

Insights on impact estimation of unmeasured micropollutants from WWTP release

Rémi Servien, Kevin Bonnot, Eric Latrille, Arnaud Hélias, Dominique

Patureau

▶ To cite this version:

Rémi Servien, Kevin Bonnot, Eric Latrille, Arnaud Hélias, Dominique Patureau. Insights on impact estimation of unmeasured micropollutants from WWTP release. 2023. hal-03952680v2

HAL Id: hal-03952680 https://hal.science/hal-03952680v2

Preprint submitted on 4 Apr 2023 (v2), last revised 21 Aug 2023 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - ShareAlike 4.0 International License

1 2

- Insights on impact estimation of unmeasured micropollutants from WWTP release
- 3

4 R. Servien^{a,b,*}, K. Bonnot^{a,c}, E. Latrille^{a,b}, A. Hélias^{d,e}, D. Patureau^a

5

⁶ ^aINRAE, Univ. Montpellier, LBE, 102 Avenue des étangs, F-11000 Narbonne, France

- 7 ^bChemHouse Research Group, Montpellier, France
- ⁸ ^c Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR ECOSYS, 78850, Thiverval-
- 9 Grignon, France
- ¹⁰ ^dITAP, Univ Montpellier, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France
- ¹¹ ^eELSA, Research group for environmental life cycle sustainability assessment and
- 12 ELSA-Pact industrial chair, Montpellier, France
- 13 *corresponding author: remi.servien@inrae.fr
- 14

15 Abstract: During wastewater treatment, incomplete elimination of micropollutants occurs. These micropollutants are often incompletely eliminated and may pose a risk 16 to human health and aquatic ecosystems. The potential impacts of these substances 17 are underestimated due to the lack of available concentrations below the limit of 18 quantifications (LOQ) for an important set of micropollutants. Here, we studied the 19 potential impacts on human health and aquatic environment of the release of 261 20 organic micropollutants at the scale of France and we showed that, even with a 21 concentration below the LOQ, some micropollutants could have a significant impact. 22 23 For the unmeasured concentrations, a global concentration distribution built on several 24 datasets with different LOQ is used. Ignoring the unmeasured micropollutants led to an underestimation of the impacts of more than 300% on both human health and 25 aquatic environment. Some substances, such as hydrazine, endrin, or 2,3,7,8-26 TetraCDD, could lead to a very high impact even with an unmeasured concentration. 27 The usual convention of LOQ/2 for the unmeasured concentrations is also shown to 28 be an overestimation. This work can be adapted to any other compartment and any 29 30 other geographical context. 31 **Keywords:** characterization factors; limit of quantification; (eco)-toxicity; continental 32 33 freshwater; human health; Life Cycle Assessment. 34 **Highlights:** 35 Impacts for micropollutants with concentrations below LOQ are derived. 36 • Some micropollutants have a significant impact with an unmeasured 37 • concentration. 38 39 • Ignoring these unmeasured substances leads to a strong underestimation of the impacts. 40 This could help to prioritization of unmeasured micropollutants. 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48

Graphical abstract



52 **1. Introduction**

Thanks to the implementation of the Water Framework Directive (Directive 53 2008/105/CE, 2008), many European countries have launched monitoring campaigns 54 55 for organic micropollutants either in the effluents of urban and industrial wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) or in the receiving rivers. Indeed, many papers refer to the 56 quantification of thousands of compounds including pharmaceuticals, hormones, 57 pesticides, hydrocarbons, and solvents in treated wastewaters, underlying incomplete 58 removals of the conventional treatments (Addamo et al., 2005; Bisognin et al, 2021; 59 Yao et al., 2021). 60

Moreover, with increasingly accurate and efficient analytical technologies, more and 61 more compounds are detected at low concentrations (ng.L⁻¹ to μ g.L⁻¹) in rivers, 62 groundwater, surface water, and drinking water (Bayer et al., 2014; Kiefer et al., 2019; 63 Muter et al., 2020; Postigo et al., 2021). The incomplete removal and release of 64 micropollutants into the aquatic environment represent a potential danger to human 65 health and the aquatic environment of continental freshwater. The identification and 66 quantification of the potential impact of toxic substances induce the development of 67 new sustainable process technologies for targeted substances and represent a great 68 challenge to the safety of aquatic ecosystems (Rosenbaum et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 69 2015). 70

Recently, Aemig et al. (2021) identified 261 organic micropollutants in the effluents of French WWTP. The toxicological and ecotoxicological impacts of 94 and 88 micropollutants, respectively, were quantified by multiplying the emitted mass of the compound in the total volume of effluents from French WWTPs by their characterization factors (CFs) (Fantke et al., 2018) as usually done in the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) framework. Some compounds cannot be assessed because they

have no known concentration or their CFs were not available. Then, Servien et al. 77 78 (2022a) developed a modeling method based on machine learning approaches and 40 easy-to-obtain molecular descriptors to predict missing CFs. This model allowed the 79 prediction of toxicological and ecotoxicological CFs in continental freshwater with an 80 acceptable margin of error. This allowed an upgraded assessment of the overall 81 potential impacts (on human health and aquatic environment) of 153 organic 82 micropollutants in continental freshwater at the scale of France. As usually observed 83 (Marlatt et al., 2022), results showed that a molecule can be highly hazardous for the 84 aquatic environment without necessarily being an issue for human health, and vice 85 86 versa. Moreover, a high impact could be driven by a high CF, a high mass, or a combination of both. As the machine learning models allow a CF prediction for any 87 new compound, the missing concentrations are the only burden that prevents a 88 89 complete impact estimation. Nevertheless, building models based on easy-to-obtain inputs seem not easily attainable for missing concentrations and the lack of data for 90 some micropollutants is nowadays a known problem (Leenhardt et al., 2022). Cantoni 91 et al. (2020) tackle this problem by comparing several statistical methods to estimate 92 concentrations below the LOQ. Their work is promising but is limited to a small number 93 of micropollutants and cannot be applied to compounds with no value above the LOQ. 94 Not considering the micropollutants with a high number of concentrations below the 95 LOQ in the impact estimations is equivalent to estimating that they have an impact 96 equal to zero, which obviously leads to a strong underestimation of the potential 97 impacts. So, the objective of this paper was to study the potential impacts of the 98 micropollutants for which we do not have measured concentrations or for which more 99 than 90% of the measurements are below the limit of quantification (LOQ) threshold, 100 including those with no measurement above the LOQ. For this, we develop an 101

estimation procedure detailed in the following Section. This allowed a complete assessment of the individual potential impacts (on human health and aquatic environment) of each of the organic micropollutants of interest in continental freshwater at the scale of France.

