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#### Abstract

Let $A \cong k\langle X\rangle / I$ be an associative algebra. A finite word over alphabet $X$ is $I$-reducible if its image in $A$ is a $k$-linear combination of length-lexicographically lesser words. An obstruction is a subword-minimal $I$ reducible word. If the number of obstructions is finite then $I$ has a finite Gröbner basis, and the word problem for the algebra is decidable. A cogrowth function is the number of obstructions of length $\leq n$. We show that the cogrowth function of a finitely presented algebra is either bounded or at least logarithmical. We also show that an uniformly recurrent word has at least logarithmical cogrowth.


Résumé. Soit $A \cong k\langle X\rangle / I$ une algèbre associative. Un mot fini sur l'alphabet $X$ est $I$-réductible si son image dans $A$ est une combinaison linéaire $k$ de mots de longueur lexicographiquement moindre. Une obstruction dans un mot minimal $I$-réductible. Si le nombre d'obstructions est fini, alors $I$ a une base finie Gröbner, et le mot problème pour l'algèbre est décidable. Une fonction co-croissance est le nombre d'obstructions de longueur $\leq n$. Nous montrons que la fonction de co-croissance d'une algèbre finement présentée est soit bornée, soit au moins logarithmique. Nous montrons également qu'un mot uniformément récurrent a au moins une co-croissance logarithmique.
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## 1. Cogrowth of associative algebras

Let $A$ be a finitely generated associative algebra over a field $k$. Then $A \cong k\langle X\rangle / I$, where $k\langle X\rangle$ is a free algebra with generating set $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right\}$ and $I$ is a two-sided ideal of relations. Further we assume the generating set is fixed. Let " $<$ " be a well-ordering of $X, x_{1}<\cdots<x_{s}$. This order can be extended to a linear order on the set $\langle X\rangle$ of monomials of $k\langle X\rangle$, i.e. finite words in alphabet $X: u_{1}<u_{2}$ if $\left|u_{1}\right|<\left|u_{2}\right|$ or $\left|u_{1}\right|=\left|u_{2}\right|$ and $u_{1}<l e x u_{2}$. Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the length of a word, i.e. the degree of a monomial, and $<_{\text {lex }}$ is the lexicographical order. For $f \in k\langle X\rangle$ we denote by $\widehat{f}$ its leading (with respect to <) monomial. An algebra $k\langle X\rangle / I$ is said to be finitely presented if $I$ is a finitely generated ideal.

We call a monomial $w \in\langle X\rangle I$-reducible if $w=\widehat{f}$ for some relation $f \in I$. In the opposite case, we call $w I$-irreducible. Denote the set of all monomials of degree at most $n$ by $\langle X\rangle_{\leq n}$. Let $A_{n} \subseteq A$ be the image of $\langle X\rangle_{\leq n}$ under the canonical map. The growth $V_{A}(n)$ is the dimension of the linear span of $A_{n}$. It is easily shown that $V_{A}(n)$ is equal to the number of $I$-irreducible monomials in $\langle X\rangle_{\leq n}$.

We call a monomial $w \in\langle X\rangle$ an obstruction for $I$ if $w$ is $I$-reducible, but any proper subword of $w$ is $I$-irreducible. The cogrowth of algebra $A$ is defined as the function $O_{A}(n)$, the number of obstructions of length $\leqslant n$.

The celebrated Bergman gap theorem says that the growth function $V_{A}(n)$ is either constant, linear of no less than $(n+1)(n+2) / 2$ [2]. In this section we give a non-trivial bound on the cogrowth function for finitely presented algebras.
Theorem 1. Let A be a finitely presented algebra. Then the cogrowth function $O_{A}(n)$ is either constant or no less than logarithmic: $O_{A}(n) \geq \log _{2}(n)-C$. The constant $C$ depends only on the maximal length of a relation.

