Mean square values of L-functions over subgroups for non primitive characters, Dedekind sums and bounds on relative class numbers

Stéphane Louboutin, Marc Munsch

▶ **To cite this version:**

Stéphane Louboutin, Marc Munsch. Mean square values of L-functions over subgroups for non primitive characters, Dedekind sums and bounds on relative class numbers. Canadian Journal of Mathematics = Journal Canadien de Mathématiques, In press, 75 (5), pp.1711-1743. 10.4153/S0008414X2300010X . hal-03983361

HAL Id: hal-03983361
https://hal.science/hal-03983361

Submitted on 10 Feb 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Mean square values of L-functions over subgroups for non primitive characters, Dedekind sums and bounds on relative class numbers

Stéphane R. Louboutin
Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M
Marseille, France.
stephane.louboutin@univ-amu.fr
Marc Munsch
Unige, Dipartimento di Matematica
Genova, Italia
munsch@dima.unige.it

January 24, 2023

(To appear in the Canadian J. Math.)

Abstract

An explicit formula for the mean value of $|L(1,\chi)|^2$ is known, where χ runs over all odd primitive Dirichlet characters of prime conductors p. Bounds on the relative class number of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ follow. Lately the authors obtained that the mean value of $|L(1,\chi)|^2$ is asymptotic to $\pi^2/6$, where χ runs over all odd primitive Dirichlet characters of prime conductors $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2d}$ which are trivial on a subgroup H of odd order d of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$, provided that $d \ll \frac{\log p}{\log\log p}$. Bounds on the relative class number of the subfield of degree $\frac{p-1}{2d}$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ follow. Here, for a given integer $d_0 > 1$ we consider the same questions for the non-primitive odd Dirichlet characters χ' modulo $d_0 p$ induced by the odd primitive characters χ modulo p. We obtain new estimates for Dedekind sums and deduce that the mean value of $|L(1,\chi')|^2$ is asymptotic to $\frac{\pi^2}{6}\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^2}\right)$, where χ runs over all odd primitive Dirichlet characters of prime conductors p which are trivial on a subgroup H of odd order $d \ll \frac{\log p}{\log\log p}$. As a consequence we improve the previous bounds on the relative class number of the subfield of degree $\frac{p-1}{2d}$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Moreover, we give a method to obtain explicit formulas and use Mersenne primes to show that our restriction on d is essentially sharp.

1 Introduction

Let X_f be the multiplicative group of the $\phi(f)$ Dirichlet characters modulo $f > 2$. Let $X_f^- = \{\chi \in X_f;\ \chi(-1) = -1\}$ be the set of the $\phi(f)/2$ odd Dirichlet characters modulo f. Let $L(s,\chi)$ be the Dirichlet L-function associated with $\chi \in X_f$. Let H denote a subgroup of index m in the multiplicative group $G := (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. We assume that $-1 \notin H$. Hence m is even. We set $X_f(H) = \{\chi \in X_f;\ \chi_{/H} = 1\}$, a subgroup of order m of X_f isomorphic to the group of Dirichlet characters of the abelian quotient group G/H of order m. Define $X_f^-(H) = \{\chi \in X_f^-;\ \chi_{/H} = 1\}$, a set of cardinal $m/2$. Let K be an abelian number field of degree m and prime conductor $p \geq 3$, i.e. let K be a subfield of the cyclotomic number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ (Kronecker-Weber's theorem). The Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$

[0]2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F20, 11R42, 11M20, 11R20, 11R29, 11J71.
Key words and phrases. Dirichlet character, L-function, Mean square value, Relative class number, Dedekind sums, Cyclotomic field, Discrepancy, Multiplicative subgroup

is canonically isomorphic to the multiplicative cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ and $H := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/K)$ is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of index m and order

$$d = (p-1)/m.$$

Now, assume that K is imaginary. Then d is odd, m is even, $-1 \notin H$ and the set

$$X_K^- := X_p^-(H) := \{\chi \in X_p^-;\ \text{and } \chi_{/H} = 1\}$$

is of cardinal $(p-1)/(2d) = m/2$. Let K^+ be the maximal real subfield of K of degree $m/2$ fixed by the complex conjugation. The class number h_{K^+} of K^+ divides the class number h_K of K. The relative class number of K is defined by $h_K^- = h_K/h_{K^+}$. We refer the reader to [Ser] and [Was] for such basic knowledge. The mean square value of $L(1,\chi)$ as χ ranges in $X_f^-(H)$ is defined by

$$M(f,H) := \frac{1}{\#X_f^-(H)} \sum_{\chi \in X_f^-(H)} |L(1,\chi)|^2. \tag{1}$$

The analytic class number formula and the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality give

$$h_K^- = w_K \left(\frac{p}{4\pi^2}\right)^{m/4} \prod_{\chi \in X_K^-} L(1,\chi) \le w_K \left(\frac{pM(p,H)}{4\pi^2}\right)^{m/4}, \tag{2}$$

where w_K is the number of complex roots of unity in K. Hence $w_K = 2p$ for $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and $w_K = 2$ otherwise. In [LM21, Theorem 1.1] we proved that

$$M(p,H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} + o(1) \tag{3}$$

as p tends to infinity uniformly over subgroups H of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of odd order $d \le \frac{\log p}{3(\log\log p)}$ [1]. Hence, by (2) we have

$$h_K^- \le w_K \left(\frac{(1+o(1))p}{24}\right)^{(p-1)/4d}. \tag{4}$$

In some situations it is even possible to give an explicit formula for $M(p,H)$ implying a completely explicit bound for h_K^-. Indeed, by [Wal] and [Met] (see also (30)), we have

$$M(p,\{1\}) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right) \le \frac{\pi^2}{6} \qquad (p \ge 3). \tag{5}$$

Hence,

$$h_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}^- \le 2p \left(\frac{pM(p,\{1\})}{4\pi^2}\right)^{(p-1)/4} \le 2p \left(\frac{p}{24}\right)^{(p-1)/4}. \tag{6}$$

We refer the reader to [Gra] for more information about the expected size of $h_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}^-$. The only other situation where a similar explicit result is known is the following one (see Theorem 6.6 for a new proof).

Theorem. *(See [2] [Lou16, Theorem 1]). Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ be a prime integer. Let K be the imaginary subfield of degree $(p-1)/3$ of the cyclotomic number field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Let H be the subgroup of order 3 of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. We have (compare with (5) and (6))*

$$M(p,H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \le \frac{\pi^2}{6} \text{ and } h_K^- \le 2\left(\frac{p}{24}\right)^{(p-1)/12}. \tag{7}$$

[1] This restriction on d is probably optimal, by (43).

[2] Note the misprint in the exponent in [Lou16, (8)].

In [Lou94] (see also [Lou11]), the following simple argument allowed to improve on (6). Let $d_0 > 1$ be a given integer. Assume that $\gcd(d_0, f) = 1$. For χ modulo f let χ' be the character modulo $d_0 f$ induced by χ. Then,

$$L(1,\chi) = L(1,\chi') \prod_{q|d_0} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(q)}{q}\right)^{-1} \tag{8}$$

(throughout the paper this notation means that q runs over the distinct prime divisors of d_0). Let H be a subgroup of order d of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$, with $-1 \notin H$. We define

$$M_{d_0}(f,H) := \frac{1}{\#X_f^-(H)} \sum_{\chi \in X_f^-(H)} |L(1,\chi')|^2 \tag{9}$$

and[3]

$$\Pi_{d_0}(f,H) := \prod_{q|d_0} \prod_{\chi \in X_f^-(H)} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(q)}{q}\right) \text{ and } D_{d_0}(f,H) := \Pi_{d_0}(f,H)^{4/m}. \tag{10}$$

Clearly there is no restriction in assuming from now on that d_0 is square-free. Let now H be of odd order d in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. Using (8), we obtain (compare with (2)):

$$h_K^- = \frac{w_K}{\Pi_{d_0}(p,H)} \left(\frac{p}{4\pi^2}\right)^{m/4} \prod_{\chi \in X_K^-} L(1,\chi') \le w_K \left(\frac{p M_{d_0}(p,H)}{4\pi^2 D_{d_0}(p,H)}\right)^{m/4}. \tag{11}$$

Let $d = o(\log p)$ as $p \to \infty$. Then, by Corollary 2.4 below, we have

$$D_{d_0}(p,H) = 1 + o(1)$$

and we expect that

$$M_{d_0}(p,H) \sim \left\{ \prod_{q|d_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) \right\} \times M(p,H). \tag{12}$$

Hence, (11) should indeed improve on (2). The aim of this paper is two-fold. Firstly, in Theorem 1.1 we give an asymptotic formula for $M_{d_0}(p,H)$ when d satisfies the same restriction as in (3) allowing us to improve on the bound (4). Secondly we treat the case of groups of order 1 and 3 for small d_0's as well as the case of Mersenne primes and groups of size $\approx \log p$. In both cases an explicit description of these subgroups allows us to obtain explicit formulas for $M_{d_0}(p,H)$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $d_0 \ge 1$ be a given square-free integer. As $p \to +\infty$ we have the following asymptotic formula*

$$M_{d_0}(p,H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{q|d_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) + O(d(\log p)^2 p^{-\frac{1}{d-1}}) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{q|d_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) + o(1)$$

uniformly over subgroups H of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of odd order $d \le \frac{\log p}{3(\log\log p)}$. Moreover, let K be an imaginary abelian number field of prime conductor p and of degree $m = (p-1)/d$. Let $C < 4\pi^2 = 39.478..$ be any positive constant. If p is sufficiently large and $m \ge 3\frac{(p-1)\log\log p}{\log p}$, then we have

$$h_K^- \le w_K \left(\frac{p}{C}\right)^{(p-1)/4d}. \tag{13}$$

[3]Note that $\Pi_{d_0}(f,H) \in \mathbb{Q}_+^*$, by Lemma 2.3.

Remarks 1.2. *The second result in Theorem 1.1 improves on* (4), (6) *and* (7). *It follows from the first result in Theorem 1.1, and by using* (11) *and* (16), *where we take* d_0 *as the product of sufficiently many consecutive first primes.*

The special case $d_0 = 1$ *was proved in [LM21, Theorem* 1.1*]. Note that the restriction on* d *cannot be extended further to the range* $d = O(\log p)$ *as shown by Theorem 5.2. Moreover the constant* C *in* (13) *cannot be taken larger than* $4\pi^2$, *see the discussion about Kummer's conjecture in [MP01].*

In the first part of the paper, the presentation goes as follows:

- In Section 2, we explain the condition about the prime divisors of d_0 and prove that $D_{d_0}(p, H) = 1 + o(1)$.
- In Section 3, we review some results on Dedekind sums and prove a new bound of independent interest for Dedekind sums $s(h, f)$ with h being of small order modulo f (see Theorem 3.1). To do so we use techniques from uniform distribution and discrepancy theory. Then we relate $M_{d_0}(p, H)$ to twisted moments of L- functions which we further express in terms of Dedekind sums. For the sake of clarity, we first treat separately the case $H = \{1\}$. Note that we found that this case is related to elementary sums of maxima that we could not estimate directly, see Section 3.4.1. Using our estimates on Dedekind sums we deduce the asymptotic formula of Theorem 1.1 and the related class number bounds.

In the second part of the paper, we focus on the explicit aspects. Let us describe briefly our presentation:

- In Section 4.1 we establish a formula for $M_{d_0}(f, \{1\})$, $d_0 > 2$, provided that all the prime factors q of f satisfy $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{d_0}$. In particular, we get formulas for $M_{d_0}(f, \{1\})$ for $d_0 \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$ and $\gcd(d_0, f) = 1$ (such formulae become harder to come by as d_0 gets larger). For example, for $p \geq 5$ and $d_0 = 6$, using Theorem 4.1 we obtain the following formula for $M_6(p, \{1\})$:

$$M_6(p, \{1\}) = \frac{\pi^2}{9}\left(1 - \frac{c_p}{p}\right) \leq \frac{\pi^2}{9}, \text{ where } c_p = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \\ 0 & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod 3 \end{cases}$$

  which by (11) and Corollary 2.4 give improvements on (6) (see also [Feng] and [Lou94])

$$h^-_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)} \leq 3p\left(\frac{p}{36}\right)^{(p-1)/4}.$$

  See also [Lou23, Theorem 5.2] for even better bounds.
  In Section 4.3 we obtain an explicit formula of the form

$$M_{d_0}(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\left\{\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right)\right\}\left(1 + \frac{N_{d_0}(p, H)}{p}\right), \tag{14}$$

  where $N_{d_0}(p, H)$ defined in (33) is an explicit average of Dedekind sums. In Proposition 4.6 we prove that $N_{d_0}(p, \{1\}) \in \mathbb{Q}$ depends only on p modulo d_0 and is easily computable.

