

## Ecological succession of pico- and nanophytoplankton in a coastal bay of NW Mediterranean sea

Anne-Sophie Pavaux, Flora Drouet, Jean-Louis Jamet, Jean-Marc Ginoux, Christophe Brach-Papa, Yanis Sindt-Baret, Veronique Lenoble, Dominique Jamet

## ► To cite this version:

Anne-Sophie Pavaux, Flora Drouet, Jean-Louis Jamet, Jean-Marc Ginoux, Christophe Brach-Papa, et al.. Ecological succession of pico- and nanophytoplankton in a coastal bay of NW Mediterranean sea. Continental Shelf Research, In press, pp.104948. 10.1016/j.csr.2023.104948. hal-03985097

## HAL Id: hal-03985097 https://hal.science/hal-03985097v1

Submitted on 13 Feb 2023  $\,$ 

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Ecological succession of pico- and nanophytoplankton in a coastal bay of NW
2	Mediterranean Sea
3	
4	Anne-Sophie Pavaux <sup>1†</sup> , Flora Drouet <sup>1,3*†</sup> , Jean-Louis Jamet <sup>1</sup> , Jean-Marc Ginoux <sup>2</sup> , Christophe Brach-
5	Papa <sup>3</sup> , Yanis Sindt-Baret <sup>1</sup> , Veronique Lenoble <sup>1</sup> , Dominique Jamet <sup>1</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Université de Toulon, Aix Marseille Univ., CNRS, IRD, MIO, Toulon, France
7	<sup>2</sup> Université de Toulon, Centre de Physique Théorique (CPT), UMR 7332, CNRS, CS 60584, 83041,
8	Toulon Cedex 9, France
9	<sup>3</sup> IFREMER, Unité Littoral, Laboratoire Environnement Ressources Provence Azur Corse, Zone
10	portuaire de Brégaillon, CS 20330, 83507 La Seyne-sur-Mer Cedex, France
11	
12	* Corresponding author: <a href="mailto:flora.drouet@univ-tln.fr">flora.drouet@univ-tln.fr</a>
13	‡ Contributed equally to this work: Anne-Sophie Pavaux, Flora Drouet
14	
15	Highlights (3 à 5 bullets points – 85 characters each with spaces):
16	- Combined use of diversity indices and RFD is relevant to study coastal ecosystems
17	- Ecosystems were more diversified and mature in the more polluted site
18	- Synechococcus spp. population widely dominated coastal areas from the Bay of Toulon
19	
20	Abstract (100-150 words):
21	The long-term evolution of pico- and nanophytoplanktonic communities in two coastal
22	contrasting sites from the bay of Toulon was studied using monthly flow cytometry analyses during a
23	seven-year period. Results highlighted higher abundance of both pico- and nanophytoplanktonic
24	communities in the more eutrophic site ( <i>i. e.</i> the Little Bay). Moreover, even if both sites were widely
25	dominated by Synechococcus spp. population, it represented more than 90 % of the population

during summer in the Large Bay. Using diversity indices and rank frequency diagrams to study
ecological successions in both sites, communities from the Little Bay (the most polluted site)
appeared, paradoxically, as more diversified and more mature than that from the Large Bay.
Communities from the Large Bay appeared as less diversified and juvenile linked to the dominance of *Synechococcus* spp. The complementarity of diversity indices and rank frequency diagram was
revealed in this present work.

Keywords: ecological succession, diversity, pico- and nanophytoplankton, Mediterranean Sea, Rank
 Frequency Diagram

35

36 1. Introduction

37 Pico- (size range < 2  $\mu$ m) and nanophytoplankton (size range 2 - 20  $\mu$ m) constitute an important 38 part of the microbial loop by being the base of the trophic web (Chiang et al., 2013) and thus have 39 been recognized as major contributors to the total primary production in marine ecosystems (Azam 40 et al., 1983; Platt et al., 1983). Their importance is well established in open oceans, notably for 41 picophytoplankton, but their role and succession in more coastal waters is not well documented 42 (Mitbavkar et al., 2012). However, understanding ecosystem structure and functioning is essential to 43 monitor and predict their evolution. In most cases, community structure and dynamics are shaped by 44 a wide variety of environmental variables including nutrients availability, salinity or temperature (Bec 45 et al., 2005; Sommer et al., 1986). Yet coastal waters are subject to nutrient enrichment by 46 anthropogenic activities and freshwaters discharges (Paerl et al., 2006, 2003). Indeed, freshwater 47 flow (i) are a main source of nutrients and (ii) cause hydrological perturbations as salinity variation 48 or water resilience which play a determinant role in nutrients availability (Paerl et al., 2006). These 49 anthropogenic perturbations and freshwater discharges, typical of coastal waters, may play an important role in shaping community structure and determine ecological succession in these marine 50 51 systems. Indeed, according to the model of ecological succession proposed by Margalef (1951),

52 Reynolds (1984, 1980) or Sommer et al. (1986), succession of species represents a response to

physical, chemical and biological changes. To our knowledge, only few studies focused on ecological
succession in coastal waters and even less on pico- and nanophytoplanktonic succession.

55 This study was realised along a seven-year time series at the Bay of Toulon, at two linked but 56 contrasted sites, *i. e.* the Little Bay (LiB) and the Large Bay (LaB). They are subjected to the same 57 climatic conditions but differently affected by anthropogenic activities. The LiB, at the West, is semi 58 enclosed, characterised by smaller dimensions (9.8 km<sup>2</sup> and 15 m deep – Dang et al., 2014), a longer 59 residence time of water (3 - 6 days – Jouan et al., 2001), and is strongly affected by human activities 60 (leisure, commercial and military harbours, shipyards). Whereas the LaB, at the East, is characterised by bigger dimensions (42 km<sup>2</sup> and 5 to 30 m deep– Coclet et al., 2018) with a shorter residence time 61 of water (1 - 2 days - Duffa et al., 2011), less impacted by anthropogenic activities and more open on 62 63 the Mediterranean Sea. Surface waters and sediments are strongly polluted by metalloids and trace 64 metals especially in the LiB (Tessier et al., 2011; Cossa et al., 2014; Dang et al., 2014, 2015, Coclet et 65 al., 2018). Inversely to the LaB, the LiB is considered as eutrophic, with a higher abundance of pico-66 and nanophytoplanktonic organisms (Coclet et al., 2018; Delpy et al., 2018). The same pattern has 67 been observed for the micro phytoplanktonic and meso zooplanktonic communities (Rossi and 68 Jamet, 2008; Serranito et al., 2016). The diversity observed in the LiB is lower, due to a characteristic 69 presence of Oithona nana GIESBRECHT (1892) (Crustacea: Cyclopoida – Bandeira et al., 2013, Richard 70 & Jamet, 2001).

Regarding the functional importance of pico- and nanoeukaryotes in food webs and in carbon fluxes in coastal marine waters, this study aimed at (i) investigating composition and abundance of pico- and nanophytoplanktonic communities between two contrasting sites from the Bay of Toulon, and (ii) defining the ecological successions of these sites using both diversity indices and Rank Frequency Diagram (RFD). This study will allow us to determine if diversity indices and rank frequency diagrams are relevant tools to assess the anthropogenic impact on coastal communities.

78 2. Material and Methods

79 2.1. Sampling

80 Samples were collected monthly between October 2013 and August 2020, in the Bay of Toulon,

81 at two stations: Little Bay (LiB - S1, 43°06'30" N / 05°55'00" E) and Large Bay (LaB - S2, 43°05'45" N /

82 05°56'30" E). All samples were collected between 08:00 and 11:00 AM at both 3 and 10 m depth

83 (Figure 1).



## 84

Figure 1: Localization of the two stations in the LiB (S1) and LaB (S2) in the Bay of Toulon (from
Serranito et al., 2016).

Environmental variables (temperature, salinity, pH and dissolved oxygen concentration) were measured *in situ* in LiB and LaB, at 3 and 10 m deep using calibrated sensors: Hydrolab HL7<sup>®</sup> and Hydrolab Quanta<sup>®</sup> multiparametric probes. These variables were used as descriptive factors to characterize differences among the two contrasted sites.

To determine pico- and nanophytoplankton communities' abundance, water samples were
collected using a 10L Niskin bottle at 3 and 10 m depth at both sites (LiB and LaB). Samples were prefiltered through a 90 µm mesh to remove grazers and other macroparticules. Subsamples of 4.5 mL
were then immediately transferred into 5 mL cryotubes and fixed with a glutaraldehyde solution

95 (final concentration 0.25 %) and a pluronic acid solution (final concentration 0.01 %). These

96 subsamples were kept at 4°C until analysis and then thawed out just prior analysis by flow cytometry.

