

# TOR acts as metabolic gatekeeper for auxin-dependent lateral root initiation in Arabidopsis thaliana

Michael Stitz, David Kuster, Maximilian Reinert, Mikhail Schepetilnikov, Béatrice Berthet, Denis Janocha, Anthony Artins, Marc Boix, Rossana Henriques, Anne Pfeiffer, et al.

## ▶ To cite this version:

Michael Stitz, David Kuster, Maximilian Reinert, Mikhail Schepetilnikov, Béatrice Berthet, et al.. TOR acts as metabolic gatekeeper for auxin-dependent lateral root initiation in Arabidopsis thaliana. BioRxiv, 2022, 10.1101/2022.03.29.486207. hal-03946061

# HAL Id: hal-03946061 https://hal.science/hal-03946061v1

Submitted on 18 Jan2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

| 1        |  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | TOR acts as metabolic gatekeeper for auxin-dependent lateral root  |
| 3        | initiation in Arabidopsis thaliana   |
| 4        |  |
| 5        |  |
| 6        | Michael Stitz <sup>1</sup> , David Kuster <sup>1,7</sup> , Maximilian Reinert <sup>1</sup> , Mikhail Schepetilnikov <sup>2</sup> , Béatrice Berthet                      |
| 7        | <sup>1</sup> , Denis Janocha <sup>3</sup> , Anthony Artins <sup>4</sup> , Marc Boix <sup>5</sup> , Rossana Henriques <sup>5,6</sup> , Anne Pfeiffer <sup>3,7</sup> , Jan |
| 8        | Lohmann <sup>3</sup> , Emmanuel Gaquerel <sup>2,*</sup> , Alexis Maizel <sup>1,*</sup>   |
| 9        |  |
| 10       | Affiliations   |
| 11       | 1) Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, Centre for Organismal Studies (COS)   |
| 12       | University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 230, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany   |
| 13       | 2) Institut de biologie moléculaire des plantes (IBMP), 12 Rue du Général-Zimmer, 67000  |
| 14       | Strasbourg, France   |
| 15       | 3) Department of Stem Cell Biology, Centre for Organismal Studies (COS) University of  |
| 16       | Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 230, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany   |
| 17       | 4) Max Planck Institute of Molecular Plant Physiology, Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476 Potsdam-   |
| 18       | Golm, Germany  |
| 19       | 5) Centre for Research in Agricultural Genomics, CSIC-IRTA-UAB-UB, Barcelona, Spain  |
| 20       | 6) School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, Environmental Research Institute,   |
| 21       | University College Cork, Cork, Ireland   |
| 22       | 7) Current address:  |
| 23       | DK: Max Planck Institute of Molecular Cell Biology and Genetics  |
| 24       | AP: Bayer crop science   |
| 25<br>26 | *: to whom correspondence should be addressed:   |

27 <u>emmanuel.gaquerel@ibmp-cnrs.unistra.fr</u> and <u>alexis.maizel@cos.uni-heidelberg.de</u>

| Michael Stitz          | michael.stitz@cos.uni-heidelberg.de 0000-0003-0593-7022         |
|------------------------|---|
| David Kuster           | kuster@mpi-cbg.de 0000-0002-8157-9223                           |
| Max Reinert            | MaximilianReinert@outlook.de 0000-0003-0992-0340                |
| Mikhail Schepetilnikov | mikhail.shchepetilnikov@ibmp-cnrs.unistra.fr 000-0002-9043-3592 |
| Béatrice Berthet       | beatrice.berthet@hotmail.fr 0000-0003-2651-4854                 |
| Denis Janocha          | denis.janocha@cos.uni-heidelberg.de 0000-0003-1257-9577         |
| Anthony Artins         | Artins@mpimp-golm.mpg.de 0000-0003-0458-259X                    |
| Rossana Henriques      | rossana.henriques@ucc.ie 0000-0002-9394-0098                    |
| Marc Boix              | marcboix33@yahoo.es   |
| Anne Pfeiffer          | anne.k.pfeiffer@gmail.com 0000-0001-6825-6297                   |
| Jan Lohmann            | jan.lohmann@cos.uni-heidelberg.de 0000-0003-3667-187X           |
| Emmanuel Gaquerel      | emmanuel.gaquerel@ibmp-cnrs.unistra.fr 0000-0003-0796-6417      |
| Alexis Maizel          | alexis.maizel@cos.uni-heidelberg.de 0000-0001-6843-1059         |

# 28 Abstract

29 Plants post-embryonic organogenesis requires matching the available metabolic resources 30 to the developmental programs. The root system is determined by the formation of lateral 31 roots (LR), which in Arabidopsis thaliana entails the auxin-induced activation of founder cells 32 located in the pericycle. While the allocation of sugars to roots influences root branching, 33 how sugar availability is sensed for auxin-triggered formation of LRs remains unknown. 34 Here, we combine metabolic profiling with cell-specific genetic interference to show that LR 35 formation is an important sink for carbohydrate accompanied by a switch to glycolysis. We 36 show that the target-of-rapamycin (TOR) kinase is locally activated in the pericycle and the 37 founder cells and that both chemical and genetic inhibition of TOR kinase lead to a block of 38 LR initiation. TOR marginally affects the auxin-induced transcriptional response of the 39 pericycle but modulates the translation of ARF19, ARF7 and LBD16, three key targets of 40 auxin signalling. These data place TOR as a gatekeeper for post-embryonic LR formation 41 that integrates local auxin-dependent pathways with systemic metabolic signals, modulating 42 the translation of auxin induced gene expression. 43 44 45 46 47 Number of figures: 5 48 Number of tables: 0 49 Number of supplemental figures, tables and files: 9 + 1 +1

- 50 Running title: TOR licences lateral root formation in Arabidopsis thaliana
- 51 52

#### 53 Keywords

54 Arabidopsis thaliana / lateral root / TOR / metabolism / auxin

## 55 Introduction

Plants assimilate atmospheric  $CO_2$  in their leaves and convert it into simple sugars by 56 57 photosynthesis. Sucrose is the predominant sugar transported from the source tissues to heterotrophic sink tissues where it is hydrolysed to fructose and glucose that fuels growth and 58 59 development. The root system is an obligate sink organ and mounting evidence suggests that 60 allocation of sugars to roots drives primary root growth and lateral root development, two main 61 determinants of the root system architecture. Shortly after germination, light triggers root 62 growth via the transport of photosynthesis-derived sugar into the root tip (Kircher & Schopfer, 63 2012; Xiong et al, 2013; Yuan et al, 2014) and increased photosynthetic rates in above-ground 64 tissues correlate with increased lateral root (LR) formation (Crookshanks et al, 1998). 65 Crosstalk between carbon metabolism and phytohormone signalling, mainly auxin signalling, 66 has been linked to the modulation of root system architecture (Sairanen et al, 2012; Lilley et 67 al, 2012; Gupta et al, 2015). Regardless of the known role sugar plays in lateral root development, our knowledge about how sugars and glucose modulate LR formation at the 68 69 molecular level remains unknown.

70 LR formation is an auxin-controlled process which in Arabidopsis thaliana (hereafter 71 Arabidopsis), occurs through activation of founder cells that undergo a series of cell divisions 72 to form a primordium that emerges from the primary root (Malamy & Benfey, 1997). Founder 73 cells are located in the pericycle facing the xylem pole and the earliest marker of LR initiation 74 is their radial swelling, repolarization and nuclei migration towards the common anticlinal wall 75 (Schütz et al, 2021; Vilches Barro et al, 2019; von Wangenheim et al, 2016). Additional founder 76 cells are recruited (Torres-Martínez et al, 2020) which further proliferate and form a LR dome-77 shaped primordium (LRP) (Lucas et al, 2013). Upregulation of auxin signalling (Dubrovsky et 78 al, 2008) and of GATA23 expression (De Rybel et al, 2010) are two molecular markers 79 associated with LR founder cells and initiation. Auxin-dependent gene regulation plays a major 80 role in all stages of LR development and occurs through TRANSPORT INHIBITOR 81 RESISTANT 1/AUXIN SIGNALLING F-BOX (TIR1/AFB) induced degradation of the 82 AUXIN/INDOLEACETIC ACID (Aux/IAA) repressors that frees the transcriptional activators 83 AUXIN RESPONSE FACTORS (ARFs) inducing expression of downstream genes (Blázguez 84 et al, 2020). During LR initiation, Aux/IAA 14 (IAA14, SOLITARY ROOT), ARF7 and ARF19 85 are necessary for cell cycle entry (Fukaki et al, 2002; Okushima et al, 2005; Wilmoth et al, 86 2005) and activation of LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY (LBD) 16, a transcription factor, is 87 required for the asymmetric division of these cells (Okushima et al, 2007; Goh et al, 2012). 88 The cell and mechanistic bases of lateral root initiation start to be elucidated (Santos Teixeira 89 & Ten Tusscher, 2019). However, insight into how the plant's metabolic status is integrated in 90 the regulation of this developmental program is mostly unknown.

91 Energy availability perception is mediated in plants by two evolutionarily conserved 92 and counteracting kinases (Shi et al, 2018; Crepin & Rolland, 2019). The SUCROSE NON FERMENTING1 RELATED PROTEIN KINASE1 (SnRK1) promotes catabolic metabolism, 93 94 contrasting the TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN (TOR) kinase that promotes anabolic, energy-95 consuming processes. TOR forms a complex (TORC), consisting of TOR, and the TOR-96 interacting proteins RAPTOR (regulatory-associated protein of mTOR, RAPTOR1A and 97 RAPTOR1B) and LST8 (small lethal with SEC13 protein 8, LST8-1 and LST8-2 (Menand et 98 al., 2002; Anderson et al., 2005; Deprost et al., 2005; Moreau et al., 2012)). While tor-null 99 mutants are embryonic lethal (Menand et al, 2002), the predominantly expressed regulatory 100 proteins RAPTOR1B and LST8-1 show viable mutant phenotypes (Salem et al, 2017; Moreau 101 et al, 2012). TORC is activated by nutrients (Dobrenel et al, 2016) such as glucose and 102 branched-chain amino acids (Cao et al, 2019) as well as phytohormones such as auxin 103 (Schepetilnikov et al. 2017) and it phosphorylates targets linked to cell cycle, translation, lipid 104 synthesis, N assimilation, autophagy and ABA signalling (Shi et al, 2018; Van Leene et al, 2019). The usage of inducible TOR knockdown lines (Xiong et al, 2013) and specific chemical 105 106 inhibitors like AZD8055 (Montané & Menand, 2013), led to the discovery of a mechanistic 107 connection between TOR and its phosphorylation substrates to a multitude of developmental 108 processes (Van Leene et al, 2019; Shi et al, 2018). In particular, TOR is essential for the 109 activation of the embryo-derived root and shoot meristems during the photoautotrophic 110 transition (Xiong et al, 2013; Pfeiffer et al, 2016; Li et al, 2017). While TOR plays a central role 111 in coordinating the energy-status of plants with several developmental programs from embryo 112 development to senescence (Shi et al, 2018), it remains unknown whether TOR plays a role 113 in the post-embryonic establishment of new meristems like in the case of LR formation. Two 114 reports suggest a possible link between energy availability sensing pathways and LR 115 formation. Using an engineered rapamycin sensitive version of TOR in potato, it was shown 116 that TOR is necessary for hypocotyl-borne (adventitious) root formation (Deng et al, 2017) 117 and, recently, SnRK1 was shown to be required for LR formation induced by unexpected 118 darkness (Muralidhara et al, 2021).

