

Hippocampal Egr1 -Dependent Neuronal Ensembles Negatively Regulate Motor Learning

Verónica Brito, Enrica Montalban, Anna Sancho-Balsells, Anika Pupak, Francesca Flotta, Mercè Masana, Silvia Ginés, Jordi Alberch, Claire Martin, Jean-Antoine Girault, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Verónica Brito, Enrica Montalban, Anna Sancho-Balsells, Anika Pupak, Francesca Flotta, et al.. Hippocampal Egr
1 -Dependent Neuronal Ensembles Negatively Regulate Motor Learning. Journal of Neuroscience, 2022, 42 (27), pp.5346-5360.
 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2258-21.2022 . hal-03968267

HAL Id: hal-03968267 https://hal.science/hal-03968267v1

Submitted on 7 Mar 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

73 Hippocampal Egr1-dependent neuronal ensembles negatively

74 regulate motor learning

75

- 76 Abbreviated title: Hippocampal *Egr1* in motor learning
- 77
- Verónica Brito^{1,2,3*}, Enrica Montalban⁴, Anna Sancho-Balsells^{1,2,3}, Anika 7
 Pupak^{1,2,3}, Francesca Flotta^{1,2,3}, Mercè Masana^{1,2,3}, Silvia Ginés^{1,2,3}, Jordi
 Alberch^{1,2,3,5}, Claire Martin⁴, Jean-Antoine Girault^{6,7,8} and Albert Giralt^{1,2,3,5,*}

81

- ¹Departament de Biomedicina, Facultat de Medicina, Institut de Neurociències,
 Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona. CP: 08036 Spain.
- ²Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Barcelona.
 CP: 08036. 13 Spain.
- ⁸⁶ ³Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red sobre Enfermedades
 ⁸⁷ Neurodegenerativas 15 (CIBERNED), Spain.
- ⁴Université de Paris, BFA, UMR 8251, CNRS, F-75014 Paris, France.

⁵Production and Validation Center of Advanced Therapies (Creatio), Faculty of
Medicine and 18 Health Science, University of Barcelona, 08036 Barcelona, Spain
19

- ⁹² ⁶Inserm UMR-S 1270, 75005 Paris, France.
- ⁹³ ⁷Sorbonne Université, Science and Engineering Faculty, 75005 Paris, France. 21
- ⁹⁴ ⁸Institut du Fer a Moulin, 75005 Paris, France.

95

96 Correspondence to: Albert Giralt or Veronica Brito, Departament de
97 Biomedicina, Facultat 24 de Medicina, Institut de Neurociències, Universitat de
98 Barcelona, Barcelona 08036, Spain. E25 mail: <u>albertgiralt@ub.edu</u>,
99 <u>veronica.brito@ub.edu</u>.

100 Acknowledgements

101 AG is a Ramón y Cajal fellow (RYC-2016-19466). AG (RTI2018-094678-A-I00), 102 SG

(RTI2018-094374-B-I00), JA (PID2020-119386RB-100) and VB (PID2020-103 116474RB36 I00) were supported by grants from Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación 104 y Universidades. 37 We thank Ana López (María de Maeztu Unit of Excellence, 105 Institute of Neurosciences, 38 University of Barcelona, MDM-2017-0729, Ministry 106 of Science, Innovation and 39 Universities) for technical support. We thank María 107 Calvo from the Advanced 40 Microscopy Service (Centres Científics i Tecnològics 108 Universitat de Barcelona) for her 41 help in the acquisition, analysis, and 109 interpretation of the confocal images. We also 42 thank to Daniel del Toro and 110 Eulàlia Martí, from the Institut de Neurociències, for their 43 insightful comments 111 112 and advice.

113

114 Competing interests

115 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

116 Abstract

Motor skills learning is classically associated with brain regions including cerebral 117 and cerebellar cortices and basal ganglia nuclei. Less is known about the role of 118 the hippocampus in the acquisition and storage of motor skills. Here we show that 119 mice receiving a long-term training in the accelerating rotarod display marked 120 hippocampal transcriptional changes and reduced pyramidal neurons activity in the 121 CA1 region when compared with naïve mice. Then, we use mice in which neural 122 ensembles are permanently labeled in an Egr1 activity-dependent fashion. Using 123 these mice, we identify a subpopulation of *Eqr1*-expressing pyramidal neurons in 124 CA1 activated in short- and long-term trained mice in the rotarod task. When Egr1 125 is downregulated in the CA1 or these neuronal ensembles are depleted, motor 126 127 learning is improved whereas their chemogenetic stimulation impairs motor learning performance. Thus, Egr1 organizes specific CA1 neuronal ensembles 128 during the accelerating rotarod task that limit motor learning. These evidences 129 130 highlight the role of the hippocampus in the control of this type of learning and we provide a possible underlying mechanism. 131

132

133 Significance statement

134 It is a major topic in neurosciences the deciphering of the specific circuits 135 underlying memory systems during the encoding of new information. However, the 136 potential role of the hippocampus in the control of motor learning and the 137 underlying mechanisms has been poorly addressed. In the present work we show 138 how the hippocampus responds to motor learning and how the *Egr1* molecule is 139 one of the major responsible for such phenomenon controlling the rate of motor 140 coordination performances.

141 Introduction

It is now well established that the hippocampus is involved in the formation of 142 detailed cognitive 'maps' of the context in which learning occurs (Spiers, 2020). 143 However, in addition to its classical role in spatial maps formation, the 144 hippocampus is also involved in tasks that primarily rely on other brain regions. For 145 example, the hippocampus is activated during goal-directed behaviors and 146 strategies (Fidalgo et al., 2012; Palombo et al., 2019) and modulates contextual 147 associations during drug-of-abuse administration (Sjulson et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 148 2019) or appetitive conditioning (Ito et al., 2008). Despite these previous reports, 149 the role of the hippocampus in motor learning and the underlying mechanisms 150 remain poorly explored. Understanding the implications of the hippocampus in the 151 152 regulation of motor learning could help to decipher the alterations in neural circuits of complex motor diseases such as Huntington's and/or Parkinson's disease in 153 which a severe hippocampal atrophy and/or dysfunction have already been 154 described (Spargo et al., 1993; Camicioli et al., 2003; Calabresi et al., 2013; Begeti 155 et al., 2016; Harris et al., 2019). 156

Potential contributions of the hippocampus to motor learning and coordination 157 have been proposed on the basis of human studies and imaging approaches 158 (Albouy et al., 2013). Cooperative or competitive interactions between the human 159 hippocampus and other brain regions such as the striatum or motor cortex seem 160 to coordinate and synchronize during the acquisition of motor abilities (Albouy et 161 al., 2008; Döhring et al., 2017; Boutin et al., 2018), but the underlying molecular 162 mechanisms and the neural ensembles involved remain unknown. In this line, 163 although initial animal studies with acute hippocampal lesions showed 164 improvements in cued-learning (Lee et al., 2008) or object recognition memory 165 (Oliveira et al., 2010), they did not support a role of the hippocampus in the 166 regulation of motor skills (Curlik et al., 2013; Fouquet et al., 2013). In contrast, 167 neuroimaging approaches have shown that the hippocampus displays higher rates 168 of micro-structural changes in rotarodtrained mice compared to untrained controls 169 and, accordingly, this brain region is larger in the best performers (Scholz et al., 170 2015). Furthermore, the accelerating rotarod task induces Fos expression (a 171 marker of neural activation) labeling (Nagai et al., 2017), mTOR and cAMP-172 dependent protein kinase expression (Bergeron et al., 2014; Chagniel et al., 2014), 173 and neurogenesis (DiFeo et al., 2015) in the hippocampus. These observations 174 indicate that the hippocampus is recruited and likely to play a role in the modulation 175 of motor skills learning. 176

In the present work we show major hippocampal transcriptional changes in longterm trained mice in the accelerating rotarod task when compared with untrained
mice. Transcriptional profiling reveals the importance of changes in hippocampal
synaptic genes during motor learning. Accordingly, the

hippocampal CA1 progressively stabilizes and decreases its activity along trials.
 We then used transgenic mice to tag neuronal ensembles in CA1 in a
 *Egr1*dependent fashion and show that depletion of such neural populations

improves motor learning whereas their chemogenetic activation has a selective
 negative impact. Thus, our results reveal the existence of hippocampal neuronal
 ensembles that tightly modulate the rates of motor skills learning.

187

188 Methods

189 Animals

For this study we used adult (12-week old) C57/BL6 males (MGI Cat# 5657800, 190 RRID:MGI:5657800) in experiments related with fiber photometry, RNAseq 191 analyses and Egr1 downregulation. For the rest of the experiments we used the 192 Egr1-CreER^{T2} mice (Longueville et al., 2021). These mice carry a bacterial artificial 193 chromosome (BAC) including the Eqr1 gene in which the coding sequence was 194 replaced by that of CreER^{T2} fusion protein. Egr1-CreER^{T2} mice were used as 195 heterozygous in the chemogenetic experiments or they were crossed with R26RCE 196 mice ((Gt(ROSA)26Sor^{tm1.1(CAG-EGFP)Fsh}/Mmjax, Strain 197

004077, The Jackson Laboratory), which harbor the R26R CAG-boosted EGFP 198 199 (RCE) reporter allele with a loxP-flanked STOP cassette upstream of the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) gene)) to create the double heterozygous mutant 200 Egr1-CreER^{T2} x R26^{RCE} mice for the experiments related with characterization of 201 neural populations or depletion of neural ensembles. Genotypes were determined 202 from an ear biopsy as described elsewhere (Martín-Ibáñez et al., 2012). For 203 genotyping of the Cre and EGFP transgenes we used standard PCR assays 204 205 following Jackson Laboratory© manufacturer's instructions. All mice were housed together in numerical birth order in groups of mixed genotypes (3-5 mice per 206 cage). The animals were housed with access to food and water ad libitum in a 207 colony room kept at 19-22 °C and 40-60% humidity, under an inverted 12:12 h 208 209 light/dark cycle (from 08:00 to 20:00). All animal procedures were approved by local committees [Universitat de 210

Barcelona, CEEA (133/10); Generalitat de Catalunya (DAAM 5712)] and Animal Care Committee of the University of Paris (APAFIS # 15638)], in accordance with the European Communities Council Directive (86/609/EU).