97

98 2.2. Flow cytometry analysis

99 Flow cytometry analyses were performed using an Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences®) 100 equipped with 488 and 640 nm laser. The sheath fluid used was ultrapure water filtered through 0.2 101 μm. The 488 nm blue laser induced two natural fluorescence: Chlorophyll a (red fluorescence 102 FL3 > 670 nm) and Phycoerythrin (orange fluorescence FL2: 585 +/- 20 nm). The FL4 phycocyanin (far 103 red fluorescence 675 +/- 25 nm) is induced by the 640 red laser. The forward scattered light (FSC) 104 was related to the size of the cells while Side scattered light (SSC) was related to shape and cell structure; 500  $\mu$ l sample were analysed at a flow rate of 60  $\mu$ l.min<sup>-1</sup>. Beads of 2  $\mu$ m diameter 105 106 (Fluoresbrite YG, Polysciences) were periodically added to the samples as internal standards to 107 differentiate between Picoeukaryotes and Nanoeukaryotes. 108 Thanks to their optical properties, six different clusters of pico- and nanophytoplankton were 109 discriminated (Figure 2): (i) Synechococcus spp. (SYN) characterised by small cells (FSC < 12 000), rich 110 in phycoerythrin (mean FL2  $\simeq$ 14 950) and low in chlorophyll a (mean FL3  $\simeq$ 15 950); (ii) 111 Prochlorococcus spp. (PROCHL) which cells are differentiated from SYN cells by lower fluorescence 112 (mean FL2  $\simeq$ 117 and mean FL3  $\simeq$  895); (iii) Picoeukaryotes (PICOeuk) characterized by larger cells 113 than cyanobacteria (FSC  $\simeq$  45 000) and higher red FL3 fluorescence (Mean FL3  $\simeq$  29 000); (iv) 114 Nanoeukaryotes (NANOeuk) are separated from PICOeuk by higher FSC (> 200 000) and higher red 115 FL3 fluorescence (mean FL3  $\simeq$  167 592); (v) Cryptophyceae (CRYPTO) are discriminated from 116 NANOeuk thanks to higher orange FL2 fluorescence ; and (vi) Heterotrophic Protist (HtP)

characterized by low red and orange fluorescence (respectively < 2 000 and < 1 000) and high FSC</li>
(> 900 000).



Figure 2 : Resolution by flow cytometry of ultraphytoplankton assemblage composition during thestudy period.

124 2.3. Statistical analysis

Differences of pico- and nanophytoplanktonic abundances, and abiotic factors between sites (LiB and LaB) and depth (3 m and 10 m) were assessed by Kruskal-Wallis analysis. As no significant difference was observed for abiotic factors and abundances between 3 m and 10 m deep (p > 0.05), values were averaged.

129 A Principal Components Analysis (PCA) was used to assess the relationships between pico-

and nanophytoplankton abundances and their hydrological environment. The dataset consisted of

- 131 81-month values, from October 2013 to August 2020, described by 36 biological variables
- 132 (abundances, FL2, FL3, FL4, SSC and FSC of SYN, PROCHL, PICOeuk, NANOeuk, CRYPTO, HtP) and 4
- 133 hydrological variables (temperature, salinity, pH, dissolved oxygen concentration). The multifactorial
- 134 treatment was made using R software with FactoMineR package. To determine the number of

135 principal components used in this analysis, a scree plot was used to represent eigenvalues ordered 136 from the largest to the smallest (Peres-Neto et al., 2005). Only the first two components were used 137 since more than 64 % of the variances were explained by these components. As a second step, 138 correlation of Bravais-Pearson was used to assess the relation between abiotic factors and 139 abundances of the communities. 140 Using the classification of diversity indices proposed by Bandeira et al. (2013), 2 types of 141 indicators were chosen: (i) Shannon and (ii) Piélou indicators which were monthly calculated to 142 investigate the diversity of both sites. Shannon index (H') is one of the most frequently used 143 biodiversity indexes. It originates from the information theory as a measure of entropy (Shannon, 144 1948) and is used to estimate the specific diversity of planktonic communities and calculated as

145 follow:

146 
$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i \log_2 p_i$$

with p<sub>i</sub> = n<sub>i</sub>/N; p<sub>i</sub> is the frequency of each class, S the total number of classes, n<sub>i</sub> the number of
individuals from the i class and N the total abundance. The minimum value of the index is 0 when all
the observations belong to a single class. The maximum value equals ln (1/S) and it can be reached
when the observations are equally divided between all the classes.

151 Piélou regularity index (J') (1966) reflect the evenness of a community and is calculated as follow:

152 
$$J' = \frac{H'}{H'_{max}} = \frac{H'}{\log_2(S)}$$

153 This index represents a standardization form of the Shannon index, displaying the relations between 154 the class frequencies (Piélou, 1966). The evenness equals one when the class frequencies are similar 155 and it approaches zero when the majority of observations belong to a single class.

Succession of pico- and nanophytoplanktonic communities were assessed using Rank Frequency Diagrams (RFD, Frontiers 1976). Status of the community were empirically defined according to the trend of the RFD curves; various models of species distributions have been described in the past as Broken Stick model (MacArthur, 1957), lognormal (Preston, 1948), geometric (Motomura, 1932) or even Zipf-Mandelbrot (Frontier, 1985). No fitting to any model is intended here since RFD are only 161 used to describe ecological succession, following Frontier's description for planktonic ecosystem 162 (Frontier, 1985, 1983, 1976). Depending on the shape of the curve, three main stages have been 163 described. In stage 1, beginning of the succession, the curve is concave at the top left and become 164 convex on the right part, typical of a young community with low diversity structure. In stage 2, the 165 curve is entirely convex and the diversity at its maximum. In stage 3, end of the succession, the curve 166 becomes straight and diversity is intermediate.-The determination of the stage was done using the 167 linear trend curve in excel: when the determination coefficients were higher than 0.82 and 0.87 for 168 LaB and LiB respectively, the curve was determined as convex; for lower determination coefficients, 169 curve was determined as concave or straight.

170

171 3. Results

172 3.1. Temporal variability of abiotic variables, pico- and nanophytoplanktonic abundances



173

175 Figure 3: Abiotic variables in the Little Bay (dashed lines) and the Large Bay (solid lines):

176 (A) Temperature (°C), (B) Salinity, (C) Dissolved Oxygen (mg.L<sup>-1</sup>), (D) pH. Data are acquired as an

average of values measured at 3 m and 10 m of depth.

- 179 The annual variations of the hydrological variables (*i. e.* temperature, salinity, dissolved
- 180 oxygen and pH) were presented in the Figure 3. No significant difference was observed between LiB
- and LaB, whatever the considered variables (Kruskal-Wallis; p > 0.05).

- 182 Seasonal variations of temperature were observed in LiB and LaB (Figure 3A): maximal values
- 183 (> 20°C) were recorded during summers and minimal ones during the winter period (< 15°C).
- 184 Maximal temperature was reached in June 2019, in LiB.
- 185 No variation of salinity was observed along the study period (LiB: 37.07 ± 2.89; LaB: 37.11 ± 2.70)
- excepted in July 2020, when salinity reached respectively 21.53 and 21.54 for LiB and LaB (Figure 3B).
- 187 Dissolved oxygen concentrations varied from 5.23 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> (October 2014) and 9.11 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> (March
- 188 2014) without following a seasonal trend (Figure 3C).
- pH values were stable across the study period (LiB:  $8.12 \pm 0.36$ ; LaB:  $8.09 \pm 0.40$ ) and varied between
- 190 7.11 (April 2019 LiB) and 9.22 (July 2015 LaB Figure 3D).
- 191

192 Table 1 : Mean annual abundances of each communities (cells.mL<sup>-1</sup>) in both sites (LiB and LaB)

Date	Site	CRYPTO	NANOeuk	PICOeuk	HtP	PROCHL	SYN
2013	LiB	854	4033	16486	3134	6425	12708
2013	LaB	173	2222	4072	1049	3443	21256
2014	LiB	725	5438	10284	4260	4865	10094
2014	LaB	231	2616	4786	1276	2380	17489
2015	LiB	402	4789	9021	2948	2622	15643
2015	LaB	110	2283	4316	1129	1427	18045
2016	LiB	479	2385	6773	2309	2475	6808
2016	LaB	196	1982	7391	1002	1193	21935
2017	LiB	338	3345	6412	1569	238	14774
2017	LaB	82	1995	2924	611	332	13243
2018	LiB	596	4001	10567	4745	888	16952
2018	LaB	273	3296	7362	1942	616	31004
2019	LiB	469	5294	7934	3123	825	14585
2019	LaB	172	2805	5750	910	429	15049
2020	LiB	447	4637	9482	1939	579	13606
2020	LaB	80	2445	6474	579	278	16490





197 Figure 4: Repartition of Synechococcus spp., Prochlorococcus spp., Heterotrophic Protist,

198 Picoeukaryotes, Nanoeukaryotes and Cryptophyceae and in LiB (A) and LaB (B).

199The mean annual abundances of the communities identified by flow cytometry are presented200in the Table 1; the composition of the communities is represented in the Figure 4. Total abundances201of the 6 communities were significantly different between the 2 studied site (Kruskal-Wallis, p < 0.05)</td>202with in average higher values in LiB (32 419 cells.mL<sup>-1</sup>) than in LaB (28 747 cells.mL<sup>-1</sup>). Both sites are203largely dominated by SYN: in average, SYN represents respectively 38 % and 61 % of total204abundances in LiB and LaB.