- For $H \neq \{1\}$ explicit formulae for $M_{d_0}(p, H)$ seem difficult to come by. In Section 5, we focus on Mersenne primes $p = 2^d - 1$, with d odd. We take $H = \{2^k;\ 0 \leq k \leq d-1\}$, a subgroup of odd order d of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. For $d_0 \in \{1, 3, 15\}$ we prove in Theorem 5.4 that

$$M_{d_0}(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{2}\left\{\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right)\right\}\left(1 + \frac{N'_{d_0}(p, H)}{p}\right),$$

  where $N'_{d_0}(p, H) = a_1(p)d + a_0(p)$ with $a_1(p), a_0(p) \in \mathbb{Q}$ depending only on $p = 2^d - 1$ modulo d_0 and easily computable. In the range $d \gg \log p$, we see that $M_{d_0}(p, H)$ has a different asymptotic behavior than the one in Theorem 1.1.

- In Section 6, we turn to the specific case of subgroups of order 3. Writing $f = a^2 + ab + b^2$ not necessarily prime, and taking $H = \{1, a/b, b/a\}$, the subgroup of order 3 of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$, we prove in Proposition 6.4 that $N_{d_0}(f, H) = O(\sqrt{f})$ in (14) for $d_0 \in \{1, 2, 3, 6\}$. To do so we obtain bounds for the Dedekind sums stronger than the one in Theorem 3.1. Note that this cannot be expected in general for subgroups of order 3 modulo composite f (see Remark 3.4 and 6.2). Furthermore we show that these bounds are sharp in the case of primes $p = a^2 + a + 1$, in accordance with Conjecture 7.1.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Algebraic considerations

Take $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\gcd(a, f) = 1$. There are infinitely many prime integers in the arithmetic progressions $a + f\mathbb{Z}$. Taking a prime $p \in a + f\mathbb{Z}$ with $p > d_0 f$, we have $s_{d_0}(p) = a$, where $s_{d_0} : (\mathbb{Z}/d_0 f\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$ is the canonical morphism. Therefore, s_{d_0} surjective and its kernel is of order $\phi(d_0)$. Let H be a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$ of order d. Then $H_{d_0} = s_{d_0}^{-1}(H)$ is a subgroup of order $\phi(d_0)d$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/d_0 f\mathbb{Z})^*$ and as χ runs over $X_f^-(H)$ the χ''s run over $X_{d_0 f}^-(H_{d_0})$ and by (1) and (9) we have

$$M_{d_0}(f, H) = M(d_0 f, H_{d_0}). \tag{15}$$

The following Lemma is probably well known but we found no reference in the literature.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f > 2$. Let H be a subgroup of index $m = (G : H)$ in the multiplicative group $G := (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then $\#X_f(H) = m$ and $H = \cap_{\chi \in X_f(H)} \ker \chi$. Moreover, if $-1 \notin H$, then m is even, $\#X_f^-(H) = m/2$ and $H = \cap_{\chi \in X_f^-(H)} \ker \chi$.*

Proof. Since $X_f(H)$ is isomorphic to the group of Dirichlet characters of the abelian quotient group G/H, it is of order m, by [Ser, Chapter VI, Proposition 2]. Clearly, $H \subseteq \cap_{\chi \in X_f(H)} \ker \chi$. Conversely, take $g \notin H$, of order $n \geq 2$ in the abelian quotient group G/H. Define a character χ of the subgroup $\langle g, H\rangle$ of G generated by g and H by $\chi(g^k h) = \exp(2\pi i k/n)$, $(k, h) \in \mathbb{Z} \times H$. It extends to a character of G still denoted χ, by [Ser, Chapter VI, Proposition 1]. Since $g \notin \ker \chi$ and $\chi \in X_f(H)$ we have $g \notin \cap_{\chi \in X_f(H)} \ker \chi$, i.e. $\cap_{\chi \in X_f(H)} \ker \chi \subseteq H$.

Now, assume that $-1 \notin H$. Set $H' = \langle -1, H\rangle$, of index $m/2$ in G. Then $X_f^-(H) = X_f(H) \backslash X_f(H')$ is indeed of order $m - m/2 = m/2$, by the first assertion. Clearly, $H \subseteq \cap_{\chi \in X_f^-(H)} \ker \chi$. Conversely, take $g \notin H$. Set $H'' := \langle g, H\rangle = \{g^k h;\ k \in \mathbb{Z},\ h \in H\}$, of index m'' in G, with $m > m''$. If $-1 = g^k h \in H''$ then clearly $\chi(g) \neq 1$ for $\chi \in X_f^-(H)$, hence $g \notin \cap_{\chi \in X_f^-(H)} \ker \chi$. If $-1 \notin H''$ and $\chi \in X_f^-(H) \setminus X_f^-(H'')$, a non-empty set or cardinal $m/2 - m''/2 = (H'' : H)/2 \geq 1$, then clearly $\chi(g) \neq 1$, hence $g \notin \cap_{\chi \in X_f^-(H)} \ker \chi$. Therefore, $\cap_{\chi \in X_f^-(H)} \ker \chi \subseteq H$. □

Remarks 2.2. *We have $M_{d_0}(p, H)/D_{d_0}(p, H) = M_{d_0/q}(p, H)/D_{d_0/q}(p, H)$ whenever a prime q dividing d_0 is in $\cap_{\chi \in X_p^-(H)} \ker \chi$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, when applying* (11) *we may assume that no prime divisor of d_0 is in H.*

2.2 On the size of $\Pi_{d_0}(f, H)$ and $D_{d_0}(f, H)$ defined in (10)

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be a subgroup of order $d \geq 1$ of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$, where $f > 2$. Assume that $-1 \notin H$. Let g be the order of a given prime integer q in the multiplicative quotient group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*/H$. Let $X_f(H)$ be the multiplicative group of the $\phi(f)/d$ Dirichlet characters modulo f for which $\chi_{/H} = 1$. Define $X_f^-(H) = \{\chi \in X_f(H);\ \chi(-1) = -1\}$, a set of cardinal $\phi(f)/(2d)$. Then*

$$\Pi_q(f, H) := \prod_{\chi \in X_f^-(H)} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(q)}{q}\right) = \begin{cases} \left(1 + \frac{1}{q^{g/2}}\right)^{\frac{\phi(f)}{dg}} & \text{if } g \text{ is even and } -q^{g/2} \in H, \\ \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^g}\right)^{\frac{\phi(f)}{2dg}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let α be of order g in an abelian group A of order n. Let $B = \langle\alpha\rangle$ be the cyclic group generated by α. Let $\hat{B}$ be the group of the g characters of B. Then $P_B(X) := \prod_{\chi\in\hat{B}}(X - \chi(\alpha)) = X^g - 1$. Now, the restriction map $\chi \in \hat{A} \to \chi_{/B} \in \hat{B}$ is surjective, by [Ser, Proposition 1], and of kernel isomorphic to $\widehat{A/B}$ of order n/g, by [Ser, Proposition 2]. Therefore, $P_A(X) := \prod_{\chi\in\hat{A}}(X - \chi(\alpha)) = P_B(X)^{n/g} = (X^g - 1)^{n/g}$.
With $A = (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*/H$ of order $n = \phi(f)/d$, we have $\hat{A} = X_f(H)$ and

$$\prod_{\chi\in X_f(H)}(X - \chi(q)) = (X^g - 1)^{\frac{\phi(f)}{dg}}.$$

Let H' be the subgroup of order $2d$ generated by -1 and H. With $A' = (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*/H'$ of order $n' = \phi(f)/(2d)$, we have $\hat{A}' = X_f(H') = X_f^+(H) := \{\chi \in X_f(H);\ \chi(-1) = +1\}$ and

$$\prod_{\chi\in X_f^+(H)}(X - \chi(q)) = (X^{g'} - 1)^{\frac{\phi(f)}{2dg'}},$$

where q is of order g' in A'.
Since $X_f^-(H) = X_f(H) \setminus X_f^+(H)$, it follows that

$$\prod_{\chi\in X_f^-(H)}(X - \chi(q)) = \frac{(X^g - 1)^{\frac{\phi(f)}{dg}}}{(X^{g'} - 1)^{\frac{\phi(f)}{2dg'}}}.$$

Since $q^g \in H$ we have $q^g \in H'$ and g' divides g. Since $q^{g'} \in H' = \{\pm h;\ h \in H\}$ we have $q^{2g'} \in H$ and g divides $2g'$. Hence, $g = g'$ or $g = 2g'$ and $g = 2g'$ if and only if g is even and $q^{g/2} = q^{g'} \in H' \setminus H = \{-h;\ h \in H\}$. The assertion follows. □

Corollary 2.4. *Fix $d_0 > 1$ square-free. Let $p \geq 3$ run over the prime integers that do not divide d_0. Let H a subgroup of odd order d of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then,*

$$D_{d_0}(p, H) \ = 1 + O(\omega(d_0)p^{-1/2(d-1)}) \tag{16}$$

where $\omega(d_0)$ stands for the number of prime divisors of d_0. In particular when $d = o(\log p)$, we have

$$D_{d_0}(p, H) = 1 + o(1). \tag{17}$$

Moreover,

$$\Pi_{d_0}(p, \{1\}) \geq \exp\left(\frac{\log d_0}{2}F(p+1)\right),\ \textit{where } F(x) := \frac{(x-2)\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)}{\log x},\ (x > 1).$$

In particular, $\Pi_6(p, \{1\}) \geq 2/3$ for $p \geq 5$.

Proof. Let q be a prime divisor of d_0. Let g be the order of q in the multiplicative quotient group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*/H$. Then

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{q^g}\right)^{\frac{2}{g}} \leq D_q(p, H) = \Pi_q(p, H)^{\frac{4d}{p-1}} \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{q^{g/2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{g}},$$

by (10) and Lemma 2.3, with $f = p$, $\phi(f) = p - 1$ and $m = (p-1)/d$. Either $q^g \equiv 1 \pmod p$, in which case $q^g \geq p + 1$, or $q^g \equiv h \pmod p$ for some $h \in \{2, \cdots, p-1\} \cap H$, in which case p divides $S := 1 + h + \cdots + h^{d-1}$ which satisfies $p \leq S \leq 2h^{d-1}$. Therefore, in both cases, we have $q^g \geq (p/2)^{\frac{1}{d-1}}$. Hence,

$$\log D_q(p, H) \geq \frac{2}{g}\log(1 - q^{-g}) \geq \frac{2}{g}(-2\log 2)q^{-g} \geq -4(\log 2)(p/2)^{-1/(d-1)}$$

where we used for $x = q^{-g}$ the fact that $\log(1-x) \geq -2(\log 2)x$ in $[0, 1/2]$.

$$D_q(p, H) \geq 1 - 4(\log 2)(p/2)^{-1/(d-1)}$$

where we used the fact that $e^{-x} \geq 1 - x$. Therefore we have,

$$D_{d_0}(p, H) = \prod_{q|d_0} D_q(p, H) \geq 1 - 4(\log 2)\omega(d_0) \left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{-1/(d-1)}$$

where we used the inequality $(1-x)^n \geq 1 - nx$ for $x \leq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A similar reasoning gives an explicit upper bound $D_{d_0}(p, H) \leq 1 + c\omega(d_0)p^{-1/2(d-1)}$ for some constant $c > 0$. Therefore, we do get (16). Finally, $p^{1/(d-1)}$ tends to infinity in the range $d = o(\log p)$ and (17) follows.

Notice that if $p = 2^d - 1$ runs over the Mersenne primes and $H = \langle 2 \rangle$, we have $d = O(\log p)$ but $D_2(p, H) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2$ does not satisfy (17).

