

# Pupillary dynamics reflect the impact of temporal expectation on detection strategy

Jennifer Lawlor, Sara Jamali, Yves Boubenec

## ▶ To cite this version:

Jennifer Lawlor, Sara Jamali, Yves Boubenec. Pupillary dynamics reflect the impact of temporal expectation on detection strategy. iScience, 2023, 5, 10.1016/j.isci.2023.106000. hal-03987228

## HAL Id: hal-03987228 https://hal.science/hal-03987228

Submitted on 14 Feb 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





## Article

Pupillary dynamics reflect the impact of temporal expectation on detection strategy



Jennifer Lawlor, Agnès Zagala, Sara Jamali, Yves Boubenec

jlawlor3@jhu.edu

#### Highlights

Human subjects adapted their decision strategy to a hidden block-based temporal structure

Pupil size correlated with subjects' decision strategy adaptation

A minimal model of urgency predicted behavioral dependence on temporal expectation

Lawlor et al., iScience 26, 106000 February 17, 2023 © 2023 https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.isci.2023.106000

Check for

# **iScience**

## Article

### CellPress OPEN ACCESS

# Pupillary dynamics reflect the impact of temporal expectation on detection strategy

Jennifer Lawlor,<sup>1,5,\*</sup> Agnès Zagala,<sup>2</sup> Sara Jamali,<sup>3</sup> and Yves Boubenec<sup>4</sup>

#### SUMMARY

Everyday life's perceptual decision-making is informed by experience. In particular, temporal expectation can ease the detection of relevant events in noisy sensory streams. Here, we investigated if humans can extract hidden temporal cues from the occurrences of probabilistic targets and utilize them to inform target detection in a complex acoustic stream. To understand what neural mechanisms implement temporal expectation influence on decision-making, we used pupillometry as a proxy for underlying neuromodulatory activity. We found that participants' detection strategy was influenced by the hidden temporal context and correlated with sound-evoked pupil dilation. A model of urgency fitted on false alarms predicted detection reaction time. Altogether, these findings suggest that temporal expectation informs decision-making and could be implemented through neuromodulatory-mediated urgency signals.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Perceptual decisions are often made under uncertainty. Sensory objects are intrinsically noisy, embedded in more complex scenes, and unfold over time. Identifying and reacting to the relevant information in a timely fashion is crucial for interacting successfully with the environment. Temporal expectation is the process of estimating underlying temporal regularities of target events and subsequently making use of those estimates for future behaviorally advantageous responses. For an ambush predator, knowing when to strike is critical<sup>1</sup>: attacking too early could alert the prey to one's presence, whereas waiting too long could lead to being outrun by the prey. In the case of regular events, one can specifically gate detection processes to the expected target timing.<sup>2,3</sup> For non-regular events resulting from a stochastic process, decision-making can be biased toward timings at which target events become more probable,<sup>4-6</sup> indicating that temporal expectation can be factored in perceptual decision-making can change depending on the temporal contingencies of the ongoing task through adaptation of the onset<sup>7</sup> or the duration of the integration process.<sup>8-10</sup> Understanding how decision-making taps into temporal expectation to optimize behavior is of high importance to complement this picture.

Temporal expectation can be framed as a dynamic signal that biases decision-making at epochs when the relevant signal is likely. Works on speed-accuracy trade-off (SAT), although not studying temporal expectation per se, model decision detection response bias under speed pressure with an urgency component.<sup>11,12</sup> In this framework, the urgency component is often directly summed to sensory evidence,<sup>13</sup> and then can take the form of either a static offset<sup>13,14</sup> or a linearly growing signal.<sup>15</sup> Other models incorporate urgency via non-linearly decaying decision boundary.<sup>16,17</sup> Like the conceptual framework of SAT, temporal expectation has been implemented through an urgency signal which biases the decision variable toward earlier responses.<sup>11,12,18–20</sup> Intriguingly, recent experimental evidence interprets urgency as a multiplicative factor which amplifies sensory evidence, leading to faster decisions<sup>21,22</sup> as well as impacting evidence-independent motor preparation.<sup>12</sup> Overall, how experimental manipulations of subjects' temporal expectation impact internal urgency is still a matter of debate.

Neuromodulatory systems play a large role in tuning sensory gain depending on the ongoing context.<sup>23–25</sup> In line with this idea, modeling works suggest that neuromodulatory signals could mediate a multiplicative urgency-related gain which would adaptively modulate sensory responses.<sup>26,27</sup> Hence, a compelling proposal would be that neuromodulation can increase sensory gain under speed pressure, or through the influence of internal temporal expectation. Thus, neuromodulation could enhance detection during a certain <sup>1</sup>Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA

<sup>2</sup>International Laboratory for Brain, Music and Sound Research (BRAMS), Montreal, Canada

<sup>3</sup>Institut Pasteur, INSERM, Institut de l'Audition, Paris, France

<sup>4</sup>Laboratoire des systèmes perceptifs, Département d'études cognitives, École normale supérieure, PSL University, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France

<sup>5</sup>Lead contact

\*Correspondence: jlawlor3@jhu.edu

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci. 2023.106000







time window, at the expense of detection precision. To test this intriguing hypothesis, tracking fluctuations of neuromodulatory tone under different conditions of temporal expectation is a necessary step to determine the role of neuromodulatory systems in adaptive decision-making. Pupil dilation is thought to be under control of the noradrenergic, cholinergic and serotonergic systems<sup>28–31</sup> and to reflect a number of cognitive processes, <sup>32–37</sup> making pupil size a good candidate for capturing the effects of temporal expectation on neural processes.

Previous works have manipulated speed-accuracy trade-off to characterize how overall urgency affected pupil size, <sup>11,12</sup> showing that pupil size increased under speed pressure, possibly because of global gain modulation. Other studies focused on the effect of temporal expectation onto reaction times<sup>4,5,18</sup> and neural correlates of sensory evidence accumulation.<sup>6</sup> However, to date, little is known about the effect of temporal expectation on pupil dynamics. Here we wanted to test how temporal expectation about upcoming target events would be reflected in pupil dynamics throughout trials. For this, we adapted an auditory change detection behavioral task<sup>38</sup> in which temporal contingencies of target events changed in a block-wise fashion. Trials were divided into 3 blocks defined by their fixed pre-change period (early, intermediate and late change time blocks). We hypothesized that subjects would adapt their decision process to the hidden temporal structure by adapting their detection criterion, leading to increases in both false alarm and hit rates with earlier temporal expectation. We predicted that behavioral changes would be reflected in pupil diameter dynamics, consistent with a neuromodulatory source of decision modulation, with larger evoked-pupil response for the early block, followed by the intermediate and the late blocks. Finally, in line with previous work, we postulated that temporal expectation could be modeled as an internal urgency signal. We found that subjects updated their estimation of the change time distribution for each block and that they adapted their behavior accordingly. Pupil size varied in between blocks and correlated with behavioral adaptation of individual subjects. A model of pre-change behavioral response with growing urgency captured key behavioral post-change adaptive strategy and reflected block-based pupil dynamics.

#### RESULTS

#### Auditory change detection task with block-based temporal contingency

We sought to determine if human participants could adapt their perceptual decision strategy to the hidden ongoing temporal structure. For this we modified a previously described change detection task<sup>38</sup> and manipulated the temporal contingencies of the target events in blocks of trials. Eighteen human participants performed this auditory change detection task. Participants were instructed to report a change in a complex auditory stimulus with a button press without delay. In every trial, a wide-spectrum tone cloud governed by an initial marginal distribution was generated (Figure 1A: example trial). Changes consisted of an increase (of variable size, corresponding to 'change size') in tone occurrences in part of the spectrum ('frequency bin'). Change timings were drawn from an exponential distribution (Figure 1B, STAR Methods: stimulus) to ensure that participants could not predict target timings on a trial basis. Overall change detection was consistent with previous results reported in <sup>38</sup> with performance increasing as a function time available to estimate the initial tone cloud statistics until saturation and a dependence on the change size (Figure S1A for detection rate as a function of difficulty and change time and Figure S1B for reaction time as a function of difficulty and change time).