205 Strong variations of SYN abundances was observed between October 2013 and February 206 2015 in LiB, not linked with seasonality: abundances peaked between 35 and 40 % in December 207 2013, March, June, August and December 2014. Apart from that, abundance was between 10 and 208 26 %. PICOeuk was especially abundant during this period and varied between 17 % in September 209 2014 and 48 % in November 2013. PROCHL and HtP abundances varied between 9 to 19 % and 6 to 210 12 % respectively. NANO represented less than 20 % of the community. CRYPTO abundance varied 211 between 0.3 and 3 %. In 2015, SYN proportion increased and reached 67 % in September 2015; at the 212 same date, PICOeuk abundance substantially decreased to 9 %. From 2016, seasonal variation of SYN 213 abundances was observed: its proportion reached up to 80 % during spring and decreased to less 214 than 15 % in winter (4 % in January 2018). In the meantime, proportion of PICOeuk was minimal 215 during summer (5 % in August 2017) and maximal during winter (62 % in February 2018). PROCHL, 216 HtP, NANO and CRYPTO represented respectively 3, 10, 14 % and less than 3 % of the community. 217 Communities from LaB were largely dominated by SYN which represented in average 61 % of 218 the communities. Seasonal variations of its proportion were observed; it is minimal during winter 219 (25 % in February 2015) and maximal during summer (92 % in September 2017). PICOeuk was the 220 second more abundant group and represents 7 to 50 % of the community. NANO, PROCHL, CRYPTO 221 and HtP represents less than 15 % of the total communities.







Figure 5: Principal Components Analysis (PCA) applied to data from LiB (A) and LaB (B).

- 226 The results of the PCA variables representing the 7 years sampling years are shown in Figure 5. The
- first two axes accounted for 36 % and 29 % of the total variance for both LiB and LaB, demonstrating
- that, the major factor affecting our data was temperature. But, most likely due to photosynthesis,
- 229 oxygen was also highlighted by the PCA as a variable affecting the communities' structure.
- 230 In LiB, abundances of both SYN and NANOeuk were strongly influenced by high temperature,
- explaining SYN peaks during summer (Bravais-Pearson, respectively p < 0.00001 and p < 0.01). On the
- 232 contrary, PICOeuk from both LiB and LaB, were influenced by low temperature since it peaked during
- 233 winter.
- In LaB, no clear relations could be determined; abiotic factors used in this analysis seems
- 235 insufficient to explain the variances of abundances from all studied communities.
- 236
- 237 3.2. Diversity indices



Figure 6: Evolution of plankton indices along the study period: Shannon (A) and Piélou indices (B) for
LiB (dashed grey lines) and LaB (solid black lines).

241 Diversity indices are presented in Figure 6. Shannon index was significantly lower in LaB than in

- LiB (Mann Whitney, p < 0.05). In LiB, Shannon index varied from 1.1 (November 2017) to 2.43
- 243 (September 2016) without a seasonal trend; in LaB, this index peaked at the beginning of the year (it
- reached 2.08 in December 2016) and is minimal during summer (0.84 in August 2015).
- 245 Equitability index or Piélou index has the same trend as Shannon index since its calculation
- 246 derives from Shannon's one.

248 3.3. Community assemblage









Figure 8: Evolution of Shannon index and ecological stages for both LiB (A) and Lab (B). Stages were
determined following Frontier's recommendations (see Frontier 1985, 1983, 1976).

Shannon indices varied with ecological stages. In LiB, Shannon index varied between 1.50 and
2.28 in stage 1, between 1.47 and 2.43 in stage 2 and decrease to 1.10 and 2.17 in stage 3. In LaB,
Shannon indices was between 0.81 and 1.82 for stage 1. They increased at stage 2 to reach the
minimum of 1.63 and the maximal value of 2.28. At stage 3, this index decreased and was between
0.51 and 2.08 (Figure 8).

269

270 4. Discussion

271 Because of its topography and anthropogenic pressure this site is currently facing, the Bay of

272 Toulon is relevant to explore annual variability of zooplankton (Jamet et al., 2001; Richard and Jamet,

- 273 2001) and microphytoplankton (Bogé et al., 2006; Jamet et al., 2005; Rossi and Jamet, 2009). A
- 274 previous study (Delpy et al., 2018) has investigated pico and nanoplanktonic dynamics during a two
- 275 year cycle (October 2013 to December 2015). By using a longer time series (2013-2020), our study

explores the evolution of pico- and nanophytoplanktonic communities to determine ecologicalsuccessions in the two contrasting sites of the Bay of Toulon.

278

301

4.1. Variations of abiotic variables and pico- and nanophytoplanktonic abundances
The monitoring of abiotic variables (*i. e.* temperature, salinity, pH and oxygen) did not reveal a
stratification phenomenon in both sites since no significant difference was observed between depths
of 0 and 10 m, probably due to wind which can disturb vertical stratification in coastal waters
(Charles et al., 2005). Moreover, no significant difference in abiotic variables have been revealed
between the both studied sites.

Water temperature showed a clear seasonal pattern as usually found in coastal sites from the Mediterranean sea (Rossi and Jamet, 2009). Salinity and pH were quite stable along the sampling period excepted in July 2020 when salinity reached 21, related to the summer storms.

288 Pico- and nanophytoplankton abundances are significantly higher in LiB than in LaB, as previously 289 described by Delpy et al. (2018). It reflected the trends observed for other higher planktonic species 290 such as mesozooplankton or microphytoplankton (Jamet et al., 2001; Serranito et al., 2016). Such 291 differences of abundances between sites were attributed to eutrophic conditions characterising LiB 292 (Rossi and Jamet, 2009). Indeed, mean chlorophyll a concentration in the Mediterranean Sea ranges between 0,1 to 0,5  $\mu$ g.L<sup>-1</sup> although values measured in LiB are significantly higher (1 to 1,5  $\mu$ g.L<sup>-1</sup> -293 294 Jamet et al., 2001) and characterize eutrophic waters according to Jacques and Tréguer (1986) and 295 Psyllidou-Giouranovits et al. (1997).

Seasonal variations of abundances were observed in both sites: abundances of SYN
significantly increased during late spring/summer with maximum abundance of 127 300 cells.mL<sup>-1</sup> (in
2017, at LaB), close to maxima observed for Mediterranean coastal systems (Agawin and Agusti,
1997; Cerino et al., 2012; Ferrier-Pages and Rassoulzadegan, 1994); in winter, PROCHLO became
dominant and represented 50 % of the total communities (34 648 cells.mL<sup>-1</sup>), close to values

observed by Grégori et al. (2001) in Marseille. Such seasonality in pico- and nanophytoplanktonic

302 communities was in agreement with previous observations in the Gulf of Lion (Lefevre et al., 1997)
303 and in Marseille (Grégori et al., 2001).

304 Seasonal changes in both abiotic variables and groups abundances were analysed using a 305 PCA. Paradoxically, a clear relation between temperature and SYN abundances was only determined 306 in LiB but not on LaB. As previously described by Sohm et al. (2016), two different ecotypes may 307 occupy these two different bays; SYN occupying LiB could largely be defined by temperature 308 contrarily to the SYN ecotype from LaB.

309 Moreover, no clear relation was observed for the other communities with abiotic variables 310 presented here. Previous studies have demonstrated that abundance of these different groups is 311 driven by nutrient availability and species growth rate. Indeed, LiB is considered as more eutrophic 312 than LaB due to higher Chlorophyll a and nutrient concentrations (Rossi et al., 2008; Rossi and Jamet, 2009). LaB exhibited lower P-PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> concentrations due to (i) a more regularly mixing of waters and 313 (ii) the predominance of SYN which can contribute up to 35 % of the total Pi uptake (Delpy et al., 314 315 2018). It is important to note that biotic interaction such as grazing pressure or competition for 316 nutrient and space by larger phytoplankton could also play an important role in shaping community 317 structure and need to be clarified.

