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#### Abstract

We establish an almost sure convergence theorem of the stochastic approximation process of Oj for estimating eigenvectors of the $Q$-symmetric expectation of a random matrix, under a correlation model between the incoming random matrices. This theorem generalizes previous theorems and extends them to the case where the metric $Q$ is unknown and estimated online in parallel. We apply it to streaming PCA of a random vector $Z$, when a mini-batch of observations of $Z$ is used at each step or all the observations up to the current step. We deal with the case of streaming generalized canonical correlation analysis, with a metric estimated online in parallel.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $Q$ be a positive definite symmetric $p \times p$ matrix called metric, $\langle.,$.$\rangle be the inner$ product and $\|$. $\|$ the norm induced by $Q$. For vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{p}, Q$-orthogonal and $Q$-normed respectively denote orthogonal and normed with respect to the metric $Q$. Recall that a $p \times$ $p$ matrix $B$ is $Q$-symmetric if $Q B$ is symmetric; then $B$ has $p$ real eigenvalues and there exists a $Q$-orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ comprised of eigenvectors of $B$. Let $\mathbb{R}^{p *}$ be the dual space of $\mathbb{R}^{p}$. Let $\|B\|$ denote the spectral norm of $B$. Let $A^{\mathrm{T}}$ denote the transpose of a matrix $A$. Let $(\cdot)$ denote a sequence of matrices or vectors or reals depending on the context. The abbreviation a.s. stands for almost surely.

[^0]In the context of streaming data or big data since one can process the latter sequentially as a data stream, stochastic approximation algorithms can be used to estimate online, for example, parameters of a regression function (Lalloué, Monnez and Albuisson 2022), centers of clusters in unsupervised classification (Cardot, Cénac and Monnez 2012), principal components in a principal component analysis (PCA) (Cardot and Degras 2018). Each incoming observation vector is used to update the estimate sequence until the latter converges to the quantity of interest. When using such processes, it is not necessary to store the data and, due to the relative simplicity of the computation involved, a much greater number of data than with non-sequential methods can be taken into account during the same amount of time. Moreover, this type of method uses less memory space than a batch method (Balsubramani, Dasgupta and Freund 2013). In this context, we deal with the problem of estimating by a recursive process eigenvectors and corresponding eigenvalues in decreasing order of the unknown $Q$-symmetric expectation $B$ of a random $p \times p$ matrix.

Many articles have been devoted to this problem when $B$ is a symmetric matrix $(Q=I)$. See among others the algorithms of Benzécri (1969), Krasulina (1970), Oja (1982), Karhunen and Oja (1982), Oja and Karhunen (1985), Brandière (1994, 1995, 1998), Brandière and Duflo (1996) and Duflo (1997) in the case of PCA. Oja and Karhunen (1985) proved the a.s. convergence to a normed eigenvector $V_{1}$, corresponding to the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of $B$ of unit multiplicity, of the process $\left(X_{n}\right)$ defined recursively at step $n$ by $X_{n+1}=\frac{\left(I+a_{n} B_{n}\right) X_{n}}{\left\|\left(I+a_{n} B_{n}\right) X_{n}\right\|}$, assuming the random $p \times p$ matrices $B_{n}, n \geq 1$, mutually independent with expectation $B$ and a.s. bounded $\left(\left\|B_{n}\right\| \leq b \in \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, with $a_{n}>$ $0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<\infty$. They proved the convergence of processes $\left(X_{n}^{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq$ $p$, of the same type, for estimating, after orthonormalization, eigenvectors $V_{i}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ in decreasing order. The assumption of independence of the random matrices $B_{n}$ may not hold, for instance in the streaming PCA of a random vector $Z$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$, when the expectation of $Z$ and the metric $M$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ are estimated online (Section 4). Using different methods of proof, Monnez and Skiredj (2021) studied two cases where the matrices $B_{n}$ are not independent, the first when $E\left[B_{n} \mid T_{n}\right]$ converges a.s. to $B, T_{n}$ being the $\sigma$-field generated by $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{n-1}$, the second when $B_{n}$ converges a.s. to $B$.

The present study extends and generalizes previous results in two directions - the metric $Q$ is supposed unknown and the matrices $B_{n}$ not independent -, proves in a single theorem
the convergence of processes using a mini-batch of observations at each step and of those using all the observations up to the current step, and gives applications to streaming PCA and generalized canonical correlation analysis (gCCA). The unknown metric $Q$ is estimated online. We use a correlation model between the matrices $B_{n}$ defined by assumptions on the weighted averaging process $\left(U_{n}\right)$ such that $U_{n+1}=\left(1-a_{n}\right) U_{n}+$ $a_{n} B_{n}, U_{1}=0$. In the vector case, this model was used for Robbins-Monro type processes for example by Ljung, Pflug and Walk (1992) and Monnez (1991, 2006). This model has for particular cases those studied by Monnez and Skiredj (2021). In Section 2, we define processes $\left(X_{n}^{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq r \leq p$, which converge to eigenvectors corresponding to the $r$ largest eigenvalues of $B$ in decreasing order under assumptions on $\left(U_{n}\right)$; a Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization is made at step $n$ with respect to a metric $Q_{n+1}$ such that $Q_{n}$ converges a.s. to $Q$ as $n$ goes to infinity; in the same theorem, we prove the convergence of specific processes to the eigenvalues (Theorem 1). In Section 3, we give sufficient conditions on $\left(B_{n}\right)$ to verify the assumptions on $\left(U_{n}\right)$ and deduce corollaries of Theorem 1. In Section 4, we give another corollary in the application to streaming PCA of a random vector $Z$, using a mini-batch of current observations of $Z$ at each step of the processes or all the observations up to the current step. In Section 5, we study the application to streaming generalized canonical correlation analysis (gCCA) interpreted as a PCA with a specific metric that is estimated online by a stochastic approximation process parallel to the processes $\left(X_{n}^{i}\right)$. All the proofs are in Section 6.

## 2. Extended almost sure convergence theorem

For a random metric $Q_{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$, let $\langle., .\rangle_{n}$ be the inner product and $\|.\|_{n}$ the norm induced by $Q_{n}$. For $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, r \leq p$, we recursively define the processes $\left(\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right),\left(\tilde{Y}_{n}^{i}\right),\left(X_{n}^{i}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ such that, for $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{i}=\left(I+a_{n} B_{n}\right) \tilde{X}_{n}^{i} \\
\tilde{X}_{n+1}^{i}=\tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{i}-\sum_{j<i}\left\langle\tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{i}, X_{n+1}^{j}\right\rangle_{n+1} X_{n+1}^{j}, \quad X_{n+1}^{i}=\frac{\tilde{X}_{n+1}^{i}}{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n+1}^{i}\right\|_{n+1}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The set of vectors ( $X_{n+1}^{1}, \ldots, X_{n+1}^{r}$ ) is obtained by Gram-Schmidt orthonormalization with respect to $Q_{n+1}$ of $\left(\tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{1}, \ldots, \tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{r}\right)$. The assumptions on $B$, respectively $B_{n}, U_{n}, a_{n}, Q_{n}$, $X_{1}^{i}$, are denoted H 1 , respectively H2a, H2b, H3, H4, H5. Assume:
(H1a) B is Q-symmetric. (H1b) The r largest eigenvalues of $B$ are simple.
(H2a) For all $n, I+a_{n} B_{n}$ is invertible.
(H3a) $a_{n}>0, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<\infty$. (H4) $Q_{n} \rightarrow Q, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|Q_{n}-Q\right\|<\infty$ a.s. (H5) $X_{1}^{i}, i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, is an absolutely continuous random variable with respect to the Lebesgue measure, independent of the sequence $\left(B_{n}\right)$.
We recursively define the weighted averaging process $\left(U_{n}\right)$ in the set of $p \times p$ matrices and for $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, r \leq p$, the process $\left(\Lambda_{n}^{i}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}$, such that, for $n \geq 1$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{n+1}=\left(1-a_{n}\right) U_{n}+a_{n} B_{n}, U_{1}=0 . \text { For } a_{n}=\frac{1}{n}, U_{n+1}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1}^{n} B_{i} . \\
\Lambda_{n+1}^{i}=\left\langle U_{n+1} X_{n+1}^{i}, X_{n+1}^{i}\right\rangle_{n+1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We assume H 2 b on $U_{n}$ :

$$
\text { (H2b) } U_{n} \rightarrow B, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}-B\right\|<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|\left(U_{n+1}-B\right) B_{n}\right\|<\infty \text { a.s. }
$$

Theorem 1. Under H1a,b on B, H2a on $B_{n}$, H2b on $U_{n}, H 3 a$ on $a_{n}$, H4 on $Q_{n}$ and H5 on $X_{1}^{i}$, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, $X_{n}^{i}$ converges to $V_{i}$ or $-V_{i}, \Lambda_{n}^{i}$ converges to $\lambda_{i}$, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle B X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\Lambda_{n}^{i}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty$ a.s.