106

- 107
- 108 2. Materials and Methods
- 109 **2.1 Molecules**

Aemig et al. (2021) identified 261 organic micropollutants representing a potential 110 111 danger to human health and aquatic environment in continental freshwater. These molecules came from (i) the Water Framework Directive (WFD, Directive 2008/105/CE, 112 2008), (ii) the national action for the survey and reduction of hazardous substances in 113 water (called RSDE) (INERIS, 2016), and (iii) the AMPERES French project in which 114 micropollutants (registered in the WFD and pharmaceuticals) were analyzed in 115 116 influents and effluents of 15 WWTP (Martin Ruel et al., 2016). Among these 261 organic micropollutants, the emitted mass of 153 was estimated with at least 10 sites 117 of measures and 90% of the measured data above the limit of quantification. The 118 impacts of these 153 compounds were assessed by Aemig et al. (2021) for those 119 present in the USEtox[®] database (Rosenbaum et al., 2007) and by Servien et al. 120 (2022a) for those who are not in this database. 121

122

123 **2.2 Characterization factors**

Among the remaining 108 compounds, 58 have a CF for ecotoxicological impacts (CF_{ET}) and 43 a CF for human ones (CF_{HT}) in the USEtox[®] database version 2.12 with a default landscape (to remain consistent with Servien et al. (2022a,b)). USEtox[®] is an

international consensual for characterizing human and ecotoxicological impacts of 127 chemicals (UNEP-SETAC, 2019). It was developed by the life cycle initiative under the 128 United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) and the Society for Environmental 129 Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC) (Henderson et al., 2011) to produce a transparent 130 and consensus characterization model. This model gathers in one single 131 characterization factor the chemical fate, the exposure, and the effect for each of the 132 several thousands of organic and inorganic compounds. If the structure of the 133 USEtox® multimedia model is always the same, to determine the CF of a molecule, 134 physicochemical parameters (such as solubility, numerous hydrophobicity, 135 degradability) and detailed toxicological and ecotoxicological data must be provided. 136 In details, CFs were calculated in the USEtox database through the following formula: 137 $CF = FF \times XF \times EF$. FF was the fate factor indicating the residence time in the 138 environment and was estimated with physicochemical properties for organic 139 micropollutants or speciation for inorganic micropollutants. XF was the exposure factor 140 i.e. the fraction of micropollutants in the environment that was available for organisms. 141 EF was the effect factor corresponding to the effect on the aquatic environment 142 (considering three trophic levels) or the effect on Human health. For Human toxicity 143 CFs, USEtox calculated the intake fraction (iF) i.e. the amount of micropollutants 144 absorbed through air, water, and food after emission in the freshwater compartment. 145 iF was equal to XF x FF. LCA tool was preferred to approaches such as Toxic Unit or 146 Risk Quotient as we wanted to estimate the potential impacts of each micropollutant 147 and the overall impact of the mixture. 148

The remaining CFs were computed using the machine learning models developed by Servien et al. (2022a). These models predict ecotoxicological or toxicological characterization factors using 40 selected easy-to-obtain molecular descriptors (see

the list in Table S1). For more details on the choice and the calculation of these 152 descriptors, the interested reader is referred to Servien et al. (2014). These models 153 are based on a comparison between different linear or non-linear (machine learning) 154 models and could be global or local using a cluster-then-predict approach. The 155 modeled CFs were compared to USEtox® database, the differences between the 156 modeled CFs and the USEtox[®] ones were small, and the models were therefore 157 considered to be applicable. The best approaches were then selected for each cluster 158 and each characterization factor. To apply the cluster-then-predict approaches, the 159 cluster of each new compound needs to be estimated using a supervised clustering 160 161 approach based on the clusters already obtained in Servien et al. (2022a) which was performed using the well-known k-nearest neighbor approach through the knn function 162 of the R package *class* (Ripley, 1996). Then, the models selected by Servien et al. 163 164 (2022a) were applied without any modification, assuming they had been previously tested on a large diversity of molecules covering the diversity of the compounds 165 assessed in the present study. These previous tests have shown the reliability of the 166 models to predict CFs that are missing in USEtox®. 167

Note that the tributylstannanylium (CAS 36643-28-4) contains an atom of tin and, as consequence, its molecular descriptors cannot be computed by the above-mentioned methods and, thus, its CFs. In addition, chloroalkanes C10-13 (CAS 85535-84-8) is a mix of different molecular structures that can lead to different molecular descriptors and CFs and was also excluded.

The 106 corresponding characterization factors are gathered in Table S2. The schemeof the whole study is summarized in Figure S1 available in Supplementary Material.

175

2.3 Quantification of significative potential impacts

The annual volume of water effluent released into the environment by WWTP was 177 estimated to be 5.10⁹ m³, in France (Aemig et al., 2021). This volume was determined 178 by multiplying the daily flow arriving at the WWTP for 365 days, assuming that it was 179 equal to the effluent. The emitted mass of micropollutants was estimated by multiplying 180 each concentration of the micropollutants, when available, by the volume of the 181 effluent. The concentrations and masses are those of the Supplemental Material of 182 Aemig et al. (2021) and are gathered in Table S3. Total impacts on human health and 183 aquatic environment in continental freshwater were quantified by summing the impacts 184 of all the compounds, as is usually done in LCA (Heijungs and Suh, 2002). 185

186 As explained above, the remaining 106 micropollutants are those for which not enough data were available to correctly estimate the concentration. So, for these compounds, 187 we calculate the risk threshold concentration to reach 1% of the total sum of impacts 188 189 calculated previously for the 153 micropollutants presented in Servien et al. (2022b). Note that this 1% threshold is obviously arbitrary and fully depends on the compound 190 selection that is taken into account in the study. Nevertheless, this methodology could 191 easily be adapted to any chosen threshold, any selection of compounds (even 192 containing transformation products), and any compartment of interest. It could also be 193 used for other endpoints that are based on measured concentrations such as risk 194 quotients. When the LOQ of a micropollutant was available, this risk threshold 195 concentration was also expressed in the percentage of the LOQ to be comparable 196 among different micropollutants. For 42 compounds, no data (measurements and 197 LOQ) were available so this risk threshold concentration was only expressed in µg.L⁻ 198 ¹. Obviously, as the potential impacts are linearly related to the estimated 199 concentration, if a measured concentration is the risk threshold concentration 200

multiplied by a constant k, the corresponding impacts will be k% of the total sum of impacts calculated previously.

203

204 **2.4 Estimation of values below the LOQ**

205

For these 106 micropollutants, according to Table S3, a very high number (more than 90%) of the values are below the LOQ of the corresponding study. Data inferior to LOQ are usually estimated at half of the quantification limit as usually applied in environmental studies (INERIS, 2016). This assumption is a very strong one that could have a major impact on the estimated concentration (and thus the potential impact) when there are a lot of concentrations below LOQ. Testing this strong assumption could be of great help.

