

Effect of anodization and loading on fatigue life of 2618-T851 aluminum alloy

Benaïssa Malek, Michel Chaussumier, Catherine Mabru

▶ To cite this version:

Benaïssa Malek, Michel Chaussumier, Catherine Mabru. Effect of anodization and loading on fatigue life of 2618-T851 aluminum alloy. International Journal of Fracture, 2022, pp.0. 10.1007/s10704-022-00682-8. hal-03955771

HAL Id: hal-03955771 https://hal.science/hal-03955771

Submitted on 25 Jan 2023 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Effect of anodization and loading on fatigue life of 2618-T851 aluminum alloy

Benaïssa Malek D · Michel Chaussumier · Catherine Mabru

Abstract Anodizing process is largely performed on aluminum alloys to enhance corrosion and wear resistances. However, this process can significantly reduce the fatigue resistance of aluminum alloys depending on the alloy and the process parameters. This paper deals with the influence of anodizing process on fatigue resistance of the aeronautical aluminum alloy 2618-T851. The anodic film has been characterized after each step using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). This analysis showed that the anodic layer was crazed just after impregnation operation with micro-cracks extending from the surface up to half the anodic layer. Simultaneously, uniaxial fatigue tests under tension or torsion loadings have been conducted in order to evaluate the effect of each process step in relation with loading nature. The results showed that the anodizing step has a detrimental effect on fatigue life of 2618 alloy when specimens are subjected to tensile loading. However, anodizing process has no effect on fatigue life under torsion loading. Fractographic observations have been conducted in order to get an insight on the mechanisms involved in each case.

Keywords 2618-T851 · Anodizing · Fatigue · Tension · Torsion

1 Introduction

Aluminum alloys are widely used in aircraft construction. When they are exposed to an aggressive environment, the natural oxide film that forms on the surface is not sufficient to protect the structure from corrosion. Anodic oxidation is then used to improve wear and corrosion resistances (Mehdizade et al. 2019; Darmawan et al. 2018; Lu et al. 2018). In addition, sealing operation could be done after anodizing to improve corrosion resistance (Wang et al. 2019; Canyook et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2018; Mason et al. 2011). However, fatigue life of such treated specimens is often reduced (Kudari and Sharanaprabhu 2018; Zhao et al. 2015; Crawford 2013; Nie et al. 2013; Shahzad et al. 2010a, b, 2011a, b; Lonyuk et al. 2007). Indeed, anodizing process affects the surface characteristics of the parts; composition, roughness, as well as internal stresses can be modified. All these surface parameters are known to be involved in the fatigue resistance (Boyer et al. 2007; James et al. 2007). Moreover, the brittle nature of the anodic film can also interfere in the mechanisms leading to the decrease of fatigue resistance. Many research studies have been conducted on this topic. For instance, the effects of

<sup>B. Malek (⊠) · M. Chaussumier · C. Mabru
Université de Toulouse, Institut Clément Ader (ICA),
UMR CNRS 5312, UPS/INSA/ISAE/Mines Albi, 3 rue
Caroline Aigle, 31400 Toulouse, France
e-mail: bnssmalek@gmail.com</sup>