Now, assume that $H = \{1\}$. Then, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and $q^g \geq p + 1$. Hence,

$$\Pi_q(p, \{1\}) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2g}} \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{p+1}\right)^{\frac{(p-1)\log q}{2\log(p+1)}} = \exp\left(\frac{\log q}{2} F(p+1)\right).$$

The desired lower bound easily follows. □

3 Dedekind sums and mean square values of L-functions

3.1 Dedekind sums and Dedekind-Rademacher sums

The Dedekind sums is the rational number defined by

$$s(c, d) = \frac{1}{4d} \sum_{n=1}^{|d|-1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi n}{d}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi nc}{d}\right) \qquad (c \in \mathbb{Z},\ d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\},\ \gcd(c, d) = 1), \tag{18}$$

with the convention $s(c, -1) = s(c, 1) = 0$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [Apo] or [RG] where it is however assumed that $d > 1$). It depends only on c mod $|d|$ and $c \mapsto s(c, d)$ can therefore be seen as a mapping from $(\mathbb{Z}/|d|\mathbb{Z})^*$ to $\mathbb{Q}$. Notice that

$$s(c^*, d) = s(c, d) \text{ whenever } cc^* \equiv 1 \pmod{d} \tag{19}$$

(make the change of variables $n \mapsto nc$ in $s(c^*, d)$). Recall the reciprocity law for Dedekind sums

$$s(c, d) + s(d, c) = \frac{c^2 + d^2 - 3|cd| + 1}{12cd}, \qquad (c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\},\ \gcd(c, d) = 1). \tag{20}$$

In particular,

$$s(1, d) = \frac{d^2 - 3|d| + 2}{12d} \text{ and } s(2, d) = \frac{d^2 - 6|d| + 5}{24d} \qquad (d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}). \tag{21}$$

For $b, c \in \mathbb{Z},\ d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$ such that $\gcd(b, d) = \gcd(c, d) = 1$, the Dedekind-Rademacher sum is the rational number defined by

$$s(b, c, d) = \frac{1}{4d} \sum_{n=1}^{|d|-1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi nb}{d}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi nc}{d}\right),$$

with the convention $s(b, c, -1) = s(b, c, 1) = 0$ for $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $s(c, d) = s(1, c, d)$, if $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}/|d|\mathbb{Z})^*$ is represented as $\alpha = b/c$ with $\gcd(b, d) = \gcd(c, d) = 1$, then $s(\alpha, d) = s(b, c, d)$, and

$$s(b, c, d) = s(ab, ac, d) \text{ for any } a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } \gcd(a, d) = 1. \tag{22}$$

For $\gcd(b,c)=\gcd(c,d)=\gcd(d,b)=1$ we have a reciprocity law for Dedekind-Rademacher sums (see [Rad] or [BR]):

$$s(b,c,d)+s(d,b,c)+s(c,d,b)=\frac{b^2+c^2+d^2-3|bcd|}{12bcd}. \tag{23}$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (21) yield

$$|s(c,d)|\leq s(1,|d|)\leq |d|/12 \text{ and } |s(b,c,d)|\leq s(1,|d|)\leq |d|/12. \tag{24}$$

3.2 Non trivial bounds on Dedekind sums

In this section we will use the alternative definition of the Dedekind sums given by

$$s(c,d)=\sum_{a=1}^{d-1}\left(\left(\frac{a}{d}\right)\right)\left(\left(\frac{ac}{d}\right)\right) \qquad (c\in\mathbb{Z},\ d\geq 1,\ \gcd(c,d)=1)$$

where $(())\colon\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ stands for the sawtooth function defined by

$$((x)):=\begin{cases} x-\lfloor x\rfloor-1/2 & \text{if } x\in\mathbb{R}\backslash\mathbb{Z},\\ 0 & \text{if } x\in\mathbb{Z}.\end{cases}$$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we need general bounds on Dedekind sums depending on the multiplicative order of the argument. This is a new type of bounds for Dedekind sums and the following result that improves upon (24) when the order is $o\left(\frac{\log p}{\log\log p}\right)$ might be of independent interest (see also Conjecture 7.1 for further discussions).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p>1$ be a prime integer and assume that h has odd order $k\geq 3$ in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. We have*

$$|s(h,p)|\ll(\log p)^2 p^{1-\frac{1}{\phi(k)}}.$$

Remarks 3.2. *Let us notice that by a result of Vardi [Var], for any function f such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty}f(n)=+\infty$ we have $s(c,d)\ll f(d)\log d$ for almost all (c,d) with $\gcd(c,d)=1$. However Dedekind sums take also very large values (see for instance [CEK, Gir03] for more information).*

Our proof builds from ideas of the proof of [LM21, Theorem 4.1] where some tools from equidistribution theory and the theory of pseudo-random generators were used. We refer for more information to [Kor], [Nied77] or the book of Konyagin and Shparlinski [KS, Chapter 12] (see [LM21, Section 4] for more details and references). Let us recall some notations. For any fixed integer s, we consider the s-dimensional cube $I_s=[0,1]^s$ equipped with its s-dimensional Lebesgue measure λ_s. We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of rectangular boxes of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^{s}[\alpha_i,\beta_i)=\{x\in I_s,\alpha_i\leq x_i<\beta_i\}$$

where $0\leq\alpha_i<\beta_i\leq 1$. If S is a finite subset of I^s, we define the discrepancy $D(S)$ by

$$D(S)=\sup_{B\in\mathcal{B}}\left|\frac{\#(B\cap S)}{\#S}-\lambda_s(B)\right|.$$

Let us introduce the following set of points:

$$S_{h,p}=\left\{\left(\frac{x}{p},\frac{xh}{p}\right)\in I_2, x \bmod p\right\}.$$

For good choice of h, the points are equidistributed and we expect for "nice" functions f

$$\lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{1}{p}\sum_{x \bmod p} f\left(\frac{x}{p},\frac{hx}{p}\right)=\int_{I_2}f(x,y)dxdy.$$

Lemma 3.3. *For any h of odd order $k \geq 3$ we have the following discrepancy bound*

$$D(S_{h,p}) \leq (\log p)^2 p^{-1/\phi(k)}.$$

Proof. It follows from the proof of [LM21, Theorem 4.1] where the bound was obtained as a consequence of Erdős-Turan inequality and tools from pseudo random generators theory. □

3.2.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Observe that

$$s(h,p) = \sum_{x \bmod p} f\left(\frac{x}{p}, \frac{hx}{p}\right)$$

where $f(x,y) = ((x))((y))$. By Koksma-Hlawka inequality [DT, Theorem 1.14] we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \bmod p} f\left(\frac{x}{p}, \frac{xh}{p}\right) - \int_{I_2} f(u,v)dudv \right| \leq V(f)D(S_{h,p})$$

where $V(f)$ is the Hardy-Krause variation of f. Moreover we have

$$\int_{I_2} f(u,v)dudv = 0.$$

The readers can easily convince themselves that $V(f) \ll 1$. Hence the result follows from Lemma 3.3.

Remarks 3.4. *The same method used to bound the discrepancy leads to a similar bound for composite f. Indeed for $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$ of order $k \geq 3$, we have $s(h,f) = O\left((\log f)^2 f/E(f)\right)$ with $E(f) = \max\{P^+(f)^{1/\phi(k^*)}, rad(f)^{1/k}\}$ where $P^+(f)$ is the largest prime factor of f, k^* is the order of h modulo $P^+(f)$ and $rad(f) = \prod_{\substack{\ell \mid f \\ \ell prime}} \ell$ is the radical of f. If $f = h^3 - 1$ is squarefree, then we have $E(f) = f^{1/3}$ and $s(h,f) = O\left((\log f)^2 f^{2/3}\right)$ which is close to the truth by a logarithmic factor (see Remark 6.2).*

For $\gcd(b,p) = \gcd(c,p) = 1$ we recall the other definition of Dedekind-Rademacher sums

$$s(b,c,p) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(\left(\frac{ab}{p}\right)\right)\left(\left(\frac{ac}{p}\right)\right).$$

A similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 leads to a bound on these generalized sums:

Theorem 3.5. *Let q_1, q_2 and $k \geq 3$ be given natural integers. Let p run over the primes and h over the elements of order k in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then, we have*

$$|s(q_1, q_2 h, p)| \ll (\log p)^2 p^{1-\frac{1}{\phi(k)}}.$$

Proof. The proof follows exactly the same lines as the proof of Theorem 3.1 except for the fact that the function f is replaced by the function $g(x,y) = ((q_1 x))((q_2 y))$. Hence we have

$$s(q_1, q_2 h, p) = g\left(\frac{x}{p}, \frac{hx}{p}\right)$$

and by symmetry we remark that

$$\int_{I_2} g(u,v)dudv = 0.$$

Again $V(g) \ll 1$ and the result follows from Lemma 3.3 and Koksma-Hlawka inequality. □

3.3 Twisted second moment of L- functions and Dedekind sums

We illustrate the link between Dedekind sums and twisted moments of L- functions by first proving Theorem 1.1 in the case $H = \{1\}$ with a stronger error term. For any integers $q_1, q_2 \geq 1$ and any prime $p \geq 3$, we define the twisted moment

$$M_{q_1,q_2}(p) := \frac{2}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \in X_p^-} \chi(q_1)\overline{\chi}(q_2)|L(1,\chi)|^2. \tag{25}$$

The following formula (see [Lou94, Proposition 1]) will help us to relate L- functions to Dedekind sums:

$$L(1,\chi) = \frac{\pi}{2f} \sum_{a=1}^{f-1} \chi(a) \cot\left(\frac{\pi a}{f}\right) \qquad (\chi \in X_f^-). \tag{26}$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let q_1 and q_2 be given coprime integers. Then when p goes to infinity*

$$M_{q_1,q_2}(p) = \frac{\pi^2}{6q_1q_2} + O_{q_1,q_2}(1/p).$$

Remarks 3.7. *It is worth to notice that in the case $q_2 = 1$, explicit formulas are known by [Lou15, Theorem 4] (see also [Lee17]). This also gives a new and simpler proof of [Lee19, Theorem 1.1] in a special case.*

Proof. Let us define

$$\epsilon(a,b) := \frac{2}{\phi(p)} \sum_{\chi \in X_p^-} \chi(a)\overline{\chi}(b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \nmid ab \text{ and } a = b \bmod p, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \nmid ab \text{ and } a = -b \bmod p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For p large enough, we have $\gcd(q_1, p) = \gcd(q_2, p) = 1$. Hence, using orthogonality relations and (26) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} M_{q_1,q_2}(p) &= \frac{\pi^2}{4p^2} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{b=1}^{p-1} \epsilon(q_1a, q_2b) \cot\left(\frac{\pi a}{p}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi b}{p}\right) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{2p^2} \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \cot\left(\frac{\pi q_1 a}{p}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi q_2 a}{p}\right) = \frac{2\pi^2}{p} s(q_1, q_2, p). \end{aligned}$$

When q_1 and q_2 are fixed coprime integers and p goes to infinity, we infer from (23) and (24) that

$$s(q_1, q_2, p) = \frac{p}{12q_1q_2} + O(1).$$

The result follows immediatly. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let q_1 and q_2 be given natural integers. Then when p goes to infinity*

$$M_{q_1,q_2}(p) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \frac{\gcd(q_1, q_2)^2}{q_1q_2} + O_{q_1,q_2}(1/p).$$

Proof. Let $\delta = \gcd(q_1, q_2)$. We clearly have $M_{q_1,q_2}(p) = M_{q_1/\delta, q_2/\delta}(p)$ and the result follows from Theorem 3.6. □

The proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case of the trivial subgroup follows easily.

Corollary 3.9. *Let d_0 be a given square-free integer. When p goes to infinity, we have the following asymptotic formula*

$$M_{d_0}(p, \{1\}) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{q \mid d_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) + O(1/p).$$

Proof. For χ modulo p, let χ' be the character modulo d_0p induced by χ. By (8) and Corollary 3.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{d_0}(p,\{1\}) &= \frac{2}{\#X_p^-}\sum_{\chi\in X_p^-}|L(1,\chi')|^2 = \sum_{\delta_1|d_0}\sum_{\delta_2|d_0}\frac{\mu(\delta_1)}{\delta_1}\frac{\mu(\delta_2)}{\delta_2}M_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(p) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{6}\sum_{\delta_1|d_0}\sum_{\delta_2|d_0}\frac{\mu(\delta_1)}{\delta_1^2}\frac{\mu(\delta_2)}{\delta_2^2}\gcd(\delta_1,\delta_2)^2 + O(1/p) \\ &= \frac{\pi^2}{6}\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^2}\right)+O(1/p). \end{aligned}$$

□

3.4 An interesting link with sums of maxima

Before turning to the general case of Theorem 1.1, we explain how to use Theorem 3.6 to estimate the seemingly innocuous sum[4] defined for any integers $q_1, q_2 \geq 1$ by

$$\mathrm{Ma}_{q_1,q_2,p} := \sum_{x \bmod p}\max(q_1x, q_2x)$$

where here and below q_1x, q_2x denote the representatives modulo p taken in $[1,p]$.

Theorem 3.10. *Let q_1 and q_2 be natural integers such that $q_1 \neq q_2$. Then we have the following asymptotic formula*

$$Ma_{q_1,q_2,p} = p^2\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{\gcd(q_1,q_2)^2}{12q_1q_2}\right)(1+o(1)).$$

Remarks 3.11. *In the special case $q_1 = 1$, we are able to evaluate the sum directly without the need of Dedekind sums and L- functions. However, we could not prove Theorem 3.10 in the general case using elementary counting methods.*

Remarks 3.12. *Let us notice that $\int_0^1\int_0^1\max(x,y)dxdy = 2/3$. Hence using the same method as in Section 3.2, we can show that if the points $\left(\left\{\frac{x}{p}\right\},\left\{\frac{qx}{p}\right\}\right)$ are equidistributed in the square $[0,1]^2$ then*

$$\sum_{x \bmod p}\max(x,qx) \sim \frac{2}{3}p^2.$$

For q fixed and $p \to +\infty$, the points are not equidistributed in the square and we see that the correcting factor $\frac{\gcd(q_1,q_2)^2}{12q_1q_2}$ from equidistribution is related to the Dedekind sum $s(q_1,q_2,p)$.

We need the following result of [LM21, Theorem 2.1]:

Proposition 3.13. *Let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo $f > 2$, its conductor. Set $S(k,\chi) = \sum_{l=0}^{k}\chi(l)$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{f-1}|S(k,\chi)|^2 = \frac{f^2}{12}\prod_{p|f}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^2}\right)+a_\chi\frac{f^2}{\pi^2}|L(1,\chi)|^2,\ \text{where } a_\chi := \begin{cases}0 & \text{if } \chi(-1)=+1,\\ 1 & \text{if } \chi(-1)=-1.\end{cases}$$

[4] In [Sun] the author uses lattice point interpretation to study sums with a similar flavour.

