

## Development of an adverse outcome pathway for radiation-induced microcephaly via expert consultation and machine learning

Thomas Jaylet, Roel Quintens, Mohamed Abderrafi Benotmane, Jukka Luukkonen, Ignacia Braga Tanaka, Chrystelle Ibanez, Christelle Durand, Magdalini Sachana, Omid Azimzadeh, Christelle Adam-Guillermin, et al.

### ▶ To cite this version:

Thomas Jaylet, Roel Quintens, Mohamed Abderrafi Benotmane, Jukka Luukkonen, Ignacia Braga Tanaka, et al.. Development of an adverse outcome pathway for radiation-induced microcephaly via expert consultation and machine learning. International Journal of Radiation Biology, 2022, 98 (12), pp.1752-1762. 10.1080/09553002.2022.2110312. hal-03966042

### HAL Id: hal-03966042 https://hal.science/hal-03966042v1

Submitted on 21 Jul 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

# Development of an Adverse Outcome Pathway for radiation-induced microcephaly via expert consultation and machine learning

Thomas Jaylet<sup>a</sup>, Roel Quintens<sup>b</sup>, Mohamed Abderrafi Benotmane<sup>b</sup>, Jukka
Luukkonen<sup>c</sup>, Ignacia Braga Tanaka III <sup>d</sup>, Chrystelle Ibanez<sup>e</sup>, Christelle
Durand<sup>e</sup>, Magdalini Sachana<sup>f</sup>, Omid Azimzadeh<sup>g</sup>, Christelle AdamGuillermin<sup>h</sup>, Knut Erik Tollefsen<sup>i,j,k</sup>, Olivier Laurent<sup>l</sup>, Karine Audouze<sup>a</sup>,
Olivier Armant<sup>m\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Université Paris Cité, T3S, Inserm UMRS 1124, Paris, France ; <sup>b</sup>Radiobiology Unit, 8 Belgian Nuclear Research Centre, SCK-CEN, Mol, Belgium; <sup>c</sup>University of Eastern 9 10 Finland, Kuopio Campus, Department of Environmental and Biological Sciences, P.O. Box 1627, FI-70211 Kuopio, Finland ; <sup>d</sup>Department of Radiobiology, Institute for 11 12 Environmental Sciences, 1-7 lenomae, Obuchi, Rokkasho-mura, Kamikita-gun, Aomori 13 039-3212, Japan ; <sup>e</sup>PSE-SANTE/SESANE/LRTOX Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), F-92262, Fontenay-aux-Roses, France; <sup>f</sup>Organisation for 14 15 Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Environment Health and Safety Division, 75775 CEDEX 16 Paris, France; <sup>8</sup>Federal Office for Radiation Protection 16 Radiation Biology, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany ; <sup>h</sup>PSE-17 (*Bfs*), Section 18 SANTE/SDOS/LMDN, Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), Cadarache, 13115 Saint-Paul-Lez-Durance, France; <sup>i</sup>Norwegian Institute for Water 19 20 Research (NIVA), Økernveien 94, N-0579, Oslo, Norway; <sup>J</sup>Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Post box 5003, N-1432 Ås, Norway; <sup>k</sup>Centre for Environmental 21 Radioactivity, Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU), Post box 5003, N-1432 22 Ås, Norway; <sup>1</sup>PSE-SANTE/SESANE/LEPID, Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté 23 Nucléaire (IRSN), F-92262, Fontenay-aux-Roses, France; <sup>m</sup>PSE-ENV/SRTE/LECO, 24 Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), Cadarache, 13115 Saint-25 26 Paul-Lez-Durance, France.

- 27 \*corresponding author: <u>olivier.armant@irsn.fr</u>
- 28

29 Notes on contributors

30 Olivier Armant (OA), Ph.D, is a senior scientist at the French Institute of Radioprotection and 31 Nuclear Safety (IRSN). His studies focus on the molecular mechanisms deregulated upon 32 exposure to low dose of radiations during embryonic development and post-accidental effects 33 on wild-life in Chernobyl and Fukushima. He is a member of the High-Level Group on Low-34 Dose Research (HLG-LDR) Joint Topical Group Rad-Chem.

Karine Audouze (KA), Ph.D, is associate professor at the Université Paris Cité in France,
where she is leading the SysTox group. She is member of the OECD Extended Advisor Group
on Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics (EAGMST), of the High-Level Group on LowDose Research (HLG-LDR) Rad/Chem Joint Topical and of the OECD AOP knowledge base
(AOP-KB) group.

40 **Omid Azimzadeh (OAz)**, Ph.D, is a senior researcher in the section of Radiation Biology at the 41 German Federal Office for Radiation Protection (BfS) and an Adjunct Professor (Docent) of 42 Radiation Biology at Technical University Munich. His research interests focus on the adverse 43 effects of radiation exposure on normal tissues with a special emphasis on omics analysis. He is 44 a member of a working group CricuDis (Circulatory Diseases from Radiation Exposure) at the 45 United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR).

46 Mohamed Abderrafi Benotmane (MAB), Ph.D, is a researcher project leader at the 47 Radiobiology Unit at the Belgian Nuclear Research Centre 'SCK-CEN'. He is mainly interested 48 in medical applications making use of radiation for diagnostic and therapy. Over the years he 49 has been mainly involved in central nervous system impairments following exposure to 50 radiation at young age prenatally and at early postnatal periods. The outcome of his research is 51 of high societal impact for the protection of the brain of the fetus and the young child. He 52 coordinated the FP7 EU project CEREBRAD (GA 295552) (2011-2015) and participated to 53 many other EU projects (DoReMi, OPERRA, CONCERT, and MEDIRAD). He is a member of 54 the High Council for Health in Belgium. He is delegate at the High-level group on low-dose 55 research (HLG-LDR), and contributes as Radiobiology expert in several commissions 56 (MELODI, WHO, OECD, ENA).

57 **Christelle Durand (CD),** Ph.D, is a scientist at the French Institute of Radioprotection and 58 Nuclear Safety (IRSN). Her research focuses on studying the consequences of postnatal brain 59 exposure to IR on behavioral functions and to identify the molecular and cellular mechanisms 60 associated with these potential disorders in mice.

61 Chrystelle Ibanez (CI), Ph.D, is a research scientist at the French Institute of Radioprotection
62 and Nuclear Safety (IRSN). She leads neurotoxicology and radiotoxicology research projects
63 after contamination via inhalation. She has studied the effects and mechanisms of uranium

transport and tungsten particles to the brain. Here current research projects focus on the effect ofcombined radiological and chemical exposures on brain toxicity parameters.

66 Olivier Laurent (OL), Ph.D, is a senior scientist at the French Institute of Radioprotection and 67 Nuclear Safety (IRSN). He conducts occupational and environmental epidemiological studies in 68 people exposed to low doses of radiation and other environmental stressors. He is member of 69 the of the High-Level Group on Low-Dose Research (HLG-LDR) Joint Topical Group Rad-70 Chem.

Roel Quintens (RQ), Ph.D, is a senior scientist and project leader at the Radiobiology Unit of SCK-CEN where he leads two main research themes: (1) the mechanistic analysis of prenatal radiation-induced defects (e.g. microcephaly and epilepsy) in brain development modeled in mice and human brain organoids, and (2) the identification of novel transcriptional biomarkers (splice variants, circular RNAs) of exposure and cancer cell sensitivity to different radiation qualities. He is member of the Strategic Research Agenda working group of MELODI.

Magdalini Sachana (MS), Ph.D, is a Policy Analyst in the Environmental Health and Safety Division of the OECD's Environmental Directorate. She manages the development and implementation of policies in the field of chemical safety and contributes to the OECD Test Guidelines, Pesticide and Hazard Assessment Programs. Dr. Sachana, among other projects, is contributing to the coordination of the OECD project on the *in vitro* battery for developmental neurotoxicity and the AOP Program.

Ignacia Braga Tanaka III (IT), DVM, Ph.D, is an Associate Senior Scientist at the Institute for Environmental Sciences (IES) in Rokkasho, Aomori, Japan. She is a trained veterinarian and here research work focus on the late effects of chronic exposure to low dose-rate radiation on life span, cancer and non-neoplastic diseases in various exposure scenarios. She is member of the ICRP Task Groups 110 (Veterinary Medicine) and 121 (Offspring and next generations).

88 Knut Erik Tollefsen (KET), Ph.D, is a Chief Scientist at the Norwegian Institute for Water 89 Research (NIVA) and an Adjunct Professor at the Norwegian University of Life Sciences 90 (NMBU). He is a Norwegian delegate at the High-level group on low-dose research (HLG-91 LDR), OECD Extended Advisor Group on Molecular Screening and Toxicogenomics 92 (EAGMST), co-chair of the HLG-LDR Rad/Chem AOP Joint Topical Group and coordinates 93 NIVAS's NCTP Computational Toxicology Program, (www.niva.no/tcp). 94 knut.erik.tollefsen@niva.no

95 Thomas Jaylet (TJ), is Ph.D student in bioinformatics at Université Paris-Cité. His thesis is 96 part of the RadoNorm project (under grant agreement No 900009) and aims to improve the 97 understanding of ionizing radiation exposure through the development of AOPs and integrative
98 systems toxicology methods. He is also involved in the development of the AOP-helpFinder
99 tool to facilitate the documentation of AOPs.

100 Jukka Luukkonen (JL), Ph.D, is a university lecturer in the Radiation Research Group, 101 University of Eastern Finland, with responsabilities on research and teaching related to the 102 radiation biology. He has over 15 years of experience conducting studies with combined 103 exposure to radiation and other agents.