214 Stereotaxic surgery and viral transduction in vivo

Animals were stereotaxically injected with one of the following adenoassociated 215 viruses (AAV): AAV-flex-taCasp3-TEVp (UNC vector core); AAV-U6shRNA-Egr1-216 mCherry (#shAAV-258146, Vector Biolabs), AAV-U6-ScramblemCherry (#1781, 217 Vector Biolabs), AAV-CAG-FLEX-tdTomato (UNC vector core) and pAAV-hSyn-218 DIO-hM3D(Gq)-mCherry (RRID:Addgene 44361). Briefly. mice 219 were anaesthetized with ketamine-xylazine (100 mg/kg an 10mg/kg 220

respectively), and bilaterally injected with AAVs (~ 2.6×10^9 GS per injection) in the CA1 of the dorsal hippocampus, from the bregma (millimeters); anteroposterior, –

223 2.0; lateral, ± 1.5 ; and dorso-ventral, -1.3. AAV injection was carried out in 2 min.

The needle was left in place for 7 min for complete virus diffusion before being

slowly pulled out of the tissue. After 2 h of careful monitoring, mice were returned 225 to their home cage for 3 weeks. All mice subjected to surgery that survived and 226 were healthy without clinical problems (such as head inclination or >15% of body 227 weight loss) were also behaviorally characterized. Once the behavioral 228 characterization was done, half of the brain was used to verify the site of 229 injection by immunofluorescence (see Tissue fixation, immunofluorescence's 230 section). Mice that showed no correct viral transduction and location were excluded 231 from the entire study. 232

233

234 Pharmacological treatments

We used single intra-peritoneal injections of 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-HT, Sigma, #H7904) 50 mg/kg or clozapine-N-oxide (CNO, Sigma, #C0832) 3 mg/kg. The 4-HT's vehicle was peanut oil (Sigma, #2144) (with a previous dissolution by heating in 100% EtOH) and for CNO was distilled water. 4-HT was always administered 1 h prior to the behavioral testing and CNO was always administered 30 min prior to the behavioral testing or 2 h prior to the mice sacrifice and brain tissue collection.

241 Fiber photometry

Male C57BL/6 mice were anaesthetized with isoflurane and received 10 mg.kg1 242 intraperitoneal injection (i.p.) of Buprécare® (buprenorphine 0.3 mg) diluted 1/100 243 in NaCl 9 g.L-1 and 10 mg.kg-1 of Ketofen® (ketoprofen 100 mg) diluted 1/100 in 244 NaCl 9 g.L-1, and placed on a stereotactic frame (Model 940, David Kopf 245 246 Instruments, California). 1 µL of virus AAV9.CamKII.GCaMP6f.WPRE.SV40, titer \geq 1×10¹³ vg/mL, working dilution 1:10), was injected unilaterally into the CA1 (L = 247 -1.25; AP = -2; V = -1.1-1.2, in mm) at a rate of 0.1 µl.min-1. 248 pENN.AAV.CamKII.GCaMP6f.WPRE.SV40 was a gift from James M. Wilson 249 250 (Addgene viral prep # 100834-AAV9). A chronically implantable cannula (Doric Lenses, Québec, Canada) composed of a bare optical fiber (400 µm core, 0.48 251 N.A.) and a fiber ferrule was implanted at the location of the viral injection site. The 252 fiber was fixed onto the skull using dental cement (Super-Bond C&B, Sun Medical). 253 Real time fluorescence emitted from GCaMP6f-expressing neurons was recorded 254 using fiber photometry as described (Berland et al., 2020). Fluorescence was 255 collected using a single optical fiber for both delivery of excitation light streams and 256 collection of emitted fluorescence. The fiber photometry setup used 2 lightemitting 257 LEDs: 405 nm LED sinusoidally modulated at 330 Hz and a 465 nm LED 258 sinusoidally modulated at 533 Hz (Doric Lenses) merged in a FMC4 MiniCube 259 (Doric Lenses) that combines the 2 wavelengths excitation light streams and 260 separate them from the emission light. The MiniCube was connected to a 261 Fiberoptic rotary joint (Doric Lenses) connected to the cannula. A RZ5P lock-in 262 digital processor controlled by the Synapse software (TuckerDavis Technologies, 263 TDT, USA), commanded the voltage signal sent to the emitting LEDs via the LED 264 driver (Doric Lenses). The light power before entering the implanted cannula was 265 measured with a power meter (PM100USB, Thorlabs) before the beginning of each 266

recording session. The irradiance was ~9 mW/cm2. The fluorescence emitted by 267 GCaMP6f in response to light excitation was collected by a femtowatt 268 photoreceiver module (Doric Lenses) through the same fiber patch cord. The signal 269 was received by the RZ5P processor (TDT). Real time fluorescence due to 405-270 nm and 465-nm excitations was demodulated online by the Synapse software 271 (TDT). A camera was synchronized with the recording using the Synapse software. 272 Signals were exported to MATLAB R2016b (Mathworks) and analyzed offline. After 273 careful visual examination of all trials, they were clean of artifacts in these time 274 intervals. The timing of events was extracted from the video. To calculate Δ F/F, a 275 linear least-squares fit was applied to the 405 nm signal to align it to the 465 nm 276 signal, producing a fitted 405 nm signal. This was then used to normalize the 465 277 nm signal as follows: △F/F = (465 nm signal - fitted 405 nm signal)/fitted 405 nm 278 signal (Lerner et al., 2015). For each trial, signal analysis was performed from -10 279 to +20 sec around the moment the mouse is positioned on the rotarod. The 280 percentage of change of the AUC was calculated between [-10 0] and [0 10]sec 281 relative to the moment when animals were placed in the from rotarod. 282

283 Accelerating rotarod

As previously described (Giralt et al., 2013), animals were placed on a motorized 284 rod (30-mm diameter, Panlab, Spain). The rotation speed was gradually increased 285 from 4 to 40 r.p.m. over the course of 5 min. The fall latency time was recorded 286 287 when the animal was unable to keep up with the increasing speed and fell. Rotarod training/testing was performed 4 times per day with 30 min as inter-trial time 288 interval. The results show the average of fall latencies per trial during the five days 289 290 of training. In experiments depicted in figures 1, 3 and 5 we used three groups, a 291 non-trained mice but exposed to the rotarod for 1 day, the short-term trained mice (STT) exposed to only one day of training in the rotarod; and the long-term trained 292 mice (LTT) exposed to five days of training in the rotarod. 293

294 Open field and novel object location test

For the novel object location test (NOL), an open-top arena (45 × 45 × 45 cm) with 295 visual cues surrounding the apparatus was used. Mice were first habituated to the 296 arena (1 day, 30 min). We considered this first exposition to the open arena as an 297 open field paradigm. We monitored total traveled distance, time spent in the center 298 299 of the arena and parallel index as measures of locomotor activity, anxiogenic behavior and spatial navigation strategies respectively. On day 2, two identical 300 objects (A1 and A2) were placed in the arena and explored for 10 min. Twenty-four 301 hour later (Day 3), one object was moved from its original location to the diagonally 302 303 opposite corner and mice were allowed to explore the arena for 5 min. The object preference was measured as the time exploring each object × 100/time exploring 304 both objects. Behavioral data was processed and analyzed using the Smart Junior 305 software (Panlab, Spain). 306

308 RNA sequencing analysis

309 <u>RNA Extraction and Quality Control.</u> Hippocampal were homogenized, and RNA 310 extracted using RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini kit (Quiagen) according to 311 manufacturer's recommendations. RNA purity and quantity were determined with 312 a UV/V spectrophotometer (Nanodrop 1000), while RNA integrity was assessed 313 with a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies Inc., CA), according to 314 manufacturers' protocols. The average RIN value for our samples was 9.5, and the 315 RIN cut-off for sample inclusion was 8.0.

RNA Sequencing and Differential Gene Expression Analysis. Libraries were 316 prepared using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA Sample Prep Kit v2 (ref. RS-317 1222101/2) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 500 ng of total RNA 318 were used for poly(A)-mRNA selection using streptavidin-coated magnetic beads 319 320 and were subsequently fragmented to approximately 300 bp. cDNA was synthesized using reverse transcriptase (SuperScript II, ref. 18064-014, Invitrogen) 321 and random primers. The second strand of the cDNA incorporated dUTP in place 322 of dTTP. Double-stranded DNA was further used for library preparation. dsDNA 323 was subjected to A-tailing and ligation of the barcoded Truseq adapters. Library 324 amplification was performed by PCR using the primer cocktail supplied in the kit. 325 All purification steps were performed using AMPure XP beads. Final libraries were 326 analyzed using Fragment Analyzer to estimate the quantity and check size 327 328 distribution and were then quantified by qPCR using the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (ref. KK4835, KapaBiosystems) prior to amplification with 329 Illumina's cBot. Sequencing was done using the HiSeq2500 equipment (illumina), 330 331 Single Read, 50bp, using the v4 chemistry. The quality of the sequencing data was checked using the FastQC software v0.11.5. Andrews S. (2010). FastQC: a quality 332 control tool for high throughput sequence data. Available online at: 333 334 http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc. An estimation of ribosomal RNA in the raw data was obtained using riboPicker version 0.4.3 335 (Schmieder et al., 2012). Reads were aligned to the GENCODE version of the Mus 336 musculus genome, release M20 (GRMm38/mm10 assembly) using the STAR 337 mapper (version 2.5.3a) (Dobin et al., 2013). The raw read counts per gene was 338 also obtained using STAR (--quantMode TranscriptomeSAM GeneCounts option) 339 and the GENCODE release M20 annotation 340 (ftp://ftp.ebi.ac.uk/pub/databases/gencode/Gencode mouse/release M20/genc 341 ode.vM20.annotation.gtf.gz). The R/Bioconductor package DESeg2 version 1.22.2 342 (R version 3.5.0) was used to assess the differentially expressed genes between 343 experimental groups, using the Wald statistical test and the False Discovery Rate 344 345 for the p-value correction. Prior to the differential expression analysis, genes with the sum of raw counts across all samples below 10 were discarded, the library 346 sizes were normalized using the default DeSeg2 method, and the read counts were 347 log2 transformed. To exclude false positive genes, genes with low expression 348 levels (baseMean <10) were excluded from the list of DEGs.Sequencing data has 349

been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible throughGEO Series accession number (Accession number pending).

