



**HAL**  
open science

## The second oldest representative of the genus *Aeshna* (Odonata: Aeshnidae) found in the lowermost Oligocene of Luberon (France) and revealed by UV light

Andre Nel, Romain Garrouste, Mustafa Kaya, Alexis Licht, Stéphane Legal,  
Pauline Coster

### ► To cite this version:

Andre Nel, Romain Garrouste, Mustafa Kaya, Alexis Licht, Stéphane Legal, et al.. The second oldest representative of the genus *Aeshna* (Odonata: Aeshnidae) found in the lowermost Oligocene of Luberon (France) and revealed by UV light. *Historical Biology*, 2022, 10.1080/08912963.2022.2157274. hal-03974702


**HAL Id: hal-03974702**

**<https://hal.science/hal-03974702>**

Submitted on 6 Feb 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**The second oldest representative of the genus *Aeshna*  
(Odonata: Aeshnidae) found in the Lowermost Oligocene of  
Luberon (France) and revealed by UV light**

Journal:	<i>Historical Biology</i>
Manuscript ID	GHBI-2022-0298
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	10-Nov-2022
Complete List of Authors:	Nel, André; Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, UMR CNRS 7205, Entomologie Garrouste, Romain; Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, UMR CNRS 7205, Entomologie Kaya, Mustafa; Aix-Marseille Université Licht, Alexis; Aix-Marseille Université Legal, Stéphane; Réserve Naturelle Nationale Géologique du Luberon Coster, Pauline; Réserve Naturelle Nationale Géologique du Luberon
Keywords:	Insecta, Odonata, Aeshnoptera, UV light photographs of fossil insects, <i>Aeshnini</i> , sp. nov.

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

## Historical Biology

The second oldest representative of the genus *Aeshna* (Odonata: Aeshnidae) found in the Lowermost Oligocene of Luberon (France) and revealed by UV light

Andre Nel<sup>a,\*</sup>, Romain Garrouste<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mustafa Kaya<sup>b,C</sup>, Alexis Licht<sup>b</sup>, Stéphane Legal<sup>d</sup> and Pauline Coster<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Institut de Systématique, Évolution, Biodiversité (ISYEB), Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, EPHE, Université des Antilles, CP50, 57 rue Cuvier 75005 Paris, France. E-mails: [anel@mnhn.fr](mailto:anel@mnhn.fr); [garroust@mnhn.fr](mailto:garroust@mnhn.fr); <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4241-7651>; <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0880-5781>

<sup>b</sup>Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, INRAE, Collège de France, CEREGE, Aix-en-Provence, France. Email: [licht@cerege.fr](mailto:licht@cerege.fr), <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5267-7545>

<sup>c</sup>Aix-Middle Eastern Technical University, department of geological engineering, Ankara, Turkey, email: [mustafayk@gmail.com](mailto:mustafayk@gmail.com)

<sup>d</sup>Réserve Naturelle Nationale Géologique du Luberon, Parc naturel régional du Luberon, 60 Place Jean Jaurès, 84400 Apt, France. E-mails: [stephane.legal@parcduluberon.fr](mailto:stephane.legal@parcduluberon.fr) & [pauline.coster@parcduluberon.fr](mailto:pauline.coster@parcduluberon.fr); <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4015-252X>

\* AN and RG are equal first authors of the paper.

## ABSTRACT

*Aeshna caseneuvenensis* sp. nov., second oldest representative of the genus *Aeshna*, is described and figured from the Lowermost Oligocene of Luberon in southeastern France. The oldest

1  
2  
3 described species in this genus is from the Uppermost Eocene of Colorado in USA. Their  
4  
5 occurrence in very distant areas in an interval of time of less of five millions years strongly  
6  
7 suggests that the genus is older, possibly appearing during the middle Eocene, as it is still  
8  
9 unknown in the early Eocene odonate faunas. The specimen could be studied thanks to a  
10  
11 technique of photograph under UV light newly developed by one of us (RG).  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

## 17 KEYWORDS

18  
19 Insecta; Odonata; Aeshnoptera; Aeshnini; sp. nov., Earliest Oligocene; UV light photographs  
20  
21 of fossil insects  
22  
23  
24  
25