In the RSDE samples, the compounds are measured using routine methods so most 213 of the LOQ are pretty high. This is not always the case for the other sources of 214 quantified concentrations. So, we have some concentrations that came from other 215 216 sources and that are below the LOQ of the main dataset of the study, the RSDE one. We could then scale these values relative to the RSDE LOQ for all compounds to have 217 a sufficient number of concentrations measured but below the RSDE LOQ. This gives 218 a dataset of 141 samples with concentrations denoted $(c_j)_{1 \le j \le 141}$ coming from 25 219 different compounds. The distribution of these samples is then used to compute the 220 probability that the risk threshold concentration c_i of compound *i* has been reached in 221 case of quantification below the LOQ. This probability p_i is computed as the number 222 of measurements above the corresponding risk threshold concentration c_i divided by 223

the total number of measurements (i.e. 141): $p_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{141} \mathbb{1}_{(c_i < c_j)}}{141}$ and is detailed in the

following Figure 1. In other terms, when the risk threshold concentration c_i is near the

LOQ, the probability to get a value above c_i is low.



228



229

Figure 1: Summary of the computation of the probability to reach a risk threshold 230 concentration. The RSDE LOQ is represented by a large black line whereas the 231 distribution of concentrations $(c_i)_{1 \le i \le 141}$ that came from other sources are represented 232 here by some blue crosses and its corresponding boxplot. On the top subfigure, a risk 233 threshold concentration c_i is estimated for a new compound *i*, this results in the 234 corresponding p_i , calculated by counting all the concentrations $c_i > c_i$. Then, on the 235 bottom subfigure, another risk threshold concentration c_k is estimated for another 236 compound k with $c_k > c_i$. Obviously, the probability p_k that the risk threshold 237 concentration for the compound k will be attained is below p_i . 238

Note that if a sample that doesn't come from RSDE has a measured concentration lower than its LOQ (and, thus, lower than the RSDE LOQ) it won't be taken into account, so the final distribution will probably be a little overestimated. Indeed, taking into account these unknown measurements relying on different relative LOQ values would bring a lot of uncertainties in the below LOQ distribution calculations.

245

246

6 2.5 Calculation of the total impacts

247

As usually done in LCA, the total impacts on human health and aquatic environment 248 249 in continental freshwater were quantified by summing the impacts of all the compounds. Human impact is expressed in DALY representing the number of 250 negatively impacted human years, and the ecotoxicological impact is expressed in 251 PDF representing the potential fraction of disappeared species. To compute these 252 impacts, we impute values below the LOQ by the median values below the LOQ for all 253 254 the compounds (see Figure 2), namely LOQ/3. For the compounds without a LOQ, the median value of the LOQ, namely $0.05 \mu g.L^{-1}$, was used. 255

256

257 **3. Results and discussion**

258 **3.1 Global analysis of characterization factors**

Regarding the CFs, the different values are reported in the boxplots of Figure 2 below. As usual, the CFs are log-transformed with the addition of 1e-10 for the CF_{HT} to avoid a computational problem with $log_{10}(0)$. 1e-10 has been chosen to be below the minimum of the USEtox[®] database (5e-9).



Figure 2. Comparison of the CF_{HT} and the CF_{ET} (both in log10 scale) for the compounds taken into account in previous studies and the added compounds. For the added compounds, the CF in orange came from USEtox[®] whereas those in blue are computed using Servien et al. (2022a).

Figure 2 suggests that the added compounds have globally higher CF than already 272 included in the previous studies. In more detail, for the CFHT and the CFET, the 273 maximum, the 1st and 3rd quartile, the median and the mean of the added compounds 274 are above those of the previous studies. But there are also very low CF values largely 275 below those of the previous study. All the descriptive values are given in Table S4. We 276 could also see that we have extremely high values for some compounds already 277 included in USEtox[®]. For the CF_{ET}, these two extreme values are for the 1,2,3,7,8-278 PentaCDD (CAS 40321-76-4) and the 2,3,7,8-TetraCDF (CAS 51207-31-9) from the 279 polychlorodibenzodioxin/furane family. Their extreme values would lead to significant 280 impacts if their concentrations are not too low. For the CFHT, the extreme value is for 281 the 2,3,7,8-TetraCDD (CAS 1746-01-6). This is the unique value of the whole USEtox[®] 282 database that is log-positive for this variable. Nevertheless, the estimation of the CF_{HT} 283 284 available in the environmental footprint database (Fazio et al., 2018) seems to corroborate this very high value. This dioxin is a well-studied and recognized endocrine 285 disrupter presenting the highest affinity to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR), a 286 cytosolic protein present in most vertebrate tissues, that mediated the toxic and 287 biological effects of such compounds (Van den Berg et al., 2006). Its very high CFET 288 and CF_{HT} are linked to its high endocrine-disrupting potency. If we don't consider this 289 compound, five others have CF_{HT} above the maximal value already included in 290 previous studies. These compounds are all pesticides: chlordane (CAS 57-74-9), 1,1-291 bis(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,2-Dichloroethane (CAS 72-54-8), dieldrin (CAS 60-57-1), 292 heptachlor epoxide (CAS 1024-57-3) and toxaphene (CAS 8001-35-2). 293

294

3.2 Estimation of concentrations below the LOQ

In the following Figure 3, the distribution of the concentrations measured on othersources and that are below the RSDE LOQ is represented.



298

Figure 3: Boxplot of the 141 measured concentrations below the RSDE LOQ on
another dataset with lower LOQ (expressed in % of the RSDE LOQ).

We could see that the median (and so is the mean) value of this distribution seems to be close to LOQ/3, and not LOQ/2 as usually used for calculation when data are below the LOQ. Furthermore, as the concentration values below the smaller LOQ are not taken into account, even LOQ/3 is probably an overestimation, when faced with a below LOQ value in the RSDE dataset. This is also confirmed by the conclusions of Cantoni et al. (2020) where the LOQ and LOQ/2 are shown to be an overestimation. 307

308

3.3 Impact on the aquatic environment

For the aquatic environment, all the 64 risk threshold concentrations that could be expressed in percentage of LOQ are gathered in Table S5 and the smallest (i.e. that are below the LOQ) are reported in Table 1. These 64 compounds are the 106 without enough data to derive a concentration mentioned above minus the 42 with no data at all.

Table 1 – Estimation of the concentrations needed to reach 1% of the sum of the
impacts on ecotoxicity, when the risk threshold concentration is below the RSDE LOQ.
It is computed using the distribution of subsection 3.2 and is the estimation that a
measurement below the LOQ is above the risk threshold concentration. The results for
all compounds are gathered in Table S5.

