

Modifying last layer in polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings for capillary electrophoresis of proteins

Sébastien Roca, Laurent Leclercq, Philippe Gonzalez, Laura Dhellemmes,

Laurent Boiteau, Gaulthier Rydzek, Hervé Cottet

▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien Roca, Laurent Leclercq, Philippe Gonzalez, Laura Dhellemmes, Laurent Boiteau, et al.. Modifying last layer in polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings for capillary electrophoresis of proteins. Journal of Chromatography A, 2023, 1692, pp.463837. 10.1016/j.chroma.2023.463837 . hal-03995140

HAL Id: hal-03995140 https://hal.science/hal-03995140v1

Submitted on 21 Feb 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1

3

4

2

Modifying last layer in polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings for capillary electrophoresis of proteins

Sébastien Roca¹, Laurent Leclercq^{1*}, Philippe Gonzalez¹, Laura Dhellemmes¹, Laurent Boiteau¹, Gaulthier Rydzek², Hervé Cottet^{1*}

⁶ ¹ IBMM, University of Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France

⁷ ² ICGM, University of Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France

⁸ Corresponding authors: <u>herve.cottet@umontpellier.fr</u> ; <u>laurent.leclercq@umontpellier.fr</u>

9 Abstract:

Protein adsorption on the inner wall of the fused silica capillary wall is an important concern for 10 capillary electrophoresis (CE) analysis since it is mainly responsible for separation efficiency 11 reduction. Successive Multiple Ionic-polymer Layers (SMIL) are used as capillary coatings to 12 limit protein adsorption, but even low residual adsorption strongly impacts the separation 13 efficiency, especially at high separation voltages. In this work, the influence of the chemical 14 nature and the PEGylation of the polyelectrolyte deposited in the last layer of the SMIL coating 15 was investigated on the separation performances of a mixture of four model intact proteins 16 (myoglobin (Myo), trypsin inhibitor (TI), ribonuclease a (RNAse A) and lysozyme (Lyz)). 17 Poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH), polyethyleneimine (PEI), ε-poly(L-lysine) (εPLL) and α-18 poly(L-lysine) (aPLL) were compared before and after chemical modification with 19 polyethyleneglycol (PEG) of different chain lengths. The experimental results obtained by 20 performing electrophoretic separations at different separation voltages allowed determining the 21 residual retention factor of the proteins onto the capillary wall via the determination of the plate 22 height at different solute velocities and demonstrated a strong impact of the polycationic last layer 23 on the electroosmotic mobility, the separation efficiency and the overall resolution. Properties of 24 SMIL coatings were also characterized by quartz microbalance and atomic force microscopy, 25 demonstrating a glassy structure of the films. 26

- 27
- 28 Keywords: protein adsorption; capillary zone electrophoresis; successive multiple ionic-
- ²⁹ polymer layer; PEGylated polycation; polyelectrolyte multilayers
- 30

32

34

31 Highlights:

- Impact of the last layer on SMIL capillary coating on analytical performances
- Impact of PEGylated last layer on electroosmotic flow and analytical performances
 - Impact of the nature of the last polycation layer on SMIL thickness and hydration
- Comparable results between QCM-D and AFM experiment, in agreements with CE findings

36 1. Introduction

Theoretical separation efficiency in capillary electrophoresis (CE) is ideally controlled by solute 37 axial diffusion [1]. For a globular protein of typical size about 1 nm (molecular diffusion 38 coefficient D of about $2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$, apparent electrophoretic mobility of 20 TU (Tiselius Units: 39 1 TU = 10^{-9} m²V⁻¹s⁻¹) and typical electric field strength of 500 V cm⁻¹ corresponding to "typical" 40 20 kV per 40 cm total capillary length used in this study), about one million theoretical plates are 41 expected in an ideal CE experiment. In practice, the plate number is generally much lower, with 42 typically about a few tens of thousands plates [2-4]. Different factors can explain this reduction, 43 among which radial gradient temperature inside the capillary [5] due to Joule effect and capillary 44 cooling, electromigration dispersion [6,7], capillary coiling [8], or protein adsorption onto the 45 capillary wall [3, 9-13]. Monolayer coatings and successive multiple ionic-polymer layer (SMIL) 46 capillary coatings were developed to limit protein adsorption [14-28]. Pioneered in the late 1960 47 [29], multilayered polyelectrolyte coatings were rediscovered at the beginning of the 90s by 48 Decher et al. [30, 31] and firstly applied to CE in 1998 [32]. SMIL coatings are built inside the 49 capillary by successive adsorption of oppositely charged polyelectrolytes (PE) onto the capillary 50 wall. SMIL coatings greatly improve the separation repeatability / reproducibility compared to 51 bare fused silica capillary [4, 22, 33-35], but even very small residual protein adsorption can still 52 reduce the separation efficiency [36], with a plate height H increasing with the power 2 of the 53 retention factor k. For a fair comparison of the coating performances between different capillary 54 coatings, and for a valuable quantitative estimation of their performances, it was thus crucial to 55 quantify residual protein adsorption by the determination of the protein retention factor k. We 56 recently demonstrated that this determination can be performed by quantifying protein separation 57 efficiency at different separation voltages. Experimentally, the plate height H obtained for each 58 protein at different separation voltages can be plotted as a function of the protein linear velocity 59 *u* according to the following law [13, 37]: 60

61

$$H = \frac{2D}{u(1+k)} + \frac{d_c^2 u}{D} \frac{k^2}{16(1+k)} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{d_f^2 u}{D_s} \frac{k}{1+k} + A$$
(1)

where d_c is the internal diameter of the capillary, u is the linear velocity of the analyte, d_f is the film thickness, D_s is the diffusion coefficient in the stationary phase and A is a constant related to extra column contributions. Knowing that $d_c^2 \gg d_f^2$, the third term related to the resistance in mass transfer in stationary phase can be neglected in equation (1) as a first approximation. Curve fitting of the plate height experimental data is an excellent way to determine k value for each protein on a given capillary coating. In equation (1), D was obtained experimentally by Taylor dispersion analysis. The determination of k values allows a ranking of the capillary coating performances, whatever the electroosmotic mobility values, and thus, whatever the range of protein migration times which can greatly vary with the nature/surface charge of the coating.

Reducing protein adsorption, and thus the retention factor, is probably one of the most critical 71 parameter to improve capillary coatings in CE enabling decreasing the dependency of the peak 72 broadening with increasing separation voltage. The aim of this work was to study the impact of 73 the chemical nature of the last polycationic layer deposited on a 4-layers 74 poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDADMAC) / poly(sodium styrene sulfonate) (PSS) 75 sub-structure, on the CE separation performances of a mixture of 4 model intact proteins 76 (myoglobin (Myo), Trypsin inhibitor (TI), ribonuclease A (RNAse A) and lysozyme (Lyz)). 77 PDADMAC, polyallylamine (PAH), polyethyleneimine (PEI), ε-poly(L-lysine) (εPLL) and α-78 poly(L-lysine) (aPLL) were compared as a last layer, either used as it or after chemical grafting 79 modification with PEG chains of two different lengths (1 kDa and 5 kDa) at various molar 80 proportions. The different CE characteristic parameters and performances (electroosmotic 81 mobility, protein retention factor k, constant A, separation efficiency, RSD of migration times) 82 were systematically determined to compare all the tested capillaries. 83

84 85

2. Experimental section

87 2.1. Chemicals and Materials

Reagents. Copper sulfate (99.99%) purity), sodium ascorbate (98%) purity), 88 tris(benzyltriazolylmethyl) amine (THPTA, 95% purity), sodium nitrate (99.0% purity), 4-(2-89 hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethane sulfonic acid (HEPES, 99.5% purity) and sodium acetate 90 anhydrous (99% purity) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France). 91 Sodium hydroxide (98 % purity) and sodium chloride (99.5% purity) were purchased from Fluka 92 (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France). Triethylamine (99% purity) was obtained from Acros Organics 93 (Geel, Belgium). Acetic acid (99.99% purity) was supplied by VWR Chemicals (Rosny-sous-94 Bois, France). Sodium azide (99.5% purity) was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). 95 Dimethylformamide (99.9% purity) was purchased from Carlo Erba Reagents (Val-de-Rueil, 96 France). Trypsin Inhibitor (TI, from soybean, isoelectric point (pI): 4.5, purity not indicated by 97 the supplier), Myoglobin (Myo, from equine skeletal muscle, pI: 7.3, 95% purity), Ribonuclease 98 A (RNAse A from bovine pancreas, pl: 9.3, 60% purity) and Lysozyme (Lyz, from chicken egg 99 white, pl: 11.1, 90% purity) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France). 100