119 Here, we examine the role of TOR in LR formation and its interplay with auxin 120 signalling. Using a combination of root metabolomics profiling, sugar metabolism 121 manipulation, chemical/genetic and tissue specific inhibition of TOR-dependent signalling, and 122 genome wide profiling of TOR effects on transcriptome and translatome, we present evidences that TOR activation in the pericycle and LR founder cells and subsequent LR initiation is tuned 123 124 by high glycolysis rates depending on shoot-derived sugar. We further show that TOR 125 marginally influences the auxin-induced transcriptional response of the pericycle but rather 126 modulates the translation of several auxin response genes such as ARF19, ARF7 and LBD16. 127 Altogether these data support a model placing TOR as a gatekeeper for post-embryonic LR

3

- 128 formation that integrates systemic metabolic signals and local development by modulating the
- 129 translation of auxin induced gene expression.

# 130 Results & Discussion

#### 131 Plants with impaired lateral root formation hyperaccumulate starch in foliage

132 LR formation is an energy demanding process that depends on shoot derived carbon. Photoassimilates not consumed immediately by the plant's foliar metabolism are stored as 133 134 transitory starch granules and consumed in the dark period till onset of the light period (Graf 135 et al, 2010). We first set out to assess how LR carbon demand impacts on foliar carbon 136 metabolism by performing starch staining in seedlings impaired in different steps of the auxin 137 signalling cascade specifically controlling LR formation (Fig. 1A). At the end of the dark period, 138 wild type Col-0 seedlings accumulated limited amounts of starch indicated by the characteristic 139 purple stain (Fig. 1B). Globally impairing auxin signalling in LR-less iaa14/solitary root (slr, Fig. 140 1C) and arf7/arf19 (Fig. 1D) mutant plants however resulted in intense blue coloration 141 throughout the leaves, indicative of higher levels of starch accumulation. ARF7 and ARF19 142 regulate the transcriptional activation of LBD16 and plants expressing a dominant repressor version of LBD16 (LBD16-SRDX, Fig. 1E) do not form LR (Goh et al, 2012). Starch staining in 143 144 LR-less LBD16-SRDX seedlings, led to comparably intense dark coloration as observed for 145 slr foliage, indicating that blocking LR formation by interfering with the auxin signalling cascade 146 or its direct targets leads to hyperaccumulation of photoassimilates in leaves. To ascertain 147 that this increased accumulation of starch was caused by the lack of LRs and not a systemic 148 effect of interfering with auxin signalling, we performed starch staining in pGATA23::shv2-2-149 GR and pGATA23::slr1-GR plants. These lines express dominant repressor versions of SLR 150 and SHY2/IAA3 specifically in the pericycle upon application of dexamethasone (DEX), 151 resulting in an inducible, pericycle specific inhibition of auxin signalling and LR formation 152 (Ramakrishna et al. 2019). Whereas control-treated lines showed faint purple coloration in 153 leaves comparable to that in Col-0 plants (Fig. 1F, G), upon DEX treatment we observed 154 intense starch accumulation in the leaves indicating that, similar to the global effect observed 155 in the slr, arf7/19 and gLBD16-SRDX mutants, blocking LR formation specifically in the root 156 pericycle is sufficient to induce starch hyperaccumulation in foliage (Fig. 1H-J). Taken 157 together, these observations point towards LR formation and its concomitant resource 158 consumption to drive an increase in demand for shoot-derived carbon sources, in agreement 159 with starch being a major integrator of plant growth regulation (Sulpice et al, 2009).



160

161 Fig. 1) Lateral root deficiency leads to starch hyperaccumulation in leaves

162 A) Schematic representation of the auxin signalling module acting during lateral root initiation. **B-J**)

Representative images of rosettes of 14-day-old seedlings stained with a Lugol's lodine solution for
 starch accumulation in Col-0 (**B**), *slr* (**C**), *arf7/arf19* (**D**) and *gLBD16-SRDX* (**E**) as well as in the inducible

165 lateral root less lines *pGATA23::shy2-2-GR* and *pGATA23::slr1-GR* and Col-0 (**F-J**) grown on DMSO

166 control medium (F, G) or on 30 µM Dexamethasone (DEX, H-J). Insets on the right show the root

167 system. Scale bars: 0.5 cm.

# Auxin-triggered lateral root formation depends on shoot-derived carbohydrate catabolism in the root

170 To monitor changes in central carbon pathways resulting from the metabolism of shoot-derived 171 carbohydrates and associated with the formation of LR, we conducted non-targeted 172 metabolomics by gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry from shoot and root 173 samples collected after synchronous induction of LR formation (Himanen et al, 2002). Briefly, 174 after a pre-treatment with the auxin transport inhibitor NPA (N-1-naphthylphthalamic acid, 10 175 µM for 24h) that prevents LR initiation, 7-day-old seedlings were shifted to a medium 176 containing auxin (Indole-3-acetic acid, IAA 10 µM) to synchronously activate the entire 177 pericycle. Shoot and root samples were dissected and collected at six time points (0, 2h, 6h, 178 12h, 24h, 30h) after transferring seedlings from NPA to IAA to induce LR formation or 179 maintaining them on NPA as control (Fig. 2A). This time series covers LR formation from 180 initiation to stage V. By applying this procedure to wild type (Col-0) and *slr* mutant seedlings, 181 we aimed at inferring a metabolic signature specifically associated with early stages of LR 182 formation. To this end, raw metabolomics data were deconvoluted to extract compound-183 derived mass spectra used for annotation and statistical analysis. From a pool of more than 184 400 deconvoluted spectra, we conducted a hierarchical clustering analysis of the top 250 ones 185 that exhibited non-constant intensity levels across the genotype x treatment x time matrix (Fig. 186 2B and File S1). This clustering analysis revealed that IAA induced several phases of 187 reconfigurations of the root carbon metabolism in Col-0, which were largely altered in the slr 188 mutant. Most specifically, cluster #1 comprised IAA-responsive compounds that were 189 characterised in Col-0 roots by a slow build-up rate (reaching maximum values at 30h post 190 IAA), the latter response was mostly impaired in the *slr* mutant (Fig. 2B). Cluster #2 and a 191 sub-part cluster #4 were characterised by IAA-responsive compounds which reached much 192 greater relative levels in the *slr* mutant 12h after transfer to IAA than in Col-0 roots (Fig. 2B).

193 We next mined clusters for metabolites associated with these IAA-/slr-dependent root 194 metabolome responses. In line with the starch stainings data, levels of glucose and sucrose 195 were slightly higher in *slr* shoot tissues compared to Col-0 (Fig. S1). Upon shift to IAA, levels 196 of shoot sucrose quickly increased within two hours and remained high in Col-0 while it 197 declined over the course of the day in control treated samples and remained mostly 198 unchanged to presence of IAA in the *slr* mutant. This and the starch hyperaccumulation in 199 shoots upon LR impairment indicate that LR formation relies on a sucrose transfer from the 200 shoot. In seedling, photosynthetically derived sucrose has been described to act as an 201 interorgan signal and as fuel to drive primary root growth (Kircher & Schopfer, 2012). For up 202 to 24h after shift to IAA, sucrose levels built up similarly in root tissues of both Col-0 and slr 203 and then became significantly higher in Col-0 than *slr* (Fig. 2C), in line with a weaker sink 204 strength of *slr* resulting from its inability to form LRs. We looked at the levels of additional

7

205 sugars and glycolytic intermediates which were found, from the clustering, to be deregulated 206 in slr roots (Fig. 2B, C). Strikingly, root levels of glucose and fructose derived from sucrose 207 cleavage, which did not build up in Col-0 upon IAA probably due to their catabolism by 208 glycolysis, were strongly increased by the IAA treatment in the *slr* mutant (Fig. 2C). A similar 209 IAA-dependent over-accumulation was detected for several additional sugars enriched within 210 the IAA-regulated cluster visible at 12h in slr (Fig. 2B), such as the disaccharide trehalose, the 211 polyol myo-inositol as well as glucose-6-P and fructose-6-P. Notably, glucose-6-P is produced 212 by the hexokinase1 (HXK1) which when mutated was reported to reduce LR formation (Gupta 213 et al, 2015) supporting that Glucose-6-P levels are instrumental for LR formation, a notion 214 further supported in a recent study that showed that WOX7, a WUSCHEL-related transcription 215 factor, acts downstream of HXK1 to regulate LR formation (Li et al, 2020). Trehalose 6-216 Phosphate (T6P) is an intermediate in trehalose formation and T6P has been proposed to 217 serve as a signal to regulate sucrose metabolism and impinge on a range of developmental 218 processes (Figueroa & Lunn, 2016). Whereas our analysis does not allow us to quantify the 219 amount of T6P, the elevated levels of trehalose suggest that T6P levels may be also affected 220 and potentially could influence LR formation.