3.4.1 Proof of Theorem 3.10

We follow a strategy similar to the proof of [LM21, Corollary 2.2]. We denote by χ_0 the trivial character. Using Proposition 3.13 and recalling the definition (25) we arrive at:

$$\sum_{\chi\in X_p\setminus\chi_0}\chi(q_1)\overline{\chi}(q_2)\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}|S(k,\chi)|^2=\sum_{\chi\in X_p\setminus\chi_0}\chi(q_1)\overline{\chi}(q_2)\frac{p^2-1}{12}+\frac{p^3}{2\pi^2}M_{q_1,q_2}(p).$$

Adding the contribution of the trivial character

$$\chi_0(q_1)\overline{\chi_0}(q_2)\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{l=1}^{k}1\right|^2=\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}k^2=\frac{(p-1)p(2p-1)}{6},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\chi\in X_p}\chi(q_1)\overline{\chi}(q_2)\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}|S(k,\chi)|^2=\sum_{\chi\in X_p}\chi(q_1)\overline{\chi}(q_2)\frac{p^2-1}{12}+\frac{(p-1)p(2p-1)}{6}\\+\frac{p^3}{2\pi^2}M_{q_1,q_2}(p)+O(p^2).\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

For sufficiently large p, using the fact that $q_1\neq q_2 \bmod p$ and the orthogonality relations, we have

$$\sum_{\chi\in X_p}\chi(q_1)\overline{\chi}(q_2)\frac{p^2-1}{12}=0.$$

We now follow the method used in the proof of [LM21, Theorem 4.1] (see also [Elma]) with some needed changes to treat the left hand side of (27). Again by orthogonality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\chi\in X_p}\chi(q_1)\overline{\chi}(q_2)\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}|S(k,\chi)|^2&=\sum_{\chi\in X_p}\chi(q_1)\overline{\chi}(q_2)\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{l=1}^{k}\chi(l)\right|^2\\&=\sum_{\chi\in X_p}\sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\sum_{1\leq l_1,l_2\leq k}\chi(q_1l_1)\overline{\chi(q_2l_2)}=(p-1)^2\mathcal{A}(q_1,q_2,p),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(q_1,q_2,p)=\frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{N=1}^{p-1}\left(\sum_{\substack{1\leq n_1,n_2\leq N\\ q_1n_1=q_2n_2 \bmod p}}1\right).$$

Changing the order of summation and making the change of variables $n_1=q_2m_1$ we arrive at

$$(p-1)\mathcal{A}(q_1,q_2,p)=\sum_{1\leq m_1\leq p}(p-\max(q_1m_1,q_2m_1))=p^2-\sum_{x \bmod p}\max(q_1x,q_2x).$$

By symmetry, injecting this into (27), we arrive at

$$p^3-p\sum_{x \bmod p}\max(q_1x,q_2x)=\frac{(p-1)p(2p-1)}{6}+\frac{p^3}{2\pi^2}M_{q_1,q_2}(p)+o(p^3).\tag{28}$$

Hence comparing the terms of order p^3 in the above formula (28) and using Corollary 3.8, we have

$$\sum_{x \bmod p}\max(q_1x,q_2x)=c_{q_1,q_2}(p^2+o(p^2))$$

where

$$1-c_{q_1,q_2}=\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{12}\frac{\gcd(q_1,q_2)^2}{q_1q_2}.$$

This concludes the proof.

We know turn to the general case of Theorem 1.1. Let d_0 be a given square-free integer such that $\gcd(d_0,p)=1$. For χ modulo p, let χ' be the character modulo d_0p induced by χ. Recall that we want to show for H a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of odd order $d\ll\frac{\log p}{\log\log p}$ that

$$M_{d_0}(p,H)=\frac{1}{\#X_p^-(H)}\sum_{\chi\in X_p^-(H)}|L(1,\chi')|^2=(1+o(1))\frac{\pi^2}{6}\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^2}\right).$$

3.5 Twisted average of L- functions over subgroups

For any integers $q_1,q_2\geq 1$ and any prime $p\geq 3$, we define

$$M_{q_1,q_2}(p,H):=\frac{1}{\#X_p^-(H)}\sum_{\chi\in X_p^-(H)}\chi(q_1)\overline{\chi}(q_2)|L(1,\chi)|^2.$$

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 3.14. *Let q_1 and q_2 be given coprime integers. When H runs over the subgroups of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of odd order d, we have the following asymptotic formula*

$$M_{q_1,q_2}(p,H)=\frac{\pi^2}{6q_1q_2}+O\left(d(\log p)^2p^{-\frac{1}{\phi(d)}}\right).$$

Proof. The proof follows the same lines as the proof of Theorem 3.6. Let us define

$$\epsilon_H(a,b):=\frac{1}{\#X_p^-(H)}\sum_{\chi\in X_p^-(H)}\chi(a)\overline{\chi}(b)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } p\nmid ab \text{ and } a\in bH,\\ -1 & \text{if } p\nmid ab \text{ and } a\in -bH,\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Hence we obtain similarly

$$\begin{aligned}M_{q_1,q_2}(p,H)&=\frac{\pi^2}{4p^2}\sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\sum_{b=1}^{p-1}\epsilon_H(q_1a,q_2b)\cot\left(\frac{\pi a}{p}\right)\cot\left(\frac{\pi b}{p}\right)\\&=\frac{\pi^2}{2p^2}\sum_{h\in H}\sum_{a=1}^{p-1}\cot\left(\frac{\pi q_1a}{p}\right)\cot\left(\frac{\pi q_2ha}{p}\right)\\&=\frac{2\pi^2}{p}s(q_1,q_2,p)+O\left(p^{-1}\sum_{1\neq h\in H}s(q_1,q_2h,p)\right)\\&=\frac{\pi^2}{6q_1q_2}+O(1/p)+O\left(|H|(\log p)^2p^{-\frac{1}{\phi(d)}}\right)\\&=\frac{\pi^2}{6q_1q_2}+O\left(d(\log p)^2p^{-\frac{1}{\phi(d)}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where we used Theorem 3.5 in the last line and noticed that $\phi(k)$ divides $\phi(d)$ whenever k divides d. □

Remarks 3.15. *The error term is negligible as soon as $d\leq\frac{\log p}{3(\log\log p)}$.*

Corollary 3.16. *Let q_1 and q_2 be given integers. When H runs over the subgroups of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of odd order d, we have the following asymptotic formula*

$$M_{q_1,q_2}(p,H)=\frac{\pi^2}{6}\frac{\gcd(q_1,q_2)^2}{q_1q_2}+O\left(d(\log p)^2p^{-\frac{1}{\phi(d)}}\right).$$

3.6 Proof of Theorem 1.1

As in the proof of Corollary 3.9 and using Corollary 3.16

$$\begin{aligned}M_{d_0}(p,H)&=\frac{1}{\#X_p^-(H)}\sum_{\chi\in X_p^-(H)}|L(1,\chi')|^2=\sum_{\delta_1|d_0}\sum_{\delta_2|d_0}\frac{\mu(\delta_1)}{\delta_1}\frac{\mu(\delta_2)}{\delta_2}M_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(p,H)\\&=\frac{\pi^2}{6}\sum_{\delta_1|d_0}\sum_{\delta_2|d_0}\frac{\mu(\delta_1)}{\delta_1^2}\frac{\mu(\delta_2)}{\delta_2^2}\gcd(\delta_1,\delta_2)^2+O\left(d(\log p)^2p^{-\frac{1}{\phi(d)}}\right)\\&=\frac{\pi^2}{6}\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^2}\right)+O\left(d(\log p)^2p^{-\frac{1}{\phi(d)}}\right)=(1+o(1))\frac{\pi^2}{6}\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

using the condition on d.

4 Explicit formulas for $M_{d_0}(f,H)$

Recall that by (26)

$$L(1,\chi)=\frac{\pi}{2f}\sum_{a=1}^{f-1}\chi(a)\cot\left(\frac{\pi a}{f}\right)\qquad(\chi\in X_f^-).$$

Hence using the definition of Dedekind sums we obtain (see [Lou16, Proof of Theorem 2])

$$M(f,H)=\frac{2\pi^2}{f}\sum_{\delta|f}\frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta}\sum_{h\in H}s(h,f/\delta).\tag{29}$$

4.1 A formula for $M_{d_0}(f,\{1\})$ for $d_0=1,2,3,6$

The first consequence of (29) is a short proof of [Lou94, Théorèmes 2 and 3] by taking $H=\{1\}$, the trivial subgroup of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}^*)$. Indeed, (29) and (21) give

$$M(f,\{1\})=\frac{2\pi^2}{f}\sum_{\delta|f}\frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta}s(1,f/\delta)=\frac{\pi^2}{6}\sum_{\delta|f}\mu(\delta)\left(\frac{1}{\delta^2}-\frac{3}{\delta f}+\frac{2}{f^2}\right).$$

The arithmetic functions $f\mapsto\sum_{\delta|f}\mu(\delta)\delta^k$ being multiplicative, we obtain (see also [Qi])

$$M(f,\{1\})=\frac{\pi^2}{6}\times\left\{\prod_{q|f}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^2}\right)-\frac{3}{f}\prod_{q|f}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\right\}\qquad(f>2).\tag{30}$$

Now, it is clear by (15) that for d_0 odd and square-free and f odd we have

$$M_{2d_0}(f,\{1\})=M_{d_0}(2f,\{1\}).$$

Hence, on applying (30) to $2f$ instead of f we therefore obtain

$$M_2(f,\{1\})=\frac{\pi^2}{8}\times\left\{\prod_{q|f}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^2}\right)-\frac{1}{f}\prod_{q|f}\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\right\}\qquad(f>2\text{ odd}).$$

For $d_0 \in \{3, 6\}$, the following explicit formula holds true for any f coprime with d_0. It generalizes [Lou94, Théorème 4] to composite moduli

Theorem 4.1. *Let $d_0 > 2$ be a given square-free integer. Set*

$$\kappa_{d_0} := \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{q|d_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) \text{ and } c := 3 \prod_{q|d_0} \frac{q-1}{q+1}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $\varepsilon(n) = +1$ if $n \equiv +1 \pmod{d_0}$ and $\varepsilon(n) = -1$ if $n \equiv -1 \pmod{d_0}$.
Then for $f > 2$ such that all its prime divisors q satisfy $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{d_0}$ we have

$$M_{d_0}(f, \{1\}) = \kappa_{d_0} \times \left\{ \prod_{q|f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) - \frac{c}{f} \prod_{q|f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \varepsilon(f) \frac{c-1}{f} \prod_{q|f} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon(q)}{q}\right) \right\}.$$

In particular, for $f > 2$ such that all its prime divisors q satisfy $q \equiv 1 \pmod{d_0}$ we have

$$M_{d_0}(f, \{1\}) = \kappa_{d_0} \times \left\{ \prod_{q|f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) - \frac{1}{f} \prod_{q|f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \right\}.$$

Proof. With the notation of [Lou11, Lemma 2] we have $M_{d_0}(f, \{1\})) = 4\pi^2 S(d_0, f)$. Hence, by [Lou11, Lemmas 3 and 6] we have

$$M_{d_0}(f, \{1\}) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{q|d_0 f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{\phi(d_0)^2 \phi(f)}{d_0^2 f^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{2 d_0^2 f} \sum_{d|f} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} A(d_0, f/d),$$

where the $A(d_0, f/d)$'s are rational numbers such that $A(d_0, f/d) = \varepsilon A(d_0, 1)$ if $f/d \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{d_0}$ with $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, see (41). If all the prime divisors q of f satisfy $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{d_0}$ then $f/d \equiv \varepsilon(f/d) \pmod{d_0}$ and $A(d_0, f/d) = \varepsilon(f/d) A(d_0, 1) = \varepsilon(f) A(d_0, 1) \varepsilon(d)$ and

$$\sum_{d|f} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} A(d_0, f/d) = \varepsilon(f) A(d_0, 1) \prod_{q|f} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon(q)}{q}\right).$$

Hence we finally get

$$M_{d_0}(f, \{1\}) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{q|d_0 f} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) - \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{\phi(d_0)^2 \phi(f)}{d_0^2 f^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{2 d_0^2 f} \varepsilon(f) A(d_0, 1) \prod_{q|f} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon(q)}{q}\right).$$

The desired formula for $M_{d_0}(f, \{1\})$ follows by using the explicit formula

$$A(d_0, 1) = \phi(d_0)^2 - \frac{d_0^2}{3} \prod_{q|d_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right)$$

given in [Lou11, Lemma 6]. □

4.2 A formula for $M(p, H)$

The second immediate consequence of (29) and (21) is:

Proposition 4.2. *For $f > 2$ and H a subgroup of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$, set*

$$S'(H,f) = \sum_{1\neq h\in H} s(h,f) \text{ and } N(f,H) := -3 + \frac{2}{f} + 12S'(H,f). \tag{31}$$

Then, for $p \geq 3$ a prime and H a subgroup of odd order of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$, we have

$$M(p,H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\left(1 + \frac{N(p,H)}{p}\right) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\left(1-\frac{2}{p}\right) + \frac{12S'(H,p)}{p}\right). \tag{32}$$