Polymers. Methoxy-(polyethylene glycol)-succinimidyl carboxyl methyl ester (mPEG-NHS-101 SCM) of 1 kDa (ref.: PLS-215) and 5 kDa (PLS-213) average molar masses; and methoxy-102 (polyethylene glycol)-alkyne (mPEG-Alkyne) of 1 kDa (PLS-2036) and 5 kDa (PLS-2034) molar 103 masses, were purchased from Creative PEGWorks (Durham, NC, USA). ɛ-poly(L-Lysine 104 hydrochloride) (ϵ PLL, $M_w = 3.5-4.5$ kDa) was purchased from Biosynth Carbosynth (Staad, 105 Switzerland). Poly(allylamine hydrochloride) (PAH, $M_w = 120-200$ kDa) was supplied by Alfa 106 Aesar (Kandel, Germany). Branched poly(ethyleneimine) (PEI, $M_w = 750$ kDa) and 107 poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride) (PDADMAC, $M_w = 450$ kDa) were purchased from 108 Sigma Aldrich (Saint-Quentin-Fallavier, France). a-poly(L-Lysine hydrobromide)-graft-109 (azidoalkylamide) 10% (α PLL-10%, $M_w = 57$ kDa) and 20% (α PLL-20%, $M_w = 62$ kDa) were 110 purchased from Alamanda Polymers (Huntsville, AL, USA). Poly(sodium styrenesulfonate) 111 (PSS, $M_w = 70$ kDa) was purchased from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Except for PAH and 112 PEI, all reagents and polymers were used as received without any further purification. All aqueous 113 solutions were prepared using deionized water (18 M Ω cm⁻¹) delivered by a Synergy UV water 114 purification system (Millipore, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France). 115

- ¹¹⁶ 0.1 μm cut-off Durapore membrane filters were purchased from Millipore (Molsheim, France).
- Dialysis tubings (cut-off: 1, 3.5, 8 and 12–14 kDa) were purchased from Spectrum Labs (San
 Francisco, CA, USA).
- 119

120 *2.2. Proton NMR*

¹²¹ ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity Inova 400 MHz spectrometer in a D₂O solution, ¹²² using the resonance of water (4.8 ppm) as chemical shift internal reference. Samples of freeze-¹²³ dried polymers were dissolved at ca. 1 gL⁻¹ in 99.9% D₂O. The dissolution of PEGylated polymers ¹²⁴ (with 5 kDa PEG chains) in D₂O was performed at 0°C. All the ¹H NMR spectra are provided in ¹²⁵ Supporting Information, Section 1.C (Figure SI 8 to Figure SI 12). ¹H NMR integrations were ¹²⁶ used to estimate the PEGylation ratio achieved at the end of the synthesis (see Table SI1) ¹²⁷ according to the calculation method described in Figure SI 8.

128

2.3. Preparative Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) 129

Automated preparative SEC experiments were performed on a General Electric Healthcare Äkta Purifier 100 (Chicago, IL, USA) including a P-900 pump unit and a UV-900 multi wavelength UV-visible detector unit. Separations were carried out on an OHpak SBG Shodex column guard (50 × 6 mm) followed by a 300 × 8 mm SB-805M-HQ Shodex column. The eluent was an aqueous solution of acetic acid (50 mM), sodium acetate (50 mM), sodium chloride (500 mM) and sodium

- azide (300 mgL⁻¹), pH 4.8. Prior to use, the eluent was filtered through a 0.1 μ m cut-off Durapore membrane. Polymers samples dissolved at 4 gL⁻¹ in the eluent were injected by 500- μ L successive batches and eluted at 1.0 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate. The collected polymer fractions were dialyzed against deionized water, concentrated on a rotatory evaporator, and finally freeze-dried. The resulting powder was stored under inert atmosphere at -20°C.
- Prior to use for PEG grafting, commercial PAH and PEI (of polydispersity indexes PDI = 3.5 and
- 6.0, respectively) were fractionated by preparative SEC, affording samples with final PDI = 1.5
- and 1.9, respectively (see Table SI 1, Figure SI 1 to SI 7 for more information).
- 143
- 144 2.4. Size Exclusion Chromatography coupled to Multi-Angle Laser Light Scattering (SEC 145 MALLS)
- SEC-MALLS analyses were carried out on a Thermo Scientific Dionex Ultimate 3000 instrument 146 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) including an auto-sampler & column 147 compartment unit, connected to a Wyatt MiniDawn Treos three-angle laser light scattering 148 detector (41.5°, 90°, and 138.5°) operating at 658 nm (Wyatt Technology Corp., Santa Barbara, 149 CA, USA) and to a Shimadzu RID-20A refractometer (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan). 150 Separations were carried out on a 50×6 mm OHpak SBG Shodex column guard followed by a 151 300×8 mm SB-805M-HQ Shodex column. The eluent was composed of a mixture of 50 mM 152 acetic acid, 300 mM sodium nitrate and 300 mgL⁻¹ sodium azide, pH 3.6. Prior to use, the eluent 153 was filtered through a 0.1 µm cut-off Durapore membrane. 100 µL polymer samples (dissolved 154 at 1 gL⁻¹ in the eluent) were injected and eluted at a 1.0 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate. Raw instrument data 155 were processed using the Astra software (v6.1.1.17, Wyatt Technology Corp.), analyzing the 5-156 17 mL elution volume range in all cases. 157
- SEC-MALLS analytic results were used to assess PEG/polycation ratios and to quantify the amount of residual ungrafted mPEG in the grafted polymers (see Sections I.D and I.E in Supporting Information).
- 161

162 2.5. Incremental refractive index $(dn/dc)_{\mu}$ determination

Incremental refractive indexes $(dn/dc)_{\mu}$ of polymers were measured at constant chemical potential (μ) on the RID-20A refractometer unit. Mother polymer solutions at 2.0 gL⁻¹ in SEC eluent were prepared by weighing the dry polymer and the SEC eluent. Various dilutions of mother polymer solutions in SEC eluent (final polymer concentrations: 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, and 2.0 gL⁻¹) were then submitted to RID measurement. All molar masses and concentrations used for dn/dcmeasurements included the polymer counter-ions (see Figure SI3 to SI7). The values of $(dn/dc)_{\mu}$ were 0.1887 for εPLL, 0.1731 for αPLL 10%, 0.1837 for αPLL 20%, 0.2125 for PAH and 0.3004
for PEI.

171

172 2.6. PEGylation of PAH by NHS activation

HEPES-NaOH buffer pH 7.52 was prepared by mixing HEPES at 30 mM (1.786 g in 250 mL 173 deionized water) with sodium hydroxide at 15 mM (0.1501 g in 250 mL deionized water). In all 174 weighing and transfer operations, the intermediate vessel was rinsed with 5 mL buffer to 175 maximize substrate/reagent recovery into the reaction vessel. In a typical experiment, 32.5 mg 176 (348.6 µmol monomer units) of PAH were dissolved in 5 mL HEPES-NaOH buffer, then the 177 resulting solution was transferred into a 50 mL flask under vigorous stirring for 5 min (the vial 178 used for weighing was subsequently rinsed with 5 mL buffer). 40.1 mg (41.8 µmol endgroups) of 179 mPEG-SCM were added portionwise into the PAH solution. The intermediate vial was rinsed 180 with 5 mL of buffer to transfer the leftover reagent. The flask was closed, and the solution was 181 stirred overnight. The reaction medium was then dialyzed over 48 h against water (1 kDa cutoff 182 tubing) to remove ungrafted mPEG, meanwhile the outer water was renewed 7 times. The solution 183 was then concentrated on a rotatory evaporator and finally freeze-dried overnight. The resulting 184 solid was then characterized by 400 MHz¹H NMR (see Figure SI 8 to SI 12) and by SEC-MALLS 185 (see Figure SI 13 to SI 20). Quantitative data for all examples are gathered in Table SI1. 186

187

188 2.7. Capillary coating procedure

Bare fused silica capillary (purchased from Polymicro Technologies (Phoenix, AZ, USA)) was 189 first activated according to the following procedure: successive flushes (at 96.5 kPa (14.5 psi)) of 190 NaOH (1 M, 10 min, 1 mL in a 1.5 mL glass vial), pure water (5 min, 1 mL in a 1.5 mL glass 191 vial) and construction buffer (HEPES 20 mM, NaOH 10 mM, pH 7.4, 10 min, 1 mL in a 1.5 mL 192 glass vial). A 4-layer SMIL capillary coating, used as a substructure, was obtained by flushing (at 193 96.5 kPa) with polycation solution (PDADMAC, 3 gL⁻¹ in HEPES buffer, 7 min, 200 µL in 194 polypropylene vial), HEPES buffer (3 min, 1 mL in a 1.5 mL glass vial) to remove the excess of 195 polycation, polyanion solution (PSS, 3 gL⁻¹ in HEPES buffer, 7 min, 200 µL in polypropylene 196 vial), HEPES buffer (3 min, 1 mL in a 1.5 mL glass vial) to remove the excess of polyanion. To 197 avoid polyelectrolyte contamination, HEPES solutions for PDADMAC and PSS rinsing flushes 198 were stored in different vials. This procedure was repeated once more to obtain the 4-layer SMIL 199 substructure. The fifth layer was deposited by flushing (at 96.5 kPa, 7 min, 200 µL in 200 polypropylene vial) with either PDADMAC, PAH, PEI, εPLL, αPLL (either native or PEGylated), 201 followed by a rinsing step with HEPES buffer (3 min, 1 mL in another 1.5 mL glass vial) and 5 202

min wait. Finally, the coated capillary was flushed (at 96.5 kPa) with pure water (3 min) and
background electrolyte (BGE) (2 M acetic acid, pH 2.2, 10 min) followed by another 5 min wait
before analysis.