In the proof, we use Theorem 1ii of Monnez and Skiredj (2021) as a lemma:
Lemma 1. Consider the process $\left(X_{n}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ such that $X_{n+1}=\frac{\left(I+a_{n} B_{n}\right) X_{n}}{\left\|\left(I+a_{n} B_{n}\right) X_{n}\right\|}$. Under H1a,b for $r=1$ on $B$, H2a on $B_{n}, H 3 a$ on $a_{n}$, H5 on $X_{1}^{i}$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|B_{n}-B\right\|<\infty$ a.s., $X_{n}$ converges to $V_{1}$ or $-V_{1}, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}-\left\langle B X_{n}, X_{n}\right\rangle\right)<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\lambda_{1}-\left\langle B_{n} X_{n}, X_{n}\right\rangle\right|<\infty$ a.s.

## 3. Particular cases of the correlation model

### 3.1 Lemmas

We establish sufficient conditions on $B_{n}$ to ensure the assumption H 2 b on $U_{n} . T_{n}$ being the $\sigma$-field generated by $X_{1}, Q_{1}, B_{1}^{1}, B_{1}^{2}, Q_{2}, \ldots, B_{n-1}^{1}, B_{n-1}^{2}, Q_{n}$, assume $B_{n}=B_{n}^{1}+B_{n}^{2}$, $E\left[B_{n}^{2} \mid T_{n}\right]=0, U_{n}=U_{n}^{1}+U_{n}^{2}, U_{n+1}^{i}=\left(1-a_{n}\right) U_{n}^{i}+a_{n} B_{n}^{i}, i \in\{1,2\}, U_{1}^{1}+U_{1}^{2}=0$, and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (H2c) } \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|B_{n}^{1}-B\right\|<\infty \text { a.s. (H2d) } E\left[B_{n}^{2} \mid T_{n}\right]=0 \text { a.s., } \sup _{n} E\left[\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]<\infty . \\
& \text { (H2e) } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|\left(U_{n+1}^{1}-B\right) B_{n}^{2}\right\|<\infty \text { a.s. (H2f) } \sup _{n}\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|<\infty \text { a.s. } \\
& \text { (H3b) } a_{n}>0, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{3 / 2}<\infty, \frac{a_{n}}{a_{n+1}} \leq 1+\gamma a_{n}+\gamma_{n}, 0<\gamma<2, \\
& \gamma_{n} \geq 0, \sum_{1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}<\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumption H3b implies H3a. Note that, when $a_{n}=\frac{a}{n^{\alpha}}$, H3b is verified from a certain rank $n_{0}$ for $\frac{2}{3}<\alpha<1$ and if $a>\frac{1}{2}$ for $\alpha=1$.

Lemma 2. Under H2c on $B_{n}^{1}, a_{n}>0, a_{n}=o(1)$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty$, we have: $U_{n}^{1} \rightarrow B$, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}^{1}-B\right\|<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|\left(U_{n+1}^{1}-B\right) B_{n}^{1}\right\|<\infty$ a.s. For $U_{n}^{2}=0, H 2 b$ holds.

Lemma 3. Under $H 2 d$ on $B_{n}^{2}, H 3 a, \frac{a_{n}}{a_{n+1}} \leq 1+\gamma a_{n}+\gamma_{n}, 0<\gamma<2, \gamma_{n} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \gamma_{n}<\infty$, we have: $U_{n}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ a.s., $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} E\left[\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]<\infty, \exists b>0: E\left[\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq b a_{n}$; for $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{\frac{3}{2}}<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|<\infty$ a.s.

Lemma 4. Under $H 2 c$ on $B_{n}^{1}, H 2 d$ on $B_{n}^{2}$ and $H 3 b$ on $a_{n}$, we have: $U_{n} \rightarrow B$, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}-B\right\|<\infty$ a.s.; if H2e or H2f on $B_{n}^{2}$ also holds, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|\left(U_{n+1}-B\right) B_{n}\right\|<\infty$ a.s.

### 3.2 Corollaries

Let A be the set of the assumptions $\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ on $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{a}$ on $B_{n}, \mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}$ on $a_{n}, \mathrm{H} 4$ on $Q_{n}$, H5 on $X_{1}^{i}$. By Lemma 4, H2b holds under H2c, H2d, H2e or H2f, H3b; then:

Corollary 1. Under A, H2c on $B_{n}^{1}, H 2 d$ on $B_{n}^{2}$ and H2e or H2f on $B_{n}^{2}$, Theorem 1 holds.

1) Let $B_{n}^{1}=B, B_{n}^{2}=B_{n}-B$. Let $U_{1}^{1}=B$, then $U_{n}^{1}=B$ for $n \geq 1$. H2c, e hold.

Corollary 2. Under $A, E\left[B_{n} \mid T_{n}\right]=B$ and $\sup _{n} E\left[\left\|B_{n}-B\right\|^{2}\right]<\infty$, Theorem 1 holds. This corollary is an extension of Theorem 2 of Oja and Karhunen (1985), which was demonstrated by the ODE method assuming $Q=I$, the $r$ largest eigenvalues of $B$ are positive and $\left\|B_{n}\right\| \leq b$ a.s.
2) Let $B_{n}^{1}=E\left[B_{n} \mid T_{n}\right], B_{n}^{2}=B_{n}-E\left[B_{n} \mid T_{n}\right]$.

Corollary 3. Under $A, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|E\left[B_{n} \mid T_{n}\right]-B\right\|<\infty$, $\sup _{n} E\left[\left\|B_{n}-E\left[B_{n} \mid T_{n}\right]\right\|^{2}\right]<\infty$ and $\sup _{n}\left\|B_{n}-E\left[B_{n} \mid T_{n}\right]\right\|<\infty$ a.s., Theorem 1 holds.
This corollary, applying when $E\left[B_{n} \mid T_{n}\right]$ converges a.s. to $B$, is an extension of Theorem 1i and Corollary 2i of Monnez and Skiredj (2021), which was demonstrated using a method of Duflo (1997, 343) and arguments of exterior algebra, assuming $Q$ known,
$\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} E\left[\left\|E\left[B_{n} \mid T_{n}\right]-B\right\|\right]<\infty$, there exists $b>0$ such that $\sup _{n}\left\|B_{n}\right\|<b$ a.s. and another assumption not used here.
3) Let $B_{n}^{1}=B_{n}, B_{n}^{2}=0, U_{1}^{2}=0$, then $U_{n}^{2}=0$ for $n \geq 1$.

By Lemma 2, H2b on $U_{n}$ holds under H2c and H3a. Thus $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle B X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty$ a.s. by Theorem 1; under H2c and $\mathrm{H} 4, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle B_{n} X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty$ a.s.

Corollary 4. Under $A$ with $H 3 b$ replaced by $H 3 a$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|B_{n}-B\right\|<\infty$ a.s., Theorem 1 holds and for $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle B_{n} X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty$ a.s.

This corollary, applying when $B_{n}$ converges a.s. to $B$, is an extension of Theorem 1ii and Corollary 2ii of Monnez and Skiredj (2021) demonstrated using the theorem of Robbins and Siegmund (1971) and arguments of exterior algebra, assuming $Q$ known.