Recall that a Gröbner basis of an ideal $I$ is a subset $G \subseteq I$ such that for any $f \in I$ there exists $g \in G$ such that the leading monomial of $f$ contains the leading monomial of $g$ as a subword. One of Gröbner bases can be obtained by taking for each obstruction $u$ a relation $f_{u} \in I$ such that $\widehat{f_{u}}=u$.

If $f$ and $g$ are two elements of $k\langle X\rangle, g \in I$ and the word $\widehat{g}$ is a subword of $\widehat{f}$, then $f$ can be replaced by $f^{\prime}$ such that $f^{\prime}-f \in I$ and $\hat{f}^{\prime}<\hat{f}$. This operation is called a reduction.

Let $f$ and $g$ be two elements of $k\langle X\rangle$. If $u_{1} u_{2}=\widehat{f}$ and $u_{2} u_{3}=\widehat{g}$ for some $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3} \in\langle X\rangle$, then the word $u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}$ is called a composition of $f$ and $g$, and the normed element $f u_{3}-u_{1} g$ is the result of this composition.
Lemma 2 (Diamond Lemma [3]). Let two-sided ideal I be generated by a subset $U$ of a free associative algebra $k\langle X\rangle$. Suppose that
(i) there are no $f, g \in U$ such that $\widehat{g}$ is a proper subword of $\widehat{f}$, and
(ii) for any two elements $f, g \in U$ the result of any their composition can be reduced to 0 after finitely many reductions with elements from $U$.
Then the set $U$ is a Gröbner basis of I.
Example. Consider the associative algebra $A \cong k\langle x, y\rangle / I$, where $I$ is a two-sided ideal generated by $f=x^{2}-y x$. It can be shown that the set $\left\{x y^{i} x-y^{i+1} x \mid i \geq 0\right\}$ is a Gröbner basis of $I$, so $O_{A}(n)=n-1$ for $n \geq 2$. A monomial is $I$-irreducible if and only if it contains at most one letter $x$, hence $V_{A}(n)=(n+1)(n+2) / 2$.

Theorem 1 directly follows from
Lemma 3. Let $A \cong k\langle X\rangle / I$ be a finitely presented algebra and let $N$ be greater than the maximal length of its defining relation. Suppose there are no obstructions of length from the interval $[N, 2 N]$. Then I has a finite Gröbner basis.

Proof. Let $S$ be the set of all obstructions in $\langle X\rangle_{\leq N}$. Take for each monomial $w \in S$ a relation $f_{w}$ such that $\widehat{f_{w}}=w$. Let us show that this set $\left\{f_{w} \mid s \in S\right\}$ forms a Gröbner basis for $I$. Indeed, $I$ is generated by the set $\left\{f_{w} \mid w \in S\right\}$. The condition (i) of the Diamond Lemma holds automatically because no obstruction can be a proper subword of another obstruction. Let us check the condition (ii).

Let $u, v \in S$ and let $h$ be the result of some composition of $f_{u}$ and $f_{v}$. It is clear that the leading monomial of $h$ has length less then $2 N$. We start reducing $h$ with elements from $\left\{f_{w} \mid w \in S\right\}$. After finally many steps we obtain either 0 or an element $h^{\prime}$ such that $\widehat{h^{\prime}}$ does not contain subwords from $S$. But since there are no obstructions from $[N, 2 N]$, the second case is impossible.

The word problem for a finitely presented algebra, i.e. the question whether a given element $f \in k\langle X\rangle$ lies in $I$, is undecidable in the general case. But if $I$ has a finite Gröebner basis $G$, then $A$ has a decidable word problem. Note also that the problem whether a given element in a finitely presented associative algebra is a zero divisor (or is it nilpotent) is undecidable, even if we are given a finite Gröebner basis [6]. But if the ideal of relations is generated by monomials and has a finite Gröebner basis, the nilpotency problem is algorithmically decidable [2].