## 26 Introduction

27  
28 The hawker dragonflies or Aeshnidae are well-known flying predators of large size, present  
29  
30 over nearly the continents except Antarctica. Their stem group Aeshnoptera are among the  
31  
32 oldest Anisoptera, being already diverse during the Middle Jurassic (Bechly et al. 2001; Zheng  
33  
34 2021), but the Aeshnidae sensu stricto (excluding the Gomphaeschnidae) are still only known  
35  
36 in the Cenozoic. They are rather frequent as compression fossils during the Eocene, Oligocene,  
37  
38 and Miocene (e.g., Nel *et al.* 1994, 1996; 1997; Nel and Petrulevičius 2010; Archibald and  
39  
40 Cannings 2019, 2022), but they remain nearly unknown in the Paleocene (Garrouste and Nel  
41  
42 2019). Several early Eocene representatives of the subfamily Aeshninae are known (see list in  
43  
44 Archibald and Cannings 2019), but the oldest record of the tribe Aeshnini and genus *Aeshna*  
45  
46 Fabricius, 1775 is from the uppermost Eocene of USA (Scudder 1890). Here we describe a  
47  
48 second representative of the genus *Aeshna* from the lowermost Oligocene of France, suggesting  
49  
50 that this genus is much older and was already quite widespread during the latest Eocene.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57

## 58 Material and methods

59  
60

1  
2  
3 The fossil has been discovered by one of us (PC), in rocks fallen from a newly discovered  
4 outcrop in the high cliffs of Haute Blace - Pantalliane near Caseneuve at the Doghead Locality,  
5  
6 together with numerous plant remains (leaves, seeds, flowers), abundant freshwater Isopoda,  
7  
8 and few Diptera, mainly Bibionidae of the genus *Plecia*. These layers were previously known  
9  
10 from the cliffs near Barbeiras, an outcrop also close to Caseneuve, in which some *Prolebias*  
11  
12 fish specimens, a large wing of Trichoptera, a winged Formicidae, few Heteroptera  
13  
14 Pentatomidae, an adult Culicidae, numerous chironomid adults and larvae and numerous adult  
15  
16 *Plecia* (Bibionidae) were also found by one of us (AN) more than twenty years ago. The  
17  
18 fossiliferous deposits of Haute Blace - Pantalliane and Barbeiras belong to the Calcaire de La  
19  
20 Fayette Formation. This geological unit is mostly made of limestones, marls and evaporites  
21  
22 deposited in a closed lacustrine basin that once encompassed a ca. 10x30 km<sup>2</sup> area centered  
23  
24 over the city of Apt (Lesueur 1991). The thin layers yielding fossil insects are papyraceous  
25  
26 limestones situated in the basal part of the formation interpreted as produced by bacterial mats  
27  
28 in the shallow parts of a lacustrine ramp in quiet hydrodynamic conditions (Lesueur 1991). The  
29  
30 Calcaire de La Fayette Formation is dated to the early Rupelian (mammalian biohorizon MP21)  
31  
32 based on studies of gastropod molluscs, charophytes, ostracods, and vertebrate tracks (Feist  
33  
34 1977; Cavelier et al. 1984; Apostolescu and Guernet 1992). It is older than the nearby  
35  
36 Campagne-Calavon Formation, which has yielded the well-known Céreste paleobiota dated as  
37  
38 Rupelian (biohorizons MP23–24) with several fossil Odonata, including some Aeshnidae. The  
39  
40 most significant difference between the MP21 and MP23-24 paleolakes is the complete absence  
41  
42 of freshwater Isopoda in the later lake (Campagne-Calavon Formation), while they are abundant  
43  
44 in the earlier paleolake (Calcaire de La Fayette Formation). Also, the *Prolebias* species from  
45  
46 the Doghead locality is different from the species found at the later site in Céreste, after a  
47  
48 personal communication of the late Jean Gaudant who studied the fish specimens from the area  
49  
50 but never published them. These fossiliferous sites are protected by the Luberon national  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 geologic nature Reserve (Coster and Legal 2021). The concerned cliffs are also extremely  
4  
5 dangerous because of falling stones.  
6

