



HAL
open science

Deforming 3-manifolds of bounded geometry and uniformly positive scalar curvature

Laurent Bessières, Gérard Besson, Sylvain Maillot, Fernando C Marques

► **To cite this version:**

Laurent Bessières, Gérard Besson, Sylvain Maillot, Fernando C Marques. Deforming 3-manifolds of bounded geometry and uniformly positive scalar curvature. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 2020, 23 (1), pp.153 - 184. 10.4171/jems/1008 . hal-03945317

HAL Id: hal-03945317

<https://hal.science/hal-03945317v1>

Submitted on 18 Jan 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Laurent Bessières · Gérard Besson · Sylvain Maillot · Fernando C. Marques

JEMS

Deforming 3-manifolds of bounded geometry and uniformly positive scalar curvature

Received January 4, 2018

Abstract. We prove that the moduli space of complete Riemannian metrics of bounded geometry and uniformly positive scalar curvature on an orientable 3-manifold is path-connected. This generalises the main result of the fourth author [Mar12] in the compact case. The proof uses Ricci flow with surgery as well as arguments involving performing infinite connected sums with control on the geometry.

Keywords. Scalar curvature, Ricci flow, open manifolds, 3-manifolds

1. Introduction

1.1. Summary of earlier results

A classical topic in Riemannian geometry is the study of complete manifolds with positive scalar curvature. A first basic problem is that of existence: in a given dimension, characterize the class of smooth manifolds which admit such a metric. A second one is, given a manifold M in this class, to determine whether such a metric is unique up to isotopy—possibly working modulo the action of the diffeomorphism group of M on the space of metrics under consideration.

When the manifold is non-compact, each of these two problems gives rise to several questions, according to whether one studies metrics with positive scalar curvature or *uniformly positive* scalar curvature, i.e. scalar curvature greater than some positive constant, or restricts attention to metrics satisfying additional bounds on the geometry.

In this paper we are concerned with dimension 3. We first briefly review known results in other dimensions. The Gauss–Bonnet formula implies that S^2 is the only two-dimensional orientable compact manifold with positive scalar curvature. Weyl [Wey16]

L. Bessières: Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, Université de Bordeaux,
33405 Talence, France; e-mail: laurent.bessieres@math.u-bordeaux.fr

G. Besson: Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes,
100, rue des maths, 38610 Gières, France; e-mail: g.besson@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr

S. Maillot: Institut Montpellierain Alexander Grothendieck, CNRS, Université de Montpellier;
e-mail: sylvain.maillot@univ-montp2.fr

F. C. Marques: Princeton University, Fine Hall,
Princeton, NJ 08544, USA; e-mail: coda@math.princeton.edu

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 53C21, 53E20

proved that the space of such metrics on S^2 is path-connected, as a consequence of the Uniformisation Theorem (later Rosenberg–Stolz [RS01] proved contractibility). In high dimensions this is no longer true. The space of metrics of positive scalar curvature on S^n is disconnected for all dimensions $n \geq 7$ of the form $n = 8k$, $n = 8k + 1$ or $n = 4k - 1$ (Hitchin [Hit74], Carr [Car88], Kreck–Stolz [KS88]). We would also like to mention that similar questions have been studied in dimension 2 in a non-compact setting, see Belegarde–Hu [BH15, BH16].

Let M be a closed, orientable, connected, smooth 3-dimensional manifold. The question of when such a manifold admits a metric of positive scalar curvature has been studied by Gromov–Lawson [GL80, GL83] and Schoen–Yau [SY79] in the late 1970s and completely settled by Perelman in his celebrated work on the Geometrisation Conjecture in 2003. Obvious examples of manifolds in this class are $S^2 \times S^1$ and *spherical manifolds* (i.e. manifolds that are diffeomorphic to metric quotients of the round 3-sphere). Gromov–Lawson and Schoen–Yau observed independently that this class is closed under connected sum. Perelman completed the classification:

Theorem 1.1 (Perelman [Per03]). *A closed, orientable, connected 3-manifold admits a Riemannian metric of positive scalar curvature if and only if it is a connected sum of spherical manifolds and $S^2 \times S^1$'s.*

We now state the uniqueness result due to the fourth author. For this we introduce some notation. Let σ be a positive constant. Let $\mathcal{R}_+(M)$ (resp. $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(M)$) denote the set of all metrics on M which have positive scalar curvature (resp. scalar curvature $\geq \sigma$).