Gene Functional Enrichment Analysis Metascape pathway enrichment analysis 352 was performed to explore the functional roles of DEGs in the paired comparison of 353 Long-term trained, short-term trained and non-trained mice. To discover further 354 possible connections between DEGs and transcription factors we used EnrichR. 355 Functional annotations for modules of interest were generated using the web 356 server SynGO (https://www.syngoportal.org/), which provides an expert-curated 357 resource for synapse function and gene enrichment analysis (Koopmans et al., 358 2019). 359

360

361 **Quantitative RT-PCR**

The cDNA synthesis was performed at 37 °C for 15 min and a final step at 85 °C 362 for 5 s in a final volume of 20 µl according to the manufacturer's instructions. The 363 cDNA was then analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR using the following PrimeTime 364 qPCR Assays (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.): Arc (Mm.PT.58.5865502.g), 365 Egr-1 (Mm.PT.58.32475502), (Mm.PT.58.29064929), Mdga2 366 Mdga1 (Mm.PT.58.10917976), Tnik1 (Mm.PT.58.32835672), Gsql1 367 (Mm.PT.58.28965348) and Actinß (Mm.PT.39a.22214843.g). Quantitative PCR 368 was performed in 12µl of final volume on 96-well plates using the Premix Ex Tag 369 (Probe qPCR) (TAKARA BIOTECHNOLOGY (Dalian) Co., LTD). Reactions 370 included Segment 1:1 cycle of 30 s at 95 °C and Segment 2: 40 cycles of 5 s at 95 371 °C and 20 s at 60 °C. All quantitative PCR assays were performed in duplicate. To 372 provide negative controls and exclude contamination by genomic DNA, the 373 PrimeScript RTEnzyme was omitted in the cDNA synthesis step. To analyze the 374 relative changes in gene expression the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) method was used. 375

376

377 Tissue fixation, immunofluorescence, and confocal imaging

378 Animals were deeply anaesthetized and subsequently intracardially perfused with 4% (weight/vol) paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer. The brains were 379 dissected out and kept 48 h in 4% paraformaldehyde. Sagittal sections (40 µm) 380 were obtained using a vibratome (Leica VT1000). For immunofluorescence, after 381 blocking/permeabilization (1 h in PBS containing 3 mL/L Triton X-100 and 10 g/L 382 bovine serum albumin (BSA)), sections were incubated overnight with specific 383 antibodies against MAP2 (1:500; SigmaAldrich Cat# M1406, RRID:AB_477171), 384 NeuN (1:500, Millipore Cat# MAB377, RRID:AB 2298772), Parvalbumin 385 (1:1000, Swant Cat# PV27, RID:AB 2631173), GFP FITC-conjugated (1:500, 386 387 Abcam Cat# ab6662, RRID:AB 305635), Egr1 (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology Cat# 4154, RRID:AB 2097035) and cFos (1:150, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Cat# 388 sc-52, RRID:AB_2106783). After incubation (2 h) with appropriate fluorescent 389 secondary antibodies (Cy3- or Cy2-coupled fluorescent secondary antibodies, 390

1:200; Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs Cat# 715-165-150, RRID:AB_2340813
and Cat# 715545-150, RRID:AB_2340846 respectively), nuclei were stained (10
min) with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; catalog #D9542, Sigma-Aldrich).
The sections were mounted onto gelatinized slides and cover-slipped with Mowiol.

395

396 Image analysis and stereological counting

Images (at 1024 × 1024 pixel resolution) in a mosaic format were acquired with a 397 Leica Confocal SP5 with a × 40 oil-immersion or x20 normal objectives and 398 standard (1 Airy disc) pinhole (1 AU) and frame averaging (3 frames per z step) 399 400 were held constant throughout the study. For pseudo-stereological counting, we analyzed 3 sagittal sections, from 1.4 to 2.0 mm relative to bregma, spaced 300 µm 401 apart. The areas of analysis were, dorsal CA1 and dorsal CA3. Unbiased blind 402 counting of GFP- or MAP2- or Parvalbumin- or NeuN-positive neural cells relative 403 404 to genotype and condition was performed and normalized to the area of counting.

405

406 **Statistics**

Analyses were done using Prism version 8.0.2 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Data are expressed as means \pm SEM. Normal distribution was tested with the d'Agostino and Pearson omnibus test. If no difference from normality was detected, statistical analysis was performed using two-tailed Student's *t* test or ANOVA and Tukey's or Dunnett's post-hoc tests. If distribution was not normal, non-parametric two-tailed Mann-Whitney test was used. The p < 0.05 was considered as significant.

414

415 **Results**

416 Differential regulation of gene expression in hippocampus during motor 417 learning

Although previous studies implicated individual genes or genetic pathways in 418 learning and memory in the hippocampus, they did not investigate gene expression 419 patterns during motor skill learning. Therefore, to analyze potential changes in 420 hippocampal gene expression during the acquisition of a motor skill we used a 421 transcriptome-scale screening. We subjected two groups of mice to the 422 accelerating rotarod task (Fig. 1A-B). The first group (short-term trained or STT) 423 424 was trained just one day in the task to assess the initial phase of motor learning, 425 and a second group was trained for five days to acquire well-learned motor skill (long-term trained or LTT). Both groups were compared with mice that were not 426 trained but were placed in the apparatus as a control (non-trained or NT). Twenty-427 four hours after the last day of training or exposure to the rotarod, the dissected 428 429 dorsal hippocampus of the mice from the three groups was subjected to deep sequencing analysis (RNAseq). 430

We assessed the overall transcriptional changes in response to training comparing 431 STT vs NT and LTT vs NT (Table 1-1, Adj p-value <0.05; log2fold change > 0.3 or 432 < -0.3). In the comparison of the transcriptional profile between STT and NT we 433 found only two genes that were significantly downregulated in STT compared with 434 NT, Gsgl1 (an AMPA receptor (AMPAR) auxiliary subunit) and Dusp1, an 435 inactivator of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK). In contrast, the 436 comparison between LTT and NT revealed that a large number of genes were 437 down- and up-regulated (797 and 540, respectively, Fig.1C). To assess the 438 functional profile of DEGs in the LTT we used enrichment analysis by mapping to 439 Metascape database. We found that downregulated DEGs were significantly 440 441 enriched in processes related to response to unfolded protein, NOTCH and MAPK pathways (Fig. 1D), whereas upregulated DEGs were DNA recombination, RNA 442 modifications and synaptic organization, structure and activity, particularly in 443 presynaptic processes (Fig. 1D). 444

445

Ca²⁺ activity decreases in the CA1 pyramidal cells at the end of the motor learning task

Hippocampal cell populations are activated during simple locomotion (Bocchio et 448 al., 2020) and during a motor learning task (Albouy et al., 2013). To better 449 understand the hippocampal dynamics during the acquisition of a motor skill, we 450 transduced dorsal CA1 pyramidal cells with AAV-GCaMP6f and we placed a fiber-451 optic probe to monitor pyramidal neurons activity in CA1 during the accelerating 452 453 rotarod task (Fig. 2A-C). First, we observed that mice performed well and progressively learned the accelerating rotarod task (Fig. 2D). Interestingly, we 454 observed that during the initial trials of the accelerating rotarod task the Ca²⁺-455 dependent signal dynamics were irregular and heterogenous whereas at the last 456 trials of the task the signal progressively decreased and stabilized (Fig. 2E-F). 457 Altogether, these results suggest that the pyramidal cells of the CA1 reduce their 458 activity associated with a progressive consolidation of motor learning. 459

460

Egr1-dependent neuronal subpopulations are permanently activated in CA1 during motor learning

We showed that acquisition and consolidation of motor learning is accompanied 463 by changes in gene expression as well as in Ca²⁺ dynamics. To identify which 464 neural cells are activated during different phases of motor learning, we used a 465 novel mouse line that allows a permanent tagging of neurons activated by 466 experience: the Egr1-CreERT2 transgenic mice (Longueville et al., 2021). We 467 chose Eqr1 as promoter because it is a crucial IEG significantly induced in the 468 hippocampus as well as in the cortico-striatal network during initial learning of a 469 motor skill (Hernandez et al., 2006) and it is tightly linked to physiological firing 470 activity of neurons (Vaccarino et al., 1992; Wang et al., 1994). Concretely, these 471 mice express the Cre recombinase fused to modified estrogen receptor (ERT2) 472

under the control of the *Egr1* promoter. *Egr1* drives the expression of the CreERT2
recombinase that is only active in the presence of tamoxifen metabolite, 4-hydroxytamoxifen (4-HT). *Egr1*-CreERT2 mice were crossed with R26^{RCE} mice, a reporter
line in which EGFP expression requires recombination by Cre (Fig. 3A).

