



HAL
open science

**Functional characteristics rather than co-occurrences
determine the outcome of interactions between
neighbouring plants in sub-Antarctic ponds:
Consequences for macrophyte community biomass**

Pauline Douce, Hugo Saiz, Marie-lise Benot, Florian Mermillod-Blondin,
Laurent Simon, David Renault, Felix Vallier, Yoann Oury, Matthieu Fontaine,
Anne-kristel Bittebiere

► **To cite this version:**

Pauline Douce, Hugo Saiz, Marie-lise Benot, Florian Mermillod-Blondin, Laurent Simon, et al.. Functional characteristics rather than co-occurrences determine the outcome of interactions between neighbouring plants in sub-Antarctic ponds: Consequences for macrophyte community biomass. *Freshwater Biology*, 2023, 68 (4), pp.561-576. 10.1111/fwb.14047 . hal-03987618

HAL Id: hal-03987618

<https://hal.science/hal-03987618>

Submitted on 14 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Running head: Macrophyte communities in the Iles Kerguelen**

2 **Functional characteristics rather than co-occurrences determine the outcome of**
3 **interactions between neighbouring plants in sub-Antarctic ponds:**
4 **consequences for macrophyte community biomass.**

5 P. Douce^{1*}, H. Saiz^{2,3}, M.-L Benot⁴, F. Mermillod-Blondin¹, L. Simon¹, D. Renault^{5,6}, F. Vallier¹,
6 Y. Oury⁷, M. Fontaine⁷, A.-K. Bittebiere¹

7 *Corresponding author: pauline.douce@univ-lyon1.fr

8 H. Saiz: saizhugo@gmail.com

9 M.-L Benot: marie-lise.benot@u-bordeaux.fr

10 F. Mermillod-Blondin: florian.mermillod-blondin@univ-lyon1.fr

11 L. Simon: laurent.simon@univ-lyon1.fr

12 D. Renault: david.renault@univ-rennes1.fr

13 F. Vallier: felix.vallier@univ-lyon1.fr

14 Y. Oury: yoann.oury@laposte.net

15 M. Fontaine: matfont@free.fr

16 A.-K. Bittebiere: anne-kristel.bittebiere@univ-lyon1.fr

17

18 ¹Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, ENTPE, UMR5023 LEHNA, F-69622,
19 Villeurbanne, France

20 ²Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Altenbergrain 21, CH-3013 Bern, Switzerland

21 ³Departamento de Ciencias Agrarias y Medio Natural, Escuela Politécnica Superior, Instituto
22 Universitario de Investigación en Ciencias Ambientales de Aragón (IUCA), Universidad de
23 Zaragoza; Huesca, Spain.

24 ⁴Univ. Bordeaux, INRAE, BIOGECO, F-33615 Pessac, France

25 ⁵Univ Rennes, CNRS, ECOBIO [(Ecosystèmes, biodiversité, évolution)], - UMR 6553, F 35000
26 Rennes, France

27 ⁶Institut Universitaire de France, 1 Rue Descartes, 75231 Paris cedex 05, France

28 ⁷Institut Polaire Français Paul Emile Victor, Technopôle Brest-Iroise, BP 75, 29280 Plouzané,

29 France

30 Abstract

31 1. Understanding of the mechanisms underlying species coexistence within plant
32 communities is crucial to predict their fate given the current context of biodiversity loss.

33 Freshwater ecosystems are among the most abiotically constrained habitats because
34 they harbor clonal macrophyte communities. Strong habitat filtering in these
35 ecosystems influences the functional composition and diversity of macrophyte
36 communities, determining the outcome of interactions between neighbours and
37 ultimately affecting local spatial arrangement between neighbours (LSA). This influence
38 may be modulated by environmental conditions in abiotically constrained habitats, such
39 as freshwater ecosystems.

40 2. We focused on macrophyte communities living in ponds in the Iles Kerguelen, in the
41 sub-Antarctic. These freshwater ecosystems are especially abiotically constrained
42 (cold climate), and their plant communities are remarkably species-poor, simplifying
43 the study of interactions between neighbours. We measured several abiotic variables
44 in the ponds, species LSA and interspecific interactions (using the Log Response Ratio
45 metric), and the functional composition of the community using aerial, root and clonal
46 traits. We also determined the biomass of the whole macrophyte community.

47 3. Our results showed that LSA does not effectively assess interactions between
48 neighbours at very small scales, neither at the community (one species *versus* all
49 neighbouring species) nor the species level (between pairs of species). Secondly,
50 aerial (leaf and stem) and root traits related to resource acquisition played a more
51 important role in interactions between neighbours than clonal traits (*i.e.* internode
52 length and specific internode mass related to space acquisition and resource storage,
53 respectively).

- 54 4. Depending on the target species, (i) interactions responded positively or negatively to
55 mean trait and functional diversity of the community, and (ii) different traits of
56 neighbours (aerial or root traits) triggered an interaction response. Lastly, abiotic
57 variables, in particular water temperature and light intensity, influenced macrophyte
58 community biomass and plant community structure (*i.e.* species richness, functional
59 diversity and LSA), either directly or through the modulation of other abiotic variables.
- 60 5. Our results lead to the two following conclusions: (i) interactions between neighbours
61 do not reflect their local spatial arrangements but are strongly associated with abiotic
62 variables and neighbourhood functional traits (depending on species), (ii) joint
63 integration of biotic and abiotic variables in multivariate analyses enables better
64 inference of biodiversity responses to environment, and the subsequent consequences
65 for ecosystem function, especially in the context of climate change.

66

67 Keywords

68 Functional traits, community performance, abiotic filters, species coexistence, local spatial
69 arrangement.

70 Introduction

71 Determining the mechanisms underlying species coexistence within plant communities is
72 crucial to predict their fate in the current context of climate change and biodiversity loss
73 (Chapin, 2003). Both abiotic and biotic drivers are known to structure local assemblages within
74 communities (Cadotte & Tucker, 2017; Kraft, Adler, et al., 2015). Indeed, species that do not
75 possess the functional traits allowing them to resist particular abiotic conditions are filtered out,
76 leading to similar phenotypes among co-occurring species. Moreover, at finer scales, plants
77 permanently interact with their immediate neighbours (e.g. competition, facilitation), also
78 ultimately shaping specific and functional composition of the community (Connell, 1983;
79 Goldberg & Barton, 1992; Tilman, 1982). Abiotically constrained habitats exacerbate the
80 importance of interactions between neighbours (Choler, Michalet, & Callaway, 2001), with
81 facilitative interactions being more pronounced under stressful and/or resource-limited
82 conditions (Williams, Zeldin, Semski, Hipp, & Larkin, 2021; Zhang, Wang, & Qi, 2017).
83 Freshwater ecosystems are among the most abiotically constrained, often harboring particular
84 plant communities. They host macrophyte (*i.e.* aquatic plant) communities, dominated by
85 clonal plants (Klimeš, Klimešová, Hendriks, & van Groenendael, 1997; Santamaría, 2002) that
86 interact in both horizontal (for space) and vertical (for resources) directions (Gioria & Osborne,
87 2014). Altogether, the underlying mechanisms involved in interactions between neighbours
88 and that support species coexistence may vary within a particular freshwater ecosystem,
89 including within abiotically constrained habitats, although this has been poorly tested,
90 especially regarding facilitative interactions (Silknetter et al., 2020).

91 Strong habitat filtering in aquatic ecosystems (Santamaría, 2002) affects the functional
92 composition and diversity of macrophyte communities (Bornette & Puijalon, 2011; Fu et al.,
93 2014; Zhang, Liu, Luo, Dong, & Yu, 2019), which may in turn play significant roles in
94 interactions between neighbours (Suzuki, Rivero, Shulaev, Blumwald, & Mittler, 2014). The
95 outcome of interactions between neighbours is not only driven by individual functional traits

96 (Gaudet & Keddy, 1988), but may also be determined by the difference between the functional
97 trait values of neighbouring competitors, as predicted by recent theories of species coexistence
98 (Cadotte & Tucker, 2017; Kraft, Godoy, & Levine, 2015). The traits of neighbours are expected
99 to have different effects on the outcome of interactions, in particular when trait values differ
100 from those of the focal plant (Kraft, Crutsinger, Forrestel, & Emery, 2014), and according to
101 their role in competitive strategies (tolerance vs. competing traits) (Novoplansky, 2009). On
102 the one hand, coexistence is often assumed to arise from high different functional trait values,
103 which alleviate competitive interactions (limiting similarity). On the other hand, small
104 differences in functional traits arising from competitive hierarchies may reduce differences in
105 competitive ability, thereby promoting coexistence of species with similar trait values (Kraft,
106 Crutsinger, Forrestel, & Emery, 2014). In abiotically constrained habitats, high functional
107 convergence between species is expected due to environmental filtering (Cornwell, Schilke,
108 & Ackerly, 2006; Grime, 2006), suggesting reduced importance of mechanisms involved in
109 traits differentiation. Moreover, clonal traits influencing space acquisition could act as tolerance
110 traits to abiotic constraints as they provide opportunities to find more suitable environmental
111 conditions, while aerial (e.g. height or Specific Leaf Area) and root traits should be crucial for
112 resource competition (Kunstler et al., 2016; Lau, Shaw, Reich, & Tiffin, 2014). Thus, aerial and
113 root traits (vertical traits) should be more strongly involved in interactions between neighbours
114 than clonal (horizontal) traits.

115 Within plant communities, individuals are not randomly distributed. Their spatial
116 distribution can result either from stochastic events (Hubbell, 2011), or from environmental
117 heterogeneity, dispersal, and interactions between neighbours (Herben & Hara, 2003).
118 Therefore, the observed local spatial arrangement between neighbours (hereafter referred as
119 to LSA), would be the outcome of environmental filtering and of their interactions as commonly
120 demonstrated (Blanchet, Cazelles, & Gravel, 2020). Besides, inter-specific interactions
121 between plants are known to depend on abiotic characteristics (Martin & Coetzee, 2014;
122 Shields & Moore, 2016), with a shift from competition to facilitation in harsh conditions

123 ([Bertness & Callaway, 1994](#), stress gradient hypothesis). Facilitation allows for a better
124 recruitment and survival by improving abiotic conditions at local scales, which is expected to
125 reflect spatial aggregation between plants ([Bertness & Callaway, 1994](#); [Kikvidze et al., 2005](#);
126 [Tirado & I. Pugnaire, 2005](#)). Conversely, studies have shown that competition for resources
127 leads better competitors to displace other species, commonly reflecting segregated LSA
128 ([Pottier, Marrs, & Bédécarrats, 2007](#); [C. Schöb, Kammer, Kikvidze, Choler, & Veit, 2008](#);
129 [Seabloom, Bjørnstad, Bolker, & Reichman, 2005](#)). As a consequence, spatial aggregation and
130 segregation may indicate facilitation and competition respectively in constrained habitats
131 ([Eccles, Esler, & Cowling, 1999](#); [Kikvidze et al., 2005](#); [Malkinson, Kadmon, & Cohen, 2003](#);
132 [Raventós, Wiegand, & Luis, 2010](#)). This assertion has never been tested within aquatic
133 habitats where resource distribution differs strongly from other constrained habitats (for
134 instance arid systems), due to the major effect of water depth on light availability.

