, Morino K, Ugi S, Nakagawa F, Lemecha M, Ida S, et al. Microbiome
 836 potentiates endurance exercise through intestinal acetate production. *Am J Physiol -*837 *Endocrinol Metab* 2019;**316**:E956–66. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00510.2018.
- Bohnalová L, Lundgren P, Carty JRE, Goldstein N, Wenski SL, Nanudorn P, et al. A
 microbiome-dependent gut–brain pathway regulates motivation for exercise. *Nature*2022;612:739–47. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05525-z.
- 841 29. Mach N, Midoux C, Leclercq S, Pennarun S, Moyec L Le, Rué O, et al. Mining the
 842 equine gut metagenome: poorly-characterized taxa associated with cardiovascular
 843 fitness in endurance athletes. *Commun Biol* 2022;5:1032.
- 844 https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03977-7.
- 845 30. Qin R, Mahal LK. The host glycomic response to pathogens. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2021;68:149–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2020.12.011.
- 847 31. Bergstrom K, Xia L. The barrier and beyond: Roles of intestinal mucus and mucin848 type O-glycosylation in resistance and tolerance defense strategies guiding host849 microbe symbiosis. *Gut Microbes* 2022;14.
- 850 https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2022.2052699.
- 32. Taleb V, Liao Q, Narimatsu Y, García-García A, Compañón I, Borges RJ, et al.
 Structural and mechanistic insights into the cleavage of clustered O-glycan patchescontaining glycoproteins by mucinases of the human gut. *Nat Commun* 2022;13:1–15.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32021-9.
- 855 33. van Putten JPM, Strijbis K. Transmembrane Mucins: Signaling Receptors at the
 856 Intersection of Inflammation and Cancer. *J Innate Immun* 2017;**9**:281–99.
 857 https://doi.org/10.1159/000453594.
- Silva S Da, Robbe-Masselot C, Ait-Belgnaoui A, Mancuso A, Mercade-Loubière M,
 Salvador-Cartier C, et al. Stress disrupts intestinal mucus barrier in rats via mucin O glycosylation shift: prevention by a probiotic treatment. *Am J Physiol Liver Physiol*2014;**307**:G420–9. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00290.2013.
- Arike L, Holmén-Larsson J, Hansson GC. Intestinal Muc2 mucin O-glycosylation is
 affected by microbiota and regulated by differential expression of glycosyltranferases. *Glycobiology* 2017;27:318–28. https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cww134.
- 865 36. Bergstrom K, Shan X, Casero D, Batushansky A, Lagishetty V, Jacobs JP, et al.
 866 Proximal colon-derived O-glycosylated mucus encapsulates and modulates the
- 867 microbiota. *Science* (80-) 2020;**370**:467–72. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay7367.
- Belzer C. Nutritional strategies for mucosal health: the interplay between microbes and mucin glycans. *Trends Microbiol* 2022;**30**:13–21.
- 870 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.06.003.
- 871 38. Koropatkin NM, Cameron E a., Martens EC. How glycan metabolism shapes the human gut microbiota. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2012;10:323–35.
 873 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2746.
- 874 39. Crouch LI, Liberato M V., Urbanowicz PA, Baslé A, Lamb CA, Stewart CJ, et al.
 875 Prominent members of the human gut microbiota express endo-acting O-glycanases to
 876 initiate mucin breakdown. *Nat Commun* 2020;11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020877 17847-5.
- 40. Mach N, Moroldo M, Rau A, Lecardonnel J, Le Moyec L, Robert C, et al.

- Understanding the Holobiont: Crosstalk Between Gut Microbiota and Mitochondria
 During Long Exercise in Horse. *Front Mol Biosci* 2021;**8**:656204.
- 41. Svendsen IS, Taylor IM, Tønnessen E, Bahr R, Gleeson M. Training-related and
 competition-related risk factors for respiratory tract and gastrointestinal infections in
 elite cross-country skiers. *Br J Sports Med* 2016;**50**:809–15.
 https://doi.org/10.1126/bisports.2015.005208
- 884 https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095398.
- 42. Gordon L, Schwellnus M, Swanevelder S, Jordaan E, Derman W. Recent acute prerace
 systemic illness in runners increases the risk of not finishing the race: SAFER study v. *Br J Sports Med* 2017;**51**:1295–300. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-096964.
- 43. Jeukendrup AE, Vet-joop K, Sturk A, Stegen JHJC, Senden J, Saris WHM, et al.
 Relationship between gastro-intestinal complaints and endotoxaemia, cytokine release
 and the acute-phase reaction during and after a long-distance triathlon in highly trained
 men. *Clin Sci* 2000;**98**:47–55. https://doi.org/10.1042/cs0980047.