chromic and sulfuric anodizing on the very high cycle fatigue behavior during purely reversed fatigue tests of 2A12 have been investigated (Nie et al. 2013). It has been shown that the decrease of the fatigue life is related to cracks initiating from the interface between the anodic film and the substrate whatever the acid used. In the case of sulfuric anodizing, the effect is attributed to the cracking of the anodic layer and the overgrowth of the oxide film into the substrate. For the case of chromic anodizing, early cracking is due to tensile residual stress in the interface. The decrease in tensile fatigue life for anodized-sealed specimens of 2214-T6 anodized in sulfuric acid as compared to bare condition is due to a decrease in initiation period and multi-site crack initiations as a result of the formation of cavities due to the dissolution of coarse Al₂Cu particles during anodization and network of microcracks on anodic film surface initiated during sealing process (Shahzad et al. 2011a, b). Anodizing process parameters (such as time, temperature and voltage) can affect the fatigue resistance. This has been investigated for 2024-T3 alloy anodized in a mixed solution of sulfuric and tartaric acids under tensile fatigue tests; increasing the voltage leads to a decrease of the fatigue life, suggesting the thickness of the anodic layer must be taken into account (Zhao et al. 2015). This has been confirmed by a study on 7075-T6 alloy anodized in sulfuric acid for various periods of time, leading to various oxide layer thicknesses. The negative effect of anodizing on rotating-bending fatigue life is more important for the thickest coating layer (Cirik and Genel 2008). Varying time, temperature and voltage during chromic acid anodizing of 2024-T351 modifies the reversed tensile fatigue life reduction as compared to untreated specimens (Kudari and Sharanaprabhu 2018). Sometimes, anodizing step is not the only key step of the process that causes fatigue life decrease. A detrimental effect of the pickling step, prior to the anodizing one, has been noted on the fatigue resistance of 2024-T351 (Kudari and Sharanaprabhu 2018), 7010 (Shahzad et al. 2010a, b) and 7050 (Shahzad et al. 2011a, b). For these last two alloys, it has been shown that pickling results in pits formation at the surface, accelerating fatigue crack nucleation and promoting multi-site crack initiation. The pickling step completely changed the crack initiation mechanisms as compared to nontreated specimens, leading to a drastic degradation of the fatigue life. The small decrease in fatigue life of anodized specimens as compare to pickled specimens was attributed to brittle nature and micro-cracking of the coating.

At last, for a given alloy and a given surface treatment, the effects of the anodizing process can depend on the microstructural state of the alloy, as for 2017 A (Fares et al. 2015). In addition, the nature of the loading seems to have an influence on the effect of anodizing process on fatigue resistance (Shiozawa et al. 2000); fatigue resistance of anodized specimen of 2014-T6 tested in tension decreased as compared with that of bare specimens. But no effect on fatigue resistance has been shown under purely reversed rotating-bending fatigue test.

In this context, the aim of the present paper is to characterize the effect of a given anodizing process on the fatigue resistance of 2618-T851 aluminum alloy largely used in the past, especially in well-known Concorde aircraft. The alloy knows a slight decrease of fatigue resistance at high temperature compared to room temperature fatigue resistance (Doyle 1969; Williams and Starke 2003). A careful analysis of the surface has been conducted after each step and correlated to fracture surface observations and special attention is paid to the potential influence on the fatigue life of each step of the whole process under uniaxial loading.

2 Experimental details

The material investigated in this study is 2618-T851 aluminum alloy. The chemical composition is given in Table 1. It can be seen, among addition elements, there is a high content of iron and nickel. The mechanical properties in pure tension and pure torsion have been determined using a MTS multiaxial fatigue machine. The results are given in Table 2. Tensile results were found to be in good agreement with values found in literature (Wang et al. 2008; Khalil and Lang 2011; Bathias et al. 1981; Aghaie-Khafri and Zargaran 2010).

Specimens have been machined in a laminated plate of 2618-T851 aluminum alloy. After the laminating process, the plate has been heated at a solution treatment temperature (530 ± 5 °C), water quenched and tempered at 190 °C during 20 h. It is then hot worked by tension and finally quenched and tempered at 200 °C during some minutes.

0.2% (MPa)

438

Table 1 Ch	emical compo	sition (at%) of	2618-T851 alumin	um alloy				
Cu	Zn	Mg	Fe	Si	Mn	Ti	Ni	Al
0.9–1.5	< 0.1	1.35–2	0.4–0.6	0.2	< 0.15	0.15	0.2–0.6	Balance
Table 2 Me	chanical prope	erties of 2618-T	851 aluminum allo	ру				
Young's	Ultimat	e tensile Ter	sile yield stress at	Compres	sive yield Sh	ear	Shear yield stress	at Ratio

400

stress (MPa)

The microscopic observation of the microstructure	the
has shown the presence of coarse intermetallic parti-	resi
cles in grains and grain boundaries, aligned along the	et a
laminate direction (Fig. 1); the mean size of these	pre
particles is of 15 µm in longitudinal direction, 12 µm	pic
in the two other directions. It has been found that the	pro
coarse intermetallic particles correspond to Al ₉ FeNi.	alu
Finest Al ₂ Cu hardening particles have been also	mic
detected. These observations are in good agreement	ren

with microstructural observations made by other researchers (Özbek 2007; Novy et al. 2009).