135 Studies on joint effects of biotic and abiotic filters on macrophyte communities remain
136 limited ([Shields & Moore, 2016](#), but see: [Anderson & Kalff, 1988](#); [Chambers & Prepas, 1990](#);
137 [Gopal & Goel, 1993](#); [McCreary, 1991](#)), and this highly fragmented knowledge necessarily
138 leads to inconsistencies in the reported effect of abiotic variables on the outcome of
139 interactions between neighbours. In particular, this literature gap does not enable the
140 respective roles of abiotic and biotic variables or their interactions to be determined for the
141 taxonomic and functional composition of the aquatic plant community, and subsequently for its
142 biomass. The biomass of the aquatic plant community can indeed be affected by abiotic
143 variables either directly through their effects on plant physiology [e.g. aquatic system
144 morphology, water or sediment chemistry (*i.e.* nutrient cycling) ([Dhir, 2015](#); [Hossain et al.,](#)
145 [2017](#); [MacKay et al., 2009](#); [Velthuis et al., 2018](#); [Wrona et al., 2006](#); [Zhang et al., 2020](#))] or
146 indirectly through species selection caused by environmental filtering ([Kraft, Godoy, & Levine,](#)
147 [2015](#)) combined with interactions between neighbours (stress gradient hypothesis, [Bertness](#)
148 [1994](#)), and functional traits ([Dar, Pandit, & Ganai, 2014](#); [James, Fisher, Russell, Collings, &](#)
149 [Moss, 2005](#); [Kim & Nishihiro, 2020](#); [Mouchet, Villéger, Mason, & Mouillot, 2010](#)). In ponds,

150 water temperature, dissolved nutrients, and light intensity are expected to be the main abiotic
151 variables acting directly on plant community biomass and indirectly through the modulation of
152 plant community structure.

153 In this study, we focused on macrophyte communities living in the ponds of the Iles
154 Kerguelen, located in the sub-Antarctic region. These ponds are particularly useful for testing
155 questions about interactions between neighbours in the context of abiotically constrained
156 habitat, as the climate is very cold, yet warming (Lebouvier et al., 2011), and the remarkably
157 low plant richness provides simplified natural communities (Frenot, Gloaguen, Massé, &
158 Lebouvier, 2001; Van der Putten, Macel, & Visser, 2010). To that aim, within each pond, we
159 measured several abiotic variables, plant species LSA, interactions between neighbours, and
160 the functional composition of the community. We additionally assessed the biomass of the
161 whole macrophyte community in each pond. Through this field sampling approach, we tested
162 the following hypotheses: (i) interactions between neighbours can be inferred by LSA because
163 spatial segregation should reflect negative effects of the neighbour's cover on target species
164 biomass, thus indicating competition; (ii) vertical functional traits (*i.e.* aerial and root traits)
165 influence interactions between neighbours, while horizontal traits (*i.e.* clonal traits) are less
166 significant, so functional characteristics of neighbours influence their interactions; (iii) abiotic
167 variables (water temperature, dissolved nutrients, and light intensity) should influence plant
168 community biomass, either directly or through the modulation of plant community structure (*i.e.*
169 species richness, functional diversity, and LSA).

170 Materials and methods

171

172 Study model

173 The study was carried out *in situ*, at the Iles Kerguelen in the French sub-Antarctic (South
174 Indian Ocean) (48°30–50°S, 68°27–70°35E). The climate of this archipelago is characterized
175 by cold temperatures (annual mean of 4.6°C with few variations - [Frenot et al., 2006](#); [Lebouvier
176 et al., 2011](#)), and abundant precipitations (range from 500 mm to 3200 mm along an East-
177 West gradient - [Frenot, Gloaguen, Cannavacciuolo, & Bellido, 1998](#), and [Meteo France](#)).
178 Although remarkably well adapted to cold temperatures, native macrophyte communities are
179 characterized by very low species richness (zero to four co-occurring species per pond) ([Frenot
180 et al., 2006](#)). They thus constitute ideal simplified models to infer mechanisms of plant
181 community assembly, especially within constrained abiotic environments ([Bergstrom & Chown,
182 1999](#)).

183 The study was conducted in ponds at three sites located along the shore of the main
184 island of the archipelago (Fig. 1a): Cap Molloy, Isthme Bas and Cap Ratmanoff. These three
185 sites are less than 40 km apart, and display similar climates. Conversely, abiotic conditions
186 are more variable within ponds than between sites. The ponds investigated have relatively
187 small sizes (average area = 181.57 ± 382.39 m² except for one pond 180800 m², Table S1).
188 They are shallow freshwater systems, enriched by nutrient inputs from marine fauna like
189 seabirds and seals owing to their proximity to the ocean littoral ([Smith, 2008](#)). At each site, 15
190 ponds of varying area were selected to represent the variation in pond size, which influences
191 water temperatures ([Bornette & Puijalon, 2011](#)). In contrast, mean water depth did not differ
192 among ponds (19.03 ± 9.12 cm).

193 Macrophyte communities colonizing ponds are composed of six native species that can
194 all co-occur locally: *Limosella australis* R.Br. (Scrophulariaceae), *Callitriche antarctica* Engelm
195 (Plantaginaceae), *Juncus scheuchzerioides* Gaudich. (Juncaceae), together with three

196 Ranunculaceae species: *Ranunculus biternatus* Smith, *R. pseudotrullifolius* Skotts., and *R.*
197 *moseleyi* Hook.f. These species are only found in the sub-Antarctic region, and *R. moseleyi*
198 may even be endemic to Iles Kerguelen. All species are perennial, displaying a clonal network
199 structure (*i.e.* plants are composed of related ramets (shoots with leaves and roots) that are
200 connected through plagiotropic stems). *Callitriche antarctica*, *L. australis*, *R. pseudotrullifolius*
201 and *R. moseleyi* are hydrophytes, while *R. biternatus* and *J. scheuchzerioides* are helophytes.
202 Only *C. antarctica* develops a floating canopy, while the five other species possess a rosette
203 growth form rooted in pond sediments. *Ranunculus pseudotrullifolius*, *R. moseleyi*, and *L.*
204 *australis* are mostly submerged (Hennion et al. 1994; Chau et al. 2021). However, *R.*
205 *pseudotrullifolius* may also produce floating leaves (Figure S1). *Ranunculus biternatus* and *J.*
206 *scheuchzerioides* can also be entirely submerged, depending on variations in pond water level
207 (Figure S1). Most ramets of these species were therefore submerged within ponds (ramet
208 height = 6.02 ± 3 cm, water depth = 19.03 ± 9 cm).

209 Abiotic and biotic characterization of ponds

210 We characterized abiotic conditions every three months over a year, starting from February
211 2020 and ending in March 2021 (Fig. 1b). At each sampling date and pond, water and sediment
212 samples were collected from three zones and mixed to obtain one composite water sample
213 (30 mL) and one composite sediment sample (50 mL) taking into account potential spatial
214 heterogeneity within each pond. As other abiotic conditions may also vary spatially in the pond,
215 we randomly positioned three (pond area < 5 m²) to five (pond area > 5 m²) quadrats (1 m²).
216 In these quadrats, three abiotic variables were measured: water depth (calculated as the
217 average of three measurements within the quadrats), electric conductivity (EC), and
218 concentration of dissolved oxygen (DO) (calculated as the average of three measurements
219 performed below water surface, in the middle of the water column, and at the pond bottom)
220 using a multiparameter HQ40D HACH sensor (EC ± 0.1 mS.cm⁻¹, DO ± 0.01 mg.L⁻¹). In
221 addition, light intensity and water temperature were continuously recorded in each pond, every

222 half hour since September 2020, using loggers (Hobo MX2202) positioned in the middle of the
223 water column. Based on this dataset, water temperatures and light intensity fluctuations were
224 then simulated for the period of February 2020 to August 2020 (winter season) which was not
225 monitored. Water temperatures were simulated using their relationship with air temperatures
226 recorded by Meteo France (2020 records, Port-aux-Français), and winter luminosity from its
227 relationship with summer luminosity (Table S2). Finally, pond area (length × width) and
228 proximity to the closest pond were also measured in March 2021.

229 For the measurements of water nutrient and chlorophyll-a concentrations, water
230 samples were filtered through GF/F filters (0.7 µm pore size, Whatman GF/C) to eliminate
231 coarse and fine particles within the 24 hours after field sampling. All samples (filtered water
232 and sediments) and GF/F filters were then stored at -20°C for several weeks before analyses.
233 Chlorophyll a pigments collected on GF/F Whatman filters were quantified using the Unesco
234 method (Vohra, 1966) with a spectrophotometer. This measure was used to estimate the
235 quantity of phytoplankton per volume of water for each pond. N-NH₄⁺, N-NO₃⁻ and P-PO₄³⁻
236 concentrations of water samples were determined using standard colorimetric methods
237 (Grasshoff, Ehrhardt, Kremling, & Anderson, 1999) with a sequential analyzer
238 (SmartChem200, AMSAlliance).

239 In sediment samples, organic carbon to nitrogen ratio (C:N) was measured using the
240 “capsule method” (Brodie et al., 2011). For each sample, five mg ± 10% of dry sediment
241 previously lyophilized and homogenized were weighted in a silver capsule and acidified with
242 HCl (2 mol.L⁻¹) to eliminate carbonates. Capsule liquids were evaporated on a 65°C hot plate
243 for 12 hours, before capsules were oven-dried at 80°C for 48 hours. Organic carbon and
244 nitrogen total content were then measured with an elementary analyzer (FlashEA; Thermo
245 Fisher Scientific, Waltham MA, USA). The bioavailable P concentration in sediments was
246 determined following the protocol of Ni et al. (2016). Bioavailable P was extracted with NaOH
247 (1 M). Then the supernatant was collected, its pH was stabilized with HCl (3.5 M), and its
248 concentration was quantified using the molybdate/ascorbic acid blue method.

249 Local spatial arrangements of macrophyte species

250 In December 2020, within each of the 45 ponds, spatial distribution of all plant species was
251 recorded using five square lattices of 50 × 50 cm², randomly positioned on vegetation. The
252 lattice was divided into 5 × 5 cm² cells (100 cells in total) and the presence/absence of each
253 macrophyte species within each cell was recorded (Fig. 1b). Non-floating species (*i.e.* all
254 species except *C. antarctica*) were considered as present when a ramet was rooted in the
255 target cell, whereas *C. antarctica* was considered as present when a ramet was observed at
256 the cell vertical. The cell size was selected as it corresponds to the scale at which plants are
257 likely to interact (Purves & Law, 2002).

258 We calculated the species by species co-occurrence matrix in each lattice. In this
259 matrix, the intersection between species *i* and *j* indicates the number of times both species co-
260 occur in a cell of the lattice. We used the matrix to characterize local spatial arrangements
261 between neighbours at two scales: (i) for all pairs of species (species scale), (ii) and for one
262 species *versus* all neighbouring species (community scale). LSA corresponded to the
263 difference between the observed number of co-occurrences within a quadrat and the expected
264 number of co-occurrences at the pond level based on species cover (number of cells where
265 species is present/total number of cells in the pond, considering all pond lattices
266 simultaneously). Thus, negative values of LSA indicate local spatial segregation while positive
267 values indicate local spatial aggregation. For the species scale, the observed number of co-
268 occurrences was the total number of cells where a pair of species *i* and *j* co-occurred, and for
269 the community scale, the total number of cells where species *i* co-occurred with any other
270 species. Besides, for the species scale, the expected number of co-occurrences N_{exp} between
271 species *i* and *j* were calculated by pooling the five lattices of each pond as follows:

$$272 N_{exp} = coverspecies_i \in thepond \times coverspecies_j \in thepond \times totalnumberofcells$$
$$273 \quad \quad \quad \in thepond$$

274 where the cover of a given species is the number of cells in which the species occurred divided
275 by the total number of cells in the pond. For the community scale, the expected number of co-
276 occurrences was the sum of the expected number of pairwise co-occurrences between species
277 *i* and all the other species present in the pond.