7  
8 The specimen was examined with a Nikon SMZ 25 stereomicroscope and photographed  
9  
10 with a Canon 50D with an attached Canon 65 MPE camera lens and mounted on an automated  
11  
12 stacking rail (StackShot). All images are digitally stacked photomicrographic composites of  
13  
14 several individual focal planes, which were obtained using Helicon Focus 6.7. The figures were  
15  
16 composed with Adobe Illustrator CC2019 and Photoshop CC2019 software. The specimen was  
17  
18 also photographed at CEREGE using a Camera Sony Alpha 7R IV (61.2MP, Full frame, Pixel  
19  
20 Dimensions, 9504 x 6336) with a Zeiss Macro 90mm lens and dual Flash lighting. Pictures  
21  
22 were recorded in RAW format for further post-processing. Colors have been calibrated with X-  
23  
24 Rite ColorChecker ® Classic chart and ColorChecker Passport software. Raw photo  
25  
26 development and editing for white balance, colors, and aberrations made with Capture One 20  
27  
28 software. Other photographs with normal light were taken using a Nikon SMZ 25 microscope  
29  
30 with a Nikon Z7II camera. UV light photographs were taken with Nikon D850 FX camera with  
31  
32 a 60 mm/2.8 Micro-Nikkor. UV light (365 nm) have been used to find differential chemical  
33  
34 composition with different visible fluorescence contrast with sedimentary matrix and revealed  
35  
36 putative ornamentations or patterns on the wing surface. High definition images (45 MP sensor)  
37  
38 permitted cropping into images. UVA (365 nm rather than 390 nm) use for fossil insect studies  
39  
40 is a new useful and promising technique for enhancing observation with a simple, portable and  
41  
42 economic device. It is a useful way to select specimens of interest for chemical analysis and  
43  
44 imaging by more sophisticated techniques (e.g., X-ray synchrotron fluorescence, Georgiou et  
45  
46 al. 2019).  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 We follow the wing venation terminology of Riek and Kukalová-Peck (1984), as modified by  
54  
55 Jacquelin et al. (2018). For the basal classification of the Aeshnoptera, we follow Bechly  
56  
57 (1996), Bechly *et al.* (2001), and Ellenrieder (2002) for the classification of the extant  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Aeshnidae. Vein abbreviations are: a.l. anal loop; Ax1 and Ax2 primary antenodal crossveins;  
4  
5 Bqs crossveins between RP1/2, IR2 and 'O'; C costal vein; CuA cubitus anterior; CuAa anterior  
6  
7 branch of CuA; d. discoidal triangle; CuP cubitus posterior; IR1 and IR2 intercalary radial  
8  
9 veins; N nodus; MA media anterior; MAa anterior branch of MA; MP media posterior; 'O'  
10  
11 oblique vein between RP2 and IR2; Pt pterostigma; RA radius anterior; RP radius posterior;  
12  
13 Rspl and Mspl supplementary radial and median veins; ScP subcostal posterior.  
14

15  
16  
17 Zoobank xxxx  
18  
19  
20

## 21 **Results**

22  
23  
24 **Order: Odonata Fabricius, 1793**

25  
26 **Family: Aeshnidae Leach, 1815**

27  
28 **Genus: *Aeshna* Fabricius, 1775**

29  
30  
31  
32  
33 ***Aeshna caseneuveensis* sp. nov.**

34  
35 Zoobank xxxx

36  
37 (Figures 1–4)  
38  
39  
40  
41

### 42 ***Holotype***

43  
44 PNRL 2714 (part and counterpart of a head with thorax with parts of the four wings attached),  
45  
46 stored in the Musée of Geology, Parc naturel regional du Luberon, Apt, France.  
47

### 48 ***Type locality***

49  
50  
51 Cliff of Haute Blace - Pantalliane near Caseneuve Village, Vaucluse, Luberon, France.  
52

### 53 ***Type stratum***

54  
55  
56 Earliest Oligocene, Formation of 'Calcaires de La Fayette' (MP21),  
57