For example, assume that the random matrices $B_{n}$ are i.i.d. with $E\left[B_{n}\right]=B, E\left[\left\|B_{n}\right\|^{2}\right]<$ $\infty$. Let $V_{n}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1}^{n} B_{i}$. Then $E\left[V_{n}\right]=B, E\left[\left\|V_{n}-B\right\|^{2}\right]=O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), V_{n} \rightarrow B$ a.s. Assuming $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{\sqrt{n}}<\infty$, we have $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|V_{n}-B\right\|<\infty$ a.s. Defining the processes $\left(F_{n}^{i}\right)$ by replacing $B_{n}$ by $V_{n}$ in the definition of the processes $\left(X_{n}^{i}\right)$, we can apply Corollary 4 to these new processes.
Likewise, by Lemma 4, under H2c, H2d and H3b, $U_{n} \rightarrow B, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}-B\right\|<\infty$ a.s. Defining the processes $\left(F_{n}^{i}\right)$ by replacing $B_{n}$ by $U_{n}$ in the definition of the processes $\left(X_{n}^{i}\right)$, we can apply Corollary 4 to these new processes.

## 4. Application to streaming PCA

### 4.1 Formulation of PCA

Let $Z^{1}, \ldots, Z^{p}$ be the components of a random vector $Z$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathrm{P})$ and $C=E\left[(Z-E[Z])(Z-E[Z])^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$ its covariance matrix. Let $M$ be a metric in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ defining a distance between two observations of $Z$.

Formulation of PCA. For $l \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, r \leq p$, determine at step $l$ a linear combination of the centered components of $Z, U_{l}=c_{l}^{T}(Z-E[Z]), c_{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{p *}$, called lth principal component, which is uncorrelated with $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{l-1}$ and of maximum variance under the constraint $c_{l}^{T} M^{-1} c_{l}=1$.

Thus we have to maximize the quadratic form $c^{T} C c$ under the constraints $c^{T} C c_{j}=0, j \in$ $\{1, \ldots, l-1\}$, and $c^{T} M^{-1} c=1 . c_{l}$ is an eigenvector of the $M^{-1}$-symmetric matrix $B=$ $M C\left(Q=M^{-1}\right)$ corresponding to its $l$ th largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{l}$. $u_{l}=M^{-1} c_{l}$ is an eigenvector of the $M$-symmetric matrix $C M$ corresponding to $\lambda_{l}$ and maximizes the quadratic form $u^{T} M C M u=u^{T} M E\left[(Z-E[Z])(Z-E[Z])^{\mathrm{T}}\right] M u=$ $E\left[\left((Z-E[Z])^{T} M u\right)^{2}\right]$ under the constraints $u^{T} M u_{j}=0, j \in\{1, \ldots, l-1\}$, and $u^{T} M u=$ 1. The axis $\left(E[Z], u_{l}\right)$ with origin $E[Z]$ and $M$-unit vector $u_{l}$ is called the $l$ th principal axis. For all $\omega \in \Omega,(Z(\omega)-E[Z])^{T} M u_{l}$ is the abscissa of the $M$-orthogonal projection of $Z(\omega)-E[Z]$ on the axis $\left(E[Z], u_{l}\right)$. The subspace generated by $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{r}$ maximizes $E\left[\|\Pi(Z-E[Z])\|^{2}\right]$ with $\Pi$ the $M$-orthogonal projection operator on a $r$-dimensional subspace containing $E[Z]$.

A particular case of PCA is normed PCA, in which $M$ is the diagonal matrix of the inverses of the variances of the components of $Z$. Normed PCA is equivalent to using standardized data, i.e. observations of $M^{1 / 2}(Z-E[Z])$, and the identity metric, hence the same importance is given to each of the components of $Z$ in this PCA.

### 4.2 Stochastic approximation of the principal components of PCA

Let $\left(Z_{11}, \ldots, Z_{1 m_{1}}, \ldots, Z_{n 1}, \ldots, Z_{n m_{n}}, \ldots\right)$ be a sequence of i.i.d. observations of $Z$, $Z_{n 1}, \ldots, Z_{n m_{n}}$ being taken into account at step $n$ of the processes. Let $\bar{Z}_{n}$ be the mean of the sample $\left(Z_{11}, \ldots, Z_{n m_{n}}\right)$ and $C_{n}$ its covariance matrix, $C_{n}=\frac{1}{\mu_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} Z_{i j} Z_{i j}^{\mathrm{T}}-$ $\bar{Z}_{n} \bar{Z}_{n}^{\mathrm{T}}$ with $\mu_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}$; let a random matrix $M_{n}$ be an estimator of $M$ recursively computed from this sample.
We define the processes $\left(\tilde{Y}_{n}^{i}\right),\left(\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right),\left(X_{n}^{i}\right),\left(\Lambda_{n}^{i}\right), i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$ as in Section 2:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{i}=\left(I+a_{n} B_{n}\right) \tilde{X}_{n}^{i}, \quad \tilde{X}_{n+1}^{i}=\tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{i}-\sum_{j<i}\left\langle\tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{i}, X_{n+1}^{j}\right\rangle_{n+1} X_{n+1}^{j}, \\
X_{n+1}^{i}=\frac{\tilde{X}_{n+1}^{i}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n+1}^{i}\right\|_{n+1}}, U_{n+1}=\left(1-a_{n}\right) U_{n}+a_{n} B_{n}, U_{1}=0, \\
\Lambda_{n+1}^{i}=\left\langle U_{n+1} X_{n+1}^{i}, X_{n+1}^{i}\right\rangle_{n+1}, \text { with } \\
B_{n}=M_{n}\left(\omega_{1 n}\left(S_{1 n}-\bar{Z}_{n} \bar{Z}_{n}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\omega_{2 n}\left(S_{2 n}-\bar{Z}_{n} \bar{Z}_{n}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right) \\
=M_{n}\left(\omega_{1 n} S_{1 n}+\omega_{2 n} S_{2 n}-\bar{Z}_{n} \bar{Z}_{n}^{\mathrm{T}}\right), S_{1 n}=\frac{1}{\mu_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} Z_{i j} Z_{i j}^{\mathrm{T}}, S_{2 n}=\frac{1}{m_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{n}} Z_{n j} Z_{n j}^{\mathrm{T}}, \\
\omega_{1 n} \geq 0, \omega_{2 n} \geq 0, \omega_{1 n}+\omega_{2 n}=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

At step $n$, the orthonormalization is made with respect to the metric $Q_{n+1}$. The matrix $B_{n}$ is a convex combination of two matrices. Different time-varying weights can be assigned
to the set of past observations and the set of current observations. For $\omega_{2 n}=0$, past and current observations of $Z$ are used at step $n$; for $\omega_{1 n}=0$, only the $m_{n}$ current observations are used at step $n$. We consider the decomposition:

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{n}-B=B_{n}^{1}-B+B_{n}^{2} \text { with } B_{n}^{2}=\omega_{2 n} M\left(S_{2 n}-E\left[Z Z^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\right), \\
B_{n}^{1}-B=\left(M_{n}-M\right)\left(\omega_{1 n}\left(S_{1 n}-\bar{Z}_{n} \bar{Z}_{n}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\omega_{2 n}\left(S_{2 n}-\bar{Z}_{n} \bar{Z}_{n}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right) \\
+\omega_{1 n} M\left(S_{1 n}-E\left[Z Z^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\right)-M\left(\bar{Z}_{n}-E[Z]\right) \bar{Z}_{n}^{\mathrm{T}}-M E[Z]\left(\bar{Z}_{n}-E[Z]\right)^{\mathrm{T}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (H3c) } a_{n}>0, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{\sqrt{n}}<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<\infty . \\
& \text { (H6a) } M_{n} \rightarrow M \text { a.s. (H6b) } \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|M_{n}-M\right\|<\infty \text { a.s. } \\
& \text { (H7a) } Z \text { has } 4^{\text {th }} \text { moments. (H7b) } Z \text { is a.s. bounded. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Under H7a:
$\bar{Z}_{n} \rightarrow E[Z], S_{1 n} \rightarrow E\left[Z Z^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$ a.s., $E\left[\left\|\bar{Z}_{n}-E[Z]\right\|\right]=O(1 / \sqrt{n}), E\left[\left\|S_{1 n}-E\left[Z Z^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\right\|\right]=$ $O(1 / \sqrt{n})$; since $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{\sqrt{n}}<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|\bar{Z}_{n}-E[Z]\right\|<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|S_{1 n}-E\left[Z Z^{\mathrm{T}}\right]\right\|<\infty$ a.s. Then, by H3c, H6b and H7b, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|B_{n}^{1}-B\right\|<\infty$ a.s. Moreover, $E\left[B_{n}^{2} \mid T_{n}\right]=0$ and, by H7b, $\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|$ is a.s. bounded, thus assumptions H2d,f hold. When $\omega_{2 n}=0$ for all $n$, H 7 b can be replaced by H7a, $B_{n} \rightarrow B$ under H6a and H7a, then $\left\langle B_{n} X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{i}$ when $X_{n}^{i} \rightarrow \pm c_{i}$ a.s. Applying Corollaries 1 and 4 yields:

Corollary 5. Under H1b on B, H2a on $B_{n}$, H3b on $a_{n}$, H4 on $Q_{n}$, H5 on $X_{1}^{i}, H 6 a, b$ on $M_{n}$ and $H 7 b$ on $Z$, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, $X_{n}^{i}$ converges to $c_{i}$ or $-c_{i}, \Lambda_{n}^{i}$ converges to $\lambda_{i}$, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle B X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\Lambda_{n}^{i}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty$ a.s. When $\omega_{2 n}=0$ for all $n$, the same conclusions hold with H3b replaced by H3c and H7b by H7a; moreover, for $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, r\},\left\langle B_{n} X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}$ converges to $\lambda_{i}, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle B_{n} X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty$ a.s.
Note that, when $\omega_{2 n}=0$ for all $n$, the assumption " $Z$ is a.s. bounded" is not required.

## 5. Application to streaming generalized canonical correlation analysis

### 5.1 Formulation

Consider the generalized canonical correlation analysis (gCCA) defined by Carroll (1968). We use here a probabilistic formulation. Suppose the set of components of a random vector $Z$ in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ is partitioned in $q$ subsets of real random variables $\left\{Z^{k 1}, \ldots, Z^{k r_{k}}\right\}$, $k \in\{1, \ldots, q\}, \sum_{k=1}^{q} r_{k}=p$. Let $Z^{k}$ be the random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{r_{k}}$ whose components are
$Z^{k 1}, \ldots, Z^{k r_{k}}, C^{k}=\operatorname{Covar}\left[Z^{k}\right]$ be the covariance matrix of $Z^{k}, C=\operatorname{Covar}[Z]$ that of $Z$, $C^{k .}=\operatorname{Covar}\left[Z^{k}, Z\right]$ that of $Z^{k}$ and $Z$. Assume:
$(\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{c})$ There is no affine relation between the components of $Z$.
Then the matrices $C^{k}, k \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$, and $C$ are invertible.

Formulation of gCCA. For $l \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, r \leq \min \left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{q}\right)$, determine at step $l$ a linear combination $U_{l}=\theta_{l}^{\mathrm{T}}(Z-E[Z])$ of variance 1 of the centered components of $Z$, uncorrelated with $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{l-1}$, called lth general component, and for $k \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$, a linear combination $V_{l}^{k}=\left(\eta_{l}^{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(Z^{k}-E\left[Z^{k}\right]\right)$ of variance 1 of the centered components of $Z^{k}$, called lth canonical component of the kth subset of variables, which maximize $\sum_{k=1}^{q} \rho^{2}\left(U_{l}, V_{l}^{k}\right), \rho$ denoting the linear correlation coefficient.

### 5.2 Interpretation of gCCA as a PCA

Let $\Pi^{k}$ be the projection operator on the subspace of $L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathrm{P})$ with inner product $\langle X, Y\rangle=E[X Y]$ generated by the centered components of $Z^{k}$.

Let $\theta_{l}=\left(\left(\theta_{l}^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \ldots\left(\theta_{l}^{q}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \theta_{l}^{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{r_{k}}, k \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$. Let $M$ be the block diagonal metric in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$ whose kth diagonal diagonal block is $\left(C^{k}\right)^{-1}$.

Suppose $U_{l}$ is determined; then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \rho^{2}\left(U_{l}, V_{l}^{k}\right) \max & \Leftrightarrow \rho^{2}\left(U_{l}, V_{l}^{k}\right)=\cos ^{2}\left(U^{l}, V_{l}^{k}\right) \max , k \in\{1, \ldots, q\} \\
& \Leftrightarrow V_{l}^{k}=\frac{\Pi^{k} U_{l}}{\left\|\Pi^{k} U_{l}\right\|}, k \in\{1, \ldots, q\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is shown that:

Proposition 1. For $l \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, \theta_{l}$ is a $C$-normed eigenvector of the matrix $B=M C$ corresponding to its lth largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{l}$ and $V_{l}^{k}=\frac{\left(\theta_{l}^{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(z^{k}-E\left[z^{k}\right]\right)}{\left(\left(\theta_{l}^{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} c^{k} \theta_{l}^{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, k \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$.
Thus for $l \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, the lth general component of the gCCA of $Z, U_{l}=\theta_{l}^{\mathrm{T}}(Z-E[Z])$ of variance 1 , is collinear with the lth principal component of the PCA of $Z$ with the metric $M, c_{l}^{\mathrm{T}}(Z-E[Z])$ of variance $\lambda_{l}$, and $\theta_{l}=\frac{c_{l}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{l}}}$. A particular case of gCCA is normed PCA when $r_{k}=1$ for all $k$, the diagonal metric $M$ being that of the inverses of the variances of the components of $Z$.

### 5.3 Stochastic approximation of the general and canonical components of gCCA

Let $\left(Z_{11}, \ldots, Z_{1 m_{1}}, \ldots, Z_{n 1}, \ldots, Z_{n m_{n}}, \ldots\right)$ be a sequence of i.i.d. observations of $Z$ with $Z_{i j}=\left(\left(Z_{i j}^{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \ldots\left(Z_{i j}^{q}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, Z_{i j}^{k}\left(r_{k}, 1\right), k \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$, the mini batch $\left(Z_{n 1}, \ldots, Z_{n m_{n}}\right)$ being taken into account at step $n$ of the processes. For $k \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$, let $\bar{Z}_{n}^{k}$ be the mean of the sample $\left(Z_{11}^{k}, \ldots, Z_{n m_{n}}^{k}\right)$ of $Z^{k}, C_{n}^{k}=\frac{1}{\mu_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i}} Z_{i j}^{k}\left(Z_{i j}^{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}-\bar{Z}_{n}^{k}\left(\bar{Z}_{n}^{k}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ with $\mu_{n}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i}$ be its covariance matrix, both recursively computed.
Let $M_{n}$ and $Q_{n+1}$ be the block diagonal matrices of order $p$ whose $k t h$ diagonal blocks are respectively $M_{n}^{k}$ defined below and $C_{n}^{k}$. The matrix $Q_{n}$ is positive definite symmetric from a certain rank. Under the assumption $\mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{a}, C_{n}^{k} \rightarrow C^{k}$ a.s., $E\left[\left\|C_{n}^{k}-C^{k}\right\|\right]=$ $O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_{n}}}\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$, since $\mu_{n} \geq n$. Since $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{\sqrt{n}}<\infty$ under H3c, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|C_{n}^{k}-C^{k}\right\|<\infty$ a.s. Thus $Q_{n} \rightarrow M^{-1}, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|Q_{n+1}-M^{-1}\right\|<\infty$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|Q_{n}-M^{-1}\right\|<\infty$ a.s.; assumption H 4 on $Q_{n}$ holds.
For $k \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$, to obtain an estimation of $M^{k}=\left(C^{k}\right)^{-1}$, we define the stochastic approximation process $\left(M_{n}^{k}, n \geq 1\right)$ such that:

$$
M_{n}^{k}=M_{n-1}^{k}-a_{n}\left(C_{n}^{k} M_{n-1}^{k}-I\right) ; \text { thus } M_{n}=M_{n-1}-a_{n}\left(Q_{n+1} M_{n-1}-I\right)
$$