206

207 2.8. Separation of intact proteins by capillary zone electrophoresis

Capillary zone electrophoresis experiments were performed on a P/ACE MDQ Beckman Coulter 208 (Sciex, Villebon-sur-Yvette, France) piloted by 32 Karat software. Analyses were performed on 209 a 40 cm total length capillary (30 cm effective length), with inner (i.d.) and outer (o.d.) diameters 210 of 50 μ m (± 0.3 μ m) and 363 μ m (± 15 μ m), respectively. Electrophoretic separations were 211 performed in a 2 M acetic acid BGE, pH 2.2 (6.4 mM ionic strength). BGE was flushed (at 96.5 212 kPa) for 3 min between each run. Separations were performed at different voltages between ± 10 213 and ±20 kV, with a polarity depending on the capillary surface charge (as indicated on the Figure 214 caption). DMF (0.001% m/v in the BGE) was hydrodynamically injected as EOF marker at 2.7 215 kPa (0.4 psi) for 2 s, followed by a protein mixture (0.25 gL⁻¹ each in the BGE) at 2.7 kPa for 4 s. 216 RNAse A, Lyz, Myo and TI were kept separately in pure water (at 2 gL⁻¹) in the freezer before 217 dilution in the BGE for the preparation of the sample mixture. The temperature of the cartridge 218 was set at 25°C. Detection wavelength was 214 nm. 219

220

221 2.9. Single channel Quartz Crystal Microbalance with Dissipation (QCM-D)

QCM-D experiments were performed on a X1 Single Channel device (from AWSensors, 222 Valencia, Spain), using an "in-flow" QCM cell at 23°C, equipped with a 14 mm diameter quartz 223 sensor coated by SiO₂ and with a fundamental resonance frequency of 5 MHz. The coating 224 procedure was performed on bare sensors first activated by O_2 plasma (5 min, $P(O_2) = 0.5$ mbar, 225 80W). Normalized frequency shifts ($\Delta f_n/n$ in Hz) and corresponding normalized dissipation shifts 226 $(\Delta D_n/n)$ for the 3rd, 5th, 7th and 9th resonance harmonics (n) were first measured in air, then 227 monitored throughout the experiment. Before starting the SMIL buildup, pure water was flushed 228 at 0.05 mLmin⁻¹ until stabilization of QCM signals, followed by flushing with the construction 229 buffer (HEPES 20 mM, NaOH 10 mM, pH 7.4) for 20 to 30 min. The film was assembled by 230 sequential injection of PDADMAC and PSS solutions (at 3 gL⁻¹ in construction buffer) for 30 min 231 at 0.05 mLmin⁻¹, starting with PDADMAC as first layer. Rinsing with the construction buffer was 232 performed for 30 min between each polyelectrolyte adsorption step. Once a 4-layer SMIL 233 (PDADMAC/PSS)₂ was obtained, a differentiated capping layer based either on ϵ PLL or PAH (at 234 3 gL⁻¹ in construction buffer) with PEG grafting ratios of 0, 11 and 20 % was deposited for 30 235 min at 0.05 mLmin⁻¹. After rinsing with the construction buffer, the SMIL-coated QCM sensor 236

was stabilized in pure water with a 0.05 mLmin⁻¹ flow rate. Both the normalized resonance 237 frequency shift $(\Delta f_n/n \text{ in Hz}; \text{ related to the mass deposited on the sensor), and the normalized$ 238 dissipation shift $(\Delta D_n/n)$; related to the energy dissipated by the resonator in its direct surrounding), 239 were discussed. High $\Delta D_n/n$ values and $\Delta f_n/n$ spreading are characteristics of a viscoelastic 240 coating, such as hydrated SMIL film structures. Overtones frequency shifts overlap and low 241 dissipation shift values (i.e., when the final $\Delta D_n/n$ divided by the final $\Delta f_n/n$ is equal or lower to 4 242 \times 10⁻⁷) [38] are typical of rigid glassy film structures. In the case of thin rigid films, the mass 243 deposited on the crystal can be calculated from the Sauerbrey equation [39]: 244

245

$$\Delta m = -C \times \Delta f_n / n \tag{2}$$

where Δm (in ngcm⁻²) corresponds to the variation of areal mass, C (in ngcm⁻²Hz⁻¹) is the masssensitivity constant that depends on crystal property, n is the overtone number and Δf is the frequency shift (in Hz). A typical mass sensitivity constant C = -17.7 ngcm⁻²Hz⁻¹ value can be used for a 5 MHz quartz crystal resonator at room temperature.

The film buildup kinetics was evaluated by plotting the values of $\Delta f_3/3$ and $\Delta D_3/3$ for each layer after HEPES rinsing, as well as the corresponding calculated areal masses deposited on the crystal according to Saurebrey's model. Determination of the film thickness was performed using the QTM software, taking account of all frequency overtones and approximating the SMIL mass density as $\rho = 1$ gcm⁻³ [40].

255

256 2.10. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)

Activation and coating of QCM-D sensor were realized as described in Section 2.9. QCM-D sensors were prepared overnight before AFM analysis and kept in water to avoid SMIL retraction. Atomic force microscope measurements were performed using a Multimode 8 Instrument controlled by Nanoscope 5 electronic software. Peak Force Tapping mode cantilevers (Nanosensors) with scanasyst fluid spring (constant near 0.7 N/m) were used for the imaging at approximately 60 kHz in air and water. Images were analyzed using Gwydion software.

263

264

3. Results and discussion

In this work, a series of cationic polyelectrolytes, namely PAH, PEI, ϵ PLL or α PLL, were grafted with PEG (1kDa or 5 kDa) at different molar proportions. These polycations were selected because they contain primary amine groups that can be derivatized with lateral PEG chains. PAH, PEI, ϵ PLL were derivatized using the NHS / primary amine reactivity, while α PLL were derivatized using a click-chemistry approach. The PEG grafted polycations are named "Polycation-g-(mPEG_y)_x" where *y* corresponds to the mPEG length (1kDa or 5 kDa) and *x* is the calculated PEG/polycation molar ratio. Various PEGylation molar ratios were achieved up to 20%. All details about the synthesis, chemical structure and characterization, are given in the experimental sections 2.2 to 2.6 and in the SI (see Table SI 1 and subsequent sections in Supporting Information).

²⁷⁶ Non-PEGylated and PEGylated PAH, PEI, ϵ PLL or α PLL were used as a last layer deposited on ²⁷⁷ a 4-layer PDADMAC/PSS SMIL sub-structure for capillary coatings, as depicted in Figure 1. The ²⁷⁸ PDADMAC / PSS system was retained as a suitable sub-structure since it was described as a ²⁷⁹ prime SMIL coating for CE applications [35]. To study the impact of the last polycationic layer ²⁸⁰ on separation performances in CE, a mixture of 4 model intact proteins (Myo, RNAse A, Lyz, TI ²⁸¹ at 0.25 gL⁻¹ each in 2 M acetic acid, pH 2.2) was selected.

282

3.1. Influence of the polycation nature on the last layer on the H=f(u) curve and resolution

Before studying the impact of the PEGylation of the polycationic last layer on the CE separation 284 performances, the 5-layer SMIL coating terminating with the non-PEGylated PDADMAC, PAH, 285 PEI, EPLL or aPLL were first compared. The electropherograms (five repetitions) obtained for 286 the separation of the protein mixture at different separation voltages (i.e., -20 kV, -17.5kV, -15kV, 287 -12.5kV and -10 kV, with typical electric currents of 18.1 µA, 15.7 µA, 13.4 µA, 11.0 µA and 8.8 288 µA, respectively) are shown in Supporting Information (see Figure SI 21 to Figure SI 25). An 289 example of electropherograms, repetitions obtained at -10 kV is displayed in Figure 2A. Excellent 290 repeatabilities were generally obtained as demonstrated by the very low $RSDt_m$ (typically lower 291 than 0.6%) on migration times at -10 kV and -20 kV (see Table 1 for numerical data). The 292 repeatability followed this ranking: PEI > PDADMAC ~ PAH > α PLL ~ ϵ PLL. Lower stability 293 was observed for PLL, and especially for EPLL at -10 kV. To get more information about the 294 performances of the capillary coatings, the H=f(u) plots were systematically investigated by 295 measuring the separation efficiency (plate height) at different separations voltages. Figure 2B 296 shows typical H=f(u) plots in the case of Myo for all the polycations tested as a last layer (see 297 Figure SI 26 for the experimental plots on TI, RNAse A and Lyz). Using equation (1), all the 298 H=f(u) plots were fitted taking k and A as variable parameters and by neglecting the third term in 299 the right-hand side of the equation which is related to the resistance in mass transfer in stationary 300 phase. Table 1 gathers the numerical adjusted data for k and A for the 4 proteins. From Figure 2A, 301 it clearly appears that PAH, and to a lower extend PDAMAC are the best coatings leading to the 302

lower H values (see Figure SI 26). This conclusion is also confirmed by the ranking based on the 303 k values (see Table 1): PDADMAC (6.5×10^{-2}) ~ PAH (6.9×10^{-2}) < PEI (7.3×10^{-2}) < α PLL (7.5304 \times 10⁻²). Regarding ε PLL, poor curve fitting was obtained together with much lower repeatabilities 305 on separation efficiency (see larger error bars in Figure 2B) which can be considered as a signature 306 of a lower stability of the coating. This finding can be explained by the low polymer molar mass 307 (ca. 4000 g mol⁻¹) of ε PLL compared to that of the other polymers (10 to 100 times longer chains) 308 that generated less robust SMIL coatings. The low k value obtained for ϵ PLL (3.8 × 10⁻²) should 309 be balanced by the much higher A value (6.9 μ m). The A value is supposed to incorporate all 310 constant extra-column effects but the contribution to the A value in regard to the coating properties 311 remains unclear. 312