## 2. Colength of a period

A monomial algebra is a finitely generated associative algebra whose defining relations are monomials. Let $u$ be a finite word in alphabet $X$ and let $A_{u}$ be the algebra $k\langle X\rangle / I$, where $I$ is generated by the set of monomials that are not subwords of the periodic sequence $u^{\infty}$. Such algebras $A_{u}$ play important role in the study of monomial algebras [2].

Let $W$ be a sequence on alphabet $X$, i.e. a map $X^{\mathbb{N}}$. A finite word $v$ is an obstruction for $W$ if $v$ is not a subword of $W$ but any proper subword $v^{\prime}$ of $v$ is a subword of $W$. If $u$ is a finite word, the number of obstructions for $u^{\infty}$ is always finite. We call this number the colength of the period $u$. We say that the period is defined by the set of obstructions.

In [5], G. R. Chelnokov proved that a sequence of minimal period $n$ cannot be defined by fewer than $\log _{2} n+1$ obstructions. G. R. Chelnokov also gave for infinitely many $n_{i}$ an example of a binary sequence with minimal period $n_{i}$ and colength of the period $\log _{\varphi} n_{i}$, where $\varphi=\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$. P. A. Lavrov found the precise lower estimation for colength of period.

Theorem 4 (cf. [7]). Let $A=\{a, b\}$ be a binary alphabet. Let $u$ be $a$ word of length $n$ and colength $c$, then $\varphi_{c} \geq n$, where $\varphi_{c}$ is the $c$-th Fibonacci number ( $\varphi_{1}=1, \varphi_{2}=2, \varphi_{3}=3, \varphi_{4}=5$ etc.).

The case of an arbitrary alphabet was considered in [8] by P. A. Lavrov and independently in [4] by I. I. Bogdanov and G. R. Chelnokov.

## 3. Cogrowth function for an uniformly recurrent sequence

A sequence of letters $W$ on a finite alphabet is called uniformly recurrent (u.r. for brevity) if for any finite subword $u$ of $W$ there exists a number $C(u, W)$ such that any subword of $W$ having length $C(u, W)$ contains $u$ as a subword. This property can be considered as a generalization of periodicity [9].

For a sequence of letters $W$ denote by $A_{W}$ the algebra $k\langle X\rangle / I_{W}$, where $I_{W}$ is generated by the set of monomials that are not subwords of $W$. A monomial algebra $A$ is called almost simple if each of its proper factor algebras $B=A / I$ is nilpotent. In [2] it was shown that almost simple monomial algebras are algebras of the form $A_{W}$, where $W$ is an u.r. sequence.

Again, a finite word $u$ is an obstruction for $W$ if it is not a subword of $W$ but any its proper subword is a subword of $W$. The cogrowth function $O_{W}(n)$ is the number of obstructions with length $\leqslant n$.

Theorem 5. Let $W$ be an u.r. non-periodic sequence on a binary alphabet. Then $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} O_{W}(n) / \log _{3} n \geq 1$.

A factorial language is a set $\mathscr{U}$ of finite words such that for any $u \in \mathscr{U}$ all subwords of $u$ also belong to $\mathscr{U}$. Denote by $\mathscr{U}_{k}$ the words of $\mathscr{U}$ having length $k$. A finite word $u$ is called an obstruction for $\mathscr{U}$ if $u \notin \mathscr{U}$, but any proper subword belongs to $\mathscr{U}$. Denote the factorial language consisting of all subwords of a given sequence $W$ by $\mathscr{L}(W)$. To prove Theorem 5 we will assume the contrary and construct an infinite factorial language that is a proper subset of $\mathscr{L}(W)$.

Let $\mathscr{U}$ be a factorial language and $k$ be an integer. The Rauzy graph $R_{k}(\mathscr{U})$ of order $k$ is the directed graph with vertex set $\mathscr{U}_{k}$ and edge set $\mathscr{U}_{k+1}$. Two vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ of $R_{k}(\mathscr{U})$ are connected by an edge $u_{3}$ if and only if $u_{3} \in \mathscr{U}, u_{1}$ is a prefix of $u_{3}$, and $u_{2}$ is a suffix of $u_{3}$.