stress (MPa)

464

modulus, E

(GPa)

72

Uniaxial tensile and torsion fatigue tests were performed at room temperature using cylindrical specimens (Fig. 2) with an arithmetic roughness of 0.8 µm, under a frequency of 10 Hz, with a stress ratio of 0.1 for tensile tests and -1 for torsion tests.

The fatigue loading levels have been chosen to study the fatigue behavior of 2618 alloy in the cycle fatigue domain between 10^4 cycles and 10^7 cycles. The nominal stresses used in tensile and torsion fatigue tests are respectively in the range of 80 MPa to 200 MPa and 70 MPa to 200 MPa.

Prior to anodizing, degreasing and pickling are two necessary pretreatments; the objective is to produce a chemically clean surface ready to be anodized. Impregnation and sealing were used after anodizing in order to obtain good wear and corrosion resistances. The whole process is described in Table 3.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Surface characterization

As noted in the introduction, the pickling step of the anodizing process has sometimes been identified as

main step causing the decrease of the fatigue istance of an anodized aluminum alloy (Shahzad al. 2010a, b, 2011a, b). This was attributed to the sence of pits on the surface resulting from the kling. These pits acts as stress concentrators and mote crack initiation. In the case of 2618-T851 minum alloy, Fig. 3 obtained by scanning electron croscopy (SEM) shows that Al₉FeNi particles still nain at the surface but the substrate around has been preferentially attacked creating cavities around these particles. The surface size of these cavities can reach 10 µm. However, the average roughness is only slightly affected as roughness measurements give an arithmetic roughness of 0.9 µm compared to 0.8 µm in the as-machined conditions.

modulus, G

(GPa)

27

0.2% (MPa)

260

 σ_v / τ_v

1.69

Anodizing step is an electrochemical treatment that improves wear and corrosion resistances by developing a controlled anodic film in the surface. A cross section and the surface view of the anodic film are shown in Fig. 4. The thickness of the anodic layer is about 9 µm. The surface is not homogenous. A high density of cavities can be observed at the surface and within the oxide layer (Fig. 5). This is due to the presence of various and numerous coarse intermetallic phases in the aluminum matrix. Depending on their electrochemical behavior towards the aluminum matrix, these micro-sized particles can induce the formation of holes because they dissolve under anodizing conditions or can be incorporated in the anodic layer, inducing a modification of the alumina density above the particle (Veys-Renaux et al. 2016).

Despite the numerous surface defects, the average arithmetic roughness is unchanged compared to the previous step and is still of 0.9 µm. The impregnation step follows the anodization step and was achieved by immersing the parts in a chemical solution based on



Fig. 1 3D microstructure of 2618-T851 aluminum alloy (*L* laminated direction, *L*-*T* long-transverse direction, *S*-*T* short-transverse direction)



Fig. 2 Geometry of specimens for fatigue test (dimensions are in mm)

trivalent chromium and zirconium. Surface and crosssection views of the anodic film after impregnation are presented in Fig. 6. After impregnation the surface still presents a high density of defects. The density of surface defects is similar to what was observed before impregnation and the arithmetic roughness is still of 0.9 μ m. In addition, the anodic film is crazed. The depth of the micro-cracks within the anodic film is almost half of the layer thickness. This might be related to the presence of Zirconium and Chromium observed in the upper part of the anodic layer (see Fig. 7). The atomic content of zirconium and chromium was determined by a linear EDX analysis of the chemical composition of the anodic layer from the **Table 3** Parameters of thesurface treatment process of2618-T851 alloy

Process	Bath nature	Temperature (°C)	Time (min)
Degreasing	Sococlean A3431 10%	45	6
Pickling	Socosurf A1858/A1806	50	10
Anodization	Sulfuric acid 200 g/L (15 V)	18	40
Impregnation	Lanthane 613.3	40	20
Sealing	H ₂ O	98–100	30



15:57 NM D7.7 x1.5k 50 μm

Fig. 3 Surface of 2618 specimens after pickling

aluminum/coating interface layer to the outer surface of the anodic layer as indicated in Fig. 5 by the arrow.