278 Assessing neighbours' interactions within ponds

279 In March 2021 (*i.e.* at the end of the growing season), for each macrophyte species in each
280 pond, we collected mature ramets with and without interspecific plant interactions (*i.e.*
281 presence of other individuals in their neighbourhood) (Fig. 1b). Ramet neighbourhood was
282 characterized within a surrounding circle of 20 cm diameter (Purves & Law, 2002). We
283 considered 10% of vegetation cover in the neighbourhood as a threshold for the occurrence of
284 interactions between neighbours (*i.e.* target ramet with interactions had neighbourhood cover
285 > 10% while ramet without interactions (control ramet) had neighbourhood cover < 10%).
286 Nevertheless, we further checked that this threshold value of 10% had no influence on our
287 results (threshold value of 35%, see Table S3). For all target ramets, neighbouring species
288 abundances were also recorded (within 5% precision), along with bare soil to take into account
289 the overall interaction intensity.

290 For each ramet collected, we measured total biomass, *i.e.* including the leaves, stems,
291 roots, and one internode of clonal connection. When present, fruits were also included in ramet
292 biomass although their biomass was negligible (only 9% of collected ramets had fruits)
293 compared to vegetative growth, in line with previous works conducted on macrophyte species
294 (Barrat-Segretain, 1996; Barrett, Eckert, & Husband, 1993). Measurements of biomass were
295 performed after oven-drying ramets for 48 hours at 65°C. Ramet biomass was used as a proxy
296 of its performance. The effect of neighbouring species on species *i* ramet in pond *j* on site *k*
297 was used as a proxy of interactions between neighbours and was estimated using the Log
298 Response Ratio (hereafter referred as to biomass LRR) (Hedges, Gurevitch, & Curtis, 1999)
299 as follows:

300
$$\text{Biomass LRR}_{i,j,k} = \log \left(\frac{\text{Biomass of ramet of species}_i \text{ in pond}_j \text{ on site}_k}{\text{Average biomass of control ramets of species}_i \text{ on site}_k} \right)$$

301 Neighbourhood functional characteristics

302 To assess the functional characteristics of plant neighbourhood, we collected one ramet (with
303 its leaves and roots, and one connection internode) of each of the six above-mentioned species
304 when present, within each quadrat of each pond (n = 3 to 5 quadrats per pond). Collection
305 occurred in November 2020, and three categories of traits were measured on the ramets: (i)
306 above-ground competition traits: aerial traits including height, Specific Leaf Area (SLA) and
307 Leaf Dry Matter Content (LDMC) to characterize the plant photosynthetic efficiency and tissue
308 conservation; (ii) below-ground competition traits: root traits including maximum root length
309 and specific root mass to characterize nutrient uptake; (iii) competition for horizontal space
310 traits: clonal traits including internode length to characterize the avoidance response, and
311 specific internode mass to characterize ramet investment into resource storage.

312 To measure SLA and LDMC following [Cornelissen et al. \(2003\)](#), one healthy mature leaf
313 was randomly selected per ramet. Internode length was measured on one of the internodes
314 attached to the ramet. Specific internode mass was calculated as the ratio between internode
315 dry mass and length (g.cm^{-1}). Specific root mass was calculated as the ratio between root dry
316 mass and maximum root length (g.cm^{-1}). Measurements of dry masses were performed after
317 oven-drying plant parts for 48 hours at 65°C. All plants were cleaned before measurements
318 were taken.

319 We tested for correlations between all pairs of traits using Spearman rank correlations
320 to detect possible co-variations among traits. We did not detect significant correlations
321 between any pair of traits (Spearman's rho < 0.7, [Dormann et al., 2013](#)). The functional
322 neighbourhood of the plant community of each target ramet was characterized by two types of
323 metrics: the community weighted mean (CWM) ([Lavorel et al., 2007](#)) of each trait calculated
324 using each species cover and mean trait values at the pond level (we expected plant traits to

325 differ between ponds with different abiotic conditions), and the functional dispersion of traits
326 (then referred as FDis), calculated using the *fdisp* function of package FD (Laliberté &
327 Legendre, 2010), of each of the three trait categories (aerial, clonal, and root traits).

328 Data analyses

329 The means of daily (day and night) water temperatures and light intensity from February 2020
330 to March 2021 were calculated for each pond, and all abiotic variables were averaged at the
331 pond scale. Abiotic dimensions describing pond conditions were reduced to the first-three axes
332 of a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) performed on abiotic variables, and in which data
333 were centered and standardized by standard deviation (Figure S2).

334 *Association between interactions between neighbours and their local spatial* 335 *arrangement*

336 Firstly, we used linear models to test for the effects of local spatial arrangements between
337 neighbours at the community scale (between a target species, and all its neighbouring species)
338 and pond abiotic conditions on the biomass LRR for five out of the six macrophyte species (*L.*
339 *australis* omitted due to a lack of points). We included LSA and the first three axes of the PCA
340 performed on abiotic variables as explanatory variables in the full model. Then we applied a
341 stepwise model selection based on the AIC criteria (MuMIn package), and selected the most
342 parsimonious model using an ANOVA test (type II). The same procedure was applied to test
343 relationships between biomass LRR of target species *i* and LSA calculated at the species level
344 (*i.e.* between species *i* and *j*). To that purpose, we only chose biomass LRR values calculated
345 for ramets of species *i* having species *j* in their neighbourhood.

346 *Responses of interactions between neighbours to their traits*

347 We tested the response of biomass LRR for three out of the six macrophyte species (due to a
348 lack of points), to its functional neighbourhood at community level. That is, the CWM of the

349 traits of all neighbours and FDis of aerial, clonal, and root traits, together with pond abiotic
350 conditions (first-three axes of the PCA performed on pond abiotic variables), and bare soil (to
351 take into account the overall interaction intensity) using linear models. We started with the full
352 model and then applied a model selection procedure through a stepwise selection based on
353 AIC criteria (see previous model).

354 *Direct and indirect associations between abiotic and biotic variables and macrophyte*
355 *community biomass*

356 Macrophyte community biomass, was calculated at the pond level using the sum of the mean
357 ramet biomass per species, and the cover of each species at the pond scale (total number of
358 cells in which the species occur / total number of cells, considering all quadrats). We used
359 Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) (Grace, Anderson, Olf, & Scheiner, 2010) to decipher
360 direct and indirect effects of abiotic and biotic variables on community biomass. We included
361 variables thought to affect macrophyte community biomass, either directly or through the
362 modulation of interactions between neighbours. The variables were: nutrient concentration in
363 water and sediments (Dar et al., 2014; Schneider, Cunha, Marchese, & Thomaz, 2015), pond
364 morphometry [pond area (Rolon & Maltchik, 2006), water depth (Wang, Zhang, Xu, & Yu,
365 2016)], and water quality variables [electric conductivity (Lévesque, Hudon, James, &
366 Legendre, 2017), O₂ concentration (Morris, Harrison, Bailey, & Boon, 2004), mean temperature
367 (Dar et al., 2014), light intensity (Su et al., 2019)]. We added chlorophyll a concentration to
368 reflect competition between macrophytes and phytoplankton (Phillips, Willby, & Moss, 2016).
369 Distance to the closest pond was also included as a proxy of the degree of proximity between
370 ponds, as this is known to impact plant species dispersal, and thus plant community richness
371 and functional diversity (Favre-Bac, Mony, Burel, Seimandi-Corda, & Ernoult, 2017). Finally,
372 biotic variables such as richness, FDis, and LSA allowed us to quantify macrophyte community
373 structure and test for an association with biomass (Hooper et al., 2005; Monzeglio & Stoll,
374 2005; Qi et al., 2021). All possible relationships between variables were built based on the
375 literature (Figure S3).

376 To build these relationships, LSA was calculated at the pond scale, taking the total
377 number of co-occurrences between all pairs of species at the pond scale into account.
378 FDis was calculated at the pond scale (calculated by pooling all lattices), including all
379 measured traits and species cover. Nutrient concentrations in water (N-NH_4^+ , N-NO_3^- , P-PO_4^{3-}
380) and in sediments (C:N ratio, bioavailable P) were each reduced to the first axis of a PCA
381 analysis, in which data were centered and standardized. Variables were normalized (log or
382 square-root transformations) and standardized before analysis. We performed a path analysis
383 with the lavaan package ([Rosseele, 2012](#)) and reduced the full model by variable selection
384 based on AIC values. All statistical analyses were carried out with R 4.0.3.

385 Results

386 A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed on abiotic parameters measured at the
387 pond level to reduce abiotic dimensions (Figure S2). PCA Axis 1 was positively related to the
388 light intensity in ponds which was highest when nutrient availability in sediments was low. PCA
389 Axis 2 was positively related to the concentration of N-NH_4^+ in the water column and negatively
390 to the density of phytoplankton (represented by the concentration of chlorophyll a per mL of
391 water), especially when habitat temperatures were cold. PCA Axis 3 was positively related to
392 N-NO_3^- concentration in water and conductivity.

393 Local spatial arrangements between neighbours did not reflect their
394 interactions

395 At the community scale (interactions with all species in neighbourhood), we found no significant
396 relationship between biomass LRR and LSA in all species ("All" in Table 1). Pond abiotic
397 conditions, however, significantly explained biomass LRR in two out of the five species tested,
398 either positively or negatively. Water nutrient concentration (PCA Axes 2 and 3) was strongly
399 associated with interactions for *C. antarctica* and *R. moseleyi* (Table 1). At the species scale
400 (LSA of pairwise combinations of species, including the target species), biomass LRR was
401 significantly associated with LSA (species aggregation or segregation) for only one out of the
402 five target species (Table 1). In *J. scheuchzerioides*, spatial aggregation had a positive effect
403 on the biomass LRR of *R. moseleyi*. Biomass LRR was nevertheless mostly predicted by pond
404 abiotic conditions in the tested species, except in *R. pseudotrullifolius* (Table 1). In particular,
405 biomass LRR of *C. antarctica* and *J. scheuchzerioides* were predicted by the dissolved N-NH_4^+
406 concentration (PCA Axis 2), likely depending on the presence of phytoplankton. Conversely,
407 biomass LRR of *R. biternatus* and *R. moseleyi* was impacted by the water N-NO_3^-
408 concentration and electric conductivity (PCA Axis 3).