Theorem 2. Under the assumptions $a_{n}>0, a_{n}=o(1), \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty$ and H7a,c, $M_{n}$ converges to $M$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|M_{n}-M\right\|<\infty$ a.s.
Thus assumptions H6a,b on $M_{n}$ hold. We define the processes $\left(\tilde{Y}_{n}^{i}\right),\left(\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right),\left(X_{n}^{i}\right),\left(\Lambda_{n}^{i}\right)$, $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, used for PCA (Section 4). Applying Corollary 5 with the additional assumption H7c yields:

Corollary 6. Under H1b on B, H2a on $B_{n}$, H3b on $a_{n}$, H5 on $X_{1}^{i}$ and H7b, c on Z, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, \quad X_{n}^{i} \quad$ converges to $c_{i}=\sqrt{\lambda_{i}} \theta_{i}$ or $-c_{i}, \Lambda_{n}^{i}$ converges to $\lambda_{i}$, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle B X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\Lambda_{n}^{i}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty$ a.s. When $\omega_{2 n}=0$ for all $n$, the same conclusions hold with H3b replaced by H3c and H7b by H7a; moreover, for $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, r\},\left\langle B_{n} X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}$ converges to $\lambda_{i}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle B_{n} X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty$ a.s.
At step $n$, we obtain an estimation of $c_{i}$ and $\lambda_{i}$, thus of $\theta_{i}$. We can estimate $E\left[Z^{k}\right]$ by $\bar{Z}_{n}^{k}$ and $C^{k}$ by $C_{n}^{k}$, thus estimate the general and canonical components of gCCA.

## 6. Proofs of theorems

### 6.1 Some concepts of exterior algebra

Let $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}\right)$ be a basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p}$. For $r \leq p$, let ${ }^{r} \Lambda \mathbb{R}^{p}$ be the exterior power of order $r$ of $\mathbb{R}^{p}$, generated by the $C_{p}^{r}$ exterior products $e_{i_{1}} \Lambda e_{i_{2}} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda e_{i_{r}}, i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{r} \in$ $\{1, \ldots, p\}$.
Let $Q$ be a metric in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$. The inner product $\langle.,$.$\rangle in { }^{r} \Lambda \mathbb{R}^{p}$ induced by $Q$ is such that

$$
\left\langle e_{i_{1}} \Lambda e_{i_{2}} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda e_{i_{r}}, e_{k_{1}} \Lambda e_{k_{2}} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda e_{k_{r}}\right\rangle=\sum_{\sigma \in G_{r}}(-1)^{s(\sigma)}\left\langle e_{i_{1}}, e_{\sigma\left(k_{1}\right)}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle e_{i_{r}}, e_{\sigma\left(k_{r}\right)}\right\rangle,
$$

$G_{r}$ being the set of permutations $\sigma$ of $\left\{\mathrm{k}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}\right\}$ and $s(\sigma)$ the number of inversions of $\sigma$. Let $\|$.$\| denote the associated norm. Note that if x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ are $Q$-orthogonal, then $\left\|x_{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda x_{r}\right\|=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left\|x_{i}\right\|$ and if $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}\right)$ is a $Q$-orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{p}$, then the set of the $C_{p}^{r}$ exterior products $e_{i_{1}} \Lambda e_{i_{2}} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda e_{i_{r}}$ is an orthonormal basis of ${ }^{r} \Lambda \mathbb{R}^{p}$.

Let $U$ be an endomorphism in $\mathbb{R}^{p}$. For $j \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$, the endomorphism ${ }^{r j} U$ in ${ }^{r} \Lambda \mathbb{R}^{p}$ is such that:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{ }^{r j} U\left(x_{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda x_{r}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{j} \leq r} x_{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda U x_{i_{1}} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda U x_{i_{j}} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda x_{r} ; \\
\text { for } j=1,{ }^{r 1} U\left(x_{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda x_{r}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda U x_{i} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda x_{r} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The following properties hold.
(P1) Assume $U$ has p real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}$ and, for $j \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$, let $V_{j}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{j}$. Then the $C_{p}^{r}$ vectors $V_{i_{1}} \Lambda V_{i_{2}} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda V_{i_{r}}, i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<$ $i_{r} \in\{1, \ldots, p\}$, are eigenvectors of ${ }^{r j} U$; for $j=1$, the corresponding eigenvalues are $\lambda_{i_{1}}+\cdots+\lambda_{i_{r}}$ and for $j=r$, the products $\lambda_{i_{1}} \cdots \lambda_{i_{r}}$; thus if $U$ is invertible, so is ${ }^{r r} U$. (P2) If $U$ is $Q$-symmetric, ${ }^{r j} U$ is symmetric with respect to the metric induced by $Q$ in ${ }^{r} \Lambda \mathbb{R}^{p}$.
(P3) ${ }^{r r}(I+U)=I+\sum_{j=1}^{r}{ }^{r j} U$.
(P4) There exists $c(r)>0$ such that, for $1 \leq j \leq r,\left\|{ }^{r j} U\right\| \leq c(r)\|U\|^{j}$.

### 6.2 Proof of Theorem 1

The writing of fixed $\omega$ belonging to the intersection of the a.s. convergence sets is omitted. Let $W_{n}=U_{n}-B ; W_{n+1}=\left(1-a_{n}\right) W_{n}+a_{n}\left(B_{n}-B\right)$. Since $W_{n}$ converges to 0 by $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~b}, I-W_{n}$ is invertible from a certain rank, assumed equal to 1 without loss of generality. We use Lemmas 1 and 5. Let ${ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}=\tilde{X}_{n}^{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda \tilde{X}_{n}^{i}$.

Lemma 5. $\frac{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|} \rightarrow 1, \frac{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{1}\right\| \cdots\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{i}\right\|} \rightarrow 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\frac{\left\langle^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}{ }^{i 1} B{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|^{2}}-\frac{\left.{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}{ }^{i 1} B^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}}\right|<\infty$ under H4.

Outline of the proof. In Step 1, we prove Lemma 5. In Step 2, defining, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}$,

$$
\tilde{Z}_{n}^{i}=\left(I-W_{n}\right) \tilde{X}_{n}^{i}, \quad{ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n}=\tilde{Z}_{n}^{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda \tilde{Z}_{n}^{i},
$$

we show that there exists a $p \times p$ random matrix $C_{n}$ such that

$$
{ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n+1}={ }^{i i}\left(I+a_{n} C_{n}\right){ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n},
$$

$C_{n} \rightarrow B$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|C_{n}-B\right\|<\infty$ under H2b.
In Steps 3, 4, using a proof similar to that of Corollary 2ii of Monnez and Skiredj (2021), we deduce by Lemma 1, with $\lambda_{1 i}=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{i}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{z}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{z}_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow \pm V_{1 i}= \pm V_{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda V_{i}, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\lambda_{1 i}-\left\langle\frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{z}_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{z}_{n}\right\|}{ }^{i 1} B \frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{z}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{z}_{n}\right\|}\right\rangle\right)<\infty, \\
\text { then } \frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{x}_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow \pm V_{1 i}, X_{n}^{i} \rightarrow \pm V_{i}, \Lambda_{n}^{i} \rightarrow \lambda_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In Step 5, we infer that $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\lambda_{1 i}-\left\langle\frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|}{ }^{i 1} B \frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|}\right\rangle\right)<\infty$, then by Lemma 5,

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\lambda_{1 i}-\left\langle{ }^{i} X_{n},{ }^{i 1} B{ }^{i} X_{n}\right\rangle_{n}\right|<\infty,
$$

$$
\text { therefore } \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\lambda_{i}-\left\langle X_{n}^{i}, B X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}\right|<\infty \text { and } \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\Lambda_{n}^{i}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty .
$$

## Step 1. Proof of Lemma 5

1) Since $Q_{n} \rightarrow Q, \frac{\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{k}\right\rangle-\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{j} \tilde{X}_{n}^{k}\right\rangle_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}\right\|}=\frac{\left(\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(Q-Q_{n}\right) \tilde{x}_{n}^{k}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}\right\|} \rightarrow 0$; thus, for $j=k, \frac{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{j}\right\|_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|} \rightarrow 1$, and, since the vectors $\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}$ are $Q_{n}$-orthogonal, $\frac{\left\langle\tilde{X}_{j}^{j}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{k}\right\rangle}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}\right\|} \rightarrow \delta^{j k}$ (Kronecker symbol). Therefore

$$
\frac{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{1}\right\|^{2} \cdots\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}}=\sum_{\sigma \in G_{i}}(-1)^{s(\sigma)} \frac{\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{1}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(1)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{1}\right\|\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(1)}\right\|} \cdots \frac{\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(i)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{i}\right\|\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(i)}\right\|} \rightarrow 1,
$$