104

105 ORCID ID

106	Olivier Armant	0000-0001-7101-9209
107	Karine Audouze	0000-0001-7525-4089
108	Omid Azimzadeh	0000-0001-8984-0388
109	M Abderrafi Benotmane	0000-0002-8985-1578
110	Jukka Luukkonen	0000-0002-0957-003X
111	Roel Quintens	0000-0002-4359-3403
112	Ignacia Tanaka	0000-0002-9526-0184
113	Knut Erik Tollefsen	0000-0002-7534-0937
114		

# Development of an Adverse Outcome Pathway for radiation-induced microcephaly via expert consultation and machine learning

117 **Background:** Brain development during embryogenesis and in early postnatal 118 life is particularly complex and involves the interplay of many cellular processes 119 and molecular mechanisms, making it extremely vulnerable to exogenous insults, 120 including ionizing radiation (IR). Microcephaly is one of the most frequent 121 neurodevelopmental abnormalities that is characterized by small brain size, and is 122 often associated with intellectual deficiency. Decades of research span from 123 epidemiological data on in utero exposure of the A-bomb survivors, to studies on 124 animal and cellular models that allowed deciphering the most prominent 125 molecular mechanisms leading to microcephaly. The Adverse Outcome Pathway 126 (AOP) framework is used to organize, evaluate and portray the scientific 127 knowledge of toxicological effects spanning different biological levels of 128 organizations, from the initial interaction with molecular targets to the occurrence 129 of a disease or adversity. In the present study, the framework was used in an 130 attempt to organize the current scientific knowledge on microcephaly progression 131 in the context of ionizing radiation (IR) exposure. This work was performed by a 132 group of experts formed during a recent workshop organized jointly by the 133 Multidisciplinary European Low Dose Initiative (MELODI) and the European 134 Radioecology Alliance (ALLIANCE) associations to present the AOP approach 135 and tools. Here we report on the development of a putative AOP for congenital 136 microcephaly resulting from IR exposure based on discussions of the working 137 group and we emphasize the use of a novel machine-learning approach to assist 138 in the screening of the available literature to develop AOPs.

139 *Conclusion:* The expert consultation led to the identification of crucial biological 140 events for the progression of microcephaly upon exposure to IR, and highlighted 141 current knowledge gaps. The machine learning approach was successfully used to 142 screen the existing knowledge and helped to rapidly screen the body of evidence 143 and in particular the epidemiological data. This systematic review approach also 144 ensured that the analysis was sufficiently comprehensive to identify the most 145 relevant data and facilitate rapid and consistent AOP development. We anticipate 146 that as machine learning approaches become more user-friendly through easy-to-147 use web interface, this would allow AOP development to become more efficient 148 and less time consuming.

149 Keywords: Adverse Outcome Pathway; AOP; microcephaly; brain; development;150 ionizing radiation

#### 151 Abbreviation list

152	AOP(s)	Adverse Outcome Pathway(s)
153	AO	Adverse outcome
154	BH	Bradford Hill criteria
155	DDR	DNA damage response
156	DSB(s)	DNA double-strand break(s)
157	KE(s)	Key event(s)
158	KER(s)	Key event relationship(s)
159	Gy	Gray
160	HR	Homologous recombination
161	IR	Ionizing radiation
162	LET	Linear energy transfer
163	MIE	Molecular initiating event
164	NHEJ	Nonhomologous end-joining
165	NPP	Nuclear power plant
166	qAOP(s)	Quantitative Adverse Outcome Pathway(s)
167	RGCs	Radial glia cell(s)
168	Sv	Sievert
169	TUNEL	Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling
170	WoE	Weight of evidence
171	WG	Working group
172	ZIKV	Zika virus
173		

#### 174 Epidemiological studies on IR-induced microcephaly

175 Microcephaly is a medical condition characterized by a small head size less than 176 the expected average for age, gender and considered population. Based on the literature, 177 its definition may vary qualitatively (e.g. exclusion of other syndromes) or 178 quantitatively (2 or 3 standard deviations below the mean, which may also reflect 179 severity, with dependence on the chosen reference population) (Passemard et al. 2013; 180 Becerra-Solano et al. 2021). Microcephaly is classified either as primary when brain 181 development is altered during embryogenesis, or acquired when the brain is normal at 182 birth but suffer damages postnatally resulting in impaired growth (Passemard et al. 183 2013). Both cases result in reduced brain size/volume and is frequently associated with 184 intellectual disability (Woods 2004). Several risk factors have been identified for 185 microcephaly, including infections during pregnancy (e.g. syphilis, herpes simplex, 186 HIV, Zika), maternal exposure to ionizing radiation or chemicals (e.g. mercury, arsenic, 187 alcohol), pre- and perinatal injuries to the developing brain (trauma, hypoxia-ischemia) 188 and genetic abnormalities. This wide range of risk factors can partly explain the 189 variations in incidence rates observed in normal populations (from 1 to 150 cases per 190 100,000 birth) (Passemard et al. 2013; Becerra-Solano et al. 2021). In addition, the 191 consideration of additional criteria (e.g. mental disability) as indicators of microcephaly, 192 can create even more disparities in the number of cases accounted in the 193 epidemiological cohorts from different populations. Recent studies dealing with 194 microcephaly are related to Zika virus (ZIKV) infection during gestation, where the 195 estimated prevalence rate is of 2.3% (95% CI = 1.0-5.3%) among all pregnancies 196 (Coelho and Crovella 2017).

Associations between microcephaly and ionizing radiation (IR) have first been suspected from a series of early clinical observations of newborns with small head size and ophthalmic defects with or without mental disability, when pregnant women were exposed to pelvic irradiation (more than 10 mSv of X-rays) (Goldstein and Murphy 1929; Goldstein 1930). Data from Atomic-bomb survivors of Hiroshima and Nagasaki exposed *in utero* between 8-25 weeks of gestation showed statistically significant associations between IR exposure and small head sizes (defined here as head

204 circumference inferior to two standard deviations below the sex and age specific mean 205 of the studied population of 1473 children) (Plummer 1952; Miller and Blot 1972; 206 Otake and Schull 1993; Otake and Schull 1998). Some craniostenosis cases were 207 potentially included which raised some controversy (Otake and Schull 1998). The most 208 radiosensitive period of embryonic development of the human brain was established as 209 between 8-15 weeks of gestation (Miller and Blot 1972; Otake and Schull 1998). More 210 recently, three papers reported increased prevalence rates of microcephaly (defined as 211 occipito-frontal circumferences of at least three standard deviations below the standard 212 for age and proposed by the World Health Organization, sex 213 https://www.who.int/tools/child-growth-standards) in children of women residing in 214 areas impacted by the Chernobyl fall-out of the Rivne Province of Ukraine at the time 215 of pregnancy. However, as these papers considered geographically aggregated data 216 rather than individual data (Wertelecki et al. 2014; Wertelecki et al. 2017; Wertelecki et 217 al. 2018), they currently provide a lower weight of evidence compared to the atomic 218 bomb survivor studies in Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

#### 219 Aims of the AOP working group on IR induced microcephaly

220 The Adverse Outcome Pathway (AOP) framework allows the description of 221 events from the molecular initiation of the toxic effects to the adverse effects observed 222 in the organism at levels relevant for human and biota populations. It describes the 223 fundamental biological events from a Molecular Initiating Event (MIE) and propagation 224 through essential Key Events (KEs) across the different biological scales of 225 organization to result in an Adverse Outcome (AO). The Key Event Relationships 226 (KERs) represent links between the KEs and are supported by experimental data 227 evaluated as empirical evidence using modified (tailored) Bradford Hill (BH) criteria 228 (Becker et al. 2015). Thus, the AOP describes in a simple way the scientific knowledge

of the most critic toxic effects at different biological levels of organization from molecular mechanisms to the occurrence of the disease or adverse effects of regulatory or research focus (Villeneuve et al. 2014; OECD 2018). This concept can also be used to model the combined effects caused by single- or mixtures of different stressors to identify and quantify the links between the biological events and the health outcomes (Beyer et al. 2014; Salbu et al. 2019).

235 The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) 236 launched in 2012 a program on the development and assessment of AOPs to support 237 chemical risk assessment. Through this program, guidance and a dedicated web-based 238 platform (www.aopwiki.org) emerged to facilitate AOP development and uptake from 239 the scientific and regulatory communities. As the development of AOP are relatively 240 new to the field of low dose radiation research (Chauhan et al. 2022), a workshop 241 dedicated to AOP of IR effects was organized jointly by the Multidisciplinary European 242 Low Dose Initiative (MELODI) and the European Radioecology Alliance (ALLIANCE) 243 on April 12-16, 2021. The aim was to foster interactions between radiobiologists, 244 radioecotoxicologists and other communities, including chemical toxicologists, in order 245 to define the particularities of the AOP when applied to the radiation field and prioritize 246 the development of AOP relevant for health and environmental effects (Chauhan et al. 247 2021). Here we report on the discussion of a working group (WG) dedicated to the 248 development and proposing of an AOP for fetal neurotoxicity resulting from IR 249 exposure. The WG is composed of scientists knowledgeable in the field of brain 250 development, low dose radiation research (including epidemiology), chemical toxicity 251 research and computational science. Developmental toxicity encompasses many 252 pathologies, and it was rapidly recognized that brain development is particularly 253 vulnerable to IR. Microcephaly provided a favorable case study as : i) the outcome

(decrease in brain size) is clearly defined and measurable, ii) epidemiological data is
available to demonstrate an increased risk of microcephaly following *in utero* exposure
to IR, iii) mechanistic understanding of the effects is well documented, and iv)
microcephaly was not documented in AOP-Wiki (https://aopwiki.org).