Figure 7 shows the presence of zirconium and chromium which is consistent with the composition of the impregnation solution. The atomic content of the zirconium and chromium reach respectively 1% and

0.7% in the middle of the anodic layer which corresponds to the beginning of the anodic film crazing. In the outer layer, the content is respectively 12% and 3%.

It has been reported in some studies that such micro-cracks can be explained by the thermal expansion coefficient mismatch between the anodic layer and the aluminum substrate (Liu et al. 2008; Goueffon et al. 2009; Côté et al. 2014).

The volume of the compounds formed during this impregnation step can also create stresses that cause cracks. This gradient of composition can also be the cause of a gradient of mechanical properties (toughness, ultimate strength...). The same micro-cracks appear in some metallic materials (Liu et al. 2008; Schuster et al. 2015). Additional mechanical–physical–chemical characterizations should be performed to formally establish the reasons for this type of crazing.

Micro-cracks delineate irregular cells more or less closed in polygonal shape. The average size of the cells is 20 μ m, their surface area and density are



Fig. 4 a Cross section and b surface view of anodic film of anodized specimen





Fig. 5 Defects in the anodic film

Fig. 7 Zirconium and Chromium content through the thickness of the anodic film by EDX analysis



Fig. 6 a Surface and b cross-section views of anodic film after impregnation

respectively equal to 350 μ m² and 3 × 10⁻³ per μ m² on a representative area of 100 × 100 μ m × μ m.

The last step is sealing in boiling water. Surface and cross-section views of the anodic film after sealing are shown in Fig. 8. Surface defects and crazing similar to the previous step can be observed. However, cross-section observations show that some micro-cracks that appeared during impregnation step propagated throughout the anodic layer and reach the substrate. In addition, the cells created by these micro-cracks are smaller (surface area of about 150 μ m²), with a higher density 7.5 × 10⁻³ per μ m² indicating that this sealing step results not only in propagating existing cracks

through the thickness layer but also in the creation of new cracks.

In a previous study, the triptych microstructure, microgeometry and residual stresses influencing fatigue resistance was investigated and it has been shown that the fatigue life of 2618 aluminum alloy is only sensitive to its microstructure. The main purpose of residual stress measurement, in this paper, is to study their evolution after each step of the anodizing treatment. Residual stresses of the specimen surfaces have been determined thanks to DRX measurements. The results for the initial surface (machined) and after each step of the surface treatment are presented in



Fig. 8 a Surface and b cross-section views of anodic film after sealing

Fig. 9. The values are quite low and taking into account the uncertainty (about \pm 70 MPa), no difference between the various steps can be highlighted. As a consequence, among the parameters that can control the fatigue resistance and that can be affected by the surface treatment process, residual stresses will be neglected compared to microstructure and microgeometry of the surface.

3.2 Tensile fatigue tests

Tensile fatigue tests have been performed on surface treated and as machined specimens under a stress ratio of $R_s (R_s = \frac{\sigma^{min}}{\sigma^{max}} = 0.1)$. The stress ratio of 0.1 has been chosen because it is a conventional stress ratio used in aeronautical fatigue investigations and also to extend

experimental investigation range and include a mean normal stress.

Figure 10 shows the obtained results.

Contrary to what could be expected (creation of surface cavities that could affect the fatigue life James 2007; Cirik and Genel 2008), pickling does not reduce the fatigue life. A degrading effect of anodizing step can be observed. No additional fatigue life reduction is observed for impregnated and sealed specimens.