- 44. Hoffman MD, Fogard K. Factors related to successful completion of a 161-km
 ultramarathon. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform* 2011;6:25–37.
 https://doi.org/10.1123/ijspp.6.1.25.
- 45. Urwin CS, Main LC, Mikocka-Walus A, Skvarc DR, Roberts SSH, Condo D, et al.
 The Relationship Between Psychological Stress and Anxiety with Gastrointestinal
 Symptoms Before and During a 56 km Ultramarathon Running Race. Sport Med Open 2021;7. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-021-00389-5.
- Ribeiro FM, Petriz B, Marques G, Kamilla LH, Franco OL. Is There an ExerciseIntensity Threshold Capable of Avoiding the Leaky Gut? *Front Nutr* 2021;8.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.627289.
- 47. Stuempfle KJ, Hoffman MD. Gastrointestinal distress is common during a 161-km
 903 ultramarathon. *J Sports Sci* 2015;**33**:1814–21.
- 904 https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1012104.
- 48. Koehler K, Peake J, Franco OL, Ribeiro FM, Petriz B, Marques G, et al. Is There an Exercise-Intensity Threshold Capable of Avoiding the Leaky Gut? *Front Nutr* / *www.frontiersin.org* 2021;1:627289. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.627289.
- 908 49. Ruiz-Iglesias P, Estruel-Amades S, Camps-Bossacoma M, Massot-Cladera M, Castell
 909 M, Pérez-Cano FJ. Alterations in the mucosal immune system by a chronic exhausting
 910 exercise in Wistar rats. *Sci Rep* 2020;**10**:1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020911 74837-9.
- 912 50. Pagesy P, Bouaboud A, Feng Z, Hulin P, Issad T. Short O-GlcNAcase Is Targeted to
 913 the Mitochondria and Regulates Mitochondrial Reactive Oxygen Species Level. *Cells*914 2022;11:1827. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11111827.
- 915 51. Grootjans J, Hundscheid IHR, Lenaerts K, Boonen B, Renes IB, Verheyen FK, et al.
 916 Ischaemia-induced mucus barrier loss and bacterial penetration are rapidly
 917 counteracted by increased goblet cell secretory activity in human and rat colon. *Gut*918 2013;62:250-8. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2011-301956.
- Provide State S
- 923 53. Pfeiffer CJ, Qiu B, Lam SK. Reduction of colonic mucus by repeated short-term stress
 924 enhances experimental colitis in rats. *J Physiol* 2001;95:81–7.
 925 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0928-4257(01)00012-2.
- 54. Kim B, Chae J, Kim EH, Yang HI, Cheon JH, Il Kim T, et al. Physical activity and quality of life of patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Med (United States)*2021;100. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000026290.

- 55. Luo B, Xiang D, Nieman DC, Chen P. The effects of moderate exercise on chronic
 stress-induced intestinal barrier dysfunction and antimicrobial defense. *Brain Behav Immun* 2014;**39**:99–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2013.11.013.
- 56. Dang AT, Marsland BJ. Microbes, metabolites, and the gut–lung axis. *Mucosal Immunol* 2019;12:843–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-019-0160-6.
- 934 57. Mach N, Baranowski E, Nouvel LX, Citti C. The Airway Pathobiome in Complex
 935 Respiratory Diseases: A Perspective in Domestic Animals. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*936 2021;11:1–22. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.583600.
- 58. Budden KF, Gellatly SL, Wood DLA, Cooper MA, Morrison M, Hugenholtz P, et al.
 Emerging pathogenic links between microbiota and the gut-lung axis. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2017;15:55. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.142.
- 940 59. Wypych TP, Wickramasinghe LC, Marsland BJ. The influence of the microbiome on 941 respiratory health. *Nat Immunol* 2019;**20**:1279–90. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-942 019-0451-9.
- 60. Cone RA. Barrier properties of mucus. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2009;61:75–85.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2008.09.008.
- Bansil R, Turner BS. The biology of mucus: Composition, synthesis and organization. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2018;**124**:3–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2017.09.023.
- 947 62. Nason R, Büll C, Konstantinidi A, Sun L, Ye Z, Halim A, et al. Display of the human 948 mucinome with defined O-glycans by gene engineered cells. *Nat Commun* 2021;**12**:1– 949 16. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24366-4.
- 63. Carvalho FA, Aitken JD, Vijay-Kumar M, Gewirtz AT. Toll-like receptor-gut
 microbiota interactions: Perturb at your own risk! *Annu Rev Physiol* 2012;**74**:177–98.
 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-020911-153330.