Remarks 4.3. *In particular, $N(f,\{1\}) = -3+2/f$ and (32) implies (5). Notice also that $N(p,H) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $H \neq \{1\}$, by [Lou19, Theorem 6]. Moreover, by [LM21, Theorem 1.1], the asymptotic formula $M(p,H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} + o(1)$ holds as p tends to infinity and H runs over the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ of odd order $d \leq \frac{\log p}{\log\log p}$. Hence we have $N(p,H) = o(p)$ under this restriction.*

4.3 A formula for $M_{d_0}(p,H)$

We will now derive a third consequence of (29): a formula for the mean square value $M_{d_0}(f,H)$ defined in (9) when f is prime.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $d_0 > 1$ be a square-free integer. Let $f > 2$ be coprime with d_0. Let H be a subgroup of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. Whenever δ divides d_0, let $s_\delta : (\mathbb{Z}/\delta f\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$ be the canonical surjective morphism and set $H_\delta = s_\delta^{-1}(H)$ and $H'_\delta = s_\delta^{-1}(H\setminus\{1\})$. Define the rational number*

$$N_{d_0}(f,H) = -f + \frac{12\mu(d_0)}{\prod_{q|d_0}(q^2-1)} \sum_{\delta|d_0} \delta\mu(\delta) \sum_{h\in H_{d_0}} s(h,\delta f). \tag{33}$$

Then, for $p \geq 3$ a prime which does not divide d_0 and H a subgroup of odd order of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$, we have

$$M_{d_0}(p,H) = \frac{2\pi^2\mu(d_0)\phi(d_0)}{d_0^2 p} \sum_{\delta|d_0} \frac{\delta\mu(\delta)}{\phi(\delta)} S(H_\delta, \delta p) \tag{34}$$

where

$$S(H_\delta,\delta f) = \sum_{h\in H_\delta} s(h,\delta f),$$

and

$$M_{d_0}(p,H) = \kappa_{d_0} \times \left(1 + \frac{N_{d_0}(p,H)}{p}\right), \text{ where } \kappa_{d_0} := \frac{\pi^2}{6}\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^2}\right). \tag{35}$$

Moreover,

$$N_{d_0}(f,H) = -f + \frac{12\mu(d_0)}{\prod_{q|d_0}(q+1)} \sum_{\delta|d_0} \frac{\delta\mu(\delta)}{\phi(\delta)} S(H_\delta,\delta f) \tag{36}$$

$$= N_{d_0}(f,\{1\}) + \frac{12\mu(d_0)}{\prod_{q|d_0}(q+1)} \sum_{\delta|d_0} \frac{\delta\mu(\delta)}{\phi(\delta)} S'(H_\delta,\delta f) \tag{37}$$

where

$$S'(H_\delta,\delta f) := \sum_{h\in H'_\delta} s(h,\delta f).$$

Proof. Using (15) and by making the change of variables $\delta \mapsto d_0 f/\delta$ in (29), we obtain:

$$M_{d_0}(f,H) = M(d_0 f, H_{d_0}) = \frac{2\pi^2}{d_0^2 f^2} \sum_{\delta | d_0 f} \delta \mu(d_0 f/\delta) \sum_{h \in H_{d_0}} s(h,\delta). \tag{38}$$

Since $\{\delta;\ \delta \mid d_0 p\}$ is the disjoint union of $\{\delta;\ \delta \mid d_0\}$ and $\{\delta p;\ \delta \mid d_0\}$, by (38) we obtain:

$$M_{d_0}(p,H) = -\frac{2\pi^2 \mu(d_0)}{d_0^2 p^2} \sum_{\delta | d_0} \delta \mu(\delta) \sum_{h \in H_{d_0}} s(h,\delta) + \frac{2\pi^2 \mu(d_0)}{d_0^2 p} \sum_{\delta | d_0} \delta \mu(\delta) \sum_{h \in H_{d_0}} s(h,\delta p).$$

Now, $S := \sum_{h \in H_{d_0}} s(h,\delta) = 0$ whenever $\delta \mid d_0$, which gives

$$M_{d_0}(p,H) = \frac{2\pi^2 \mu(d_0)}{d_0^2 p} \sum_{\delta | d_0} \delta \mu(\delta) \sum_{h \in H_{d_0}} s(h,\delta p) \tag{39}$$

and implies (35). Indeed, let $\sigma : (\mathbb{Z}/d_0 f\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\delta\mathbb{Z})^*$ be the canonical surjective morphism. Its restriction τ to the subgroup H_{d_0} is surjective, by the Chinese reminder theorem. Hence, $S = (H_{d_0} : \ker \tau) \times S'$, where $S' := \sum_{c \in (\mathbb{Z}/\delta\mathbb{Z})^*} s(c,\delta) = \sum_{c \in (\mathbb{Z}/\delta\mathbb{Z})^*} s(-c,\delta) = -S'$ yields $S' = 0$. In the same way, whenever $\delta \mid d_0$, the kernel of the canonical surjective morphism $s : (\mathbb{Z}/d_0 f\mathbb{Z})^* \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/\delta f\mathbb{Z})^*$ being a subgroup of order $\phi(d_0 f)/\phi(\delta f) = \phi(d_0)/\phi(\delta)$, we have

$$\sum_{h \in H_{d_0}} s(h,\delta f) = \frac{\phi(d_0)}{\phi(\delta)} \sum_{h \in H_\delta} s(h,\delta f) \tag{40}$$

and (34) follows from (39) and (40).

Then, (35) is a direct consequence of (34) and (33). Finally (37) is an immediate consequence of (33) and (40). □

4.3.1 A new proof of Theorem 1.1

We split the sum in (39) into two cases depending whether $h = 1$ or not. By (21) we have $s(1,\delta p) = \frac{p\delta}{12} + O(1)$ giving a contribution to the sum of order

$$\frac{\pi^2 \mu(d_0)}{6 d_0^2} \sum_{\delta | d_0} \delta^2 \mu(\delta) + O(1/p) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \prod_{q | d_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) + O(1/p).$$

When $h \neq 1$ and $h \in H_{d_0}$, it is clear that the order of h modulo p is between 3 and d. Hence it follows from Theorem 3.1 (see the Remark after) that $s(h,\delta p) = O((\log p)^2 p^{1-\frac{1}{\phi(d)}})$. The integer d_0 being fixed, we can sum up these error terms and the proof is finished.

4.4 An explicit way to compute $N_{d_0}(f,\{1\})$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $d_0 > 1$ be a square-free integer. Let $f > 2$ be coprime with d_0. Recall that $H_{d_0}(f) = \{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/d_0 f\mathbb{Z})^*,\ h \equiv 1 \pmod f\}$ and set*

$$U(d_0,f) := \sum_{1 \neq h \in H_{d_0}(f)} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ \gcd(d_0,n)=1}}^{d_0 f - 1} \left(1 + \cot\left(\frac{\pi n}{d_0 f}\right) \cot\left(\frac{\pi n h}{d_0 f}\right)\right)$$

and

$$A(d_0,f) = \sum_{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/d_0\mathbb{Z})^*} \sum_{\substack{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/d_0\mathbb{Z})^* \\ b \neq a}} \cot\left(\frac{\pi(b-a)}{d_0}\right) \left(\cot\left(\frac{\pi f a}{d_0}\right) - \cot\left(\frac{\pi f b}{d_0}\right)\right), \tag{41}$$

a rational number depending only on f modulo d_0. Then $U(d_0,f) = f A(d_0,f)$.

Proof. As in [Lou11, Lemma 3], set

$$T(d_0,f) := \sum_{1\neq h\in H_{d_0}(f)} \sum_{\substack{n=1\\ \gcd(d_0 f,n)=1}}^{d_0 f-1} F\left(\frac{n}{d_0 f},\frac{nh}{d_0 f}\right),$$

where $F(x,y)=1+\cot(\pi x)\cot(\pi y)$. On the one hand, since $\gcd(d_0 f,n)=1$ if and only if $\gcd(d_0,n)=\gcd(f,n)=1$ and $\sum_{\substack{d|f\\ d|n}}\mu(d)$ is equal to 1 if $\gcd(f,n)=1$ and is equal to 0 otherwise, we have

$$T(d_0,f)=\sum_{d|f}\mu(d)\sum_{1\neq h\in H_{d_0}(f)}\sum_{\substack{n=1\\ \gcd(d_0,n)=1}}^{d_0(f/d)-1} F\left(\frac{n}{d_0(f/d)},\frac{nh}{d_0(f/d)}\right).$$

On the other hand, the canonical morphism $\sigma : H_{d_0}(f)\to H_{d_0}(f/d)$ is surjective and both groups have order $\phi(d_0 f)/\phi(f)=\phi(d_0(f/d))/\phi(f/d)=\phi(d_0)$. Hence σ is bijective and

$$T(d_0,f)=\sum_{d|f}\mu(d)U(d_0,f/d).$$

Using [Lou11, Lemma 6] and Möbius' inversion formula, we finally do obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U(d_0,f)=\sum_{d|f}T(d_0,d) &= \sum_{d|f} d\sum_{\delta|d}\frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta}A(d_0,d/\delta)\\ &= \sum_{\delta'|f}\delta'\left(\sum_{\delta|f/\delta'}\mu(\delta)\right)A(d_0,\delta') = fA(d_0,f), \end{aligned}$$

where we set $\delta'=d/\delta$. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let $d_0>1$ be a square-free integer. Set $B=\prod_{q|d_0}(q^2-1)$. For $f>2$ and $\gcd(d_0,f)=1$ we have*

$$N_{d_0}(f,\{1\})=\frac{3}{B}\left(A(d_0,f)-\phi(d_0)^2\right).$$

Consequently, $N_{d_0}(f,\{1\})$ is a rational number depending only on f modulo d_0.

Proof. Set $H=H_{d_0}(f):=\{h\in(\mathbb{Z}/d_0 f\mathbb{Z})^*,\ h\equiv 1 \pmod f\}$. By (33) we have

$$N_{d_0}(f,\{1\})=-f+\frac{12\mu(d_0)}{B}\sum_{\delta|d_0}\delta\mu(\delta)\sum_{h\in H}s(h,\delta f).$$

Using (21) to evaluate the contribution of $h=1$ in this expression and $\sum_{\delta|d_0}\mu(\delta)=0$, we get

$$N_{d_0}(f,\{1\})=-\frac{3\phi(d_0)}{B}+\frac{12\mu(d_0)}{B}\sum_{\delta|d_0}\delta\mu(\delta)\sum_{1\neq h\in H}s(h,\delta f)$$

and

$$N_{d_0}(f,\{1\})=-\frac{3\phi(d_0)^2}{B}+\frac{3\mu(d_0)}{Bf}\sum_{1\neq h\in H}\sum_{\delta|d_0}\mu(\delta)\sum_{n=1}^{\delta f-1}\left(1+\cot\left(\frac{\pi n}{\delta f}\right)\cot\left(\frac{\pi nh}{\delta f}\right)\right),$$

by (18) and by noticing that $\#H=\phi(d_0)$. Therefore,

$$N_{d_0}(f,\{1\})=-\frac{3\phi(d_0)^2}{B}+\frac{3}{Bf}S(d_0,f) \tag{42}$$

(make the change of variable $\delta\mapsto d_0/\delta$). Lemma 4.5 gives the desired result. □

Remarks 4.7. *As a consequence we obtain* $M_{d_0}(p,\{1\}) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1-\frac{1}{q^2}\right)+O(p^{-1})$*, using* (35) *and the fact that* $N_{d_0}(p,\{1\})$ *depends only on* p *modulo* d_0*. This gives in this extreme situation another proof of Theorem 1.1 with a better error term. Moreover, in that situation we have* $K=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ *and in* (11) *the term* $\Pi_{d_0}(p,\{1\})$ *is bounded from below by a constant independent of* p*, by Corollary 2.4.*

5 The case where $f = a^{d-1}+\cdots+a^2+a+1$

In this specific case we are able to obtain explicit formulas for $M_{d_0}(f,H)$ when the subgroup H is defined in terms of the parameter a defining the modulus. For a general subgroup H, it seems unrealistic to be more explicit than the formula involving Dedekind sums given in Theorem 4.4. It might be interesting to explore formulas involving continued fraction expansions in view of their link to Dedekind sums [Hic].