### 58 ***Etymology***

59  
60

1  
2  
3 Named after the type locality Caseneuve.  
4

5 ***Diagnosis***  
6

7 Wing character only. Fork of IR2 well-defined, well basad pterostigma; area between Rspl and  
8 IR2 with four rows of cells in-between; four rows of cells in area between Mspl and MAa; anal  
9 loop with 10 cells in three rows; discoidal triangles with seven cells.  
10  
11  
12  
13

14 ***Description***  
15

16 Body and wings without trace of coloration; head compressed and poorly preserved, 5.2 mm  
17 long, 7.4 mm wide; thorax 14.4 mm long, 7.0 mm wide; wings probably hyaline; forewings ca.  
18 48.9 mm long, ca. 11.5 mm wide, distance between base and arculus 6.7 mm, between arculus  
19 and nodus 12.6 mm; pterostigma elongate, 4.7 mm long, 1.0 mm wide, with basal and distal  
20 sides strongly oblique, covering three cells; pterostigmal brace present, aligned with basal  
21 margin of pterostigma, and strongly oblique; two primary antenodal crossveins Ax1 basad  
22 arculus and Ax2 opposite middle of discoidal triangle, with three secondary crossveins in-  
23 between, between C and ScP; 15 antenodal crossveins distad Ax2; ca. 15 postnodal crossveins;  
24 median space free; ca. five crossveins in submedian-subdiscoidal area; arculus between Ax1  
25 and Ax2, slightly closer to Ax1; RP and MA nearly meeting at their base in arculus; posterior  
26 part of arculus not aligned with anterior part; hypertriangle crossed by five veins, 8.4 mm long,  
27 0.7 mm wide; discoidal triangle elongate but narrow, 7.1 mm long (costal side), 2.5 mm wide  
28 (proximal side), subdivided into seven cells; posterior side 6.6 mm, angled; convex trigonal  
29 planate in postdiscoidal area rather well-defined but short; Mspl long, with a strong concave  
30 bend, and with four rows of cells between it and MAa; MA, and RP3/4 not parallel in their  
31 distal part, and MA with a strong concave bend before wing margin; two rows of cells between  
32 MA, and RP3/4; base of RP3/4 between nodus and arculus; base of RP2 aligned with subnodus;  
33 oblique vein 'O' one cell distad base of RP2; distal fork of I R2 symmetrical, three cells, 3.8  
34 mm basad level of pterostigma, with three rows of cells in area between its branches; RP2  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 parallel with anterior branch of IR2, making a distinct but smooth curve; one row of cells  
4  
5 between RP2 and anterior branch of IR2; base of IR1 below pterostigma; Rspl distinctly curved,  
6  
7 with four rows of cells between it and IR2; hind wings incompletely preserved, ca. 48 mm long,  
8  
9 ca. 15.5 mm wide, distance between base and arculus 6.7 mm, between arculus and nodus 14.4  
10  
11 mm; discoidal triangle shorter and broader than that of forewing, 6.7 mm long (costal side), 2.6  
12  
13 mm wide (proximal side), posterior side 5.4 mm, pterostigma incompletely preserved; venation  
14  
15 nearly identical to that of forewing, except in the cubito-anal area; anal angle not preserved;  
16  
17 anal loop closed, well-defined, broad and large, 3.8 mm long, 6.5 mm wide, divided into 10  
18  
19 cells; one row of cells between MP and CuA between their base and nodus level; CuAa with  
20  
21 five posterior branches that define groups of cells, and ca. six rows of cells between it and  
22  
23 posterior wing margin.  
24  
25  
26  
27

### 28 **Remark**

29  
30 While the fossil is hardly visible under normal light, photographs under ultraviolet light were  
31  
32 extremely efficient to show all the available body and wing venation details (see Figs xxxx).  
33  
34 This new approach is very interesting for the study of fossil insects, rendering details of  
35  
36 venation, color patterns, etc.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41