$G_{i}$ being the set of permutations $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, i\}$ and $s(\sigma)$ the number of inversions of $\sigma$.
2) $\left\langle{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n},{ }^{i 1} B{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda \tilde{X}_{n}^{i}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda B \tilde{X}_{n}^{k} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda \tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right\rangle$
$=\sum_{k=1}^{i} \sum_{\sigma \in G_{i}}(-1)^{s(\sigma)}\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{1}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(1)}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}, B \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(k)}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(i)}\right\rangle$.
Replacing each inner product $\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(j)}\right\rangle$ by $\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(j)}\right\rangle_{n}+\left(\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\left(Q-Q_{n}\right) \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(j)}$, and using Landau notation $O$ (.),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(j)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|_{n}\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(j)}\right\|_{n}}=\frac{\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(j)}\right\rangle_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|_{n}\| \|_{n}^{\sigma(j)} \|_{n}}+O\left(\left\|Q_{n}-Q\right\|\right)=\delta^{j \sigma(j)}+O\left(\left\|Q_{n}-Q\right\|\right) \\
\text { and } \frac{\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}, B \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(k)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}\right\|_{n}\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(k)}\right\|_{n}}=\frac{\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}, B \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(k)}\right\rangle_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}\right\|_{n}\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(k)}\right\|_{n}}+O\left(\left\|Q_{n}-Q\right\|\right) ; \text { then: } \\
\frac{\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{n}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(1)}\right\rangle \cdots\left(\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}, B \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(k)}\right) \cdots\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(i)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{n}^{2} \cdots\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{i}\right\|_{n}^{2}}=\frac{\left\langle\tilde{x}_{n}^{1}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(1)}\right\rangle_{n} \cdots\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{k}, B \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(k)}\right\rangle_{n} \cdots\left\langle\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}, \tilde{X}_{n}^{\sigma(i)}\right\rangle}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{1}\right\|_{n}^{2} \cdots\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{\tilde{i}}\right\|_{n}^{2}}+O\left(\left\|Q_{n}-Q\right\|\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the vectors $\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}$ are $Q_{n}$-orthogonal, $\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}=\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{1}\right\|_{n}^{2} \cdots\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right\|_{n}^{2}$. Thus:

Likewise, $\frac{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}}=1+O\left(\left\|Q_{n}-Q\right\|\right)$. Then: $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\frac{\left\langle^{i \tilde{x}_{n}}{ }^{i{ }^{i} 1}{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|^{2}}-\frac{\left\langle^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}{ }^{i 1}{ }_{B}{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}}\right|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\frac{\left.{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}{ }^{i 1}{ }^{1} B{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}}\right)+\frac{\left.{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}{ }^{i 1}{ }^{i} B{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}}-\frac{\left.{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}{ }^{i,}{ }^{i} B{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}}\right| \\
& =\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} O\left(\left\|Q_{n}-Q\right\|\right)<\infty \text { by H4. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2. Let, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, \tilde{Z}_{n}^{i}=\left(I-W_{n}\right) \tilde{X}_{n}^{i}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tilde{Z}_{n+1}^{i}=\left(I-W_{n+1}\right) \tilde{X}_{n+1}^{i}=\left(I-W_{n+1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{i}-\sum_{j<i} \frac{\left\langle\tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{i}, \tilde{X}_{n+1}^{j}\right\rangle_{n+1}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n+1}^{j}\right\|_{n+1}^{2}} \tilde{Z}_{n+1}^{j} \cdot \\
{ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n+1}=\tilde{Z}_{n+1}^{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda \tilde{Z}_{n+1}^{i}=\left(I-W_{n+1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda\left(I-W_{n+1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{i} . \\
\left(I-W_{n+1}\right) \tilde{Y}_{n+1}^{j}=\left(I-W_{n+1}\right)\left(I+a_{n} B_{n}\right) \tilde{X}_{n}^{j}=\left(I-W_{n+1}\right)\left(I+a_{n} B_{n}\right)\left(I-W_{n}\right)^{-1} \tilde{Z}_{n}^{j} \\
=\left(I-W_{n}+a_{n} W_{n}+a_{n} B-a_{n} W_{n+1} B_{n}\right)\left(I-W_{n}\right)^{-1} \tilde{Z}_{n}^{j}=\left(I+a_{n} C_{n}\right) \tilde{Z}_{n}^{j}, \\
C_{n}=\left(B+W_{n}-W_{n+1} B_{n}\right)\left(I-W_{n}\right)^{-1}=B+\left(B W_{n}+W_{n}-W_{n+1} B_{n}\right)\left(I-W_{n}\right)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By H2a,b, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|C_{n}-B\right\|<\infty, \quad I+a_{n} C_{n}=\left(I-W_{n+1}\right)\left(I+a_{n} B_{n}\right)\left(I-W_{n}\right)^{-1}$ is invertible.

$$
\begin{gathered}
{ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n+1}=\left(I+a_{n} C_{n}\right) \tilde{Z}_{n}^{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda\left(I+a_{n} C_{n}\right) \tilde{Z}_{n}^{i}={ }^{i i}\left(I+a_{n} C_{n}\right)^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n}=\left(I+a_{n} D_{n}^{i}\right)^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n}, \\
D_{n}^{i}={ }^{i 1} C_{n}+\sum_{j=2}^{i} a_{n}^{j-1 i j} C_{n} \text { by } P 3 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Step 3. With $S_{n}=\frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n}\right\|}$, we have $S_{n+1}=\frac{\left(I+a_{n} D_{n}^{i}\right) S_{n}}{\left\|\left(I+a_{n} D_{n}^{i}\right) S_{n}\right\|}$. Let us show that the process $\left(S_{n}\right)$ verifies the assumptions of Lemma 1 with $B_{n}$ replaced by $D_{n}^{i}$ and $B$ by ${ }^{i 1} B$.

By $P 2$, since $B$ is $Q$-symmetric, ${ }^{i 1} B$ is symmetric with respect to the metric induced by $Q$ in ${ }^{i} \Lambda \mathbb{R}^{p}$. By $P 1$, under H1b, the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1 i}=\lambda_{1}+\cdots+\lambda_{i}$ of ${ }^{i 1} B$ is simple;
a corresponding normed eigenvector is $V_{1 i}=V_{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda V_{i}$. Thus assumptions H1a and H1b of Lemma 1 hold for ${ }^{i 1} B$.

By $P 1$, since $\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is invertible, so is ${ }^{i i}\left(I+a_{n} C_{n}\right)=I+a_{n} D_{n}^{i}$; H2a holds.
By $P 4$, there exists $c(i)>0$ : for $1 \leq j \leq i,\left\|^{i j}\left(C_{n}-B\right)\right\| \leq c(i)\left\|C_{n}-B\right\|^{j}$;
by $P 3$, since $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|C_{n}-B\right\|<\infty$ and $\left\|C_{n}\right\|^{j} \leq 2^{j-1}\left(\left\|C_{n}-B\right\|^{j}+\|B\|^{j}\right)$ :
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|D_{n}^{i}-{ }^{i 1} B\right\|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|^{i 1}\left(C_{n}-B\right)+\sum_{j=2}^{i} a_{n}^{j-1 i j} C_{n}\right\|$
$\leq c(i)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|C_{n}-B\right\|+\sum_{j=2}^{i} 2^{j-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{j}\left(\left\|C_{n}-B\right\|^{j}+\|B\|^{j}\right)\right)<\infty$;
H2b holds. Then by Lemma 1:

$$
S_{n}=\frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{z}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{z}_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow \pm V_{1 i}= \pm V_{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda V_{i}, \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\lambda_{1 i}-\left\langle S_{n},{ }^{i 1} B S_{n}\right\rangle\right)<\infty .
$$

By P3, ${ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n}={ }^{i i}\left(I-W_{n}\right)^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}=\left(I+\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j i j} W_{n}\right)^{i} \tilde{X}_{n} ;$
by P4, $\left\|^{i j} W_{n}\right\| \leq c(i)\left\|W_{n}\right\|^{j} \rightarrow 0$, then $\left\|\frac{i \tilde{z}_{n}-{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \frac{\left\|^{i} \tilde{z}_{n}\right\|}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 1, \frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow \pm V_{1 i}$.