For a sequence $W$ we denote the graph $R_{k}(\mathscr{L}(W))$ by $R_{k}(W)$. Further the word graph will always mean a directed graph, the word path will always mean a directed path in a directed graph. The length $|p|$ of a path $p$ is the number of its vertices, i.e. the number of edges plus one. If a path $p_{2}$ starts at the end of a path $p_{1}$, we denote their concatenation by $p_{1} p_{2}$. Recall that a directed graph is strongly connected if for every pair of vertices $\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}$ it contains a directed path from $\nu_{1}$ to $\nu_{2}$ and a directed path from $\nu_{2}$ to $\nu_{1}$. It is clear that any Rauzy graph of an u.r. non-periodic sequence is a strongly connected digraph and is not a cycle.

Given a directed graph $H$, its directed line graph $L(H)$ is a directed graph such that each vertex of $L(H)$ represents an edge of $H$, and two vertices of $L(H)$ that represent edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ of $H$ are connected by an arrow from $e_{1}$ to $e_{2}$ if and only if the head of $e_{1}$ meets the tail of $e_{2}$. For any $k>0$ there is one-to-one correspondence between paths of length $k$ in $L(H)$ and paths of length $k+1$ in $H$.

Let $\mathscr{U}$ be a factorial language and let $m \geqslant n$. A word $a_{1} \ldots a_{m} \in \mathscr{U}_{m}$ corresponds to a path of length $m-n+1$ in $R_{n}(\mathscr{U})$, this path visits vertices $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}, a_{2} \ldots a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_{m-n+1} \ldots a_{m}$. The graph $R_{m}(\mathscr{U})$ can be considered as a subgraph of $L^{m-n}\left(R_{n}(\mathscr{U})\right)$. Moreover, the graph $R_{n+1}(\mathscr{U})$ is obtained from $L\left(R_{n}(\mathscr{U})\right)$ by removing edges that correspond to obstructions of length $n+1$.

We call a vertex $v$ of a directed graph $H$ a fork if $v$ has out-degree more than one. Furthermore we assume that all forks have out-degrees exactly 2 (this is the case of a binary alphabet). For a directed graph $H$ we define its entropy regulator: $\operatorname{er}(H)$ is the minimal integer such that any directed path of length $\operatorname{er}(H)$ in $H$ contains at least one vertex that is a fork in $H$.
Proposition 6. Let H be a strongly connected digraph that is not a cycle. Then $\operatorname{er}(H)<\infty$.
Proof. Assume the contrary. Let $n$ be the total number of vertices in $H$. Consider a path of length $n+1$ in $H$ that does not contain forks. Note that this path visits some vertex $v$ at least twice. This means that starting from $v$ it is possible to obtain only vertices of this cycle. Since the graph $H$ is strongly connected, $H$ coincides with this cycle.
Lemma 7. Let $H$ be a strongly connected digraph, $\operatorname{er}(H)=K$. Then $\operatorname{er}(L(H))=K$.
Proof. The forks of the digraph $L(H)$ are edges in $H$ that end at forks. Consider $K$ vertices forming a path in $L(H)$. This path corresponds to a path of length $K+1$ in $H$. Since er $(H) \leq K$, there exists an edge of this path that ends at a fork.

Lemma 8. Let $H$ be a strongly connected digraph, $\operatorname{er}(H)=K$, let $v$ be a fork in $H$, the edge e starts at $v$. Let the digraph $H^{*}$ be obtained from $H$ by removing the edge $e$. Let $G$ be a subgraph of $H^{*}$ that consists of all vertices and edges reachable from $\nu$. Then $G$ is a strongly connected digraph. Also $G$ is either a cycle of length at most $K$, or $\operatorname{er}(G) \leq 2 K$.