### 42 **Discussion**

43  
44 This fossil has all the autapomorphies of the family Aeshnidae as defined by Bechly (1996),  
45  
46 i.e. 'aeshnid bulla' in distal part of MA in both pairs of wings; subdiscoidal triangle of both  
47  
48 wings crossed by one crossvein; Rspl and Mspl distinctly curved with more than one row of  
49  
50 cells between them and IR2 or MA, and area in between divided by oblique intercalary veins;  
51  
52 more than two rows of cells in basal part of postdiscoidal area between level of distal angle of  
53  
54 discoidal triangle and level of midfork; and hypertriangle traversed by at least three crossveins  
55  
56 in forewings. After Ellenrieder (2002), this fossil fits into the clade 'Aeshnini' for the following  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 synapomorphies: more than one cubito-anal crossvein; IR2 fork present; RP2 evenly curved;  
4  
5 MA and RP3/4 not parallel, MA with a concave bend before wing margin; Mspl with a concave  
6  
7 bend at distal portion; Rspl not parallel to IR2, with a concave bend. The RP2 without marked  
8  
9 convex bend at proximal end of pterostigma, is a plesiomorphic character state that would  
10  
11 exclude affinities with the group (*Anaciaeschna* Selys, 1878 – *A. isosceles* – *Andaeschna* De  
12  
13 Marmels, 1994 – *Anax* Leach, 1815 – *Hemianax* Selys, 1883), plus the fossil genus *Merlax*  
14  
15 Prokop and Nel, 2000, although we do not have apomorphies to support an attribution to one  
16  
17 of the other genera of Aeshnini, i.e. *Castoraeschna* Calvert, 1952, *Coryphaeschna* Williamson,  
18  
19 1903, *Remartinia* Navás, 1911, *Oreaeschna* Lieftinck, 1937, and *Aeshna* Fabricius, 1775.  
20  
21 Nevertheless, both this fossil and *Aeshna* differ from *Castoraeschna*, *Oreaeschna*,  
22  
23 *Coryphaeschna*, and *Remartinia* in the broad area between the branches of IR2, and forking of  
24  
25 IR2 well basad pterostigma.

26  
27  
28  
29  
30 Among the fossil species with a distinctly forked IR2 currently put in the genus *Aeshna*,  
31  
32 the new fossil differs from the early Miocene *Aeshna zlatkokvaceki* Prokop et al., 2016 and the  
33  
34 latest Oligocene *Aeshna oligocenica* Nel et al., 1994 in the fork of IR2 well basad the  
35  
36 pterostigma vs. just basad it (Nel et al. 1994; Prokop et al. 2016). The latter also has a broader  
37  
38 area between Rspl and IR2 with six-seven rows of cells in-between. The late Miocene *Aeshna*  
39  
40 *cerdanica* Nel and Martínez-Delclòs (in Nel et al. 1994) is much smaller than the new fossil,  
41  
42 with a larger area between Rspl and IR2 and a smaller anal loop with nine cells vs. 10. The late  
43  
44 Miocene *Aeshna andancensis* Nel and Brisac (in Nel et al. 1994) also has a smaller anal loop  
45  
46 with eight cells disposed in two rows. The late Miocene *Aeshna multicellulata* Gentilini and  
47  
48 Peters, 1993 has a quite broader area between Rspl and IR2 and the fork of IR2 opposite the  
49  
50 base of the pterostigma. *Aeshna messiniana* Gentilini and Peters, 1993, *Aeshna ghiandonii*  
51  
52 Gentilini and Peters, 1993, and *Aeshna turoliana* Riou and Nel, 1995 have the fork of IR2 just  
53  
54 basad the base of the pterostigma (Gentilini and Peters 1993; Riou and Nel 1995). The Middle  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Miocene *Aeshna stavropolensis* Nel et al., 2005 and *Aeshna shanwangensis* Li et al., 2011 have  
4 a larger anal loop and a broader area between Rspl and IR2 than the new fossil (Nel et al. 2005;  
5  
6 Li et al. 2011). *Aeshna forficatum* Li et al., 2011 also has a broader area between Rspl and IR2.  
7  
8 On the contrary *Aeshna ignivora* Zhang, 1989 has a narrower area between Mspl and MAa and  
9  
10 discoidal triangles with only five cells vs. seven in the new fossil (Zhang 1989). The late  
11  
12 Miocene *Aeshna vösendorfensis* Papp and Mandl, 1951 is too incomplete to be compared to the  
13  
14 new fossil. It seems to differ from it in the narrow space between MP and CuA near posterior  
15  
16 wing margin (Papp and Mandl 1951: fig 1). The ‘cf. *Aeshna* sp.’ described by Prokop et al.  
17  
18 (2016), based on an incomplete forewing, differs from the new fossil in the presence of only  
19  
20 three rows of cells in areas between Mspl and MAa and between Rspl and IR2. The Latest  
21  
22 Eocene *Aeshna solida* Scudder, 1890 has smaller wings, 44 mm long vs. more than 45 mm, and  
23  
24 has a forewing discoidal triangle subdivided into five cells instead of seven in the new fossil  
25  
26 (Scudder 1890). *Aeshna ollivieri* Nel, 1986 from the Oligocene of Céreste (Luberon) and the  
27  
28 ‘*Aeshna* sp. *incertae sedis*’ described by Martínez-Delclòs and Nel (1991), have a rudimentary  
29  
30 fork of IR2 (Nel 1986).  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