409 Interactions depend on the functional characteristics of neighbours

410 Biomass LRR significantly responded to functional characteristics of neighbours in two out of
411 the three target species (Table 2). Indeed, CWM of the neighbours' traits explained a total of
412 23.5% of biomass LRR variations in *J. scheuchzerioides*, and FDis of neighbours' traits
413 contributed to 76.2% of biomass LRR variations in *R. biternatus*. More specifically, biomass
414 LRR of these two species was only associated with CWM of aerial traits (Table 2), which had
415 positive (describing facilitation) and negative (describing competition) effects, depending on
416 the target species. However, this effect was limited in *R. biternatus* ($t_{\text{CWM_SLA}} = -0.02^*$,
417 $t_{\text{CWM_LDMC}} = -0.006^*$, both traits explaining about 3.5% of biomass LRR variations). Much
418 of biomass LRR variations in *R. biternatus* were actually explained by FDis (68.88%). More
419 precisely, FDis of aerial and root traits displayed respectively positive ($t = 2.61^{***}$) and negative
420 effects ($t = -2.55^{***}$) on *R. biternatus* biomass LRR (Table 2).

421 Direct and indirect effects of abiotic and biotic variables characterizing 422 ponds on macrophyte community biomass

423 Five out of the 13 variables tested did not have any direct or indirect effects on macrophyte
424 CWM biomass (*i.e.* community biomass) (Fig. 2): distance to pond (*i.e.* between-pond
425 proximity), pond area, and concentrations of dissolved O₂, water and sediment nutrients.
426 Regarding the eight variables that were significantly associated with community biomass, four
427 had direct effects: water temperature (-0.32^{***}), chlorophyll a (-0.46^{***}), richness (0.36^{***}) and
428 LSA (-0.49^{***}). A negative association between LSA macrophyte community biomass
429 indicated that the more species were aggregated, the lower the community biomass.

430 There were also multiple indirect effects on community biomass. Firstly, several abiotic
431 variables modulated the effect of other abiotic variables. For example, light intensity increased
432 water temperature (0.51^{***}), thereby indirectly decreasing macrophyte community biomass.
433 Secondly, biotic variables modulated each other, amplifying indirect biotic effects (Fig. 2). FDis
434 was correlated with species richness (0.65^{***}) and had a negative effect on LSA (-0.28^*),

435 thereby indirectly increasing community biomass. In addition, biotic variables were influenced
436 by abiotic variables, strengthening indirect abiotic effects on macrophyte community biomass
437 (Fig. 2). FDis was negatively affected by water depth (-0.34**), while LSA was positively
438 affected by electric conductivity (0.45***). Ultimately, water depth and electric conductivity
439 indirectly decreased macrophyte community biomass.

440 Discussion

441 Interactions between neighbours depend on abiotic variables and their
442 functional characteristics in polar ponds

443 Interactions between neighbours shape plant communities, and thus drive variations in their
444 biomass. Indeed, a reduction in competitive interactions, or an increase in facilitative
445 interactions between species, can lead to complementary effects, subsequently increasing
446 community biomass (Callaway, 2007; Mulder, Uliassi, & Doak, 2001). We observed that
447 interactions between neighbours depend on the functional traits of the target ramet and of its
448 neighbours, as well as on abiotic variables (Schneider et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2020).

449 In these sub-polar ponds, the direction and strength of interactions were mostly
450 associated with patterns in abiotic variables, reemphasizing the need to consider abiotic
451 factors as major influences on interactions between neighbours (Biswas & Wagner, 2014;
452 Eränen & Kozlov, 2008). Changes in the net outcome of interactions caused by abiotic
453 stressors could be inferred from fine scale observations of species spatial co-occurrences
454 within communities (Kikvidze et al., 2005). Similarly, we expected interactions between the
455 different species and their neighbours to be inferred from their LSA, both at the community and
456 species scales. However, LSA did not reflect changes in the interactions between neighbours
457 at very small scales, neither at the community (multispecies interactions) nor the species level.
458 Our results are in line with Delalandre & Montesinos-Navarro (2018), who showed that LSA
459 does not capture the underlying processes involving pairwise interactions at patch scale (about
460 0.05m²). Several non-exclusive hypotheses may explain these results. Firstly, the very low
461 species richness of the Ile Kerguelen macrophyte community (four species at most) might
462 cause more species segregation (Delalandre & Montesinos-Navarro, 2018). Indeed, we
463 showed that more than 70% of the significant LSA at the pond scale represented species
464 segregations (Table S5). Secondly, different processes may generate similar LSA *in situ*
465 (MacKenzie, Bailey, & Nichols, 2004; McIntire & Fajardo, 2009; Rayburn & Monaco, 2011).

466 Segregation may indeed reflect competitive interactions but also habitat heterogeneity,
467 allowing species with different abiotic preferences to establish within different suitable micro-
468 sites. Similarly, aggregation is usually interpreted as facilitation but can also indicate species
469 aggregation within the same, most suitable micro-site in an heterogeneous habitat (Blanchet
470 et al., 2020). Finally, LSA calculation *in situ* has limitations. For example, if two species have
471 opposite effects on each other (*i.e.* one species is facilitated by another that in turn competes
472 with the first one), these will result in null LSA (we recorded 53 null LSA out of the 156 tested
473 ones, Table S5). Moreover, the indirect effect of a third species on pairwise species
474 interactions (Castillo, Verdu, & Valiente-Banuet, 2010; Christian Schöb, Armas, & Pugnaire,
475 2013) or asymmetric interactions (Delalandre & Montesinos-Navarro, 2018) are not
476 measurable despite affecting the outcome of interactions between neighbours, and thus the
477 resulting patterns of co-occurrence.

478 In abiotically constrained habitats, high functional convergence between species is
479 expected, resulting from habitat filtering (Cornwell et al., 2006; Grime, 2006). Yet, coexistence
480 within a community (*i.e.* the outcome of interactions) could be due to two possible processes,
481 both based on differences in trait values between species: limiting similarity (Kraft, Godoy, &
482 Levine, 2015; Turcotte & Levine, 2016) and the competitive hierarchy (Chesson, 2000;
483 Mayfield & Levine, 2010). As expected, vertical traits (*i.e.* aerial and root traits related to
484 resource acquisition) appeared to play a stronger role in interactions between neighbours than
485 horizontal traits (clonal traits), in two out of the three species. Originally, we highlighted that
486 there are two possible processes of species coexistence occurring within macrophyte
487 communities, based on different traits and relationships with interacting species identities.
488 Furthermore, these results indicate that the balance between competition and facilitation within
489 abiotically constrained habitat, results from functional characteristics of neighbours related to
490 resource acquisition, not just from functional characteristics of target species. More
491 specifically, CWM of height had positive effects on *J. scheuchzerioides* biomass LRR
492 (explaining 24% of its variation), that is, tall neighbours have facilitative effects on this species.

493 *Juncus scheuchzerioides* stands among the tallest species, and its neighbourhood is mostly
494 composed of conspecifics ($34.8 \pm 18.1\%$, Figure S4). Since most contacts between *J.*
495 *scheuchzerioides* ramets are intra-specific, and likely intraclonal, we conclude that intraspecific
496 facilitation or even intra-clonal cooperation [physiological integration (Wang et al., 2021)] are
497 important for this species. In *R. biternatus*, ramets responded more to the variability of aerial
498 and root traits than to their average within the neighbourhood (68.88% against 7.3% of biomass
499 LRR variation). More precisely, *R. biternatus* is facilitated by a high aerial and a low root
500 functional diversity, indicating above-ground resource partitioning (*i.e.* niche differentiation
501 along the aerial trait axis). Thus, functionally redundant species can coexist at the community
502 scale if their neighbourhood comprises species displaying different trait values (Maire et al.,
503 2012). For example, *R. moseleyi* and *R. pseudotrullifolius*, two species closely related to *R.*
504 *biternatus* and sharing similar resource use and functional roles, are very rare in its
505 neighbourhood composition (Figure S4).

506 In conclusion, we confirmed that both abiotic and biotic characteristics are related to
507 interactions between neighbours at species level. Multiple assembly processes operate
508 simultaneously within the macrophyte community (Spasojevic & Suding, 2012) either through
509 community weighted mean or neighbour dissimilarity (Copeland & Harrison, 2017) depending
510 on traits. Ultimately, this shows the importance of multi-trait approaches to better infer
511 community assembly processes (Kraft, Godoy, & Levine, 2015). Plus, we emphasize that LSA
512 does not reflect interactions between neighbours. Therefore, interpreting significant spatial co-
513 occurrences as evidence of interactions between neighbours should be done cautiously. By
514 specifically focusing on interactions, mesocosm experiments are one way to control for multiple
515 abiotic factors and environmental heterogeneity that can modulate interactions between
516 neighbours and produce similar LSA (Bittebiere, Saiz, & Mony, 2019; Brazeau & Schamp,
517 2019). Consequently, community-level characterization of LSA is needed as well as pairwise
518 relationships. Furthermore, biotic and abiotic variables, either jointly or separately, should

519 indirectly influence community biomass through their modulations of interactions between
520 neighbours, and thereby also influence species biomasses.

521 Joint effects of biotic and abiotic variables on macrophyte community 522 biomass

523 Five out of the 13 abiotic and biotic variables tested had no direct or indirect effect on
524 macrophyte community biomass, confirming the need to study pond systems as a whole. The
525 distance to the closest pond (*i.e.* between-pond connectivity), pond area, dissolved O₂
526 concentration, and (contrary to our expectations) water and sediment nutrient concentrations,
527 do not have any direct or indirect effects on macrophyte community biomass. Ponds may not
528 be limited by nutrients, since inputs by marine fauna are frequent, especially during summer
529 ([Smith, 2008](#)), leading to minimal impact on biomass. Indeed, the species studied tended to
530 have relatively high SLA and low LDMC (Figure S5), making them nutrient acquisitive, in line
531 with similar studies of systems with high resource availability ([Stanisci et al., 2020](#)).

532 Our holistic approach confirmed our third hypothesis, demonstrating that abiotic
533 variables were associated with macrophyte community biomass, either directly or through the
534 modulation of inextricably connected biotic variables (*i.e.* the local spatial arrangement of the
535 macrophyte community and its functional diversity, also related to its species richness). This
536 is consistent with [Sanaei, Sayer, Saiz, Yuan, & Ali \(2021\)](#), who showed that biotic and abiotic
537 variables are important drivers of plant assemblages and community biomass in relationships
538 with nutrients and spatial co-occurrences within arid systems (semi-steppe rangelands). In our
539 case, abiotic and biotic variables had negative and positive effects on community biomass,
540 respectively.