The temporal manipulation corresponded to off-setting the change time exponential distribution by a fixed foreperiod for a series of 120 trials (*early* block: 0s, *intermediate* block: 1.5s, *late* block: 3s). Specifically, a change could arise at any time between 0 and 8s for the *early* block, from 1.5s to 9.5s in the *intermediate* block, and from 3s to 11s for the *late* block (Figure 1B, STAR Methods: stimulus and block temporal structure). Pupil size was recorded for each participant with the Iscan ETL-200 over the course of a trial (Figure 1A: pupil size example raw trace).

#### Temporal expectation modulates early responses

We tested whether the hidden temporal structure of the task could generate differential temporal expectation across blocks. Specifically, we hypothesized that internal expectation about the occurrence of target events at certain times dynamically increases sensory gain, which would lead subjects to respond to stochastic fluctuations in the ongoing stimulus, hence a concomitant increase in false alarm rate. In reaction-time designs,<sup>38–40</sup> false alarms are defined by a button press before the 'go' signal. In physiology studies they are referred to as 'early' behavioral responses and have been used to link subjects' strategy







#### Figure 1. Example trial, experimental block design and false alarm temporal distribution

(A) Top panel: Example trial tone cloud as a function of time within the trial. The tone cloud is composed of chords of simultaneous pure tones (gray points, from 400–1840 kHz, duration: 30ms) selected based on a pseudo-random marginal distribution. The change (blue shade) consisted of an increase in tone occurrence probability in one of the frequency bins. In this example the change was presented in the low part of the spectrum. Bottom panel: Pupil size (A.U.) dynamics corresponding to the example trial. Typically, sound onset led to a small constriction followed by a slow dilation over the course of the trial that culminated after the button press.

(B) Temporal block design: 3 blocks of 120 trials that differed in the start of their change time distribution. *Early* (light blue), *intermediate* (medium blue) and *late* (dark blue) change time distribution, respectively 0 to 8s, 1.5 to 9.5s, and 3 to 11s.

(C) Cumulative distribution of false alarm per block as function of time within trial (i.e. from sound onset), individual participant per curve color coded according to temporal block identity. False alarm CDF steepness depends on block design. For the *early* block, false alarms tend to happen earlier than for the *intermediate* and *late* block, respectively. (D) Area under the curve for false alarm CDF as a function of block. Larger AUC indicates that false alarms distribution is shifted to the left, i.e. false alarms happened earlier (large dot: mean, smaller dot: individual participant). *Early* AUC is significantly higher than *late* AUC (Friedman,  $p < 10^{-4}$ ), suggesting that block temporal identity impacted subjects' behavior.

and subthreshold stimuli changes.<sup>41</sup> Here, false alarms were of particular interest because, unlike hits and misses, they were not bound by the post-change response window but instead could span the entire range of the pre-change period (up to 11s). Across subjects, false alarm timing distribution shifted as a function of block identity (Figures 1C and 1D, false alarm timing normalized cumulative distribution (CDF) per subject and normalized CDF area under the curve (AUC), respectively). As predicted, false alarm timings followed the underlying temporal structure of the block; they occurred earlier in the *early* block, then in the *intermediate* and the *late* blocks (Figure 1C). The mean area under the curve of the normalized cumulative distribution of false alarm timing was significantly larger for the *early*, than the *intermediate* and *late* block (Figure 1D, AUC CDF, Friedman test,  $p < 10^{-56}$ ).

#### Response rates increase as a function of temporal expectation

Our task required subjects to do online detection from the continuous acoustic stream with varying distributions of target timings across blocks. Temporal expectation could then vary differentially in time and across blocks. We therefore tracked temporal dynamics of participants' response rate. To capture those







Figure 2. Temporal expectation impacts decision criterion but not sensitivity

(A) Mean instantaneous criterion per block varied with time and as a function of block (ANOVA, F(8,104) = 4.16,  $p_{time} < 10^{-3}$  and ANOVA, F(2,26) = 10.98,  $p_{block} < 10^{-3}$ , respectively), shaded area: SEM.

(B) Mean instantaneous d' per block decreased with time but did not vary significantly with blocks (ANOVA, F(8,104) = 3.57,  $p_{time} < 10^{-2}$  and ANOVA, F(2,26) = 2.15,  $p_{block} = 0.13$ , respectively), shaded area: SEM.

(C) Detection rate (hit rate) per difficulty level (orange color scale), block (x-position) for comparable trials (change time 3 to 8s). Small dots correspond to individual subjects and large dots correspond to the mean performance per condition across subjects. Performance significantly increased with change size for all blocks and decreased with longer pre-change periods (ANOVA, F(2,28) = 117.07,  $p_{diff} < 10^{-15}$  and ANOVA, F(2,28) = 3.93,  $p_{block} = 0.02$ , respectively).

(D) Median reaction time per subject, color convention and dot size are identical to C. Median reaction mirrored performance with larger change sizes, and faster responses for the *early* block (ANOVA, F(2,28) = 59.79,  $p_{diff} < 10^{-10}$  and ANOVA, F(2,28) = 9.33,  $p_{block} < 10^{-3}$ , respectively).

within the Standard Detection Theory framework, we used an instantaneous measure of rates (described in<sup>38</sup> and STAR Methods: instantaneous rates, criterion and d' computation). Within each trial, subsegments that did not contain a button press or a change were labeled as correct rejections, leading to an instantaneous false alarm rate. Hit rate was still described as the ratio of hits for trials in which a change was presented to subjects and binned as a function of change time. Both rates were computed with a 1s sliding window and as a function of time within the trial (Figures S1C and S1D, for hit and false alarm rates, respectively). To compare how performance evolved between blocks, we restricted our analysis to trials with change timings common to all blocks (from 3 to 8s). Neither block identity, nor time within trial impacted mean instantaneous hit rate did vary significantly between 3 and 5.5s as a function of block (Figure S1C, Friedman test,  $p_{block} = 0.32$ ,  $p_{time} = 0.60$ ). However, instantaneous hit rate did vary significantly between 3 and 5.5s as a function of block (Figure S1C, Friedman test,  $p_{block} = 0.022$ ,  $p_{time} = 0.25$ ). Instantaneous false alarm rate increased with time within trial (Figure S1D Friedman test,  $p_{block} < 10^{-6}$ ) but was also clearly modulated by the block identity. Instantaneous false alarm rate was significantly higher for the *early*, than the *intermediate* and *late* blocks (Figure S1D, Friedman test,  $p_{block} < 10^{-2}$ ) over the 3-8s change time window.

#### Temporal expectation decreases decision criterion

Increased response rates can have mixed effects on signal detection. Here we postulated that temporal expectation could decrease decision thresholds. Decision criterion captured the subjects' adaptive strategy. Criterion was lower for the *early* block then the *intermediate* and *late* block (Figure 2A, ANOVA, F(2,26) = 10.98,  $p_{block} < 10^{-3}$ ) and also lowered as a function of time within the trial (Figure 2A, ANOVA,





F(8,104) = 4.17,  $p_{time} < 10^{-3}$ ). d' decreased as a function of time as instantaneous false alarm rate increased but was not compensated by increase in instantaneous hit rate (Figure 2B, ANOVA, F(8,104) = 3.57,  $p_{time} < 10^{-2}$  and Figures S1C and S1D for instantaneous hit and fa rate as function of time, respectively). However, there was no significant difference for d' in between blocks (Figure 2B, ANOVA, F(2,26) = 2.1503,  $p_{block} = 0.14$ ).