221 The accumulation of glucose-6-P and fructose-6-P, two glycolysis intermediates, was 222 in contrast with their normally low steady-state abundance (e.g. in Col-0 NPA/IAA conditions) 223 characteristic of their rapid consumption by the glycolytic flux (Arrivault et al, 2009). 224 Interestingly, soluble carbohydrates have been demonstrated to promote auxin biosynthesis 225 (Sairanen et al, 2012). The accumulation of carbohydrates in roots when LR formation is 226 compromised could thus explain the previously reported elevated levels of auxin observed in 227 slr mutants (Vanneste et al, 2005). Downstream in the carbohydrate catabolic pathway, the 228 prolonged increases in the levels of several intermediates of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA), 229 observed in Col-0 but not in slr, indicate that auxin-induced LR formation increases the 230 catabolic flux. Levels of several amino acids whose biosynthetic pathways connect to the TCA 231 cycle further indicated that an up-regulation of energy-releasing and amino acid production 232 pathways, previously reported at the transcriptomic level (Dembinsky et al, 2007) (Fig. S2) 233 and here backed up by metabolite data, underpins early stages of LR formation and is impaired 234 in *slr.* Together these data indicate that LR formation is associated with a switch to glycolysis. 235 This observation echoes similar ones made in animals where acquisition of pluripotency has 236 been linked to a switch to glycolysis supporting the concept of metabolic reprogramming of 237 cell fate (Shyh-Chang & Ng, 2017).

To verify whether this activation of sugar usage through glycolysis is indeed required for LR formation and to rule out an effect of exogenous auxin, we induced LR formation by gravistimulation (Lavenus *et al*, 2015) in presence of 2-deoxy-d-glucose (2D) a nonmetabolisable glucose analog blocking glycolysis. 2D was applied locally by an agar block

8

positioned over the root bend (Fig. 2D). Whereas in all mock treated root bends a LR
primordium, visualised with the *DR5:GUS* reporter, was visible, none could be observed when
treated by 2D (Fig. 2D-F), indicating that carbohydrate metabolism is a prerequisite for LR
formation.

Together these data show that glycolysis and glycolysis-dependent metabolic activations are required to form a LR.



248

Fig. 2) Increased flux within sugar glycolytic catabolism and connected pathways precedes and
 is essential for LR formation.

251 A) Schematic of the experimental setup used for the GC-MS-based metabolomics profiling. B) Heatmap 252 from a hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) with Ward's linkage showing z-score normalised relative 253 levels of top 250 most intense compound-derived spectra (File S1) exhibiting non-constant intensity 254 (One-way ANOVA & FDR-adjusted P<0.05) across experimental conditions in roots. Main HCA clusters 255 are colour labelled. C) Mean relative levels (± SE, n=5, normalised to the ribitol internal standard and 256 per mg fresh weight) for representative metabolites of sugar, glycolytic, tricarboxylic acid, amino acid 257 metabolic pathways in root tissues of Col-0 (solid lines) and slr (dashed lines) at the indicated time after 258 IAA application. White and black boxes below the x-axis indicate light and dark phases, respectively, 259 during the sampling. Statistical differences for genotype x treatment (NPA- vs IAA-treated roots) are 260 summarised in File S1. D) Schematic of the experimental setup for induction of LR formation upon local 261 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2D, 10mM) treatment. E) Representative differential interference contrast (DIC) 262 images of root bends in DR5:GUS seedlings treated as indicated. 2D-treated bends did not develop LR 263 primordia 48h after gravistimulation, scale bar: 50 µm. F) Fraction of root bends forming a LRP and 264 showing DR5 GUS staining, after treatment with either control or 2D containing agar blocks, n=4.

#### 265 The TOR complex is activated upon lateral root induction

266 LR formation is an auxin induced process that is regulated by glucose (Gupta et al, 2015) and 267 requires carbohydrate catabolism (our results). As the TOR complex (TORC) has been 268 reported to be activated by glucose and auxin and to be required for root meristem activation 269 (Xiong et al. 2013), we hypothesised that LR induction could lead to TORC activation. To test 270 this, we monitored the phosphorylation of the canonical TORC substrate S6K1 in roots upon 271 treatment with sucrose and IAA (Fig. 3A, B). Treatment with either sucrose or IAA led to an 272 upregulation of S6K1 phosphorylation while co-treatment had a synergistic effect that was fully 273 suppressed by treatment with the TORC inhibitor AZD8055 (Montané & Menand, 2013). 274 Treating roots with IAA led to a glycolytic switch (Fig. 2B, C), we thus sought to check whether 275 IAA effects on TORC activation are dependent on glycolysis. For this, we repeated the sucrose 276 and IAA treatments in the presence of 2D. Inhibition of glycolysis led to a block of S6K1 277 phosphorylation induced by IAA indicating that in roots, TORC activation by auxin depends on 278 carbohydrate metabolism. This result points to a difference in TOR behaviour in source (foliage) and sink tissues. Whereas in source tissues TOR activity is promoted by auxin 279 280 (Schepetilnikov et al, 2017), our results indicate that in sink tissues such as the root, TOR 281 activity is primarily promoted by auxin-induced promotion of sugar breakdown. This glycolysis-282 dependent promotion of TOR activity could be a specificity of heterotrophic tissues that allows 283 a systemic integration of developmental progression with shoot photosynthetic capacity. Such 284 coupling has been reported for the light-dependent regulation of alternative splicing in roots 285 which is triggered by shoot-photosynthesized sugars and compromised when TOR levels are 286 reduced or its activity reduced (Riegler et al, 2021).

287 The previous results do not identify in which cells TOR is activated. To pinpoint in which 288 tissues the TORC is present and active, we first used a reporter for the TORC subunit 289 RAPTOR1B and detected its expression in the stele, LR founder cells of the pericycle and LR 290 primordia (Fig. 3C). This expression pattern is similar to the one reported for the other TORC 291 subunit LST8 (Moreau et al, 2012) and suggests that TORC is present in the forming LR. We 292 also monitored in which cells S6K1 is expressed using a CFP-tagged genomic clone. This reporter specifically marked the actively dividing LR founder cells (Fig. 3D), confirming an 293 294 earlier report (Zhang et al, 1994). Together these data suggest that the auxin-induced 295 activation of glycolysis required for LR formation promotes the local activation of the TORC in 296 the pericycle and the LR.

11



<del>2</del>98

Fig. 3) Auxin inducible S6K1 phosphorylation via TOR depends on activation of glycolysis in the primary root.

301 A) Representative western blot of root tissues of pUB10:S6K1-3xHA treated by the indicated 302 combination of auxin (IAA), sucrose (Suc), 2-deoxy-d-glucose (2D) and AZD8055 (AZD) and probed 303 with anti-S6K1/2 or anti S6K1-T449P. Ponceau staining was used as loading control. (B) Quantification 304 of the relative S6K activation. Box plots show three biological replicates and comparison between 305 samples was performed by one-way ANOVA and post-hoc Tukey HSD Test ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ); different letters 306 indicate significant differences. C) Representative DIC images showing RPT1B expression at different 307 stages of LR development in 10 DAG *pRaptor1B::GUS::EGFP* seedlings. **D)** Representative confocal 308 section showing S6K1 expression in different stages of LR development in 10 DAG pS6K1:gS6K1-CFP 309 seedlings. Scale bars: 50 µm.

#### 310 The TOR complex is required for LR formation

311 To test whether TORC is necessary for the formation of LR, we looked at LR formation in plants with altered TORC levels or activity. We first used a TOR overexpression line (TOR-oe, 312 313 (Deprost et al, 2007)) and observed longer primary roots and increased density of emerged 314 LR indicating that elevated TOR levels can promote LR formation (Fig. 4A and S3). TOR-null 315 mutants are embryo-arrested (Menand et al, 2002), we thus first quantified LR density in 316 raptor1b (rpt1b), a viable mutant affected in the scaffold protein that recruits substrates for 317 TOR and leads to reduced TOR activity (Salem et al, 2017). LR density was reduced in rpt1b, 318 indicating that the full TORC activity might be necessary for proper LR formation (Fig. 4B). To 319 further confirm that TORC activity is required for LR formation, we treated wild type seedlings 320 with AZD8055 (AZD) and conducted gravitropic stimulation (Fig. 4C). We found that AZD 321 treatment led to a complete block of LR initiation (Fig. 4D). To test the contribution of TOR 322 itself to LR formation, we designed a ß-estradiol (Est) inducible artificial miRNA against TOR 323 that we first expressed from the UBIQUITIN promoter (UB10pro>>amiR-TOR). After 24h of 324 Est treatment, TOR mRNA abundance was reduced to less than 25% of that of the DMSO 325 control indicating efficient knock-down of TOR mRNA (Fig. S4). When LR formation was 326 induced by gravistimulation in these conditions, we could not observe any division of the 327 pericycle in the bend while stage III LR primordia were observed in DMSO treated plants (Fig. 328 4E). As exogenous application of sugar or auxin can promote LR formation and increased TOR activity, we checked whether the block in LR formation induced by knocking down TOR 329 330 could be reversed by treatments with auxin and/or sucrose. Neither sole nor combined 331 applications of sucrose or auxin could reverse the inhibition of LR formation induced by the 332 TOR knockdown (Fig. S5). Together, reducing abundance or activity of TOR blocks LR 333 formation at an early stage, indicating that TOR is essential. To determine whether TOR was 334 required ubiquitously, or particularly in the LR founder cells, we specifically knocked down 335 TOR in the xylem pole pericycle (XPP) cells from which LR founder cells derive (Parizot et al, 336 2008). For this, we drove the expression of the amiR-TOR from the XPP-specific promoter 337 (Andersen et al, 2018; Vilches Barro et al, 2019) using a dexamethasone (Dex) inducible 338 expression system (XPPpro>>amiR-TOR). We confirmed the tissue specificity of the TOR-339 knockdown in the XPPpro>>amiR-TOR line by starch stainings that reveal intense starch 340 accumulation around the shoot vasculature in XPPpro>>amiR-TOR, a typical hallmark of 341 impaired TORC function (Caldana et al, 2013) (Fig. S6). This excess in starch accumulation 342 was also observed throughout the foliage of UB10pro>>amiR-TOR (Fig. S6). In the root, 343 induction of *amiR-TOR* in the XPP cells led to a severe reduction in the number and density 344 of LR formed compared to mock-induced plants (Fig. 4F,G) indicating that TOR is locally 345 required in the pericycle to licence the auxin-induced formation of LR.

Auxin accumulation in the XPP acts as a morphogenetic trigger for LR formation and 346 347 is one of the earliest markers of LR initiation (Dubrovsky et al, 2008). To determine whether 348 auxin accumulation was compromised in the TOR knockdown, we crossed the pDR5::GUS to 349 the UB10pro>>amiR-TOR line. After gravistimulation for 24h, we observed pDR5::GUS 350 accumulation in the apical region of the stage III LR-primordia in control conditions while upon 351 TOR knockdown only a faint GUS-coloration was detected in the pericycle (Fig. 4H, I). 352 Collectively, these data suggest that while TOR is required for LR initiation it does not 353 compromise the formation of an auxin signalling maxima in the pericycle.