541 We demonstrated that few variables were directly associated with macrophyte
542 community biomass: water temperatures, phytoplankton quantity (chlorophyll a), species
543 richness, and the LSA. Spatial structure with segregated species supports macrophyte
544 community biomass by limiting competitive interactions with superior competitors ([Porensky,](#)

545 [Vaughn, & Young, 2012](#)). Furthermore, richness was positively associated with the
546 macrophyte community biomass. Several studies have already demonstrated that higher
547 species richness leads to higher community biomass because of the complementary use of
548 resources among species, and of the sampling effect (increased probability of sampling highly
549 productive species) ([Engelhardt & Ritchie, 2001](#); [Loreau & Hector, 2001](#); [Schmid, 2002](#);
550 [Tilman, Lehman, & Thomson, 1997a](#)). In addition, higher species richness leads to better
551 ecosystem functioning ([Loreau et al., 2001](#); [Schwartz et al., 2000](#); [Tilman, Knops, et al.,](#)
552 [1997b](#)), and maintains some abiotic conditions beneficial to the growth of macrophytes.
553 Importantly, macrophyte community biomass is negatively affected by increased water
554 temperatures. The importance of water temperature is not surprising since macrophyte species
555 in the sub-Antarctic are adapted to cold temperatures. Indeed, although rising water
556 temperatures can stimulate increases in macrophyte community biomass within the optimum
557 thermal range ([Dar et al., 2014](#)), their negative impact suggests that this optimal thermal range
558 has already been exceeded at the Iles Kerguelen ([Frenot et al., 2006](#)). As water temperatures
559 continue to warm, the consequences for macrophyte community structure and its biomass will
560 likely be severe. We found that light intensity increased water temperatures leading to an
561 indirect negative impact on macrophyte community biomass. This observation calls into
562 question results from the literature where only the positive direct effect of light intensity on plant
563 growth rate in aquatic ecosystems was considered, and thus effects on community biomass
564 were not reported ([Jin, Ibrahim, Muhammad, Khan, & Li, 2020](#)). Furthermore, since
565 macrophytes greatly influence ecosystem-level processes in ponds ([Iacarella, Barrow, Giani,](#)
566 [Beisner, & Gregory-Eaves, 2018](#); [Lürig, Best, Dakos, & Matthews, 2021](#); [Mo, Deng, Gao, Guo,](#)
567 [& Yu, 2015](#)), climate warming could negatively affect trophic chains and ecosystem function
568 ([Gutt et al., 2021](#)).

569 Finally, very few studies in freshwater ecosystems have thoroughly assessed both
570 abiotic and biotic variables in habitats (but see [Wood, Stillman, Clarke, Daunt, & O'Hare, 2012](#)
571 and [Liu et al., 2020](#)). This gap in the literature limits our understanding of the fate of macrophyte

572 species concomitantly exposed to abiotic and biotic filters, especially in a context of climate
573 change. The interconnection between abiotic and biotic variables shown here raises questions
574 about the sequential influence of abiotic and biotic filters on plant community assembly ([Keddy,](#)
575 [1992](#); [Violle et al., 2012](#); but see [Cadotte & Tucker, 2017](#)). In addition, we provide strong
576 evidence for the urgent need to approach biodiversity structure and its influence on ecosystem
577 function, by jointly integrating biotic and abiotic variables in multivariate analyses
578 ([Chalmandrier et al. 2022](#)).

579 Conclusion

580 Our study brings new insights into the mechanisms involved in interactions between
581 neighbours that supports species coexistence within freshwater ecosystems and other
582 abiotically constrained habitats. In particular, we showed that abiotic variables had a stronger
583 effect on species interactions than the spatial structure of macrophyte communities. In
584 addition, we provided new evidence that biotic and abiotic variables influencing the assembly
585 of macrophyte communities are interconnected, and we encourage researchers to consider
586 their combined effects in future studies to get a better picture of the processes underlying plant
587 community assembly. Longer-term studies will be needed to better assess changes in plant
588 communities, especially within poorly studied freshwater systems vulnerable to climate
589 changes.

590

591 **Acknowledgments**

592 We thank all volunteers for their precious help in the field and for laboratory work. This study
593 was funded by the French National Research Agency, project 'PONDS' (ANR-21-CE02-0003-
594 01, JCJC call 2020-2021), the BiodivERsA 'ASICS' project (ANR-20-EBI5-0004, BiodivClim
595 call 2019-2020), the French Polar Institute Paul-Emile Victor (Project IPEV 136
596 'SUBANTECO'), and the long-term research network on biodiversity in Antarctic and sub-
597 Antarctic ecosystems (Zone Atelier InEE-CNRS Antarctique et Terres Australes). H. Saiz is
598 supported by a María Zambrano fellowship funded by the Ministry of Universities and European
599 Union-Next Generation plan.

600 **Conflict of interest**

601 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

602 **Data availability statement**

603 All data are available in figshare (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.21187183.v1>).

604 **Author Contributions**

605 Conceptualization: P.D., H.S., M-L.B., A-K.B. Developing methods: H.S., M-L.B., A-K.B.

606 Conducting the research: P.D., F.M-B., L.S., F.V., Y.O., M.F., A-K.B. Data analysis and

607 interpretation: P.D., H.S., M-L.B., A-K.B. Preparation figures and tables, and writing: P.D.,

608 H.S., M-L.B., F.M-B., L.S., D.R., F.V., Y.O., M.F., A-K.B.

609 References

- 610 Anderson, M. R., & Kalff, J. (1988). Submerged aquatic macrophyte biomass in relation to
611 sediment characteristics in ten temperate lakes. *Freshwater Biology*, 19(1), 115-121.
612 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1988.tb00333.x>
- 613 Barrat-Segretain, M. H. (1996). Strategies of reproduction, dispersion, and competition in river
614 plants : A review. *Vegetatio*, 123(1), 13-37. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00044885>
- 615 Barrett, S. C. H., Eckert, C. G., & Husband, B. C. (1993). Evolutionary processes in aquatic
616 plant populations. *Aquatic Botany*, 44(2), 105-145. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-
617 3770\(93\)90068-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(93)90068-8)
- 618 Bergstrom, D. M., & Chown, S. L. (1999). Life at the front : History, ecology and change on
619 southern ocean islands. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 14(12), 472-477.
620 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(99\)01688-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(99)01688-2)
- 621 Bertness, M. D., & Callaway, R. (1994). Positive interactions in communities. *Trends in Ecology
622 & Evolution*, 9(5), 191-193. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(94\)90088-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(94)90088-4)
- 623 Biswas, S. R., & Wagner, H. H. (2014). A temporal dimension to the stress gradient hypothesis
624 for intraspecific interactions. *Oikos*, 123(11), 1323-1330. <https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.00878>
- 625 Bittebiere, A., Saiz, H., & Mony, C. (2019). New insights from multidimensional trait space
626 responses to competition in two clonal plant species. *Functional Ecology*, 33(2), 297-307.
627 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13220>
- 628 Blanchet, F. G., Cazelles, K., & Gravel, D. (2020). Co-occurrence is not evidence of ecological
629 interactions. *Ecology Letters*, 23(7), 1050-1063. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13525>
- 630 Bolker, B. M., & Pacala, S. W. (1999). Spatial moment equations for plant competition:
631 understanding spatial strategies and the advantages of short dispersal. *The American
632 Naturalist*, 153(6), 575-602. <https://doi.org/10.1086/303199>
- 633 Bornette, G., & Puijalón, S. (2011). Response of aquatic plants to abiotic factors: a review.
634 *Aquatic Sciences*, 73(1), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-010-0162-7>
- 635 Brazeau, H. A., & Schamp, B. S. (2019). Examining the link between competition and negative
636 co-occurrence patterns. *Oikos*, 128(9), 1358-1366. <https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.06054>
- 637 Brodie, C. R., Leng, M. J., Casford, J. S. L., Kendrick, C. P., Lloyd, J. M., Yongqiang, Z., &
638 Bird, M. I. (2011). Evidence for bias in C and N concentrations and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ composition of
639 terrestrial and aquatic organic materials due to pre-analysis acid preparation methods.
640 *Chemical Geology*, 282(3-4), 67-83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2011.01.007>
- 641 Cadotte, M. W., & Tucker, C. M. (2017). Should environmental filtering be abandoned? *Trends
642 in Ecology & Evolution*, 32(6), 429-437. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.03.004>
- 643 Callaway, R. M. (2007). Direct mechanisms for facilitation. In R. M. Callaway (Éd.), *Positive
644 interactions and interdependence in plant communities* (p. 15-116). Dordrecht: Springer
645 Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6224-7_2
- 646 Castillo, J. P., Verdu, M., & Valiente-Banuet, A. (2010). Neighborhood phylodiversity affects
647 plant performance. *91(12)*, 8.

648 Chalmandrier, L., Stouffer, D. B., Purcell, A. S. T., Lee, W. G., Tanentzap, A. J., & Laughlin,
649 D. C. (2022). Predictions of biodiversity are improved by integrating trait-based competition
650 with abiotic filtering. *Ecology Letters*, 00, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13980>

651 Chambers, P. A., & Prepas, E. E. (1990). Competition and coexistence in submerged aquatic
652 plant communities: the effects of species interactions *versus* abiotic factors. *Freshwater*
653 *Biology*, 23(3), 541-550. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1990.tb00293.x>

654 Chapin, F. S. (2003). Effects of plant traits on ecosystem and regional processes: a conceptual
655 framework for predicting the consequences of global change. *Annals of Botany*, 91(4),
656 455-463. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcg041>

657 Chau, J. H., Greve, M., & Jansen van Vuuren, B. (2021). Molecular evidence for hybridization
658 in the aquatic plant *Limosella* on sub-Antarctic Marion Island. *Antarctic Science*, 33(3),
659 243-251. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954102021000079>

660 Chesson, P. (2000). Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. *Annual Review of*
661 *Ecology and Systematics*, 31(1), 343-366. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.31.1.343>

662 Choler, P., Michalet, R., & Callaway, R. M. (2001). Facilitation and competition on gradients in
663 alpine plant communities. *Biological Sciences*, 82(12), 15.

664 Connell, J. H. (1983). On the prevalence and relative importance of interspecific competition:
665 evidence from field experiments. *The American Naturalist*, 122(5), 661-696.
666 <https://doi.org/10.1086/284165>

667 Copeland, S. M., & Harrison, S. P. (2017). Community traits affect plant-plant interactions
668 across climatic gradients. *Oikos*, 126(2). <https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.03376>

669 Cornelissen, J. H. C., Lavorel, S., Garnier, E., Díaz, S., Buchmann, N., Gurvich, D. E., ...
670 Poorter, H. (2003). A handbook of protocols for standardised and easy measurement of plant
671 functional traits worldwide. *Australian Journal of Botany*, 51(4), 335.
672 <https://doi.org/10.1071/BT02124>

673 Cornwell, W. K., Schwillk, D. W., & Ackerly, D. D. (2006). A trait-based test for habitat filtering:
674 convex hull volume. *Ecology*, 87(6), 1465-1471. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2006\)87\[1465:ATTFHFJ\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[1465:ATTFHFJ]2.0.CO;2)

675

676 Dale, M. R. T. (2000). *Spatial Pattern Analysis in Plant Ecology*. Cambridge University Press.

677 Dar, N. A., Pandit, A. K., & Ganai, B. A. (2014). Factors affecting the distribution patterns of
678 aquatic macrophytes. *Limnological Review*, 14(2), 75-81. <https://doi.org/10.2478/limre-2014-0008>

679

680 Delalandre, L., & Montesinos-Navarro, A. (2018). Can co-occurrence networks predict plant-
681 plant interactions in a semi-arid gypsum community? *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution*
682 *and Systematics*, 31, 36-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2018.01.001>

683 Detto, M., & Muller-Landau, H. C. (2016). Stabilization of species coexistence in spatial models
684 through the aggregation–segregation effect generated by local dispersal and nonspecific local
685 interactions. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 112, 97-108.
686 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2016.08.008>

687 Dhir, B. (2015). Status of aquatic macrophytes in changing climate: a perspective. *Journal of*
688 *Environmental Science and Technology*, 8(4), 139-148.
689 <https://doi.org/10.3923/jest.2015.139.148>