#### Temporal expectation impacts detection rate and reaction times

Consistent with a lower criterion for the *early* block, the detection rate was highest for that block (Figure 2C, Friedman test average across difficulty,  $p_{block} = 0.02$ ), and decreased for the *intermediate* and *late* blocks. This effect was maximal for smaller change size, with a mean decrease between *early* and *late* close to 15%, compared to a decrease of about 5% for medium and 3% for large changes. Therefore, for equivalent change times, participants were better at detecting smaller changes, suggesting that the amount of evidence necessary to elicit change detection was lower for the *early* block.

Reaction time mirrored detection rate across block and difficulty. Median reaction time, as expected, decreased with change size (Figure 2D, ANOVA, F(2,28) = 59.79,  $p_{size} < 10^{-10}$ ). As a function of block identity, median reaction time decreased significantly for medium and large changes (Figure 2D, ANOVA, F(2,28) = 9.33,  $p_{block} < 10^{-3}$ ).

#### Task-evoked pupil response scales with temporal expectation

Here we tested if pupil size reflected participants' behavioral adaptation to the hidden temporal structure of the task. We restricted our analysis to evoked-pupil responses, e.g. pupil size response after sound onset minus its baseline and normalized per subject (STAR Methods: pupil diameter traces preprocessing). Task-evoked pupil responses increased as a function of time after sound onset across conditions for most subjects (Figures 3A and 3C). As predicted, average pupil size for all trials was higher for the *early* block, followed by the *intermediate* and the *late* blocks (Figure 3A) before change detection. This effect is quantified in Figure 3B as the area under the curve for 1.3–2.3s for trials that either contained later changes or button presses (Figure 3B, ANOVA, F(2,28) =  $5.54 \text{ pblock} < 10^{-2}$ ). An inverted U-shape relationship has been reported between pupil size, arousal and behavior.<sup>25,42</sup> We expected high arousal states characterized by larger pupil size to have higher false alarm rates. Figure 3C depicts the relationship between trial outcome and pre-change pupil size. Although pupil size for false alarms seemed higher than hits and misses, this effect was not significant (Figure 3C, ANOVA, F(2,28) = 0.91,  $p_{outcome} = 0.41$ ). To ascertain that the reported temporal effect on pupil size is not caused by a larger number of false alarms, we quantified pupil size within block per outcome type in Figure 3D. Even within each outcome, evoked pupil responses increased from *early* to *late* (Figure 3D, ANOVA, F(2,28) = 9.44,  $p < 10^{-3}$ ).

# Inter-individual differences sensitivity to the task temporal structure are reflected in pupillary dynamics

Previous works reported that pupil size and subject's overall bias are correlated<sup>36</sup>. The design of our paradigm allowed us to test specifically if pupil size would correlate with subjects' changes in bias (Figure 2A) that resulted from their adaptation to temporal contingency associated with each block. We regressed the difference in pupil between *early* and *late* blocks against the ratio of false alarms for the same blocks (Figure 3D). We chose the ratio for the false alarm rate to reflect how an individual subject adapted to the temporal manipulation, regardless of their initial bias. Participants' pupil size and false alarm rate changes across blocks were positively correlated (Figure 3F, Linear Fit,F-statistic = 25, p <  $10^{-3}$ ), suggesting that the propension of individuals to make use of temporal regularities scaled the changes in task-evoked pupillary response.

#### Ballistic model of urgency captures time-dependent false alarm rates

Psychophysics and modeling works have shown that the effects of speed pressure onto decision-making processes can be explained by an urgency signal. In particular, an additive urgency component can bias the decision variable and ultimately lead to faster reaction times, to the detriment of behavioral accuracy. Here we postulated that temporal expectation could be modeled through an internal urgency signal. Therefore, we predicted that a model of internal urgency could explain the dynamics of false alarms observed across blocks. We first aimed at extracting the characteristics of such an urgency signal and then sought to test that pupil dilations correlated with changes in internal urgency.







#### Figure 3. Task-evoked pupil response scales with temporal expectation

(A) Mean pre-change task-evoked pupil size per block before change (or button press for false alarm) average of all trials over time, shaded area: SEM Pupil-evoked response was larger for the *early* block, than the *intermediate* and *late* block, as quantified in B.

(B) Area under the curve for evoked pupil response between 1.3 and 2.3s in trials with change or button press larger than 2.3s per block. Large dot corresponds to mean across subjects (individual: small dot). Task evoked pupil size was largest in the *early* block and scaled with hidden temporal structure (ANOVA,  $F(2,28) = 5.54 p_{block} < 10^{-2}$ ).

(C) Mean pre-change task-evoked pupil size per block before change per trial outcome, shaded area: SEM The inverted U-shaped relationship was not significant here (ANOVA, F(2,28) = 0.91, p<sub>outcome</sub> = 0.41).

(D) Area under the curve for evoked pupil response between 1.3 and 2.3s per block and outcome for each subject (ANOVA, F(2,28) = 9.44,  $p_{block} < 10^{-3}$ ).

(E) False alarm rate AUC for block *early* and *late* per subject. For most subjects (12 out of 15 subjects) false alarm rate decreased with longer change times (*early* higher than *late*) and so did pupil evoked response measured as the AUC between 1.3 and 2.3s.

(F) Linear fit between the ratio of false alarm rate and difference in AUC between *early* and *late* blocks. There was a significant positive relationship between false alarm rate variation and pupil size per subject (linear fit, F-statistic = 25, p <  $10^{-3}$ ), larger differences in false alarm rate between blocks correlated with larger differences in pupil size.

To this end, we modeled a linearly growing urgency component with a ballistic model.<sup>43</sup> We implemented a single ballistic accumulator (similar to a LATER model<sup>44</sup>) whose dynamics reproduced the growing urgency over time. We focused our modeling effort on capturing the instantaneous false alarm rates measured in the experimental data. In this model, the urgency component was modeled by a Gaussian distribution of mean slope  $\nu$  and standard deviations (SDs) (Figure 4A and STAR Methods). Each trial was associated with a drift rate drawn from such a distribution parameterized by  $\nu$  and s. Linear drift continued until the response threshold was reached. In this 2-parameter model, within-trial fluctuations were ignored. Randomness in the urgency came from the SDs of the drift rate distribution, which resulted in a spread of false alarms over time. We allowed for a single parameter to vary between successive blocks (STAR Methods: linear ballistic urgency model), which implied that we used a total of 4 parameters for fitting the three blocks of different temporal expectation. The model captured the average time-dependent







#### Figure 4. Ballistic model of growing urgency reproduced the effect of temporal expectation

(A) Schematic of the ballistic model with linearly growing urgency. Here we depict the Gaussian distribution of urgency slopes for the *intermediate* block. Ballistic trajectories for the mean (black), 1 (dark gray) and 2 (gray) SD slopes are plotted. Response threshold is shown with a dashed line.

(B) Fit of the ballistic urgency model to the actual instantaneous false alarm rates for each block, shaded area: SEM. The parameters used here were the average over 200 cross-validated fits.

(C) Change in urgency variance correlated with modulations of pupil size. Larger pupil evoked response in the *early* block correlated with larger variance of the urgency signal in *early* blocks (n = 13; p = 0.04). Model parameters were fitted on individual subjects.

(D) Change in urgency slope correlated with faster reaction times. Gain in reaction times between *early* and *late* blocks negatively correlated with increased slope in *early* blocks (n = 13; p = 0.04). Model parameters were fitted on individual subjects.

(E) Instantaneous hit rate as a function of time within trial for comparable change timings across blocks (3 to 8s), color code corresponds to block identity. Instantaneous hit rate did vary significantly between 3 and 5s as a function of block (Friedman test,  $p_{block} = 0.02$ ,  $p_{time} = 0.25$ ).