354

#### 355 Fig. 4) TORC is required in the pericycle for lateral root formation

356 Density of emerged LR in TOR-oe seedlings is increased, (A) and reduced in rpt1b mutant (B) when 357 compared to Col-0 at 14 DAG. C) Schematic of the experimental setup used for scoring LR formation 358 by gravistimulation upon inhibition of TOR by AZD8055. D) Proportion of bends developing lateral root 359 primordia after transfer to AZD8055 containing media and gravistimulation for 24h (n=10). E) 360 Representative confocal images of calcofluor counterstained bends of 7DAG UB10pro>>amiR-TOR 361 seedlings following a 24 hr pre-treatment with mock (DMSO) or ß-Estradiol and subsequent 24h 362 gravistimulation. Numbers indicate the penetrance of the phenotype. Scale bar: 50 µm. F) Phenotype 363 of pXPP>>amiR-TOR seedlings grown on DMSO or Dexamethasone (DEX) at 14 DAG. Scale bar: 364 5mm. G) Density of emerged LR in pXPP>>amiR-TOR upon control or DEX treatment. H) 365 Representative DIC images of bends in 7 DAG UB10pro>>amiR-TOR/DR5:GUS seedlings stained for 366 GUS activity after a 24 hr pre-treatment with mock (DMSO) or ß-Estradiol (Est) and subsequent 24h

- 367 gravistimulation, scale bar: 100 μm. I) Fraction of bends developing lateral root primordia and stained
- 368 for GUS activity in primary root vasculature of UB10pro>>amiR-TOR/DR5:GUS, n=7.

# TOR inhibition moderately affects the transcriptional auxin response associated with LR formation

371 While reduction of *TOR* abundance in the XPP or inhibition of its activity blocks LR formation, 372 it does not block auxin signalling in these cells, suggesting that it is required either downstream 373 or parallel to the auxin-induced LR formation developmental program. To get a genome wide 374 picture of the effects of TOR knockdown during the early phase of LR formation, we compared 375 by RNA-seq the transcriptomes of roots 6h after the synchronous induction of LR formation 376 by auxin treatment (Himanen et al, 2002) in the inducible UB10pro>>amiR-TOR background 377 (Fig. 5A). Transcriptome analysis identified 1141 auxin responsive genes in control conditions 378 (Fig. S7). Upon TOR knock-down the expression of these genes was barely changed (Fig. 379 5B). We verified that inhibition of TOR activity by treatment with AZD8055 led to similar effects 380 (Fig. S8). Collectively, although no morphological sign of LR initiation in the pericycle could be observed upon TOR knockdown or inhibition, the auxin-induced transcriptional response was 381 382 globally unchanged. The SLR/IAA14 protein is a central regulator of LR initiation that controls the auxin-dependent expression of genes in the pericycle essential for LR initiation (Fukaki et 383 384 al, 2002; Vanneste et al, 2005; Ramakrishna et al, 2019). We thus examined in detail how this set of IAA-induced genes behaved upon TOR knockdown. For this we took advantage of an 385 386 existing dataset that profiles the response of the pericycle in similar conditions upon inhibition 387 of slr-dependent auxin signalling in the pericycle (Ramakrishna et al, 2019) and identified 475 388 SLR-dependent genes responsive to auxin (Fig. S7). These genes behaved the same in TOR 389 knockdown and in controls indicating that although LR formation is inhibited, the transcriptional 390 SLR-dependent response to auxin in the pericycle is globally not affected when TOR levels 391 are reduced. Together these data suggest that upon TOR reduction XPP cells still perceive 392 and respond transcriptionally to auxin but appear unable to transform this response into a LR 393 initiation event.



394

395 Fig. 5) Effect of TOR on the auxin-induced lateral root transcriptome and translatome

A) Schematic of the experimental setup used to profile the impact of *TOR* knockdown on the
 transcriptome during LR formation. B) Heatmap from a k-means clustering analysis for 1141 IAA

398 dependent transcripts (log fold change >1 & FDR < 0.05). C) Schematic of the experimental setup used 399 to profile the impact of TOR inhibition on the translatome during LR formation. D) Translational response 400 (reads associated to ribosomes (TRAP) divided by reads in Bulk RNA) of 258 auxin induced genes. K-401 means revealed two clusters, with mild (#1) to strong (#2) shift in translation response upon TOR 402 inhibition. The profiles of ARF19 and LBD16 are highlighted in green. E, F) Abundance of ARF19 (E) 403 and LBD16 (F) transcripts in RNAseq samples. mRNA accumulation in response to auxin is comparable 404 for both whether TOR is knocked down or not. G, H) Relative expression levels (normalised to ACTIN) 405 of ARF19 (G) and LBD16 (H) measured by RT-qPCR upon TOR activity inhibition with AZD8055. 406 Comparison between samples was performed by one-way ANOVA. Different letters indicate significant 407 differences based on a post-hoc Tukey HSD Test (n=5,  $\alpha = 0.05$ ). I) Distribution of GUS-staining in 408 UB10pro>>amiR-TOR/gLBD16-GUS seedlings 24 hrs after bending is absent if previously treated for 409 24 with Est (n = 8 -14). J) Representative confocal images of bends of 7 DAG UB10pro>>amiR-410 TOR/pARF19-5'UTR::mVENUS seedlings following a 24h pre-treatment with mock (DMSO) or ß-411 Estradiol and subsequent 24h gravistimulation. Scale bar: 50 µm, n=9. K) Signal (mean grey values) in 412 the nuclei of the pericycle cells of UB10pro>>amiR-TOR/ pARF19-5'UTR::mVENUS. Significant 413 differences between DMSO and Est-treated roots based on paired t-test, n=9. L, M) Total lysates 414 prepared from lateral roots treated or not with IAA and AZD were fractionated through sucrose 415 gradients, and the relative redistribution (percentage of total) of ACTIN, ARF7, ARF19, and LARP1 416 mRNAs in each 8 fractions were studied by RT-qPCR analysis. (L) Polysome profiles. 40S, small 417 ribosomal subunit; 60S, large ribosomal subunit; 80S, mono-ribosome; polysomes, polyribosomes. AU 418 is arbitrary units of RNA absorbance at A260 nanometers. (M) RT-qPCR analysis of mRNA 419 redistribution through sucrose gradient (8 fractions collected). Translation efficiency was computed as 420 percentage of mRNA in non-polysome fractions (40/60/80S; fractions 1-3) against both light (fractions 421 4-5) and heavy polysomes (fractions 6-8). Plot is representative of two independently performed 422 experiments with similar results. Data are mean +/- SEM.

423 424

#### 425 **TOR affects translation of auxin-responsive transcription factors**

426 The contrast between the mild effect of TOR knockdown on the root transcriptome and the 427 strong block of LR formation, prompted us to investigate the effects of TOR inhibition on the 428 translatome. To this end, we performed targeted purification of polysomal mRNA (TRAP-Seq, 429 (Vragović et al, 2015)) using a transgenic line ubiquitously expressing a GFP-tagged RPL18 430 (Mustroph et al, 2009) 6h after the synchronous induction of LR formation by auxin treatment 431 upon inhibition of TOR activity (AZD8055 treatment, AZD). To correct for abundance of mRNA, 432 bulk RNA-Seq was performed on the same samples and used to normalise the reads purified 433 with the ribosomes (Fig. 5C). This TRAP/Bulk ratio measures the fraction of mRNA associated 434 with ribosomes, be it polysomes or monosomes and provides an indication of the degree of 435 translation of a particular mRNA. Analysis of the bulk RNA-seq data identified 271 transcripts 436 which are upregulated upon IAA treatment. Although this number is reduced compared to the

437 transcriptome analysis due to absence of NPA pre-treatment, 80% of these genes were also 438 differentially expressed in the UB10pro>>amiR-TOR transcriptome upon IAA treatment (Fig. 439 S9). Clustering of these transcripts according to their TRAP/Bulk ratio between IAA and 440 IAA+AZD conditions revealed two clusters. Cluster #1 consists of genes with moderate 441 change in TRAP/Bulk ratio comparing IAA to IAA+AZD whereas the change was more 442 important for cluster #2 (Fig. 5D). Examining these two clusters, we selected two candidates 443 for further characterisation, LBD16 (cluster #1) and ARF19 (cluster #2), both involved in LR 444 initiation (Okushima et al, 2007, 2005).

445 In the UB10pro>>amiR-TOR transcriptome, these two genes were induced by IAA and 446 this induction was not affected by knocking down TOR (Fig. 5E, I). This result was 447 independently confirmed by RT-qPCR upon inhibition of TOR activity by AZD8055 (Fig. 5F, 448 J), indicating that transcription of these genes is not affected by TOR abundance or activity. 449 In the translatome data, both genes had higher TRAP/Bulk ratio upon inhibition of TOR activity 450 which suggest that the translation of these genes is different when TOR is inhibited. To verify 451 this, we crossed the UB10pro>>amiR-TOR line to translational reporters for ARF19 and 452 LBD16 and monitored the effect of TOR knock down on the expression of the reporters. For 453 ARF19, the expression of the mVenus reporter is controlled by the ARF19 promoter, the 5'UTR and the 1st intron (pARF19-5'UTR::mVenus, (Truskina et al, 2021)). For LBD16, we 454 455 used a GUS tagged genomic clone (Sheng et al, 2017). In both cases, whereas in control 456 conditions expression of the reporters could be detected in the cells of the LR primordium, 457 upon TOR knock down their expression was severely reduced while still present in the 458 neighbouring cells (Fig. 5. G, H, K). This indicates that during LR initiation, TOR controls the 459 expression of these two genes at the translational level. To further confirm that TOR can 460 regulate the expression of genes at the level of translation, we looked at the association of the 461 endogenous ARF19 transcript with ribosomes in wild type plants treated or not with AZD8055 462 during auxin-induced LR formation. Comparing the polysome profile upon TOR inhibition to 463 the control revealed a shift from heavy to light fractions indicative of a reduced ribosomes 464 processivity (Fig. 5L, M). This shift was very comparable to the one observed for LARP1, a 465 transcript whose translation has been shown to be TOR dependent (Scarpin et al, 2020). Note 466 that the distribution among ribosomal fractions was similar under both conditions for the 467 housekeeping gene ACTIN (Fig. 5M). As ARF19 and ARF7 are jointly essential for LR initiation 468 (Okushima et al, 2007), we also profiled the association of ARF7 mRNA, whose expression is 469 not auxin induced, with ribosomes. Like ARF19, ARF7 mRNA shifted from heavy to light 470 fractions upon TOR inhibition indicating that its translation is controlled by TOR (Fig. 5M). 471 Together these data show that translation of both key transcription factors mediating auxin 472 signalling during LR initiation is modulated by TOR. Intriguingly, the 5'UTR of both ARF7 and ARF19 mRNA contain several upstream open reading frames (uORF) that require a TOR-473

474 dependent translation re-initiation step to allow expression of the main ORF (Schepetilnikov 475 et al, 2013) providing a likely mechanism by which TOR could regulate the expression of these 476 genes. Collectively, our data support a model in which TOR acts as a metabolic gatekeeper 477 for LR formation by locally integrating the availability of shoot derived photoassimilate with the 478 auxin-mediated LR developmental program through control of the translation of key 479 transcription factors. Such a model would ensure integration and coordination of the 480 developmental and metabolic cues required for the formation of a new organ. Given the vast 481 array of TOR outputs, it is likely that TOR may exert its gatekeeper role through additional 482 mechanisms such as promotion of cell cycle progression via E2F as previously established 483 (Xiong et al, 2013).