Theorem 1.2 (Marques [Mar12]). *Let M be a closed, orientable, connected 3-manifold such that $\mathcal{R}_+(M) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{R}_+(M)/\text{Diff}(M)$ is path-connected in the C^∞ topology.*

The main theorem of this article is a generalisation of Theorem 1.2 to possibly non-compact manifolds. Before we state it, we review some results on the existence question in the setting of open 3-manifolds.

Let M be an open, orientable, connected 3-manifold. The basic question of when such a manifold admits a complete metric g of positive scalar curvature [Yau82, Problem 27] is still wide open. Progress has been made under the stronger hypothesis of uniformly positive scalar curvature. In particular, Cheng, Weinberger and Yu [CWY10] classified complete 3-manifolds with uniformly positive scalar curvature and finitely generated fundamental group. More closely related to this paper is a result of the first three authors [BBM11] which we now state.

Recall that a Riemannian manifold is said to have *bounded geometry* if it has bounded sectional curvature and positive injectivity radius. We also need to recall the definition of a connected sum along a locally finite graph. For the moment, we will assume that the summands are closed—later we will need to consider non-compact summands (cf. Section 2).

Let \mathcal{X} be a collection of closed, oriented 3-manifolds and G be a locally finite connected graph. Fix a map $X : v \mapsto X_v$ which associates to each vertex of G a copy of some manifold in \mathcal{X} . To the pair (G, X) we associate a 3-manifold M in the following way: for each v we let Y_v be X_v with d_v punctures, where d_v is the degree of v . Let Y be

the disjoint union of all Y_v 's. Then M is obtained from Y by the following operation: for each edge e of G , let v, v' be the vertices connected by e ; choose a 2-sphere $S \subset \partial Y_v$ and a 2-sphere $S' \subset \partial Y_{v'}$, and glue Y_v and $Y_{v'}$ together along S and S' using an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism. We say that a 3-manifold is a *connected sum of members of \mathcal{X}* if it is diffeomorphic to a manifold obtained by this construction.

When G is a finite tree, we recover the usual notion of finite connected sum. When G is a finite graph, we can turn it into a tree at the expense of adding some $S^2 \times S^1$ factors. In the reverse direction, one can add edges to the graph and remove $S^2 \times S^1$ factors. Thus the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 can be reformulated as: M is a connected sum of spherical manifolds along a finite graph.

The generalisation of Theorem 1.1 due to the first three authors reads:

Theorem 1.3 (Bessières–Besson–Maillot [BBM11]). *Let M be an orientable, connected 3-manifold. Then M admits a complete Riemannian metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature and bounded geometry if and only if there exists a finite collection \mathcal{F} of spherical 3-manifolds such that M is a connected sum of members of \mathcal{F} .*

An explanation on how to deduce Theorem 1.3 from the main result of [BBM11] is given in Appendix A.

1.2. Main results of this article

Throughout this paper we make the following convention: all manifolds are assumed to be smooth, orientable and without boundary. We will not assume that they are connected in general.

Let M be a 3-manifold. Given $\sigma > 0$, we denote by $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\text{bg}}(M)$ the space of complete Riemannian metrics g on M with scalar curvature $\geq \sigma$ and bounded geometry. We further set $\mathcal{R}_+^{\text{bg}}(M) = \bigcup_{\sigma > 0} \mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\text{bg}}(M)$. We endow $\mathcal{R}_+^{\text{bg}}(M)$ with the $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^\infty$ topology. When M is compact, every metric has bounded geometry, and we have $\mathcal{R}_+(M) = \mathcal{R}_+^{\text{bg}}(M)$ and $\mathcal{R}_\sigma(M) = \mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\text{bg}}(M)$ for each σ .

The following is the main theorem of this article:

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be a connected 3-manifold such that $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M) \neq \emptyset$. Then the space $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)/\text{Diff}(M)$ is path-connected.*

Since any metric in $\mathcal{R}_+^{\text{bg}}(M)$ differs from a metric in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ by scaling, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 1.5. *Let M be a connected 3-manifold such that $\mathcal{R}_+^{\text{bg}}(M) \neq \emptyset$. Then the space $\mathcal{R}_+^{\text{bg}}(M)/\text{Diff}(M)$ is path-connected.*

1.3. Strategy of proof

Here we explain the main difficulty in extending Theorem 1.2 to Theorem 1.4. First we sketch the argument used in [Mar12] for proving Theorem 1.2.