(F) Instantaneous hit rate predicted by the model for a fixed increment modeling the effect of change. Note the qualitative match over the 3 to 5s period with the data in E.



instantaneous false alarm rates across participants (Figure 4B and Table S1 for comparison with other models), with a change in slope  $\nu$  between *early* and *late* blocks, and a change in the spread of slopes *s* between *early* and *intermediate* blocks. Linearly growing urgency with a minimal set of parameters can therefore reproduce the temporal profile of false alarm rates under each condition of temporal expectation.

# Internal urgency growth correlates with task-evoked pupil responses and instantaneous hit rates

Our goal was to correlate internal urgency estimated through the ballistic model with subject-wise modulations of pupil responses by temporal expectation. Hence, we fitted the selected model to individual participants to obtain an individual proxy for internal urgency. We then tested if subject-level changes in taskevoked pupil responses correlated with the urgency signal recovered from the model. We indeed found that subjects with larger modulation of pupillary responses between *early* and *intermediate* blocks showed larger differences in the model between these same blocks (Figure 4C; p = 0.04). This finding suggests that pupillary responses correlated with internal urgency.

The growth of the urgency signal pushes the decision variable faster toward the response threshold, and should lead to faster reaction times and increased hit rates. Our reasoning was two-fold: first, subjects with large differences in urgency slopes between blocks would show a greater reduction of reaction times in early blocks. We did find such a negative correlation between the gain in reaction times from the early to the *late* block and the difference in the mean urgency slope v fitted in the *early* and *late* blocks (Figure 4D; p = 0.04). Second, we predicted that higher urgency would lead to higher hit rates. We focused on the time period when we found an effect of blocks on the instantaneous hit rates (3 to 5s; Figure S1C). Specifically, we modeled change events as an instantaneous increment added to the urgency signal. We assumed that a crossing of the decision boundary because of this increment was a hit. Variance in the urgency distribution distributions led to missed and detected changes. We fitted this increment from the steady period after 5s, so that the change increment would bring a model's hit rate similar to the one found in the actual data (Figure 4E). We then applied this same increment to the urgency curves in the 3-5s period. We found a qualitative match between the data and the model trend (Figure 4F): the late block showed lower hit rate, whereas intermediate and early blocks showed higher hit rates. These two findings are remarkable as the model was fitted only on the false alarm rates, and not on the hit trials used for reaction times. This result indicates that subjects with maximal difference in urgency slopes between blocks were showing maximal gain in reaction times, and that urgency signal may bias hit detection.

#### DISCUSSION

Detection of behaviorally relevant events in ethological context is a noisy process as sensory signals evolve over time and are embedded in complex scenes. However, event detection can be facilitated by extracting co-varying features in the environment, such as reward contingencies and temporal regularities.<sup>8,18</sup> We aimed at determining if temporal expectation of target events built over multiple trials could inform the detection process and if subsequent changes in subjects' strategy would be reflected in evoked pupillary dynamics as a physiological indicator of neuromodulatory activity. We report that subjects adapted their behavioral strategy to the hidden temporal structure presented in blocks and defined by their change timing distribution. In line with previous reports using visual perceptual decision tasks,<sup>18,45</sup> we found that temporal expectation drove the rate of false alarms throughout trials. Furthermore, we used task-evoked pupil diameter dynamics to determine if neuromodulatory activity could support temporal expectation impact on target detection. Because pupil size increase as a function of subject's bias<sup>36</sup> and urgency-scaled pupil signal in SAT paradigms<sup>11,12</sup> have previously been reported, we expected pupil size to be highest in the early block. This hypothesis was supported by our data with a higher pupil trace for the early, than the intermediate and late blocks. More strikingly, subjects' behavioral adaptation to the hidden temporal structure correlated with changes in subject's pupillary dynamics across blocks.

#### Impact of temporal expectation on decision strategy

An internal urgency signal has been theorized to explain decision-making under speed pressure. Here, we show that a ballistic model with an urgency component fitted to pre-change behavioral responses correlated with subjects' pupil changes and post-change reaction times. Our study shows that such an internal





urgency signal can be generated without instructions from task-related contingencies over multiple trials and can improve detection of events.

The physiological mechanisms that could underlie this time-varying signal are still under investigation. Behavioral effects of speed pressure could originate from (1) evidence-independent motor-preparation, <sup>12</sup> (2) evidence-independent lowering of criterion for action selection, <sup>16,17</sup> and (3) neural gain enhancing evidence representation.<sup>21,22,46</sup> Of interest, a recent EEG study suggests a hierarchical intertwined implication of an evidence-independent motor component with evidence integration.<sup>12</sup> The model we propose here does not distinguish between these different factors. However, the growing urgency we implemented relates to evidence-independent processes and does not explicitly tune sensory gain involved in the decision process.<sup>11</sup> Of particular interest, the dual effect on both the slope  $\nu$  and SDs that we reported in our ballistic urgency model could originate from several of those mechanisms. Another explanation could reside in a difference of temporal estimation for the early, versus the intermediate and late blocks. Weber's law stipulates that temporal estimation of longer time durations is less precise than for short durations.<sup>47</sup> This could indicate that urgency trajectories are less precise, hence more variable, for the late and intermediate versus blocks the early one, explaining that the SDs was different in this latter block. Teasing apart the exact contributions of different mechanisms involved in the interaction between temporal contingencies and perceptual decision-making will be the object of future investigations. Also, we note that previous models of SAT and temporal expectation did not include trial-to-trial variability (s parameter in our ballistic model) in the urgency signal, which was a key ingredient of our modeling approach.

Overall, the strength of this modeling approach relies on its simplicity with a 2-parameter fit that reproduces behavioral results for both reaction times and hit rate. However, a model of ever-growing urgency appears biologically implausible because humans have upper time bound to their integrations and decisions ability. Urgency may not rise monotonically but instead saturate after some time, something that is not captured in the increasing urgency model. What are the bounds of urgency and their interactions with other decision processes is a very intriguing line of research that merits further consideration.

#### Neuromodulatory control of urgency reflected by pupillary dynamics

Modeled urgency is proposed to be under neuromodulatory control.<sup>11,26,27</sup> Pupil dilation reflects the activity of multiple neuromodulatory networks,<sup>28–31</sup> and those networks in turn support a wide variety of cognitive functions, from attention to learning.<sup>25,48–50</sup> As such, pupil dynamics has been used as a physiological proxy to investigate the role of neuromodulation in cognitive control. Here, adaptive urgency under temporal contextual pressure correlated with pupil size confirming the implication of neuromodulatory networks in driving time-varying signals related to decision-making. However, how each of those systems impacts decision-making specifically and how their activity interacts is still unknown. The source of this physiological effect remains poorly described, and further physiological studies into the neuromodulatory drive of decision-making are needed.

Other subcortical targets activity correlated with time-varying urgency signals such as the globus pallidus in monkeys<sup>51</sup> and the caudate in humans.<sup>22</sup> In addition, microstimulation of Superior Colliculus (SC) and Inferior Colliculus (IC) also trigger pupil dilation.<sup>29</sup> Recent evidence supports the 'common drive hypothesis' where the SC coordinates both saccades and pupil dilation.<sup>52</sup> The SC has also been implicated in the decision criterion generation,<sup>53</sup> leading to an intriguing hypothesis: the SC may play a role in mediating a 'temporal orientation' response, akin to its traditional role in spatial selection of targets.