- Bergstrom KSB, Xia L. Mucin-type O-glycans and their roles in intestinal
 homeostasis. *Glycobiology* 2013;23:1026–37. https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwt045.
- 855 65. Robinson LS, Lewis WG, Lewis AL. The sialate O-acetylesterase EstA from gut
 856 Bacteroidetes species enables sialidase-mediated cross-species foraging of 9-O957 acetylated sialoglycans. *J Biol Chem* 2017;**292**:11861–72.
 958 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.769232.
- 66. Schroeder BO, Birchenough GMH, Ståhlman M, Arike L, Johansson MEV, Hansson
 67. GC, et al. Bifidobacteria or Fiber Protects against Diet-Induced Microbiota-Mediated
 68. Colonic Mucus Deterioration. *Cell Host Microbe* 2018;23:27-40.e7.
 69. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.11.004.
- 963 67. Bell A, Brunt J, Crost E, Vaux L, Nepravishta R, Owen CD, et al. Elucidation of a
 964 sialic acid metabolism pathway in mucus-foraging Ruminococcus gnavus unravels
 965 mechanisms of bacterial adaptation to the gut. *Nat Microbiol* 2019;4:2393–404.
 966 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-019-0590-7.
- 967 68. Paone P, Cani PD. Mucus barrier, mucins and gut microbiota: the expected slimy partners? *Gut* 2020;69:2232–43. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2020-322260.
- 969 69. Myslicki JP, Belke DD, Shearer J. Role of O-GlcNAcylation in nutritional sensing,
 970 insulin resistance and in mediating the benefits of exercise. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab*971 2014;**39**:1205–13. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2014-0122.
- 972 70. Bullen JW, Balsbaugh JL, Chanda D, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, Neumann D, et al.
 973 Cross-talk between two essential nutrient-sensitive enzymes O-GlcNAc Transferase
 974 (OGT) and Amp-Activated Protein Kinase (AMPK). *J Biol Chem* 2014;289:10592–
 975 606. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.523068.
- 976 71. Issad T, Al-Mukh H, Bouaboud A, Pagesy P. Protein O-GlcNAcylation and the
 977 regulation of energy homeostasis: lessons from knock-out mouse models. *J Biomed Sci*978 2022;29:1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-022-00851-w.

- 979 72. Shi H, Munk A, Nielsen TS, Daughtry MR, Larsson L, Li S, et al. Skeletal muscle O980 GlcNAc transferase is important for muscle energy homeostasis and whole-body
 981 insulin sensitivity. *Mol Metab* 2018;11:160–77.
 982 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ms/hpat.2018.02.010
- 982 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2018.02.010.
- P83 73. Lambert M, Bastide B, Cieniewski-Bernard C. Involvement of O-GlcNAcylation in
 the skeletal muscle physiology and physiopathology: Focus on muscle metabolism. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)* 2018;9:1–12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2018.00578.
- 986 74. Goto Y, Uematsu S, Kiyono H. Epithelial glycosylation in gut homeostasis and inflammation. *Nat Immunol* 2016;**17**:1244–51. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.3587.
- 988 75. Johansson M, Jakobsson H, Holmén-Larsson J, Schutte A, Ermund A, Rodríguez989 Piñeiro A, et al. Normalization of host intestinal mucus layers requires long-term
 990 microbial colonization. *Cell Host Microbe* 2015;18:582–92.
- 991 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.10.007.Normalization.
- 992 76. Smirnova MG, Guo L, Birchall JP, Pearson JP. LPS up-regulates mucin and cytokine
 993 mRNA expression and stimulates mucin and cytokine secretion in goblet cells. *Cell*994 *Immunol* 2003;221:42–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8749(03)00059-5.
- 995 77. Burger-van Paassen N, Vincent A, Puiman PJ, van der Sluis M, Bouma J, Boehm G, et
 996 al. The regulation of intestinal mucin MUC2 expression by short-chain fatty acids:
 997 Implications for epithelial protection. *Biochem J* 2009;**420**:211–9.
 998 https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20082222.
- 999 78. Larsson JMH, Karlsson H, Crespo JG, Johansson MEV, Eklund L, Sjövall H, et al.
 1000 Altered O-glycosylation profile of MUC2 mucin occurs in active ulcerative colitis and
 1001 is associated with increased inflammation. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2011;**17**:2299–307.
 1002 https://doi.org/10.1002/ibd.21625.
- Pabst O, Slack E. IgA and the intestinal microbiota: the importance of being specific. *Mucosal Immunol* 2020;13:12–21. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-019-0227-4.