5.1 Explicit formulas for $d_0 = 1, 2$

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $f>1$ *be a rational integer of the form* $f=(a^d-1)/(a-1)$ *for some* $a\neq -1,0,1$ *and some odd integer* $d\geq 3$*. Hence* f *is odd. Set* $H=\{a^k;\ 0\leq k\leq d-1\}$*, a subgroup of order* d *of the multiplicative group* $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$*. Then,*

$$S(H,f)=\frac{a+1}{a-1}\times\frac{f-(d-1)a-1}{12}$$

and

$$S(H_2,2f)=\begin{cases}\frac{a+1}{a-1}\times\frac{4f-(d-1)a-3d-1}{24} & \text{if } a \text{ is odd}\\ \frac{2a-1}{a-1}\times\frac{f-(d-1)a-1}{12} & \text{if } a \text{ is even.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. We have $S(H,f)=\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}s(a^k,f)$. Moreover, $S(H_2,2f)=\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}s(a^k,2f)$ if a is odd and $S(H_2,2f)=s(1,2f)+\sum_{k=1}^{d-1}s(a^k+f,2f)$ if a is even. Now, we claim that for $0\leq k\leq d-1$ we have

$$s(a^k,f)=\frac{a^k}{12f}+\frac{(f^2+1)a^{-k}}{12f}+\frac{a^k+a^{-k}(a^2-2a+2)}{12(a-1)}-\frac{a(a+1)}{12(a-1)}\text{ whatever the parity of } a,$$

$$s(a^k,2f)=\frac{a^k}{24f}+\frac{(4f^2+1)a^{-k}}{24f}+\frac{4a^k+a^{-k}(a^2-2a+5)}{24(a-1)}-\frac{(a+1)(a+3)}{24(a-1)}\text{ if } a \text{ is odd,}$$

and that for $1\leq k\leq d-1$ we have

$$s(a^k+f,2f)=\frac{a^k}{24f}+\frac{(f^2+1)a^{-k}}{24f}+\frac{a^k+a^{-k}(a^2-2a+2)}{24(a-1)}-\frac{a(2a-1)}{12(a-1)}\text{ if } a \text{ is even.}$$

Noticing that $\sum_{k=1}^{d-1}a^k=f-1$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{d-1}a^{-k}=\frac{f-1}{(a-1)f+1}$, we then get the assertions on $S(H,f)$ and $S(H_2,2f)$. Now, let us for example prove the third claim. Hence, assume that a is even and that $1\leq k\leq d-1$. Then $f_k:=(a^k-1)/(a-1)$ is odd, $\mathrm{sign}(f_k)=\mathrm{sign}(a)^k$ and $a^k+f>0$.
First, since $2f\equiv -2a^k \pmod{a^k+f}$, using (20) we have

$$s(a^k+f,2f)=\frac{a^k+f}{24f}+\frac{f}{6(a^k+f)}-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{24(a^k+f)f}+s(2a^k,a^k+f).$$

Second, noticing that $a^k+f\equiv f_k \pmod{2a^k}$ and using (20) we have

$$s(2a^k,a^k+f)=\frac{a^k}{6(a^k+f)}+\frac{a^k+f}{24a^k}-\frac{\mathrm{sign}(a)^k}{4}+\frac{1}{24a^k(a^k+f)}-s(f_k,2a^k).$$

Finally, noticing that $2a^k \equiv 2 \pmod{f_k}$ and using (20) and (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(f_k, 2a^k) &= \frac{f_k}{24a^k} + \frac{a^k}{6f_k} - \frac{\mathrm{sign}(a)^k}{4} + \frac{1}{24f_k a^k} - s(2, f_k) \\ &= \frac{f_k}{24a^k} + \frac{a^k}{6f_k} - \frac{\mathrm{sign}(a)^k}{4} + \frac{1}{24f_k a^k} - \frac{f_k^2 - 6f_k + 5}{24f_k}. \end{aligned}$$

After some simplifications, we obtain the desired formula for $s(a^k + f, 2f)$.

Notice that for $d = 3$ we obtain $S(H, f) = \frac{f-1}{12}$, in accordance with (51). □

Using (34) and Lemma 5.1 we readily obtain:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $d \geq 3$ be a prime integer. Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2d}$ be a prime integer of the form $p = (a^d - 1)/(a - 1)$ for some $a \neq -1, 0, 1$. Let K be the imaginary subfield of degree $(p-1)/d$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Set $H = \{a^k;\ 0 \leq k \leq d-1\}$, a subgroup of order d of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. We have the mean square value formulas*

$$M(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{a+1}{a-1} \times \left(1 - \frac{(d-1)a+1}{p}\right). \tag{43}$$

and

$$M_2(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \times \begin{cases} \frac{a+1}{a-1} \times \left(1 - \frac{d}{p}\right) & \text{if } a \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 - \frac{(d-1)a+1}{p} & \text{if } a \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

Consequently, for a given d, as $p \to \infty$ we have

$$M(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} + o(1) \text{ and } M_2(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{8} + o(1).$$

On the other hand, for a given a, as $p \to \infty$ we have

$$M(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \times \frac{a+1}{a-1} + o(1) \text{ and } M_2(p, H) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi^2}{8} \times \frac{a+1}{a-1} + o(1) & \text{if } a \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{\pi^2}{8} + o(1) & \text{if } a \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Remarks 5.3. *Assertion* (43) *was initially proved*[5] *in [Lou16, Theorem 5] for $d = 5$ and then generalized in [LM21, Proposition 3.1] to any $d \geq 3$. However,* (43) *is much simpler than [LM21, (22)]. Notice that if p runs over the prime of the form $p = (a^d - 1)/(a - 1)$ with $a \neq 0, 2$ even then $M_2(p, H) = \frac{6}{8} \times \frac{a-1}{a+1} \times M(p, H)$ and the asymptotic* (12) *is not satisfied.*

5.2 The case where p is a Mersenne prime and $d_0 = 1, 3, 15$

In the setting of Theorem 5.4, we have $2 \in H$. Hence, by Remark 2.2 we assume that d_0 is odd.

[5] Note the misprint in the exponent in [Lou16, Theorem 5]

Theorem 5.4. *Let $p = 2^d - 1 > 3$ be a Mersenne prime. Hence, d is odd and $H = \{2^k;\ 0 \le k \le d-1\}$ is a subgroup of odd order d of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. Let K be the imaginary subfield of degree $m = (p-1)/d$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Then*

$$M(p,H) = \frac{\pi^2}{2}\left(1 - \frac{2d-1}{p}\right) \le \frac{\pi^2}{2} \text{ and } h_K^- \le 2\left(\frac{p}{8}\right)^{m/4},$$

$$M_3(p,H) = \frac{4\pi^2}{9}\left(1 - \frac{d}{p}\right) \le \frac{4\pi^2}{9} \text{ and } h_K^- \le 2\left(\frac{p}{9}\right)^{m/4}$$

and

$$M_{15}(p,H) = \frac{32\pi^2}{75}\left(1 - \frac{c_d}{48p}\right) \le \frac{32\pi^2}{75}, \text{ where } c_d = \begin{cases} 47d+1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \\ 17d-3 & \text{if } d \equiv 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for $d \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ we have $h_K^- \le 2\left(\frac{8p}{75}\right)^{m/4}$.

Proof. By (34) we have

$$M_{d_0}(p,H) = \frac{\pi^2}{2}\left\{\prod_{q|d_0}\left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right)\right\}\left(1 + \frac{N'_{d_0}(p,H)}{p}\right), \tag{45}$$

where for H a subgroup of odd order of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$ we set

$$N'_{d_0}(f,H) := -f + \frac{4\mu(d_0)}{\prod_{q|d_0}(q+1)}\sum_{\delta|d_0}\frac{\delta\mu(\delta)}{\phi(\delta)}S(H_\delta, \delta f). \tag{46}$$

The formulas for $M(p,H), M_3(p,H)$ and $M_{15}(p,H)$ follow from (45)) and Lemma 5.5 below. The upper bounds on h_K^- follow from (11) and Lemma 2.3 according to which $\Pi_q(p,H) \ge 1$ if q is of even order in the quotient group G/H, where $G = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$, hence if q is of even order in the group G. Now, since $p \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ the group G is of order $p-1 = 2N$ with N odd and q is of even order in G if and only $q^N = -1$ in G, i.e. if and only if the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$ is equal to -1. Now, since $p = 2^d - 1 \equiv -1 \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ for $d \ge 3$, the law of quadratic reciprocity gives $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = -\left(\frac{p}{3}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = -1$, as $p \equiv (-1)^d - 1 \equiv -2 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$. Hence, $\Pi_3(p,H) \ge 1$. In the same way, if $d \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ then $p = 2^d - 1 = 2 \cdot 4^{\frac{d-1}{2}} - 1 \equiv 2 \cdot (-1)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} - 1 \equiv -3 \equiv 2 \pmod 5$ and $\left(\frac{5}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{p}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{5}\right) = -1$ and $\Pi_5(p,H) \ge 1$. □

Lemma 5.5. *Set $f = 2^d - 1$ and $\varepsilon_d = (-1)^{(d-1)/2}$ with $d \ge 2$ odd. Hence $\gcd(f,15) = 1$. Set $H = \{2^k;\ 0 \le k \le d-1\}$, a subgroup of order d of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then,*

$$S(H,f) = \frac{f-2d+1}{4} \text{ and } N'(f,H) = -2d+1, \tag{47}$$

$$S(H_3,3f) = \frac{5f-6d+1}{6} \text{ and } N'_3(f,H) = -d, \tag{48}$$

$$S(H_5,5f) = \frac{7f-10d+2+\varepsilon_d}{5} \text{ and } N'_5(f,H) = -\frac{4}{3}d + \frac{1+\varepsilon_d}{6}, \tag{49}$$

$$S(H_{15},15f) = \frac{14f-(12+3\varepsilon_d)\,d+1}{3} \text{ and } N'_{15}(f,H) = -\frac{32+15\varepsilon_d}{48}d + \frac{1-2\varepsilon_d}{48}. \tag{50}$$

Proof. The first assertion is the special case $a = 2$ of Lemma 5.1. Let us now deal with the second assertion. Here $H_3 = \{2^k;\ 0 \le k \le d-1\} \cup \{2^k + (-1)^k f;\ 0 \le k \le d-1\}$. We assume that $0 \le k \le d-1$. Hence, $\mathrm{sign}(2^k + (-1)^k f) = (-1)^k$.

1. Noticing that $3f \equiv -3 \pmod{2^k}$, by (20) we obtain

$$s(2^k, 3f) = \frac{4^k + 9f^2 - 9 \cdot 2^k \cdot f + 1}{36 \cdot 2^k \cdot f} + s(3, 2^k).$$

Noticing that $2^k \equiv (-1)^k \pmod 3$, by (20) and (21) we obtain

$$s(3, 2^k) = \frac{9 + 4^k - 9 \cdot 2^k + 1}{36 \cdot 2^k} - (-1)^k s(1,3) = \frac{9 + 4^k - 9 \cdot 2^k + 1}{36 \cdot 2^k} - \frac{(-1)^k}{18}.$$

Hence

$$s(2^k, 3f) = \frac{f+1}{36f} 2^k + \frac{(f+1)(9f+1)}{36f} 2^{-k} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(-1)^k}{18}.$$

2. Noticing that $3f \equiv -3 \cdot (-1)^k 2^k \pmod{2^k + (-1)^k f}$, by (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s(2^k + (-1)^k f, 3f) =& \frac{2^k + (-1)^k f}{36f} + \frac{f}{4(2^k + (-1)^k f)} - \frac{(-1)^k}{4} + \frac{1}{36(2^k + (-1)^k f) f} \\ &+ (-1)^k s(3 \cdot 2^k, 2^k + (-1)^k f) \end{aligned}$$

and noticing that $2^k + (-1)^k f \equiv (-1)^{k-1} \pmod{3 \cdot 2^k}$, by (20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s(3 \cdot 2^k, 2^k + (-1)^k f) =& \frac{3 \cdot 2^k}{12(2^k + (-1)^k f)} + \frac{2^k + (-1)^k f}{36 \cdot 2^k} - \frac{(-1)^k}{4} \\ &+ \frac{1}{36 \cdot 2^k \cdot (2^k + (-1)^k f)} + (-1)^k s(1, 3 \cdot 2^k). \end{aligned}$$

Using (21) we finally obtain

$$s(2^k + (-1)^k f, 3f) = \frac{9f+1}{36f} 2^k + \frac{(f+1)^2}{36f} 2^{-k} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(-1)^k}{18}.$$

3. Using $\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} 2^k = f$, $\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} 2^{-k} = \frac{2f}{f+1}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^k = 1$, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} s(2^k, 3f) = \frac{19f - 18d + 1}{36} \text{ and } \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} s(2^k + (-1)^k f, 3f) = \frac{11f - 18d + 5}{36}.$$

Hence, we do obtain

$$S(H_3, 3f) = \sum_{h \in H_3} s(h, 3f) = \frac{19f - 18d + 1}{36} + \frac{11f - 18d + 5}{36} = \frac{5f - 6d + 1}{6}$$

and $N_3'(f, H) = -d$, by (46).