258 Discussions centered first on the exposure situation to IR. It was acknowledged 259 that most of the evidence, primarily epidemiological data, were obtained for acute 260 exposure at a relative low dose of IR (> 0.1 Gy) during embryonic development and that 261 most effects were studied using low LET IR, including both gamma and X-rays. 262 Virtually all data were obtained from human and rodent studies (mouse and rat), 263 although the existence of two studies on wild animals (monkey and birds in Fukushima 264 and Chernobyl, respectively (Møller et al. 2011; Hayama et al. 2017)) was 265 acknowledged, but not considered sufficiently supportive for expanding the taxonomic 266 application domains of the AOP. During the review of the available human data, the 267 group considered accidental *in utero* exposures to the dose > 0.1 Gy as the preferential 268 scenario, since occupational and environmental exposures are unlikely (Applegate et al. 269 2021). This dose is in the range of the threshold value for which therapeutic abortion 270 should be considered in human (0.1 Gy) (Nakagawa et al. 1997). The frequency and 271 severity of microcephaly is dose-dependent in epidemiological data and animal 272 experiments, but the dose-threshold of occurrence of microcephaly is different in human 273 and mouse (above 0.3 Gy in the mouse (Verreet, Verslegers, et al. 2016) and above 0.1 274 Gy for the human (Otake and Schull 1998)).

Data at a lower dose (< 0.1 Gy) are scarce, and microcephaly was proposed to be an endpoint without dose threshold. To perform a comprehensive review, the group decided to consider all available data irrespective of the dose, in order to detect potential early molecular or cellular key events of microcephaly. It was also discussed that dose and dose rates would be important for the development of quantitative AOPs (qAOP), the quantitative characterization of stressor-response and response-response relationships along an AOP (Conolly et al. 2017; Song et al. 2020; Moe et al. 2021), although such effort was not undertaken in the present work. In this context, dose dependencies were considered as potential strategy to assess the weight of evidence (WoE) between adjacent KE events according to the modified Bradford-Hill criteria (Becker et al. 2015).

286 The group considered different possible MIEs for the microcephaly AOP. 287 'Deposition of energy' (found as MIE 1686 in AOP-Wiki) was proposed as a possible 288 consensus between the different WGs during the workshop (Chauhan et al. 2021). In the 289 context of microcephaly, such MIE can be linked directly to the first KE 'increased 290 DNA damages' for which compelling evidence of essentiality exist. A coherent parallel 291 can be made with an already existing MIE, 'deposition of particles', as 'Deposition of 292 energy' conveys the notion of interactions between photons (or high LET particles) and 293 living matter at the origin of the effects observed at the molecular level. However, this 294 MIE does not distinguish non-ionizing from ionizing radiation exposures, while the 295 body of evidence collected for microcephaly comprises only IR. Alternative proposed 296 MIE were 'deposition of ionizing energy' (MIE 1550) or 'increase, DNA damages' itself (KE 1194). However, for the sake of coherence and transposability with the 297 MELODI/Alliance WGs it was decided to keep 'deposition of energy' as the MIE for 298 299 microcephaly and highlighted that further discussion and harmonization of potential 300 MIEs could be relevant within the radiation community.

The integration of the effects observed at different levels of biological organization, central for the AOP framework, was identified as closely linked to the concept of systems biology. The existence of a high-throughput dataset describing the 304 effects of IR on gene expression leading to microcephaly in the mouse embryonic brain 305 (Mfossa et al. 2020) was discussed as an interesting approach to identify KEs and 306 characterize the KERs, but such analysis was beyond the reach of the group. Instead, the 307 screening of additional databases useful for AOP development, such as the Comparative 308 Toxicogenomics Database (CTD), GeneCards, AOP-Wiki and PubMed databases were 309 highlighted as useful approaches to capture and assess evidence systematically. The 310 integration of these data to the results of the scoping review, also performed by the WG, 311 was thus proposed as an innovative way to incorporate the existing knowledge to the 312 microcephaly AOP and ensure its comprehensive assembly.

#### 313 Identification of key events through weight of evidence considerations

314 AOP development is based on the available knowledge from studies that support 315 each KE and KER. The analysis of the evidence collected in the scientific literature is a 316 tedious and challenging process made by experts that must understand, organize and 317 evaluate the WoE along the AOP. This process is at the basis of the mapping of the 318 knowledge made during the construction of AOPs, and is made through the effective 319 assessment of biological plausibility, causality and consistency of the empirical 320 evidence according to the modified Bradford-Hill criteria, as described by Becker et al. 321 (Becker et al. 2015). The WG performed the scoping review of the literature in several 322 steps: i) the constitution of a preliminary AOP (or AOP 'skeleton') based on experts' 323 knowledge, ii) consolidation of the AOP by evaluation of the scientific evidence, and 324 iii) proposition of a hypothetical AOP based on consensus among experts. The second 325 step is the heart of the compilation of evidence to develop AOPs. The WG decided to 326 prioritize the evaluation of scientific articles focusing on microcephaly induced by IR, 327 but, as these articles are limited in number, functional studies from genetic mutations 328 leading to microcephaly were also included. In addition, genetic studies on microcephaly were considered important to provide evidence of essentiality and causality between KEs. The group decided to focus pragmatically on the effects of IR on neurogenesis, as a first step towards a more comprehensive and integrated evaluation of the effects on other type of cells in the brain like oligodendrocyte, astrocyte or endothelial cells implicated in the vascularization of the brain and transport across the blood-brain barrier.

## 335 Increased DNA damage leads to TP53 activation and apoptosis of progenitors 336 in the developing brain

337 Controlling the number and differentiation of progenitor cells during brain 338 development is critical to generate the appropriate number of neurons (and other type of 339 cells) and to achieve normal expansion of the cerebral cortex (Noctor et al. 2004; 340 Kriegstein and Alvarez-Buylla 2009; Xing et al. 2021)(Pinson and Huttner 2021). The 341 best documented cause of primary microcephaly is the loss of radial glial cells (RGCs) 342 and intermediate progenitors by apoptosis (Baala et al. 2007; Sessa et al. 2008). Such 343 losses occur by the induction of genomic instability (Marthiens et al. 2013) and the 344 accumulation of unrepairable DNA damages (through cell division) via mutation in 345 critical genes involved in the DNA damage response (DDR) (Buck et al. 2006; 346 O'Driscoll and Jeggo 2008; Zhou et al. 2013; Bianchi et al. 2017), or defective 347 chromosomal segregation during mitosis that leads to prolonged cell arrest and TP53-348 mediated apoptosis (Chen et al. 2014; Insolera et al. 2014; Phan et al. 2021). In 349 addition, other mutations can lead to excessive and inappropriate TP53 activation in the 350 developing brain, ultimately leading to microcephaly (or microcephaly-associated 351 syndromes) because of increased apoptosis of neural progenitors (Bowen and Attardi 352 2019).

353 As a well-known mutagen, IR induces microcephaly. Compelling evidence from human

354 epidemiological studies and laboratory animals exposed in utero demonstrated the link 355 between radiation-induced DNA damage and apoptosis of progenitors in the mouse 356 cerebral cortex as the origin of microcephaly (Nowak et al. 2006; Saha et al. 2014; 357 Barazzuol and Jeggo 2016; Kashiwagi et al. 2018; Mfossa et al. 2020). Microcephaly is 358 observed after exposure throughout the neurogenesis period, from E11 to E17 in the 359 mice and between weeks 8 and 25 of gestation in humans (Verreet, Verslegers, et al. 360 2016), and is apparent in the domain of the low dose radiation (near 0.2 Gy for humans 361 and 0.3 Gy for the mouse). In both species, the decreased brain size was strongly 362 correlated to altered cognitive performance (Verreet, Rangarajan, et al. 2016; Verreet, 363 Verslegers, et al. 2016). Importantly, the central role of TP53-induced apoptosis was 364 demonstrated by transcriptome-wide expression studies of TP53 early transcriptional 365 response to radiation exposure in mouse (Quintens et al. 2015; Mfossa et al. 2020) and 366 zebrafish (Jaafar et al. 2013). Moreover, the partial rescue of the microcephaly 367 phenotype when conditional Trp53 knock out mice are exposed to IR, provides a direct 368 causal link between radiation-induced apoptosis and microcephaly (Mfossa et al. 2020).

369 The evidence describing the effects of IR on DNA is already present in two different 370 AOP (https://aopwiki.org, AOP#272, AOP#293) and it is out of the scope of this work 371 to present the detailed mechanisms of DNA damages and repair (see for instance (Lu et 372 al. 2019; Tang et al. 2022)). However, it remain important to consider that during 373 neurogenesis, the repair of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) via nonhomologous end-374 joining (NHEJ) or homologous recombination (HR) depend on the developmental stage, 375 as DSB in early progenitors (E13 in the mouse) are mainly repaired by HR, while 376 progenitors present at later stage requires NHEJ (Orii et al. 2006; Li et al. 2020). The 377 causality between the KE increased DSB - TP53 activation - apoptosis, is well 378 described and the biological plausibility is considered strong. Increased DSB and

apoptosis are two KEs already present in AOP-Wiki (respectively KE 1194 and KE
1262 or KE 1365), but TP53 activation was not yet proposed as a KE (except KE 1923
'Altered gene expression, TP53 dependent apoptosis pathway). Many other functional
studies evidenced a role of DDR and TP53-dependent responses similar to those elicited
by IR, suggesting the activation of identical underlaying mechanisms (Pilaz et al. 2016;
Mao et al. 2016; Baumgartner et al. 2018; Shi et al. 2019; Keil et al. 2020).