In double transgenic Egr1-CreER^{T2} x R26^{RCE} mice, cells in which Egr1 is induced 477 by neuronal activity and in the presence of 4-HT become permanently labeled with 478 EGFP. We then subjected Egr1-CreER^{T2} x R26^{RCE} mice to the accelerating rotarod 479 task using the same three groups (NT, STT, and LTT, Fig 3B). Each group received 480 a single injection of 4-HT 1 h before the last session of training in the task (Fig. 481 3B). To ensure detectable recombination, three days (72 h) after the 4-HT injection, 482 their brains were processed. We then counted the density of GFP-positive neural 483 484 cells in the CA1 and CA3 of the dorsal hippocampus (Fig. 3C-D). The density of GFP-positive neural cells was increased in CA1 in both, STT and LTT groups 485 compared with NT group whereas they remained unchanged in CA3 (Fig. 3C-D). 486 487 These results indicated a more sustained Egr1 activation in a specific group of neuronal cells in CA1 compared with CA3. We then characterized the GFP-positive 488 neural cells in CA1. Co-localization studies revealed that most GFP-positive cells 489 in CA1 were NeuN- and MAP2-positive (and parvalbumin-negative (Fig. 3E-F) 490 indicating they were pyramidal neurons. Finally, we evaluated whether 491 endogenous Egr1 levels are induced in the dorsal CA1 after STT and LTT. This 492 result was in contrast with Egr1 mRNA levels in our RNAseq. Specifically, 24 h 493 after the last trial with the rotating rod, *Eqr1* expression was reduced only in LTT 494 495 mice (Gene: Egr1, log2 FC: -1,30, adj P value: 6,50E-22; Table 1-1). Altogether suggest a complex and time-dependent regulation of Egr1 upon motor learning in 496 the rotarod. 497

498

499 *Egr1* downstream targets in the hippocampus during motor performance

500 To evaluate the potential Egr1-dependent gene expression, we analyzed in our transcriptome data the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with Egr1-binding 501 motifs in their promoter region (Table 4-1). We identified 1 DEG and 94 DEGs (7 502 % of DEGs in LTT) in STT and LTT respectively which can be regulated by Egr1. 503 In particular, Gsg1I was a common DEG in STT and LTT compared to NT. Fold-504 change heatmap (Fig. 4A) shows the genes downregulated and upregulated in 505 LTT with no significant changes observed in STT (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, using the 506 SynGo platform, we identified in this gene data set, 11 genes mapping with 507 processes in synapse assembly, organization and function, and regulation of 508 509 postsynaptic membrane neurotransmitter receptor levels (Fig. 4A). To further validate these results on a larger number of samples (n = 8 for the NT group, n = 7510 for the STT group and n= 7 for the LTT group), we performed qPCR analysis on 511 genes Mdga1, Mdga2, Gsgl1, Arc, and Tnik (Fig. 512

513 4*B-F*). We confirmed that the expression of Mdga1, Arc and Gsgl1 is reduced in 514 LTT compared with NT group (Fig. 4*B-D*). Meanwhile, the expression levels of the other two selected genes (Mdga2 and Tnik) showed a trend in increase but these differences were not statistically significant (Fig. 4E-F) which might be explained by the difference in sensitivity of the methods being used. Taken together these data indicate that during the progressive learning of a motor skill, long-term transcriptional changes associated with Egr1 activity occur in the hippocampus associated with its acquisition and/or maintenance.

521

522 Egr1 knockdown in CA1 potentiates motor performance

To test whether Egr1 levels in the dorsal CA1 control the acquisition and/or 523 524 maintenance of the motor skills required for the accelerating rotarod task we first evaluated the endogenous levels of Egr1 during the accelerating rotarod task. We 525 observed that 2 hours after the training with the rotarod, Egr1 expression was 526 increased in the pyramidal cell layer of the CA1 in both, STT and LTT mice 527 528 compared with NT mice (Fig. 5A-C). This is in agreement with our ensembles' experiment (Figure 3) which suggests and early upregulation of Egr1 just after 529 rotarod training, but it is in contrast with the RNAseq 24h after the rotarod (Table 530 1-1) which shows an Egr1 mRNA levels reduction 24 h later only in the LTT group. 531 Thus, to prove the relevance of the acute Egr1 increase in the CA1 during motor 532 learning (Fig. 3 and Fig. 5A-C), we transduced the CA1 of wild type (WT) mice with 533 an adeno-associated virus (AAV) expressing a shRNA against the Egr1 transcript 534 (shRNA-Egr1 group) or a control shRNA 535

(scramble group) (Fig. 5D-E). After three weeks of viral transduction, we observed 536 a significant reduction of Egr1 immuno-reactivity in the pyramidal cells of the dorsal 537 CA1 in shRNA-Egr1 mice compared with the scramble group (Fig. 5F-G). To test 538 the effects of down-regulating Egr1 in CA1 we subjected the shRNA-Egr1 and 539 scramble groups of mice to the accelerating rotarod task (Fig. 5H). Scramble mice 540 progressively learned the task and reached a plateau of performance from the 541 second day of training on, whereas, in contrast, the shRNA-Egr1 mice displayed, 542 from day 3 of training, significant increased latencies to fall from the rotarod 543 compared with the scramble mice (Fig. 5H). These results suggest that Egr1 down-544 regulation in the pyramidal neurons of the CA1 improves the accelerating rotarod 545 performance. 546

547

548 **Depletion of CA1** *Egr1*-dependent neuronal subpopulations enhances motor 549 performance

We observed the activation of *Egr1*-dependent neuronal subpopulations in CA1 during the acquisition of motor skills in the accelerating rotarod task and we also showed that a global downregulation of *Egr1* in the dorsal CA1 enhances the performance in this task. We therefore tested the consequences of depleting these CA1 *Egr1*-dependent activated neuronal subpopulations on motor learning to evaluate their contribution. We used the double mutant *Egr1*CreER^{T2} x R26^{RCE}

mice (Fig. 6A). These mice were transduced bilaterally in the dorsal CA1 with 556 vehicle or AAV-flex-taCasp3-TEVp (Fig. 6B). Three weeks later, all mice were 557 subjected to the accelerating rotarod task (Fig. 6C) and received an i.p. injection 558 of 4-HT 1 h prior to the training session. This injection was administered at days 1 559 and 2 of the task. With this design, specific Egr1dependent CreER^{T2} induction in 560 activated CA1 pyramidal cells of the CA1 would induce Caspase-3 expression in 561 the presence of 4-HT resulting in cell death of the hippocampal neuronal cells 562 activated in a Egr1-dependent fashion. Thus, mice transduced with AAV-flex-563 taCasp3-TEVp (Casp3 group) in CA1 displayed higher latencies to fall on days 3, 564 4 and 5 compared with control mice (Vehicle group) (Fig. 6C). Brains from these 565 mice were examined to verify the depletion of the Egr1-dependent neuronal 566 ensembles (Fig. 6D-E). As expected, mice infused with vehicle in CA1 showed an 567 increase in GFP-positive cells in the pyramidal layer of CA1 after rotarod training 568 when compared with NT mice. In contrast, the density of GFP-positive cells in the 569 dorsal CA1 was dramatically reduced in rotarod-trained mice that were transduced 570 with Casp3 (Fig. 6D-E). This latter result confirmed a depletion of Egr1-dependent 571 activated neuronal subpopulations. 572

We also evaluated whether the improvement of Casp3 mice in the rotarod task 573 could be accompanied by a higher activation of neuronal ensembles in the striatum 574 as a compensatory consequence/mechanism of the depletion of Egr1-dependent 575 activated neuronal subpopulations. To do so, in the same mice, we analyzed the 576 density of GFP-positive cells in the dorsal striatum (Fig. 6F-G). There was no 577 change in the number of Egr1-dependent activated neurons in the dorsal striatum 578 (Fig. 6F-G). Finally, in order to rule out potential leakiness of the Egr1-CreER^{T2} x 579 R26^{RCE} mice we repeated the entire experiment but without 4-HT administration. 580 No changes were observed in the rotarod performance in any group as well as no 581 recombination was detected in brains from Egr1-CreER^{T2} x R26^{RCE} mice treated 582 with vehicle or AAV-flextaCasp3-TEVp (Fig. 6H-I). Taken together, our results 583 reinforce the idea of an important role of CA1 Egr1-activated pyramidal cells in the 584 modulation of motor skills during an accelerating rotarod task. 585

586

587 Chemogenetic activation of CA1 Egr1-dependent activated neuronal 588 subpopulations impairs motor performance

To further characterize the role of this Egr1-dependent neuronal ensemble in CA1 589 induced by motor learning, we used an opposite strategy, aiming to activate it using 590 designer receptors exclusively activated by designer drugs (DREADDs) 591 technology. We transduced the dorsal CA1 of Egr1-CreER^{T2} mice with an AAV 592 expressing the activator DREADD hM3D(Gg) using a FLEX switch vector (Fig. 7A-593 B). Three weeks after AAV injection, the mice were first subjected to the 594 accelerating rotarod task (Fig. 7C). On days 1 and 2 of rotarod training, all mice 595 received an injection of 4-HT to induce Cre-mediated recombination in the Egr1-596 expressing neuronal subpopulations. On the last three days of the rotarod task 597 these transduced Egr1-dependent cells were activated using clozapine-N-oxide 598

(CNO). The same mice were also tested in the open field (day 7) and novel object 599 location (days 8 and 9, see below). At the end of the study, we tested the efficacy 600 of hM3D(Gq) receptor stimulation by measuring cFos induction in mice treated with 601 CNO or vehicle 2 h before sacrifice and histological analysis (Fig. 7C). Post-602 mortem histology indicated that CA1 was well targeted with the vector expressing 603 the hM3D(Gq) receptor (Fig. 7D-E). Moreover, CNO induced a robust up-604 regulation of cFos immunoreactivity in the transduced pyramidal cells in CA1 as 605 compared with non-transduced cells or transduced cells from mice treated with 606 vehicle (Fig. 7F-G). 607

Concerning the rotarod performance, Egr1-CreERT2 mice transduced with 608 hM3D(Gq) and injected with 4-HT on days 1 and 2, progressively learned the task 609 610 (Fig. 7H). On days 4, 5 and 6, we treated one group of mice with CNO and the other with vehicle. Egr1-CreERT2 mice treated with vehicle showed significantly 611 better scores than those treated with CNO in the rotarod performance. The effect 612 613 became more pronounced at the last day of training (Fig. 7H). To rule out the possibility of unspecific or off-target effects of CNO, we repeated the same 614 experiment as in figure 7H using a new cohort of wild type (WT) mice only treated 615 with CNO or vehicle (Fig. 71). CNO per se did no induce any effect on the 616 accelerating rotarod performance at any time or session. This result ruled out 617 unspecific off-target effects induced by CNO in motor learning per se. Furthermore, 618 to rule out potential leakiness of the Egr1CreER^{T2} mice we repeated in parallel the 619 entire experiment (as in Fig. 7A-H) in a new cohort of mice, but without 4-HT 620 administration. No changes were observed in the rotarod performance in any group 621 as well as no recombination was detected in brains from Egr1-CreER^{T2} mice 622 transduced with hM3D(Gq) and treated with vehicle or CNO (Fig. 7*J-K*). 623