Let us finally deal with the third and fourth assertions. The proof involves tedious and repetitive computations. For this reason we will restrict ourselves to a specific case. Let us for example give some details for the proof of (50) in the case that $d \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. We have $f = 2^d - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{30}$ and $H_{15} = \cup_{l=0}^{14} E_l$, where $E_l := \{2^k + lf;\ 0 \le k \le d-1,\ \gcd(2^k + l, 15) = 1\}$ for $0 \le l \le 14$. We have to compute the sums $s_l := \sum_{n \in E_l} s(n, 15f)$. Let us for example give some details in the case that $l = 1$. We have $\gcd(2^k + 1, 15) = 1$ if and only if $k \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. Hence $s_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/4} s(16^k + f, 15f)$. Using (20) and (21) we obtain

$$s(16^k + f, 15f) = \frac{9f+1}{180f} 16^k + \frac{14}{45} + \frac{(f+1)^2}{180f} 16^{-k}.$$

Finally, using $\sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/4} 16^k = \frac{8f+7}{15}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/4} 16^{-k} = \frac{2(8f+7)}{15(f+1)}$ we obtain

$$s_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{(d-1)/4} s(16^k + f, 15f) = \frac{88f^2 + (210d + 731)f + 21}{2700f}.$$

Finally, using (46), (47), (48) and (49) we get (50). □

We conclude this Section with the following result for $d_0 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$, whose long proof we omit[6]:

Lemma 5.6. *Set $f = 2^d - 1$ with $d > 1$ odd. Assume* $\gcd(f, 105) = 1$, *i.e. that* $d \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$. *Set $H = \{2^k;\ 0 \le k \le d-1\}$, a subgroup of order d of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then*

$$N'_{105}(f, H) = -\frac{1}{576} \times \begin{cases} 437d + 139 & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \\ 535d - 644 & \text{if } d \equiv 5 \pmod{12}, \\ 97d - 324 & \text{if } d \equiv 7 \pmod{12}, \\ 195d + 13 & \text{if } d \equiv 11 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$$

Lemmas 5.5-5.6 show that the following Conjecture holds true for $d_0 \in \{1, 3, 5, 15, 105\}$:

Conjecture 5.7. *Let $d_0 \ge 1$ be odd and square-free. Let N be the order of 2 in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/d_0\mathbb{Z})^*$. Set $f = 2^d - 1$ with $d > 1$ odd and $H = \{2^k;\ 0 \le k \le d-1\}$, a subgroup of order d of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. Assume* $\gcd(f, d_0) = 1$. *Then $N'_{d_0}(f, H) = A_1(d)d + A_0(d)$, where $A_1(d)$ and $A_0(d)$ are rational numbers which depend only on d modulo N, i.e. only on f modulo d_0. Hence for a prime $p \ge 3$ we expect*

$$M_{d_0}(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \left\{ \prod_{q | d_0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q^2}\right) \right\} \left(1 + \frac{A_1(d)d}{p} + \frac{A_0(d)}{p}\right),$$

confirming again that the restriction on d in Theorem 1.1 should be sharp.

There is apparently no theoretical obstruction preventing us to prove Conjecture 5.7. Indeed, for a fixed d_0, the formulas for $A_0(d)$ and $A_1(d)$ could be guessed using numerous examples on a computer algebra system. However for large d_0's the set of cases to consider grows linearly and a more unified approach seems to be required to give a complete proof.

6 The case of subgroups of order $d = 3$

6.1 Formulas for $d_0 = 1, 2, 6$

Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ be a prime integer. Let K be the imaginary subfield of degree $m = (p-1)/3$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Since p splits completely in the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ of class number one, there exists an algebraic integer $\alpha = a + b\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = a^2 + ab + b^2$. Then, $H = \{1, a/b, b/a\}$, is the unique subgroup of order 3 of the cyclic multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. So we consider the integers $f > 3$ of the form $f = a^2 + ab + b^2$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, which implies $\gcd(a, f) = \gcd(b, f) = 1$ and the oddness of f. We have the following explicit formula.

[6]The formulas can be and have been checked on numerous examples using a computer algebra system. Indeed, by (20) and (21) any Dedekind sum $s(c, d) \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $c, d \ge 1$ can be easily computed by successive euclidean divisions of c by d and exchanges of c and d, until we reach $c = 1$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f > 3$ be of the form $f = a^2 + ab + b^2$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(a,b) = 1$. Set $H = \{1, a/b, b/a\}$, a subgroup of order 3 of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then,*

$$s(a,b,f) = \frac{f-1}{12f},\ S(H,f) = \frac{f-1}{12} \text{ and } N(f,H) = -1 + 12S(H,f) = -1. \tag{51}$$

Proof. Noticing that $s(b,f,a) = s(b,b^2,a) = s(1,b,a) = s(b,a)$, by (22), and $s(f,a,b) = s(a^2,a,b) = s(a,1,b) = s(a,b)$, and using (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s(a,b,f) &= \frac{a^2+b^2+f^2-3|ab|f}{12abf} - s(b,f,a) - s(f,a,b) \qquad \text{(by (23))} \\ &= \frac{a^2+b^2+f^2-3|ab|f}{12abf} - s(b,a) - s(a,b) \\ &= \frac{a^2+b^2+f^2-3|ab|f}{12abf} - \frac{a^2+b^2-3|ab|+1}{12ab} = \frac{f-1}{12f}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $S(H,f) = s(1,f) + s(a,b,f) + s(b,a,f) = s(1,f) + 2s(a,b,f)$ and use (21) and (37). □

Remarks 6.2. *Take $f_1 = A^2 + AB + B^2 > 0$, where $3 \nmid f_1$ and $\gcd(A,B) = 1$. Set $f = (f_1+1)^3 - 1$. Then $f = a^2 + ab + b^2$, where $a = Af_1 + A - B$, $b = Bf_1 + A + 2B$ and $\gcd(a,b) = 1$. By Lemmas 6.1 we have an infinite family of moduli f for which the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$ contains at the same time an element $h = a/b$ of order $d = 3$ for which $s(h,f)$ is asymptotic to $1/12$ and an element $h' = f_1 + 1$ of order $d = 3$ for which $s(h',f)$ is asymptotic to $f^{2/3}/12$. Indeed by (20) and (21) for $f = (f_1+1)^3 - 1$ we have $s(h',f) = \frac{h'^5 + h'^4 - 6h'^3 + 6}{12f}$.*

To deal with the case $d_0 > 1$, we notice that by (37) we have:

Proposition 6.3. *Let $d_0 \geq 1$ be a given squarefree integer. Take $f > 3$ odd of the form $f = a^2 + ab + b^2$, where $\gcd(a,b) = 1$ and $\gcd(d_0, f) = 1$. Set $H = \{1, a/b, b/a\}$, a subgroup of order 3 of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. Let $N_{d_0}(f,H)$ be the rational number defined in (33). Then*

$$N_{d_0}(f,H) = N_{d_0}(f,\{1\}) + \frac{24\mu(d_0)}{\prod_{q|d_0}(q+1)} \sum_{\delta|d_0} \frac{\delta\mu(\delta)}{\phi(\delta)} S(a,b,\delta f),$$

where $N_{d_0}(f,\{1\})$ is a rational number which depends only on f modulo d_0, by Proposition 4.6, and where

$$S(a,b,\delta f) = \sum_{\substack{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/\delta f\mathbb{Z})^* \\ h \equiv a/b \pmod f}} s(h,\delta f) = \sum_{\substack{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/\delta f\mathbb{Z})^* \\ h \equiv b/a \pmod f}} s(h,\delta f).$$

It seems that there are no explicit formulas for $S(a,b,\delta f)$, $S(H_\delta, \delta f)$ or $N_\delta(f,H)$ for $\delta > 1$ (however, assuming that $b = 1$ we will obtain such formulas in Section 6.2 for $\delta \in \{2,3,6\}$). Instead, our aim is to prove in Proposition 6.4 that $N_\delta(f,H) = O(\sqrt{f})$ for $\delta \in \{2,3,6\}$.

Let $f > 3$ be of the form $f = a^2 + ab + b^2$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gcd(a,b) = 1$. Hence, a or b is odd. Since $a^2 + ab + b^2 = a'^2 + a'b' + b'^2 = a''^2 + a''b'' + b''^2$ and $a'/b' = a/b$ and $a''/b'' = a/b$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$, where $(a',b') = (-b, a+b)$ and $(a'',b'') = (-a-b, a)$, we may assume that both a and b are odd. Moreover, assume that $\gcd(3,f) = 1$. If $3 \nmid ab$, by swapping a and b as needed, which does not change neither H nor $S(a,b,H)$, we may assume that $a \equiv -1 \pmod 6$ and $b \equiv 1 \pmod 6$. If $3 \mid ab$, by swapping a and b and then changing both a and b to their opposites as needed, which does not change neither H nor $S(a,b,H)$, we may assume that $a \equiv 3 \pmod 6$ and $b \equiv 1 \pmod 6$. So in Proposition 6.3 we may restrict ourselves to the integers of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f > 3 \text{ is odd of the form } f = a^2 + ab + b^2, \text{ with } a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ odd and } \gcd(a,b) = 1 \\ \text{and if } \gcd(3,f) = 1 \text{ then } a \equiv -1 \text{ or } 3 \pmod 6 \text{ and } b \equiv 1 \pmod 6. \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Proposition 6.4. *Let $\delta \in \{2,3,6\}$ be given. Let f be as in* (52)*, with* $\gcd(f,\delta)=1$*. Then, $s(h,\delta f) = O(\sqrt{f})$ for any $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/\delta f\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $h \equiv a/b \pmod f$. Consequently, for a given $d_0 \in \{1,2,3,6\}$, in Proposition 6.3 we have $N_{d_0}(f,H) = O(\sqrt{f})$, and we cannot expect great improvements on these bounds, by* (61)*,* (63) *and* (65)*.*

Proof. First, by (51) we have

$$S(a,b,f) = s(a,b,f) = \frac{f-1}{12f}.$$

Second, f being odd, recalling (41) we have $A(2,f) = A(2,1) = 0$, $N_2(f,\{1\}) = -1$,

$$S(a,b,2f) = s(a,b,2f) \tag{53}$$

and

$$N_2(f,H) = -1 - 8S(a,b,f) + 16S(a,b,2f).$$

Third, assume that $d_0 \in \{3,6\}$. Then $\gcd(f,3) = 1$. Hence, $f \equiv 1 \pmod 6$. Therefore, $A(3,f) = A(3,1) = 4/3$, $A(6,f) = A(6,1) = -4$, $N_3(f,\{1\}) = N_6(f,\{1\}) = -1$,

$$N_3(f,H) = -1 - 6S(a,b,f) + 9S(a,b,3f)$$

and

$$N_6(f,H) = -1 + 2S(a,b,f) - 4S(a,b,2f) - 3S(a,b,3f) + 6S(a,b,6f).$$

If $a \equiv -1 \pmod 6$, $b \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ and $\delta \in \{1,2\}$, then $\{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/3\delta f\mathbb{Z})^*;\ h \equiv a/b \pmod f\} = \{a/b, (a+2f)/b\}$ and

$$S(a,b,3\delta f) = s(a,b,3\delta f) + s(a+2f,b,3\delta f). \tag{54}$$

If $a \equiv 3 \pmod 6$, $b \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ and $\delta \in \{1,2\}$, then $\{h \in (\mathbb{Z}/3\delta f\mathbb{Z})^*;\ h \equiv a/b \pmod f\} = \{(a-\delta f)/b, (a+\delta f)/b\}$ and

$$S(a,b,3\delta f) = s(a-\delta f,b,3\delta f) + s(a+\delta f,b,3\delta f). \tag{55}$$

Let us now bound the Dedekind-Rademacher sums in (53), (54) and (55). We will need the bounds:

$$\text{if } f = a^2+ab+b^2, \text{ then } |a|+|b| \le \sqrt{4f} \text{ and } |ab| \ge \sqrt{f/3}. \tag{56}$$

Indeed, $4f - (|a|+|b|)^2 \ge 3(|a|-|b|)^2 \ge 0$ and $f \le a^2 + a^2b^2 + b^2 = 3a^2b^2$.
First, we deal with the Dedekind-Rademacher sums $s(a,b,\delta f)$ in (53) and (54), where $\delta \in \{2,3,6\}$. Here, $\gcd(a,b) = \gcd(a,\delta f) = \gcd(b,\delta f) = 1$. Then (24) and (56) enable us to write (23) as follows:

$$s(a,b,\delta f) + O(\sqrt{f}) + O(\sqrt{f}) = O(\sqrt{f}).$$

Hence, in (53) and (54) we have $s(a,b,2f),\ s(a,b,3f),\ s(a,b,6f) = O(\sqrt{f})$.
Second, the remaining and more complicated Dedekind-Rademacher sums in (54) and (55) are of the form $s(a+\varepsilon\delta f,b,3\delta f)$, where $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$, $\delta \in \{1,2\}$ and $\gcd(a+\varepsilon\delta f, 3\delta f) = \gcd(b,3\delta f) = 1$. Set $\delta' = \gcd(a+\varepsilon\delta f, b)$. Then $\gcd(\delta', 3\delta f) = 1$. Thus, $s(a+\varepsilon\delta f,b,3\delta f) = s((a+\varepsilon\delta f)/\delta', b/\delta', 3\delta f)$, where now the three terms in this latter Dedekind-Rademacher are pairwise coprime. Then (24) and (56) enable us to write (23) as follows:

$$s((a+\varepsilon\delta f)/\delta', b/\delta', 3\delta f) + O(\sqrt{f}) + s(b/\delta', 3\delta f, (a+\varepsilon\delta f)/\delta') \\ = O(\delta'^2/b) = O(b) = O(\sqrt{f}).$$