CAS number	Name	Risk threshold concentration (µg.L ⁻¹)	Risk threshold concentration (% of RSDE LOQ)	Probability
302-01-2	Hydrazine	4,0E+00	4%	0.96
72-20-8	Endrin	1,0E-02	19%	0.75
143-50-0	Chlordecone	9,0E-02	46%	0.29
2921-88-2	Chlorpyrifos	2,0E-02	88%	0.04

319

320 There are only 4 compounds for which a concentration below the LOQ could still cause a significant impact on ecotoxicity and for 2 of them the risk threshold concentration is 321 also below LOQ/3. For the 60 other compounds, the risk threshold concentration being 322 above the LOQ and the measured one frequently below, their contribution to the 323 environmental impact could be considered as very low. For the hydrazine, a very small 324 concentration of only 4% of the RSDE LOQ, that have a high probability of occurrence, 325 will lead to a significant impact. Hydrazine and its derivatives are widely used 326 compounds in chemical industries and pharmaceuticals (propellant, blowing agent, 327

pesticide, antituberculosis...). It is a very small molecule (32 g/mol), very soluble and 328 volatile, no BCF is found in literature, nor DT50. It was classified by US EPA as a 329 human carcinogen (group B2): an intermediate inhalation minimal risk level of 4 µg/L 330 was calculated and a minimum limit for cancer was fixed at 10 µg/L (Qi et al., 2020). 331 The risk threshold concentration was found to be 4 µg/L and is largely below the RSDE 332 LOQ, which is very high (100 μ g/L) by comparison to the one of endrin (0.052 μ g/L) 333 and also other compounds. However, very recent papers refer to the development of 334 spectroscopic methods and sensors to analyze hydrazine in environmental samples 335 including water up to pico-molar level (0.03 to 3.2 µg/L, Arulraj et al., 2015; Qi et al., 336 337 2020). However, no data are provided in real water samples.

For the three pesticides, due to their hydrophobicity, they are usually quantified in solid 338 matrices like soil, sediment, and biota. However, concentrations of endrin were 339 340 reported in river water between 0.17 and 484 ng/L (Matsumo et al., 2009) and concentrations of chlorpyrifos between 1 and 32 ng/L (Wan et al., 2021). Their 341 342 presence in such an environment is mainly due to their use in agriculture. They are also targeted by the WFD. The Environmental Quality Standard (yearly average value) 343 for the sum of the 4 cyclodiene pesticides in the WFD (aldrin, isodrin, endrin, dieldrin) 344 is 10 ng/L (inner water surface) and 5 ng/L (other water). For chlorpyrifos, the EQS is 345 30 ng/L. The three calculated risk threshold concentrations to reach 1% of the sum of 346 the impacts are in the same range as these environmental and regulatory values. 347

The compounds without any information on LOQ are gathered in the following Table 2.

Table 2. Risk threshold concentrations for each compound to reach 1% of the sum of

the impacts on ecotoxicity. The compounds in this table are those without any measure

353 or LOQ.

		Risk threshold concentration
CAS number	Name	(µg.L ⁻¹)
40321-76-4	1,2,3,7,8-P5CDD	9,62E-05
51207-31-9	2,3,7,8-T4CDF	1,23E-04
1746-01-6	2,3,7,8-T4CDD	1,16E-02
57653-85-7	1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDD	3,61E-02
72-54-8	DDD 44'	4,04E-02
28159-98-0	Cybutryne	5,31E-02
72-55-9	DDE 44'	1,56E-01
19408-74-3	1,2,3,7,8,9-H6CDD	3,08E-01
39227-28-6	1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDD	3,08E-01
57117-44-9	1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDF	3,08E-01
70648-26-9	1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDF	3,08E-01
72918-21-9	1,2,3,7,8,9-H6CDF	3,08E-01
57117-41-6	1,2,3,7,8-P5CDF	3,09E-01
3268-87-9	1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-08CDD	3,10E-01
35822-46-9	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDD	3,10E-01
39001-02-0	1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-O8CDF	3,10E-01
446255-20-5	Heptabromodiphenylethers	3,10E-01
55673-89-7	1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H7CDF	3,10E-01
57117-31-4	2,3,4,7,8-P5CDF	3,10E-01
60851-34-5	2,3,4,6,7,8-H6CDF	3,10E-01
67562-39-4	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDF	3,10E-01
789-02-6	DDT 24'	5,58E-01
2032-65-7	Methiocarb	6,08E-01
25167-83-3	Tetrachlorophenols	6,50E-01
131860-33-8	Azoxystrobin	1,43E+00
139968-49-3	Metaflumizone	1,59E+00
118-79-6	2,4,6-tribromophenol	1,98E+00
564-25-0	Doxycycline	2,11E+00
153719-23-4	Thiamethoxam	2,61E+00
111988-49-9	Thiacloprid	4,24E+00
2303-17-5	Triallate	5,88E+00
21312-10-7	Acetyl sulfamethoxazole	6,67E+00
5466-77-3	Octinoxate	7,36E+00
615-58-7	2,4-dibromophenol	9,62E+00
135410-20-7	Acetamiprid	1,05E+01
210880-92-5	Clothianidin	1,65E+01
14297-93-9	4-epi-chlortetracycline	3,37E+01
25167-80-0	Monochlorophenol	3,67E+01
25167-82-2	Trichlorophenols	5,41E+01

128-37-0	Butylhydroxytoluene	6,19E+01
57-62-5	Chlortetracycline	1,27E+02
95-56-7	2-bromophenol	1,55E+02

354

For these compounds, only the risk threshold concentration in the usual unity ($\mu g L^{-1}$) 355 356 is available. It leads to results that are more difficult to interpret but we could see that there are 2 compounds from the dioxin/furane family (1,2,3,7,8-PentaCDD and 2,3,7,8-357 TetraCDF) for which a concentration above 0,01 and 0,1 ng.L⁻¹ will be enough to lead 358 359 to a significant impact. The 15 other congeners of this family present risk threshold concentrations between 11 and 310 ng/L. These compounds, produced during waste 360 incineration, and chemical manufacturing, are classified as very hydrophobic and 361 362 persistent compounds, and accumulate in environmental compartments such as soil, and sediment as well as in biota (Srogi, 2008). As an example, Villanneau et al (2011) 363 found soil concentrations of 23,8 ng I-TEQ/kgDM for the ∑17 PCDD/F. The regulatory 364 limit in meats for the sum of dioxin and furan is 1 to 5 ng I-TEQ / kg of fat. In such 365 organic and fat matrices, low concentrations can be found, but the probability to find 366 367 such low concentrations in water matrices may be very low. Dioxins and furans are also on the list of priority substances of the WFD but no EQS for water is provided only 368 EQS for the biota is fixed at 6,5 ng/kg DW (I-TEQ for 7 PCDD+10 PCDF+12 PCB). 369 370 Such low concentrations could probably be reached locally (see Lu et al., 2016, for PCDD/F concentrations in raw and treated drinking water and Ellis et al., 2008, for data 371 372 on lake Chelan).

373 DDD 44' and DDE 44' are transformation products of DDT that is not more used in 374 western countries since the 1990s. All congeners are hydrophobic and persistent 375 compounds with accumulation in biota (Garrison et al., 2014). Total DDT, including 376 several DDT isomers, DDE, and DDD, is listed on the WFD with an EQS of 25 ng/L 377 implying its monitoring in the French RSDE program.