- 1005 80. Xia B, Zhong R, Wu W, Luo C, Meng Q, Gao Q, et al. Mucin O-glycan-microbiota axis orchestrates gut homeostasis in a diarrheal pig model. *Microbiome* 2022;**10**:1–21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-022-01326-8.
- 1008 81. Hiippala K, Jouhten H, Ronkainen A, Hartikainen A, Kainulainen V, Jalanka J, et al.
 1009 The potential of gut commensals in reinforcing intestinal barrier function and 1010 alleviating inflammation. *Nutrients* 2018;18:e988. 1011 https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10080988.
- 1012 82. Reily C, Stewart TJ, Renfrow MB, Novak J. Glycosylation in health and disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2019;15:346–66. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41581-019-0129-4.
- 1014 83. Rollenske T, Szijarto V, Lukasiewicz J, Guachalla LM, Stojkovic K, Hartl K, et al.
 1015 Cross-specificity of protective human antibodies against Klebsiella pneumoniae LPS
 1016 O-antigen. *Nat Immunol* 2018;19:617–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-018-0106-2.
- 101784.Mathias A, Corthésy B. N-glycans on secretory component: Mediators of the
interaction between secretory IgA and gram-positive commensals sustaining intestinal
- 1019 homeostasis. *Gut Microbes* 2011;**2**:287–93. https://doi.org/10.4161/gmic.2.5.18269.
- 1020 85. Michaud E, Waeckel L, Gayet R, Goguyer- Deschaumes R, Chanut B, Jospin F, et al.
 1021 Alteration of microbiota antibody- mediated immune selection contributes to dysbiosis
 1022 in inflammatory bowel diseases. *EMBO Mol Med* 2022;14:1–21.
 1023 https://doi.org/10.15252/ammm.202115386
- 1023 https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.202115386.
- 1024 86. Huus KE, Petersen C, Finlay BB. Diversity and dynamism of IgA-microbiota
 1025 interactions. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2021;21:514-25. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-0211026 00506-1.
- 1027 87. McKune A, Starzak D, Semple S. Repeated bouts of eccentrically biased endurance exercise stimulate salivary IgA secretion rate. *Biol Sport* 2014;**32**:21–5.

1029 https://doi.org/10.5604/20831862.1126324. 1030 Anderluh M, Berti F, Bzducha-Wróbel A, Chiodo F, Colombo C, Compostella F, et al. 88. Emerging glyco-based strategies to steer immune responses. FEBS J 2021;288:4746-1031 1032 72. https://doi.org/10.1111/febs.15830. Chang Y-C, Olson J, Beasley FC, Tung C, Zhang J, Crocker PR, et al. Group B 1033 89. Streptococcus Engages an Inhibitory Siglec through Sialic Acid Mimicry to Blunt 1034 1035 Innate Immune and Inflammatory Responses In Vivo. Wessels MR, editor. PLoS 1036 Pathog 2014;10:e1003846. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003846. 1037 90. Severi E, Hood DW, Thomas GH. Sialic acid utilization by bacterial pathogens. 1038 Microbiology 2007;153:2817–22. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2007/009480-0. 1039 91. Ndeh D, Gilbert HJ. Biochemistry of complex glycan depolymerisation by the human gut microbiota. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2018;42:146-64. 1040 https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuy002. 1041 Tailford LE, Crost EH, Kavanaugh D, Juge N, Mucin glycan foraging in the human 1042 92. gut microbiome. Front Genet 2015;5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2015.00081. 1043 93. Plovier H, Everard A, Druart C, Depommier C, Van Hul M, Geurts L, et al. A purified 1044 membrane protein from Akkermansia muciniphila or the pasteurized bacterium 1045 improves metabolism in obese and diabetic mice. Nat Med 2017:23:107-13. 1046 https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4236. 1047 94. Belzer C, Chia LW, Aalvink S, Chamlagain B, Piironen V, Knol J, et al. Microbial 1048 1049 metabolic networks at the mucus layer lead to diet-independent butyrate and vitamin 1050 B12 production by intestinal symbionts. *MBio* 2017;8:1–14. 1051 https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00770-17. 1052 95. Sicard J-F, Le Bihan G, Vogeleer P, Jacques M, Harel J. Interactions of Intestinal Bacteria with Components of the Intestinal Mucus. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2017;7. 1053 1054 https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00387. 96. Kaoutari A El, Armougom F, Gordon JI, Raoult D, Henrissat B. The abundance and 1055 variety of carbohydrate-active enzymes in the human gut microbiota. Nat Rev 1056 Microbiol 2013;11:497-504. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3050. 1057 Hansson GC. Mucins and the Microbiome. Annu Rev Biochem 2020;89:769-93. 1058 97. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-011520-105053. 1059 98. van der Hee B, Wells JM. Microbial Regulation of Host Physiology by Short-chain 1060 Fatty Acids. Trends Microbiol 2021;29:700-12. 1061 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.02.001. 1062 1063 99. Gabius HJ, Roth J. An introduction to the sugar code. Histochem Cell Biol 1064 2017;**147**:111–7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00418-016-1521-9. Trastoy B, Naegeli A, Anso I, Sjögren J, Guerin ME. Structural basis of mammalian 1065 100. 