Next, we aimed to assess whether the manipulation of the Egr1dependent 624 activated neuronal subpopulations induced during the accelerating rotarod task 625 could affect unspecific and/or general hippocampal-dependent functions such as 626 navigation, anxiety or spatial learning. Thus, we subjected the same Egr1-CreER^{T2} 627 mice transduced with hM3D(Gq) receptor (from figure 7) to the open field and novel 628 object location tasks (Fig. 8A). Mice were injected 30 min before the open field test 629 with vehicle or CNO (Fig. 8B). Mice treated with CNO did not display differences 630 in terms of traveled distance (Fig. 8C), time spent in the center (Fig. 8D) and 631 parallel index (Fig. 8E) during the 30 min session in the arena. In the novel object 632 location test, mice treated with CNO showed no modification in new object location 633 preference (Fig. 8F-G). These results show that the alteration of rotarod 634 performance caused by CNO due to the activation of the Egr1-dependent neuronal 635 636 ensemble induced during the accelerating rotarod task was specific.

637

638 Discussion

The role of specific brain regions including the striatum, motor cortex and cerebellum in the control of motor learning is well established (De Zeeuw and Ten

Brinke, 2015; Giordano et al., 2018; Papale and Hooks, 2018). Less explored is 641 the role of the hippocampus. Potentially, the hippocampus is capable of playing 642 either cooperative or competitive roles during the acquisition of motor skills as 643 suggested by human studies (Poldrack and Packard, 2003; Ghiglieri et al., 2011). 644 However, how the hippocampus is playing such a complex role is puzzling and the 645 underlying cellular and molecular mechanisms remain completely unknown 646 (Albouy et al., 2013). Here we provide evidence for a negative regulation of motor 647 learning implying Egr1 as a gene controlling a specific subset of pyramidal neurons 648 in the CA1. 649

To our knowledge, our study is the first to profile the transcriptome in the 650 hippocampus following a motor learning task. Our in-depth genome-wide regional 651 652 comparative study of mRNAs showed that the acquisition of a motor skill involves changes in gene expression particularly during the last phases of the motor 653 learning. This is in line with previous reports showing that the hippocampus shows 654 655 major structural changes after a long-term training procedure in the accelerating rotarod task (Scholz et al., 2015). Indeed, our cluster and pathway analysis of 656 hippocampal transcriptomic data in response to LTT identified genes related with 657 synapse assembly and organization, regulation of signaling transduction, response 658 to unfolded protein, RNA methylation and DNA recombination which are in turn 659 important processes for formation of hippocampal memories (MacDonald et al., 660 2006; Svitkina et al., 2010). We observed that the progressive downregulation of 661 the Ca²⁺ signalling in the CA1 pyramidal cells correlated with a progressive 662 663 improvement of motor learning and with global and changes in gene expression such as a downregulation of genes like Gsg1l, Arc and Mdga1. Gsg1l is a novel 664 gene with some roles previously described in the regulation of synaptic activity and 665 AMPA (α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylplasticity via modulation of 666 4isoxazolepropionic acid) receptors (Coombs et al., 2019). Another couple of 667 interesting genes are Mdga1 and Mdga2 which are downregulated and 668 upregulated respectively in long-term trained mice compared with non-trained 669 mice. Mdga1 is enriched in the hippocampus and its loss has been related with 670 impairments in cognitive skills (Connor et al., 2017). Furthermore, Mdga1 is a 671 672 negative regulator of inhibitory synapses (Lee et al., 2013). Therefore, in our trained mice, reduced *Mdga1* levels could be related with an increase of inhibitory 673 activity as observed by our in vivo calcium imaging recordings. In contrast, 674 deficiencies in Mdga2 increases the presence of AMPA receptors in the synaptic 675 676 membrane and increases excitatory transmission (Connor et al., 2016). In our trained mice, Mdga2 trends to increase which could result in a decrease of AMPA 677 receptors in the synaptic membrane and a consequent decrease on hippocampal 678 excitatory activity. Notably, among others, Gsgl1, Mdga1 and Mdga2 are 679 potentially regulated at a transcriptional level by Egr1. 680

Here we also demonstrate that, a rapid and early increase of Egr1positive ensembles as well as a fast (at 2 hours post training) but transient increase on Egr1 levels in the CA1 is induced upon motor leaning. However, as shown by gene

expression analysis, Egr1 decreases 24h after LTT suggesting a complex 684 expression pattern depending on the time point on which Egr1 expression is 685 analysed after the rotarod training. Similar decrease was observed with Arc. Such 686 reduction in the abundance of IEG transcripts to levels below the baseline may 687 reflect an active mechanism for transcriptional shut down (Link et al., 1995; 688 Waltereit et al., 2001). Importantly, the subset of hippocampal pyramidal neurons 689 activated by Egr1 exert a negative regulation on motor learning. This interpretation 690 is indicated by improved learning when these neurons are selectively depleted by 691 Casp3 activation and, on the contrary, impaired rotarod performance when they 692 are chemogenetically stimulated. Moreover, the downregulation of Egr1 in the 693 694 hippocampus with shRNA also improved the accelerating rotarod learning performance, indicating a causal role for Egr1 in these regulations. Thus, our 695 results give support to a role of this CA1 neuronal ensemble as a negative regulator 696 of motor systems giving a molecular/cellular explanation of the phenomena 697 previously suspected in human subjects (Poldrack and Packard, 2003; Ghiglieri et 698 al., 2011). As far as we know, to date there are just a few indirect observations 699 involving Egr1 with motor-like skills. For example, Egr1 is induced in the thalamus 700 701 in motordriven behaviors induced by singing in vocal learning birds (Horita et al., 2012) or in operant conditioning-based tasks in the cortico-striatal pathway 702 (Hernandez et al., 2006) but there is no information about the role of Egr1 in the 703 procedural motor learning in the rotarod. It is possible that the induced Egr1 704 705 activation in the hippocampus may oppose to the same pathway when activated in other brain regions such as in the striatum. In this line, cocaine-induced 706 hyperlocomotion or extensive instrumental training induced both of them an Egr1 707 increase in the striatum (Hernandez et al., 2006; Xu and Kang, 2014). Also, we 708 709 previously reported in a mouse model of Huntington's disease with severe motor coordination alterations that overexpression of Rsk1 (a transcription factor) in their 710 striata rescued their motor deficits with a concomitant rescue of their aberrantly 711 reduced Egr1 levels (Anglada-Huguet et al., 2016). 712

A remarkable phenomenon in our study is that all the manipulations exerted their 713 effects only at the last days of the rotarod training whereas the induction of the 714 715 Egr1-positive engrams was observed in both, the first and the last days of training. This apparent mismatch could be provoked by distinct mechanisms related with 716 short-term and long-term memories (Izquierdo et al., 2002; Cowan, 2008) in which 717 Egr1-dependent actions would be only affected by long-term or consolidation or 718 719 re-activation (Buzsáki, 2015; Giri et al., 2019; Grosmark et al., 2021) mechanisms. Another interpretation is that other systems (striatum, motor cortex, cerebellum) 720 highly involved in the acquisition of motor skills (Turner and Desmurget, 2010; De 721 Zeeuw and Ten Brinke, 2015; Kawai et al., 2015) could compensate for any 722 hippocampal manipulation performed, at least, in the early phases of motor 723 724 learning.

Another intriguing point is that Egr1-induction has classically ben involved with neuronal activity (Duclot and Kabbaj, 2017), but we observed a decreased

hippocampal activity concomitant with Egr1 up-regulation 2 hours after motor 727 learning. This apparent discrepancy could be associated to different phenomena. 728 First, Eqr1 is not only involved with enhancement but also with repression of gene 729 expression (Trizzino et al., 2021). Thus, we strongly believe that the underlying 730 transcriptional changes mediated by upregulated Egr1 activity in the particular 731 ensemble would be involved with a long-term and longlasting inhibition of 732 hippocampal neuronal circuits as observed in figure 2. Second, there exist internal 733 loops implying superficial pyramidal cells, deep pyramidal cells and parvalbumin 734 interneurons in the CA1 that could be autoinhibitory (Valero and de la Prida, 2018). 735 Then, it is conceivable that our Egr1-dependent ensemble is labeling such loop 736 although further studies should we performed to demonstrate such statements. 737 Another question raised by this study is the type of information coded by Egr1-738 739 dependent neuronal ensembles during motor learning in the hippocampus The hippocampus could play a role in the modulation of anxiety levels related to the 740 task (Cha et al., 2016), or encoding contextual information (Smith and Bulkin, 741 2014) or in the regulation of goal-directed actions in synchrony with the striatum 742 (Albouy et al., 2013; Palombo et al., 2019) and even in the regulation of basal 743 744 locomotion per se (Arriaga and Han, 2017). Thereby, the depletion of the Egr1dependent neuronal ensemble could eliminate contextual or emotional 745 "distracters" and, therefore, enhance the task performance. Yet it is important to 746 underlie that we did not observe compensatory changes in the striatal activation or 747 748 major changes in anxiety, locomotion, navigation or spatial learning processes when we manipulated the ensembles induced by the accelerating rotarod task. 749 Alternatively, the activated neurons identified here could code for specific 750 contextual information of the task. However, the hippocampus is capable to create 751 752 multiple compensatory representations of the same spatial context (Sheintuch et al., 2020). Our study reveals that the molecular mechanism could be driven by 753 Egr1 in a particular group of pyramidal cells of the CA1, which opens the way for 754 further investigation of procedural memory formation. 755

756

758 Author contributions

V.B. conceived and carried out most experiments, analyzed and interpreted results 759 and wrote the manuscript. E.M. carried out experiments related to fiber photometry. 760 A.S-B. performed and took part in the mRNA and behavioral experiments. A.P. 761 performed and took part in the mRNA and RNAseq experiments. M.M. participated 762 in the discussion and design of the experiments. S.G. discussed the molecular 763 biology experiments. J.A. discussed and supervised histological and 764 morphological experiments. C.M. supervised and designed fiber photometry 765 experiments. J-A.G. supervised and interpreted some parts of the experiments and 766 contributed to the interpretation of data. A.G. conceived and supervised the study, 767 analyzed results and wrote the manuscript. 768

769

771 **References**

Albouy G, King BR, Maquet P, Doyon J (2013) Hippocampus and striatum:
Dynamics and interaction during acquisition and sleep-related motor sequence
memory consolidation. Hippocampus 23:985–1004.