Cybutryne is a biocide from the triazine family and is used mainly in anti-fouling paints for the protection of boat hulls. It was included on the WFD priority list for monitoring and control in 2012 with an EQS of 2.5 ng/L (yearly average) and a maximal admissible concentration of 16 ng/L. In Switzerland, Ollers et al. (2001) have quantified cybutryne in effluent at very low frequencies around 15 ng/l, which is below the calculated risk threshold concentration (53 ng/L).

At the bottom of Table 2, the listed compounds present high-risk threshold 384 concentrations ranging from 10 to 155 µg/L. As such, chlorophenols, present on EU 385 and US EPA priority lists, are widely used for dyestuff, pharmaceutical, and pesticide 386 synthesis and are also by-products of their degradation in the environment (Ganigar et 387 al., 2010). These compounds were mainly monitored in industrial wastewaters where 388 they are treated by biological and physicochemical processes before discharge in the 389 390 environment or domestic sewage; this could explain why no concentration of such compounds was found in the domestic effluent studied. Gao et al. (2008) have 391 quantified 2,4,6-trichlorophenol in 54.4 % of their river water samples (600 sites) at a 392 median value of 2 ng/L. These low measured values suggest also the existence of low 393 limit of quantifications as the one given by Suliman et al. (2006) around µg/L for TCP. 394 These data suggest that it may not be realistic to get such a risk threshold 395 concentration in the effluent. To reinforce this idea, we can have a look at compounds 396 like chlortetracycline and its metabolite, 4-epi-chlortetracycline, whose risk threshold 397 concentrations to reach 1% of the total ecotoxicological impact are respectively 127 398 and 33 µg/L: these compounds were found in the effluent of many WWTP but at lower 399 concentrations than the risk threshold one. For example, Li and Zhang (2011) 400 measured chlortetracycline levels around 24 and 33 ng/L in secondary effluents of 2 401 WWTP in Hong Kong. Chlortetracycline concentrations in effluents of American 402

403 WWTPs (<8.1–60 ng L⁻¹) (Yang et al., 2005; Spongberg and Witter, 2008) and 404 Australian WWTPs (5–250 ng L⁻¹) (Watkinson et al., 2009) were also found.

405

406 **3.4 Impact on human health**

For human toxicity, all the 64 risk threshold concentrations that could be expressed in
percentage of LOQ are gathered in Table S6 and the smallest (i.e. that are below their
LOQ) are reported in the following Table 3.

Table 3 – Estimation of the concentrations needed to reach 1% of the sum of the impacts on human toxicity, when the risk threshold concentration is below the RSDE LOQ. It is computed using the distribution of subsection 3.2 and is the estimation that a measurement below the LOQ is above the risk threshold concentration. When the probability is equal to one, it means that no measured value is below this percentage of LOQ. The results for all compounds are gathered in Table S6.

			Risk threshold	
		Risk threshold	concentration	
CAS number	Name	concentration (µg.L ⁻¹)	(% of RSDE LOQ)	Probability
302-01-2	Hydrazine	2,1E-01	0.2%	1
1024-57-3	Heptachlor epoxide	8,2E-05	0.4%	1
8001-35-2	Toxaphene	2,9E-04	0.6%	1
143-50-0	Chlordecone	1,6E-03	0.8%	1
60-57-1	Dieldrin	4,4E-04	0.9%	1
57-74-9	Chlordane	5,5E-04	2.8%	0.97
309-00-2	Aldrin	2,0E-03	4.0%	0.96
2385-85-5	Mirex	2,7E-03	5.4%	0.94
72-20-8	Endrin	3,5E-03	7.0%	0.91
62-53-3	Aniline	6,6E+00	13%	0.79
76-44-8	Heptachlor	3,1E-03	15%	0.77
50-29-3	DDT 44'	1,0E-02	20%	0.71
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	2,1E-03	21%	0.7
470-90-6	Chlorfenvinphos	2,3E-02	23%	0.67
67-56-1	Methanol	2,4E+03	24%	0.67
36483-60-0	Hexabromodiphenylethers	6,2E-03	31%	0.52
36355-01-8	Hexabromobiphenyl	6,9E-03	35%	0.44
75-01-4	Chloroethene	1,9E+00	38%	0.42
32536-52-0	Octabromodiphenylethers	2,5E-02	50%	0.26

120-12-7	Anthracene	2,3E-02	56%	0.18
39635-31-9	PCB 189	2,9E-03	59%	0.18
124495-18-7	Quinoxyfen	6,0E-02	60%	0.18
118-74-1	Hexachlorobenzene	7,2E-03	72%	0.12
3424-82-6	DDE 24'	7,6E-02	76%	0.11
87-68-3	Hexachlorobutadiene	4,3E-01	86%	0.06
32774-16-6	PCB 169	4,7E-03	94%	0.02

416

If we compare these results with those of the previous subsection we could see that 417 more compounds (26 whereas it was previously only 4) have a non-zero probability to 418 419 reach their risk threshold concentrations. On these 26 compounds, 16 have a risk threshold concentration below LOQ/3 which implies that they will have an individual 420 impact over 1% of the total sum of impacts if we impute their missing concentrations 421 by the default value of LOQ/3. Among the four previous compounds (hydrazine, 422 endrin, chlordecone, chlorpyrifos) with a significant ET impact, only chlorpyrifos is not 423 424 present here. Many of these compounds are pesticides, hydrocarbons, and fire retardants that are persistent and hydrophobic compounds usually guantified in soil, 425 sediment, and biota for which the risk threshold concentration is between 10 pg.L⁻¹ 426 (heptachlor epoxide) and 100 ng.L⁻¹ (hexachlorobutadiene). There are also smaller 427 molecules like aniline, chloroethene, and methanol, used as solvents and intermediate 428 chemicals for dye and pharmaceutical industries whose risk threshold concentrations 429 are higher between µg.L⁻¹ and mg.L⁻¹ (methanol). These compounds are present in 430 industrial wastewater at very high concentrations and are guite well degraded in 431 432 WWTP (Chaturvedi and Katoch, 2020), suggesting low residual concentrations in urban effluents. The compounds without any information on LOQ are gathered in Table 433 4. 434

435

Table 4. Risk threshold concentrations for each compound to reach 1% of the sum ofthe HT impacts. The compounds in this table are those without any measure or LOQ.