Fracture surface analysis have been conducted in order to identify the mechanisms involved in the failure for each step of the surface treatment. For machined and pickled specimens, the fatigue cracks initiated from a single site at the surface. The crack initiation is attributed to the coarse intermetallic particles that act as stress concentrators (Figs. 11, 12). For anodized, impregnated and sealed specimens the



Fig. 9 Maximal surface residual stresses determined after each step of the surface treatment. $\mathbf{a} \ \phi = 0^{\circ}$ and $\mathbf{b} \ \phi = 90^{\circ}$



Fig. 10 Effect of surface treatment on tensile fatigue life

anodic film is identified to be involved in the fatigue failure of treated specimens. The fatigue cracks initiated from multi-sites situated on the surface. SEM observations of fracture surfaces of anodized, impregnated and sealed specimens are presented in Figs. 13, 14 and 15 respectively.

No particles are found on the initiation sites. However, numerous cracks of the anodic layer, penetrating into the substrate, can be systematically found, even for the anodized specimen whose oxide layer was not cracked prior to test. The presence of coarse intermetallic particles and associated defects in the anodic film can be observed sometimes and could also contribute to crack initiation. As the fatigue lives of anodized, impregnated and sealed specimens are similar, the micro-cracks that appeared during the process of impregnation and develop during the process of sealing before fatigue test seem to have a negligible effect.

3.3 Torsion fatigue tests

The same approach was followed to determine the effect of each step of the anodizing process on the fatigue resistance of 2618-T851 under torsional loading. Torsional fatigue tests have been performed on treated and untreated specimens in purely reversed torsion. The obtained results are presented in Fig. 16.

As shown, no influence of the surface treatment on fatigue resistance of 2618-T851 is observed, whatever the step of the process. Surface fracture analyses of specimens tested in torsion is sometimes difficult. Indeed, during the last cycles before the final failure, fracture surfaces rub in certain areas generating deformation due to friction (Fig. 17), which sometimes complicates the observation of the crack initiation sites.

However, it was possible to observe some of these specimens tested in torsion. Figure 18 shows the initiation site of a machined specimen.

It appears that fatigue cracks initiated from a single site at the surface crack initiation zone contain coarse intermetallic particles that act as stress concentrators. Mechanisms seem similar to those observed for as machined specimens tested in tension.



Fig. 11 Initiation sites of machined specimen, $\sigma_a = 130$ MPa. **a** Full view of fracture and **b** initiation site localization



Fig. 12 Initiation sites of pickled specimen, $\sigma_a = 130$ MPa. a Semi view of fracture and b initiation site localization



Fig. 13 Initiation sites of anodized specimen, $\sigma_a = 130$ MPa. a Full view of fracture and b initiation site localizations



Fig. 14 Initiation sites of impregnated specimen, $\sigma_a = 130$ MPa. a Full view of fracture and b initiation site localizations



Fig. 15 Initiation sites of sealed specimen, $\sigma_a = 130$ MPa. **a** Full view of fracture and **b** initiation site localizations



Fig. 16 Effect of surface treatment on torsion fatigue life

Unfortunately for the treated specimens, it was not possible to conclude on the mechanisms involved in crack initiation due to the rubbing of the fracture surfaces.

4 Conclusion

- For machined and pickled specimens, the crack initiation from a single site is attributed to the coarse intermetallic particles Al₉FeNi acting as stress concentrators.
- (2) For treated specimens, a reduction of fatigue life for tensile loading is observed.



Fig. 17 Deformed area of a fracture surface, as machined specimen, $\tau_{\rm a}=85~MPa$

- (3) Anodizing is the detrimental step of the whole surface treatment process leading to a fatigue life reduction in tensile loading; this time, the cracking of the oxide film is identified as the origin of multi-sites.
- (4) The impregnation and sealing steps do not generate additional reduction of fatigue life, despite the crazing observed early in which some micro-cracks overgrow the substrate.
- (5) Anodizing process does not affect fatigue life under torsional loading; the cracks systematically begin on the surface from Al₉FeNi.



Fig. 18 Initiation site of machined specimen, $\tau_a = 85$ MPa. a Full view of fracture and b initiation site localizations

(6) To conclude, the correlation between surface treatment, loading nature and their effect on fatigue life of 2618-T851 aluminum alloy is investigated. Results show that the surface crazing has no effect on fatigue life regardless of loading nature. Concerning the corrosion resistance, it is known that the coated substrate is less affected by corrosion than the uncoated substrate. The study of the influence of microcracks on the corrosion behavior was not the aim of the present paper.