## 40 **Conclusion**

41  
42 The oldest known representative of the genus *Aeshna* is *A. solida* from the Uppermost Eocene  
43  
44 (Priabonian, Chadronian, 37.2 - 33.9 Ma, MP19) of Florissant (Colorado, USA) (Nel et al.  
45  
46 1994). Thus *Aeshna caseneuensis* sp. nov. from the mammal level MP21 (earliest Oligocene,  
47  
48 Rupelian) is the second oldest representative of this genus. Their presence in localities situated  
49  
50 in Central North America and Western Europe strongly suggests that the genus is much older,  
51  
52 even if some odonatofaunal exchanges occurred during the Eocene between these two areas  
53  
54 (Garrouste and Nel 2019). No representative of the Aeshnini has yet been described from the  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Lower Eocene aeshnid fauna of North America (Archibald and Cannings 2019). The present  
4  
5 discovery will be very useful for future calibrations of the aeshnid phylogeny.  
6

7  
8 The entomofauna of the Calcaire de La Fayette Formation is dominated by the bibionid genus  
9  
10 *Plecia*, while the genus *Bibio* was not recovered. This suggests a warmer paleoclimate than for  
11  
12 Céreste, in which *Bibio* is well represented even if less diverse and abundant than *Plecia*  
13  
14 (Collomb et al. 2008; Skartveit and Nel 2017). Possibly, the diversification of the genus *Aeshna*  
15  
16 during the Oligocene and Miocene could be related to the cooling that occurred at these times.  
17  
18  
19  
20

## 21 Acknowledgements

22  
23  
24 **Xxxx**

25  
26 We thank the municipality of Caseneuve for authorizing the fieldwork. The field study was  
27  
28 supported by the Parc naturel régional du Luberon and the French Research Agency ANR grant  
29  
30 ANR-19-ERC7-0007. Finally, we thank Jules Fleury (CEREGE) who provided help with  
31  
32 photographs under normal light.  
33  
34  
35  
36

## 37 References

38  
39  
40 Apostolescu V, Guernet C. 1992. Les ostracodes oligocènes de la région Forcalquier-Manosque  
41  
42 (Bassin continental d'Apt, Haute-Provence). *Revue de Micropaléontologie*. 352:91–115.

43  
44 Archibald S, Cannings RA. 2019. Fossil dragonflies (Odonata: Anisoptera) from the early  
45  
46 Eocene Okanagan Highlands, western North America. *The Canadian Entomologist*. 151:783–  
47  
48 816. <https://doi.org/10.4039/tce.2019.61>

49  
50  
51 Archibald S, Cannings RA. 2022. The first Odonata from the early Eocene Allenby Formation  
52  
53 of the Okanagan Highlands, British Columbia, Canada (Anisoptera, Aeshnidae and cf.  
54  
55 Cephalozygoptera, Dysagrionidae). *The Canadian Entomologist*. 154 (e29):1–8.  
56  
57 <https://doi.org/10.4039/tce.2022.16>  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Bechly G. 1996. Morphologische Untersuchungen am Flügelgeäder der rezenten Libellen und  
4 deren Stammgruppenvertreter (Insecta; Pterygota; Odonata), unter besonderer  
5 Berücksichtigung der Phylogenetischen Systematik und des Grundplanes der \*Odonata.  
6  
7 Petalura Special Volume. 2:1–402.  
8  
9