1066 mucin processing by the human gut O-glycopeptidase OgpA from Akkermansia muciniphila. Nat Commun 2020;11:4844. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18696-1067 1068 y. Barton W, Penney NC, Cronin O, Garcia-Perez I, Mollov MG, Holmes E, et al. The 1069 101. microbiome of professional athletes differs from that of more sedentary subjects in 1070 1071 composition and particularly at the functional metabolic level. Gut 2018;67:625–33. 1072 https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-313627. Clarke SF, Murphy EF, O'Sullivan O, Lucey AJ, Humphreys M, Hogan A, et al. 1073 102. Exercise and associated dietary extremes impact on gut microbial diversity. Gut 1074 1075 2014;63:1913-20. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306541. 1076 103. Hooper L V, Gordon JI. Commensal host-bacterial relationships in the gut. Science 2001;**292**:1115-8. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1058709. 1077 Turroni F, Milani C, Duranti S, Mahony J, van Sinderen D, Ventura M. Glycan 1078 104.

1079 Utilization and Cross-Feeding Activities by Bifidobacteria. Trends Microbiol 1080 2018;26:339-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2017.10.001. D'Souza G, Shitut S, Preussger D, Yousif G, Waschina S, Kost C. Ecology and 1081 105. 1082 evolution of metabolic cross-feeding interactions in bacteria. Nat Prod Rep 2018;35:455-88. https://doi.org/10.1039/c8np00009c. 1083 Milani C, Lugli GA, Duranti S, Turroni F, Mancabelli L, Ferrario C, et al. 1084 106. 1085 Bifidobacteria exhibit social behavior through carbohydrate resource sharing in the 1086 gut. Nat Publ Gr 2015. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15782. 1087 O'Donovan CM, Madigan SM, Garcia-Perez I, Rankin A, O' Sullivan O, Cotter PD. 107. 1088 Distinct microbiome composition and metabolome exists across subgroups of elite 1089 Irish athletes. J Sci Med Sport 2020;23:63-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2019.08.290. 1090 Everard A, Belzer C, Geurts L, Ouwerkerk JP, Druart C, Bindels LB, et al. Cross-talk 1091 108. between Akkermansia muciniphila and intestinal epithelium controls diet-induced 1092 obesity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013;110:9066-71. 1093 1094 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1219451110. 1095 109. Hu Y, Li C, Hou Y. Possible regulation of liver glycogen structure through the gutliver axis by resistant starch: a review. Food Funct 2021;12:11154-64. 1096 https://doi.org/10.1039/D1FO02416G. 1097 Jakobsson HE, Rodríguez- Piñeiro AM, Schütte A, Ermund A, Boysen P, Bemark M, 1098 110. 1099 et al. The composition of the gut microbiota shapes the colon mucus barrier. EMBO 1100 Rep 2015;16:164-77. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201439263. 1101 111. Chassaing B, Raja SM, Lewis JD, Srinivasan S, Gewirtz AT. Colonic Microbiota 1102 Encroachment Correlates With Dysglycemia in Humans. Cmgh 2017;4:205–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2017.04.001. 1103 1104 112. Desai MS, Seekatz AM, Koropatkin NM, Kamada N, Hickey CA, Wolter M, et al. A Dietary Fiber-Deprived Gut Microbiota Degrades the Colonic Mucus Barrier and 1105 Enhances Pathogen Susceptibility. Cell 2016;167:1339-1353.e21. 1106 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.10.043. 1107 Hortemo KH, Lunde PK, Anonsen JH, Kvaløy H, Munkvik M, Rehn TA, et al. 1108 113. Exercise training increases protein O-GlcNAcylation in rat skeletal muscle. Physiol 1109 *Rep* 2016;**4**:1–20. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.12896. 1110 Wang X, Feng Z, Wang X, Yang L, Han S, Cao K, et al. O-GlcNAcase deficiency 1111 114. suppresses skeletal myogenesis and insulin sensitivity in mice through the modulation 1112 of mitochondrial homeostasis. Diabetologia 2016;59:1287-96. 1113 1114 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-016-3919-2. Chattopadhyay T, Maniyadath B, Bagul HP, Chakraborty A, Shukla N, Budnar S, et al. 1115 115. Spatiotemporal gating of SIRT1 functions by O-GlcNAcylation is essential for liver 1116 1117 metabolic switching and prevents hyperglycemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1118 2020;117:6890-900. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1909943117. Housley MP, Udeshi ND, Rodgers JT, Shabanowitz J, Puigserver P, Hunt DF, et al. A 1119 116. 1120 PGC-1a-O-GlcNAc Transferase Complex Regulates FoxO Transcription Factor Activity in Response to Glucose. J Biol Chem 2009;284:5148-57. 1121 1122 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M808890200. Housley MP, Rodgers JT, Udeshi ND, Kelly TJ, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, et al. O-1123 117. GlcNAc regulates FoxO activation in response to glucose. J Biol Chem 1124 2008;283:16283-92. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M802240200. 1125 1126 118. Butkinaree C, Park K, Hart GW. Transcription in Response to Nutrients and Stress. Biochim Biophys Acta 2011;1800:1–23. 1127 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2009.07.018.O-Linked. 1128

- 1129 119. Chen PH, Chi JT, Boyce M. Functional crosstalk among oxidative stress and O1130 GlcNAc signaling pathways. *Glycobiology* 2018;28:556–64.