318

319 4.2. Composition of pico- and nanophytoplanktonic community

320 Even if SYN was dominant in both LiB and LaB, this group was largely more abundant in LaB as 321 previously described by Delpy et al. (2018). It represented between 25 to 92 % of total communities 322 in LaB, although communities of the LiB were represented by 2 major codominant groups i. e. SYN 323 (representing between 15 to 75 % of the total communities) and PICOeuk (5 to 62 % of the 324 community). The dominance of SYN in terms of abundance and contribution to primary productivity, 325 has now been widely recognised (Johnson et al., 1982; Morris and Glover, 1981). Because of their 326 small size and higher surface to volume ratios, SYN are more competitive than other to acquire 327 nutrients and explained their dominance in both sites (Bec et al., 2011). The ability of SYN to acquire

328 soluble reactive phosphorus (notably in P-limited zones) provides an ecological advantage over other 329 phytoplankton classes (Collos et al., 2009). Differences of abundances between sites could be 330 explained by higher trace metals' concentration in LiB (Rossi and Jamet, 2008). Indeed, a strong 331 inhibition of SYN growth rate was observed when exposed to Copper ( $EC_{50} = 4 \mu g.L^{-1}$  - Debelius et al., 332 2011, 2009). Moreover, pico- and nanophytoplanktonic communities collected in LaB exposed to 333 trace metal cocktail, revealed a shift from SYN dominated community (typical of LaB) to a less SYN 334 dominated community (with higher proportion of picoeukaryotes) as observed in LiB (Coclet et al., 335 2018). Codominance of PICOeuk and SYN (as observed in LiB) has been previously observed in other 336 coastal waters (Changjiang Esturay - Pan et al., 2007), where PICOeuk can even surpass 337 cyanobacteria in terms of biomass. Other groups (NANOeuk, HtP) represented less than 20 % of total 338 communities. Differences between both cyanobacteria biomass (i. e. PROCHL and SYN) was 339 consistent with previous study since PROCHL abundance vary inversely with SYN ones (Partensky et 340 al., 1996) and is predominantly abundant in oligotrophic waters (Pan et al., 2007; Partensky et al., 341 1999).

342 In previous studies (Agawin et al., 1998; Delpy et al., 2018; Moisan et al., 2010), successions of 343 pico- and nanophytoplanktonic communities appeared mainly driven by the ability to acquire 344 nutrients and species growth rate. However, communities of the Bay of Toulon showed SYN 345 dominated the communities, suggesting bottom up processes as key variables in the structuring of 346 communities. Indeed, the dominance of SYN during the spring/summer period in LaB explained the 347 seasonal variations of Shannon (and Piélou) index with a clear decrease of this index during summer; 348 in winter, diversity increased again, notably linked to the fall in SYN proportion. In LiB, no clear 349 seasonal variation of Shannon index was observed. However, diversity was significantly higher in LiB 350 than LaB and thus, confirmed by RFD analysis (with more month described as in LiB than in LaB), 351 contrary to the previous results obtained at the same site for zooplankton and phytoplankton 352 suggesting that diversity was higher in LaB (Jamet et al., 2005; Rossi and Jamet, 2009; Serranito et al., 353 2019). Such contradiction could be induced by the dominance of SYN in the Bay of Toulon: in LaB,

SYN largely drive the community's dynamic; in LiB, SYN was still very abundant but due to high trace
metals concentration, it became less competitive than in LaB, and other groups, as PICOeuk, became
more abundant. Moreover, in LiB, the proportion of HtP is higher than in LaB and could also control
SYN population by grazing pressure (Christaki et al., 1999; Dolan and Šimek, 1999; Guillou et al.,
2001).

359 Interestingly, according to Frontier (1985, 1983), a chronic pollution induces a recover to a stage 360 1 (typical of a juvenile population) since few species are able to maintain themselves in such 361 conditions of stress. However, our results indicated more stage 1 in LaB than LiB, and even, more 362 evolution to a complex ecosystem typical of a stage 2 in LiB than in LaB. The LaB is characterized by 363 stage 1 corresponding to a little evolution of the population, whose structure and organisation are 364 deeply affected by disturbances, here the domination of SYN population linked to its ability to better 365 acquire nutrients. Paradoxically, populations from LiB naturally evolved towards more mature and 366 diversified ecosystems. This is explained by anthropogenic activities increasing trace metals' 367 concentrations limiting SYN domination in LiB, by (i) decreasing SYN growth rate (Debelius et al., 368 2011, 2009) and (ii) increasing grazing pressure by ciliates and other heterotrophic protists (Christaki 369 et al., 1999; Dolan and Šimek, 1999; Guillou et al., 2001).

370

371 4.3. The combine use of RFD and diversity index to monitor pico- and nanophytoplanktonic372 assemblage

To better understand the structure and the functioning of ecosystems, ecologists have worked on principals establishing theorical ecology. Among these are the diversity indices used to complete the description of a community by describing the richness or the evenness of species. The whole idea was to obtain quantitative information to compare biological entities (Heip et al., 1998). In our study, we used two different indices based on their mathematical convergence highlighted by the work of Bandeira et al. (2013). Shannon and Piélou revealed important variations of diversity and evenness in agreement with our observations.

380 Another method used to determine ecological succession of an ecosystem is to build Rank 381 Frequency Diagram, establishing "the numerical repartition of individuals by species within a 382 biocenosis" (Frontier, 1976). Considered as a fuller description of biological communities than 383 diversity indices (although not independent from them), it introduces maturity degrees of the 384 populations divided in 3 stages. First, stage 1, the beginning of the succession, is dominated by high 385 ranked taxa. The community is composed by one or a few small species with predominantly r 386 selected species described as invasive, fast growing and prolific (Reynolds, 1997). In stage 2, the 387 abundance of rare species continues to decrease and common species become more numerous. This 388 community is characterized by K selected species, with bigger size, lower growth rate, favoured by 389 physiological capabilities allowing their survival towards the supportive capacity of the resource 390 available (Reynolds, 2006). According to Khemakhem et al. (2010), this favoured retroactive loops 391 within the trophic network, allowing a more stable ecosystem where the diversity is maximal. And, in 392 stage 3, the end of the succession, the numbers of the most abundant species increase whereas the 393 diversity decreases, characterising the maturity of the community. This tool, notably used in other 394 field of application as language study (Mandelbrot, 1953), or socio-economy (Petruszewicz, 1972), 395 were widely used fifty years ago in ecology notably by Margalef (1957) and Frontier (1985, 1983, 396 1976). According to these authors, ecosystems have a structure in terms that they are composed by different species arranged in a define pattern according to the availability of resources. Stages are 397 398 described following different fitting models: broken stick model (MacArthur, 1957), general 399 lognormal (Preston, 1948), geometric (Motomura, 1947) or Zipf-Mandelbroat (Mandelbrot, 1977). 400 This method wasn't used much during these past decades, notably linked to the difficulty in 401 interpreting results. However, the relevance of this method has been well documented in both 402 marine (Davoult et al., 1993; Fromentin et al., 1997; Frontier, 1985; Jamet et al., 2005; Sanvicente-403 Añorve et al., 2002) and terrestrial ecosystems (Kevan et al., 1997; Vahid et al., 2013; Watkins and 404 Wilson, 1994; Wilson et al., 1998, 1996; Wilson and Gitay, 1995).