313

318

SMIL coatings induced differences in the electroosmotic mobility and thus migration time. Therefore, the impact of the last polycationic layer was investigated on peak resolution (R_s) based on the Myo / RNAse A pair (see Figure 2C). R_s depends both on the migration times and the separation efficiency, as shown in equation 3:

$$R_s = \frac{1}{4} \times \frac{\Delta \mu_{ep}}{\langle \mu_{app} \rangle} \times \sqrt{N} \tag{3}$$

where $\langle \mu_{app} \rangle$ is the average apparent electrophoretic mobility of the two analytes (in TU), $\Delta \mu_{ep}$ 319 is the difference in effective electrophoretic mobility between the two analytes and N is the 320 average plate number. The highest R_s values were obtained with the PAH polycation followed by 321 PDADMAC or EPLL (see Figure 2C). Despite the lowest separation efficiencies obtained with 322 EPLL, the resolution loss due to higher peak broadening was balanced by the decrease of the 323 electroosmotic flow ($\mu_{eo}(\epsilon PLL) = -40.7 \text{ TU } vs \ \mu_{eo}(PDADMAC) = -45.5 \text{ TU}$) which increased the 324 apparent selectivity and migration times. A diminution of the resolution was observed for all the 325 coatings when the applied voltage increased (increasing u) since the experimental data are located 326 in the ascending part of the H=f(u) curve. As a conclusion of this first part, it seems that PAH is 327 the best polycation for the last layer, and therefore the best candidate for subsequent PEGylation. 328

329

330 3.2. Investigating SMIL growth by QCM-D

³³¹ The SMIL film growth was investigated by QCM-D. Figure 3 shows the dissipation and frequency ³³² shifts of the 3rd harmonic during the SMIL construction. Since films terminated by PEI and α PLL

presented similar behavior as PAH, but with lower analytical efficiency, only films terminated by 333 PAH were investigated by QCM-D. Frequency shifts were measured at each injection step of 334 oppositely charged polyelectrolyte (see Section 3 in Supporting Information), signaling the 335 adsorption of the next polyelectrolyte layer by charge overcompensation promoting [30]. The first 336 increment corresponds to PDADMAC (at 3 gL⁻¹ in 20 mM HEPES) adsorption onto the bare 337 sensor, followed by rinsing with 20 mM HEPES. Frequency and dissipation shift values of the 338 first step were larger than those of following steps since the adsorption onto the bare QCM sensor 339 is different than onto polyelectrolytes. Average PDADMAC and PSS shift in frequency were 9 340 Hz and 2 Hz per step, respectively, showing growth of very thin film. As observed in Figure SI 341 32, low final frequency (-27 Hz) and dissipation shift (3.4×10^{-7}) for the (PDADMAC/PSS)₂ 342 SMIL indicated thin films, while overlapping overtones indicated rigid properties. Due to their 343 high linear charge density, PDADMAC and PSS multilayered films are known to form thin and 344 rigid films in low ionic strength conditions (typically 15 mM NaCl [41]). As the Sauerbrey 345 equation is applicable for thin rigid films (see Section 2.9, eq. 2), an approximated deposited areal 346 mass was calculated for the (PDADMAC/PSS)₂ SMIL coating: a frequency shift of \approx -26.98 Hz 347 was found, leading to 478 ngcm⁻² deposited areal mass (corresponding to a film thickness of 4.5 348 nm), as presented in Table 2. 349

Next, the influence of the last deposited cationic layer on the film growth was investigated. EPLL 350 deposition showed the smallest final frequency shift and final dissipation, corresponding to 98 351 ngcm⁻² deposited areal mass. In the case of PDADMAC, the deposited areal mass was higher (121 352 ngcm⁻²). In contrast, the deposition of PAH as a last layer led to harmonic differentiation and a 353 concomitant increase in dissipation values (Figure SI 33), indicating a change in the viscoelastic 354 properties of the film, attributed to a more hydrated structure. Yet, the ratio of final $\Delta f_3/3$ divided 355 by final $\Delta D_3/3$ was around 1.5×10^{-7} , indicating that the Sauerbrey equation was still valid for 356 this film, with a calculated deposited areal mass of 301 ngcm⁻². For all systems, and with the film 357 volumic mass (ρ) approximated to 1 gcm⁻³, the thickness was determined using QTM software as 358 about 7 nm (see exact values in Table 2). 359

AFM analyses were performed on bare and (PDADMAC/PSS)_{2.5} SMIL coated QCM-D sensor in air and water medium to characterize SMIL covering. As shown in Figure SI 37, no significant impact was observed in the case of bare sensor when analysis was performed either in air (roughness of 0.9 nm) or in water (roughness of 1.1 nm). Comparing with the SMIL coated sensor in water (Figure SI 38), an increase of 2.3 nm in rugosity was observed, related to the presence of the SMIL at the surface. Finally, AFM experiment was performed on the same QCM-D sensor, after complete evaporation of water (Figure SI 39). Bare silica (dark area) was observed on the left of the picture, while the dried SMIL coating remained on the right. The in-between light zone corresponds to SMIL retractation. To measure SMIL height, topographic measurement was performed on the bare silica zone and on the SMIL coating zone, leading to average 8.7 ± 3.8 nm and 7.2 ± 5.0 nm differences (see Table SI2), in agreement with the value obtained by QCM-D experiment (6.8 nm).

To conclude, with average film thickness of around 7 nm and final dissipation below 7×10^{-6} , all 372 films showed rigid properties. PDADMAC and EPLL yielded thinner film coating, with lower 373 deposited areal mass and dissipation shift than PAH. The glassy nature of these films contributes 374 to refraining any diffusion of proteins within the multilayer, while the SMIL's polycationic last 375 layer grants antifouling properties, as experimented in CE (Figure 2). However, the low molar 376 mass of EPLL led to less robust films and thus to lower repeatability in CE measurements [36, 377 42]. When PAH was used as the last layer, higher dissipation shift and higher deposited masses 378 were observed, but similar or slightly higher CE performances were obtained compared to 379 PDADMAC. 380

³⁸¹ Due to thin film growth, rigid behavior, excellent analytical properties and ease of ³⁸² functionalization, PAH was selected as the capping layer reference. In the following, PEGylation ³⁸³ of PAH, which is known to improve antifouling properties [43-52], was investigated, and the ³⁸⁴ impact of PEGylation on electroosmotic mobility and CE separations was discussed.

385

386 3.3. Influence of the PEGylation density and length on electroosmotic flow mobility (μ_{eo})

PAH was grafted with PEG chains of two different lengths (1 kDa and 5 kDa) at various ratios
(see Section 2.6 for polymer synthesis). PEGylated PAH were prepared from 1 kDa PEG chains
(targeting 10% and 20% grafting ratios) and from 5 kDa PEG chains (targeting 1%, 2%, 3.5%,
5%, 7.5%, 10%, 20% and 30% grafting ratios). Effective % of PEGylation was measured by ¹H
NMR or SEC/MALLS, as described in Sections 2.2 and 2.4, and results were gathered in Table
SI 1.

As shown in Figure 4, no influence of the PEGylation of the PAH last layer on the EOF was observed for 1 kDa PEG lateral chains: μ_{eo} was found stable at \approx -47 TU, even at 23.5% grafting proportion. In contrast, in the case of PAH grafted with 5 kDa mPEG, PEGylation strongly impacted the EOF, even at the lowest PEG ratio. This is in good agreement with the hydrodynamic diameter of a 5kDa PEG (\approx 6 nm [53]) which is higher than the Debye length (4 nm at I = 6.4 mM ionic strength, 2 M acetic acid BGE), while the typical size of 1kDa PEG chain (around 1 nm
[53]) is significantly smaller than the Debye length. The impact of PEGylation on the EOF is
based on the hydrodynamic screening of the charges contained in the double layer by the PEG
chains. This hydrodynamic screening is only effective when the PEG dimension is larger than the
Debye length in the experimental conditions.