Proof. First we prove that the digraph $G$ is strongly connected. Let $v^{\prime}$ be an arbitrary vertex of $G$, then there is a path in $G$ from $v$ to $v^{\prime}$. Consider a path $p$ of minimum length from $v^{\prime}$ to $v$ in $H$. Such a path exists, for otherwise $H$ is not strongly connected. The path $p$ does not contain the
edge $e$, for otherwise it could be shortened. This means that $p$ connects $v^{\prime}$ to $v$ in the digraph $G$. From any vertex of $G$ we can reach the vertex $v$, hence $G$ is strongly connected.

Consider an arbitrary path $p$ of length $2 K$ in the digraph $G$, suppose that $p$ does not have forks. Since $\operatorname{er}(H)=K$, then in $p$ there are two vertices $\nu_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ which are forks in $H$ and there are no forks in $p$ between $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$. The out-degrees of all vertices except $v$ coincide in $H$ and $G$. If $\nu_{1} \neq v$ or $v_{2} \neq v$, then we find a vertex of $p$ that is a fork in $G$. If $v_{1}=v_{2}=v$, then there is a cycle $C$ in $G$ such that $|C| \leq K$ and $C$ does not contain forks of $G$. Since $G$ is a strongly connected graph, it coincides with this cycle $C$.

Corollary 9. Let $W$ be a binary u.r. non-periodic sequence, then for any $n$

$$
\operatorname{er}\left(R_{n-1}(W)\right) \leq 2^{O_{W}(n)}
$$

Proof. We prove this by induction on $n$. The base case $n=0$ is obvious. Let $\operatorname{er}\left(R_{n-1}(W)\right)=K$ and suppose $W$ has exactly $a$ obstructions of length $n+1$. These obstructions correspond to paths of length 2 in the graph $R_{n-1}(W)$, i.e. edges of the graph $H:=L\left(R_{n-1}(W)\right)$. From Lemma 7 we have that $\operatorname{er}(H)=K$. The graph $R_{n}(W)$ is obtained from the graph $H$ by removing some edges $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{a}$. Since $W$ is a u.r. sequence, the digraphs $H$ and $H-\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{a}\right\}$ are strongly connected. This means that the edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{a}$ start at different forks of $H$. We also know that $R_{n}(W)$ is not a cycle. The graph $R_{n}(W)$ can be obtained by removing edges $e_{i}$ from $H$ one by one. Applying Lemma 8 a times, we show that $\operatorname{er}\left(R_{n}(W)\right) \leq 2^{a} K$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 10. Let $H$ be a strongly connected digraph, $\operatorname{er}(H)=K, k \geq 3 K$. Let $u$ be an arbitrary edge of the graph $L^{k}(H)$. Then the digraph $L^{k}(H)-u$ contains a strongly connected subgraph $B$ such that $\operatorname{er}(B) \leq 3 K$.

Proof. Consider in $H$ the path $p_{u}$ of length $k+2$, corresponding to $u$. Divide first $k$ vertices of $p_{u}$ into three subpaths of length at least $K$. Since er $(H)=K$, each of these subpaths contains a fork (some of these forks can coincide). Next, we consider three cases.

Case 1. Assume that the path $p_{u}$ visits at least two different forks of $H$. Then $p_{u}$ contains a subpath of the form $p e$, where $p$ is a path connecting two different forks $\nu_{1}$ and $\nu_{2}$ (and not containing other forks) and $e$ is an edge starting at $\nu_{2}$. It is clear that the length of $p_{1}$ does not exceed $K+1$. Lemma 8 implies that there is a strongly connected subgraph $G$ of $H$ such that $G$ contains the vertex $\nu_{2}$ but does not contain the edge $e_{2}$.

If $G$ is not a cycle, then $\operatorname{er}(G) \leq 2 K$. Hence, the graph $B:=L^{k}(G)$ is a subgraph of $L^{k}(H)$, and from Lemma 7 we have $\operatorname{er}(B) \leq 2 K$. It is also clear that the digraph $B$ does not contain the edge $u$.