#### **Online tracking of subthreshold events**

Natural scenes complexity contrasts with the ease with which humans and animals detect and react to relevant events. The brain's ability to integrate and monitor sensory inputs over long timescales is key for overcoming this challenge during the decision-making process. For example, natural sounds such as textures can be represented through summary statistics over seconds, <sup>54,55</sup> and identifying relevant behavioral signals in such evolving sensory environments requires building faithful representation of signals over seconds while still monitoring rapid changes and potential relevant deviations. <sup>10,19,38,40,55,56</sup> So far, urgency-generated temporal context has not been studied in such situations, and previous studies instead used paradigms in which the foreperiod was not informative for the task at hand. <sup>18–20</sup> For this reason, we chose a time-varying complex and stochastic stimulus where each time step can be informative of either the





pre-change or post-change sound statistics, aiming at mimicking a more ethologically relevant decisionmaking process in which participants integrated sensory information in both the pre-change and the post-change periods.

Behavioral adaptation to the hidden temporal context led to earlier and increased numbers of false alarms. Of interest, and because of the stochastic nature of our stimulus, false alarms are likely linked to local changes in the stimulus that resembled changes by chance.<sup>39</sup> In fact, participants often reported after the task that they perceived changes before false alarms. The shift in subjects' criterion in the *early* block suggests that participants were more susceptible to report small fluctuations of the pre-change stimulus that resembled the post-change statistics to detect. Unfortunately, our stimulus design did not allow us to specifically identify false alarms that are based on subthreshold fluctuations, simply because changes were spread along the spectrum equally, leading to an inability to determine which part of the spectrum contained the subthreshold fluctuations. Orsolic et al.<sup>41</sup> investigated the representation of such subthreshold events in a similar visual reaction time task in mice and used wide-field calcium imaging to describe target selection. They found that the smallest subthreshold events were enhanced in motor regions under increased speed pressure, leading the animals being more susceptible to report them. Altogether, this implies that temporal expectation built over multiple trials informs decision-making through urgency-related signals that impact the processing of sensory information.

#### Limitations of the study

This study focuses on the role of temporal expectation on decision-making. As such our experimental paradigm and modeling approach aimed at describing this process and intrinsically possess limitations that we think merit further investigations. As mentioned in the discussion, our stimulus design did not allow us to extract psychophysical kernels from the false alarms. A modified version of the task that would allow for traceable spectral changes could therefore shed light on the relationship between evidence integration and urgency. In addition, we did not address how subjects estimated the hidden temporal structure. This process could either be continuous and evolve slowly over trials, or be sudden when confronted with a change time outside of the predicted distribution. This is in itself an interesting question that touches on mechanisms of implicit statistical learning and predictive modeling, and would require focusing on subject's behavior at transition points.

#### **STAR\*METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY** 
  - O Lead contact
  - Materials availability
  - Data and code availability
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
- METHODS DETAILS
  - O Experimental set-up
  - Stimulus
  - O Procedure
- QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
  - O Pupil diameter traces preprocessing
  - Behavioral analysis
  - O Instantaneous rates, criterion and d' computation
  - Reaction times
  - Pupil dynamics analysis
  - Statistical analysis
  - O Linear Ballistic Urgency model
  - O Procedure for fitting and evaluating models

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.106000.

## iScience Article



#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr Shihab Shamma, Dr Daeyeol Lee, Dr Ninad Kothari, Dr Kate Allen and Clarice Diebold for their thoughtful comments and suggestions on our manuscript. Our thanks to Dr Bernhard Englitz for his crucial work on the psychophysical task and fruitful guidance. We especially thank Dr Célian Bimbard for endless discussion of this topic during and after data collection. This work was funded in part by FrontCog grant ANR-17-EURE-0017 and by the ERC (European Research Council) ADAM E-138 senior grant.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

J.L. and Y.B. designed the experiment, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. A.Z. collected the data and started the analysis process. S.J. participated in data analysis.

#### **DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: March 15, 2022 Revised: November 9, 2022 Accepted: January 12, 2023 Published: January 16, 2023

#### REFERENCES

- Wearmouth, V.J., McHugh, M.J., Humphries, N.E., Naegelen, A., Ahmed, M.Z., Southall, E.J., Reynolds, A.M., and Sims, D.W. (2014). Scaling laws of ambush predator "waiting" behaviour are tuned to a common ecology. Proc. Biol. Sci. 281, 20132997.
- Lakatos, P., Karmos, G., Mehta, A.D., Ulbert, I., and Schroeder, C.E. (2008). Entrainment of neuronal oscillations as a mechanism of attentional selection. Science 320, 110–113.
- Lakatos, P., Musacchia, G., O'Connel, M.N., Falchier, A.Y., Javitt, D.C., and Schroeder, C.E. (2013). The Spectrotemporalfilter mechanism of auditory selective attention. Neuron 77, 750–761.
- Tsunoda, Y., and Kakei, S. (2008). Reaction time changes with the hazard rate for a behaviorally relevant event when monkeys perform a delayed wrist movement task. Neurosci.Lett. 433, 152–157.
- Oswal, A., Ogden, M., and Carpenter, R.H.S. (2007). The time course of stimulus expectation in a saccadic decision task. J. Neurophysiol. 97, 2722–2730.
- Janssen, P., and Shadlen, M.N. (2005). A representation of the hazard rate of elapsed time in macaque area LIP. Nat. Neurosci. 8, 234–241.
- Teichert, T., Ferrera, V.P., and Grinband, J. (2014). Humans optimize decision-making by delaying decision onset. PLoS One 9, e89638. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0089638.
- Ossmy, O., Moran, R., Pfeffer, T., Tsetsos, K., Usher, M., and Donner, T.H. (2013). The timescale of perceptual evidence integration can be adapted to the environment. Curr. Biol. 23, 981–986.
- 9. Piet, A., Hady, A.E., and Brody, C.D. (2017). Rats optimally accumulate and discount

evidence in a dynamic environment. Preprint at bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/204248.

- Mcwalter, R., and Mcdermott, J.H. (2018). Article adaptive and selective time averaging of auditory scenes. Curr. Biol. 28, 1405– 1418.e10.
- Murphy, P.R., Boonstra, E., and Nieuwenhuis, S. (2016). Global gain modulation generates time-dependent urgency during perceptual choice in humans. Nat. Commun. 7, 13526.
- Steinemann, N.A., O'Connell, R.G., and Kelly, S.P. (2018). Decisions are expedited through multiple neural adjustments spanning the sensorimotor hierarchy. Nat. Commun. 9, 3627. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06117-0.
- Hanks, T., Kiani, R., and Shadlen, M.N. (2014). A neural mechanism of speed-accuracy tradeoff in macaque area LIP. Elife 3, e02260.
- van Veen, V., Krug, M.K., and Carter, C.S. (2008). The neural and computational basis of controlled speed-accuracy tradeoff during task performance. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 20, 1952–1965.
- Thura, D., and Cisek, P. (2014). Deliberation and commitment in the premotor and primary motor cortex during dynamic decision making. Neuron 81, 1401–1416.
- Tajima, S., Drugowitsch, J., and Pouget, A. (2016). Optimal policy for value-based decision-making. Nat. Commun. 7, 12400.
- Palestro, J.J., Weichart, E., Sederberg, P.B., and Turner, B.M. (2018). Some task demands induce collapsing bounds: evidence from a behavioral analysis. Psychon. Bull. Rev. 25, 1225–1248.
- Shinn, M., Ehrlich, D.B., Lee, D., Murray, J.D., and Seo, H. (2020). Confluence of timing and

reward biases in perceptual decision-making dynamics. J. Neurosci. 40, 7326–7342.