Since the electrophoretic mobility (μ_{ep}) of the four proteins tested were 23.7 TU for TI, 27.2 TU for Myo, 28.2 TU for RNAse and 31.6 TU for Lyz, the electrical polarity must be reversed from 5kDa mPEG PAH with grafting ratio equal or higher than 5%. This leads to a complete inversion of the protein migration order. A plateau was reached when PEGylation density was higher than 11%, where essentially a neutral coating behavior was observed ($\mu_{eo} \approx -2$ TU). PEGylation was also performed on PEI and similar impact on EOF was observed (see Figure 4), but with a faster EOF decrease with the grafting density of the 5 kDa mPEG.

410

411 3.4. Impact of the PEGylation of PAH on k and R_s

Figure 5A shows the impact of PEGylation for the PAH grafted with 1kDa PEG chains in the last layer. A slight reduction of μ_{eo} was observed (\approx -41.5 TU). However, intra-capillary repeatability was lower (see RSD_{tm} values in Table 3). In contrast, little impurity peaks around the Lyz peak were detected in the case of PAH-g-(mPEG_{1kDa})_{0.235} in the last layer unlike PAH, due to better selectivity due to the slight EOF reduction.

Figure 5B shows the impact of PEGylation for the PAH grafted with 5kDa PEG chains in the last layer. Interestingly, SMIL with PAH-g-(mPEG_{5kDa})_{0.021} gave similar μ_{eo} than PAH-g-(mPEG_{1kDa})_{0.138 and 0.235}, but with better repeatability. SMIL with PAH-g-(mPEG_{5kDa})_{0.11} showed a quasi-neutral behavior coated capillary with μ_{eo} at \approx -5.5 TU. The order of detection of the proteins was thus reversed using positive polarity, and the analysis time was drastically reduced (from 12

- min for the non-PEGylated PAH to only 7 min for the PAH-g-(mPEG_{5kDa})_{0.11}) in the last layer.
- Regarding the impact of PEGylation on *H*, 1kDa PEGylated PAH led to lower average *k* values but slightly higher *A* values compared to the unmodified PAH, as shown for instance in Figure 6A for Myo, Figure 6B for Lyz and Table 3. Average *k* value (tested on the four proteins) was 7 $\times 10^{-2}$, 4.4 $\times 10^{-2}$ and 6.05 $\times 10^{-2}$ for PAH, (PAH-g-(mPEG_{1kDa})_{0.138})₁ and (PAH-g-(mPEG_{1kDa})_{0.235})₁, respectively. Average *A* values changed from 1.9 µm for PAH to 4.4 µm for 13.8% mPEG grafted PAH and 3.3 µm for 23.5% mPEG grafted PAH. On the whole, the separation efficiencies were very similar to those obtained with unmodified PAH, but the

resolution was significantly higher with 1kDa PEGylation (see Figure 6C), especially at high voltage due to flatter H=f(u) curve and slightly lower EOF.

Increasing mPEG length from 1kDa to 5kDa was first detrimental on the average *k* value at intermediate grafting ratio ($k = 9.4 \times 10^{-2}$ for 2.1% PEG) but reached similar value ($k = 4.8 \times 10^{-2}$ ²) for higher ratios (11% PEG). Higher average *A* values were obtained, i.e. 4.65 µm at 2.1% and 5.3 µm at 11%. This non-linear effect of PEGylation on both the slope (*k*) and the constant value *A* of the *H*=f(u) curve may be not only related to residual protein adsorption. The coating homogeneity may also affect the characteristics of *H*=f(*u*) curve.

On the whole, the PEGylation of the PAH last layer was found to lead to much flatter H=f(u)curve, except at intermediate 5 kDa grafting ratio (2.1%). Therefore, the resolution was much less affected by increasing voltages on PEGylated SMIL compared to the non-PEGylated PAH last layer (see the variation of the resolution between Myo and RNAse A with the voltage on Figure 6 C).

Figure 7 compares the (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(PAH)₁ SMIL building to two other films obtained 443 with PEGylated PAH as the last layer. The PAH backbone interacts electrostatically with the last 444 PSS layer, while neutral mPEG pendant chains in PEGylated PAH could extend at the SMIL/BGE 445 interface. As the last layer, PAH (unmodified or PEGylated) led to films with slightly less rigid 446 behavior and frequency overtone spreading (Figures 3 and SI 33) compared to PDADMAC last 447 layered SMIL. When adsorbing PEGylated PAH chains on SMIL, two adverse effects can be 448 inferred: on the one hand the molar mass of each polycationic chain is significantly increased by 449 grafted neutral pendant chains, leading to thicker films and larger mass deposition. On the other 450 hand, the charge density of grafted PAH is decreased while steric hindrance increases, which can 451 limit polymer chain adsorption and lead to thinner films. When comparing SMILs capped by 452 either unmodified PAH or 5kDa PEGylated PAH (11% grafting ratio) as the last layer, the latter 453 exhibited a larger frequency shift concomitant with a larger overtone spread (Figure SI35); 454 however similar dissipation values were observed in both cases. In contrast, the frequency shift, 455 overtone spreading (Figure SI 36) and dissipation values, were similar or inferior to that of PAH 456 when 1 kDa PEGylated PAH (20% grafting rate) were adsorbed as a capping layer. These results 457 indicate that thicker films are promoted by PAH bearing longer pendant chains with a moderate 458 grafting degree while thinner films are formed with shorter pendant chains and higher grafting 459 degree. Since the final dissipation and frequency shifts obtained for all films remained in the rigid 460 domain, the Sauerbrey equation was applied to estimate deposited areal masses and the 461 corresponding thicknesses were calculated (Table 4). While films capped with PAH and 1 kDa 462

PEGylated PAH chains (23% grafting rate) reached similar thicknesses (≈ 7 nm), demonstrating 463 that less chain adsorption had occurred with the latter, the SMIL capped with 5 kDa PEGylated 464 PAH (11% grafting rate) exhibited a deposited areal mass 50 % higher, leading to a \approx 11 nm thick 465 film. In that case, the thickness of the last deposited layer accounts thus for around 7 nm, a result 466 that confirms that the mPEG impact on EOF was observed for PEG chains longer than the Debye 467 length (Figure 4). Such a significant increase in the film thickness when 5 kDa PEGylated PAH 468 (11% grafting rate) was deposited, suggests that mPEG chains adopt a non-collapsed 469 conformation on top of the coating. Combination of the rigid film structure of (PDADMAC/PSS)₂, 470 known for its high stability, robustness and analytical separation efficiency [3, 4, 36], with a 471 slightly hydrated polycation in the last layer where the water thin layer reduced adhesion or 472 adsorption phenomena [54-56], is thus key to coatings with antifouling properties. 473

474

475 **4. Conclusion**

The first step of this work was to investigate the impact of the last polycationic layer on separation 476 performances in CE using SMIL coated capillaries. For that purpose, five different 477 polyelectrolytes were tested on a (PDADMAC/PSS)₂ SMIL coating, namely PDADMAC, PAH, 478 PEI, εPLL and αPLL. With similar properties as PDADMAC, PAH was found the best candidate 479 to investigate the impact of PEGylation in the last layer. 5 kDa PEGylation generated reduced 480 EOF, even at low PEG ratios (typically between 1 and 5 % grafting), while the impact of 1 kDa 481 PEGylation on EOF was much lower even at high grating rates (i.e. 10-25%), with a decrease of 482 the electroosmotic mobility by only about 5%. This finding can be explained by the hydrodynamic 483 screening of the PEG chains in the electrical double layer by sufficiently long PEG chains. An 484 electroosmotic mobility plateau was reached for 5 kDa PEG chains, when the PEGylation rate 485 was above 11%. The SMIL coating then adopts a neutral behavior with very low electroosmotic 486 mobility (~ 2 TU) and a reverse migration order of detection of the proteins. 487

Regarding the analytical behavior of PEGylated last layered SMIL, flatter H=f(u) curves were 488 generally obtained leading to lower k values in agreement with antifouling properties. However, 489 a slight increase of the A values was observed, for unknown reason. On the whole, a lower impact 490 of increasing applied separation voltage (electric field strength) on the separation performances 491 could be obtained using the PEGylated SMIL. The use of (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(PAH-g-492 (mPEG_{5kDa})_{0.11})₁ SMIL coating is a very convenient way to build a performant and almost neutral 493 coating, which is not possible to obtain with classical SMIL coatings terminating with positive or 494 negative surface charges. In conclusion, SMILs with PEGylated polycations as the last layer can 495

⁴⁹⁶ be used to improve the CE resolution via EOF modulation without compromising the separation
⁴⁹⁷ efficiency; or as an alternative to neutral coatings for 5kDa PEGylated last layer. As a perspective,
⁴⁹⁸ it would be interesting to study and to better understand the contribution coming from capillary

- 499 coatings in the constant term of the H=f(u) curve.
- 500

501 Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the CNRS, the french Ministry of Research (MESR) and the ANR (grant ANR-20-CE92 SMIL E, for L.D.). The authors thank the Technological Center in Micro and nanoelectronics (CTM) in Montpellier for AFM experiments.