405 For terrestrial ecosystems, Grime (1974, 1977) described three primary strategies in plants and 406 their relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. The first one, C-selection, were growth is 407 maximized in productive and relatively undisturbed conditions. The second one, S-selection, is 408 characterized by environmental stresses and resource depletion. The last one, R-selection, is 409 associated to organisms with a short lifespan and high reproduction rates in severely perturbed 410 environments. Following Smayda & Reynolds (2001) adaptations of the Grime's triangle (1974) and 411 within the framework of this study, Frontier's (1976) stage 1 could be hypothesized as an equivalent 412 of Grime's (1977) R-selection with fast growing, predominantly r selected species. The stage 2 413 characterized by K selected species could be considered as an equivalent of the S-selection with 414 stress tolerant species and stage 3 that of the C-selection with highly competitive species. In the 415 current context of global change, future environmental conditions could largely affect marine coastal 416 ecosystems, resulting in an increase of their entropy creating stronger perturbations affecting the 417 structure, diversity and functioning of communities. Subsequently, future monitoring of such 418 ecosystems could more frequently highlight communities transitioning towards a composition of 419 fewer smaller, fast growing r selected species as observed in Frontiers' stage 1 (1976) and Grime's 420 (1977) R-selection. 421 Our study combines quantitative information from diversity indices and qualitative information 422 given by RFD to study two contrasted sites from Toulon. The strong correlations between Shannon 423 indices and ecological stages highlighted the relevance to use both methods in the analysis of 424 ecosystems structure and ecological stages of pico- and nanophytoplankton in the NW 425 Mediterranean Sea. Previous studies (Dugenne et al., 2014; Fuchs et al., 2021; Thyssen et al., 2014, 426 2008) showed that pico- and nanophytoplanktonic communities react rapidly to climatic conditions 427 variations and wind-driven events. By dint of their short turnover time, pico- and 428 nanophytoplanktonic communities could be used as a pertinent biological indicator to conduct future

- 429 ecosystem monitoring. This study's combined use of RFD and diversity indices to determine the
- 430 ecological successions stages in marine coastal ecosystems provides a better understanding of their

functioning. Our contribution through regional databases, French national observation networks
(RESOMAR - Réseau des Stations et Observatoires Marins - IR ILICO) and at European scale (MSFD Marine Strategy Framework Directive - European Commission - MSFD, 2008/56/EC) grants more
tools for reflexion on management and conservation of marine coastal ecosystems to decision
makers and public politics administrators.

436

437 5. Conclusion

438 Our result showed that, even if the two sites are geographically close and exposed to the same 439 environmental conditions, the structure of the community completely differed. Paradoxically, 440 communities from the most polluted site (LiB) were more diversified (in terms of Shannon index) and 441 more often evolved to mature ecosystem. LaB is characterized by juvenile ecosystems with lower 442 diversity index. Such differences are attributed to the dominance of Synechococcus in the Bay of 443 Toulon which completely drives community structure: widely dominant in LaB, SYN induced a 444 decrease of diversity and contributed to stay in a juvenile ecosystem. Regulated by grazing pressure 445 and trace metal contaminant in LiB, the diversity increased and ecosystem became more mature. 446 The two methods used in this work to study coastal ecosystems (*i. e.* diversity indices and rank 447 frequency diagram) were complementary and allowed us to adopt a better global vision of the 448 organisation and the structure of the populations from the bay of Toulon. Our multiscale 449 contribution through regional, national and European networks grants more tools for reflexion on 450 management and conservation of marine coastal ecosystems to decision makers and public politics 451 administrators.

452

453 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by PLANETE (Relations trophiques et séries temporelles planctoniques EndTo-End dans deux écosystèmes côtiers en Méditerranée nord-Ouest, financed by the University of
Toulon) and DROPLETT (Dynamique et Role du PLancton lors dES Transferts de contaminants vers les

457 réseaux Trophiques) projects. Authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewer for its

458 suggestions that improved this manuscript and increase its' value.

459

- 460
- 461 Agawin, N.S.R., Duarte, C.M., Agustí S., 1998. Growth and abundance of Synechococcus sp. in a
- 462 Mediterranean Bay: Seasonality and relationship with temperature. Marine Ecology Progress
  463 Series 170, 45–53.
- 464 Agawin, N.S.R., Agusti, S., 1997. Abundance, frequency of dividing cells and growth rates of
- 465 Synechococcus sp. (cyanobacteria) in the stratified Northwest Mediterranean Sea. Journal of
- 466 Plankton Research 19, 1599–1615. https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/19.11.1599
- Azam, F., Fenchel, T., Field, J.G., Gray, J.S., Meyer-Reil, L.A., Thingstad, F., 1983. The ecological role of
  water-column microbes in the sea. Marine ecology progress series 257–263.
- Bandeira, B., Jamet, J.-L., Jamet, D., Ginoux, J.-M., 2013. Mathematical convergences of biodiversity
  indices. Ecological Indicators 29, 522–528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.01.028
- 471 Bec, B., Collos, Y., Souchu, P., Vaquer, A., Lautier, J., Fiandrino, A., Benau, L., Orsoni, V., Laugier, T.,
- 472 2011. Distribution of picophytoplankton and nanophytoplankton along an anthropogenic
- 473 eutrophication gradient in French Mediterranean coastal lagoons. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 63,
- 474 29–45. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01480
- 475 Bec, B., Husseini-Ratrema, J., Collos, Y., Souchu, P., Vaquer, A., 2005. Phytoplankton seasonal

476 dynamics in a Mediterranean coastal lagoon: emphasis on the picoeukaryote community.

- 477 Journal of Plankton Research 27, 881–894.
- 478 Bogé, G., Jean, N., Jamet, J.-L., Jamet, D., Richard, S., 2006. Seasonal changes in phosphatase
- 479 activities in Toulon Bay (France). Marine Environmental Research 61, 1–18.

480 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2005.03.002

- 481 Cerino, F., Bernardi Aubry, F., Coppola, J., La Ferla, R., Maimone, G., Socal, G., Totti, C., 2012. Spatial
- 482 and temporal variability of pico-, nano- and microphytoplankton in the offshore waters of

483	the southern Adriatic Sea (Mediterranean Sea). Continental Shelf Research, Southern Adriatic
484	Oceanography 44, 94–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2011.06.006
485	Charles, F., Lantoine, F., Brugel, S., Chrétiennot-Dinet, MJ., Quiroga, I., Rivière, B., 2005. Seasonal
486	survey of the phytoplankton biomass, composition and production in a littoral NW
487	Mediterranean site, with special emphasis on the picoplanktonic contribution. Estuarine,
488	Coastal and Shelf Science 65, 199–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2005.06.006
489	Chiang, KP., Tsai, AY., Tsai, PJ., Gong, GC., Tsai, SF., 2013. Coupling of the spatial dynamic of
490	picoplankton and nanoflagellate grazing pressure and carbon flow of the microbial food web
491	in the subtropical pelagic continental shelf ecosystem. Biogeosciences DIscussions 10, 233–
492	263.
493	Christaki, U., Jacquet, S., Dolan, J.R., Vaulot, D., Rassoulzadegan, F., 1999. Growth and grazing on
494	Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus by two marine ciliates. Limnology and Oceanography 44,
495	52–61. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1999.44.1.0052
496	Coclet, C., Garnier, C., Delpy, F., Jamet, D., Durrieu, G., Le Poupon, C., Mayer, M., Misson, B., 2018.
497	Trace metal contamination as a toxic and structuring factor impacting ultraphytoplankton
498	communities in a multicontaminated Mediterranean coastal area. Progress in Oceanography,
499	Special issue of MERMEX project: Recent advances in the oceanography of the
500	Mediterranean Sea 163, 196–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2017.06.006
501	Collos, Y., Bec, B., Jauzein, C., Abadie, E., Laugier, T., Lautier, J., Pastoureaud, A., Souchu, P., Vaquer,
502	A., 2009. Oligotrophication and emergence of picocyanobacteria and a toxic dinoflagellate in
503	Thau lagoon, southern France. Journal of Sea Research 61, 68–75.
504	Cossa, D., Buscail, R., Puig, P., Chiffoleau, J. F., Radakovitch, O., Jeanty, G., Heussner, S., 2014. Origin
505	and accumulation of trace elements in sediments of the northwestern Mediterranean
506	margin. Chemical Geology 380, 61–73. http://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.04.015
507	Dang, D. H., Schaefer, J., Brach-Papa, C., Lenoble, V., Durrieu, G., Dutruch, L., Chiffoleau, JF.,
508	Gonzalez, JL., Blanc, G., Mullot, JU., Mounier, S., Garnier, C., 2015. Evidencing the impact

- 509 of coastal contaminated sediments on mussels through Pb sTable 1sotopes composition.
- 510 Environmental Science & Technology 49, 11438–11448.
- 511 http://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b01893
- 512 Dang, D.H., Lenoble, V., Durrieu, G., Mullot, J.-U., Mounier, S., Garnier, C., 2014. Sedimentary
- 513 dynamics of coastal organic matter: an assessment of the porewater size/reactivity model by
- 514 spectroscopic techniques. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 151, 100–111.
- 515 Davoult, D., Dewarumez, J.M., Frontier, S., 1993. Long-term changes (1979–1990) in three benthic
- 516 communities (eastern English Channel): Use of factor analysis and rank-frequency diagrams
- 517 for studying structural developments. Netherland Journal of Aquatic Ecology 27, 415–426.
- 518 Debelius, B., Forja, J.M., Lubián, L.M., 2011. Toxicity of copper, nickel and zinc to *Synechococcus*

519 populations from the Strait of Gibraltar. Journal of Marine Systems 88, 113–119.