385 Beside apoptosis, mitotic spindle defects (Shimada et al. 2016) and endoplasmic 386 reticulum stress (Passemard et al. 2019) were also implicated in microcephaly in the 387 context of IR exposure. Finally, data showed that TP53 have also a role in cell-fate 388 determination during neurogenesis, independent of its classical function in the induction 389 of apoptosis. Indeed, in vivo study using Trp53 mutant mice demonstrated that Trp53 hyperactivation led to a precocious differentiation of RGC into neurons during 390 391 embryonic development (Liu et al. 2013; Mfossa et al. 2020). In this case, the pool of 392 RGC is depleted too early (Gruber et al. 2011), limiting the production of neurons 393 throughout neurogenesis and thereby contributing to a decreased brain size. Given all 394 these data, the WG considered TP53 activation as a genuine KE essential for the 395 appearance of microcephaly via two independent KE, namely apoptosis and premature 396 neuronal differentiation.

#### 397 Oxidative stress

398 Deposition of ionizing energy may induce oxidative stress, an imbalance between 399 oxidants and antioxidants in favor of the former. In addition, IR can directly damage 400 DNA but also indirectly through the production of reactive oxygen species (Kim et al. 401 2019). Oxidative stress produces mainly single-strand DNA breaks, whereas more 402 detrimental effects of radiation are thought to be contributed by the radicals formed

403 along the radiation track where they induce clustered DNA-damage (Sharma et al. 404 2016). Although oxidative stress has been associated with a variety of diseases, such as 405 cancer and cardiac diseases, there is currently only limited evidence of its involvement 406 in radiation-induced microcephaly. This limited evidence includes the occurrence of 407 oxidative stress and cell cycle arrest in hippocampal neuronal progenitor cells (Oh et al. 408 2013) and increased neuroinflammation in the brain of adult Wistar albino rats (Kale et 409 al. 2018). Although it is challenging to directly link a measure of oxidative stress to 410 DNA damage, some studies have demonstrated quite clearly a key role played by 411 oxidative stress in radiosensitivity and IR-induced DDR, which remain to be 412 investigated in the case of microcephaly (Hong et al. 2013; Yazlovitskaya et al. 2015) 413 (Nikitaki et al. 2015). In addition to acute DNA damage by radiation-induced oxidants, 414 several studies have found radiation to cause persistent oxidative stress (Datta et al. 415 2012; Cosar et al. 2012), which in turn might result in a prolonged increase in DNA 416 damage. Taken together, we acknowledge that oxidative stress might be involved in parallel or as a complementary pathway that can be described by an AOP network, but 417 418 we did not include it as a KE in the AOP due to the low weight of evidence of this 419 process during microcephaly progression.

#### 420 Neuroinflammation

421 Neuroinflammation is considered as a key component of the stress response in the brain 422 after exposure to various stressors in adults and during embryogenesis. It has therefore 423 been considered as a possible KE during the construction of the preliminary AOP for 424 microcephaly. Recent studies associating neuroinflammation and microcephaly are 425 related to ZIKV infection during gestation. Indeed, many studies highlighted that 426 infections by ZIKV during pregnancy is characterized by polyfunctional immune 427 activation associated with increased levels of inflammatory cytokines in the amniotic

428 fluid of ZIKV-positive pregnant women, including the presence of interferon-gamma-429 inducible protein 10, interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-8, vascular endothelial growth factor 430 (VEGF), monocyte chemoattractive protein 1 (MCP-1) and granulocyte colony-431 stimulating factor (G-SF) (Ghouzzi et al. 2017). This inflammatory response is 432 associated with neuronal damages during neurogenesis, leading to microcephaly (Tappe 433 et al. 2016; Ornelas et al. 2017; Lima et al. 2019). In the context of IR, several studies 434 reported a microglial activation after exposure of embryonic, young or adult rodents to 435 IR, which elicited neuroinflammation associated with neuronal loss and cognitive 436 deficit (Quintens et al. 2015; Verreet, Verslegers, et al. 2016; Osman et al. 2020; 437 Constanzo et al. 2020). Gene expression changes in irradiated microglia of juvenile 438 mice highly overlap with those seen in the developing mouse brain and converge on the 439 TP53 pathway and inflammation (Osman et al. 2020; Mfossa et al. 2020). Importantly, 440 pharmacological inhibition of several cytokines can confer neuroprotection depending 441 on the stage of development and type of injury, highlighting the contribution of 442 neuroinflammation in the appearance of neurological disorders (Hagberg et al. 2015). In 443 addition there is a strong relationship between chronic inflammation and oxidative 444 damage after exposure to IR (Najafi et al. 2017; Yahyapour et al. 2018). Indeed, after 445 exposure to very high dose of IR (> 1 Gy), necrosis is more common than apoptosis, 446 which leads to the release of damage-associated molecular patterns by necrotic cells 447 which stimulate more T-cells and dendritic cells, amplifying the production of reactive 448 oxygen species (ROS) and inflammatory mediators (Multhoff et al. 2011; Yahyapour et 449 al. 2018). In contrast, at lower doses of IR, macrophages detect apoptotic cells and can 450 release anti-inflammatory cytokines such as transforming growth factor beta (TGF-B) 451 that keep inflammation under control. Disruption of non-cell autonomous signaling like 452 TGF- $\beta$  can have a role in the progression of microcephaly as they are also essential for

453 cortical migration during development (Zhang et al. 2016). The role of these factors in 454 the sense of bystander effects of radiation has so far not been demonstrated. The 455 interaction between inflammation and oxidative stress is thus complex. It is noteworthy 456 that infection of human neural progenitor cells by Zika virus (Ghouzzi et al. 2017), 457 irradiation of the mouse embryonic brain (Quintens et al. 2015) and mutation in the 458 DDR gene citron kinase (Di Cunto et al. 2000), all results in TP53-mediated apoptosis of the developing neuronal progenitors (Quintens 2017). As radiation-induced apoptosis 459 460 triggers inflammation (Boyd et al. 2021), it is possible that neuroinflammation 461 contributes to microcephaly, but this process remains poorly documented and could also 462 be considered as secondary compared to apoptosis. The WG decided thus to exclude 463 neuroinflammation from the list of possible KE until a causative role has been 464 established.

## 465 Radiation-induced microcephaly, test-case application of a machine learning 466 method

467 Given the enormous amount of existing knowledge in the scientific literature and other 468 data sources, the identification of relevant biological information to build AOP is a 469 complex and time-consuming task, especially in deciphering sparse data. Thus, to assist 470 in the AOP development process, the WG discussed that the use of artificial intelligence 471 (AI) and automated data mining methods can represent a complementary approach to 472 the expert manual review of the available data. From this perspective, an innovative AI 473 tool, called AOP-helpFinder, that combines graph theory and text mining, was 474 developed to automatically explore the scientific abstracts from the PubMed database 475 (Carvaillo et al. 2019; Jornod et al. 2021). This tool was previously successfully applied 476 in several studies to identify and extract associations between stressors (bisphenol S, 477 bisphenol F and pesticides) and KEs of interest (Carvaillo et al. 2019; Rugard et al.

478 2020; Jornod et al. 2021). Epidemiological studies linking microcephaly and IR 479 exposures being sparse in the literature, and then difficult to identify, we used the AOP-480 helpFinder tool to quickly identify and extract, in a systematic manner, all published 481 existing information that could help AOP development. To do so, the user-friendly 482 AOP-helpFinder webserver was applied using the key words 'ionizing radiation' and 483 biological events 'Microcephaly' and 'Small head size' (http://aop-helpfinder.u-paris-484 sciences.fr/, accessed on October, 19), with the options "Reduced search: 20%" and 485 "Refinement filter: yes", in order to extract as much information as possible. Doing so 486 the co-occurrence of 'ionizing radiation' with 'Microcephaly' or 'Small head size' in 487 the abstract of PubMed database was scanned. Other phenotypical terminology such as 488 brain atrophy, gliosis, or reduced neurogenesis where considered less precise. After 489 automatic computational exploration of 125,226 abstracts related to IR from PubMed 490 database, the AOP-helpFinder tool allowed to gather 47 articles associating IR and 491 microcephaly (or small head size). Among these 47 links, a manual curation of the 492 abstracts allowed to keep only the 9 epidemiological articles dealing with exposure to 493 IR in humans. All these 9 publications were fully evaluated and discussed in the WG. 494 Two types of epidemiological studies were discriminated, either related to A-bomb 495 survivors in Hiroshima and Nagasaki (Plummer 1952; Miller and Blot 1972; Otake and 496 Schull 1998) or exposures after the Chernobyl NPP accident (Wertelecki et al. 2014; 497 Wertelecki et al. 2017; Wertelecki et al. 2018). After removing potential redundancy 498 within these studies (including metanalysis and confirmational studies), the WG 499 proposed to incorporate this knowledge via non-adjacent KER between the MIE 'energy 500 deposition' and microcephaly, as these studies demonstrated a clear link between the 501 two events, but without intermediate KEs.