- 505
- 506

507 **References**

- [1] J.W. Jorgenson, K. DeArman. Lukacs, Zone electrophoresis in open-tubular glass capillaries, Anal.
 Chem. 53 (1981) 1298–1302. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00231a037</u>
- [2] M. Pattky, C. Huhn, Advantages and limitations of a new cationic coating inducing a slow
 electroosmotic flow for CE-MS peptide analysis: a comparative study with commercial coatings,
 Anal Bioanal Chem. 405 (2013) 225–237. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-012-6459-8</u>
- [3] L. Leclercq, M. Morvan, J. Koch, C. Neusüß, H. Cottet, Modulation of the electroosmotic mobility
 using polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings for protein analysis by capillary electrophoresis, Anal.
 Chim. Acta. 1057 (2019) 152–161. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2019.01.008</u>
- [4] S. Roca, L. Dhellemmes, L. Leclercq, H. Cottet, Polyelectrolyte multilayers in capillary
 electrophoresis, ChemPlusChem. 87 (2022). <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/cplu.202200028</u>
- [5] X. Xuan, D. Li, Band-broadening in capillary zone electrophoresis with axial temperature gradients,
 Electrophoresis 26 (2005) 166–175. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.200406141</u>
- [6] J.L. Beckers, UV detection in capillary zone electrophoresis peaks or dips that is the question, J.
 Chromatogr. A 679 (1994) 153–165. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9673(94)80322-6</u>
- [7] Z. Malá, P. Gebauer, P. Boček, New methodology for capillary electrophoresis with ESI-MS
 detection: Electrophoretic focusing on inverse electromigration dispersion gradient. High-sensitivity
 analysis of sulfonamides in waters, Anal. Chim. Acta. 935 (2016) 249–257.
- 525 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2016.06.016</u>

- [8] V. Kašička, Z. Prusík, B. Gaš, M. Štědrý, Contribution of capillary coiling to zone dispersion in
 capillary zone electrophoresis, Electrophoresis 16 (1995) 2034–2038.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.11501601332
- [9] M.G. Khaledi, High-performance capillary electrophoresis theory, techniques, and applications, John
 Wiley & Sons, Inc., (1998), vol. 146, p. 303-401
- [10] S. Ghosal, Fluid mechanics of electroosmotic flow and its effect on band broadening in capillary
 electrophoresis, Electrophoresis 25 (2004) 214–228. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.200305745</u>
- [11] S. Ghosal, Electrokinetic flow and dispersion in capillary electrophoresis, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.
 38 (2006) 309–338. <u>https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fluid.38.050304.092053</u>
- [12] B. Gaš, M. Štědrý, E. Kenndler, Peak broadening in capillary zone electrophoresis, Electrophoresis
 18 (1997) 2123–2133. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.1150181203</u>
- [13] M.R. Schure, A.M. Lenhoff, Consequences of wall adsorption in capillary electrophoresis: theory
 and simulation, Anal. Chem. 65 (1993) 3024–3037. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00069a015</u>
- [14] L. Hajba, A. Guttman, Continuous-flow-based microfluidic systems for therapeutic monoclonal
 antibody production and organ-on-a-chip drug testing, J. Flow Chem. 7 (2017) 118–123.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1556/1846.2017.00014</u>
- [15] V. Dolník, Capillary electrophoresis of proteins 2005–2007, Electrophoresis 29 (2008) 143–156.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.200700584</u>
- [16] P.G. Righetti, C. Gelfi, B. Verzola, L. Castelletti, The state of the art of dynamic coatings,
 Electrophoresis 22 (2001) 603–611. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/1522-2683(200102)22:4<603::AID-</u>
 <u>ELPS603>3.0.CO;2-N</u>
- [17] C.A. Lucy, A.M. MacDonald, M.D. Gulcev, Non-covalent capillary coatings for protein separations
 in capillary electrophoresis, J. Chromatogr. A 1184 (2008) 81–105.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2007.10.114
- [18] K.S. McMillan, A.G. McCluskey, A. Sorensen, M. Boyd, M. Zagnoni, Emulsion technologies for
 multicellular tumour spheroid radiation assays, Analyst 141 (2016) 100–110.
 https://doi.org/10.1039/C5AN01382H
- [19] S. Štěpánová, V. Kašička, Recent applications of capillary electromigration methods to separation
 and analysis of proteins, Anal. Chim. Acta 933 (2016) 23–42.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2016.06.006</u>
- [20] S. Štěpánová, V. Kašička, Applications of capillary electromigration methods for separation and
 analysis of proteins (2017–mid 2021) A review, Anal. Chim. Acta. 1209 (2022) 339447.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2022.339447</u>

- [21] C. Renard, L. Leclercq, A. Stocco, H. Cottet, Superhydrophobic capillary coatings: Elaboration,
 characterization and application to electrophoretic separations, J. Chromatogr. A 1603 (2019) 361–
 370. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2019.06.035</u>
- [22] S. Bekri, L. Leclercq, H. Cottet, Polyelectrolyte multilayer coatings for the separation of proteins by
 capillary electrophoresis: Influence of polyelectrolyte nature and multilayer crosslinking, J.
 Chromatogr. A 1399 (2015) 80–87. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2015.04.033</u>
- [23] A. Pallotta, I. Clarot, J. Beurton, B. Creusot, T. Chaigneau, A. Tu, P. Lavalle, A. Boudier,
 Analytical strategy for studying the formation and stability of multilayered films containing gold
 nanoparticles, Anal Bioanal Chem. 413 (2021) 1473–1483. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-020-</u>
 03113-6
- [24] L. Villemet, A. Cuchet, C. Desvignes, C.E. Sänger–van de Griend, Protein mapping of peanut
 extract with capillary electrophoresis, Electrophoresis. 43 (2022) 1027–1034.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.202100004</u>
- [25] M. Horká, J. Šalplachta, P. Karásek, M. Roth, Sensitive identification of milk protein allergens
 using on-line combination of transient isotachophoresis/micellar electrokinetic chromatography and
 capillary isoelectric focusing in fused silica capillary with roughened part, Food Chem. 377 (2022)
 131986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.131986
- [26] K. Michalikova, E. Dominguez-Vega, G.W. Somsen, R. Haselberg, Middle-up characterization of
 the monoclonal antibody infliximab by capillary zone electrophoresis-mass spectrometry, LC GC
 Eur., 32 (3) (2019), pp. 130-137.
- [27] V. Šolínová, P. Tůma, M. Butnariu, V. Kašička, D. Koval, Covalent anionic copolymer coatings
 with tunable electroosmotic flow for optimization of capillary electrophoretic separations,
 Electrophoresis. 43 (2022) 1953–1962. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.202200130</u>
- [28] R. Konášová, M. Butnariu, V. Šolínová, V. Kašička, D. Koval, Covalent cationic copolymer
 coatings allowing tunable electroosmotic flow for optimization of capillary electrophoretic
 separations, Anal. Chim. Acta. 1178 (2021) 338789. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2021.338789</u>
- [29] R.K. Iler, Multilayers of colloidal particles, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 21 (1966) 569–594.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0095-8522(66)90018-3</u>
- [30] G. Decher, J.D. Hong, Buildup of ultrathin multilayer films by a self-assembly process: II.
 Consecutive adsorption of anionic and cationic bipolar amphiphiles and polyelectrolytes on charged
 surfaces, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 95 (1991) 1430–1434.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/bbpc.19910951122
- [31] G. Decher, Fuzzy Nanoassemblies: Toward layered polymeric multicomposites, Science. 277
 (1997) 1232–1237. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5330.1232</u>

- [32] H. Katayama, Y. Ishihama, N. Asakawa, Stable cationic capillary coating with successive multiple
 ionic polymer layers for capillary electrophoresis, Anal. Chem. 70 (1998) 5272–5277.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac9805221</u>
- [33] R. Nehmé, C. Perrin, V. Guerlavais, J.-A. Fehrentz, H. Cottet, J. Martinez, H. Fabre, Use of coated
 capillaries for the electrophoretic separation of stereoisomers of a growth hormone secretagogue,
 Electrophoresis 30 (2009) 3772–3779. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.200900093</u>
- [34] R. Nehmé, C. Perrin, H. Cottet, M.-D. Blanchin, H. Fabre, Stability of capillaries coated with highly
 charged polyelectrolyte monolayers and multilayers under various analytical conditions—
 Application to protein analysis, J. Chromatogr. A 1218 (2011) 3537–3544.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2011.03.040
- [35] T.W. Graul, J.B. Schlenoff, Capillaries modified by polyelectrolyte multilayers for electrophoretic
 separations, Anal. Chem. 71 (1999) 4007–4013. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac9902771</u>

[36] L. Leclercq, C. Renard, M. Martin, H. Cottet, Quantification of adsorption and optimization of
 separation of proteins in capillary electrophoresis, Anal. Chem. 92 (2020) 10743–10750.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.0c02012</u>