- 690 Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., ... Lautenbach, S.
691 (2013). Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and a simulation study evaluating their
692 performance. *Ecography*, 36(1), 27-46. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.07348.x>
- 693 Eccles, N. S., Esler, K. J., & Cowling, R. M. (1999). Spatial pattern analysis in Namaqualand
694 desert plant communities: evidence for general positive interactions. *Plant Ecology*, 142,
695 71-85.
696
- 697 Eränen, J. K., & Kozlov, M. V. (2008). Increasing intraspecific facilitation in exposed
698 environments: consistent results from mountain birch populations in two subarctic stress
699 gradients. *Oikos*, 117(10), 1569-1577. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2008.16772.x>
- 700 Favre-Bac, L., Mony, C., Burel, F., Seimandi-Corda, G., & Ernoult, A. (2017). Connectivity
701 drives the functional diversity of plant dispersal traits in agricultural landscapes: the example
702 of ditch metacommunities. *Landscape Ecology*, 32(10), 2029-2040.
703 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-017-0564-1>
- 704 Frenot, Y., Gloaguen, J. C., Cannavacciuolo, M., & Bellido, A. (1998). Primary succession on
705 glacier forelands in the subantarctic Kerguelen Islands. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 9(1),
706 75-84. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3237225>
- 707 Frenot, Y., Gloaguen, J. C., Massé, L., & Lebouvier, M. (2001). Human activities, ecosystem
708 disturbance and plant invasions in subantarctic Crozet, Kerguelen and Amsterdam Islands.
709 *Biological Conservation*, 101(1), 33-50. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207\(01\)00052-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00052-0)
- 710 Frenot, Y., Lebouvier, M., Gloaguen, J.-C., Hennion, F., Vernon, P., & Chapuis, J.-L. (2006).
711 Impact des changements climatiques et de la fréquentation humaine sur la biodiversité des
712 îles subantarctiques françaises. *Belgeo. Revue belge de géographie*, (3), 363-372.
713 <https://doi.org/10.4000/belgeo.12097>
- 714 Fu, H., Yuan, G., Lou, Q., Dai, T., Xu, J., Cao, T., ... Fang, S. (2018). Functional traits mediated
715 cascading effects of water depth and light availability on temporal stability of a macrophyte
716 species. *Ecological Indicators*, 89, 168-174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.02.010>
- 717 Fu, H., Zhong, J., Yuan, G., Xie, P., Guo, L., Zhang, X., ... Ni, L. (2014). Trait-based community
718 assembly of aquatic macrophytes along a water depth gradient in a freshwater lake.
719 *Freshwater Biology*, 59(12), 2462-2471. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12443>
- 720 Gaudet, C. L., & Keddy, P. A. (1988). A comparative approach to predicting competitive ability
721 from plant traits. *Nature*, 334(6179), 242-243. <https://doi.org/10.1038/334242a0>
- 722 Gioria, M., & Osborne, B. A. (2014). Resource competition in plant invasions: emerging
723 patterns and research needs. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5.
724 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00501>
- 725 Goldberg, D. E., & Barton, A. M. (1992). Patterns and consequences of interspecific
726 competition in natural communities: a review of field experiments with plants. *The American*
727 *Naturalist*, 139(4), 771-801. <https://doi.org/10.1086/285357>
- 728 Gopal, B., & Goel, U. (1993). Competition and allelopathy in aquatic plant communities. *The*
729 *Botanical Review*, 59(3), 155-210. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02856599>
- 730 Grace, J. B., Anderson, T. M., Olf, H., & Scheiner, S. M. (2010). On the specification of
731 structural equation models for ecological systems. *Ecological Monographs*, 80(1), 67-87.
732 <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0464.1>

- 733 Grasshoff, K., Ehrhardt, M., Kremling, K., & Anderson, L. G. (Éds.). (1999). Methods of
734 seawater analysis (3rd, completely rev. and extended ed éd.). Weinheim ; New York: Wiley-
735 VCH.
- 736 Grime, J. P. (2006). Trait convergence and trait divergence in herbaceous plant communities:
737 mechanisms and consequences. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 17(2), 255-260.
738 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2006.tb02444.x>
- 739 Gutt, J., Isla, E., Xavier, J. C., Adams, B. J., Ahn, I., Cheng, C. -H. C., ... Wall, D. H. (2021).
740 Antarctic ecosystems in transition – life between stresses and opportunities. *Biological*
741 *Reviews*, 96(3), 798-821. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12679>
- 742 Hedges, L. V., Gurevitch, J., & Curtis, P. S. (1999). The meta-analysis of response ratios in
743 experimental ecology. 80(4), 7.
- 744 Hennion, F., Fiasson, J. L., & Gluchoff-Fiasson, K. (1994). Morphological and phytochemical
745 relationships between *Ranunculus* species from Iles Kerguelen. *Biochemical Systematics and*
746 *Ecology*, 22(5), 533-542. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-1978\(94\)90048-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-1978(94)90048-5)
- 747 Herben, T., & Hara, T. (2003). Spatial pattern formation in plant communities. In T. Sekimura,
748 S. Noji, N. Ueno, & P. K. Maini (Éds.), *Morphogenesis and pattern formation in biological*
749 *systems: experiments and models* (p. 223-235). Tokyo: Springer Japan.
750 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-65958-7_19
- 751 Hooper, D. U., Chapin, F. S., Ewel, J. J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., ... Wardle, D.
752 A. (2005). Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge.
753 *Ecological Monographs*, 75(1), 3-35. <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-0922>
- 754 Hossain, K., Yadav, S., Quaik, S., Pant, G., Maruthi, A. Y., & Ismail, N. (2017). Vulnerabilities
755 of macrophytes distribution due to climate change. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*,
756 129(3-4), 1123-1132. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1837-3>
- 757 Hubbell, S. P. (2011). *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography* (MPB-32).
758 In *The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography* (MPB-32). Princeton
759 University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400837526>
- 760 Iacarella, J. C., Barrow, J. L., Giani, A., Beisner, B. E., & Gregory-Eaves, I. (2018). Shifts in
761 algal dominance in freshwater experimental ponds across differing levels of macrophytes and
762 nutrients. *Ecosphere*, 9(1), e02086. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2086>
- 763 James, C., Fisher, J., Russell, V., Collings, S., & Moss, B. (2005). Nitrate availability and
764 hydrophyte species richness in shallow lakes. *Freshwater Biology*, 50(6), 1049-1063.
765 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2005.01375.x>
- 766 Jin, S., Ibrahim, M., Muhammad, S., Khan, S., & Li, G. (2020). Light intensity effects on the
767 growth and biomass production of submerged macrophytes in different water strata. *Arabian*
768 *Journal of Geosciences*, 13(18), 948. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-020-05924-4>
- 769 Keddy, P. A. (1992). Assembly and response rules: two goals for predictive community
770 ecology. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 3(2), 157-164. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3235676>
- 771 Kikvidze, Z., Pugnaire, F. I., Brooker, R. W., Choler, P., Lortie, C. J., Michalet, R., & Callaway,
772 R. M. (2005). Linking patterns and processes in alpine plant communities: a global study.
773 *Ecology*, 86(6), 1395-1400. <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1926>

774 Kim, J. Y., & Nishihiro, J. (2020). Responses of lake macrophyte species and functional traits
775 to climate and land use changes. *Science of The Total Environment*, 736, 139628.
776 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139628>

777 Klimeš, L., Klimešová, J., Hendriks, R., & van Groenendael, J. (1997). Clonal plant
778 architecture: a comparative analysis of form and function. *The Ecology and Evolution of Clonal*
779 *Plants*, 1-29.

780 Kraft, N. J. B., Crutsinger, G. M., Forrestel, E. J., & Emery, N. C. (2014). Functional trait
781 differences and the outcome of community assembly: an experimental test with vernal pool
782 annual plants. *Oikos*, 123(11), 1391-1399. <https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.01311>

783 Kraft, N. J. B., Adler, P. B., Godoy, O., James, E. C., Fuller, S., & Levine, J. M. (2015).
784 Community assembly, coexistence and the environmental filtering metaphor. *Functional*
785 *Ecology*, 29(5), 592-599. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12345>

786 Kraft, N. J. B., Godoy, O., & Levine, J. M. (2015). Plant functional traits and the
787 multidimensional nature of species coexistence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
788 *Sciences*, 112(3), 797-802. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1413650112>

789 Kunstler, G., Falster, D., Coomes, D. A., Hui, F., Kooyman, R. M., Laughlin, D. C., ... Westoby,
790 M. (2016). Plant functional traits have globally consistent effects on competition. *Nature*,
791 529(7585), 204-207. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16476>

792 Laliberté, E., & Legendre, P. (2010). A distance-based framework for measuring functional
793 diversity from multiple traits. *Ecology*, 91(1), 299-305. <https://doi.org/10.1890/08-2244.1>

794 Lau, J. A., Shaw, R. G., Reich, P. B., & Tiffin, P. (2014). Indirect effects drive evolutionary
795 responses to global change. *New Phytologist*, 201(1), 335-343.
796 <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12490>

797 Lavorel, S., Grigulis, K., McIntyre, S., Williams, N. S. G., Garden, D., Dorrough, J., ... Bonis,
798 A. (2007). Assessing functional diversity in the field – methodology matters! *Functional*
799 *Ecology*, 99(5), 967-985. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01339.x>

800 Lebouvier, M., Laparie, M., Hullé, M., Marais, A., Cozic, Y., Lalouette, L., ... Renault, D. (2011).
801 The significance of the sub-Antarctic Kerguelen Islands for the assessment of the vulnerability
802 of native communities to climate change, alien insect invasions and plant viruses. *Biological*
803 *Invasions*, 13(5), 1195-1208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-9946-5>

804 Lévesque, D., Hudon, C., James, P. M. A., & Legendre, P. (2017). Environmental factors
805 structuring benthic primary producers at different spatial scales in the St. Lawrence River
806 (Canada). *Aquatic Sciences*, 79(2), 345-356. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-016-0501-4>

807 Liu, H., Zhou, W., Li, X., Chu, Q., Tang, N., Shu, B., ... Xing, W. (2020). How many submerged
808 macrophyte species are needed to improve water clarity and quality in Yangtze floodplain
809 lakes? *Science of The Total Environment*, 724, 138267.
810 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138267>

811 Lürig, M. D., Best, R. J., Dakos, V., & Matthews, B. (2021). Submerged macrophytes affect the
812 temporal variability of aquatic ecosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, 66(3), 421-435.
813 <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13648>

814 MacKay, M. D., Neale, P. J., Arp, C. D., De Senerpont Domis, L. N., Fang, X., Gal, G., ...
815 Stokes, S. L. (2009). Modeling lakes and reservoirs in the climate system. *Limnology and*
816 *Oceanography*, 54(6part2), 2315-2329. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2009.54.6_part_2.2315

817 MacKenzie, D. I., Bailey, L. L., & Nichols, James. D. (2004). Investigating species co-
818 occurrence patterns when species are detected imperfectly. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 73(3),
819 546-555. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-8790.2004.00828.x>

820 Maire, V., Gross, N., Börger, L., Proulx, R., Wirth, C., Pontes, L. da S., ... Louault, F. (2012).
821 Habitat filtering and niche differentiation jointly explain species relative abundance within
822 grassland communities along fertility and disturbance gradients. *New Phytologist*, 196(2),
823 497-509. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04287.x>

824 Malkinson, D., Kadmon, R., & Cohen, D. (2003). Pattern analysis in successional communities:
825 an approach for studying shifts in ecological interactions. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 14(2),
826 213-222.