Acknowledgements The author gratefully acknowledge Université Paul Sabatier for financial support of this research work and IRT-M2P in Metz (France) for making available the specimens and all surface treatments and in particular Joffrey Tardelli (IRT-M2P), Benjamin Mouls (IRT-M2P), Cédric Marchetto (IRT-M2P) and Aimé Ramakistin (INEOSURF).

References

- Aghaie-Khafri M, Zargaran A (2010) Low-cycle fatigue behavior of AA2618-T61 forged disk. Mater Des 31:4104–4109. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2010.04. 043
- Bathias C et al (1981) Influence of various parameters on the determination of the fatigue crack arrest threshold. Fatigue Eng Mater Struct 4:1–13
- Boyer R, Welsch G, Collins EW (2007) Materials properties handbook: titanium alloys, 4th edn. ASM International, Materials Park
- Canyook R, Seubsom P, Sang-ngean J, Trirujirapapong T, Taweesup K (2018) Influences of sealing solutions on

anodized layer properties of 7075 aluminium alloy. Mater Today Proc 5(3):9483–9488

- Cirik E, Genel K (2008) Effect of anodic oxidation on fatigue performance of 7075-T6 alloy. Surf Coat Technol 202:5190–5201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2008. 06.049
- Côté M-P, Benehkohal NP, Alpay N, Demopoulos GP, Brochu M (2014) Development of titanium-sputtered anodized aluminum substrates for dye-sensitized solar cells. Metall Mater Trans E 1(4):311–317. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40553-014-0032-7
- Crawford BR (2013) Effect of anodising on the fatigue properties of aluminium alloys. Air Vehicles Division, Defence Science and Technology Organisation
- Darmawan AS, Riyadi TWB, Hamid A, Febriantoko BW, Putra BS (2018) Corrosion resistance improvement of aluminum under anodizing process. AIP Conf Proc 1977:020006. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5042862
- Doyle WM (1969) The development of Hiduminium-RR58 aluminium alloy: the background to the choice of the main structural material for Concorde. Aircr Eng Aerosp Technol 41:11–14. https://doi.org/10.1108/eb034573
- Fares C, Hemmouche L, Belouchrani MA, Amrouche A, Chicot D, Puchi-Cabrera ES (2015) Coupled effects of substrate microstructure and sulphuric acid anodizing on fatigue life of a 2017A aluminum alloy. Mater Des 86:723–734. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2015.07.120
- Goueffon Y, Arurault L, Mabru C, Tonon C, Guigue P (2009) Black anodic coatings for space applications: study of the process parameters, characteristics and mechanical properties. J Mater Process Technol 2(11):5145–5151. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec.2009.02.013
- James MN, Hughes DJ, Chen Z, Lombard H, Hattingh DG, Asquith D, Yates JR, Webster PJ (2007) Residual stresses and fatigue performance. Eng Fail Anal 14:384–395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2006.02.011
- Khalil O, Lang K-H (2011) Influence of microstructure on the quasistatic and low cycle fatigue behavior of an AA2618

aluminium alloy. Procedia Eng 10:1339–1347. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.proeng.2011.04.223