Step 4. Assume $\frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{\tilde{X}_{n}}\right\|} \rightarrow V_{1 i}, \frac{\tilde{X}_{1}^{1}}{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{1}\right\|} \rightarrow V_{1}, \frac{\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|} \rightarrow V_{j}, j \in\{1, \ldots, i-1\}$, prove $\frac{\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right\|} \rightarrow V_{i}$.
$\left\langle\frac{\tilde{X}_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|}, V_{1 i}\right\rangle=\frac{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{1}\right\| \cdots \cdot \cdot\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{i}\right\|}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|} \sum_{\sigma \in G_{i}}(-1)^{s(\sigma)}\left\langle\frac{\tilde{X}_{n}^{1}}{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{1}\right\|}, V_{\sigma(1)}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle\frac{\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}}{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{i}\right\|}, V_{\sigma(i)}\right\rangle \rightarrow 1$.
$\left\langle\frac{\tilde{x}_{n}^{j}}{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{j}\right\|}, V_{\sigma(j)}\right\rangle \rightarrow \delta^{j \sigma(j)}, j \in\{1, \ldots, i-1\}$; by Lemma $5, \frac{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{1}\right\| \cdot \cdots\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{i}\right\|}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{x}_{n}\right\|} \rightarrow 1$, then:
$\left\langle\frac{\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right\|}, V_{i}\right\rangle \rightarrow 1, \frac{\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right\|} \rightarrow V_{i}$, and since $\frac{\left\|\tilde{x}_{n}^{j}\right\|_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{j}\right\|} \rightarrow 1, X_{n}^{i}=\frac{\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right\|_{n}} \rightarrow V_{i}$ and by H2b and H4,
$\Lambda_{n}^{i}=\left\langle U_{n} X_{n}^{i}, X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n} \rightarrow \lambda_{i}$.

Step 5. Let $R_{n}=\frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|}$. By Step 3, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\lambda_{1 i}-\left\langle S_{n},{ }^{i 1} B S_{n}\right\rangle\right)<\infty$. Let us show that $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle S_{n},{ }^{i 1} B S_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B R_{n}\right\rangle\right|<\infty$. Let $E_{n}^{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i}(-1)^{j}{ }^{i j} W_{n}$.
By Step 3, ${ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n}=\left(I+E_{n}^{i}\right)^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}$, then $S_{n}=\frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{Z}_{n}}{\left\|\tilde{Z}_{n}\right\|}=\frac{\left(I+E_{n}^{i}\right) R_{n}}{\left\|\left(I+E_{n}^{i}\right) R_{n}\right\|}$.
Since ${ }^{i 1} B$ is symmetric with respect to the metric induced by $Q$ in ${ }^{i} \Lambda \mathbb{R}^{p}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left(I+E_{n}^{i}\right) R_{n}\right\|^{2}\left(\left\langle S_{n},{ }^{i 1} B S_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B R_{n}\right\rangle\right) \\
=\left\langle\left(I+E_{n}^{i}\right) R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B\left(I+E_{n}^{i}\right) R_{n}\right\rangle-\left\|\left(I+E_{n}^{i}\right) R_{n}\right\|^{2}\left\langle R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B R_{n}\right\rangle \\
=\left\langle R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B R_{n}\right\rangle\left(1-\left\|\left(I+E_{n}^{i}\right) R_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)+2\left\langle E_{n}^{i} R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B R_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle E_{n}^{i} R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B E_{n}^{i} R_{n}\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
=\left\langle R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B R_{n}\right\rangle\left(-2\left\langle R_{n}, E_{n}^{i} R_{n}\right\rangle-\left\|E_{n}^{i} R_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)+2\left\langle E_{n}^{i} R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B R_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle E_{n}^{i} R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B E_{n}^{i} R_{n}\right\rangle
$$

Since $W_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|W_{n}\right\|<\infty, E_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|E_{n}^{i}\right\|<\infty$. Since $\left\|R_{n}\right\|=1$, $\left\|\left(I+E_{n}^{i}\right) R_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 1$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\left\langle S_{n},{ }^{i 1} B S_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B R_{n}\right\rangle\right|<\infty$. Then:

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\lambda_{1 i}-\left\langle R_{n},{ }^{i 1} B R_{n}\right\rangle\right)=\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\lambda_{1 i}-\frac{\left\langle{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n},{ }^{i 1} B{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle}{\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}\right\|^{2}}\right)<\infty ;
$$

by Lemma 5: $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\lambda_{1 i}-\frac{\left\langle^{i} \tilde{X}_{n},{ }^{1}{ }_{B}{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\rangle_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}^{2}}\right|<\infty$; since vectors $\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}$ are $Q_{n}$-orthogonal and $X_{n}^{i}=\frac{\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}}{\left\|\tilde{X_{n}^{i}}\right\|_{n}},\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}=\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{1}\right\|_{n} \cdots\left\|\tilde{X}_{n}^{i}\right\|_{n},{ }^{i} X_{n}=X_{n}^{1} \Lambda \cdots \Lambda X_{n}^{i}=\frac{{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}}{\left\|{ }^{i} \tilde{X}_{n}\right\|_{n}}$, thus: $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\lambda_{1 i}-\left\langle{ }^{i} X_{n},{ }^{i 1} B{ }^{i} X_{n}\right\rangle_{n}\right|<\infty$.
Since $\left\langle{ }^{i} X_{n},{ }^{i 1} B{ }^{i} X_{n}\right\rangle_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left\|X_{n}^{1}\right\|_{n}^{2} \cdots\left\langle X_{n}^{k}, B X_{n}^{k}\right\rangle_{n} \cdots\left\|X_{n}^{i}\right\|_{n}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left\langle X_{n}^{k}, B X_{n}^{k}\right\rangle_{n}$, for $i \in\{1, \ldots, r\}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{i}\left(\lambda_{k}-\left\langle X_{n}^{k}, B X_{n}^{k}\right\rangle_{n}\right)\right|<\infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\lambda_{i}-\left\langle X_{n}^{i}, B X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}\right|<$ $\infty$. By H2b and H4,

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\lambda_{i}-\left\langle X_{n}^{i}, U_{n} X_{n}^{i}\right\rangle_{n}\right|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left|\Lambda_{n}^{i}-\lambda_{i}\right|<\infty .
$$

### 6.3 Proofs of Lemmas 2, 3, 4.

Lemma 6 is a deterministic version of the convergence theorem for nonnegative almost supermartingales of Robbins and Siegmund (1971).

Lemma 6. Assume $\left(z_{n}, n \geq 1\right),\left(\alpha_{n}, n \geq 1\right),\left(\beta_{n}, n \geq 1\right)$ and $\left(\delta_{n}, n \geq 1\right)$ are four sequences of non-negative numbers such that for all $n \geq 1, z_{n+1} \leq z_{n}\left(1+\alpha_{n}\right)+\beta_{n}-$ $\delta_{n}, \sum_{1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}<\infty, \sum_{1}^{\infty} \beta_{n}<\infty$. Then the sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ converges and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \delta_{n}<\infty$.
Assume $a_{n}<1$ for $n \geq 1$ without loss of generality. The abbreviation "a.s." is omitted.