- Debelius, B., Forja, J.M., DelValls, T.A., Lubián, L.M., 2009. Toxicity of copper in natural marine
   picoplankton populations. Ecotoxicology 18, 1095–1103.
- 522 Delpy, F., Serranito, B., Jamet, J.-L., Grégori, G., Le Poupon, C., Jamet, D., 2018. Pico- and
- 523 Nanophytoplankton Dynamics in Two Coupled but Contrasting Coastal Bays in the NW
- 524 Mediterranean Sea (France). Estuaries and Coasts 41, 2039–2055.
- 525 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-018-0412-9
- 526 Dolan, J.R., Šimek, K., 1999. Diel periodicity in *Synechococcus* populations and grazing by
- 527 heterotrophic nanoflagellates: Analysis of food vacuole contents. Limnology and

528 Oceanography 44, 1565–1570. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1999.44.6.1565

529 Duffa, C., Dufois, F., Coudray, S., 2011. An operational model to simulate post-accidental radionuclide

- 530 transfers in Toulon marine area: Preliminary development. Ocean Dynamics 61, 1811–1821.
- 531 Dugenne, M., Thyssen, M., Nerini, D., Mante, C., Poggiale, J.-C., Garcia, N., Garcia, F., Grégori, G.J.,
- 532 2014. Consequence of a sudden wind event on the dynamics of a coastal phytoplankton
- 533 community: an insight into specific population growth rates using a single cell high frequency
- 534 approach. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **5**, 485. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00485

- Ferrier-Pages, C., Rassoulzadegan, F., 1994. Seasonal impact of the microzooplankton on pico-and
  nanoplankton growth rates in the northwest Mediterranean Sea. Marine ecology-progress
  series 108, 283–283.
- Fromentin, J.-M., Dauvin, J.-C., Ibanez, F., Dewarumez, J.-M., Elkaim, B., 1997. Long-term variations of
   four macrobenthic community structures. Oceanolica Acta 20, 43–53.
- 540 Frontier, S., 1985. Diversity and structure in aquatic ecosystems. Mar. Biol. Ann. Rev. 23, 253–312.
- 541 Frontier, S., 1983. Stratégies d'Échantillonnage en Écologie. Mason, Paris.
- Frontier, S., 1976. Utilisation des diagrammes rang-fréquence dans l'analyse des écosystèmes. J.
  Rech. Océanogr. 1, 35–48.
- 544 Fuchs, R., Caille, C., Odic, M., Rossi, V., Bensoussan, N., et al., 2021. Pico-nanophytoplankton
- 545 dynamics in a coastal Mediterranean station: Assessing hourly changes in community
- 546 structure controlled by wind-driven events. ASLO 2021, Jun 2021, On-line, France. (hal-
- 547 <u>03423277</u>
- 548 Giesbrecht, W., 1892. Systematik und Faunistik der pelagischen Copepoden des Golfes von Neapel
  549 und der angrenzenden Meeres-Abschnitte (Vol. 1). Friedländer.
- 550 Grégori, G., Colosimo, A., Denis, M., 2001. Phytoplankton group dynamics in the Bay of Marseilles
- 551 during a 2-year survey based on analytical flow cytometry. Cytometry 44, 247–256.
- 552 https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0320(20010701)44:3<247::AID-CYTO1117>3.0.CO;2-Z
- 553 Grime, J.P., 1977. Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to 554 ecological and evolutionary theory. *The american naturalist*, *111*, 1169-1194.
- 555 Grime, J.P., 1974. Vegetation classification by reference to strategies. Nature, 250, 26–31.
- 556 doi:10.1038/250026a0
- 557 Guillou, L., Jacquet, S., Chrétiennot-Dinet, M.-J., Vaulot, D., 2001. Grazing impact of two small
- 558 heterotrophic flagellates on *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus*. Aquatic Microbial Ecology
- 559 26, 201–207. https://doi.org/10.3354/ame026201
- 560 Heip, C.H., Herman, P.M., Soetaert, K., 1998. Indices of diversity and evenness. Oceanis 24, 61–88.

- Jacques, G., Tréguer, P., 1986. Ecosystèmes pélagiques marins, Ed. Masson. ed, Coll. d'Ecologie.
- Jamet, J.-L., Jean, N., Bogé, G., Richard, S., Jamet, D., 2005. Plankton succession and assemblage
- structure in two neighbouring littoral ecosystems in the north-west Mediterranean Sea. Mar.
  Freshwater Res. 56, 69–83. https://doi.org/10.1071/MF04102
- Jamet, J.-L., Bogé, G., Richard, S., Geneys, C., Jamet, D., 2001. The zooplankton community in bays of
- 566 Toulon area (northwest Mediterranean Sea, France). Hydrobiologia 457, 155–165.
- 567 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012279417451
- Johnson, P.W., PW, J., XU, H.S., 1982. The utilization of chroococcoid cyanobacteria by marine
- 569 protozooplankters but not by calanoid copepods. Ann. Inst. Océanogr. Paris 58, 297–308.
- 570 Jouan, M., Garreau, P., Raillard, O., 2001. Modélisation de la Rade de Toulon: test méthodologique.
- 571 Rapport IFREMER
- 572 Kevan, P.G., Greco, C.F., Belaoussoff, S., 1997. Log-normality of biodiversity and abundance in
- 573 diagnosis and measuring of ecosystemic health: pesticide stress on pollinators on blueberry
  574 heaths. Journal of Applied Ecology 1122–1136.
- 575 Khemakhem, H., Elloumi, J., Moussa, M., Aleya, L., Ayadi, H., 2010. The concept of ecological
- 576 succession applied to phytoplankton over four consecutive years in five ponds featuring a
- 577 salinity gradient. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 88, 33–44.
- 578 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2010.02.019
- 579 Lefevre, D., Minas, H.J., Minas, M., Robinson, C., Le B. Williams, P.J., Woodward, E.M.S., 1997. Review
- 580 of gross community production, primary production, net community production and dark
- 581 community respiration in the Gulf of Lions. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
- 582 Oceanography 44, 801–832. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(96)00091-4
- 583 MacArthur, R.H., 1957. On the relative abundance of bird species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 43, 293–
  584 295.
- 585 Mandelbrot, B.B., 1977. Fractal: form, chance and dimension. Freeman and Co., San Francisco, pp.
- 586 468–480.

- 587 Mandelbrot, B., 1953. Contribution à la théorie mathématique des communicaitons. Université de
- 588 Paris.
- 589 Margalef, R., 1957. La teoria de la informacion en ecologia.
- 590 Margalef, R., 1951. Diversidad de especies en las comunidades naturales.
- 591 Mitbavkar, S., Rajaneesh, K.M., Anil, A.C., Sundar, D., 2012. Picophytoplankton community in a
- 592 tropical estuary: Detection of Prochlorococcus-like populations. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
- 593 Science 107, 159–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2012.05.002
- 594 Moisan, T.A., Blattner K.L., Makinen C.P., 2010. Influences of temperature and nutrients on
- 595 Synechococcus abundance and biomass in the southern Mid-Atlantic Bight. Continental Shelf
- 596 Research 30, 1275–1282.
- 597 Morris, I., Glover, H., 1981. Physiology of photosynthesis by marine coccoid cyanobacteria—some
- 598 ecological implications. Limnology and Oceanography 26, 957–961.
- Motomura, I., 1947. Further notes on the law of geometrical progression of the population density in
  animal association. Physiological ecology 1, 55–60.
- 601 Motomura, I., 1932. A statistical treatment of associations. Jpn. J. Zool. 44, 379–383.
- Paerl, H.W., Valdes, L.M., Peierls, B.L., Adolf, J.E., Harding, L.J.W., 2006. Anthropogenic and climatic
- 603 influences on the eutrophication of large estuarine ecosystems. Limnology and
- 604 Oceanography 51, 448–462.
- Paerl, H.W., Dyble, J., Moisander, P.H., Noble, R.T., Piehler, M.F., Pinckney, J.L., Steppe, T.F., Twomey,
- L., Valdes, L.M., 2003. Microbial indicators of aquatic ecosystem change: current applications
  to eutrophication studies. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 46, 233–246.
- Pan, L.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, L.H., 2007. Picophytoplankton, nanophytoplankton, heterotrophic
- 609 bacteria and viruses in the Changjiang Estuary and adjacent coastal waters. Journal of
- 610 plankton research 29, 187–197.