# 484 Materials and Methods

#### 485 Plant material and growth conditions

486 Plants of Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Colombia (Col-0) were grown under fluorescent illumination (50 µE m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) in long day conditions (16 h light / 8 h dark) at 22 °C. Seeds were 487 488 surface sterilised (ethanol 70% and SDS 0.1%) and placed on ½ Murashige and Skoog (MS) 489 medium adjusted to pH 5.7 containing 1% agar (Duchefa). Following stratification (4°C in the dark, > 24 h). We used the previously described lines: DR5::GUS (Benková et al, 2003), 490 491 arf7/arf19 (Okushima et al, 2007), LBD16-SRDX (Goh et al, 2012), slr (Fukaki et al, 2002), 492 pGATA23::shy2-2-GR and pGATA23::slr1-GR (Ramakrishna et al, 2019), TOR-oe (G548, 493 (Deprost et al, 2007)), raptor1b-1 (SALK\_101990, (Salem et al, 2017), 35Spro::GFP-RPL18 494 (Mustroph et al, 2009), pARF19-5'UTR::mVenus (Truskina et al, 2021) and qLBD16-GUS 495 (Sheng et al, 2017).

496

#### 497 **Construction of vectors and plant transformation**

498 Unless specified otherwise, the plasmids were generated using the GreenGate modular 499 cloning system (Lampropoulos et al, 2013). For pUB10:S6K1-3xHA, the following modules 500 were combined in pGGZ003: UBQ10 promoter (A), B-dummy (B), S6K1 (AT3G08730, 501 obtained by PCR on Col-0 gDNA (1398 bp) (C), 3xHA (D), 35S terminator (E) and p35S:D-502 alaR:t35S (F). The ß-Estradiol inducible amiR-TOR line (UB10pro>>amiR-TOR) was 503 designed based on (Siligato et al, 2016) and two intermediate vectors (pAP039 and pAP043) 504 were combined in pGGZ003. For pAP039 the following modules were combined in pGGM000: 505 pGGA044 Olex TATA (A), B-dummy (B), TOR amiRNA (generated in this study, C), D-dummy 506 (D), RBCS terminator (E) 250 bp HA adapter (G). For pAP043, the following modules were 507 combined in pGGN000: UBQ10 promoter (A) B-dummy (B), CDS of chimeric TF XVE amplified 508 from pLB12 (Brand et al, 2006) in two PCRs to domesticate an endogenous Eco31I site. (C), 509 D-dummv (D). **UBQ10** terminator (E) 250 HA adapter (G). For bp 510 pXPP::LhG4:GR/6xOP::amiR-TOR, the intermediate module pAP097 was built consisting of 511 HA-adaptor, 6xOp (A), B-dummy (B), TORamiRNA (C), D-dummy (D), UB10 terminator (E) 512 and HygrR (F) in pGGN000, and combined with pSW303 (in pGGM000) consisting of pXPP 513 (A), B-dummy (B), LhG4:GR (C), D-dummy (D), RBCS terminator (E) FH-adaptor (F). Both 514 modules were combined in pGGZ003 to generate the final vector. For the 515 pRAPTOR1B::GUS:eGFP transcriptional fusion, 1360 bp upstream of the of RAPTOR1B 516 (AT3G08850) were amplified by PCR, cloned into the pDONR221TM P1P2 by BP reaction 517 (BP clonase, Thermofisher), and sub-cloned into the destination vector pHGWFS7.0 by LR 518 reaction (LR clonase, Thermofisher). The pS6K1:gS6K1-CFP is a S6K1 genomic line with a 519 C-terminal CFP clone. It was generated by PCR amplification (DNA KOD Hot-start DNA

Polymerase, Novagen) and cloned into the pENTRD-TOPO Gateway vector using the 520 521 manufacturer's protocol and confirmed by sequencing. This clone was then used as templates 522 to generate AscI-S6K1p::S6K1g(No STOP)-PacI fragments that were then ligated into the 523 promoterless pBa002a vector to generate pBa002a/S6K1p::S6K1g-CFP. The clone was 524 confirmed by sequencing. The primers used for cloning and sequencing are listed in Table S2. 525 Agrobacterium tumefaciens (Agl-0, GV3101 or ABI50) based plant transformation was carried 526 out using the floral dip method (Clough & Bent, 1998). All plant lines examined were 527 homozygous if not indicated otherwise. Homozygosity was determined by antibiotic resistance 528 and 3 independent lines were analysed in the T3 generation.

#### 529

#### 530 Pharmacological treatments

For IAA treatments (10 μM, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) samples were treated for 6h before
sampling. TOR inhibitor AZD8055 (10 μM, MedChemExpress, Monmouth Junction, NJ) was
applied 16h prior to inducing LR formation by auxin for an additional 6h. Similarly, seedlings
were transferred for 16h to 2-Deoxyglucose (20mM, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) containing
media to block glycolysis before seedlings were treated for additional 6h with auxin to induce
LR formation. Expression of UB10pro>>amiR-TOR was induced via transferring seedlings to
plates containing β-Estradiol (10 μM, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO in DMSO) for 24hrs.

538

#### 539 Synchronous induction of lateral root induction

540 We used the previously described Lateral-root-inducible-system (Himanen *et al*, 2002). In 541 brief, dense horizontal lanes of sterilised seeds were placed on sterile nylon-membranes 542 (SEFAR, Switzerland) and, 7 days after germination, were transferred to plates with fresh  $\frac{1}{2}$ 543 MS medium containing 10 µM NPA (Naphthylphthalamic acid, (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) 544 for 24h before shift to 10 µM IAA.

545

#### 546 GC-MS-based metabolite profiling

547 Profiling of central carbon metabolism intermediates was performed using GC-MS according 548 to metabolite extraction and analysis steps initially described by (Roessner et al, 2001). Briefly, 549 15-40 mg of the previously collected and frozen root tissues were homogenised by tissue 550 lyzer in liquid nitrogen and subsequently mixed with 360 µl ice-cold methanol. 20 µg of Ribitol 551 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) were added as an internal normalising standard. After 552 extraction (15 min, 70°C), 200 µl chloroform and 400 µl water were added and samples were 553 mixed vigorously before centrifugation. 200 µl of the upper methanol-water phase containing 554 polar to semi-polar metabolites were collected and dried in a vacuum concentrator. 555 Derivatization followed thereafter, including methoximation of the concentrated residues 556 followed by silvlation. To this end, the residues were first re-suspended in a methoxyamine-

557 hydrochloride/pyridine solution to methoxymize the carbonyl groups. Samples were then 558 heated (90 min, 37°C) and subsequently silvlated with *N*-methyl-*N*-559 trimethylsilyltrifloracetamide (37°C, 30 min). GC-MS analysis was performed on a gas 560 chromatograph system equipped with a quadrupole mass spectrometer (GC-MS-QP2010, 561 Shimadzu, Duisburg, Germany). For this, 1 µl of each sample was injected in split mode with 562 a split ratio of 1:100 and the separation of derivatized metabolites was carried out on a RTX-563 5MS column (Restek Corporation, Bellefonte, PA) using instrumental settings optimised by 564 (Lisec et al, 2006).

565

#### 566 GC-MS data processing

567 Raw GC-MS data files were first converted into an ANDI-MS universal file format for spectrum 568 deconvolution and compound identification. Baseline correction, peak identification, retention 569 time (RT) alignment and library matching with the reference collection of the Golm 570 Metabolome Database (GMD, http://gmd.mpimp-golm.mpg.de/) were obtained using the 571 TargetSearch R package from bioconductor (Cuadros-Inostroza et al, 2009). Kovats retention indices used for library matching were calculated for deconvoluted mass spectra from 572 573 measurements of an alkane mixture (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). The Shimadzu GCMS 574 solutions software (v2.72) interface was further used for manual curation of annotation of some 575 metabolites versus authentic standards analysed under the above described analytical 576 conditions. CSV output files (shoot and root data-sets) from the data processing were exported 577 with peak areas obtained for quantifier ions selected for deconvoluted spectra consistently 578 detected in all analysed samples. Peak areas (File S1) were scaled on a sample-basis 579 according to the extracted amount of root tissue and relative to the peak area obtained for the 580 ribitol internal standard in order to correct for putative extraction and analytical performance 581 variations across the different measurements. Finally, peak areas for the above-mentioned 582 compounds obtained in solvent / blank samples were subtracted as background signals from 583 biological samples. For hierarchical clustering analysis of normalized relative peak levels, data 584 were z-score transformed and clustering was conducted with the Ward's clustering method.

585

#### 586 S6K Phosphorylation assay

Proteins were extracted from 40 mg root materials in 200 µl 1X MOPS buffer (0.1M MOPS, 50 mM NaCl, 5% SDS, 10% glycerol, 4 mM EDTA (pH 7.5), 0.3% ß-mercaptoethanol) supplemented with 1.5% phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 2 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). After adding extraction buffer, samples were briefly mixed and heated at 95°C for 7 min. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation (10 min, 14,000 rpm, RT). Protein extract were supplemented with 5x Laemmli buffer (Bromophenol blue (0.05%), 0.3 M Tris buffer (pH 6.8), 50% glycerol, 0.1 M DTT) and reheated for 5 min to 95°C. 20 µl protein extract were separated

on a 12% SDS gel and transferred to Nitrocellulose membrane (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO).
Anti-S6K1 (phospho T449) polyclonal antibody (No. ab207399, abcam, Cambridge, UK) was
used to detect S6K phosphorylation. S6K1/2 antibody (AS12-1855, Agrisera AB, Vännäs,
Sweden) was used to detect total S6K1, Ponceau-S counterstain for confirmation of equal
loading.