#### 502 **Preliminary AOP based on expert consultation**

503 Based on the discussion in the WG, it was possible to propose a preliminary AOP 504 related to radiation-induced microcephaly (Figure 1)..

505 The MIE (MIE1686, Deposition of Energy) leads to the increased DNA damage at the 506 molecular scale (KE 1194, Increase, DNA damage), which is considered in this AOP as 507 the main route by which IR exerts their effects at higher levels of biological 508 organization. The large amount of data describing the link between IR and DNA 509 damages already present in AOP-Wiki, and the additional evidences gathered in the 510 context of neurogenesis (Table1), led to WG to propose a 'High' WoE for this KE. 511 'Activation of TP53' (KE 1974) is proposed as a novel essential KE. The existing KE 512 1923 'altered gene expression, P53 dependent apoptosis pathway' was not used as it is 513 restricted to apoptosis. This KE is first supported by a time course analysis by 514 immunoblot of phosphorylated TP53 on (E14) primary mouse striatal stem irradiated 515 acutely to 1 Gy and 2 Gy of X-rays (Kashiwagi et al. 2018). The authors also quantified 516 TP53BP1 by immunofluorescence and describe that the peak of DNA damages (10 min 517 after irradiation) precedes, as expected, the peak of TP53 activation (3h to 5h after 518 irradiation). Similar data were obtained by Limoli et al. (Limoli et al. 2004), but with 519 higher dose (5 Gy). In the context of microcephaly, the link between increased DNA-520 damages and TP53 activation was demonstrated by immunofluorescence using yH2Ax 521 and TP53BP1 on brain cryosections and immunoblotting against phosphorylated TP53 522 in Citk-/- E14.5 mouse embryos (Bianchi et al. 2017; Mfossa et al. 2020). Together, this 523 WoE for 'Activation of TP53' (KE 1974) was considered high. TP53 activation is 524 necessary for the induction of two possible cellular outcomes in the developing brain, 525 increased apoptosis (KE1365, 'Increase apoptosis') or 'Premature cell differentiation' 526 (KE 1979), which could both contribute to 'microcephaly' (AO 1978). The WG 527 identified the KE1365, 'Increase apoptosis' (already present in AOP-Wiki), with high 528 level of confidence in the context of brain development, as described by analysis using 529 cleaved Caspase-3 (CC3) (Shimada et al. 2016; Mfossa et al. 2020), TUNEL assay 530 (Saha et al. 2014; Barazzuol and Jeggo 2016; Bianchi et al. 2017; Mfossa et al. 2020) on 531 mouse brain cryosections, western blotting (Kashiwagi et al. 2018) and microscopic 532 analysis of pyknotic nuclei (Nowak et al. 2006; Etienne et al. 2012). The KE 'Premature cell differentiation' (KE 1979) was supported by immunostaining of the neuronal 533 534 markers on mouse brain cryosections (Liu et al. 2013; Mfossa et al. 2020). We noted the 535 existence of the KE 1560 'altered differentiation', but considered that this KE was not 536 adequate as altered differentiation can also lead to defective cell function and this term 537 does not convey the notion of temporality during development. In addition, both IR-538 induced apoptosis and premature differentiation were ablated in conditional Trp53 539 knock out mouse, which adds to the WoE (Mfossa et al. 2020). But, together, as few 540 studies were identified, this WoE was considered moderate. Finally, the abundant 541 epidemiological data in the field of radiation is incorporated as a non-adjacent KER 542 between energy deposition and the adverse outcome (AOP 441, https://aopwiki.org).

543 The proposed AOP represents the foundation on which more dedicated work will be needed. Indeed, despite the willingness of the WG to follow the modified Bradford-Hill 544 545 criteria to assess the WoE for the KER, this process was only initiated, and it was 546 evident that additional effort will be needed to compile the evidence in a systematic and 547 transparent manner. Additional potential KE were considered in the initial phase of the 548 AOP construction and might be considered for future development of the AOP towards 549 an AOP network. For transparency the complete list of the unused events is provided in 550 Supplementary Table 1.

#### 551 Conclusion

552 In this work, we proposed an AOP for radiation-induced microcephaly and highlighted 553 the important molecular and cellular processes leading to this adverse outcome. The 554 WG considered accidental exposure to IR (> 0.1 Gy) of low LET energy (gamma and 555 X-rays) during pregnancy (between 8 and 25 weeks post-fertilization in human), as a 556 well-known risk factor for microcephaly. The effects of IR on RGs and intermediate 557 progenitors in the developing brain were considered as the main KEs leading to 558 microcephaly. The AOP proposed here is a simplistic representation of the known 559 mechanisms leading to microcephaly after exposure to IR. It relies on epidemiological 560 data and is completed by mechanistic evidences that support the relationship between 561 IR and microcephaly. As such, this AOP can facilitate the predictive extrapolation to 562 other animal species, given that the processes of neurogenesis are to a certain extent 563 similar and the KERs conserved, and can thus help understand the effects on brain size 564 observed on wild animals after the Fukushima and Chernobyl nuclear accidents (Møller 565 et al. 2011; Hayama et al. 2017).

566 Besides a classical knowledge gap in the field of low-dose (< 0.1 Gy) and low-dose rate 567 (< 5 mGy/h), the development of the AOP also emphasized the need for more studies on 568 cell fate after irradiation. Indeed, if apoptosis is well documented, the effects of IR on 569 premature neuronal differentiation, cell migration, cytokinesis defects and their link 570 with cellular senescence, oxidative stress and neuroinflammation remain scarce, as well 571 as their contribution to microcephaly. The WG also identified the effect on non-572 neuronal tissues as a major gap, especially for the vascular system and microglia. More 573 functional analysis (i.e. mutants, transgenesis and other mechanistic data) are thus 574 required to delineate causes and consequences in the context of DNA damage induced 575 by IR. It is also noteworthy that most AOP related to DNA damage in the AOP-Wiki 576 database relate to cancer effects. If cancer is indeed a major outcome following 577 exposure to a relative low to moderate dose of IR, non-cancer effects (including 578 developmental effects but also cardiovascular or cognitive effects in adults) remain less 579 studied and should not be neglected. The final proposed AOP is relatively simple and 580 more dedicated work is required to assess WoE in a systematic and transparent manner 581 as well as identifying additional MIEs and KEs relevant for the same AO. This effort 582 would entail developing additional AOPs that collectively can form an AOP network 583 where the relevance of each AOP can be assessed in terms of developing quantitative 584 stressor-response and response-response relationships. In this regard, automated 585 analysis by machine learning approaches will help to screen the huge literature rapidly, 586 and permits consolidation of KEs, but limits still exists for this automated process as 587 different AO and KE terminology can affect the search. More generally, system biology 588 approaches including omics data will be useful to consolidate the molecular events of 589 the AOP, and will be of use by bringing a quantitative aspect to the AOP.

590

591 Acknowledgements:

This project was supported by funding from the Euratom research and training program 20192020 under grant agreement No. 900009 (RadoNorm); the Research Council of Norway (RCN)
through its Centre for Excellence funding scheme (Project No. 223268 and project No. 268294
'MixRisk') and NIVAS Computational Toxicology Program, NCTP (www.niva.no/nctp, RCN
project No. 160016).

597

598 Disclosure statement

All authors declare that they have no competing interests. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official views of the OECD or of the governments of the OECD Member Countries.

602

603 References:

Applegate KE, Findlay Ú, Fraser L, Kinsella Y, Ainsbury L, Bouffler S. 2021.
Radiation exposures in pregnancy, health effects and risks to the embryo/foetusinformation to inform the medical management of the pregnant patient. J Radiol Prot.
41(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6498/ac1c95

Baala L, Briault S, Etchevers HC, Laumonnier F, Natiq A, Amiel J, Boddaert N, Picard
C, Sbiti A, Asermouh A, et al. 2007. Homozygous silencing of T-box transcription
factor EOMES leads to microcephaly with polymicrogyria and corpus callosum
agenesis. Nat Genet. 39(4):454–456. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1993

Barazzuol L, Jeggo PA. 2016. In vivo sensitivity of the embryonic and adult neural stem
cell compartments to low-dose radiation. J Radiat Res. 57(Suppl 1):i2–i10.
https://doi.org/10.1093/jrr/rrw013

Baumgartner M, Olthof AM, Aquino GS, Hyatt KC, Lemoine C, Drake K, Sturrock N,
Nguyen N, al Seesi S, Kanadia RN. 2018. Minor spliceosome inactivation causes
microcephaly, owing to cell cycle defects and death of self-amplifying radial glial cells.
Development. 145(17):dev166322. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.166322