- Devine, C.A., Gaffney, C., Loughnane, G.M., Kelly, S.P., and O'Connell, R.G. (2019). The role of premature evidence accumulation in making difficult perceptual decisions under temporal uncertainty. Elife 8, e48526. https:// doi.org/10.7554/elife.48526.
- Thura, D., Beauregard-Racine, J., Fradet, C.-W., and Cisek, P. (2012). Decision making by urgency gating: theory and experimental support. J. Neurophysiol. 108, 2912–2930.
- Yau, Y., Hinault, T., Taylor, M., Cisek, P., Fellows, L.K., and Dagher, A. (2021). Evidence and urgency related EEG signals during dynamic decision-making in humans. J. Neurosci. 41, 5711–5722.
- 22. Yau, Y., Dadar, M., Taylor, M., Zeighami, Y., Fellows, L.K., Cisek, P., and Dagher, A. (2020). Neural correlates of evidence and urgency during human perceptual decision-making in dynamically changing conditions. Cereb. Cortex 30, 5471–5483.
- Jacob, S.N., and Nienborg, H. (2018). Monoaminergicneuromodulation of sensory processing. Front. Neural Circuits 12, 51.
- 24. Thiele, A., and Bellgrove, M.A. (2018). Neuromodulation of attention. Neuron 97, 769–785.
- Aston-Jones, G., and Cohen, J.D. (2005). An integrative theory of locus coeruleusnorepinephrine function: adaptive gain and optimal performance. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 28, 403–450.
- 26. Standage, D., You, H., Wang, D.H., and Dorris, M.C. (2011). Gain modulation by an urgency signal controls the speed-accuracy trade-off in a network model of a cortical decision circuit. Front. Comput.Neurosci. 5, 7.



- Niyogi, R.K., and Wong-Lin, K. (2013). Dynamic excitatory and inhibitory gain modulation can produce flexible, robust and optimal decision-making. PLoSComput. Biol. 9, e1003099.
- Reimer, J., McGinley, M.J., Liu, Y., Rodenkirch, C., Wang, Q., McCormick, D.A., and Tolias, A.S. (2016). Pupil fluctuations track rapid changes in adrenergic and cholinergic activity in cortex. Nat. Commun. 7, 13289.
- Joshi, S., Li, Y., Kalwani, R.M., and Gold, J.I. (2016). Relationships between pupil diameter and neuronal activity in the locus coeruleus, colliculi, and cingulate cortex. Neuron 89, 221–234.
- Murphy, P.R., O'Connell, R.G., O'Sullivan, M., Robertson, I.H., and Balsters, J.H. (2014). Pupil diameter covaries with BOLD activity in human locus coeruleus. Hum. Brain Mapp. 35, 4140–4154.
- Cazettes, F., Reato, D., Morais, J.P., Renart, A., and Mainen, Z.F. (2021). Phasic activation of dorsal raphe serotonergic neurons increases pupil size. Curr. Biol. 31, 192– 197.e4.
- 32. Zhao, S., Chait, M., Dick, F., Dayan, P., Furukawa, S., and Liao, H.-I. (2019). Pupillinked phasic arousal evoked by violation but not emergence of regularity within rapid sound sequences. Nat. Commun. 10, 4030.
- Preuschoff, K., 't Hart, B.M., and Einhäuser, W. (2011). Pupil dilation signals surprise: evidence for noradrenaline's role in decision making. Front. Neurosci. 5, 1–12.
- Murphy, P.R., Vandekerckhove, J., and Nieuwenhuis, S. (2014). Pupil-linked arousal determines variability in perceptual decision making. PLoSComput. Biol. 10, e1003854. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi. 1003854.
- 35. Krishnamurthy, K., Nassar, M.R., Sarode, S., and Gold, J.I. (2017). Arousal-related adjustments of perceptual biases optimize perception in dynamic environments. Nat. Hum. Behav. 7, 0107.
- de Gee, J.W., Knapen, T., and Donner, T.H. (2014). Decision-related pupil dilation reflects upcoming choice and individual bias. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, E618–E625.
- Keung, W., Hagen, T.A., and Wilson, R.C. (2019). Regulation of evidence accumulation by pupil-linked arousal processes. Nat. Hum. Behav. 3, 636–645.
- Boubenec, Y., Lawlor, J., Górska, U., Shamma, S., and Englitz, B. (2017). Detecting changes in dynamic and complex acoustic environments. Elife 6, e24910. https://doi. org/10.7554/eLife.24910.

- Harun, R., Jun, E., Park, H.H., Ganupuru, P., Goldring, A.B., and Hanks, T.D. (2020). Timescales of evidence evaluation for decision making and associated confidence judgments are adapted to task demands. Front. Neurosci. 14, 826. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fnins.2020.00826.
- Johnson, B., Verma, R., Sun, M., and Hanks, T.D. (2017). Characterization of decision commitment rule alterations during an auditory change detection task. J. Neurophysiol. 118, 2526–2536.
- Orsolic, I., Rio, M., Mrsic-Flogel, T.D., and Znamenskiy, P. (2021). Mesoscale cortical dynamics reflect the interaction of sensory evidence and temporal expectation during perceptual decision-making. Neuron 109, 1861–1875.e10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. neuron.2021.03.031.
- McGinley, M.J., David, S.V., and McCormick, D.A. (2015). Cortical membrane potential signature of optimal states for sensory signal detection. Neuron 87, 179–192.
- Brown, S.D., and Heathcote, A. (2008). The simplest complete model of choice response time: linear ballistic accumulation. Cogn. Psychol. 57, 153–178.
- 44. Noorani, I., and Carpenter, R.H.S. (2016). The LATER model of reaction time and decision. Neurosci.Biobehav. Rev. 64, 229–251.
- Orsolic, I., Rio, M., Mrsic-Flogel, T.D., and Znamenskiy, P. (2019). Mesoscale cortical dynamics reflect the interaction of sensory evidence and temporal expectation during perceptual decision-making. Preprint at bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/552026.
- 46. Hawkins, G.E., Forstmann, B.U., Wagenmakers, E.-J., Ratcliff, R., and Brown, S.D. (2015). Revisiting the evidence for collapsing boundaries and urgency signals in perceptual decision-making. J. Neurosci. 35, 2476–2484.
- Thomaschke, R., Wagener, A., Kiesel, A., and Hoffmann, J. (2011). The scope and precision of specific temporal expectancy: evidence from a variable foreperiod paradigm. Atten.Percept.Psychophys. 73, 953–964.
- Guo, W., Robert, B., and Polley, D.B. (2019). The cholinergic basal forebrain links auditory stimuli with delayed reinforcement to support learning. Neuron 103, 1164–1177.e6.
- Aston-Jones, G., Rajkowski, J., and Cohen, J. (1999). Role of locus coeruleus in attention and behavioral flexibility. Biol. Psychiatry 46, 1309–1320. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(99)00140-7.
- 50. Janitzky, K., Lippert, M.T., Engelhorn, A., Tegtmeier, J., Goldschmidt, J., Heinze, H.-J.,

and Ohl, F.W. (2015). Optogenetic silencing of locus coeruleus activity in mice impairs cognitive flexibility in an attentional setshifting task. Front. Behav.Neurosci. *9*, 286.