Let M be a closed, connected 3-manifold such that $\mathcal{R}_1(M)$ is non-empty. By Theorem 1.1, M is a connected sum of spherical manifolds and $S^2 \times S^1$'s. A crucial notion is that of a *canonical metric* on M . To construct it, start with the unit sphere $S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. For each spherical summand Σ_i , fix a round metric on Σ_i , a pair of points $(p_i^+, p_i^-) \in S^3 \times \Sigma_i$ and perform a *GL-sum* at the points $\{p_i^\pm\}$. This notion will be explained in detail (and in greater generality) in Section 2 below. Here we describe it informally: one removes a small metric ball B_i^\pm around each p_i^\pm and glues a thin tube between ∂B_i^+ and ∂B_i^- , preserving the condition of positive scalar curvature. Finally, for each $S^2 \times S^1$ summand, do a ‘self GL-sum’ of S^3 , i.e. a similar operation with both points p_i^\pm in S^3 .

Making this construction more precise and invoking Milnor’s uniqueness theorem for the prime decomposition, one sees that the space of canonical metrics on M is path-connected modulo diffeomorphism. Thus, for the purpose of proving Theorem 1.2, one can speak of ‘the’ canonical metric on M .

The bulk of the proof consists in showing that any metric in $\mathcal{R}_+(M)$ can be isotoped to the canonical metric. This uses a refined version of Perelman’s Ricci flow with surgery (based on the monograph by Morgan and Tian [MT07]) as well as conformal deformations.

In the non-compact case, we can use Theorem 1.3 to recognise the topology of the manifold M . However, there is no uniqueness theorem for a presentation of M as a connected sum of spherical manifolds. Thus as soon as the topology of M becomes intricate, it is unclear how to define a canonical metric.

We shall define a special subset of $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$, consisting of what we call *GL-metrics* (see below). The proof has two parts. In the first part, we show that any two GL-metrics can be connected to each other (modulo diffeomorphism). In the second part, we show that any metric in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ can be deformed into a GL-metric. We use arguments similar to those of [Mar12], based on the version of Ricci flow with surgery developed in [BBM11].

1.4. Main technical results

To make the above discussion more precise, we need to define GL-metrics. In order to do this, we need a topological notion, that of a *spherical splitting* of a 3-manifold, and the geometric notion of *straightness* with respect to a Riemannian metric.

Definition 1.6. Let M be a 3-manifold. A *spherical system* in M is a (possibly empty) locally finite collection \mathcal{S} of pairwise disjoint embedded 2-spheres in M . The members of \mathcal{S} are called its *components*. We denote by $M \setminus \mathcal{S}$ the complement in M of the union of all components of \mathcal{S} .

A spherical system \mathcal{S} in M is called a *spherical splitting* if each connected component of $M \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is relatively compact in M .

In particular, the empty set is a spherical splitting if and only if M is compact.

Let g be a Riemannian metric on M . An embedded 2-sphere $S \subset M$ is called *straight with respect to g* (or just *straight* when the metric is understood) if S has an open tubular neighbourhood U , called a *straight tube*, such that there is an isometry $f : U_0 \rightarrow U$,

where U_0 is a Riemannian product of a round 2-sphere with an interval, and the preimage of S has the form $S^2 \times \{*\}$. A spherical system is called *straight with respect to g* if all of its components are.

Definition 1.7. Let M be a 3-manifold. A Riemannian metric $g \in \mathcal{R}_+^{\text{bg}}(M)$ is called a *GL-metric* if M admits a spherical splitting which is straight with respect to g .

We say that two metrics $g, g' \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ are *isotopic* if there exists a continuous path $g_t \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $g_0 = g$ and $g_1 = g'$. We say that g, g' are *isotopic modulo diffeomorphism* if g is isotopic to a metric that is isometric to g' . One of the main technical results of this paper is the following:

Theorem A. *Let M be a 3-manifold and $g, g' \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ be two GL-metrics. Then g is isotopic to g' modulo diffeomorphism.*

In order to state our second main technical result, we introduce more notation and terminology. For $C > 0$ we say that a metric on M has *geometry bounded by C* if the norm of the curvature tensor is $\leq C$ and the injectivity radius is $\geq C^{-1/2}$. Given $\sigma, C > 0$ we denote by $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^C(M)$ the set of complete Riemannian metrics on M which have scalar curvature $\geq \sigma$ and geometry bounded by C . Two metrics $g, g' \in \mathcal{R}_\sigma^C(M)$ are said to be *isotopic in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^C(M)$* if there exists a continuous path $g_t \in \mathcal{R}_\sigma^C(M)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $g_0 = g$ and $g_1 = g'$.