827 Martin, G. D., & Coetzee, J. A. (2014). Competition between two aquatic macrophytes,
828 *Lagarosiphon major* (Ridley) Moss (Hydrocharitaceae) and *Myriophyllum spicatum* Linnaeus
829 (Haloragaceae) as influenced by substrate sediment and nutrients. *Aquatic Botany*, 114, 1-11.
830 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2013.11.001>

831 Mayfield, M. M., & Levine, J. M. (2010). Opposing effects of competitive exclusion on the
832 phylogenetic structure of communities. *Ecology Letters*, 13(9), 1085-1093.
833 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01509.x>

834 McCreary, N. J. (1991). Competition as a mechanism of submersed macrophyte community
835 structure. *Aquatic Botany*, 41(1-3), 177-193. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770\(91\)90043-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(91)90043-5)

836 McIntire, E. J. B., & Fajardo, A. (2009). Beyond description: the active and effective way to
837 infer processes from spatial patterns. *Ecology*, 90(1), 46-56. <https://doi.org/10.1890/07-2096.1>

838 Mo, Y., Deng, Z.-H., Gao, J.-Q., Guo, Y.-X., & Yu, F.-H. (2015). Does richness of emergent
839 plants affect CO₂ and CH₄ emissions in experimental wetlands? *Freshwater Biology*, 60(8),
840 1537-1544. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12586>

841 Monzeglio, U., & Stoll, P. (2005). Spatial patterns and species performances in experimental
842 plant communities. *Oecologia*, 145(4), 619-628. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-005-0168-3>

843 Morris, K., Harrison, K. A., Bailey, P. C. E., & Boon, P. I. (2004). Domain shifts in the aquatic
844 vegetation of shallow urban lakes: the relative roles of low light and anoxia in the catastrophic
845 loss of the submerged angiosperm *Vallisneria americana*. *Marine and Freshwater Research*,
846 55(8), 749. <https://doi.org/10.1071/MF03193>

847 Mouchet, M. A., Villéger, S., Mason, N. W. H., & Moullot, D. (2010). Functional diversity
848 measures: an overview of their redundancy and their ability to discriminate community
849 assembly rules: functional diversity measures. *Functional Ecology*, 24(4), 867-876.
850 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01695.x>

851 Mulder, C. P. H., Uliassi, D. D., & Doak, D. F. (2001). Physical stress and diversity-productivity
852 relationships: the role of positive interactions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
853 Sciences*, 98(12), 6704-6708. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.111055298>

854 Murphy, J., & Riley, J. P. (1962). A modified single solution method for the determination of
855 phosphate in natural waters. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 27, 31-36.

856 Ni, Z., Wang, S., & Wang, Y. (2016). Characteristics of bioavailable organic phosphorus in
857 sediment and its contribution to lake eutrophication in China. *Environmental Pollution*, 219,
858 537-544. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2016.05.087>

859 Novoplansky, A. (2009). Picking battles wisely: plant behaviour under competition. *Plant, Cell*
860 *& Environment*, 32(6), 726-741. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.01979.x>

861 Phillips, G., Willby, N., & Moss, B. (2016). Submerged macrophyte decline in shallow lakes :
862 what have we learnt in the last forty years? *Aquatic Botany*, 135, 37-45.
863 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2016.04.004>

864 Porensky, L. M., Vaughn, K. J., & Young, T. P. (2012). Can initial intraspecific spatial
865 aggregation increase multi-year coexistence by creating temporal priority? *Ecological*
866 *Applications*, 22(3), 927-936. <https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0818.1>

867 Pottier, J., Marrs, R. H., & Bédécarrats, A. (2007). Integrating ecological features of species in
868 spatial pattern analysis of a plant community. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 18(2), 223-230.
869 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2007.tb02533.x>

870 Purves, D. W., & Law, R. (2002). Fine-scale spatial structure in a grassland community:
871 quantifying the plant's-eye view. *Journal of Ecology*, 90(1), 121-129.

872 Qi, L.-Y., Zeng, H.-Y., Bai, Z.-X., Wang, Y.-H., Liu, L., Zhong, W., ... Wu, A.-P. (2021). The
873 effects of biodiversity gradient on plant mass and metabolism of individual submerged
874 macrophytes. *Ecological Processes*, 10(1), 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-021-00316-2>

875 Raventós, J., Wiegand, T., & Luis, M. D. (2010). Evidence for the spatial segregation
876 hypothesis: a test with nine-year survivorship data in a Mediterranean shrubland. *Ecology*,
877 91(7), 2110-2120. <https://doi.org/10.1890/09-0385.1>

878 Rayburn, A. P., & Monaco, T. A. (2011). Linking plant spatial patterns and ecological processes
879 in grazed great basin plant communities. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, 64(3), 276-282.
880 <https://doi.org/10.2111/REM-D-10-00130.1>

881 Rolon, A. S., & Maltchik, L. (2006). Environmental factors as predictors of aquatic macrophyte
882 richness and composition in wetlands of southern brazil. *Hydrobiologia*, 556(1), 221-231.
883 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-005-1364-1>

884 Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for Structural Equation Modeling. *Journal of*
885 *Statistical Software*, 48(2). <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02>

886 Sanaei, A., Sayer, E. J., Saiz, H., Yuan, Z., & Ali, A. (2021). Species co-occurrence shapes
887 spatial variability in plant diversity–biomass relationships in natural rangelands under different
888 grazing intensities. *Land Degradation & Development*, 32(15), 4390-4401.
889 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.4044>

890 Santamaría, L. (2002). Why are most aquatic plants widely distributed? Dispersal, clonal
891 growth and small-scale heterogeneity in a stressful environment. *Acta Oecologica*, 23(3),
892 137-154. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1146-609X\(02\)01146-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1146-609X(02)01146-3)

893 Schneider, B., Cunha, E. R., Marchese, M., & Thomaz, S. M. (2015). Explanatory variables
894 associated with diversity and composition of aquatic macrophytes in a large subtropical river
895 floodplain. *Aquatic Botany*, 121, 67-75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2014.11.003>

896 Schöb, C., Kammer, P. M., Kikvidze, Z., Choler, P., & Veit, H. (2008). Changes in species
897 composition in alpine snowbeds with climate change inferred from small-scale spatial patterns.
898 *Web Ecology*, 8(1), 142-159. <https://doi.org/10.5194/we-8-142-2008>

899 Schöb, C., Armas, C., & Pugnaire, F. I. (2013). Direct and indirect interactions co-determine
900 species composition in nurse plant systems. *Oikos*, 122(9), 1371-1379.
901 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2013.00390.x>

902 Seabloom, E. W., Bjørnstad, O. N., Bolker, B. M., & Reichman, O. J. (2005). Spatial signature
903 of environmental heterogeneity, dispersal, and competition in successional grasslands.
904 *Ecological Monographs*, 75(2), 199-214. <https://doi.org/10.1890/03-0841>

905 Shields, E. C., & Moore, K. A. (2016). Effects of sediment and salinity on the growth and
906 competitive abilities of three submersed macrophytes. *Aquatic Botany*, 132, 24-29.
907 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2016.03.005>

908 Silknetter, S., Creed, R. P., Brown, B. L., Frimpong, E. A., Skelton, J., & Peoples, B. K. (2020).
909 Positive biotic interactions in freshwaters: a review and research directive. *Freshwater Biology*,
910 65(4), 811-832. <https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13476>

911 Smith, V. R. (2008). Energy flow and nutrient cycling in the Marion Island terrestrial ecosystem:
912 30 years on. *Polar Record*, 44(3), 211-226. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0032247407007218>

913 Spasojevic, M. J., & Suding, K. N. (2012). Inferring community assembly mechanisms from
914 functional diversity patterns: the importance of multiple assembly processes: functional
915 diversity along gradients. *Journal of Ecology*, 100(3), 652-661. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01945.x>

917 Stanisci, A., Bricca, A., Calabrese, V., Cutini, M., Pauli, H., Steinbauer, K., & Carranza, M. L.
918 (2020). Functional composition and diversity of leaf traits in subalpine *versus* alpine vegetation
919 in the Apennines. *AoB PLANTS*, 12(2), plaa004. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/plaa004>

920 Su, H., Chen, J., Wu, Y., Chen, J., Guo, X., Yan, Z., ... Xie, P. (2019). Morphological traits of
921 submerged macrophytes reveal specific positive feedbacks to water clarity in freshwater
922 ecosystems. *Science of The Total Environment*, 684, 578-586.
923 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.267>

924 Suzuki, N., Rivero, R. M., Shulaev, V., Blumwald, E., & Mittler, R. (2014). Abiotic and biotic
925 stress combinations. *New Phytologist*, 203(1), 32-43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12797>

926 Tilman, D. (1982). *Resource Competition and Community Structure*. Princeton University
927 Press.

928 Tilman, D., Lehman, C. L., & Thomson, K. T. (1997a). Plant diversity and ecosystem
929 productivity: Theoretical considerations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
930 94(5), 1857-1861. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.5.1857>

931 Tilman, D., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Reich, P., Ritchie, M., & Siemann, E. (1997b). The influence
932 of functional diversity and composition on ecosystem processes. *Science*, 277(5330),
933 1300-1302. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.277.5330.1300>

934 Tirado, R., & I. Pugnaire, F. (2005). Community structure and positive interactions in
935 constraining environments. *Oikos*, 111(3), 437-444. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2005.14094.x>

937 Turcotte, M. M., & Levine, J. M. (2016). Phenotypic plasticity and species coexistence. *Trends*
938 *in Ecology & Evolution*, 31(10), 803-813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.07.013>

939 Uriarte, M., Swenson, N. G., Chazdon, R. L., Comita, L. S., John Kress, W., Erickson, D., ...
940 Thompson, J. (2010). Trait similarity, shared ancestry and the structure of neighbourhood
941 interactions in a subtropical wet forest: implications for community assembly: traits, phylogeny,
942 neighbourhood interactions. *Ecology Letters*, 13(12), 1503-1514.
943 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01541.x>

944 Van der Putten, W. H., Macel, M., & Visser, M. E. (2010). Predicting species distribution and
945 abundance responses to climate change: why it is essential to include biotic interactions across
946 trophic levels. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
947 365(1549), 2025-2034. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0037>

948 Velthuis, M., Kosten, S., Aben, R., Kazanjian, G., Hilt, S., Peeters, E. T. H. M., ... Bakker, E.
949 S. (2018). Warming enhances sedimentation and decomposition of organic carbon in shallow
950 macrophyte-dominated systems with zero net effect on carbon burial. *Global Change Biology*,
951 24(11), 5231-5242. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14387>

952 Violle, C., Enquist, B. J., McGill, B. J., Jiang, L., Albert, C. H., Hulshof, C., ... Messier, J. (2012).
953 The return of the variance : intraspecific variability in community ecology. *Trends in Ecology &*
954 *Evolution*, 27(4), 244-252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2011.11.014>

955 Vohra, F. (1966). Determination of photosynthetic pigments in sea-water. *Monographs*
956 *Onocéanographie Methodology*, p. 66.