- 611 Partensky, F., Blanchot, J., Vaulot, D., 1999. Differential distribution and ecology of Prochlorococcus
- and Synechococcus in oceanic waters: a review. Bulletin-Institut Oceanographique MonacoNumero Special- 457–476.
- 614 Partensky, F., Blanchot, J., Lantoine, F., Neveux, J., Marie, D., 1996. Vertical structure of
- 615 picophytoplankton at different trophic sites of the tropical northeastern Atlantic Ocean.
- 616 Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers 43, 1191–1213.
- 617 Peres-Neto, P.R., Jackson, D.A., Somers, K.M., 2005. How many principal components? Stopping rules
- for determining the number of non-trivial axes revisited. Computational Statistics & Data
  Analysis 49, 974–997.
- 620 Petruszewicz, M., 1972. Gréphiques parétiens et distributions lexicales. Informatique et Sciences
- 621 humaines 14, 20 p.
- Piélou, E.C., 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. Journal of
   Theoretical Biology 13, 131–144. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(66)90013-0
- 624 Platt, T., Rao, S.D.V., Irwin, B., 1983. Photosynthesis of picoplankton in the oligotrophic ocean.
- 625 Nature 301, 702–704.
- Preston, F.W., 1948. The Commonness, And Rarity, of Species. Ecology 29, 254–283.
- 627 https://doi.org/10.2307/1930989
- 628 Psyllidou-Giouranovits, R., Balopoulos, E.T., Gotsis-Skretas, O., Voutsinou-Taliadouri, F.,
- 629 Georgakopoulou-Gregoriadou, E., 1997. Eutrophication assessment of the Kerkyra Sea(N. E.
- 630 Ionian) based on seasonal, chemical, physical and biological characteristics. Fresenius
- 631 Environmental Bulletin 6, 066–071.
- 632 Reynolds, C.S., 2006. The Ecology of Phytoplankton. Cambridge University Press.
- 633 Reynolds, C.S., 1997. Successional development, energetics and diversity in planktonic communities,
- 634 in: Biodiversity: An Ecological Perspective. pp. 167–202.
- 635 Reynolds, C.S., 1984. Artificial induction of surface blooms of cyanobacteria. Internationale
- 636 Vereinigung für theoretische und angewandte Limnologie: Verhandlungen 22, 638–643.

637 Reynolds, C.S., 1980. Phytoplankton assemblages and their periodicity in stratifying lake systems.

638 Ecography 3, 141–159.

- 639 Richard, S., Jamet, J.-L., 2001. An Unusual Distribution of *Oithona nana* Giesbrecht (1892) (Crustacea:
- 640 Cyclopoida) in a Bay: The Case of Toulon Bay (France, Mediterranean Sea). Journal of Coastal
  641 Research 17, 957–963.
- 642 Rossi, N., Jamet, J.-L., 2009. Structure and succession of plankton communities in two Mediterranean
- 643 neighbouring coastal ecosystems (Toulon bays, France). New oceanography research
  644 developments 1, 14.
- Rossi, N., Jamet, J.-L., 2008. In situ heavy metals (copper, lead and cadmium) in different plankton
- 646 compartments and suspended particulate matter in two coupled Mediterranean coastal
- 647 ecosystems (Toulon Bay, France). Marine Pollution Bulletin 56, 1862–1870.
- 648 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.07.018
- 649 Sanvicente-Añorve, L., Leprêtre, A., Davoult, D., 2002. Diversity of benthic macrofauna in the eastern
- English Channel: comparison among and within communities. Biodiversity & Conservation
  11, 265–282.
- 652 Serranito, B., Jamet, J.-L., Rossi, N., Jamet, D., 2019. Decadal shifts of coastal microphytoplankton
- 653 communities in a semi-enclosed bay of NW Mediterranean Sea subjected to multiple
  654 stresses. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 224, 171–186.
- 655 Serranito, B., Aubert, A., Stemmann, L., Rossi, N., Jamet, J.-L., 2016. Proposition of indicators of
- anthropogenic pressure in the Bay of Toulon (Mediterranean Sea) based on zooplankton
  time-series. Continental Shelf Research 121, 3–12.
- 658 Shannon, C.E., 1948. A mathematical theory of communication. Bell Labs Tech. J. 27, 379–423.
- 659 Smayda, T.J., Reynolds, C.S., 2001. Community assembly in marine phytoplankton: application of
- recent models to harmful dinoflagellate blooms. *Journal of plankton research*, 23(5), 447-
- 661 461.

663	G., 2016. Co-occurring Synechococcus ecotypes occupy four major oceanic regimes defined
664	by temperature, macronutrients and iron. The ISME journal 10, 333–345.
665	Sommer, U., Gliwicz, Z.M., Lampert, W., Duncan, A., 1986. The PEG-model of seasonal succession of
666	planktonic events in fresh waters. Arch. Hydrobiol 106, 433–471.
667	Tessier, E., Garnier, C., Mullot, J. U., Lenoble, V., Arnaud, M., Raynaud, M., Mounier, S., 2011. Study
668	of the spatial and historical distribution of sediment inorganic contamination in the Toulon
669	bay (France). Marine Pollution Bulletin 62, 2075–2086.
670	http://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2011.07.022
671	Thyssen, M., Grégori, G.J., Grisoni, JM., Pedrotti, M.L., Mousseau, L., Artigas, L.F., Marro, S., Garcia,
672	N., Passafiume, O., Denis, M.J., 2014. Onset of the spring bloom in the northwestern
673	Mediterranean Sea: influence of environmental pulse events on the in situ hourly-scale
674	dynamics of the phytoplankton community structure. <i>Frontiers in Microbiol</i> ogy <b>5</b> , 387. doi:
675	10.3389/fmicb.2014.00387
676	Thyssen, M., Mathieu, D., Garcia, N., and Denis, M., 2008. Short-term variation of phytoplankton
677	assemblages in Mediterranean coastal waters recorded with an automated submerged flow
678	cytometer. Journal of Plankton Research 30, 1027–1040. doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbn054
679	Vahid, H., Mahmoudu, J., Akbarlou, M., Mahdavi, S.K., 2013. Investigation of grazing on plant
680	diversity using rank abundance model (case study: arid and semi-arid kalimany rangelands in
681	north Khorasan province). Journal of Biology and Environmental Science 3, 24–31.
682	Watkins, A.J., Wilson, J.B., 1994. Plant community structure, and its relation to the vertical
683	complexity of communities: dominance/diversity and spatial rank consistency. Oikos 91–98.
684	Wilson, J.B., Gitay, H., Steel, J.B., King, W.M., 1998. Relative abundance distributions in plant
685	communities: effects of species richness and of spatial scale. Journal of Vegetation Science 9,
686	213–220.

Sohm, J.A., Ahlgren, N.A., Thomson, Z.J., Williams, C., Moffett, J.W., Saito, M.A., Webb, E.A., Rocap,

662

687	Wilson, J.B., Wells, T.C., Trueman, I.C., Jones, G., Atkinson, M.D., Crawley, M.J., Dodd, M.E.,
688	Silvertown, J., 1996. Are there assembly rules for plant species abundance? An investigation
689	in relation to soil resources and successional trends. Journal of Ecology 527–538.
690	Wilson, J.B., Gitay, H., 1995. Community structure and assembly rules in a dune slack: variance in
691	richness, guild proportionality, biomass constancy and dominance/diversity relations.
692	Vegetatio 116, 93–106.
693	
694	Supplementary data
695	Table 1 : Ecological stages of each month for LiB and LaB. Determination coefficient (r <sup>2</sup> ) of the linear
696	trend line are also represented. When r <sup>2</sup> is higher than 0.82 and 0.87 for respectively LaB and LiB, the
697	curve is determined as convex; when r <sup>2</sup> is lower, the curve is determined as straight or concave.
698	Complete succession is represented in bold.
699	
700	
701	

	Ļį	LiB		B
Date	Stage	r²	Stage	r²
oct-13	2	0.97	1	0.49
nov-13	3	0.8	1	0.65
déc-13	1	0.83	1	0.69
janv-14	1	0.71	2	0.97
févr-14	2	0.9	2	0.91
mars-14	2	0.88	1	0.54
avr-14	1	0.83	2	0.93
mai-14	2	0.87	1	0.63
juin-14	2	0.9	3	0.72
juil-14	2	0.94	3	0.71
août-14	2	0.93	3	0.61
sept-14	2	0.88	1	0.49
oct-14	2	0.97	1	0.51
nov-14	2	0.96	1	0.61
déc-14	1	0.85	3	0.82
janv-15	2	0.92	1	0.69
févr-15	3	0.82	2	0.91
mars-15	1	0.85	2	0.9
avr-15	2	0.9	1	0.51
mai-15	2	0.87	1	0.67
juin-15	2	0.95	1	0.79
juil-15	1	0.63	1	0.6
août-15	3	0.68	3	0.5
sept-15	1	0.53	1	0.46
oct-15	3	0.73	1	0.49
nov-15	2	0.93	1	0.5
déc-15	1	0.53	1	0.49
janv-16	3	0.8	3	0.62
févr-16	1	0.79	3	0.75
mars-16	2	0.92	2	0.84
avr-16	2	0.88	1	0.48
mai-16	3	0.81	1	0.55
juin-16	2	0.93	3	0.76
juil-16	2	0.94	3	0.71
août-16	2	0.93	3	0.61
sept-16	2	0.88	1	0.5
oct-16	2	0.97	1	0.51
nov-16	2	0.96	1	0.61
déc-16	1	0.85	2	0.82
janv-17	3	0.78	3	0.78
févr-17	2	0.94	2	0.84
mars-17	2	0.92	2	0.95
avr-17	2	0.88	3	0.67
mai-17	2	0.96	3	0.63