- [37] M. J. E. Golay, Theory of chromatography in open and coated tubular columns with round and
 rectangular cross-sections, Gas Chromatography 1958, Academic Press, New York, 1958, 36-55
- [38] I. Reviakine, D. Johannsmann, R.P. Richter, Hearing what you cannot see and visualizing what you
 hear: interpreting quartz crystal microbalance data from solvated interfaces, Anal. Chem. 83 (2011)
 8838–8848. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ac201778h</u>
- [39] S. Bruckenstein, M. Shay, Experimental aspects of use of the quartz crystal microbalance in
 solution, Electrochim. Acta 30 (1985) 1295–1300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0013-4686(85)85005-2</u>
- [40] A.E. El Haitami, D. Martel, V. Ball, H.C. Nguyen, E. Gonthier, P. Labbé, J.-C. Voegel, P. Schaaf,
 B. Senger, F. Boulmedais, Effect of the supporting electrolyte anion on the thickness of PSS/PAH
 multilayer films and on their permeability to an electroactive probe, Langmuir 25 (2009) 2282–
 2289. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/la803534y</u>

[41] M. Elżbieciak-Wodka, M. Kolasińska-Sojka, P. Nowak, P. Warszyński, Comparison of permeability
 of poly(allylamine hydrochloride)/and poly(diallyldimethylammonium chloride)/poly(4 styrenesulfonate) multilayer films: Linear vs. exponential growth, J. Electroanal. Chem. 738 (2015)
 195–202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jelechem.2014.11.035

[42] L. Pei, C.A. Lucy, Insight into the stability of poly(diallydimethylammoniumchloride) and
 polybrene poly cationic coatings in capillary electrophoresis, J. Chromatogr. A 1365 (2014) 226–
 233. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2014.09.013</u>

[43] M. Hedayati, D.F. Marruecos, D. Krapf, J.L. Kaar, M.J. Kipper, Protein adsorption measurements 626 on low fouling and ultralow fouling surfaces: A critical comparison of surface characterization 627 techniques, Acta Biomater. 102 (2020) 169-180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2019.11.019 628 [44] W.-L. Chen, R. Cordero, H. Tran, C.K. Ober, 50th Anniversary Perspective : Polymer brushes: 629 novel surfaces for future materials, Macromolecules 50 (2017) 4089-4113. 630 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.7b00450 631 [45] C.-M. Xing, F.-N. Meng, M. Quan, K. Ding, Y. Dang, Y.-K. Gong, Quantitative fabrication, 632 performance optimization and comparison of PEG and zwitterionic polymer antifouling coatings, 633 Acta Biomater 59 (2017) 129–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2017.06.034 634 [46] C. Zhang, J. Yuan, J. Lu, Y. Hou, W. Xiong, H. Lu, From neutral to zwitterionic poly(α-amino acid) 635 nonfouling surfaces: Effects of helical conformation and anchoring orientation, Biomaterials 178 636 (2018) 728–737. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2018.01.052 637 [47] B.L. Leigh, E. Cheng, L. Xu, A. Derk, M.R. Hansen, C.A. Guymon, Antifouling photograftable 638 zwitterionic coatings on PDMS substrates, Langmuir 35 (2019) 1100-1110. 639 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.8b00838 640 [48] X. Lin, P. Jain, K. Wu, D. Hong, H.-C. Hung, M.B. O'Kelly, B. Li, P. Zhang, Z. Yuan, S. Jiang, 641 Ultralow fouling and functionalizable surface chemistry based on zwitterionic carboxybetaine 642 random copolymers, Langmuir 35 (2019) 1544-1551. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.8b02540 643 [49] R.J. Smith, M.G. Moule, P. Sule, T. Smith, J.D. Cirillo, J.C. Grunlan, Polyelectrolyte multilayer 644 nanocoating dramatically reduces bacterial adhesion to polyester fabric, ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 3 645 (2017) 1845–1852. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.7b00250 646 [50] F. Boulmedais, B. Frisch, O. Etienne, P. Lavalle, C. Picart, J. Ogier, J.-C. Voegel, P. Schaaf, C. 647 Egles, Polyelectrolyte multilayer films with pegylated polypeptides as a new type of anti-microbial 648 protection for biomaterials, Biomaterials. 25 (2004) 2003–2011. 649 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2003.08.039 650 [51] Z. Zhang, M. Moxey, A. Alswieleh, A.J. Morse, A.L. Lewis, M. Geoghegan, G.J. Leggett, Effect of 651 salt on phosphorylcholine-based zwitterionic polymer brushes, Langmuir. 32 (2016) 5048-5057. 652 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.6b00763 653 [52] C. Wang, G.K. Such, A. Widjaya, H. Lomas, G. Stevens, F. Caruso, S.E. Kentish, Click 654 poly(ethylene glycol) multilayers on RO membranes: Fouling reduction and membrane 655 characterization, Journal of Membrane Science. 409-410 (2012) 9-15. 656 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2012.02.049 657 [53] S. Liu, D. Guo, G. Xie, Nanoscale lubricating film formation by linear polymer in aqueous solution, 658 J. Appl. Phys. 112 (2012) 104309. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4765674 659

660	[54] J. Peng, Y. Su, Q. Shi, W. Chen, Z. Jiang, Protein fouling resistant membrane prepared by
661	amphiphilic pegylated polyethersulfone, Bioresour. Technol. 102 (2011) 2289–2295.
662	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.10.045
663	[55] Y.P. Tang, J.X. Chan, T.S. Chung, M. Weber, C. Staudt, C. Maletzko, Simultaneously covalent and
664	ionic bridging towards antifouling of GO-imbedded nanocomposite hollow fiber membranes, J.
665	Mater. Chem. A. 3 (2015) 10573-10584. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5TA01715G
666	[56] YJ. Shih, Y. Chang, Tunable blood compatibility of polysulfobetaine from controllable molecular-
667	weight dependence of zwitterionic nonfouling nature in aqueous solution, Langmuir (2010) 9.
668	https://doi.org/10.1021/la103186y
669	
670	
671	
672	Captions to Figures and Tables:
673	
674	Figure 1. Schematic representation of SMIL coatings used in this work based on (PDADMAC/PSS)2
675	substructure. The last polycationic layer can be composed of unmodified PDADMAC, PAH, PEI, EPLL
676	and α PLL, or their respective PEGylated chains with different chain lengths.
677	Figure 2. Impact of the nature of the last layer on protein separation at -10kV (A), on the <i>H</i> =f(<i>u</i>)
678	curve in the case of Myo (B) and on the resolution of the Myo / RNAse A pair (C). Experimental
679	conditions: (PDADMAC/PSS) ₂ -(Polycation) ₁ SMIL coated capillary, 40 cm total length (30 cm to
680	detector) \times 50 µm i.d. BGE: 2 M acetic acid, pH 2.2. Hydrodynamic injection of proteins at 0.25 gL ⁻¹ each
681	in BGE: 2.7 kPa, 4s (0.61% V _{tot}). Co-injection of 0.001% DMF in BGE (w/w): 2.7 kPa, 0.4s. Protein
682	mixture: (1) TI, (2) Myo, (3) RNAse A and (4) Lyz. Applied voltages: -10kV, -12.5kV, -15kV, -17.5kV

and -20kV. Temperature: 25°C. See Section 2.7 for the coating procedure.

Figure 3. Evolution of frequency (continuous lines) and dissipation (dashed lines) shifts for the 3rd
 harmonic during SMIL construction procedure in QCMD. Experimental conditions:
 (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(Polycation)₁ SMIL deposited on a silica-coated QCM sensor. Hydrodynamic flow:
 0.05 mLmin⁻¹. Temperature: 23°C. Average (PDADMAC/PSS)₂ layers construction in grey. See Section
 2.9 for the coating procedure.

Figure 4. Impact of the PAH and PEI PEGylated polycation last layer on the electroosmotic flow. Experimental conditions: $(PDADMAC/PSS)_2$ - $(Polycation)_1$ SMIL capillary coated, 40 cm total length capillary (30 cm to detector) × 50 µm i.d. BGE: 2 M acetic acid (pH 2.2). Hydrodynamic injection: 2.7 kPa, 4s. Co-injection of 0.002% DMF water (w/w). Protein mixture: (1) TI, (2) Myo, (3) RNAse A and (4) Lyz, at 0.25 gL⁻¹ each in BGE. Temperature: 25°C. See Section 2.7 for the coating procedure.

Figure 5. Impact of PEGylation of the last PAH layer with 1kDa PEG (A) and 5kDa PEG (B). Same
 experimental conditions as in Figure 2. Reversed polarity was used for (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(PAH-g (mPEG_{5kDa})_{0.11})₁.

Figure 6. Impact of the PEGylation for the last PAH layer onMyo separation efficiency (A), Lyz
 separation efficiency (B) and peak resolution for the Myo / RNAse A pair (C). Same experimental
 conditions as in Figure 2. Reversed polarity was used for (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(PAH-g-(mPEG_{5kDa})_{0.11})₁.
 See Figure SI 31 for impact on TI and RNAse A.

Figure 7. Evolution of shift frequency (continuous lines) and shift dissipation (dashed lines) for the
 3rd harmonic during SMIL construction procedure in QCMD. Experimental conditions: see Figure 3.
 See detailed construction in supporting information Figure SI 32 to SI 34.