Albouy G, Sterpenich V, Balteau E, Vandewalle G, Desseilles M, Dang-Vu T,
Darsaud A, Ruby P, Luppi P-H, Degueldre C, Peigneux P, Luxen A, Maquet P
(2008) Both the Hippocampus and Striatum Are Involved in Consolidation of Motor
Sequence Memory. Neuron 58:261–272.

Anglada-Huguet M, Giralt A, Rué L, Alberch J, Xifró X (2016) Loss of striatal 90kDa ribosomal S6 kinase (Rsk) is a key factor for motor, synaptic and transcription
dysfunction in Huntington's disease. Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Basis Dis
1862:1255–1266.

783 Arriaga M, Han EB (2017) Dedicated Hippocampal Inhibitory Networks for 784 Locomotion and Immobility. J Neurosci 37:9222–9238.

Begeti F, Schwab LC, Mason SL, Barker RA (2016) Hippocampal dysfunction
defines disease onset in Huntington's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry
87:975–981.

- Bergeron Y, Chagniel L, Bureau G, Massicotte G, Cyr M (2014) mTOR signaling
 contributes to motor skill learning in mice. Front Mol Neurosci 7.
- Berland C et al. (2020) Circulating Triglycerides Gate Dopamine-Associated
 Behaviors through DRD2-Expressing Neurons. Cell Metab 31:773-790.e11.
- Bocchio M, Gouny C, Angulo-Garcia D, Toulat T, Tressard T, Quiroli E, Baude A,
 Cossart R (2020) Hippocampal hub neurons maintain distinct connectivity
 throughout their lifetime. Nat Commun 11:4559.
- Boutin A, Pinsard B, Boré A, Carrier J, Fogel SM, Doyon J (2018) Transient
 synchronization of hippocampo-striato-thalamo-cortical networks during sleep
 spindle oscillations induces motor memory consolidation. Neuroimage 169:419–
 430
- Buzsáki G (2015) Hippocampal sharp wave-ripple: A cognitive biomarker for episodic memory and planning. Hippocampus 25:1073–1188.
- Calabresi P, Castrioto A, Di Filippo M, Picconi B (2013) New experimental and clinical links between the hippocampus and the dopaminergic system in Parkinson's disease. Lancet Neurol 12:811–821.
- Camicioli R, Moore MM, Kinney A, Corbridge E, Glassberg K, Kaye JA (2003)
 Parkinson's disease is associated with hippocampal atrophy. Mov Disord 18:784–
 790.

Cha J, Greenberg T, Song I, Blair Simpson H, Posner J, Mujica-Parodi LR (2016)
Abnormal hippocampal structure and function in clinical anxiety and comorbid
depression. Hippocampus 26:545–553.

Chagniel L, Bergeron Y, Bureau G, Massicotte G, Cyr M (2014) Regulation of Tyrosine Phosphatase STEP61 by Protein Kinase A during Motor Skill Learning in

Mice Fisone G, ed. PLoS One 9:e86988.

Connor SA et al. (2016) Altered Cortical Dynamics and Cognitive Function upon
Haploinsufficiency of the Autism-Linked Excitatory Synaptic Suppressor MDGA2.

815 Neuron 91:1052–1068.

Connor SA, Ammendrup-Johnsen I, Kishimoto Y, Karimi Tari P, Cvetkovska V,
Harada T, Ojima D, Yamamoto T, Wang YT, Craig AM (2017) Loss of Synapse
Repressor MDGA1 Enhances Perisomatic Inhibition, Confers Resistance to
Network Excitation, and Impairs Cognitive Function. Cell Rep 21:3637–3645.

- Coombs ID, Soto D, McGee TP, Gold MG, Farrant M, Cull-Candy SG (2019)
 Homomeric GluA2(R) AMPA receptors can conduct when desensitized. Nat
 Commun 10:4312.
- Cowan N (2008) Chapter 20 What are the differences between long-term, shortterm, and working memory? In, pp 323–338.
- Curlik DM, Maeng LY, Agarwal PR, Shors TJ (2013) Physical Skill Training
 Increases the Number of Surviving New Cells in the Adult Hippocampus Smeyne
 RJ, ed. PLoS One 8:e55850.
- ⁸²⁸ De Zeeuw CI, Ten Brinke MM (2015) Motor Learning and the Cerebellum. Cold ⁸²⁹ Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7:a021683.
- DiFeo G, Curlik DM, Shors TJ (2015) The motirod: a novel physical skill task that
 enhances motivation to learn and thereby increases neurogenesis especially in the
 female hippocampus. Brain Res 1621:187–196.
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson
 M, Gingeras TR (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics
 29:15–21.
- 836 Döhring J, Stoldt A, Witt K, Schönfeld R, Deuschl G, Born J, Bartsch T (2017)
- Motor skill learning and offline-changes in TGA patients with acute hippocampal CA1 lesions. Cortex 89:156–168.
- Buclot F, Kabbaj M (2017) The Role of Early Growth Response 1 (EGR1) in Brain
 Plasticity and Neuropsychiatric Disorders. Front Behav Neurosci 11.
- Fidalgo C, Conejo NM, González-Pardo H, Lazo PS, Arias JL (2012) A role for dorsal and ventral hippocampus in response learning. Neurosci Res 73:218–223.
- Fouquet C, Babayan BM, Watilliaux A, Bontempi B, Tobin C, Rondi-Reig L (2013)
 Complementary Roles of the Hippocampus and the Dorsomedial Striatum during
 Spatial and Sequence-Based Navigation Behavior Meck W, ed. PLoS One
- 846 8:e67232.

Ghiglieri V, Sgobio C, Costa C, Picconi B, Calabresi P (2011) Striatum–
hippocampus balance: From physiological behavior to interneuronal pathology.
Prog Neurobiol 94:102–114.

Giordano N, Iemolo A, Mancini M, Cacace F, De Risi M, Latagliata EC, Ghiglieri V,
Bellenchi GC, Puglisi-Allegra S, Calabresi P, Picconi B, De Leonibus E (2018)
Motor learning and metaplasticity in striatal neurons: relevance for Parkinson's
disease. Brain 141:505–520

- Giralt A, Sanchis D, Cherubini M, Ginés S, Cañas X, Comella JX, Alberch J (2013)
 Neurobehavioral characterization of Endonuclease G knockout mice reveals a new
- ⁸⁵⁶ putative molecular player in the regulation of anxiety. Exp Neurol 247:122–129.
- Giri B, Miyawaki H, Mizuseki K, Cheng S, Diba K (2019) Hippocampal Reactivation
 Extends for Several Hours Following Novel Experience. J Neurosci 39:866–875.
- 659 Grosmark AD, Sparks FT, Davis MJ, Losonczy A (2021) Reactivation predicts the 660 consolidation of unbiased long-term cognitive maps. Nat Neurosci 24:1574–1585.
- Harris KL, Armstrong M, Swain R, Erzinclioglu S, Das T, Burgess N, Barker RA,
 Mason SL (2019) Huntington's disease patients display progressive deficits in
 hippocampaldependent cognition during a task of spatial memory. Cortex
 119:417–427.
- Hernandez PJ, Schiltz CA, Kelley AE (2006) Dynamic shifts in corticostriatal
 expression patterns of the immediate early genes Homer 1a and Zif268 during
 early and late phases of instrumental training. Learn Mem 13:599–608.
- Horita H, Kobayashi M, Liu W, Oka K, Jarvis ED, Wada K (2012) Specialized
 Motor-Driven dusp1 Expression in the Song Systems of Multiple Lineages of Vocal
 Learning Birds Vicario DS, ed. PLoS One 7:e42173.
- Ito R, Robbins TW, Pennartz CM, Everitt BJ (2008) Functional Interaction between
 the Hippocampus and Nucleus Accumbens Shell Is Necessary for the Acquisition
 of Appetitive Spatial Context Conditioning. J Neurosci 28:6950–6959.
- Izquierdo LA, Barros DM, Vianna MRM, Coitinho A, deDavid e Silva T, Choi H,
 Moletta B, Medina JH, Izquierdo I (2002) Molecular pharmacological dissection of
 short- and longterm memory. Cell Mol Neurobiol 22:269–287.
- Kawai R, Markman T, Poddar R, Ko R, Fantana AL, Dhawale AK, Kampff AR,
 Ölveczky BP (2015) Motor Cortex Is Required for Learning but Not for Executing
 a Motor Skill. Neuron 86:800–812.
- 880 Koopmans F et al. (2019) SynGO: An Evidence-Based, Expert-Curated 881 Knowledge Base for the Synapse. Neuron 103:217-234.e4.
- Lee AS, Duman RS, Pittenger C (2008) A double dissociation revealing
 bidirectional competition between striatum and hippocampus during learning. Proc
 Natl Acad Sci 105:17163–17168.

Lee K, Kim Y, Lee S-J, Qiang Y, Lee D, Lee HW, Kim H, Je HS, Sudhof TC, Ko J MDGAs interact selectively with neuroligin-2 but not other neuroligins to regulate inhibitory synapse development. Proc Natl Acad Sci 110:336–341.