599

#### 600 Histochemical analysis and microscopy

601 GUS activity was assayed at 37°C overnight following a modified version of the protocol used 602 in (Weigel & Glazebrook, 2002): the initial washing with the staining buffer (without X-Gluc) 603 and vacuum steps were omitted. GUS staining was followed by fixation in a 4% HCl and 20% 604 methanol solution (15 min at 65°C) followed by 7% NaOH in 60% ethanol (15 min, room 605 temperature). Seedlings were subsequently cleared in successive ethanol baths for 10 mins 606 (40%, 20%, 10%), followed by a 20 min incubation in a solution of 25% glycerol and 5% 607 ethanol. Finally seedlings are mounted in 50% glycerol for imaging with DIC microscopy using 608 an Axio Imager. M1 (Carl 478 Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with a 20X objective. For starch 609 staining, seedlings (18 DAG) were collected in the morning, fixed and cleared as described 610 above, then stained for 30 min with 2 ml of Lugol's lodine solution according to (Caspar et al, 611 1985) before visualisation via stereomicroscope (SteREO Discovery.V12, Zeiss, Jena). 612 Calcofluor White counter staining was performed with seedlings fixed for 30 min in 4% PFA in 613 1X PBS (RT), as described (Ursache et al, 2018). Root bend sections were cleared with 614 ClearSee (Kurihara et al, 2015) for 1 day and imaged on a Leica SP8 confocal microscope 615 with a 40x, NA = 1.3 oil immersion objective. Calcofluor White fluorescence was detected 616 using the 405 nm excitation laser line, and emission range of 425-475 nm.

617

#### 618 **RNA-seq analysis**

619 Samples (Col-0 or inducible UB10pro>>amiR-TOR line) were prepared for harvesting using 620 the synchronous induction of lateral root procedure. All samples were pre-treated with 10 µM 621 NPA for 24 h then shifted to plates containing 10 µM NPA and 10 µM Estradiol or DMSO 622 control for additional 24 h before being shifted to either 10 µM IAA + 10 µM Estradiol or DMSO 623 for LR induction or maintained on the same plates. Root tissue was harvested after 6 h. All 624 sampling points were performed in triplicate. For each sample, about 200 segments of the 625 lower two-thirds of the seedling roots were pooled. Total RNA of the 24 samples (2 genotypes 626 x 4 treatments x 3 replicates) was extracted with the Universal RNA purification kit (EURx). 627 Illumina NextSeq libraries were prepared from 2 µg of total RNA and sequencing performed 628 on NextSeq 500 flow cells (12 samples per cell). Reads were mapped onto the Arabidopsis 629 thaliana genome (TAIR10) and numbers of reads per transcripts computed using STAR 630 (version 2.5.2b). All the subsequent analysis was done with R (www.r-project.org/) using the

- 631DESeq2 package (Love *et al*, 2014). Differentially expressed genes were identified using a632grouping variable that combines the Treatment (NPA, NPA\_Est, IAA, IAA\_ESt) and Genotype633(col-0, UB10pro>>amiR-TOR) variables at log2 fold change >1 and false discovery rate < 0.05.</td>634Theprocedurewasinspiredby
- 635 <u>http://master.bioconductor.org/packages/release/workflows/vignettes/rnaseqGene/inst/doc/rn</u>
- 636 <u>aseqGene.html#time-course-experiments</u>. The 1141 genes induced by IAA, were defined as
- 637 the differentially expressed genes (NPA vs. IAA) common that were insensitive to the effect of
- 638 Estradiol in col-0. The RNASeq data have been deposited to GEO (GSE199202) as part of
- the SuperSeries GSE199211.
- 640

#### 641 **RT-qPCR**

642 Seedlings were pretreated at 7 DAG for 16h either with 10 µM AZD8055 or DMSO control 643 media before being transferred to DMSO, 10 µM IAA, 10 µM AZD8055 or 10 µM AZD8055 + 644 10 µM IAA. Root tissues of ca. 200 seedlings were then dissected after 6h. All samplings were 645 performed in quintuplicate. Total RNA was extracted with the RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen) 646 and 2µg RNA were reverse transcribed with RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit 647 (Thermo Fisher). Quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed using gene specific primers 648 (see File S2) in a total volume of 20 µl Absolute qPCR SYBR-green Mix (Thermo Fisher) on a 649 qTOWER<sup>3</sup> (Analytik Jena) apparatus according to the manufacturer's instructions.

650 651

#### 652 TRAP-Seq

653 The TRAP-seq experiment was conducted according to (Thellmann et al, 2020). In brief, 654 seedlings ubiquitously expressing a GFP-tagged RPL18 ribosomal protein (p35S:HF-GFP-655 RPL18, N69096, (Mustroph et al, 2009)) were pretreated at 7 DAG for 16h either with 10 µM 656 AZD8055 or DMSO control media before being transferred to DMSO, 10 µM IAA, 10 µM 657 AZD8055 or 10 µM AZD8055 + 10 µM IAA. Root tissues were then dissected after 6h. All 658 sampling were performed in triplicate. For each sample, about 1500 segments of the lower 659 two-thirds of the seedling roots were pooled, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and later 660 homogenised with a polysome-extraction buffer (Thellmann et al, 2020). The suspension was 661 centrifuged for 15 min (16 000 g, 4°C). An aliquot of the homogenate was used for Bulk-RNA-662 extraction by use of TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). The remaining supernatant was incubated 663 with GFP-Trap® Magnetic Agarose beads (Chromotek, Munich, Germany). Ribosomal bound 664 RNA was obtained by immunoprecipitation with magnetic anti-GFP beads in accordance with 665 the manufacturer's instructions and subsequently purified by use of TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Illumina NextSeq libraries were prepared from 2 µg of total RNA (bulk) or 100ng 666 667 (Ribosome bound) after depleting the rRNA via Ribo-Zero Plus rRNA Depletion Kit (Illumina)

668 and sequencing performed on NextSeg 500 flow cells (12 samples per cell). Reads were 669 mapped onto the Arabidopsis thaliana genome (TAIR10) and numbers of reads per transcripts 670 computed using STAR (version 2.5.2b). All the subsequent analysis was done with R (www.r-671 project.org/) using the DESeq2 package (Love et al, 2014). For each assay type (Bulk and 672 TRAP), the variance was stabilised by a r-log transform and z-score were derived for all 673 transcripts genome wide. The log10 ratio of TRAP to Bulk signal was then computed for all 674 transcripts. The auxin responsive genes used for k-means clustering based on the ratio of 675 TRAP to Bulk signal were identified in the Bulk set comparing DMSO to IAA treatment 676 (llog2FC|>1, FDR<0.05). The TRAP-Seg and Bulk RNA seg data have been deposited to GEO 677 (GSE199203) as part of the SuperSeries GSE199211.

678

#### 679 **Polysome profile analysis**

680 Root samples were frozen and ground in liquid nitrogen. The powder was resuspended in 681 Polysome extraction buffer [100mM HEPES-KOH pH 8.0, 150 mM KCI, 25 mM Mg(OAc)2, 25 682 mM EGTA pH 8.0, 0.5% NP-40, 250 mM sucrose, 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), Complete Protease Inhibitor EDTA-free (Roche)], and final lysate was cleared by high-speed 683 centrifugation for 15 minutes at 4°C. The equivalent of 100 a.u. (A260; measured on 684 685 Nanodrop) was layered on top of the 15 to 45% (w/v) sucrose density gradients and then 686 centrifuged at 29,000 rpm in a SW60-Ti rotor for 3 hours at 4°C. The polysome profiles were 687 generated by continuous absorbance measurement at 260 nm using a Gradient Fractionation 688 System (Biocomp Instruments), eight fractions collected, and total RNA from individual 689 fractions was extracted with Tri-Reagent (Trizol) and reverse transcribed with High-Capacity 690 cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystem). Quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed using gene specific primers (see File S2) in a total volume of 10 µl SYBR Green 691 692 Master mix (Roche) on a LightCycler LC480 apparatus (Roche) according to the 693 manufacturer's instructions.

694

#### 695 Statistical analysis

All the statistical analyses used in this study were performed in R and Microsoft Excel. The
methods and p-values are summarised in the figure legends. Plotting of data was performed
using R and Microsoft Excel.

- 699
- 700 701

### 702

# 703 Supplementary data

704 Supplementary data are available online.

27

- 705
- 706 707

709

# 708 Acknowledgements

710 We thank A. Leibfreid for critically reading the manuscript, M. Burow (DynaMo Center, Dept. 711 of Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark) for TOR-oe 712 seeds. S. Savaldi-Goldstein (Faculty of Biology, Technion Haifa, Israel), for the p35S:HF-713 GFP-RPL18 line, A. Bishopp (University of Nottingham, UK) for the pARF19-5'UTR::mVenus 714 line and Lin Xu (Institute of Plant Physiology and Ecology, Shanghai, PRC) for the gLBD16-715 GUS line and Jazmín Reves for help with microscopy. The authors gratefully acknowledge 716 the data storage service SDS@hd supported by the Ministry of Science, Research and the 717 Arts Baden-Württemberg (MWK), the COS Metabolomics Core Technology Platform for 718 GC/MS instrument access, the Cluster of Excellence Cellular Networks of the University of 719 Heidelberg (CellNetworks) through grant EcTOP6 "Metabolism and Development" and the 720 German Research Foundation (DFG) through grant INST 35/1314-1 FUGG and INST 721 35/1503-1 FUGG.