Proof of Lemma 2. The writing of fixed $\omega$ belonging to $\left\{\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|B_{n}^{1}-B\right\|<\infty\right\}$ is omitted. Since $U_{n+1}^{1}-B=\left(1-a_{n}\right)\left(U_{n}^{1}-B\right)+a_{n}\left(B_{n}^{1}-B\right)$,

$$
\left\|U_{n+1}^{1}-B\right\| \leq\left\|\left(U_{n}^{1}-B\right)\right\|+a_{n}\left\|B_{n}^{1}-B\right\|-a_{n}\left\|U_{n}^{1}-B\right\| .
$$

Under H2c, by Lemma 6:

$$
\exists T \geq 0 \text { such that }\left\|U_{n}^{1}-B\right\| \rightarrow T \text { and } \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}^{1}-B\right\|<\infty ;
$$

since $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty, T=0$.
$\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n+1}^{1}-B\right\| \leq \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}^{1}-B\right\|+\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}\left\|B_{n}^{1}-B\right\|<\infty ;$
$\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|\left(U_{n+1}^{1}-B\right) B_{n}^{1}\right\| \leq \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n+1}^{1}-B\right\|\left(\left\|B_{n}^{1}-B\right\|+\|B\|\right)<\infty$.

Proof of Lemma 3. Since $E\left[B_{n}^{2} \mid T_{n}\right]=0$ and $U_{n+1}^{2}=\left(1-a_{n}\right) U_{n}^{2}+a_{n} B_{n}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\left\|U_{n+1}^{2}\right\|^{2} \mid T_{n}\right]=\left(1-a_{n}\right)^{2}\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}+a_{n}^{2} E\left[\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2} \mid T_{n}\right] \\
& =\left(1+a_{n}^{2}\right)\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}+a_{n}^{2} E\left[\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2} \mid T_{n}\right]-2 a_{n}\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By H2d and H3a, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2} E\left[\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2} \mid T_{n}\right]<\infty$. By Robbins-Siegmund theorem (1971),

$$
\exists T \geq 0 \text { such that }\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow T \text { and } \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}<\infty ;
$$

since $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty, T=0$.
Moreover, $E\left[\left\|U_{n+1}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]=\left(1+a_{n}^{2}\right) E\left[\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]+a_{n}^{2} E\left[\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]-2 a_{n} E\left[\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]$. Since $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<\infty$ and $\sup _{n} E\left[\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]<\infty$, by Lemma 6, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n} E\left[\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]<\infty, E\left[\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right] \rightarrow$ 0 , thus there exists $f>0$ such that:

$$
E\left[\left\|U_{n+1}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq\left(1-2 a_{n}\right) E\left[\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]+f a_{n}^{2} .
$$

Let $\mu=2-\gamma>0, c_{n}=\mu a_{n}-\gamma_{n}$, thus $a_{n}=\frac{1}{\mu}\left(c_{n}+\gamma_{n}\right)$. Under the assumptions on $\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $\left(\gamma_{n}\right), c_{n} \rightarrow 0, \sum_{1}^{\infty} c_{n}=\infty, \prod_{1}^{n}\left(1-c_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$, there exist $c>0$ and $N_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq N_{1}, c_{n}<1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{n+1}= & \frac{E\left[\left\|U_{n+1}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]}{a_{n+1}} \leq\left(1+\gamma a_{n}+\gamma_{n}\right)\left(1-2 a_{n}\right) d_{n}+f\left(1+\gamma a_{n}+\gamma_{n}\right) a_{n} \\
& \leq\left(1-(2-\gamma) a_{n}+\gamma_{n}\right) d_{n}+c a_{n} \leq\left(1-c_{n}\right) d_{n}+c a_{n} \\
& \leq d_{N_{1}} \prod_{l=N_{1}}^{n}\left(1-c_{l}\right)+\frac{c}{\mu} \sum_{l=N_{1}}^{n}\left(c_{l}+\gamma_{l}\right) \prod_{k=l+1}^{n}\left(1-c_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\sum_{l=N_{1}}^{n} c_{l} \prod_{k=l+1}^{n}\left(1-c_{k}\right)=\sum_{l=N_{1}}^{n}\left(\prod_{k=l+1}^{n}\left(1-c_{k}\right)-\prod_{k=l}^{n}\left(1-c_{k}\right)\right)=1-$ $\prod_{k=N_{1}}^{n}\left(1-c_{k}\right)<1$, then $d_{n+1} \leq d_{N_{1}}+\frac{c}{\mu}+\frac{c}{\mu} \sum_{l=N_{1}}^{\infty} \gamma_{l}=b_{1}$; thus, for $n \geq N_{1}-1$, $E\left[\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq b_{1} a_{n}$ and there exists $b>0$ such that $E\left[\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq b a_{n}$ for $n \geq 1$. Since $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}^{3 / 2}<\infty$ by H3b, $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}^{2}\right\|<\infty$.

Proof of Lemma 4. By Lemmas 2 and 3, $U_{n}-B \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n}-B\right\|<\infty$.

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|\left(U_{n+1}-B\right) B_{n}\right\|=\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|W_{n+1} B_{n}\right\|=\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|W_{n+1}\left(B+B_{n}^{1}-B+B_{n}^{2}\right)\right\|
$$

By H3b, there exists $d>0$ such that $a_{n} \leq d a_{n+1}$, then

$$
\text { (1) } \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|W_{n+1} B\right\| \leq d \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n+1}\left\|W_{n+1}\right\|\|B\|<\infty \text {; }
$$

(2) $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|W_{n+1}\left(B_{n}^{1}-B\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|W_{n+1}\right\|\left\|B_{n}^{1}-B\right\|<\infty$ by H2c and $W_{n} \rightarrow 0$;
(3) $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|W_{n+1} B_{n}^{2}\right\| \leq d \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n+1}\left\|W_{n+1}\right\|\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|<\infty$ by H2f;
(4) $\left\|W_{n+1} B_{n}^{2}\right\| \leq\left\|\left(U_{n+1}^{1}-B\right) B_{n}^{2}\right\|+\left\|U_{n+1}^{2} B_{n}^{2}\right\| ; \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|\left(U_{n+1}^{1}-B\right) B_{n}^{2}\right\|<\infty$ by H2e,

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left[\left\|U_{n+1}^{2} B_{n}^{2}\right\|\right] \leq\left(E\left[\left\|U_{n+1}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(E\left[\left\|B_{n}^{2}\right\|^{2}\right]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=O\left(\sqrt{a_{n+1}}\right) \text { by Lemma 3 }, \\
\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|U_{n+1}^{2} B_{n}^{2}\right\|<\infty \text { by H3b, then } \sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|W_{n+1} B_{n}^{2}\right\|<\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus by (1), (2), (3 or 4), $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|W_{n+1} B_{n}\right\|<\infty$. H2b holds.

### 6.4 Proof of Theorem 2

The writing of fixed $\omega$ belonging to the set $\left\{\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|Q_{n+1}-M^{-1}\right\|<\infty\right\}$ is omitted.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n}-M=\left(I-a_{n} Q_{n+1}\right)\left(M_{n-1}-M\right)-a_{n}\left(Q_{n+1}-M^{-1}\right) M . \text { Let } M_{n}^{1}=M_{n}-M . \\
& \quad\left\|M_{n}^{1}\right\| \leq\left(\left\|I-a_{n} M^{-1}\right\|+a_{n}\left\|Q_{n+1}-M^{-1}\right\|\right)\left\|M_{n-1}^{1}\right\|+a_{n}\left\|Q_{n+1}-M^{-1}\right\|\|M\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\lambda_{\text {min }}$ be the lowest eigenvalue of $M^{-1}$. Since $a_{n}=o(1),\left\|I-a_{n} M^{-1}\right\|=1-$ $a_{n} \lambda_{\text {min }}$ for $n$ sufficiently large. Thus
$\left\|M_{n}^{1}\right\| \leq\left(1+a_{n}\left\|Q_{n+1}-M^{-1}\right\|\right)\left\|M_{n-1}^{1}\right\|+a_{n}\left\|Q_{n+1}-M^{-1}\right\|\|M\|-a_{n} \lambda_{\text {min }}\left\|M_{n-1}^{1}\right\|$. Since $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|Q_{n+1}-M^{-1}\right\|<\infty$, by Lemma 6 , $\exists T \geq 0$ such that $\left\|M_{n-1}^{1}\right\| \rightarrow T$ and $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|M_{n-1}^{1}\right\|<\infty ;$ since $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty, T=0$; note that $\sum_{1}^{\infty} a_{n}\left\|M_{n}^{1}\right\|<\infty$.
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