Lerner TN, Shilyansky C, Davidson TJ, Evans KE, Beier KT, Zalocusky KA, Crow
AK, Malenka RC, Luo L, Tomer R, Deisseroth K (2015) Intact-Brain Analyses
Reveal Distinct Information Carried by SNc Dopamine Subcircuits. Cell 162:635–
647.

Link W, Konietzko U, Kauselmann G, Krug M, Schwanke B, Frey U, Kuhl D (1995)
Somatodendritic expression of an immediate early gene is regulated by synaptic
activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci 92:5734–5738.

Longueville S, Nakamura Y, Brami-Cherrier K, Coura R, Hervé D, Girault J (2021)
Long-lasting tagging of neurons activated by seizures or cocaine administration in
Egr1-CreER T2 transgenic mice. Eur J Neurosci 53:1450–1472.

MacDonald JF, Jackson MF, Beazely MA (2006) Hippocampal Long-Term Synaptic Plasticity and Signal Amplification of NMDA Receptors. Crit Rev Neurobiol 18:71–84.

Martín-Ibáñez R, Crespo E, Esgleas M, Urban N, Wang B, Waclaw R,
Georgopoulos K, Martínez S, Campbell K, Vicario-Abejón C, Alberch J, Chan S,
Kastner P, Rubenstein JL, Canals JM (2012) Helios Transcription Factor
Expression Depends on Gsx2 and Dlx1&2 Function in Developing Striatal Matrix
Neurons. Stem Cells Dev 21:2239–2251.

Nagai H, de Vivo L, Bellesi M, Ghilardi MF, Tononi G, Cirelli C (2017) Sleep
Consolidates Motor Learning of Complex Movement Sequences in Mice. Sleep 40.

Oliveira AMM, Hawk JD, Abel T, Havekes R (2010) Post-training reversible inactivation of the hippocampus enhances novel object recognition memory. Learn Mem 17:155–160.

Palombo DJ, Hayes SM, Reid AG, Verfaellie M (2019) Hippocampal contributions

to valuebased learning: Converging evidence from fMRI and amnesia. Cogn AffectBehav Neurosci 19:523–536.

Papale AE, Hooks BM (2018) Circuit Changes in Motor Cortex During Motor SkillLearning. Neuroscience 368:283–297.

Poldrack RA, Packard MG (2003) Competition among multiple memory systems:
converging evidence from animal and human brain studies. Neuropsychologia
41:245–251.

Schmieder R, Lim YW, Edwards R (2012) Identification and removal of ribosomal
RNA sequences from metatranscriptomes. Bioinformatics 28:433–435.

Scholz J, Niibori Y, W Frankland P, P Lerch J (2015) Rotarod training in mice is
associated with changes in brain structure observable with multimodal MRI.
Neuroimage 107:182–189.

- Sheintuch L, Geva N, Baumer H, Rechavi Y, Rubin A, Ziv Y (2020) Multiple Maps
 of the Same Spatial Context Can Stably Coexist in the Mouse Hippocampus. Curr
 Biol 30:1467-1476.e6.
- Sjulson L, Peyrache A, Cumpelik A, Cassataro D, Buzsáki G (2018) Cocaine Place
 Conditioning Strengthens Location-Specific Hippocampal Coupling to the Nucleus
 Accumbens. Neuron 98:926-934.e5.
- 930 Smith DM, Bulkin DA (2014) The form and function of hippocampal context 931 representations. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 40:52–61.
- Spargo E, Everall IP, Lantos PL (1993) Neuronal loss in the hippocampus in
 Huntington's disease: a comparison with HIV infection. J Neurol Neurosurg
 Psychiatry 56:487–491.
- Spiers HJ (2020) The Hippocampal Cognitive Map: One Space or Many? TrendsCogn Sci 24:168–170.
- Svitkina T, Lin W-H, Webb DJ, Yasuda R, Wayman GA, Van Aelst L, Soderling SH
 (2010) Regulation of the Postsynaptic Cytoskeleton: Roles in Development,
 Plasticity, and Disorders. J Neurosci 30:14937–14942.
- Trizzino M, Zucco A, Deliard S, Wang F, Barbieri E, Veglia F, Gabrilovich D,
 Gardini A (2021) EGR1 is a gatekeeper of inflammatory enhancers in human
 macrophages. Sci Adv 7 6.
- Turner RS, Desmurget M (2010) Basal ganglia contributions to motor control: a vigorous tutor. Curr Opin Neurobiol 20:704–716.
- Vaccarino FM, Hayward MD, Nestler EJ, Duman RS, Tallman JF (1992)
 Differential induction of immediate early genes by excitatory amino acid receptor
 types in primary cultures of cortical and striatal neurons. Mol Brain Res 12:233–
 241.
- Valero M, de la Prida LM (2018) The hippocampus in depth: a sublayer-specific perspective of entorhinal–hippocampal function. Curr Opin Neurobiol 52:107–114.
- Waltereit R, Dammermann B, Wulff P, Scafidi J, Staubli U, Kauselmann G,
 Bundman M, Kuhl D (2001) Arg3.1/Arc mRNA Induction by Ca 2+ and cAMP
 Requires Protein Kinase A and Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase/Extracellular
 Regulated Kinase Activation. J Neurosci 21:5484–5493.
- Wang JQ, Daunais JB, McGinty JF (1994) Role of kainate/AMPA receptors in induction of striatal zif/268 and preprodynorphin mRNA by a single injection of amphetamine. Mol Brain Res 27:118–126.
- Xu S, Kang UG (2014) Cocaine induces ubiquitination of Egr-1 in the rat dorsalstriatum. Neuroreport 25:1362–1367.
- Zhou Y, Zhu H, Liu Z, Chen X, Su X, Ma C, Tian Z, Huang B, Yan E, Liu X, Ma L
 (2019) A ventral CA1 to nucleus accumbens core engram circuit mediates
 conditioned place preference for cocaine. Nat Neurosci.

Figure 1



963

Figure 1. Hippocampal gene expression profile following the accelerating rotarod 964 task. A, Schematic of experimental design. WT mice were trained on a rotarod. 965 Three groups were evaluated (n = 5 per group): non-trained (NT), short-term 966 trained (STT), and long-term trained mice (LTT). The transcriptome of the 5 967 performers in each group was analyzed by RNA sequencing 24 h after the last 968 trial. B, Latency to fall in the accelerating rotarod task in NT, STT, and LTT mice. 969 Data are means ± SEM. C, Volcano plots showing significant mRNA differential 970 expression genes between LTT and NT samples (red: upregulated genes; green: 971 downregulated genes) (Adj p-value <0.05; $\log 2FC > 0.3$ or < -0.3, n=5 per group). 972 The name of representative mRNAs is indicated. See extended data table labeled 973 as Table 1-1 for full data. D, Gene ontology analysis for transcriptomic enrichment 974 of upregulated genes (Upper panel), and downregulated genes in LTT (Lower 975 panel), by using Metascape analysis including all significant differentially 976 expressed genes (FDR< 0.05) in LTT. 977



978

Figure 2. Accelerating rotarod task induced-functional plasticity in the hippocampal CA1 neurons. *A*, Representative viral expression of AAVGCaMP6f and *B*, placement of the fiber-optic probe in CA1 for each mouse depicted in different colors accordingly. DG, dentate gyrus. *C*, Experimental design of fiber photometry. Analysis was performed during the time windows indicated by the orange arrow. *D*, Mice implanted with the fiber-optic probe were subjected to the

accelerating rotarod task. *E*, Quantification of the change in the area under the curve (AUC) between [-10, 0 sec] and [0, 10 sec] relative to the placement of the animal in the rotarod. *F*, Averaged traces of GCaMP6f signal expressed as Δ F/F% for 10 sec before and 20 sec after the mouse was placed on the rotarod. All the trials (n=7 mice) were averaged on each training sessions (days 1 to 5).

990



Figure 3. Characterization of Egr1-dependent activated neural cells during 992 different phases of the rotarod task training. A, Schematic representation of the 993 mutant mice used. B, Mice were subjected to three different conditions in an 994 accelerating rotarod task (as in Fig. 1A): NT, STT, and LTT. All three groups of 995 mice (n = 5 mice per group) received an injection of 4-HT 1 h before the rotarod 996 training session (arrows) on the last day of training. C, Representative images of 997 Egr1-dependent activation of neural cells (GFP-positive, green) co-stained with 998 DAPI (blue) in dorsal CA1. D, Quantification of GFP-positive neural cells density 999 per area in NT, STT and LTT groups of mice. Scatter plot with means ± SEM. Two-1000 way ANOVA identified general significant changes between groups ($F_{(2, 88)} = 16.9$; 1001 p < 0.0001). Dunnett's post hoc analysis (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01) compared with 1002 the NT group. E, Representative images of Egr1-dependent activation of neural 1003

cells (GFP-positive, green) co-stained with NeuN or MAP2 or Parvalbumin (all in
red) in CA1. *F*, Quantification of the percentage of GFPpositive neural cells that
co-localizes with various neural markers (in red) in the CA1. PV (Parvalbumin).
CA1-CA3: *cornu ammonis*. SO: *stratum oriens*, SP: *stratum pyramidale*, SR: *stratum radiatum*. Scale bar in *C* and *E*, 40 μm.