 1131 https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwy027.
- 1132 120. Vidal-Veuthey B, González D, Cárdenas JP. Role of microbial secreted proteins in gut 1133 microbiota-host interactions. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2022;**12**:1–8. 1134 https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2022.964710.
- 1135 121. Quik M, Hokke CH, Everts B. The role of O-GlcNAcylation in immunity against infections. *Immunology* 2020;**161**:175–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/imm.13245.
- 1137 122. Hintikka JE, Munukka E, Valtonen M, Luoto R, Ihalainen JK, Kallonen T, et al. Gut
 1138 Microbiota and Serum Metabolome in Elite Cross-Country Skiers: A Controlled
 1139 Study. *Metabolites* 2022;12. https://doi.org/10.3390/metabo12040335.
- 123. Clarke SF, Murphy EF, O'Sullivan O, Lucey AJ, Humphreys M, Hogan A, et al.
 124. Exercise and associated dietary extremes impact on gut microbial diversity. *Gut*2014;63:1913–20. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-306541.
- 1143 124. Munukka E, Ahtiainen JP, Puigbó P, Jalkanen S, Pahkala K, Keskitalo A, et al. Six1144 week endurance exercise alters gut metagenome that is not reflected in systemic
 1145 metabolism in over-weight women. *Front Microbiol* 2018;9:2323.
 1146 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02323.
- 1147 125. Radak Z, Zhao Z, Koltai E, Ohno H, Atalay M. Oxygen consumption and usage during physical exercise: The balance between oxidative stress and ROS-dependent adaptive signaling. *Antioxidants Redox Signal* 2013;18:1208–46.
- 1150 https://doi.org/10.1089/ars.2011.4498.
- 1151 126. Nay K, Jollet M, Goustard B, Baati N, Vernus B, Pontones M, et al. Gut bacteria are critical for optimal muscle function: A potential link with glucose homeostasis. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2019;**317**:E158-171.
- 1154 https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00521.2018.
- 1155 127. den Besten G, van Eunen K, Groen AK, Venema K, Reijngoud D-J, Bakker BM. The role of short-chain fatty acids in the interplay between diet, gut microbiota, and host energy metabolism. *J Lipid Res* 2013;**54**:2325–40.
- 1158 https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.R036012.
- 1159 128. Mishra SP, Karunakar P, Taraphder S, Yadav H. Free fatty acid receptors 2 and 3 as microbial metabolite sensors to shape host health: Pharmacophysiological view.
 1161 *Biomedicines* 2020;8. https://doi.org/10.3390/BIOMEDICINES8060154.
- 1162 129. Li G, Jin B, Fan Z. Mechanisms Involved in Gut Microbiota Regulation of Skeletal
 1163 Muscle. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* 2022;2022:15–9.
- 1164 https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2151191.
- 1165130.Radak Z, Chung HY, Koltai E, Taylor AW, Goto S. Exercise, oxidative stress and
hormesis. Ageing Res Rev 2008;7:34–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2007.04.004.
- 131. Gao Z, Yin J, Zhang J, Ward RE, Martin RJ, Lefevre M, et al. Butyrate Improves
 Insulin Sensitivity and Increases Energy Expenditure in Mice. *Diabetes* 2009;**58**:1509–
 17. https://doi.org/10.2337/db08-1637.