722 723

#### 724 725 Author contribution

- 726 Conceptualization: MS, EG, AM
- 727 Data Acquisition and curation: MS, MR, DK, MSch BB
- 728 Formal Analysis: MS, MR, DK, MSch, BB
- 729 Funding Acquisition: EG, AM
- 730 Methodology: MS, EG, AM
- 731 Project Administration: EG, AM
- 732 Resources: DJ, AP, JL, AA, RH, MB
- 733 Supervision: EG, AM
- 734 Visualisation: MS, MSch, EG, AM
- 735 Writing Original Draft: MS, AM
- 736 Writing Review and Editing: MS, DK, MSch, DJ, EG, AM
- 737 738
- 739

# 740 Conflicts of interests

741 The authors declare no competing interests.

| 742<br>743               |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| 744                      | Funding  |
| 745<br>746<br>747<br>748 | This work was supported by the DFG FOR2581.  |
| 749                      | Data availability  |
| 750                      | The data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author |
| 751                      | upon request. Sequencing data are available from GEO (SuperSeries GSE199211).              |

# 752 References

753

- Andersen TG, Naseer S, Ursache R, Wybouw B, Smet W, De Rybel B, Vermeer JEM &
   Geldner N (2018) Diffusible repression of cytokinin signalling produces endodermal
   symmetry and passage cells. *Nature* 555: 529–533
- Arrivault S, Guenther M, Ivakov A, Feil R, Vosloh D, van Dongen JT, Sulpice R & Stitt M
  (2009) Use of reverse-phase liquid chromatography, linked to tandem mass
  spectrometry, to profile the Calvin cycle and other metabolic intermediates in
  Arabidopsis rosettes at different carbon dioxide concentrations. *Plant J* 59: 826–839
- Benková E, Michniewicz M, Sauer M, Teichmann T, Seifertová D, Jürgens G & Friml J
   (2003) Local, Efflux-Dependent Auxin Gradients as a Common Module for Plant Organ
   Formation. *Cell* 115: 591–602
- Blázquez MA, Nelson DC & Weijers D (2020) Evolution of Plant Hormone Response
   Pathways. Annu Rev Plant Biol 71: annurev–arplant–050718–100309
- Brand L, Hörler M, Nüesch E, Vassalli S, Barrell P, Yang W, Jefferson RA, Grossniklaus U &
   Curtis MD (2006) A versatile and reliable two-component system for tissue-specific
   gene induction in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 141: 1194–1204
- Caldana C, Li Y, Leisse A, Zhang Y, Bartholomaeus L, Fernie AR, Willmitzer L & Giavalisco
   P (2013) Systemic analysis of inducible target of rapamycin mutants reveal a general
   metabolic switch controlling growth in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J* 73: 897–909
- Cao P, Kim S-J, Xing A, Schenck CA, Liu L, Jiang N, Wang J, Last RL & Brandizzi F (2019)
   Homeostasis of branched-chain amino acids is critical for the activity of TOR signaling in
   Arabidopsis. *Elife* 8: e50747
- Caspar T, Huber SC & Somerville C (1985) Alterations in Growth, Photosynthesis, and
   Respiration in a Starchless Mutant of Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Deficient in Chloroplast
   Phosphoglucomutase Activity. *Plant Physiol* 79: 11–17
- Clough SJ & Bent AF (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated
   transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant J* 16: 735–743
- 782 Crepin N & Rolland F (2019) SnRK1 activation, signaling, and networking for energy
   783 homeostasis. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 51: 29–36
   784
- Crookshanks M, Taylor G & Dolan L (1998) A model system to study the effects of elevated
   CO2 on the developmental physiology of roots: the use of Arabidopsis thaliana. J Exp Bot 49: 593–597
- Cuadros-Inostroza A, Caldana C, Redestig H, Kusano M, Lisec J, Peña-Cortés H, Willmitzer
   L & Hannah MA (2009) TargetSearch--a Bioconductor package for the efficient
   preprocessing of GC-MS metabolite profiling data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 10: 428
- Dembinsky D, Woll K, Saleem M, Liu Y, Fu Y, Borsuk LA, Lamkemeyer T, Fladerer C,
   Madlung J, Barbazuk B, *et al* (2007) Transcriptomic and proteomic analyses of pericycle
   cells of the maize primary root. *Plant Physiol* 145: 575–588
- Deng K, Dong P, Wang W, Feng L, Xiong F, Wang K, Zhang S, Feng S, Wang B, Zhang J, *et al* (2017) The TOR Pathway Is Involved in Adventitious Root Formation in
  Arabidopsis and Potato. *Front Plant Sci* 8: 793–718

- Deprost D, Yao L, Sormani R, Moreau M, Leterreux G, Nicolaï M, Bedu M, Robaglia C &
   Meyer C (2007) The Arabidopsis TOR kinase links plant growth, yield, stress resistance
   and mRNA translation. *EMBO Rep* 8: 864–870
- Be Rybel B, Vassileva V, Parizot B, Demeulenaere M, Grunewald W, Audenaert D, Van
  Campenhout J, Overvoorde P, Jansen L, Vanneste S, *et al* (2010) A novel aux/IAA28
  signaling cascade activates GATA23-dependent specification of lateral root founder cell
  identity. *Curr Biol* 20: 1697–1706
- Bobrenel T, Caldana C, Hanson J, Robaglia C, Vincentz M, Veit B & Meyer C (2016) TOR
   Signaling and Nutrient Sensing. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 67: 261–285
- Bubrovsky JG, Sauer M, Napsucialy-Mendivil S, Ivanchenko MG, Friml J, Shishkova S,
  Celenza J & Benkova E (2008) Auxin acts as a local morphogenetic trigger to specify
  lateral root founder cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105: 8790
- Figueroa CM & Lunn JE (2016) A Tale of Two Sugars: Trehalose 6-Phosphate and Sucrose.
   *Plant Physiol* 172: 7–27
- Fukaki H, Tameda S, Masuda H & Tasaka M (2002) Lateral root formation is blocked by a
  gain-of-function mutation in the SOLITARY-ROOT/IAA14 gene of Arabidopsis. *Plant J*29: 153–168
- 817 Ge SX, Jung D & Yao R (2019) ShinyGO: a graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals
   818 and plants. *Bioinformatics* 36: 2628–2629
- Goh T, Joi S, Mimura T & Fukaki H (2012) The establishment of asymmetry in Arabidopsis
  lateral root founder cells is regulated by LBD16/ASL18 and related LBD/ASL proteins. *Development* 139: 883–893
- Graf A, Schlereth A, Stitt M & Smith AM (2010) Circadian control of carbohydrate availability
   for growth in Arabidopsis plants at night. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107: 9458–9463
- Gupta A, Singh M & Laxmi A (2015) Interaction between glucose and brassinosteroid during
   the regulation of lateral root development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 168: 307–320
- Himanen K, Boucheron E, Vanneste S, de Almeida Engler J, Inzé D & Beeckman T (2002)
   Auxin-mediated cell cycle activation during early lateral root initiation. *Plant Cell* 14:
   2339–2351
- Kircher S & Schopfer P (2012) Photosynthetic sucrose acts as cotyledon-derived long distance signal to control root growth during early seedling development in Arabidopsis.
   *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109: 11217–11221
- Kurihara D, Mizuta Y, Sato Y & Higashiyama T (2015) ClearSee: a rapid optical clearing
   reagent for whole-plant fluorescence imaging. *Development* 142: 4168–4179
- Lampropoulos A, Sutikovic Z, Wenzl C, Maegele I, Lohmann JU & Forner J (2013)
  GreenGate A Novel, Versatile, and Efficient Cloning System for Plant Transgenesis. *PLoS One* 8: e83043
- Lavenus J, Goh T, Guyomarc'h S, Hill K, Lucas M, Voß U, Kenobi K, Wilson MH, Farcot E,
  Hagen G, *et al* (2015) Inference of the Arabidopsis Lateral Root Gene Regulatory
  Network Suggests a Bifurcation Mechanism That Defines Primordia Flanking and
  Central Zones. *Plant Cell* 27: 1368–1388

Li J, Wang B, Zhu X, Li R, Fu J & Cui H (2020) Novel Regulators of Sugar-Mediated Lateral

| 845<br>846                      | Root Development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Genes 11  |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 847<br>848<br>849               | Lilley JLS, Gee CW, Sairanen I, Ljung K & Nemhauser JL (2012) An endogenous carbon-<br>sensing pathway triggers increased auxin flux and hypocotyl elongation. <i>Plant Physiol</i><br>160: 2261–2270   |
| 850<br>851                      | Lisec J, Schauer N, Kopka J, Willmitzer L & Fernie AR (2006) Gas chromatography mass spectrometry-based metabolite profiling in plants. <i>Nat Protoc</i> 1: 387–396  |
| 852<br>853<br>854               | Li X, Cai W, Liu Y, Li H, Fu L, Liu Z, Xu L, Liu H, Xu T & Xiong Y (2017) Differential TOR activation and cell proliferation in Arabidopsis root and shoot apexes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> : 201618782–201618786  |
| 855<br>856                      | Love MI, Huber W & Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. <i>Genome Biol</i> 15   |
| 857<br>858<br>859<br>860<br>861 | Lucas M, Kenobi K, von Wangenheim D, VOβ U, Swarup K, De Smet I, Van Damme D,<br>Lawrence T, Péret B, Moscardi E, <i>et al</i> (2013) Lateral root morphogenesis is dependent<br>on the mechanical properties of the overlaying tissues. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 110:<br>5229–5234  |
| 862<br>863                      | Malamy JE & Benfey PN (1997) Organization and cell differentiation in lateral roots of<br>Arabidopsis thaliana. <i>Development</i> 124: 33–44   |
| 864<br>865<br>866<br>867        | Menand B, Desnos T, Nussaume L, Berger F, Bouchez D, Meyer C & Robaglia C (2002)<br>Expression and disruption of the Arabidopsis TOR (target of rapamycin) gene. <i>Proc Natl</i><br><i>Acad Sci U S A</i> 99: 6422–6427  |
| 868<br>869<br>870<br>871        | Montané M-H & Menand B (2013) ATP-competitive mTOR kinase inhibitors delay plant<br>growth by triggering early differentiation of meristematic cells but no developmental<br>patterning change. <i>J Exp Bot</i> 64: 4361–4374  |
| 872<br>873<br>874<br>875        | Moreau M, Azzopardi M, Clément G, Dobrenel T, Marchive C, Renne C, Martin-Magniette M-L, Taconnat L, Renou J-P, Robaglia C, <i>et al</i> (2012) Mutations in the Arabidopsis homolog of LST8/GβL, a partner of the target of Rapamycin kinase, impair plant growth, flowering, and metabolic adaptation to long days. <i>Plant Cell</i> 24: 463–481 |
| 876<br>877<br>878<br>879        | Muralidhara P, Weiste C, Collani S, Krischke M, Kreisz P, Draken J, Feil R, Mair A, Teige M,<br>Müller MJ, <i>et al</i> (2021) Perturbations in plant energy homeostasis prime lateral root<br>initiation via SnRK1-bZIP63-ARF19 signaling. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 118   |
| 880<br>881<br>882<br>883        | Mustroph A, Zanetti ME, Jang CJH, Holtan HE, Repetti PP, Galbraith DW, Girke T & Bailey-<br>Serres J (2009) Profiling translatomes of discrete cell populations resolves altered<br>cellular priorities during hypoxia in Arabidopsis. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 106: 18843–<br>18848   |
| 884<br>885<br>886<br>887        | Okushima Y, Fukaki H, Onoda M, Theologis A & Tasaka M (2007) ARF7 and ARF19 regulate lateral root formation via direct activation of LBD/ASL genes in Arabidopsis. <i>Plant Cell</i> 19: 118–130  |
| 888<br>889<br>890<br>891        | Okushima Y, Overvoorde PJ, Arima K, Alonso JM, Chan A, Chang C, Ecker JR, Hughes B,<br>Lui A, Nguyen D, <i>et al</i> (2005) Functional genomic analysis of the AUXIN RESPONSE<br>FACTOR gene family members in Arabidopsis thaliana: unique and overlapping<br>functions of ARF7 and ARF19. <i>Plant Cell</i> 17: 444–463                           |
| 893                             | Parizot B, Laplaze L, Ricaud L, Boucheron-Dubuisson E, Bayle V, Bonke M, De Smet I,   |