Now, $3\delta f \equiv -3\varepsilon a \pmod{a+\varepsilon\delta f}$ gives $s(b/\delta', 3\delta f, (a+\varepsilon\delta f)/\delta') = -\varepsilon s(b/\delta', 3a, (a+\varepsilon\delta f)/\delta')$. Since the three rational integers in this latter Dedekind-Rademacher are pairwise coprime, the bounds (56) and (24) enable us to write (23) as follows:

$$s(b/\delta', 3a, (a+\varepsilon\delta f)/\delta') + O(\sqrt{f}) + O(\sqrt{f}) = O(\sqrt{f}).$$

It follows that $s(a+\varepsilon\delta f,b,3\delta f) = s((a+\varepsilon\delta f)/\delta', b/\delta', 3\delta f) = O(\sqrt{f})$, i.e., in (54) and (55) we have $s(a+2f,b,6f),\ s(a-2f,b,6f),\ s(a+2f,b,3f), s(a-f,b,3f), s(a+f,b,3f) = O(\sqrt{f})$. □

Conjecture 6.5. *Let δ be a given square-free integer. Let $f > 3$ run over the odd integers of the form $f = a^2 + ab + b^2$ with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and $\gcd(\delta, f) = 1$. Then $s(h, \delta f) = O(\sqrt{f})$ for any $h \in (\mathbb{Z}/\delta f\mathbb{Z})^*$ such that $h \equiv a/b \pmod f$. Consequently, for a given square-free integer d_0, in Proposition 6.3, we would have $N_{d_0}(f, H) = O(\sqrt{f})$ for $\gcd(d_0, f) = 1$.*

Putting everything together we obtain:

Theorem 6.6. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ be a prime integer. Let K be the imaginary subfield of degree $(p-1)/3$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Let H be the subgroup of order 3 of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. We have*

$$M(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\left(1 + \frac{N(p, H)}{p}\right) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \text{ and } h_K^- \leq 2\left(\frac{p}{24}\right)^{(p-1)/12},$$

and the following effective asymptotics and upper bounds

$$M_2(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{8}\left(1 + \frac{N_2(p, H)}{p}\right) = \frac{\pi^2}{8}\left(1 + O(p^{-1/2})\right) \text{ and } h_K^- \leq 2\left(\frac{p + o(p)}{32}\right)^{\frac{(p-1)}{12}}, \tag{57}$$

$$M_6(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{9}\left(1 + \frac{N_6(p, H)}{p}\right) = \frac{\pi^2}{9}\left(1 + O(p^{-1/2})\right) \text{ and } h_K^- \leq 2\left(\frac{p + o(p)}{36}\right)^{\frac{(p-1)}{12}}.$$

Proof. The formulas for $M(p, H)$, $M_2(p, H)$ and $M_6(p, H)$ follow from eq32, (35), (51) and Proposition 6.4. The inequalities on h_K^- are consequences as usual of (11) and Corollary 2.4. □

6.2 The special case $p = a^2 + a + 1$ and $d_0 = 1, 2, 6$

Let $f > 3$ be of the form $f = a^2 + a + 1$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\gcd(f, 6) = 1$ if and only if $a \equiv 0, 2, 3, 5 \pmod 6$. We define c_a', c_a'', c_a''' and $c_a = (-1 - 2c_a' - c_a'' + 2c_a''')/12$, as follows:

$a \pmod 6$	c_a'	c_a''	c_a'''	c_a
0	$-3a - 2$	$-8a - 5$	$-19a - 10$	$-2a - 1$
1	$3a + 1$			
2	$-3a - 2$	$8a + 3$	$a - 18$	-3
3	$3a + 1$	$-8a - 5$	$-a - 19$	-3
4	$-3a - 2$			
5	$3a + 1$	$8a + 3$	$19a + 9$	$2a + 1$

Theorem 6.7. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ be a prime integer of the form $p = a^2 + a + 1$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let K be the imaginary subfield of degree $(p-1)/3$ of the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Let H be the subgroup of order 3 of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$. We have*

$$M_2(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{8}\left(1 - (-1)^a \frac{2a + 1}{p}\right), \tag{58}$$

and

$$M_6(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{9}\left(1 + \frac{c_a}{p}\right), \tag{59}$$

showing that the error term in (57) is optimal.

Proof. The formula (58) is a special case of (44) for $d = 3$. By (35), we have

$$M_6(p, H) = \frac{\pi^2}{9}\left(1 + \frac{N_6(p, H)}{p}\right).$$

Hence (59) follows from Lemma 6.9 below. □

Lemma 6.8. *Let $f > 3$ be of the form $f = a^2 + a + 1$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $H = \{1, a, a^2\}$, a subgroup of order 3 of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. We have*

$$S(H, f) = \frac{f-1}{12},\ S(H_2, 2f) = \frac{2f + c'_a}{12} \tag{60}$$

and

$$N_2(f, H) = (-1)^{a-1}(2a+1). \tag{61}$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 5.1 with $d = 3$ and $f = a^2 + a + 1$ to get (60). Then using (36) we get $N_2(f, H) = -f - 4S(H, f) + 8S(H_2, 2f) = \frac{2c'_a+1}{3} = (-1)^{a-1}(2a+1)$. □

Lemma 6.9. *Let $f > 3$ be of the form $f = a^2 + a + 1$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $\gcd(f, 6) = 1$, i.e. that $a \equiv 0, 2, 3, 5 \pmod 6$. Set $H = \{1, a, a^2\}$, a subgroup of order 3 of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then*

$$S(H_3, 3f) = \frac{5f + c''_a}{18}, \tag{62}$$

$$N_3(f, H) = \begin{cases} -2a - 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod 3, \\ 2a + 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod 3, \end{cases} \tag{63}$$

$$S(H_6, 6f) = \frac{10f + c'''_a}{18} \tag{64}$$

and

$$N_6(f, H) = \begin{cases} -2a - 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod 6, \\ -3 & \text{if } a \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 6, \\ 2a + 1 & \text{if } a \equiv 5 \pmod 6. \end{cases} \tag{65}$$

Proof. Let us for example detail the computation of $S(H_6, 6f)$ in the case that $a \equiv 0 \pmod 6$. We have $f \equiv 1 \pmod 6$ and $H_6 = \{1, 1 + 4f, a + f, a + 5f, a^2 + f, a^2 + 5f\}$. Since $a^2 + f = (a + f)^{-1}$ and $a^2 + 5f = (a + 5f)^{-1}$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^*$, we have $S(H_6, 6f) = s(1, 6f) + s(1 + 4f, 6f) + 2s(a + f, 6f) + 2s(a+5f, 6f)$, by (19). Using (20) and (21) we obtain $s(1, 6f) = \frac{18f^2-9f+1}{36f}$, $s(1+4f, 6f) = \frac{2f^2-13f+1}{36f}$, $s(a + f, 6f) = -\frac{(3a-21)f+1}{72f}$ and $s(a + 5f, 6f) = -\frac{(35a+19)f+1}{72f}$. Formula (64) follows.

By (36), we have

$$N_3(f, H) = -f - 3S(H, f) + \frac{9}{2}S(H_3, 3f)$$

and

$$N_6(f, H) = -f + S(H, f) - 2S(H_2, 2f) - \frac{3}{2}S(H_3, 3f) + 3S(H_6, 6f).$$

Using (51), (60) and (62), we obtain $N_3(f, H) = \frac{c''_a+1}{4}$ and (63). Using (51), (60), (62) and (64), we obtain $N_6(f, H) = \frac{-1-2c'_a-c''_a+2c'''_a}{12} = c_a$ and (65). □

7 Conclusion and a conjecture

The proof of Lemma 5.1 gives for $d \geq 3$ odd and $a \neq 0, \pm 1$

$$s\left(a, \frac{a^d - 1}{a - 1}\right) = \frac{(f-1)(f-a^2-1)}{12af} = O\left(f^{1-\frac{1}{d-1}}\right). \tag{66}$$

Our numerical computations suggest the following stronger version of Theorem 3.1:

Conjecture 7.1. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for any odd $d > 1$ dividing $p - 1$ and any h of order d in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ we have*

$$|s(h, p)| \leq Cp^{1-\frac{1}{\phi(d)}}. \tag{67}$$

Indeed, for $p \leq 10^6$ we checked on a desk computer that any odd $d > 1$ dividing $p-1$ and any h of order d in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ we have

$$Q(h,p) := \frac{|s(h,p)|}{p^{1-\frac{1}{\phi(d)}}} \leq Q(2, 2^7-1) = 0.08903\cdots$$

The estimate (67) would allow to slightly extend the range of validity of Theorem 1.1 to $d \leq (1-\varepsilon)\frac{\log p}{\log\log p}$. Moreover the choice $a = 2$ in (66) for which $s(2,f)$ is asymptotic to $\frac{1}{24}f$ with $f = 2^d - 1$ shows that $s(h,p) = o(p)$ cannot hold true in the range $d \asymp \log p$. Notice that we cannot expect a better bound than (67), by (66). Finally, the restriction that p be prime in (67) is paramount by Remark 6.2 where $s(a,f) \sim f^{2/3}/12$ for a of order 3 in $(\mathbb{Z}/(a^3-1)\mathbb{Z})^*$.

References

[Apo] M. T. Apostol. *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics **41**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.

[BR] A. Bayad and A. Raouj. Reciprocity formulae for multiple Dedekind-Rademacher sums. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **349** (2011), 131–136.

[CEK] J. B. Conrey, E. Fransen and R. Klein. Mean values of Dedekind sums. *J. Number Th.* **56** (1996), 214–226.

[DT] M. Drmota and R. F. Tichy. *Sequences, discrepancies and applications*, volume 1651 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[Elma] E. Elma. On a problem related to discrete mean values of Dirichlet L-functions. *J. Number Theory*, **217** (2020), 36–43.

[Feng] K. Q. Feng. On the first factor of the class number of a cyclotomic field. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84** (1974), 479–482.

[Gir03] K. Girstmair. Zones of large and small values for Dedekind sums. *Acta Arith.* **109** (2003), 299–308.

[Gra] A. Granville. On the size of the first factor of the class number of a cyclotomic field. *Invent. Math.* **100** (1990), 321–338.

[Hic] D. Hickerson. Continued fractions and density results for Dedekind sums. *J. reine angew. Math.* **290** (1977), 113–116.

[Kor] N. M. Korobov. Some problems in the theory of Diophantine approximation, *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 22(3): 80–118, 1967.

[KS] S. V. Konyagin and I. E. Shparlinski. *Character sums with exponential functions and their applications*, volume 136 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[Lee17] S. H. Lee and S. Lee. On the twisted quadratic moment for Dirichlet L-functions. *J. Number Th.* **174** (2017), 427–435.

[Lee19] S. H. Lee and S. Lee. The twisted moments and distribution of values of Dirichlet L-functions at 1. *J. Number Th.* **197** (2019), 168–184.

[LM21] S. Louboutin and M. Munsch. Second moment of Dirichlet L-functions, character sums over subgroups and upper bounds on relative class numbers. *Quart. J. Math.* **72** (2021), 1379–1399.

[Lou94] S. Louboutin. Quelques formules exactes pour des moyennes de fonctions L de Dirichlet. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **36** (1993), 190–196. Addendum. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **37** (1994), p. 89.

[Lou11] S. Louboutin. Mean values of L-functions and relative class numbers of cyclotomic fields. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **78** (2011), 647–658.

[Lou15] S. Louboutin. Twisted quadratic moments for Dirichlet L-functions. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **52** (2015), 2095–2105.

[Lou16] S. Louboutin. Dedekind sums, mean square value of L-functions at $s = 1$ and upper bounds on relative class numbers. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math.* **64** (2016), 165–174.

[Lou19] S. Louboutin. On the denominator of Dedekind sums. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **56** (2019), 815–827.

[Lou23] S. Louboutin. Mean square value of L-functions at $s = 1$ for non-primitive characters, Dedekind sums and bounds on relative class numbers. *Functiones et Approximatio*, to appear.

[Met] T. Metsänkylä. Class numbers and μ-invariants of cyclotomic fields. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **43** (1974), 299–300.

[MP01] R. Murty and Y. Petridis. On Kummer's conjecture. *J. Number Th.* **90** (2001), 294–303.

[Nied77] H. Niederreiter. Pseudo-random numbers and optimal coefficients. *Advances in Math.*, 26(2):99–181, 1977.

[Qi] M.-G. Qi. A class of mean square formulas for L-functions. (Chinese. English summary). *J. Tsinghua Univ.* **31** (1991), no. 3, 34–41.

[Rad] H. Rademacher. Generalization of the reciprocity formula for Dedekind sums. *Duke Math. J.* **21** (1954), 391–397.

[RG] H. Rademacher and E. Grosswald. Dedekind sums. *The Carus Mathematical Monographs,* **16**. *The Mathematical Association of America, Washington, D.C.,* 1972.

[Ser] J.-P. Serre. *A course in arithmetic.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **7**. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.

[Sun] Z-W. Sun. Sums of minima and maxima. *Discrete Math.* **257** (2002), 143-159.

[Var] I. Vardi. Dedekind sums have a limiting distribution. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (1993), 1–12.

[Wal] H. Walum. An exact formula for an average of L-series. *Illinois J. Math.* **26** (1982), 1–3.

[Was] L. C. Washington. *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields.* Second Edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **83**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.