- 1170 132. Zhao M, Ren K, Xiong X, Cheng M, Zhang Z, Huang Z, et al. Protein O-GlcNAc
 1171 Modification Links Dietary and Gut Microbial Cues to the Differentiation of
 1172 Enteroendocrine L Cells. *Cell Rep* 2020;**32**:108013.
 1173 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108013.
- 1173 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108013.
- 1174 133. Ruan H-B, Zhao M. Microbiota-sensing O-GlcNAc signaling in intestinal and metabolic homeostasis. *Biochem Mol Biol* 2017;**31**:784.4-784.4.
 1176 https://doi.org/10.1096/fasebj.31.1_supplement.784.4.
- 1177 134. Gérard P. Metabolism of cholesterol and bile acids by the gut microbiota. *Pathogens* 2013;3:14–24. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens3010014.

- 1179 135. Kuipers F, Bloks VW, Groen AK. Beyond intestinal soap—bile acids in metabolic control. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2014;10:488–98. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2014.60.
- 136. Berrabah W, Aumercier P, Gheeraert C, Dehondt H, Bouchaert E, Alexandre J, et al.
 Glucose sensing O-GlcNAcylation pathway regulates the nuclear bile acid receptor
 farnesoid X receptor (FXR). *Hepatology* 2014;**59**:2022–33.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.26710.
- 137. Egan M, Motherway MO, Kilcoyne M, Kane M, Joshi L, Ventura M, et al. Crossfeeding by Bifidobacterium breve UCC2003 during co-cultivation with
 Bifidobacterium bifidum PRL2010 in a mucin-based medium (ene bifido profiteert van
 glucosidase activiteit van de andere). *BMC Microbiol* 2014;**14**:1–14.
- 1189
 138. Bishara J, Farah R, Mograbi J, Khalaila W, Abu-Elheja O, Mahamid M, et al. Obesity
 1190
 as a risk factor for Clostridium difficile infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013;**57**:489–93.
 1191
 https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cit280.
- 139. Sonnenburg JL, Xu J, Leip DD, Chen C-H, Westover BP, Weatherford J, et al. Glycan
 Foraging in Vivo by an Intestine-Adapted Bacterial Symbiont. *Science (80-)*2005;**307**:1955–9. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1109051.
- 140. Bron PA, Kleerebezem M, Brummer R-J, Cani PD, Mercenier A, MacDonald TT, et
 al. Can probiotics modulate human disease by impacting intestinal barrier function? *Br J Nutr* 2017;**117**:93–107. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114516004037.
- 141. Sicard JF, Bihan G Le, Vogeleer P, Jacques M, Harel J. Interactions of intestinal
 bacteria with components of the intestinal mucus. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* 2017;7.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00387.
- 142. Ahl D, Liu H, Schreiber O, Roos S, Phillipson M, Holm L. Lactobacillus reuteri
 increases mucus thickness and ameliorates dextran sulphate sodium-induced colitis in
 mice. *Acta Physiol* 2016;217:300–10. https://doi.org/10.1111/apha.12695.
- 1204 143. Gou H-Z, Zhang Y-L, Ren L-F, Li Z-J, Zhang L. How do intestinal probiotics restore
 1205 the intestinal barrier? *Front Microbiol* 2022;13.
 1206 https://doi.org/10.2320/fmicb.2022.020346
- 1206 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.929346.
- 144. Schroeder BO, Birchenough GMH, Ståhlman M, Arike L, Johansson ME V, Hansson GC, et al. Bifidobacteria or fiber protect against diet-induced microbiota-mediated colonic mucus deterioration HHS Public Access The defects can be prevented by application of a probiotic bifidobacteria or the prebiotic fiber inulin. *Cell Host Microbe* 2018;23:27–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.11.004.Bifidobacteria.
- 1212 145. Zhai Q, Feng S, Arjan N, Chen W. A next generation probiotic, Akkermansia muciniphila. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2019;**59**:3227–36.
 1214 https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2018.1517725.
- 1215 146. Shin N-R, Lee J-C, Lee H-Y, Kim M-S, Whon TW, Lee M-S, et al. An increase in the
 1216 Akkermansia spp. population induced by metformin treatment improves glucose
 1217 homeostasis in diet-induced obese mice. *Gut* 2014;63:727–35.
 1218 https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2012-303839.
- 1219 147. Wu W, Lv L, Shi D, Ye J, Fang D, Guo F, et al. Protective Effect of Akkermansia
 1220 muciniphila against Immune-Mediated Liver Injury in a Mouse Model. *Front*1221 *Microbiol* 2017;8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01804.