| 894<br>895<br>896<br>897        | Poethig SR, Helariutta Y, Haseloff J, <i>et al</i> (2008) Diarch symmetry of the vascular bundle in Arabidopsis root encompasses the pericycle and is reflected in distich lateral root initiation. <i>Plant Physiol</i> 146: 140–148   |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 898<br>899<br>900               | Pfeiffer A, Janocha D, Dong Y, Medzihradszky A, Schöne S, Daum G, Suzaki T, Forner J,<br>Langenecker T, Rempel E, et al (2016) Integration of light and metabolic signals for<br>stem cell activation at the shoot apical meristem. Elife 5   |
| 901<br>902<br>903<br>904<br>905 | Ramakrishna P, Duarte PR, Rance GA, Schubert M, Vordermaier V, Vu LD, Murphy E,<br>Barro AV, Swarup K, Moirangthem K, et al (2019) EXPANSIN A1-mediated radial<br>swelling of pericycle cells positions anticlinal cell divisions during lateral root initiation.<br>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 116: 8597–8602 |
| 906<br>907<br>908<br>909        | Riegler S, Servi L, Scarpin MR, Godoy Herz MA, Kubaczka MG, Venhuizen P, Meyer C,<br>Brunkard JO, Kalyna M, Barta A, <i>et al</i> (2021) Light regulates alternative splicing<br>outcomes via the TOR kinase pathway. <i>Cell Rep</i> 36: 109676  |
| 910<br>911<br>912               | Roessner U, Luedemann A, Brust D, Fiehn O, Linke T, Willmitzer L & Fernie A (2001)<br>Metabolic profiling allows comprehensive phenotyping of genetically or environmentally<br>modified plant systems. <i>Plant Cell</i> 13: 11–29   |
| 913<br>914<br>915               | Sairanen I, Novák O, Pěnčík A, Ikeda Y, Jones B, Sandberg G & Ljung K (2012) Soluble<br>Carbohydrates Regulate Auxin Biosynthesis via PIF Proteins in Arabidopsis. <i>Plant Cell</i><br>24: 4907–4916   |
| 916<br>917<br>918               | Salem MA, Li Y, Wiszniewski A & Giavalisco P (2017) Regulatory-associated protein of TOR (RAPTOR) alters the hormonal and metabolic composition of Arabidopsis seeds, controlling seed morphology, viability and germination potential. <i>Plant J</i> 92: 525–545  |
| 919<br>920                      | Santos Teixeira JA & Ten Tusscher KH (2019) The Systems Biology of Lateral Root<br>Formation: Connecting the Dots. <i>Mol Plant</i> 12: 784–803   |
| 921<br>922                      | Scarpin MR, Leiboff S & Brunkard JO (2020) Parallel global profiling of plant TOR dynamics reveals a conserved role for LARP1 in translation. <i>Elife</i> 9  |
| 923<br>924<br>925               | Schepetilnikov M, Dimitrova M, Mancera-Martínez E, Geldreich A, Keller M & Ryabova LA<br>(2013) TOR and S6K1 promote translation reinitiation of uORF-containing mRNAs via<br>phosphorylation of eIF3h. <i>EMBO J</i> 32: 1087–1102   |
| 926<br>927<br>928<br>929        | Schepetilnikov M, Makarian J, Srour O, Geldreich A, Yang Z, Chicher J, Hammann P &<br>Ryabova LA (2017) GTPase ROP2 binds and promotes activation of target of<br>rapamycin, TOR, in response to auxin. <i>EMBO J</i> 36: 886–903   |
| 930<br>931<br>932<br>933        | Schütz LM, Louveaux M, Vilches Barro A, Bouziri S, Cerrone L, Wolny A, Kreshuk A,<br>Hamprecht FA & Maizel A (2021) Integration of Cell Growth and Asymmetric Division<br>during Lateral Root Initiation in Arabidopsis thaliana. <i>Plant Cell Physiol</i> 62: 1269–1279   |
| 934<br>935<br>936               | Sheng L, Hu X, Du Y, Zhang G, Huang H, Scheres B & Xu L (2017) Non-canonical WOX11-<br>mediated root branching contributes to plasticity in Arabidopsis root system architecture.<br><i>Development</i> 144: 3126–3133  |
| 937<br>938<br>939               | Shi L, Wu Y & Sheen J (2018) TOR signaling in plants: conservation and innovation.<br>Development 145   |
| 940<br>941                      | Shyh-Chang N & Ng H-H (2017) The metabolic programming of stem cells. <i>Genes Dev</i> 31: 336–346  |

- Siligato R, Wang X, Yadav SR, Lehesranta S, Ma G, Ursache R, Sevilem I, Zhang J, Gorte
  M, Prasad K, *et al* (2016) MultiSite Gateway-Compatible Cell Type-Specific GeneInducible System for Plants. *Plant Physiol* 170: 627–641
- Sulpice R, Pyl E-T, Ishihara H, Trenkamp S, Steinfath M, Witucka-Wall H, Gibon Y, Usadel
  B, Poree F, Piques MC, *et al* (2009) Starch as a major integrator in the regulation of
  plant growth. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106: 10348–10353
- Thellmann M, Andersen TG & Vermeer JE (2020) Translating Ribosome Affinity Purification
   (TRAP) to Investigate Arabidopsis thaliana Root Development at a Cell Type-Specific
   Scale. J Vis Exp
- Torres-Martínez HH, Hernández-Herrera P, Corkidi G & Dubrovsky JG (2020) From one cell
   to many: Morphogenetic field of lateral root founder cells in Arabidopsis thaliana is built
   by gradual recruitment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117: 20943–
   20949
- 957 Truskina J, Han J, Chrysanthou E, Galvan-Ampudia CS, Lainé S, Brunoud G, Macé J,
  958 Bellows S, Legrand J, Bågman A-M, *et al* (2021) A network of transcriptional repressors
  959 modulates auxin responses. *Nature* 589: 116–119
- 960 Ursache R, Andersen TG, Marhavý P & Geldner N (2018) A protocol for combining
   961 fluorescent proteins with histological stains for diverse cell wall components. *Plant J* 93:
   962 399–412
- Van Leene J, Han C, Gadeyne A, Eeckhout D, Matthijs C, Cannoot B, De Winne N, Persiau
  G, Van De Slijke E, Van de Cotte B, *et al* (2019) Capturing the phosphorylation and
  protein interaction landscape of the plant TOR kinase. *Nat Plants* 5: 316–327
- Vanneste S, Rybel BD, Beemster GTS, Ljung K, Smet ID, Van Isterdael G, Naudts M, Iida R,
  Gruissem W, Tasaka M, *et al* (2005) Cell Cycle Progression in the Pericycle Is Not
  Sufficient for SOLITARY ROOT/IAA14-Mediated Lateral Root Initiation in Arabidopsis
  thaliana. *Plant Cell* 17: 3035–3050
- Vilches Barro A, Stöckle D, Thellmann M, Ruiz-Duarte P, Bald L, Louveaux M, von Born P,
  Denninger P, Goh T, Fukaki H, *et al* (2019) Cytoskeleton Dynamics Are Necessary for
  Early Events of Lateral Root Initiation in Arabidopsis. *Curr Biol* 29: 2443–2454.e5
- 974 Vragović K, Sela A, Friedlander-Shani L, Fridman Y, Hacham Y, Holland N, Bartom E,
   975 Mockler TC & Savaldi-Goldstein S (2015) Translatome analyses capture of opposing
   976 tissue-specific brassinosteroid signals orchestrating root meristem differentiation. *Proc* 977 *Natl Acad Sci U S A*: 201417947
- von Wangenheim D, Fangerau J, Schmitz A, Smith RS, Leitte H, Stelzer EHK & Maizel A
  (2016) Rules and Self-Organizing Properties of Post-embryonic Plant Organ Cell
  Division Patterns. *Curr Biol* 26: 439–449
- 982 Weigel D & Glazebrook J (2002) Arabidopsis: A Laboratory Manual CSHL Press 983
- Wilmoth JC, Wang S, Tiwari SB, Joshi AD, Hagen G, Guilfoyle TJ, Alonso JM, Ecker JR &
  Reed JW (2005) NPH4/ARF7 and ARF19 promote leaf expansion and auxin-induced
  lateral root formation: ARF proteins regulate auxin-induced root formation. *Plant J* 43:
  118–130
- Xiong Y, McCormack M, Li L, Hall Q, Xiang C & Sheen J (2013) Glucose-TOR signalling
   reprograms the transcriptome and activates meristems. *Nature* 496: 181–186

- Yuan T-T, Xu H-H, Zhang K-X, Guo T-T & Lu Y-T (2014) Glucose inhibits root meristem
   growth via ABA INSENSITIVE 5, which represses PIN1 accumulation and auxin activity
   in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Environ* 37: 1338–1350
- Zhang SH, Lawton MA, Hunter T & Lamb CJ (1994) atpk1, a novel ribosomal protein kinase
   gene from Arabidopsis. I. Isolation, characterization, and expression. *J Biol Chem* 269:
   17586–17592