704

Table 1. Impact of the chemical nature of the last polycationic layer on protein retention factor (k)
and on RSD on migration times at -10kV and -20kV. Experimental conditions: see Figure 2.

Table 2. Impact of last polycationic layer on SMIL construction and characteristics. Experimental
 conditions: see Figure 3.

Table 3. Impact of PEGylated polycation in the last layer on protein retention factor (*k*) and RSD*t*_m

at -10kV and -20kV. Corresponding electropherograms and H=f(u) graphs are available in Supporting

Information, from Figures SI 27 to Figure SI 30.

Table 4. Impact of the last polycationic layer on SMIL construction. Experimental conditions: see
 Figure 3.

714

Figures and Tables

Figure 2. Schematic representation of SMIL coatings used in this work based on (PDADMAC/PSS)₂ substructure. The last polycationic layer can be composed of unmodified PDADMAC, PAH, PEI, εPLL and αPLL, or their respective PEGylated chains with different chain lengths.



Figure 2. Impact of the nature of the last layer on protein separation at -10kV (A), on the H=f(u) curve in the case of Myo (B) and on the resolution of the Myo / RNAse A pair (C). Experimental conditions: (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(Polycation)₁ SMIL coated capillary, 40 cm total length (30 cm to detector) × 50 µm i.d. BGE: 2 M acetic acid, pH 2.2. Hydrodynamic injection of proteins at 0.25 gL⁻¹ each in BGE: 2.7 kPa, 4s (0.61% V_{tot}). Co-injection of 0.001% DMF in BGE (w/w): 2.7 kPa, 0.4s. Protein mixture: (1) TI, (2) Myo, (3) RNAse A and (4) Lyz. Applied voltages: -10kV, -12.5kV, -17.5kV and -20kV. Temperature: 25°C. See Section 2.7 for the coating procedure.



Figure 3. Evolution of frequency (continuous lines) and dissipation (dashed lines) shifts for the 3rd harmonic during SMIL construction procedure in QCMD. Experimental conditions: (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(Polycation)₁ SMIL deposited on a silica-coated QCM sensor. Hydrodynamic flow: 0.05 mLmin⁻¹. Temperature: 23°C. Average (PDADMAC/PSS)₂ layers construction in grey. See Section 2.9 for the coating procedure.



Figure 4. Impact of the PAH and PEI PEGylated polycation last layer on the electroosmotic flow. Experimental conditions: (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(Polycation)₁ SMIL capillary coated, 40 cm total length capillary (30 cm to detector) \times 50 µm i.d. BGE: 2 M acetic acid (pH 2.2). Hydrodynamic injection: 2.7 kPa, 4s. Co-injection of 0.002% DMF water (w/w). Protein mixture: (1) TI, (2) Myo, (3) RNAse A and (4) Lyz, at 0.25 gL⁻¹ each in BGE. Temperature: 25°C. See Section 2.7 for the coating procedure.



Figure 5. Impact of PEGylation of the last PAH layer with 1kDa PEG (A) and 5kDa PEG (B). Same experimental conditions as in Figure 2. Reversed polarity was used for (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(PAH-g-(mPEG_{5kDa})_{0.11})₁.



Figure 6. Impact of the PEGylation for the last PAH layer onMyo separation efficiency (A), Lyz separation efficiency (B) and peak resolution for the Myo / RNAse A pair (C). Same experimental conditions as in Figure 2. Reversed polarity was used for (PDADMAC/PSS)₂-(PAH-g-(mPEG_{5kDa})_{0.11})₁. See Figure SI 31 for impact on TI and RNAse A.



Figure 7. Evolution of shift frequency (continuous lines) and shift dissipation (dashed lines) for the 3rd harmonic during SMIL construction procedure in QCMD. Experimental conditions: see Figure 3. See detailed construction in supporting information Figure SI 32 to SI 34.



Table 1. Impact of the chemical nature of the last polycationic layer on protein retention factor (*k*) and on RSD on migration times at -10kV and -20kV. Experimental conditions: see Figure 2.

Last layer	Parameters			Proteins		
polymer (μ_{eo})		TI	Муо	RNAse A	Lyz	Average
PDADMAC	<i>k</i> × 10⁻²	6.8	5.1	6.8	7.3	6.5
(-45.5 TU)	<i>Α</i> [μm]	4.8	1.6	3.0	1.5	2.7
	RSD <i>tm</i> (-10kV)	0.41	0.49	0.48	0.61	0.50
	$RSDt_m$ (-20kV)	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.07
PAH	<i>k</i> × 10⁻²	7.3	5.7	6.7	8.0	6.9
(-45.2 TU)	<i>Α</i> [μm]	4.3	0.3	2.4	0.7	1.9
	RSD <i>t_m</i> (-10kV)	0.34	0.41	0.44	0.54	0.43
	RSDt _m (-20kV)	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.09
PEI	<i>k</i> × 10 ⁻²	8.3	6.2	7.2	7.6	7.3
(-44.4 TU)	<i>Α</i> [μm]	4.4	2.2	4.2	2.5	3.3
	RSD <i>t_m</i> (-10kV)	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03
	$RSDt_m$ (-20kV)	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.05
εPLL	<i>k</i> × 10 ⁻²	3.1	3.7	4.6	3.9	3.8
(-40.7 TU)	<i>Α</i> [μm]	10.0	5.6	7.0	5.1	6.9
	RSD <i>t_m</i> (-10kV)	1.73	1.98	2.16	2.75	2.15
	RSDt _m (-20kV)	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.17	0.15
αPLL	<i>k</i> × 10 ⁻²	8.7	6.3	7.3	7.9	7.5
(-45.3 TU)	<i>Α</i> [μm]	3.1	1.3	4.0	1.6	2.5
	RSD <i>tm</i> (-10kV)	0.98	1.12	1.13	1.37	1.15
	$RSDt_m$ (-20kV)	0.28	0.29	0.36	0.40	0.33

Table 2. Impact of last polycationic layer on SMIL construction and characteristics. Experimental conditions:see Figure 3.

		La	Last layer		
_	(PDADMAC/PSS) ₂	PDADMAC	PAH	εPLL	
Final <i>∆F₃/3</i> shift [Hz]	-27	-37.2	-44	-32.5	
Deposited areal mass [ng cm ⁻¹]	478	599	779	575	
SMIL thickness [nm]	4.5	6.8	7.6	6.6	

Table 3. Impact of PEGylated polycation in the last layer on protein retention factor (*k*) and RSD t_m at -10kV and -20kV. Corresponding electropherograms and H=f(u) graphs are available in Supporting Information, from Figures SI 27 to Figure SI 30.

	Lest lesses		Proteins				
	Last layer polymer (μ_{eo})	Parameters	TI	Муо	RNAse A	Lyz	Average
		$k \times 10^{-2}$	7.3	5.7	6.7	8.0	6.9
	PAH (-45.2 TU)	<i>Α</i> [μm]	4.3	0.3	2.4	0.7	1.9
		RSD _{tm} (-10kV)	0.34	0.41	0.44	0.54	0.43
		RSD _{tm} (-20kV)	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.09
		$k \times 10^{-2}$	5.8	3.0	3.7	5.1	4.4
	PAH 13.3%	<i>Α</i> [μm]	6.5	3.6	4.7	2.9	4.4
	(-41.7 TU)	RSD _{tm} (-10kV)	1.34	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.49
11/00		RSD _{tm} (-20kV)	0.53	0.59	0.64	0.83	0.65
ткра	PAH 23.5% (-41.3 TU)	$k \times 10^{-2}$	8.3	4.1	5.5	6.3	6.1
		<i>Α</i> [μm]	4.5	2.6	3.6	2.3	3.3
		RSD _{tm} (-10kV)	1.36	1.54	1.62	2.03	1.64
		RSD _{tm} (-20kV)	0.41	0.46	0.48	0.61	0.49
		$k \times 10^{-2}$	9.4	7.8	9.5	10.8	9.4
	PAH 2.1%	<i>Α</i> [μm]	7.7	3.9	3.9	3.1	4.6
	(-40.2 TU)	RSD _{tm} (-10kV)	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.09
		RSD _{tm} (-20kV)	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.20	0.15
SKDd	PAH 11% (-5.5 TU)	$k \times 10^{-2}$	7.6	4.5	3.1	3.9	4.8
		<i>Α</i> [μm]	8.3	3.8	5.5	3.5	5.3
		RSD _{tm} (-10kV)	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02
		RSD _{tm} (-20kV)	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.04

 Table 4. Impact of the last polycationic layer on SMIL construction.
 Experimental conditions: see Figure 3.

	-	Last layer			
	(PDADMAC/PSS) ₂	PAH	PAH-g-(mPEG _{1kDa}) _{0.235}	PAH-g-(mPEG _{5kDa}) _{0.11}	
Final $\Delta F_n/n$ shift [Hz]	-26	-44	-41.9	-66.3	
Deposited areal mass [ng cm ⁻¹]	460.6	779	741	1174	
SMIL thickness [nm]	4.3	7.6	7.2	11.4	