1010

Figure 4. Transcriptional changes associated with Egr1 promoter activity 1011 1012 during the rotarod task training. A, Heatmap (upper panel) showing log2FC 1013 of DEGs with putative Egr1 binding sites in LTT and STT groups relative 1014 to the NT group. The results were considered statistically significant at Adj p-value <0.05 and log2FC > 0.3 or < -0.3 in LTT. DEGs in STT are not 1015 statistically significant except for Gsgl1. Only synaptic genes are named. 1016 A table (lower panel) is also depicted to show the name of all the putative 1017 Egr1 binding sites in the LTT group. See extended data table labeled as 1018 Table 4-1 for full data. Validation of RNA-seq data by quantitative Real-1019 Time PCR (gRT-PCR) in NT, STT and LTT groups of mice. Results from 1020 gRT-PCR performed on a few select genes: **B**, Mdga1; **C**, Arc; **D**, Mdga2; 1021 E, Gsgl1 and G, Tnik) enriched in synapse processes. The error bars 1022 represent the range of the fold change values and data are analyzed by 1023 one-way ANOVA. A significant difference was detected between groups 1024 for *Mdga1* ($F_{(2, 19)} = 3,762$; p < 0.05), *Arc* ($F_{(2, 21)} = 5,957$; p < 0.05) and 1025 Gsgl1 ($F_{(2, 19)} = 4,323$; p < 0.05). Tukey's post hoc analysis indicated the 1026 significant differences (p < 0.05) compared with the NT group. 1027



Figure 5. Effects of *Egr1* down-regulation in CA1 on accelerating rotarod training. *A*, Wild type mice were subjected to three different conditions in an accelerating
rotarod task as in figure 1: NT, STT, and LTT (4 trials per day). N = 6-7 per group.

1032 **B**, Eqr1 staining (in red) for all three groups 2 hours after the last trial with the rotarod. C, Quantification of Egr1 optical density (arbitrary units) in the pyramidal 1033 cell layer of CA1. Data are scatter plots (one point per mouse, average from two 1034 slices per mouse) and means \pm SEM. One-way ANOVA (F₍₂, 17) = 20,12, p = 1035 0.0001), Dunnet's *post hoc*: *** p < 0.001 compared with NT group. **D**, Schematic 1036 illustration of the transduced adeno-associated virus (AAVU6-shRNA-Egr1-1037 mCherry) in a second and new cohort of WT mice. E, Schematic location and 1038 distribution of the injection sites in dorsal CA1 for all mice used in this experiment 1039 (only left hemisphere is shown). F, Representative images of hippocampi 1040 transduced with control AAV (AAV-U6-ScramblemCherry, Scramble, left) or with 1041 the experimental AAV (AAV-U6-shRNA-Egr1mCherry, shRNA-Egr1, right). Triple 1042 staining showing all cells (DAPI, blue), transduced cells (mCherry, red), and Egr1-1043 positive cells (Inset, green) for each group of mice. Scale bars, 300 µm, inset: 60 1044 1045 µm. G, Quantification of Egr1 optical density (arbitrary units) in the pyramidal cell layer of CA1. Data are scatter plots (one point per mouse, average from two slices 1046 per mouse) and means ± SEM Mann-Whitney t test, sum of ranks A, 95, B, 41, 1047 Mann-Whitney U, 5, p = 0.003, **. N = 8 mice per group. H, Three weeks after viral 1048 1049 transduction mice were subjected to the accelerating rotarod task for five days (4 trials per day). N = 11 per group. Data are means \pm SEM and analyzed by two-way 1050 ANOVA. A significant difference was detected between groups on day 3, $F_{(1, 80)}$ = 1051 6.30, p = 0.0141), day 4, $F_{(1, 80)} = 16.91$, p < 0.0001), and day 5, $F_{(1, 80)} = 26.03$, p1052 1053 < 0.0001. DG: Dentate gyrus, CA1: cornu ammonis 1, CA3: cornu ammonis 3, SO: stratum oriens, SP: stratum pyramidale, SR: stratum radiatum. 1054



Figure 6. Depletion of the CA1 Egr1-dependent activated neurons during the rotarod task. *A*, Schematic representation of the double mutant mice used in this experiment. *B*, Schematic representation of the AAV-flex-taCasp3-TEVp vector. Mice were bilaterally injected in CA1 with AAV-flex-taCasp3-TEVp (Casp3, n = 8)

1059 or vehicle (Vehicle, n = 7). A group of non-trained mice (Naive, n = 5) was also used. C, All three groups received a single injection 4-HT 1 h prior to the rotarod 1060 training (arrows) on days 1 and 2 of training. Data are means ± SEM, analyzed by 1061 two-way ANOVA. A significant difference was detected between groups on day 3, 1062 $F_{(1, 52)} = 15.78$, p = 0.0002; day 4, $F_{(1, 52)} = 9.9588$, p = 0.0027; and day 5, $F_{(1, 52)} =$ 1063 10.05, p = 0.0025. **D**, Representative images of Egr1-dependent activation of 1064 neural cells (GFP-positive, green) co-stained with DAPI (blue) in the dorsal CA1 of 1065 the hippocampus in the three groups. Scale bar, 60 µm. E, Quantification of 1066 hippocampal GFP-positive neural cells density per area in the three groups. Data 1067 are means ± SEM. Two-way ANOVA identified general significant changes 1068 between groups, $F_{(2, 51)} = 49.27$, p < 0.0001. Tukey's post hoc analysis indicated 1069 that both, Naive (p < 0.05) and Casp3 (p < 0.001) showed significantly different 1070 GFP-positive cell density compared with Vehicle mice. *: Naive vs Vehicle groups; 1071 1072 ^{\$}: Casp3 vs Naive; [&]: Casp3 vs Vehicle. F, Representative images of Egr1dependent activation of neural cells (GFP-positive, green) in the dorsal striatum 1073 (DStr) from the three groups. Scale bar, 120 µm. G. Quantification of striatal GFP-1074 positive neural cells density per area in the three groups. H, A new cohort of double 1075 1076 mutant mice as in A, were subjected to the same experimental design as in B-C but without 4-HT administration to rule out potential leakiness of the system. Data 1077 are means ± SEM, analyzed by two-way ANOVA (no significant differences were 1078 detected between groups) I. Post-mortem hippocampal examination in mice from 1079 1080 H shows no recombination due to the absence of 4-HT administration. SO: stratum oriens, SP: stratum pyramidale, SR: stratum radiatum. 1081

1082



Figure 7. Effects of chemogenetic activation of the CA1 Egr1-dependent activated
 neurons during the rotarod task. *A*, Design of the AAV vector to express hM3D(Gq)
 only in cells with Cre recombinase activity. *B*, Egr1-CreER^{T2} mutant mice used. *C*,
 Scheme depicting the behavioral characterization in the present figure (colored).
 D, Schematic location of the injection site for each mouse (only left hemisphere is

shown). E, Representative image of a transduced hippocampus from a mouse 1089 treated with CNO. F, Representative images of CA1 in mice from E. Empty 1090 arrowheads designate non-transduced cells, white arrowheads designate 1091 transduced cells. G, Quantification of cFos immunofluorescence intensity in the 1092 1093 CA1 pyramidal cell layer of transduced mice and treated with 4-HT and Vehicle (n = 8) or CNO (n = 9). Means ± SEM Mann-Whitney t test (sum of ranks A, 36, B, 1094 117, U = 0, p < 0.0001). H, Accelerating rotarod task in transduced mice. Arrows 1095 indicate treatment with 4HT and arrowheads treatment with CNO. Data are means 1096 ± SEM. Two-way ANOVA identified significant differences between groups on last 1097 day of training ($F_{(1, 76)} = 26,41$, p < 0.0001). (I) Two independent groups of WT mice 1098 treated with vehicle (n = 10) or CNO (3 mg/kg, n = 11) respectively were subjected 1099 to the accelerating rotarod task with the same design as in H. J, A new cohort of 1100 1101 mutant mice as in **B**, were subjected to the same experimental design as in **A**-**C** but without 4-HT administration to rule out potential leakiness of the system. Data 1102 are means ± SEM, analyzed by two-way ANOVA (no significant differences were 1103 detected between groups). K, Post-mortem hippocampal examination in mice from 1104 J shows no recombination due to the absence of 4-HT administration. Data are 1105 1106 means ± SEM Scale bar in E, 300 µm, in F, 60 microns and in K, 100 microns. DG: dentate gyrus, CA1: cornu amonis 1, CA3: cornu amonis 3, SO: stratum oriens, 1107 SP: stratum pyramidale, SR: stratum radiatum. 1108



1109

Figure 8. Chemogenetic modulation of the CA1 Egr1-dependent activated 1110 neurons does not alter performance in other hippocampal-related tasks. A, After 1111 the accelerating rotarod task showed in figure 7A-H (gray tone scheme), the Egr1-1112 CreER^{T2} mutant mice transduced with AAV-hSYN-DIO-hM3D(Gg)-mCherry 1113 construct and treated with 4HT at the beginning of the rotarod training (see Fig. 7) 1114 were subjected to hippocampal-related tasks. Thirty min before open field task and 1115 before the testing session in the NOL task, all mice received a single i.p. injection 1116 of vehicle (n = 10) or CNO (3 mg/kg, n = 11). In the open field task **B**, CNO injection 1117 effects on the total distance travelled C, the time spent in the center of the arena 1118 **D** and the parallel index **E** are shown. Unpaired t test in **D** and **E** or Mann-Whitney 1119 t test in **G** did not detect significant differences between groups in any parameter. 1120 F, In the novel object location test, CNO injection effects on the novel object 1121 location preference are shown G. Two-way ANOVA identified significant and equal 1122 novel object location preference (Novel object location preference effect: F(1, 38) = 1123 62,11, p < 0.0001) but no differences were found between groups (Group effect: 1124

- $F_{(1, 38)} = 1,9 \ 10^{-14}, p = 0.99$). OP: Old position, NP: New position. In *C*, *D*, *E* and *G*
- 1126 data are individual values for every mouse and means ± SEM are shown.

Table 1-1. Hippocampal differentially expressed genes in STT and LTT groups compared with NT group. Table 1-1 is supporting figure 1 results. Differentially expressed genes (upregulated or downregulated; Adj p-value <0.05; log2fold change > 0.3 or < -0.3) in pair comparisons are depicted as follows: NT vs STT groups; NT vs LTT groups.

1132

Table 4-1. Differentially expressed genes with potential regulation by Egr1. Differentially expressed genes (upregulated or downregulated; Adj p-value <0.05; log2fold change > 0.3 or < -0.3) with Egr1-binding motifs in their promoter region obtained by pair comparisons are depicted comparing NT vs LTT groups.