Date         Stage         r²         Stage         r²           juin-17         2         0.91         1         0.8           juil-17         1         0.74         1         0.66           août-17         3         0.57         3         0.51           oct-17         3         0.54         3         0.47           déc-17         1         0.62         3         0.61           janv-18         3         0.69         3         0.79           mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.53           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           juin-18         1         0.51         0.54         0.54           juin-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.71           avr-19<		Ļij	B	LaB	
juin-17         2         0.91         1         0.8           juil-17         1         0.74         1         0.66           août-17         3         0.57         3         0.51           oct-17         3         0.68         1         0.62           nov-17         3         0.54         3         0.47           déc-17         1         0.62         3         0.61           janv-18         3         0.69         3         0.79           mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.78           avr-18         3         0.76         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.71      avr-19	Date	Stage	r²	Stage r <sup>2</sup>	
juil-17         1         0.74         1         0.66           août-17         3         0.57         3         0.51           oct-17         3         0.68         1         0.62           nov-17         3         0.54         3         0.47           déc-17         1         0.62         3         0.6           janv-18         3         0.69         3         0.81           févr-18         3         0.69         3         0.79           mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19	juin-17	2	0.91	1	0.8
août-17         3         0.57         3         0.51           oct-17         3         0.68         1         0.62           nov-17         3         0.54         3         0.47           déc-17         1         0.62         3         0.61           janv-18         3         0.69         3         0.79           mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.78           avr-18         3         0.76         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.51           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.93         2         0.93           juin-19	juil-17	1	0.74	1	0.66
oct-17         3         0.68         1         0.62           nov-17         3         0.54         3         0.47           déc-17         1         0.62         3         0.61           janv-18         3         0.69         3         0.79           mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.78           avr-18         3         0.76         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.51           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.91         1         0.54           avr-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19	août-17	3	0.57	3	0.51
nov-17         3         0.54         3         0.47           déc-17         1         0.62         3         0.6           janv-18         3         0.69         3         0.81           févr-18         3         0.69         3         0.79           mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.78           avr-18         3         0.76         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.55           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-17         2         0.94         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.93         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           juin-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           juin-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19	oct-17	3	0.68	1	0.62
déc-17         1         0.62         3         0.61           janv-18         3         0.69         3         0.81           févr-18         3         0.69         3         0.79           mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.72           mars-18         2         0.95         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.52           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           juil-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.55           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           avr-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19	nov-17	3	0.54	3	0.47
janv-18         3         0.69         3         0.81           févr-18         3         0.69         3         0.79           mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.78           avr-18         3         0.76         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.5           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19	déc-17	1	0.62	3	0.6
févr-18         3         0.69         3         0.79           mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.78           avr-18         3         0.76         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.93         2         0.93           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65              déc-19	janv-18	3	0.69	3	0.81
mars-18         2         0.87         3         0.78           avr-18         3         0.76         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           juil-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.51           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65              déc-19	févr-18	3	0.69	3	0.79
avr-18         3         0.76         3         0.52           mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           juin-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.51           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20	mars-18	2	0.87	3	0.78
mai-18         2         0.95         3         0.58           juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           juin-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.5           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20	avr-18	3	0.76	3	0.52
juin-18         1         0.81         1         0.54           juil-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.55           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.93         2         0.93           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           juin-20	mai-18	2	0.95	3	0.58
juil-18         3         0.73         1         0.54           août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.5           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           mai-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juin-20	juin-18	1	0.81	1	0.54
août-18         1         0.54         1         0.49           sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.5           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juin-20	juil-18	3	0.73	1	0.54
sept-18         3         0.73         1         0.5           nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7      août-20         3	août-18	1	0.54	1	0.49
nov-18         1         0.65         1         0.65           déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.78         1         0.5           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7<           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	sept-18	3	0.73	1	0.5
déc-18         2         0.9         1         0.55           févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.78         1         0.5           déc-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	nov-18	1	0.65	1	0.65
févr-19         3         0.54         3         0.77           mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.82         3         0.66	déc-18	2	0.9	1	0.55
mars-19         2         0.98         3         0.7           avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juin-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.7	févr-19	3	0.54	3	0.77
avr-19         2         0.96         2         0.93           mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.78         1         0.5           nov-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juin-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.7	mars-19	2	0.98	3	0.7
mai-19         2         0.93         2         0.91           juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.78         1         0.5           nov-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           mai-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juin-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	avr-19	2	0.96	2	0.93
juin-19         3         0.69         1         0.56           juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.78         1         0.5           nov-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           mai-20         3         0.81         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	mai-19	2	0.93	2	0.91
juil-19         1         0.78         1         0.6           août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.78         1         0.5           nov-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           mai-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juin-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	juin-19	3	0.69	1	0.56
août-19         3         0.63         3         0.51           sept-19         1         0.78         1         0.5           nov-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           mai-20         3         0.81         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	juil-19	1	0.78	1	0.6
sept-19         1         0.78         1         0.5           nov-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           mai-20         3         0.81         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	août-19	3	0.63	3	0.51
nov-19         1         0.75         3         0.65           déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           mai-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	sept-19	1	0.78	1	0.5
déc-19         1         0.75         2         0.87           janv-20         3         0.63         3         0.79           févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           mai-20         3         0.81         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	nov-19	1	0.75	3	0.65
janv-20 3 0.63 3 0.79 févr-20 3 0.85 2 0.87 mai-20 3 0.81 2 0.87 juin-20 3 0.82 3 0.66 juil-20 2 0.91 1 0.7 août-20 3 0.62 1 0.52	déc-19	1	0.75	2	0.87
févr-20         3         0.85         2         0.87           mai-20         3         0.81         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	janv-20	3	0.63	3	0.79
mai-20         3         0.81         2         0.87           juin-20         3         0.82         3         0.66           juil-20         2         0.91         1         0.7           août-20         3         0.62         1         0.52	févr-20	3	0.85	2	0.87
juin-20 3 0.82 3 0.66 juil-20 2 0.91 1 0.7 août-20 3 0.62 1 0.52	mai-20	3	0.81	2	0.87
juil-20 2 0.91 1 0.7 août-20 3 0.62 1 0.52	juin-20	3	0.82	3	0.66
août-20 3 0.62 1 0.52	juil-20	2	0.91	1	0.7
	août-20	3	0.62	1	0.52





Figure 1: Rank frequency diagrams of pico- and nanoplankton assemblage in the Little Bay (dashed





Figure 2: Rank frequency diagrams of pico- and nanoplankton assemblage in the Little Bay (dashed
grey lines) and the Large Bay (solid black lines) in 2014. Both axes are on a logarithmic scale.



Figure 3: Rank frequency diagrams of pico- and nanoplankton assemblage in the Little Bay (dashed
grey lines) and the Large Bay (solid black lines) in 2015. Both axes are on a logarithmic scale.



Figure 4: Rank frequency diagrams of pico- and nanoplankton assemblage in the Little Bay (dashed
grey lines) and the Large Bay (solid black lines) in 2016. Both axes are on a logarithmic scale.



Figure 5: Rank frequency diagrams of pico- and nanoplankton assemblage in the Little Bay (dashed
grey lines) and the Large Bay (solid black lines) in 2017. Both axes are on a logarithmic scale.



Figure 6: Rank frequency diagrams of pico- and nanoplankton assemblage in the Little Bay (dashed
grey lines) and the Large Bay (solid black lines) in 2018. Both axes are on a logarithmic scale.



Figure 7: Rank frequency diagrams of pico- and nanoplankton assemblage in the Little Bay (dashed
grey lines) and the Large Bay (solid black lines) in 2019. Both axes are on a logarithmic scale.



Figure 8: Rank frequency diagrams of pico- and nanoplankton assemblage in the Little Bay (dashed
grey lines) and the Large Bay (solid black lines) in 2020. Both axes are on a logarithmic scale.