**iScience** 

Article

- Thura, D., and Cisek, P. (2017). The basal gangliado not select reach targets but control the urgency of commitment. Neuron 95, 1160–1170.e5.
- Wang, C.-A., and Munoz, D.P. (2021). Coordination of pupil and saccade responses by the superior Colliculus. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 33, 919–932.
- Crapse, T.B., Lau, H., and Basso, M.A. (2018). A role for the superior Colliculus in decision criteria. Neuron 97, 181–194.e6.
- McDermott, J.H., and Simoncelli, E.P. (2011). Sound texture perception via statistics of the auditory periphery: evidence from sound synthesis. Neuron 71, 926–940.
- McDermott, J.H., Schemitsch, M., and Simoncelli, E.P. (2013). Summary statistics in auditory perception. Nat. Neurosci. 16, 493–498.
- Skerritt-Davis, B., and Elhilali, M. (2021). Neural encoding of auditory statistics. J. Neurosci. 41, 6726–6739.
- de Gee, J.W., Tsetsos, K., Schwabe, L., Urai, A.E., McCormick, D., McGinley, M.J., and Donner, T.H. (2020). Pupil-linked phasic arousal predicts a reduction of choice bias across species and decision domains. Elife 9, e54014. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.54014.
- Heitz, R.P., and Schall, J.D. (2012). Neural mechanisms of speed-accuracy tradeoff. Neuron 76, 616–628.
- Ho, T., Brown, S., van Maanen, L., Forstmann, B.U., Wagenmakers, E.-J., and Serences, J.T. (2012). The optimality of sensory processing during the speed-accuracy tradeoff. J. Neurosci. 32, 7992–8003. https://doi.org/ 10.1523/jneurosci.0340-12.2012.
- 60. Adam, R., Bays, P.M., and Husain, M. (2012). Rapid decision-making under risk. Cogn.Neurosci. 3, 52–61.
- Usher, M., and McClelland, J.L. (2001). The time course of perceptual choice: the leaky, competing accumulator model. Psychol. Rev. 108, 550–592.
- 62. Donkin, C., Brown, S., Heathcote, A., and Wagenmakers, E.-J. (2011). Diffusion versus linear ballistic accumulation: different models but the same conclusions about psychological processes? Psychon. Bull. Rev. 18, 61–69.



### **STAR\*METHODS**

#### **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Deposited data		
Behavioral data	This paper	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7504830
Pupillometry data	This paper	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7504830
Original code (pupillometry and behavior)	This paper	https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7504830
Software and algorithms		
MATLAB R2021	MathWorks	https://www.mathworks.com/products/
		matlab.html

#### **RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

#### Lead contact

Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Jennifer Lawlor (jlawlor3@jhu.edu).

#### **Materials availability**

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

#### Data and code availability

- All preprocessed data structures have been deposited at Zenodo and is publicly available as of the date of publication. DOIs are listed in the key resources table.
- All original code for data analysis has been deposited at Zenodo and is publicly available as of the date of publication. DOIs are listed in the key resources table.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request

#### **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

18 human subjects (6 females, 12 males, age range: 22–34 years old) reporting normal hearing and with corrected-to-normal vision participated in this psychophysics experiment. The experimental procedure was approved by the Ethics Committees for Health Sciences at Université Paris Descartes. All participants provided informed consent and were compensated at a rate of 15 euros per hour.

#### **METHODS DETAILS**

#### **Experimental set-up**

#### Acoustic stimulation

Participants were seated in an acoustically-sealed booth (Industrial Acoustics Company GmbH). Both sound presentation and behavioral responses were controlled using a custom-written software in MATLAB (BAPHY, from the Neural Systems Laboratory, University of Maryland, College Park) usually used for extracellular electrophysiology recordings in animals during behavior but adapted for human psychophysics. Acoustic stimuli were sampled at 100 kHz, converted to an analog signal using an IO board (National instruments, PCIe-6353) and delivered diotically through calibrated high-fidelity headphones (Seinnheiseri380). Acoustic stimuli were presented at 70 dB.

#### Behavioral response

Participants were given a custom-built response box, composed of a single button. Button presses were sent to the IO board (National instruments, PCIe-6353) and are therefore recorded with a sub-millisecond precision.

#### Pupil size recording

Pupil diameter was recorded with the ISCAN reflection tracking system ETL-200 (sampling rate: 1000 Hz) while subjects performed the change detection task. Subjects placed their head on a chin-rest 90 cm away from a gray screen ( $31.6 \text{ cd/m}^2$ ) with a small central fixation cross. Luminance was kept constant inside the booth throughout the experiment, and black curtains occluded the window of the acoustic chamber. Before the start of each trial (corresponding to the sound onset), an 800 ms period of silence was introduced, to estimate pupil diameter before sound onset. We refer to this period as the 'baseline period'.

#### Stimulus

#### Tone cloud

On every trial, the subjects were presented with a tone cloud ranging from 400 to 1840 Hz, and governed by a pseudo-random marginal distribution. All tone clouds consisted of a train of 30ms chords composed of synchronously presented pure tones. Each chord contained a subset of 26 logarithmically spaced pure tones selected according to the probability of tone occurrence defined by the randomly drawn marginal distribution (Figure 1A: example trial).

#### Change

In this auditory change-detection task, participants were instructed to detect a change consisting of an increase in the tone occurrence probability of part of the spectrum. The stimulus is divided in 4 equally log-spaced frequency bands defined by their initial marginal distribution. At the change time, the initial marginal distribution is modified with an increase of probability of variable size (corresponding to the change size) for one of the frequency bands. The increase in local power is compensated by an equivalent decrease in the other bands to maintain global loudness. Change sizes were limited to 3: 60%, 95% and 130%, corresponding to a small, medium and large change. Change time was drawn from an exponential distribution, bounded by blocks' temporal structure from 0 to 11s.

Participants had 2 seconds to detect and react to the change. A button press before the change occurrence was labeled a false alarm, after the change and within the 2s response window, a hit and if there was no button press 2s after the change, a miss. All trials contained a change, no catch trials were presented. Correct rejections in this experiment corresponded to trial segments before a change appeared (see behavioral analysis: instantaneous rates, criterion and d' computation).

#### Block temporal structure

Unbeknownst to the subjects, change time blocks were introduced. In each of these blocks, a change could arise only after a fixed pre-change period (*early*: 0s, *intermediate*: 1.5s and *late*: 3s from trial onset, Figure 1B). Blocks consisted of 120 successive trials and no indication of a block beginning or end was given to the participants.

#### Procedure

#### Instructions

Each participant received the same set of instructions, mainly that they were going to perform a change detection task, and that each trial contained a change.

#### Training

Instructions were purposefully vague about the nature and or timing of the change. We ensured that participants could perform the task reliably with a training session of approximately 40 trials of the largest change size (130%). Only participants that reached a 40% hit rate were allowed to start the main experiment.

#### Trial structure

Participants were asked to maintain fixation of a cross placed in the center of the screen during trials. Feedback was given at the end of every trial, in the form of a check ('v') for correct trials (hits) and a cross ('x') for incorrect trials (false alarms and misses). The fixation cross and the feedback objects were the same size and color (white over a grey background) ensuring a constant luminance over the entire experiment. Feedback was displayed after the button press, and subjects were instructed to preferentially blink during feedback









presentation. After being displayed for 1s feedback was replaced by the fixation cross for another second before the start of the next trial. Each subject performed a total of 360 trials, divided into 3 blocks of 120 trials each. Block presentation order was randomized across subjects. The experiment lasted about 1 hour and 30 minutes with two 5 min breaks that did not coincide with the beginning or end of a block.

#### QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

#### **Pupil diameter traces preprocessing**

3 subjects were excluded from further analysis as their pupil diameter was not recorded properly (software thresholds were not respected, leading to only one value for pupil diameter), leaving a total of 15 subjects for the analysis.

#### Blink removal

Blinks defined as points outside 1.5 standard deviation of the mean pupil trace (over the entire session) were replaced by NaNs over an asymmetric window spanning 350ms (150ms prior, 200ms after identified blink event). The number of trials containing blinks did not vary significantly across blocks (median number of trials containing blink events  $\pm$  sem: 14  $\pm$ 4.43, 25  $\pm$ 6.14 and 17  $\pm$ 6.38 trials for the Early, Intermediary and Late block respectively (Kruskal-Wallis test, p<sub>block</sub>=0.46).

#### Filtering

The pupil time series were first low-pass filtered (third order Butterworth, cutoff, 10 Hz<sup>36</sup>).

#### Task-evoked pupil response

To investigate the effect of temporal expectation on pupil size dynamics within trials, we focused on taskevoked pupil response by subtracting the mean pupil diameter estimated during the baseline period from the corresponding trial. In addition, to allow for across subjects comparison (Iscan output is in arbitrary units), pupil traces were divided by each subject's standard deviation leading to comparable pupil size distribution.