If $G$ is a cycle, we denote it by $p_{1}$ and denote its first edge by $e_{1}$ (we assume that $\nu_{2}$ is the first and last vertex of $p_{1}$ ). The length of $p_{1}$ does not exceed $K$. Among the vertices of $p_{1}$ there are no forks of $H$ besides $v_{2}$. Therefore, $\nu_{1} \notin p_{1}$. Call a path $t$ in $H$ good, if $t$ does not contain the subpath $p e$. Let us show that for any good path $s$ in $H$ there are two different paths $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ starting at the end of $s$ such that $\left|s_{1}\right|=\left|s_{2}\right|=3 \mathrm{~K}$ and the paths $s s_{1}, s s_{2}$ are also good.

It is clear that for any good path we can add an edge such that the new path is also good. There is a path $t_{1},\left|t_{1}\right|<K$ such that $s t_{1}$ is a good path and ends at some fork $v$. If $v \neq v_{2}$, then two edges $e_{i}, e_{j}$ start at $v$, the paths $s t_{1} e_{i}$ and $s t_{2} e_{j}$ are good, and each of them can be prolonged further to a good path of arbitrary length. If $\nu=\nu_{2}$, then the paths $s t_{1} p_{1} e$ and $s t_{1} p_{1} e_{1}$ are good and can be extended.

Consider in $L^{k}(H)$ a subgraph that consists of all vertices and edges that are good paths in $H$, let $B$ be a strongly connected component of this subgraph. It is clear that $\operatorname{er}(B) \leq 3 K$ and the digraph $B$ does not contain the edge $u$.
Case 2. Assume that the path $p_{u}$ visits exactly one fork $\nu_{1}$ (at least 3 times), but there are forks besides $\nu_{1}$ in $H$. There are two edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ that start at $\nu_{1}$. Starting with these edges and
moving until forks, we obtain two paths $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. The edge $e_{1}$ is the first edge of $p_{1}$, the edge $e_{2}$ is the first of $p_{2}$, and $\left|p_{1}\right|,\left|p_{2}\right| \leq K$. We can assume that $p_{1}$ is a subpath of $p_{u}$. Then $p_{1}$ ends at $\nu_{1}$ (and is a cycle) and $p_{2}$ ends at some fork $\nu_{2} \neq \nu_{1}$ (if $\nu_{1}=v_{2}$, then $\nu_{1}$ is the only fork reachable from $\nu_{1}$ ). We complete the proof as in the previous case: $p_{1} e_{1}$ is a subpath of $p_{u}$. We call a path good if it does not contain $p_{1} e_{1}$. As above, we can show that if $s$ is a good path in $H$, then there are two different paths $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ such that $\left|s_{1}\right|=\left|s_{2}\right|=3 L$ and the paths $s s_{1}, s s_{2}$ are also good.

As above, $B$ will be a strongly connected component in the subgraph of $L^{k}(H)$ that consists of vertices and edges corresponding to good paths in $H$.

Case 3. Assume that there is only one fork $v$ in $H$. Then there are two cycles $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ of length $\leq K$ that start and end at $v$. Let $e_{1}$ be the first edge of $p_{1}$ and let $e_{2}$ be the first edge of $p_{2}$. The path $p_{u}$ contains one of the following subpaths: $p_{1} e_{1}, p_{2} e_{2}, p_{1} p_{1} e_{2}$ or $p_{2} p_{2} e_{1}$. Denote this path by $t$. Call a path good if it does not contain $t$. A simple check shows that we can complete the proof as in the previous cases.