- 619Becerra-Solano LE, Mateos-Sánchez L, López-Muñoz E. 2021. Microcephaly, an620etiopathogenic vision. Pediatr Neonatol. 62(4):354–360.621https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedneo.2021.05.008
- Becker RA, Ankley GT, Edwards SW, Kennedy SW, Linkov I, Meek B, Sachana M,
  Segner H, Van Der Burg B, Villeneuve DL, et al. 2015. Increasing Scientific
  Confidence in Adverse Outcome Pathways: Application of Tailored Bradford-Hill
  Considerations for Evaluating Weight of Evidence. Regul Toxicol Pharmacol.
  72(3):514–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2015.04.004
- Beyer J, Petersen K, Song Y, Ruus A, Grung M, Bakke T, Tollefsen KE. 2014.
  Environmental risk assessment of combined effects in aquatic ecotoxicology: A
  discussion paper. Marine Environmental Research. 96:81–91.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2013.10.008
- Bianchi FT, Tocco C, Pallavicini G, Liu Y, Vernì F, Merigliano C, Bonaccorsi S, ElAssawy N, Priano L, Gai M, et al. 2017. Citron Kinase Deficiency Leads to
  Chromosomal Instability and TP53-Sensitive Microcephaly. Cell Rep. 18(7):1674–
  1686. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.01.054
- Bowen ME, Attardi LD. 2019. The role of p53 in developmental syndromes. J Mol Cell
  Biol. 11(3):200–211. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmcb/mjy087
- Boyd A, Byrne S, Middleton RJ, Banati RB, Liu G-J. 2021. Control of
  Neuroinflammation through Radiation-Induced Microglial Changes. Cells. 10(9):2381.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/cells10092381
- Buck D, Malivert L, de Chasseval R, Barraud A, Fondanèche M-C, Sanal O, Plebani A,
  Stéphan J-L, Hufnagel M, le Deist F, et al. 2006. Cernunnos, a novel nonhomologous
- 642 end-joining factor, is mutated in human immunodeficiency with microcephaly. Cell.
- 643 124(2):287–299. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.12.030

644 Carvaillo J-C, Barouki R, Coumoul X, Audouze K. 2019. Linking Bisphenol S to
645 Adverse Outcome Pathways Using a Combined Text Mining and Systems Biology
646 Approach. Environ Health Perspect. 127(4):47005. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP4200

647 Chauhan V, Beaton D, Hamada N, Wilkins R, Burtt J, Leblanc J, Cool D, Garnier648 Laplace J, Laurier D, Le Y, et al. 2022. Adverse outcome pathway: a path toward better
649 data consolidation and global co-ordination of radiation research. Int J Radiat Biol.:1–
650 10. https://doi.org/10.1080/09553002.2021.2020363

Chauhan V, Hamada N, Monceau V, Ebrahimian T, Adam N, Wilkins RC, Sebastian S,
Patel ZS, Huff JL, Simonetto C, et al. 2021. Expert consultation is vital for adverse
outcome pathway development: a case example of cardiovascular effects of ionizing
radiation. Int J Radiat Biol. 97(11):1516–1525.
https://doi.org/10.1080/09553002.2021.1969466

Chen J-F, Zhang Y, Wilde J, Hansen KC, Lai F, Niswander L. 2014. Microcephaly
disease gene Wdr62 regulates mitotic progression of embryonic neural stem cells and
brain size. Nat Commun. 5:3885. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4885

Coelho AVC, Crovella S. 2017. Microcephaly Prevalence in Infants Born to Zika VirusInfected Women: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. International Journal of
Molecular Sciences. 18(8):1714. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18081714

662 Conolly RB, Ankley GT, Cheng W, Mayo ML, Miller DH, Perkins EJ, Villeneuve DL,
663 Watanabe KH. 2017. Quantitative Adverse Outcome Pathways and Their Application to

664PredictiveToxicology.EnvironSciTechnol.51(8):4661–4672.665https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b0623051(8):4661–4672.51(8):4661–4672.

666 Constanzo J, Midavaine É, Fouquet J, Lepage M, Descoteaux M, Kirby K, Tremblay L,
667 Masson-Côté L, Geha S, Longpré J-M, et al. 2020. Brain irradiation leads to persistent
668 neuroinflammation and long-term neurocognitive dysfunction in a region-specific
669 manner. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 102:109954.
670 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pnpbp.2020.109954

671 Cosar R, Yurut-Caloglu V, Eskiocak S, Ozen A, Altaner S, Ibis K, Turan N, Denizli B,
672 Uzal C, Saynak M, et al. 2012. Radiation-induced chronic oxidative renal damage can
673 be reduced by amifostine. Med Oncol. 29(2):768–775. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12032674 011-9870-7

Datta K, Suman S, Kallakury BVS, Fornace AJ. 2012. Exposure to heavy ion radiation
induces persistent oxidative stress in mouse intestine. PLoS One. 7(8):e42224.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0042224

Di Cunto F, Imarisio S, Hirsch E, Broccoli V, Bulfone A, Migheli A, Atzori C, Turco E,
Triolo R, Dotto GP, et al. 2000. Defective neurogenesis in citron kinase knockout mice
by altered cytokinesis and massive apoptosis. Neuron. 28(1):115–127.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(00)00090-8

Etienne O, Roque T, Haton C, Boussin FD. 2012. Variation of radiation-sensitivity of
neural stem and progenitor cell populations within the developing mouse brain. Int J
Radiat Biol. 88(10):694–702. https://doi.org/10.3109/09553002.2012.710927

685 Ghouzzi VE, Bianchi FT, Molineris I, Mounce BC, Berto GE, Rak M, Lebon S, Aubry L, Tocco C, Gai M, et al. 2017. ZIKA virus elicits P53 activation and genotoxic stress 686 687 in human neural progenitors similar to mutations involved in severe forms of genetic 688 microcephaly and p53. Cell Death Dis. 8(1):e2567. 689 https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2016.446

Goldstein L. 1930. RADIOGENIC MICROCEPHALY: A SURVEY OF NINETEEN
RECORDED CASES, WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO OPHTHALMIC DEFECTS.
Archives of Neurology & Psychiatry. 24(1):102–115.
https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurpsyc.1930.02220130105010

Goldstein L, Murphy DP. 1929. Microcephalic idiocy following radium therapy for
uterine cancer during pregnancy. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology.
18(2):189–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9378(29)91057-7

Gruber R, Zhou Z, Sukchev M, Joerss T, Frappart P-O, Wang Z-Q. 2011. MCPH1
regulates the neuroprogenitor division mode by coupling the centrosomal cycle with
mitotic entry through the Chk1-Cdc25 pathway. Nat Cell Biol. 13(11):1325–1334.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2342

Hagberg H, Mallard C, Ferriero DM, Vannucci SJ, Levison SW, Vexler ZS, Gressens P.
2015. The role of inflammation in perinatal brain injury. Nat Rev Neurol. 11(4):192–

703 208. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2015.13

Hayama S-I, Tsuchiya M, Ochiai K, Nakiri S, Nakanishi S, Ishii N, Kato T, Tanaka A,
Konno F, Kawamoto Y, Omi T. 2017. Small head size and delayed body weight growth
in wild Japanese monkey fetuses after the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster. Sci Rep.
7(1):3528. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-03866-8

Hong Z, Kase Y, Moritake T, Gerelchuluun A, Sun L, Suzuki K, Terunuma T, Yasuoka
K, Kumada H, Anzai K, et al. 2013. Lineal energy-based evaluation of oxidative DNA
damage induced by proton beams and X-rays. Int J Radiat Biol. 89(1):36–43.
https://doi.org/10.3109/09553002.2012.715791

- Insolera R, Bazzi H, Shao W, Anderson KV, Shi S-H. 2014. Cortical neurogenesis in
  the absence of centrioles. Nat Neurosci. 17(11):1528–1535.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3831
- Jaafar L, Podolsky RH, Dynan WS. 2013. Long-term effects of ionizing radiation on
  gene expression in a zebrafish model. PLoS One. 8(7):e69445.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069445

Jornod F, Jaylet T, Blaha L, Sarigiannis D, Tamisier L, Audouze K. 2021. AOPhelpFinder webserver: a tool for comprehensive analysis of the literature to support
adverse outcome pathways development. Bioinformatics.:btab750.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab750

Kale A, Piskin Ö, Bas Y, Aydin BG, Can M, Elmas Ö, Büyükuysal Ç. 2018.
Neuroprotective effects of Quercetin on radiation-induced brain injury in rats. J Radiat
Res. 59(4):404–410. https://doi.org/10.1093/jrr/rry032

- Kashiwagi H, Shiraishi K, Sakaguchi K, Nakahama T, Kodama S. 2018. Repair kinetics
  of DNA double-strand breaks and incidence of apoptosis in mouse neural
  stem/progenitor cells and their differentiated neurons exposed to ionizing radiation. J
  Radiat Res. 59(3):261–271. https://doi.org/10.1093/jrr/rrx089
- Keil JM, Doyle DZ, Qalieh A, Lam MM, Funk OH, Qalieh Y, Shi L, Mohan N, Sorel
  A, Kwan KY. 2020. Symmetric neural progenitor divisions require chromatin-mediated
  homologous recombination DNA repair by Ino80. Nat Commun. 11(1):3839.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17551-4
- Kim W, Lee S, Seo D, Kim D, Kim K, Kim E, Kang J, Seong KM, Youn H, Youn B.
  Cellular Stress Responses in Radiotherapy. Cells. 8(9):1105.
  https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8091105
- Kriegstein A, Alvarez-Buylla A. 2009. The glial nature of embryonic and adult neural
  stem cells. Annu Rev Neurosci. 32:149–184.
  https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.neuro.051508.135600
- Li Jingzheng, Shang Y, Wang L, Zhao B, Sun C, Li Jiali, Liu S, Li C, Tang M, Meng FL, Zheng P. 2020. Genome integrity and neurogenesis of postnatal hippocampal neural
  stem/progenitor cells require a unique regulator Filia. Science Advances.
  6(44):eaba0682. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba0682
- Lima MC, de Mendonça LR, Rezende AM, Carrera RM, Aníbal-Silva CE, Demers M,
  D'Aiuto L, Wood J, Chowdari KV, Griffiths M, et al. 2019. The Transcriptional and
  Protein Profile From Human Infected Neuroprogenitor Cells Is Strongly Correlated to
  Zika Virus Microcephaly Cytokines Phenotype Evidencing a Persistent Inflammation in
  the CNS. Front Immunol. 10:1928. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.01928
- Limoli CL, Giedzinski E, Rola R, Otsuka S, Palmer TD, Fike JR. 2004. Radiation
  response of neural precursor cells: linking cellular sensitivity to cell cycle checkpoints,
  apoptosis and oxidative stress. Radiat Res. 161(1):17–27. https://doi.org/10.1667/rr3112
- Liu H, Jia D, Li A, Chau J, He D, Ruan X, Liu F, Li J, He L, Li B. 2013. p53 Regulates
  Neural Stem Cell Proliferation and Differentiation via BMP-Smad1 Signaling and Id1.
  Stem Cells Dev. 22(6):913–927. https://doi.org/10.1089/scd.2012.0370
- Lu H, Saha J, Beckmann PJ, Hendrickson EA, Davis AJ. 2019. DNA-PKcs promotes
  chromatin decondensation to facilitate initiation of the DNA damage response. Nucleic
  Acids Research. 47(18):9467–9479. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz694
- Mao H, McMahon JJ, Tsai Y-H, Wang Z, Silver DL. 2016. Haploinsufficiency for Core
  Exon Junction Complex Components Disrupts Embryonic Neurogenesis and Causes
  p53-Mediated Microcephaly. PLoS Genet. 12(9):e1006282.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006282
- Marthiens V, Rujano MA, Pennetier C, Tessier S, Paul-Gilloteaux P, Basto R. 2013.
  Centrosome amplification causes microcephaly. Nat Cell Biol. 15(7):731–740.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2746
- Mfossa A-CM, Verslegers M, Verreet T, Fida H bin, Mysara M, IJcken WFJV, Vos
  WHD, Moons L, Baatout S, Benotmane MA, et al. 2020. p53 drives premature neuronal