- Kudari SK, Sharanaprabhu CM (2018) The effect of anodizing process parameters on the fatigue life of 2024-T-351 aluminium alloy. Fatigue Aircr Struct 2017(9):109–115
- Liu W, Zuo Y, Tang Y, Zhao X (2008) The cracking behavior of anodic films on cast aluminum alloy after heating in the temperature range up to 300 °C. Surf Coat Technol 202:4183–4188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2008. 03.008
- Lonyuk B, Apachitei I, Duszczyk J (2007) The effect of oxide coatings on fatigue properties of 7475-T6 aluminium alloy. Surf Coat Technol 201(21):8688–8694. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.surfcoat.2006.02.002
- Lu J, Wei G, Yu Y, Guo C, Jiang L (2018) Aluminum alloy AA2024 anodized from the mixed acid system with enhanced mechanical properties. Surf Interfaces 13:46–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surfin.2018.08.003
- Mason RB, Clark S, Klingenberg M, Berman E, Voevodin N (2011) Alternatives to dichromate sealer in anodizing operations. Met Finish 109(4–5):25–32
- Mehdizade M, Soltanieh M, Eivani AR (2019) Investigation of anodizing time and pulse voltage modes on the corrosion behavior of nanostructured anodic layer in commercial pure aluminum. Surf Coat Technol 358:741–752
- Nie B, Zhang Z, Zhao Z, Zhong Q (2013) Effect of anodizing treatment on the very high cycle fatigue behavior of 2A12-T4 aluminum alloy. Mater Des 50:1005–1010
- Novy F, Janecek M, Kral R (2009) Microstructure changes in 2618 aluminium alloy during ageing and creep. J Alloys Compd 487(1–2):146–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jallcom.2009.08.014
- Özbek I (2007) A study on the re-solution heat treatment of AA2618 aluminium alloy. Mater Charact 58:312–317. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matchar.2006.07.002
- Schuster F, Lomello F, Billard A, Velisa G, Monsifrot E, Bischoff J, Ambard A, Brachet J-C, Lesaux M, Leflem M et al. (2015) On-going studies at CEA on chromium coated zirconium based nuclear fuel claddings for enhanced accident tolerant LWRS fuel. In: TopFuel 2015—Reactor Fuel Performance Meeting, Zurich, Switzerland cea-02492582
- Shahzad M, Chaussumier M, Chieragatti R, Mabru C, Rezaï-Aria F (2010a) Influence of anodizing process on fatigue life of machined aluminium alloy. Procedia Eng 2:1015–1024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2010.03. 110
- Shahzad M, Chaussumier M, Chieragatti R, Mabru C, Rezaï-Aria F (2010b) Influence of surface treatments on fatigue life of Al 7010 alloy. J Mater Process Technol 210:1821–1826. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmatprotec. 2010.06.019

- Shahzad M, Chaussumier M, Chieragatti R, Mabru C, Rezaï-Aria F (2011a) Effect of sealed anodic film on fatigue performance of 2214-T6 aluminium alloy. Surf Coat Technol 206(11–12):2733–2739. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. surfcoat.2011.10.033
- Shahzad M, Chaussumier M, Chieragatti R, Mabru C, Rezaï-Aria F (2011b) Surface characterization and influence of anodizing process on fatigue life of Al 7050 alloy. Mater Des 32(6):3328–3335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes. 2011.02.027
- Shiozawa K, Kobayashi H, Terada M, Matsui A (2000) Effect of anodized coatings on fatigue strength in aluminum alloy. Trans Jpn Soc Mech Eng A 66(652):2170–2175. https:// doi.org/10.2495/SURF010371
- Veys-Renaux D, Chahboun N, Rocca E (2016) Anodizing of multiphase aluminium alloys in sulfuric acid: in-situ electrochemical behaviour and oxide properties. Electrochim Acta 211:1056–1065. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. electacta.2016.06.131
- Wang J, Yi D, Su X, Yin F (2008) Influence of deformation ageing treatment on microstructure and properties of aluminium alloy 2618. Mater Charact 65:965–968. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.matchar.2007.08.007
- Wang R, Wang L, He C, Lu M, Sun L (2019) Studies on the sealing processes of corrosion resistant coatings formed on 2024 aluminium alloy with tartaric-sulfuric anodizing. Surf Coat Technol 360:369–375
- Williams JC, Starke EA (2003) Progress in structural materials for aerospace systems. Acta Mater 51:5775–5799. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2003.08.023
- Zhang LM, Zhang SD, Ma AL, Hu HX, Zheng YG, Yang BJ, Wang JQ (2018) Influence of sealing treatment on the corrosion behavior of HVAF sprayed Al-based amorphous/nanocrystalline coating. Surf Coat Technol 353:263–273
- Zhao X, Wei G, Yu Y, Guo Y, Zhang A (2015) An analysis of mechanical properties of anodized aluminum film at high stress, fatigue of aircraft structures. Surf Rev Lett 22(1):1550002

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.