- 1222 148. Kim S, Shin Y-C, Kim T-Y, Kim Y, Lee Y-S, Lee S-H, et al. Mucin degrader
 1223 Akkermansia muciniphila accelerates intestinal stem cell-mediated epithelial
 1224 development. *Gut Microbes* 2021;13. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1892441.
- 1225 149. Pan L, Fu T, Cheng H, Mi J, Shang Q, Yu G. Polysaccharide from edible alga
 1226 Gloiopeltis furcata attenuates intestinal mucosal damage by therapeutically remodeling
 1227 the interactions between gut microbiota and mucin O-glycans. *Carbohydr Polym*1228 2022;**278**:118921. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2021.118921.

1229 150. Pruss KM, Marcobal A, Southwick AM, Dahan D, Smits SA, Ferreyra JA, et al. Mucin-derived O-glycans supplemented to diet mitigate diverse microbiota 1230 perturbations. ISME J 2021;15:577–91. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-00798-6. 1231 1232 151. Hino S, Mizushima T, Kaneko K, Kawai E, Kondo T, Genda T, et al. Mucin-Derived O-Glycans act as endogenous fiber and sustain mucosal immune homeostasis via 1233 short-Chain fatty acid production in rat cecum. J Nutr 2020;150:2656-65. 1234 1235 https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/nxaa097. 1236 Yamada T, Hino S, Iijima H, Genda T, Aoki R, Nagata R, et al. Mucin O-glycans 152. facilitate symbiosynthesis to maintain gut immune homeostasis. EBioMedicine 1237 1238 2019;48:513-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.09.008. 1239 Raskova Kafkova L, Brokesova D, Krupka M, Stehlikova Z, Dvorak J, Coufal S, et al. 153. 1240 Secretory IgA N-glycans contribute to the protection against E. coli O55 infection of germ-free piglets. Mucosal Immunol 2021;14:511-22. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-1241 020-00345-8. 1242 Everard A, Lazarevic V, Derrien M, Girard M, Muccioli GM, Neyrinck AM, et al. 1243 154. Responses of gut microbiota and glucose and lipid metabolism to prebiotics in genetic 1244 obese and diet-induced leptin-resistant mice. Diabetes 2011;60:2775-86. 1245 https://doi.org/10.2337/db11-0227. 1246 van den Abbeele P, Gérard P, Rabot S, Bruneau A, El Aidy S, Derrien M, et al. 1247 155. Arabinoxylans and inulin differentially modulate the mucosal and luminal gut 1248 1249 microbiota and mucin-degradation in humanized rats. Environ Microbiol 1250 2011;**13**:2667–80. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02533.x. Ottman N, Reunanen J, Meijerink M, Pietila TE, Kainulainen V, Klievink J, et al. Pili-1251 156. 1252 like proteins of Akkermansia muciniphila modulate host immune responses and gut barrier function. PLoS One 2017;12:1-18. 1253 1254 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0173004. Giromini C, Baldi A, Rebucci R, Lanzoni D, Policardi M, Sundaram TS, et al. Role of 1255 157. Short Chain Fatty Acids to Counteract Inflammatory Stress and Mucus Production in 1256 1257 Human Intestinal HT29-MTX-E12 Cells. Foods 2022;11. 1258 https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11131983. 1259 158. Diao H, Jiao AR, Yu B, Mao XB, Chen DW. Gastric infusion of short-chain fatty acids can improve intestinal barrier function in weaned piglets. Genes Nutr 2019;14:4. 1260 1261 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12263-019-0626-x. 159. Earle KA, Billings G, Sigal M, Lichtman JS, Hansson GC, Elias JE, et al. Quantitative 1262 Imaging of Gut Microbiota Spatial Organization. Cell Host Microbe 2015;18:478-88. 1263 1264 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.09.002. Mack D, Michail S, McDougall L, Hollingsworth M. Probiotics inhibit 1265 160. enteropathogenic E. coli adherence in vitro by inducing intestinal mucin gene 1266 1267 expression. Am J Physiol - Endocrinol Metab Physiol 1999;276:G941-50. Huang W-C, Hsu Y-J, Huang C-C, Liu H-C, Lee M-C. Exercise Training Combined 1268 161. with Bifidobacterium longum OLP-01 Supplementation Improves Exercise 1269 Physiological Adaption and Performance. Nutrients 2020;12:1145. 1270 https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12041145. 1271 1272 Gao Z, Yin J, Zhang J, Ward RE, Martin RJ, Lefevre M, et al. Butyrate improves 162. 1273 insulin sensitivity and increases energy expenditure in mice. Diabetes 2009;58:1509-1274 1517. https://doi.org/10.2337/db08-1637. 1275