957 Wang, J., Xu, T., Wang, Y., Li, G., Abdullah, I., Zhong, Z., ... Yu, F. (2021). A meta-analysis
958 of effects of physiological integration in clonal plants under homogeneous vs. Heterogeneous
959 environments. *Functional Ecology*, 35(3), 578-589. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13732>

960 Wang, P., Zhang, Q., Xu, Y.-S., & Yu, F.-H. (2016). Effects of water level fluctuation on the
961 growth of submerged macrophyte communities. *Flora*, 223, 83-89.
962 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2016.05.005>

963 Wantzen, K. M., Rothhaupt, K.-O., Mörtl, M., Cantonati, M., Tóth, L. G.-, & Fischer, P. (2008).
964 Ecological effects of water-level fluctuations in lakes: an urgent issue. In K. M. Wantzen, K.-O.
965 Rothhaupt, M. Mörtl, M. Cantonati, L. G. -Tóth, & P. Fischer (Éds.), *Ecological Effects of Water-*
966 *Level Fluctuations in Lakes* (p. 1-4). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
967 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-9192-6_1

968 Weigelt, A., Steinlein, T., & Beyschlag, W. (2002). Does plant competition intensity rather
969 depend on biomass or on species identity? *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 3(1), 85-94.
970 <https://doi.org/10.1078/1439-1791-00080>

971 Wiegand, T., Uriarte, M., Kraft, N. J. B., Shen, G., Wang, X., & He, F. (2017). Spatially explicit
972 metrics of species diversity, functional diversity, and phylogenetic diversity: insights into plant
973 community assembly processes. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*,
974 48(1), 329-351. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-110316-022936>

975 Williams, E. W., Zeldin, J., Semski, W. R., Hipp, A. L., & Larkin, D. J. (2021). Phylogenetic
976 distance and resource availability mediate direction and strength of plant interactions in a
977 competition experiment. *Oecologia*, 197(2), 459-469. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-021-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-021-05024-4)
978 [05024-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-021-05024-4)

979 Wood, K. A., Stillman, R. A., Clarke, R. T., Daunt, F., & O'Hare, M. T. (2012). Understanding
980 plant community responses to combinations of biotic and abiotic factors in different phases of
981 the plant growth cycle. *PLoS ONE*, 7(11), e49824.
982 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049824>

983 Wrona, F. J., Prowse, T. D., Reist, J. D., Hobbie, J. E., Lévesque, L. M. J., & Vincent, W. F.
984 (2006). Climate change effects on aquatic biota, ecosystem structure and function. *AMBIO: A*
985 *Journal of the Human Environment*, 35(7), 359-369. [https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-](https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2006)35[359:CCEOAB]2.0.CO;2)
986 [7447\(2006\)35\[359:CCEOAB\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447(2006)35[359:CCEOAB]2.0.CO;2)

- 987 Zhang, B., Hautier, Y., Tan, X., You, C., Cadotte, M. W., Chu, C., ... Chen, S. (2020). Species
988 responses to changing precipitation depend on trait plasticity rather than trait means and
989 intraspecific variation. *Functional Ecology*, 34(12), 2622-2633. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-
990 2435.13675](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13675)
- 991 Zhang, L., Wang, B., & Qi, L. (2017). Phylogenetic relatedness, ecological strategy, and stress
992 determine interspecific interactions within a salt marsh community. *Aquatic Sciences*, 79(3),
993 587-595. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-017-0519-2>
- 994 Zhang, P., Kuramae, A., van Leeuwen, C. H. A., Velthuis, M., van Donk, E., Xu, J., & Bakker,
995 E. S. (2020). Interactive effects of rising temperature and nutrient enrichment on aquatic plant
996 growth, stoichiometry, and palatability. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 58.
997 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00058>
- 998 Zhang, Q., Liu, Y.-P., Luo, F.-L., Dong, B.-C., & Yu, F.-H. (2019). Does species richness affect
999 the growth and water quality of submerged macrophyte assemblages? *Aquatic Botany*, 153,
1000 51-57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquabot.2018.11.006>

1001 **Tables**

1002 **Table 1.** Results of ANOVAs performed on the most probable models determined based on the AIC
 1003 selection method, and relationships between biomass LRR of each target species and the local spatial
 1004 arrangements calculated between this target species and (i) all species in its neighbourhood without
 1005 distinction (community scale), or (ii) one particular neighbouring species (species scale). See Materials
 1006 and Methods section for more details on the calculation of local spatial arrangements between
 1007 neighbours. To characterize the abiotic conditions of the ponds and test their effects on biomass LRR
 1008 (without interactions with LSA), we used the first-three axes of a PCA performed on abiotic variables
 1009 (Figure S2). Some species pairwise combinations were not tested because of a lack of observations,
 1010 and thus do not appear in the table.

Biomass LRR of the target species	Neighbouring species	Explanatory variables of selected model					
		<i>n</i>	Predictor	F	d.f.	Model coefficient and its significance	Local spatial arrangements between the target species and neighbouring species
<i>C. ant</i>	All	21	Axis2	29.4	1	0.21***	None
	<i>J. sch</i>	18	Axis2	24.07	1	0.30***	None
	<i>R. bit</i>	16	Axis2	28.42	1	0.18***	None
<i>J. sch</i>	All	30	Axis2	2.73	1	-0.17 (n.s.)	None
	<i>C. ant</i>	15	Axis2	7.62	1	-0.29*	None
	<i>R. bit</i>	30	Axis2	4.09	1	-0.19.	None
	<i>R. mos</i>	8	LSA	13.03	1	0.14*	Aggregation
	<i>R. pseudo</i>	8	x	x	x	x	None
<i>R. bit</i>	All	28	x	x	x	x	None
	<i>C. ant</i>	13	x	x	x	x	None
	<i>J. sch</i>	30	x	x	x	x	None
	<i>R. mos</i>	8	x	x	x	x	None
	<i>R. pseudo</i>	10	Axis3	21.41	1	0.33**	None
<i>R. mos</i>	All	8	Axis3	8.94	1	-0.81*	None
	<i>J. sch</i>	8	Axis3	8.94	1	-0.81*	None
	<i>R. bit</i>	7	Axis3	11.44	1	-0.78*	None
<i>R. pseudo</i>	All	8	x	x	x	x	None
	<i>J. sch</i>	8	x	x	x	x	None
	<i>R. bit</i>	9	x	x	x	x	None

1011 Notes: * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; ‘.’ $0.05 < p < 0.1$; n.s. = not significant ($p > 0.1$). ‘x’ none
 1012 of the tested predictors actually influenced the response variable (model selection). *C. ant* = *C.*

1013 *antarctica*; *J. sch* = *J. scheuchzerioides*; *R. bit* = *R. biternatus*; *R. mos* = *R. moseleyi*; *R. pseudo* = *R.*
1014 *pseudotrullifolius*. 'LSA' local spatial arrangements. 'n' number of points to calculate relationship.

1015 **Table 2.** Results of ANOVAs performed on the most probable models determined based on AIC
 1016 selection method, and testing responses of biomass LRR calculated for each target species to functional
 1017 characteristics (*i.e.* CWM and FDis of traits) of their neighbours. Predictors in bold characters were
 1018 significantly associated with biomass LRR. Functional characteristics were calculated on ramets within
 1019 the 20cm neighbourhood of each target ramet, and included the CWM of seven traits and FDis
 1020 calculated for three types of traits (aerial, root, and clonal). To characterize abiotic conditions and to
 1021 test for their effects on biomass LRR (without interactions with functional characteristics), we used the
 1022 first-three axes of a PCA performed on abiotic variables (Figure S2). Biomass LRR response was not
 1023 tested in three species (*L. australis*, *R. moseleyi*, and *R. pseudotrullifolius*), because of a lack of
 1024 observations (n=4), thus these species are not presented in the table.

Biomass LRR of the target species	Explanatory variables of selected model					
	<i>n</i>	Predictor	F	d.f.	Model coefficient and its significance	Part of explained variance (%)
<i>C. ant</i>	24	CWM max. root length	3.31	1	0.05.	7.91
		Axis2	14.0	1	0.31**	33.39
		Bare soil	4.61	1	0.01*	11.01
		Residuals			20	
<i>J. sch</i>	24	CWM height	8.07	1	0.11**	23.51
		Bare soil	5.26	1	0.008*	15.33
		Residuals			21	
<i>R. bit</i>	27	CWM SLA	5.17	1	-0.02*	3.56
		CWM LDMC	5.44	1	-0.006*	3.74
		FDis aerial traits	47.14	1	2.61***	32.43
		FDis root traits	55.89	1	-2.55***	36.45
		Axis3	13.63	1	0.28**	9.38
		Residuals			21	

1025 Notes: * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01; *** p < 0.001; ‘.’ 0.05 < p < 0.1. ‘x’ none of the tested predictors actually
 1026 influenced the response variable (model selection). *C. ant* = *C. antarctica*; *J. sch* = *J. scheuchzerioides*;
 1027 *R. bit* = *R. biternatus*; *R. mos* = *R. moseleyi*; *R. pseudo* = *R. pseudotrullifolius*. Max. root length =
 1028 maximum root length. ‘n’ number of points to calculate relationship.

1029 Figure captions

1030 **Figure 1.** a) Locations (red points) of the three sampled sites and their associated ponds on the French
1031 sub-Antarctic Iles Kerguelen (48°30–50°S, 68°27–70°35E). b) Sampling design within each pond: abiotic
1032 variables, neighbours' traits to assess neighbourhood functional characteristics, species co-
1033 occurrences to calculate local spatial arrangements between neighbours, targets biomass to calculate
1034 LRR and finally the neighbouring species abundance (%).

1035

1036 **Figure 2.** a) Structural equation model representing the direct and indirect effects of abiotic and biotic
1037 variables characterizing ponds, on macrophyte community biomass. The initial model is presented in
1038 the Supplementary Information and was reduced with lavaan package through variable selection
1039 based on AIC criteria. All variables were calculated at pond level. CWM biomass was calculated based
1040 on the mean of biomass per species and their cover at pond level. Water and sediment nutrient
1041 dimensions were each reduced to the first axis of a PCA analysis. Single dark headed arrows indicate
1042 direct effects. Double headed blue arrows indicate correlation between two model variables.
1043 Standardized path coefficients are given in blue for correlations and in black for direct effects with
1044 their p -values: *** $p < 0.001$; ** $p < 0.01$; * $0.05 < p < 0.1$; no asterisk $p \geq 0.1$ not significant. The widths
1045 of the lines are proportional to the strength of relationships (values of path coefficients). Solid and
1046 dashed lines respectively indicated positive or negative direct effects. Grey paths and grey variables
1047 indicated paths and variables that did not have significant direct or indirect effects on CWM biomass.
1048 R^2 values were the variance proportion of response variables explained by their explanatory variables.
1049 Local spatial arrangements between neighbours were calculated at pond scale, taking into account the
1050 total number of co-occurrences between all pairs of species. FDis was calculated at pond scale (taking
1051 into account all present species per pond), including aerial, clonal and root traits. b) Absolute direct
1052 and indirect effects of abiotic and biotic variables on macrophyte community biomass.