CAS number	Name	Risk threshold concentration (µg.L ⁻¹)
1746-01-6	2,3,7,8-T4CDD	3,97E-08
72-54-8	DDD 44'	1,87E-04
39001-02-0	1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-08CDF	8,37E-04
3268-87-9	1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-O8CDD	1,60E-03
55673-89-7	1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H7CDF	1,93E-03
35822-46-9	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDD	2,02E-03
67562-39-4	1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H7CDF	2,10E-03
57653-85-7	1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDD	2,14E-03
39227-28-6	1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDD	2,18E-03
72918-21-9	1,2,3,7,8,9-H6CDF	2,41E-03
19408-74-3	1,2,3,7,8,9-H6CDD	2,65E-03
70648-26-9	1,2,3,4,7,8-H6CDF	2,73E-03
57117-44-9	1,2,3,6,7,8-H6CDF	2,78E-03
57117-41-6	1,2,3,7,8-P5CDF	3,11E-03
60851-34-5	2,3,4,6,7,8-H6CDF	3,56E-03
57117-31-4	2,3,4,7,8-P5CDF	4,12E-03
40321-76-4	1,2,3,7,8-P5CDD	4,72E-03
51207-31-9	2,3,7,8-T4CDF	1,03E-02
446255-20-5	Heptabromodiphenylethers	1,45E-02
72-55-9	DDE 44'	1,59E-02
789-02-6	DDT 24'	2,09E-02
118-79-6	2,4,6-tribromophenol	3,53E-01
25167-83-3	Tetrachlorophenols	9,29E-01
131860-33-8	Azoxystrobin	9,47E-01
139968-49-3	Metaflumizone	1,08E+00
2303-17-5	Triallate	1,56E+00
564-25-0	Doxycycline	1,59E+00
615-58-7	2,4-dibromophenol	1,82E+00
14297-93-9	4-epi-chlortetracycline	1,92E+00
57-62-5	Chlortetracycline	2,05E+00
25167-82-2	Trichlorophenols	2,66E+00
28159-98-0	Cybutryne	8,56E+00
2032-65-7	Methiocarb	8,65E+00
95-56-7	2-bromophenol	8,79E+00
111988-49-9	Thiacloprid	9,89E+00
153719-23-4	Thiamethoxam	1,07E+01
210880-92-5	Clothianidin	1,17E+01
25167-80-0	Monochlorophenol	1,46E+01
128-37-0	Butylhydroxytoluene	1,70E+01
5466-77-3	Octinoxate	1,81E+01
135410-20-7	Acetamiprid	1,95E+01
21312-10-7	Acetyl sulfamethoxazole	2,05E+01

As seen previously, the very high value for the CF_{HT} for the 2,3,7,8-TetraCDD lead to 439 a very low value for its risk threshold concentration. Many other compounds of the 440 same family only need around 1 ng.L⁻¹ to have significant impacts. However, as already 441 discussed for environmental impact, such low concentration will be measured with low 442 probability in aqueous media. Other compounds such doxycycline, 443 as chlortetracycline, and acetyl sulfamethoxazole were already quantified in effluents of 444 WWTP but at concentrations largely below the calculated risk threshold concentrations 445 (Verlicchi et al., 2012). 446

447

448 **3.5 Influence on the sum of the impacts**

449

According to all the assumptions made previously we were able to compare the sum of the impacts of the 106 micropollutants of this study to the 153 of Servien et al. (2022b). For the environmental impacts, the sum of the impacts of this study was nearly 453 4 times higher than the previous one. This could be seen in the following Figure 4 454 where the environmental impacts of the 259 compounds are represented.



Figure 4. Graphical representation of the environmental impacts of the 259 compounds studied in Servien et al. (2022b) (in red) and this study (in blue). Each compound has an estimated environmental impact proportional to its rectangular area.

456

For the impacts on human health, it was more than 8 times higher, even without taking into account the molecule 2,3,7,8-TetraCDD. This unique molecule has an impact of more than 500 000 higher than all the other ones, if we consider it, highlighting its very high potential impact, even at a very small concentration. The other impacts are represented in the following Figure 5.



Figure 5. Graphical representation of the human impacts of the 258 compounds
studied in Servien et al. (2022b) (in red) and this study (in blue). Note that the 2,3,7,8T4CDD has been removed. Each compound has an estimated environmental impact
proportional to its rectangular area.

471

The choice of the imputation value for the measured concentrations below the LOQ is also crucial. Indeed, a choice of LOQ/2 instead of LOQ/3 would have led to an overestimation of 50.0% of the overall impacts on human health and 49.7% on environmental impacts.

476

477 **4 Conclusion**

In a previous study, 261 organic micropollutants were selected to study their potential impacts on human health and aquatic environment in continental freshwater at the scale of France. However, the lack of data allows quantifying the impacts for only 1/3 of these substances (88 for aquatic environment and 94 for human health). Using a

new modeling approach, we were then able to estimate the impact of 153 organic 482 micropollutants, *i.e.* for all with an estimated mass released in the environment 483 (Servien et al., 2022). The hundred remaining compounds without an accurate 484 concentration measure were not taken into account. It means that their impacts were 485 considered equal to zero, even if their characterization factors were high and could be 486 worrying. In this work, the potential impacts of all these compounds are considered. 487 We derive individual compound results, which could help to select substances in which 488 a special effort should be made on the tertiary treatments to implement in WWTP. It 489 was particularly possible to obtain a rough estimation of the probability that a 490 compound produces a non-negligible impact, even if a measured concentration is 491 below a LOQ. Obviously, due to the lack of concentration data, no precise results on 492 the total sum of the impacts could be obtained. Nevertheless, with some strong 493 494 assumptions, it was possible to conduct such a study and to show that ignoring compounds with unmeasured concentrations could lead to strong underestimations of 495 496 total impacts. A future work could be to balance the individual impacts of these compounds with a probability of occurrence or to take into account a cocktail effect, 497 which is not possible now in the LCA framework. Also, it has to be underlined that this 498 whole methodology (from the computation of missing CFs using machine learning 499 models to the individual compound impact estimations with missing concentrations) 500 can be adapted to any other compartment and any other geographical context, with 501 predictive models still to develop. 502

503

504 **Bibliography**

505

- Addamo, M. Augugliaro, V. Di Paola, A. García-López, E. Loddo, V. Marcì, G.,
 Palmisano, L., 2005. Removal of drugs in aqueous systems by photoassisted
 degradation. *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*, 35(7-8):765–774,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/S10800-005-1630-Y</u>.
- Aemig, Q., Hélias, A., Patureau, D., 2021. Impact assessment of a large panel of organic and inorganic micropollutants released by wastewater treatment plants at the scale of France. *Water Research*, 188, 116524, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2020.116524</u>.
- Arulraj, A.D., Vijayan, M., Vasantha, V.S., 2015. Spectrophotometric determination of
- 515 pico-molar level of hydrazine by using Alizarin red in water and urine samples,
- 516 Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 148, 355-361,
- 517 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2015.03.092.</u>
- 518 Bayer, A., Asner, R., Schüssler, W., Kopf, W., Weiß, K., Sengl, M., Letzel, M., 2014.
- 519 Behavior of sartans (antihypertensive drugs) in wastewater treatment plants, their
- 520 occurrence and risk for the aquatic environment. *Environmental Science and Pollution*
- 521 *Research*, 21(18):10830–10839, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3060-z</u>.
- 522 Bisognin, R.P., Wolff, D.B., Carissimi, E., Prestes, O.D., Zanella, R., 2021. Occurrence
- 523 and fate of pharmaceuticals in effluent and sludge from a wastewater treatment plant
- in Brazil, Environmental Technology, 42(15), 2292-2303,
 https://doi.org/10.1080/09593330.2019.1701561.
- Cantoni, B., Delli Compagni, R., Turolla, A., Epifani, I., Antonelli, M., 2020. A statistical
 assessment of micropollutants occurrence, time trend, fate and human health risk
 using left-censored water quality data, *Chemosphere*, 257, 127095,
- 529 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127095.