10  
11  
12 Bechly G, Nel A, Martínez-Delclòs X, Jarzembowski EA, Coram R, Martill D, Fleck G,  
13 Esuillié F, Wisshak MM, Maisch M. 2001. A revision and phylogenetic study of Mesozoic  
14 Aeshnoptera, with description of several new families, genera and species (Insecta: Odonata:  
15 Anisoptera). *Neue Paläontologische Abhandlungen*. 4:1–219.  
16  
17

18  
19  
20 Cavelier C, Alabouvette B, Amberger G, Cautru J-P, Charolais J, Chateaneuf J-J, Crochet J-  
21 Y, Campredon R, Debeglia N, Durand J-P, Feist-Castel M, Freytet P, Gannat E, Gaudant J,  
22 Giannerini G, Godinot M, Hartenberger J-L, Huguenev M, Kerckhove C, Lefavrais Raymond  
23 A, Legendre S, Lespinasse P, Magne J, Nury D, Pairis J-L, Plaziat J-C, Remy J.-A, Schlund J-  
24 M, Sige B, Sittler C, Sudre J, Trauth N, Truc G, Valleron .-M, Vianey-Liaud M, Villate J.  
25 (1984) Paléogène. In: Debrand-Passard S, Courbouleix S, Liehardt M-J. (Eds), *Synthèse*  
26 *géologique du Sud-Est de la France*. BRGM, Orléans, pp. 389–468.  
27  
28

29  
30  
31 Collomb F-M, Nel A, Waller A. 2008. March flies and European Cenozoic palaeoclimates  
32 (Diptera: Bibionidae). *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France (N.S.)*. 44:161–179.  
33  
34 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379271.2008.10697553>  
35  
36

37  
38  
39 Coster P, Legal S. 2021. An Early Oligocene fossil Lagerstätten from the lacustrine deposits of  
40 the Luberon UNESCO Global Geopark. *Geoconservation Research*. 4:1–9.  
41  
42 <https://doi.org/10.30486/gcr.2021.1915524.1068>  
43  
44

45  
46  
47 Ellenrieder N von, 2002. A phylogenetic analysis of the extant Aeshnidae (Odonata:  
48 Anisoptera). *Systematic Entomology*. 27:437–467. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3113.2002.00190.x)  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Feist M. 1977. Étude floristique et biostratigraphique des Charophytes dans les séries du  
4 Paléogène de Provence. *Géologie Méditerranéenne*. 4:109–138.  
5  
6  
7 Garrouste R, Nel A. 2019. Alaskan Palaeogene insects: a challenge for a better knowledge of  
8 the Beringian ‘route’ (Odonata: Aeshnidae, Dysagrionidae). *Journal of Systematic*  
9 *Palaeontology*. 17:1939–1946. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14772019.2019.1572235>  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14 Gentilini G, Peters G. 1993. The Upper Miocene aeshnids of Monte Castellaro, Central Italy,  
15 and their relationships to extant species (Odonata: Aeshnidae). *Odonatologica*. 22:147–178.  
16  
17  
18  
19 Georgiou R, Gueriau P, Sahle CJ, Bernard S, Mirone A, Garrouste R, Bergmann U, Rueff J-P,  
20 Bertrand L. 2019. Carbon speciation in organic fossils using 2D to 3D x-ray Raman  
21 multispectral imaging. *Science Advances*. 5 (eaaw5019):1–10.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaw5019>  
27  
28  
29 Jacquelin L, Desutter-Grandcolas L, Chintauan-Marquier I, Boistel R, Zheng D, Prokop J, Nel  
30 A. 2018. New insights on basivenal sclerites using 3D tools and homology of wing veins in  
31 Odonoptera (Insecta). *Scientific Reports*. 8 (238):1–7. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18615-0)  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Nel A. 1986. Sur la présence du genre *Aeschna* Fabricius, 1775 dans les calcaires stampiens de  
4 Céreste (Odonata, Aeshnidae). *L'Entomologiste*. 42:195–198.