#### **Behavioral analysis**

We quantified participants' ability to detect changes in different conditions (block-based temporal and change size) using time-dependent hit, false alarm rates, and d' and criterion estimation developed in Boubenec et al. 2017.<sup>38</sup> Change timings are discretized in bins of change times in order to capture the effect of change time occurrence on behavioral measures. Block-based comparison of performance or reaction time is done for change timings that are common across all blocks [3-8s], and for which the estimation of base-line statistics has saturated.

#### Instantaneous rates, criterion and d' computation

#### Instantaneous hit rate

HR(t) was computed as the fraction of correct change detections, in relation to the number of trials with changes occurring over a 1s window centered around time t. For this specific paradigm, HR(t) was computed for 14 overlapping time bins from 0s to 7.5s in 0.5s increments. For each segment, the hit rate is:

$$HR(t) = \frac{H(t)}{H(t) + M(t)}$$

H(t) corresponds to the number of hits and M(t) to the number of misses for change timings occurring within this time bin.

#### Instantaneous false alarm rate

Similarly to the d' time-dependent measure in  $^{38}$ , trial segments before the change were used as correct rejections. FAR(t) was computed for 14 overlapping time bins from 0s to 7.5s in 0.5s increments. For each segment, the false alarm rate is:

$$FAR(t) = \frac{FA(t)}{FA(t) + CR(t)}$$





FA(t) corresponds to the number of false alarms occurring within this time bin (timing of the button press for a false alarm) and CR(t) is the number of trials where the change appears after the end of the time bin.

#### Instantaneous d'

We used the classical approximation d'(t) = Z(HR(t)) - Z(FAR(t)) to compute participants' sensitivity as a function of time per condition. Z(p) is the inverse of the Gaussian cumulative distribution function (CDF). HR(t) is the hit rate as a function of time since stimulus onset, described above. FAR(t) is the false alarm rate as a function of time since stimulus onset, described above.

#### Instantaneous criterion

We used the approximation  $b(t) = -\frac{Z(HR(t)) + Z(FAR(t))}{2}$  to compute participants' bias as a function of time per condition.

#### **Reaction times**

Reaction times were obtained by subtracting the change time from the response time hit trials. For each condition, the distribution of reaction times was assembled and the median reaction time computed per subject. Figures usually present the mean reaction time across subjects with individual medians displayed with a smaller dot (Figure 2F).

#### **Pupil dynamics analysis**

Pupil dynamics analysis was restricted to phasic response locked to stimulus onset and corrected by the silent pre-onset stimulus baseline.<sup>12,32,57</sup> To investigate the effect of temporal expectation on task-evoked pupil response we quantified the pupil dynamics as the cumulative integration of the pupil diameter for comparable pre-change time points between 1.3 and 2.3s. We picked this specific window to 1) maximize the number of trials per conditions, since trials containing change before 2s are removed for the analysis, and 2) let the pupil diameter recover from the initial onset-related constriction (Figures 3A and 3B).

#### **Statistical analysis**

We tested each measure for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test. If data was reported as normal (such as pupil dynamics AUC) we conducted within subject repeated measures ANOVAs, to determine significance of the temporal manipulation of our measures. For data that was not normally distributed (such as performance) we conducted significance testing using Friedman tests. Only reaction times distribution was modified to allow for parametric testing by using the log. All data analysis and statistical analysis was performed using custom-built script in MATLAB.

#### Linear Ballistic Urgency model

We postulated that changes in responsiveness across blocks came from changes in subject internal urgency. For extracting a measure of internal urgency, we adapted a classical model of decision-making called Linear Ballistic Accumulator (LBA<sup>43</sup>) with a single accumulator leading to decision (like in the LATER model<sup>44</sup>). This model is classically used for fitting response time distributions under different conditions of speed-accuracy trade-off.<sup>58,59</sup> LBA models assume a linear accumulation of evidence towards a decision, with an absence of randomness within trial. Variance in reaction times arises from the variance in the drift rate distribution. In the model used here, drift rates are drawn from a Gaussian distribution of mean drift rate  $\nu$ , and standard deviation s. Here we substituted the accumulator variable of the LBA with an urgency variable, with identical parameterization  $\nu$  the mean urgency drift rate and s the standard deviation of the drift rate distribution (Figure 4A; <sup>60</sup>). We chose to fit the instantaneous false alarm rates which is a metric independent of trial number, but instead reflects the propensity to respond at every point in time. Despite their conciseness, LBA models bring similar conclusions than accumulator models which include within-trial randomness.<sup>61,62</sup> This makes LBA-type models an appealing modeling choice for working with a reduced set of parameters.

Close inspection of false alarm rates suggests that there are quantitative differences between the *early* block, and the other two blocks (Figures 2B and 4B). False alarms in the *early* block showed an early take-off (before 2s), which was absent in the *intermediate* and *late* blocks for which false alarms increased no earlier than 2s. On the contrary, the difference between *intermediate* and *late* blocks was in their average slope. We expected this quantitative difference to impact the ballistic model. We therefore





plotted false alarm timing with reciprobitplots,<sup>44</sup> so that distinct effects on the  $\nu$  or s parameters would be isolated (Figure S2). Reciprobit plots for the *intermediate* and *late* false alarm timings showed a horizontal shift of the response times between *intermediate* and *late* blocks, which indicates a change in the mean drift rate  $\nu$ .

Based on these observations, we hypothesized that one single parameter ( $\nu$  or s) varied between blocks of adjacent expectation (*early* to *intermediate*, *intermediate* to *late*). This led to 4 different alternative models. We fitted these 4 different models (Table S1), and found that model (n°4) described the best our data. Model n°4 postulated a change of the standard deviation of the drift rate distribution for the *early* block, and a change of mean urgency drift rate between the *intermediate* and *late* blocks, which matches the observations of the reciprobit plots. Additionally, we tested a version of the model in which the bound was a block-dependent parameter and the other two parameters were fixed. We found no improvement in the model prediction power.

#### Procedure for fitting and evaluating models

Procedure for testing the goodness-of-fit of the four alternative models was as follows: we performed 200 cross-validations in which we fitted the four free parameters on the average instantaneous false alarm rates obtained over 8 randomly drawn subjects. We then computed the MSE between the model predictions and the average over the 7 left-out subjects. This splitting procedure assumed that both groups would share the same inter-individual variability. We used the *fminsearch* algorithm from MATLABwhich is based on the Nelder-Mead simplex methods. To prevent incorrect fit due to local minima, every cross-validation was averaged over 20 fits done with different randomly chosen starting seeds drawn between 0 and 1.

For a drawn urgency drift  $v_0$ , false alarm timing will be  $1/v_0$ . Following this logic, distribution of false alarm timing for a set of parameters v and s can be computed as:

$$FA(t) = \frac{p(\nu = 1/t)}{1 - \int_{0}^{t} p(\nu = 1/T) dT}$$

For single subject fit, we initialized the fitting procedure with the average parameters recovered across the 200 cross-validations performed on the average data. 2 subjects were discarded because the fitting brought aberrant values for standard deviation of the drift rate distribution (>2 median values).

For evaluating the effect of urgency on the instantaneous hit rate (Figures 4E and 4F), we focused on the time period when we found an effect of blocks on the instantaneous hit rates (3 to 5.5s; Figure S1C). We modeled change events as an instantaneous increment added to the urgency signal, and a crossing of the decision boundary due to this increment was considered as a hit. The value of the increment was fixed so that the model and data hit rates matched in the post-5s period. We then added this same increment to the urgency signal in the 3-5s period, and similarly computed hit rates as the proportion of trials with a crossing of the decision boundary.