Chaturvedi, N.K. and Katoch, S.S., 2020. Remedial Technologies for Aniline and
Aniline Derivatives Elimination from Wastewater, *Journal of Health & Pollution*, 10(25),
200302, https://doi.org/10.5696/2156-9614-10.25.200302.

533 Directive 2008/105/CE, 2008. Directive 2008/105/CE du 16/12/08 établissant des normes de qualité environnementales dans le domaine de l'eau, modifiant et 534 abrogeant les directives du Conseil 82/176/CEE, 83/513/CEE, 84/156/CEE, 535 84/491/CEE, 86/280CEE et modifiant la directive 20 0 0/60/CE, 536 https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/jorf/id/JORFTEXT000020127782/. 537

Ellis, S.G., Booij, K., Kaputa, M., 2008. Comparison of semipermeable membrane device (SPMD) and large-volume solid-phase extraction techniques to measure water concentrations of 4,4'-DDT, 4,4'-DDE, and 4,4'-DDD in Lake Chelan, Washington,

541 *Chemosphere*, 72(8), 1112-1117, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.04.040.</u>

Fantke, P., Aurisano, N., Bare, J., Backhaus, T., Bulle, C., Chapman, P.M., De Zwart,
D., Dwyer, R., Ernstoff, A., Golsteijn, L., Holmquist, H., Jolliet, O., McKone, T.E.,
Owsianiak, M., Peijnenburg, W., Posthuma, L., Roos, S., Saouter, E., Schowanek, D.,
van Straalen, N.M., Vijver, M.G., Hauschild, M., 2018, Toward harmonizing ecotoxicity
characterization in life cycle impact assessment, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 37: 2955-2971, https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4261.

Fazio, S., Castellani, V., Sala, S., Schau, E., Secchi, M., Zampori, L., Diaconu, E., 548 2018. Supporting information to the characterisation factors of recommended EF Life 549 Cycle Impact Assessment methods: New methods and differences with ILCD, EUR 550 EN, 551 28888 **Publications** Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, https://doi.org/10.2760/671368. 552

553 Ganigar, R., Rytwo, G., Gonen, Y., Radian, A., Mishael, Y.G., 2010. Polymer–clay 554 nanocomposites for the removal of trichlorophenol and trinitrophenol from water, 555 *Applied Clay Science*, 49(3), 311-316, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2010.06.015</u>.

556 Gao, J., Liu, L., Liu, X., Zhou, H., Huang, S., Wang, Z., 2008. Levels and spatial 557 distribution of chlorophenols – 2,4-Dichlorophenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol, and 558 pentachlorophenol in surface water of China, *Chemosphere*, 71(6), 1181-1187,

559 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.10.018.

560 Garrison, A.W., Cyterski, M., Roberts, K.D., Burdette, D., Williamson, J., Avants, J.K.,

561 2014. Occurrences and fate of DDT principal isomers/metabolites, DDA, and o,p'-DDD

562 enantiomers in fish, sediment and water at a DDT-impacted superfund site,

563 Environmental Pollution, 194, 224-234, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.07.025.</u>

Henderson, A.D., Hauschild, M.Z., Van De Meent, D., Huijbregts, M.A.J., Larsen, H.F.,

565 Margni, M., McKone, T.E., Payet, J., Rosenbaum, R.K., Jolliet O., 2011. USEtox® fate

and ecotoxicity factors for comparative assessment of toxic emissions in life cycle

analysis: sensitivity to key chemical properties, The International Journal of Life Cycle

568 Assessment, 16, pp. 701-709 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-011-0294-6.</u>

INERIS, 2016. Les substances dangereuses pour le milieu aquatique dans les re- jets
 des stations de traitement des eaux usées urbaines - action nationale de recherche et
 de réduction des rejets de substances dangereuses dans l'eau par les stations de
 traitement
 des

573 https://www.ineris.fr/sites/ineris.fr/files/contribution/Documents/rapport-drc-15-

574 <u>136871-11867e-rsde-steu-v-publique-1466157070.pdf</u>.

575 Kiefer, K., Müller, A., Singer, H., Hollender, J., 2019. New relevant pesticide 576 transformation products in groundwater detected using target and suspect screening

- for agricultural and urban micropollutants with LC-HRMS. *Water Research*, 165,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114972.
- 579 Leenhardt, S., et al., 2022. Impacts des produits phytopharmaceutiques sur la
- 580 biodiversité et les services écosystémiques, Synthèse du rapport d'ESCo, INRAE-
- 581 Ifremer (France), 124 pages. <u>https://www.inrae.fr/actualites/impacts-produits-</u>
- 582 phytopharmaceutiques-biodiversite-services-ecosystemiques-resultats-lexpertise-
- 583 <u>scientifique-collective-inrae-ifremer</u>
- Li, B. and Zhang, T., 2011, Mass flows and removal of antibiotics in two municipal
- 585 wastewater treatment plants, *Chemosphere*, 83(9), 1284-1289,
 586 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.03.002.
- Lindim, C., de Zwart, D., Cousins, I.T., Kutsarova, S., Kühne, R., Schüürmann, G.,
 2019. Exposure and ecotoxicological risk assessment of mixtures of top pre- scribed
- pharmaceuticals in Swedish freshwaters. *Chemosphere*, 220, 344–352,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.118.
- Lu, F., Jiang, Y., Wu, D., Zhou, J., Li, S., Zhang, J., 2016. Levels and profiles of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin and dibenzofurans in raw and treated water from
- 593 water treatment plants in Shenzhen, China, *Environmental Pollution*, 211, 233-240,
- 594 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.12.062</u>.
- 595 Marlatt, V.L., Bayen, S., Castaneda-Cortès, D., Delbès, G., Grigorova, P., Langlois,
- 596 V.S., Martyniuk, C.J., Metcalfe, C.D., Parent, L., Rwigemera, A., Thomson, P., Van Der
- 597 Kraak, G., 2022. Impacts of endocrine disrupting chemicals on reproduction in wildlife
- 598
 and
 humans,
 Environmental
 Research,
 208,
 112584,

 599
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.112584.

Postigo, C., Gil-Solsona, R., Herrera-Batista, M., Gago-Ferrero, P., Alygizakis, N.,
Ahrens, L., Wiberg, K., 2021. A step forward in the detection of byproducts of
anthropogenic organic micropollutants in chlorinated water, *Trends in Environmental Analytical Chemistry*, 32, e00148, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teac.2021.e00148</u>.