Proof of Theorem 5. Arrange all the obstructions $u_{i}$ of the u.r. binary sequence $W$ by their length in non-descending order. If $\underline{\lim }_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log _{3}\left|u_{k}\right|}{k} \leq 1$, then the statement of the Theorem holds. If $\varliminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log _{3}\left|u_{k}\right|}{k}>1$ then the sequence $\left|u_{k}\right| / 3^{k}$ tends to infinity. Hence, there exists $n_{0}$ such that $\left|u_{n_{0}}\right| / 3^{n_{0}}>10$ and $\left|u_{n}\right| / 3^{n}>\left|u_{n_{0}}\right| / 3^{n_{0}}$ for all $n>n_{0}$. In this situation, $\left|u_{n_{0}+k}\right|>\left|u_{n_{0}}\right|+4 \cdot 2^{n_{0}} \cdot 3^{k}$ for any $k>0$.

Let $\nu_{i}=u_{i}$ if $1 \leq i \leq n_{0}$ and let $v_{i}$ be a subword of $u_{i}$ of length $\left|u_{n_{0}}\right|+4 \cdot 2^{n_{0}} \cdot 3^{i-n_{0}}$ if $i>n_{0}$. Denote by $\mathscr{U}$ the set of all finite binary words that do not contain subwords from $\left\{v_{i}\right\}$. It is clear that $\mathscr{U}$ is a proper subset of $\mathscr{L}(W)$. We get a contradiction with the uniform recurrence of $W$ if we show that the language $\mathscr{U}$ is infinite. The Rauzy graph $R_{u_{n_{0}}-1}(\mathscr{U})$ is equal to $R_{u_{n_{0}}-1}(W)$, and from Corollary 9 we have $\operatorname{er}\left(R_{u_{n_{0}}-1}(\mathscr{L})\right) \leq 2^{n_{0}}$.

By induction on $n$ we show that for all $n \geq n_{0}$ the graph $R_{\left|v_{n}\right|-1}(\mathscr{U})$ contains a strongly connected subgraph $H_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{er}\left(H_{n}\right) \leq 3^{n-n_{0}} \cdot 2^{n_{0}}$. We already have the base case $n=n_{0}$. The graph $R_{\left|v_{n+1}\right|-1}(\mathscr{U})$ is obtained from $L^{\left|v_{n+1}\right|-\left|v_{n}\right|}\left(R_{\left|v_{n}\right|-1}\right)$ by removing at most one edge. Note that $\left|v_{n+1}\right|-\left|v_{n}\right|>3 \cdot \operatorname{er}\left(H_{n}\right)$, so we can use Lemma 10 for the digraph $H_{n}$ and $k=\left|v_{n+1}\right|-\left|v_{n}\right|$. This completes the inductive step.

All the graphs $R_{\left|\nu_{n}\right|-1}(\mathscr{U})$ are nonempty and, therefore, the language $\mathscr{U}$ is infinite.
For a sequence $W$ over an alphabet $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ of size $k$, we replace in $W$ each letter $a_{i}$ by $0^{i} 1$ and obtain a binary sequence $W^{\prime}$. If $W$ is u.r. and non-periodic, then $W^{\prime}$ is also u.r. and non-periodic. It is clear that all long enough obstructions of $W^{\prime}$ correspond to some of the obstructions of $W$, so we obtain

Corollary 11. Let $W$ be an u.r. non-periodic sequence on a finite alphabet. Then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} O_{W}(n) / \log _{3} n \geq 1$.

Example. Consider a finite alphabet $\{0,1\}$ and the sequence of words $u_{i}$, defined recursively as $u_{0}=0, u_{1}=01, u_{k}=u_{k-1} u_{k-2}$ for $k \geq 2$. Since $u_{i}$ is a prefix of $u_{i+1}$, the sequence $\left(u_{i}\right)$ has a limit, called a Fibonacci word $F=0100101001001 \ldots$. In Example 25 of [1] the set $\{11,000,10101,00100100, \ldots\}$ of obstructions of $F$ is described. These words have lengths equal to Fibonacci numbers. Since the Fibonacci word is u.r., in Theorem 5 we cannot replace the constant 3 by a number smaller than $\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$.
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