- differentiation in response to radiation-induced DNA damage during early neurogenesis
  [Internet]. [accessed 2022 Feb 22]:2020.06.26.171132.
  https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.26.171132
- Miller RW, Blot WJ. 1972. Small head size after in-utero exposure to atomic radiation.
  Lancet. 2(7781):784–787. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0140-6736(72)92145-9
- Moe SJ, Wolf R, Xie L, Landis WG, Kotamäki N, Tollefsen KE. 2021. Quantification
  of an Adverse Outcome Pathway Network by Bayesian Regression and Bayesian
  Network Modeling. Integr Environ Assess Manag. 17(1):147–164.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/ieam.4348
- Møller AP, Bonisoli-Alquati A, Rudolfsen G, Mousseau TA. 2011. Chernobyl birds
  have smaller brains. PLoS One. 6(2):e16862.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016862
- Multhoff G, Molls M, Radons J. 2011. Chronic inflammation in cancer development.
  Front Immunol. 2:98. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2011.00098
- Najafi M, Shirazi A, Motevaseli E, Geraily G, Norouzi F, Heidari M, Rezapoor S. 2017.
  The melatonin immunomodulatory actions in radiotherapy. Biophys Rev. 9(2):139–148.
  https://doi.org/10.1007/s12551-017-0256-8
- Nakagawa K, Aoki Y, Kusama T, Ban N, Nakagawa S, Sasaki Y. 1997. Radiotherapy
  during pregnancy: effects on fetuses and neonates. Clin Ther. 19(4):770–777.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/s0149-2918(97)80101-4
- Nikitaki Z, Hellweg CE, Georgakilas AG, Ravanat J-L. 2015. Stress-induced DNA
  damage biomarkers: applications and limitations. Front Chem. 3:35.
  https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2015.00035
- Noctor SC, Martínez-Cerdeño V, Ivic L, Kriegstein AR. 2004. Cortical neurons arise in
  symmetric and asymmetric division zones and migrate through specific phases. Nat
  Neurosci. 7(2):136–144. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1172
- Nowak E, Etienne O, Millet P, Lages CS, Mathieu C, Mouthon M-A, Boussin FD.
  2006. Radiation-induced H2AX phosphorylation and neural precursor apoptosis in the
  developing brain of mice. Radiat Res. 165(2):155–164. https://doi.org/10.1667/rr3496.1
- O'Driscoll M, Jeggo PA. 2008. The role of the DNA damage response pathways in
  brain development and microcephaly: insight from human disorders. DNA Repair
  (Amst). 7(7):1039–1050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2008.03.018
- OECD. 2018. Handbook supplement to the Guidance Document for developing and
  assessing Adverse Outcome Pathways [Internet]. https://www.oecdilibrary.org/content/paper/5jlv1m9d1g32-en
- 801 Oh SB, Park HR, Jang YJ, Choi SY, Son TG, Lee J. 2013. Baicalein attenuates 802 impaired hippocampal neurogenesis and the neurocognitive deficits induced by  $\gamma$ -ray 803 radiation. Br J Pharmacol. 168(2):421–431. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1476-804 5381.2012.02142.x

- 805 Orii KE, Lee Y, Kondo N, McKinnon PJ. 2006. Selective utilization of nonhomologous
  806 end-joining and homologous recombination DNA repair pathways during nervous
  807 system development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 103(26):10017–10022.
  808 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602436103
- 809 Ornelas AMM, Pezzuto P, Silveira PP, Melo FO, Ferreira TA, Oliveira-Szejnfeld PS,
  810 Leal JI, Amorim MMR, Hamilton S, Rawlinson WD, et al. 2017. Immune activation in
  811 amniotic fluid from Zika virus-associated microcephaly. Ann Neurol. 81(1):152–156.
  812 https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24839
- 813 Osman AM, Sun Y, Burns TC, He L, Kee N, Oliva-Vilarnau N, Alevyzaki A, Zhou K,
  814 Louhivuori L, Uhlén P, et al. 2020. Radiation Triggers a Dynamic Sequence of
  815 Transient Microglial Alterations in Juvenile Brain. Cell Rep. 31(9):107699.
  816 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107699

817 Otake M, Schull WJ. 1993. Radiation-related small head sizes among prenatally
818 exposed A-bomb survivors. Int J Radiat Biol. 63(2):255–270.
819 https://doi.org/10.1080/09553009314550341

- Otake M, Schull WJ. 1998. Radiation-related brain damage and growth retardation
  among the prenatally exposed atomic bomb survivors. Int J Radiat Biol. 74(2):159–171.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/095530098141555
- 823 Passemard S, Kaindl AM, Verloes A. 2013. Chapter 13 - Microcephaly. In: Dulac O, 824 Lassonde M, Sarnat HB, editors. Handbook of Clinical Neurology [Internet]. Vol. 111. 825 [place unknown]: Elsevier; [accessed 2022 Mar 3]; 129–141. p. 826 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52891-9.00013-0
- Passemard S, Perez F, Gressens P, El Ghouzzi V. 2019. Endoplasmic reticulum and
  Golgi stress in microcephaly. Cell Stress. 3(12):369–384.
  https://doi.org/10.15698/cst2019.12.206
- Phan TP, Maryniak AL, Boatwright CA, Lee J, Atkins A, Tijhuis A, Spierings DC,
  Bazzi H, Foijer F, Jordan PW, et al. 2021. Centrosome defects cause microcephaly by
  activating the 53BP1-USP28-TP53 mitotic surveillance pathway. EMBO J.
  40(1):e106118. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2020106118
- Pilaz L-J, McMahon JJ, Miller EE, Lennox AL, Suzuki A, Salmon E, Silver DL. 2016.
  Prolonged mitosis of neural progenitors alters cell fate in the developing brain. Neuron.
  89(1):83–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.12.007
- Pinson A, Huttner WB. 2021. Neocortex expansion in development and evolution-from
  genes to progenitor cell biology. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 73:9–18.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2021.04.008
- Plummer G. 1952. Anomalies occurring in children exposed in utero to the atomic
  bomb in Hiroshima. Pediatrics. 10(6):687–693.
- Quintens R. 2017. Convergence and divergence between the transcriptional responses to
  Zika virus infection and prenatal irradiation. Cell Death Dis. 8(3):e2672.
  https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2017.109

- 845 Quintens R, Verreet T, Janssen A, Neefs M, Leysen L, Michaux A, Verslegers M,
- Samari N, Pani G, Verheyde J, et al. 2015. Identification of novel radiation-induced
   p53-dependent transcripts extensively regulated during mouse brain development. Biol
- 848 Open. 4(3):331–344. https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.20149969

Rugard M, Coumoul X, Carvaillo J-C, Barouki R, Audouze K. 2020. Deciphering
Adverse Outcome Pathway Network Linked to Bisphenol F Using Text Mining and
Systems Toxicology Approaches. Toxicol Sci. 173(1):32–40.
https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfz214

Saha S, Woodbine L, Haines J, Coster M, Ricket N, Barazzuol L, Ainsbury E,
Sienkiewicz Z, Jeggo P. 2014. Increased apoptosis and DNA double-strand breaks in
the embryonic mouse brain in response to very low-dose X-rays but not 50 Hz magnetic
fields. J R Soc Interface. 11(100):20140783. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2014.0783

Salbu B, Teien HC, Lind OC, Tollefsen KE. 2019. Why is the multiple stressor concept
of relevance to radioecology? Int J Radiat Biol. 95(7):1015–1024.
https://doi.org/10.1080/09553002.2019.1605463