7 Nel A, Arillo A, Martínez-Delclòs X. 1996. New fossil Odonata (Insecta) from the Upper  
8 Miocene of France and Spain. (Anisoptera and Zygoptera). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und*  
9 *Paläontologie, Abhandlungen*. 199:167–219.

14 Nel A, Arillo A, Ortuño V. 1997. New Western Palaearctic Cenozoic Odonata. (Insecta:  
15 Odonata: Zygoptera and Anisoptera). *Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France*.  
16 102:265–270.

21 Nel A, Martínez-Delclòs X, Escuillie F, Brisac P. 1994. Les Aeshnidae fossiles: Etat actuel des  
22 connaissances (Odonata, Anisoptera). *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie,*  
23 *Abhandlungen*. 194:143–186.

28 Nel A, Petrulevičius JF. 2010. Afrotropical and Nearctic genera of Odonata in the French  
29 Oligocene: biogeographic and paleoclimatic implications (Insecta: Calopterygidae and  
30 Aeshnidae). *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France*, (N.S.). 46:228–236.  
31 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379271.2010.10697662>

37 Nel A, Petrulevičius JF, Jarzembowski EA. 2005. New fossil Odonata from the European  
38 Cenozoic (Insecta: Odonata: Thaumtoneuridae, Aeshnidae, ?Idionychidae, Libellulidae).  
39 *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie, Abhandlungen*. 235:343–380.

44 Prokop J, Pecharová M, Nel A. 2016. New Cenozoic dragonflies from the Most Basin and  
45 Středohoří Complex volcanic area (Czech Republic, Germany). *Journal of Natural History*.  
46 50:2311–2326. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2016.1193648>

51 Riek EF, Kukalová-Peck J. 1984. A new interpretation of dragonfly wing venation based upon  
52 Early Carboniferous fossils from Argentina (Insecta: Odonatoidea) and basic characters states  
53 in pterygote wings. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*. 62:1150–1166. <https://doi.org/10.1139/z84-166>  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Riou B, Nel A. 1995. Nouveaux Odonates fossiles du Miocène supérieur de l'Ardèche.  
4 (Odonata: Sieblosiidae, Lestidae, Libellulidae, Corduliidae, Aeshnidae). Travaux de l'Ecole  
5 Pratique des Hautes Etudes, Biologie et Evolution des Insectes. 7/8:125–144.  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Scudder SH. 1890. The fossil insects of North America (with notes on some European species).  
11  
12 2. The Tertiary insects. Report of the United States Geological Survey of the Territories. 13:1–  
13  
14 734.  
15

16  
17 Skartveit J, Nel A. 2017. Revision of fossil Bibionidae (Insecta: Diptera) from French  
18  
19 Oligocene deposits. Zootaxa. 4225:1–83. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4225.1.1>  
20

21  
22 Zhang J-F. 1989. [Fossil insects from Shanwang, Shandong, China.] Shandong Science and  
23  
24 Technology Publishing House, Jinan:459 pp. [in Chinese with abstract in English].  
25

26  
27  
28 **Figure 1.** *Aeshna caseneuvensis* **sp. nov.**, holotype PNRL 2714. Photograph of part under  
29  
30 normal light.  
31

32  
33 **Figure 2.** *Aeshna caseneuvensis* **sp. nov.**, holotype PNRL 2714. Photograph of counterpart  
34  
35 under UV light. Scale bar = 5 mm.  
36

37  
38 **Figure 3.** *Aeshna caseneuvensis* **sp. nov.**, holotype PNRL 2714. Photograph of hind wing basal  
39  
40 half under UV light, al anal loop, d discoidal triangle. Scale bar = 5 mm.  
41

42  
43 **Figure 4.** *Aeshna caseneuvensis* **sp. nov.**, holotype PNRL 2714. Photograph of hind wing under  
44  
45 UV light, al anal loop, d discoidal triangle. Scale bar = 5 mm.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



figure 1

179x203mm (300 x 300 DPI)



figure 2

180x127mm (300 x 300 DPI)



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



figure 3

180x110mm (300 x 300 DPI)




figure 4

180x79mm (300 x 300 DPI)