Martin Ruel, S, Choubert, J.-M.M., Budzinski, H., Miège, C., Esperanza, M., Coquery,
M., 2012. Occurrence and fate of relevant substances in wastewater treatment plants
regarding water framework directive and future legislations. *Water Science and Technology*, 65, 1179–1189, https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2012.943.

Matsumoto, E., Kawanaka, Y., Yun, S.J., Oyaizu, H., 2009. Bioremediation of the
organochlorine pesticides, dieldrin and endrin, and their occurrence in the
environment. *Applied Microbiology* & *Biotechnology*, 84, 205–216.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2094-5.

Muter, O., Bartkevics, V., 2020, Advanced analytical techniques based on highresolution mass spectrometry for the detection of micropollutants and their toxicity in aquatic environments, *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health,* 18, 1-6, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2020.05.002.

Ollers, S., Singer, H.P., Fassler, P., Muller, S.R., 2001. Simultaneous Quantification of
Neutral and Acidic Pharmaceuticals and Pesticides at the Low-ng/1 Level in Surface
and Waste Water, *Journal of Chromatography*, 911, 225-234,
<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9673(01)00514-3.</u>

Qi, Y.-L., Chen, J., Zhang, B., Li, H., Li, D.-D., Wang, B.-Z., Yang, Y.-S., Zhu, H.-L.,
2020. A turn-on fluorescent sensor for selective detection of hydrazine and its
application in Arabidopsis thaliana, *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 227, 117707, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2019.117707</u>.

Ripley, B.D., 1996. Pattern Recognition and Neural Networks. Cambridge.

- Rosenbaum, R.K., Margni, M., Jolliet, O., 2007. A flexible matrix algebra framework for
 the multimedia multipathway modelling of emission to impacts, *Environment International*, 33(5), 624-634, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2007.01.004</u>.
- 628 Servien, R., Mamy, L., Li, Z., Rossard, V., Latrille, E., Bessac, F., Patureau, D., Benoit,
- 629 P., 2014. TyPol a new methodology for organic compounds clustering based on their
- molecular characteristics and environmental behaviour, *Chemosphere*, 111, 613–622,
- 631 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.05.020.</u>
- 632 Servien, R., Latrille, E., Patureau, D., Hélias, A., 2022. Machine learning models based
- on molecular descriptors to predict human and environmental toxicological factors in
- 634 continental freshwater. *Peer Community Journal*, 2, e15,
- 635 <u>https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.90</u>.
- 636 Servien, R., Leenknecht, C., Bonnot, K., Rossard, V., Latrille, E., Mamy, L., Benoit, P.,
- 637 Hélias, A., Patureau, D., 2022. Improved impact assessment of micropollutants release
- 638 from WWTPs, Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering, 5, 100172.
- 639 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscee.2021.100172</u>.
- 640 Spongberg, A. L. and Witter, J. D., 2008. Pharmaceutical compounds in the
- 641 wastewater process stream in Northwest Ohio, Science of the Total Environment, 397,
- 642 148-157, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.02.042</u>.
- Srogi, K., 2008. Levels and congener distributions of PCDDs, PCDFs and dioxin-like
 PCBs in environmental and human samples: a review, *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 6, 1–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-007-0105-2.</u>
- Suliman, F., Al-Kindi, S., Al-Kindy, S., Al-Lawati, H., 2006. Analysis of phenols in water
 by high-performance liquid chromatography using coumarin-6-sulfonyl chloride as a
- fluorogenic precolumn label, *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1101(1–2), 179-184,

- 649 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2005.09.094</u>.
- 650 UNEP-SETAC, 2019. Global Guidance for Life Cycle Impact Assessment Indicators:
- 651 Volume 2, https://www.lifecycleinitiative.org/training-resources/global-guidance-for-
- 652 <u>life-cycle-impact-assessment-indicators-volume-2/</u>.
- Van den Berg, M., Birnbaum, L.S., Denison, M., De Vito, M., Farland, W., Feeley, M.,
- Fiedler, H., Hakansson, H., Hanberg, A., Haws, L., Rose, M., Safe, S., Schrenk, D.,
- Tohyama, C., Tritscher, A. Tuomisto, J., Tysklind, M., Walker, N., Peterson, R.E., 2006.
- The 2005 world health organization reevaluation of human and mammalian toxic
- equivalency factors for dioxins and dioxin-like compounds, *Toxicological Sciences*,
- 658 93(2), 223-241, https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfl055.
- Verlicchi, P., Al Aukidy, M., Zambello, E., 2012. Occurrence of pharmaceutical compounds in urban wastewater: removal, mass load and environmental risk after a secondary treatment--a review, *Science of the Total Environment*, 429, 123-55. doi:
- 662 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.028</u>.
- Villanneau, E., Saby, N., Marchant, B., Jolivet, C., Boulonne, L., Caria, G., Barriuso,
 E., Bispo, A., Briand, O., Arrouays, D., 2011. Which persistent organic pollutants can
 we map in soil using a large spacing systematic soil monitoring design? A case study
 in Northern France, *The Science of the total environment*, 409, 3719-31,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.05.048.</u>
- Wan, Y., Tran, T.M., Nguyen, V.T., Wang, A., Wang, J., Kannan, K., 2021,
 Neonicotinoids, fipronil, chlorpyrifos, carbendazim, chlorotriazines, chlorophenoxy
 herbicides, bentazon, and selected pesticide transformation products in surface water
 and drinking water from northern Vietnam, *Science of The Total Environment*, 750,
 141507, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141507.

- Watkinson, A. J., Murby, E. J., Kolpin, D. W., Costanzo, S. D., 2009. The occurrence
 of antibiotics in an urban watershed: From wastewater to drinking water, *Science of the Total Environment*, 407, 2711-2723,
- 676 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.11.059</u>.

Yang, S. W., Cha, J. M., Carlson, K., 2005. Simultaneous extraction and analysis of 677 11 tetracycline and sulfonamide antibiotics in influent and effluent domestic wastewater 678 by solid-phase extraction and liquid chromatography-electro spray ionization tandem 679 mass spectrometry, Journal of Chromatography А, 1097, 40-53, 680 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2005.08.027. 681

- 682 Yao, L., Chen, Z.-Y., Dou, W.-Y., Yao, Z.-K., Duan, X.-C., Chen, Z.-F., Zhang, L.-J.,
- Nong, Y.-J., Zhao, J.-L., Ying, G.-G., 2021. Occurrence, removal and mass loads of
- antiviral drugs in seven wastewater treatment plants with various treatment processes,
- 685 Water Research, 207, 117803, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117803</u>.
- Zhu, B., Zonja, B., Gonzalez, O., Sans, C., Pérez, S., Barceló, C., Esplugas, S., Xu,
 K., and Qiang, Z., 2015. Degradation kinetics and pathways of three calcium channel
 blockers under UV irradiation. *Water Research*, 86:9–16,
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.05.028</u>.