- Sessa A, Mao C, Hadjantonakis A-K, Klein WH, Broccoli V. 2008. Tbr2 Directs
  Conversion of Radial Glia into Basal Precursors and Guides Neuronal Amplification by
  Indirect Neurogenesis in the Developing Neocortex. Neuron. 60(1):56–69.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2008.09.028
- Sharma V, Collins LB, Chen T, Herr N, Takeda S, Sun W, Swenberg JA, Nakamura J.
  2016. Oxidative stress at low levels can induce clustered DNA lesions leading to NHEJ
  mediated mutations. Oncotarget. 7(18):25377–25390.
  https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.8298
- Shi L, Qalieh A, Lam MM, Keil JM, Kwan KY. 2019. Robust elimination of genomedamaged cells safeguards against brain somatic aneuploidy following Knl1 deletion.
  Nat Commun. 10(1):2588. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10411-w
- Shimada M, Matsuzaki F, Kato A, Kobayashi J, Matsumoto T, Komatsu K. 2016.
  Induction of Excess Centrosomes in Neural Progenitor Cells during the Development of
  Radiation-Induced Microcephaly. PLoS One. 11(7):e0158236.
  https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158236
- Song Y, Xie L, Lee Y, Tollefsen KE. 2020. De Novo Development of a Quantitative
  Adverse Outcome Pathway (qAOP) Network for Ultraviolet B (UVB) Radiation Using
  Targeted Laboratory Tests and Automated Data Mining. Environ Sci Technol.
  54(20):13147–13156. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c03794
- Tang J, Li Z, Wu Q, Irfan M, Li W, Liu X. 2022. Role of Paralogue of XRCC4 and
  XLF in DNA Damage Repair and Cancer Development. Front Immunol. 13:852453.
  https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2022.852453
- Tappe D, Pérez-Girón JV, Zammarchi L, Rissland J, Ferreira DF, Jaenisch T, GómezMedina S, Günther S, Bartoloni A, Muñoz-Fontela C, Schmidt-Chanasit J. 2016.
  Cytokine kinetics of Zika virus-infected patients from acute to reconvalescent phase.
- 885 Med Microbiol Immunol. 205(3):269–273. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00430-015-0445-7

- 886 Verreet T, Rangarajan JR, Quintens R, Verslegers M, Lo AC, Govaerts K, Neefs M,
- Leysen L, Baatout S, Maes F, et al. 2016. Persistent Impact of In utero Irradiation on
  Mouse Brain Structure and Function Characterized by MR Imaging and Behavioral
  Analysis. Front Behav Neurosci. 10:83. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2016.00083

Verreet T, Verslegers M, Quintens R, Baatout S, Benotmane MA. 2016. Current
Evidence for Developmental, Structural, and Functional Brain Defects following
Prenatal Radiation Exposure. Neural Plast. 2016:1243527.
https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/1243527

- Villeneuve DL, Crump D, Garcia-Reyero N, Hecker M, Hutchinson TH, LaLone CA,
  Landesmann B, Lettieri T, Munn S, Nepelska M, et al. 2014. Adverse outcome pathway
  (AOP) development I: strategies and principles. Toxicol Sci. 142(2):312–320.
  https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfu199
- Wertelecki W, Chambers CD, Yevtushok L, Zymak-Zakutnya N, Sosyniuk Z,
  Lapchenko S, Ievtushok B, Akhmedzhanova D, Komov O. 2017. Chornobyl 30 years
  later: Radiation, pregnancies, and developmental anomalies in Rivne, Ukraine. Eur J
  Med Genet. 60(1):2–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2016.09.019
- 902 Wertelecki W, Yevtushok L, Kuznietsov I, Komov O, Lapchenko S, Akhmedzanova D,
- 903 Ostapchuk L. 2018. Chornobyl, radiation, neural tube defects, and microcephaly. Eur J
- 904 Med Genet. 61(9):556–563. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmg.2018.06.005
- Wertelecki W, Yevtushok L, Zymak-Zakutnia N, Wang B, Sosyniuk Z, Lapchenko S,
  Hobart HH. 2014. Blastopathies and microcephaly in a Chornobyl impacted region of
  Ukraine. Congenit Anom (Kyoto). 54(3):125–149. https://doi.org/10.1111/cga.12051
- 907 Ukraine. Congenit Anom (Kyoto). 54(3):125–149. https://doi.org/10.1111/cga.12051
- Woods CG. 2004. Human microcephaly. Current Opinion in Neurobiology. 14(1):112–
  117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2004.01.003
- Sing L, Wilsch-Bräuninger M, Huttner WB. 2021. How neural stem cells contribute to
  neocortex development. Biochem Soc Trans. 49(5):1997–2006.
  https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20200923
- Yahyapour R, Amini P, Rezapour S, Cheki M, Rezaeyan A, Farhood B, Shabeeb D,
  Musa AE, Fallah H, Najafi M. 2018. Radiation-induced inflammation and autoimmune
  diseases. Military Medical Research. 5(1):9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40779-018-0156-7
- 916 Yazlovitskaya EM, Voziyan PA, Manavalan T, Yarbrough WG, Ivanova AV. 2015.
  917 Cellular oxidative stress response mediates radiosensitivity in Fus1-deficient mice. Cell
  918 Death Dis. 6:e1652. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2014.593
- 219 Zhang F, Yu J, Yang T, Xu D, Chi Z, Xia Y, Xu Z. 2016. A Novel c-Jun N-terminal
  200 Kinase (JNK) Signaling Complex Involved in Neuronal Migration during Brain
  211 Development. J Biol Chem. 291(22):11466–11475.
  222 https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.716811
- 24 Zhou Z-W, Tapias A, Bruhn C, Gruber R, Sukchev M, Wang Z-Q. 2013. DNA damage
  response in microcephaly development of MCPH1 mouse model. DNA Repair (Amst).
  12(8):645–655. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2013.04.017

Figure 1. Description of the preliminary AOP: Ionizing radiation-induced DNA damage
leads to microcephaly via apoptosis and premature cell differentiation (AOP 441,
https://aopwiki.org/aops/441). MIE (Molecular Initiating Event), Key Events (KE),
Adverse Outcome (AO). Key event relationship with high and moderate confidence are





- 938 Table 1. Description of the Weight of Evidence (WoE) used for the preliminary AOP .
- 939 MIE (Molecular Initiating Event), Key Events (KE), Adverse Outcome (AO). The
- 940 event-identities (Event ID) in AOP-Wiki are indicated, as well as the bibliographical
- 941 references used.

Туре	Event ID	Title	Detection method	References	WoE
MIE	1686	Direct Deposition of Energy	Dosimetry	Chauhan V et al. 2021	High
KE	1194	Increase, DNA damage	Immunostaining Western Blot	Barazzuol and Jeggo 2016 Saha et al. 2014	High
				Kashiwagi et al. 2018 Nowak et al. 2006 Mfossa et al. 2020 Bianchi et al. 2017	
KE	1974	Activation of TP53	Immunostaining Western Blot	Kashiwagi et al. 2018 Limoli et al. 2004 Bianchi et al. 2017 Mfossa et al. 2020	High
KE	1365	Increase, Apoptosis	Immunostaining Western Blot TUNNEL assay Pycnotic nucleus	Shimada et al. 2016 Saha et al. 2014 Barazzuol and Jeggo 2016 Kashiwagi et al. 2018 Nowak et al. 2006 Mfossa et al. 2020 Bianchi et al. 2017 Etienne et al. 2012	High
KE	1979	Premature cell differentiation	Immunostaining	Mfossa et al. 2020 Liu et al 2013	Moderate
AO	1978	Microcephaly	Head size	Plummer 1952 Miller and Blot 1972 Otake and Schull 1998 Otake and Schull 1993 Shimada et al. 2016 Bianchi et al. 2017 Mfossa et al. 2020	High

- 944 Supplementary Table 1. Description of the potential KE explored in the initial phase of
- AOP construction. The comment indicates how the potential KE was considered in the
- 946 preliminary AOP after expert consultation.
- 947

Term	KE in AOP wiki	Comment
Increased, oxydative stress	1088	Insufficient direct evidence
Oxidative Stress	1392	Insufficient direct evidence
Increase in reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (RONS)	1632	Insufficient direct evidence
Neuroinflamation	188	Insufficient direct evidence
Increase in inflammation	1633	Insufficient direct evidence
Increased DNA double breaks	1635	Related to DNA damage
Cell cycle arrest	None	Insufficient direct evidence
Cell cycle, disrupted	1505	Insufficient direct evidence
Defective cell division	None	Insufficient direct evidence
Defective spindle assembly	None	Insufficient direct evidence
Senescence	None	Insufficient direct evidence
Lipid peroxidation	1511	Insufficient direct evidence
Increase, Lipid peroxidation	1445	Insufficient direct evidence
Protein carbonylation	None	Insufficient direct evidence
Peptide Oxidation	209	Insufficient direct evidence
Mitochondria dysfunction	1816	Insufficient direct evidence
Altered gene expression, P53 dependent apoptosis pathway	1923	Difficulty to assess essentiality
Change in gene expression levels (omics data)	None	Difficulty to assess essentiality
Increased gliosis	None	Insufficient direct evidence
Brain atrophy	None	Related to microcephaly
Reduced brain size	None	Related to microcephaly
Reduced neurogenesis	None	Related to premature neuronal differentiation
General Apoptosis	1513	Related to Increase apoptosis
Neuronal death by apoptosis	None	Related to Increase apoptosis
Premature Neuronal differentiation	None	Related to Premature cell differentiation
Altered vascularization	None	Insufficient direct evidence
Impaired blood-brain transport	None	Insufficient direct evidence

948