Theorem B. *For every $A > 0$ there exists $B = B(A) \geq A$ such that for every 3-manifold M and every metric $g \in \mathcal{R}_1^A(M)$, there exists a GL-metric $g' \in \mathcal{R}_1^B(M)$ which is isotopic to g in $\mathcal{R}_1^B(M)$.*

It is immediate that Theorems A and B together imply Theorem 1.4.

Remark 1.8. Theorem B is stronger than what is needed to prove Theorem 1.4 since we have a uniform bound on the geometry. If Theorem A could be similarly improved, i.e. if one could show that for each $A > 0$ there is $B \geq A$ such that for any 3-manifold M , any two GL-metrics in $\mathcal{R}_1^A(M)$ are isotopic in $\mathcal{R}_1^B(M)$, then one would get the stronger conclusion that for every $A > 0$ there is a $B \geq A$ such that the map $\mathcal{R}_1^A(M)/\text{Diff}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^B(M)/\text{Diff}(M)$ induced by inclusion is 0-connected.

Let us denote by $\text{Diff}^+(M)$ the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of M . One of the main ingredients for proving Theorem A is a version of the main result of [Mar12] which is slightly different from Theorem 1.2. We state it below for future reference.

Theorem 1.9. *Let M be a closed, oriented 3-manifold such that $\mathcal{R}_1(M) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{R}_1(M)/\text{Diff}^+(M)$ is path-connected in the C^∞ topology.*

An explanation of how to deduce this from the arguments in [Mar12] is given in Appendix B.

The proof of Theorem A relies on cut-and-paste arguments, which in our context means surgeries and GL-sums. Let us sketch this proof. Fix an orientation of M and consider two GL-metrics g, g' on M . Suppose first that g, g' have a common straight splitting $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\alpha\}$, and that g coincides with g' on a neighbourhood of \mathcal{S} . Perform metric surgeries along \mathcal{S} , cutting and gluing back standard caps. The resulting manifold $M_\#$ carries two metrics $g_\#$ and $g'_\#$ of positive scalar curvature coming from g and g' respectively. All the connected components of $M_\#$ are closed. Theorem 1.9 applied to each component of $M_\#$ gives an isotopy modulo positive diffeomorphisms between $g_\#$ and $g'_\#$. Although we do not a priori have a uniform upper bound on the geometry along this path of metrics, a reparametrisation trick (cf. Subsection 4.1) allows us to perform GL-sums so as to obtain a path in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ connecting g to g' modulo diffeomorphism. In the general case of two arbitrary GL-metrics g, g' , the idea is to deform one of them so as to obtain two metrics which coincide near a straight splitting, as above. The proof is also by reduction to the compact case.

The proof of Theorem B uses *surgical solutions*, a version of Ricci flow with surgery developed in [BBM11]. Starting from a Riemannian manifold (M_0, g_0) with bounded geometry, it produces a sequence $(M_k, g_k(t))_{t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}]}$ of Ricci flows with uniformly bounded geometry, the jump from one interval to the next being realised by performing metric surgeries and discarding components with ‘nice’ metrics. When the scalar curvature of the initial metric satisfies $R_{g_0} \geq 1$, the solution becomes extinct in finite time ($M_k = \emptyset$ for some k), and the last metric before extinction is nice enough so that it is straightforward to isotope it to some GL-metric. The construction of the isotopy to g_0 then uses a backward induction argument similar to the one of [Mar12], performing GL-sums of paths to GL-metrics. A technical issue here is to realise this while keeping uniform bounds on the geometry. This requires arguments which are more involved than the ones needed for Theorem A, and are carried out in Section 6.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we define the notion of GL-sum of Riemannian manifolds and prove some useful results about it. Section 3 is devoted to metric surgery. Theorem A is proven in Section 4. In Section 5 we discuss surgical solutions of Ricci flow. Finally, Sections 6 and 7 contain the proof of Theorem B.

2. GL-sums

In this section we give some details on the Gromov–Lawson connected sum construction from [GL80] (see also [Mar12]), which we adapt to our context of non-compact manifolds.

2.1. Topological aspects

Let M be a (possibly disconnected) oriented 3-manifold. Let $\{(p_\alpha^-, p_\alpha^+)\}_\alpha$ be a finite or countable family of pairs of points of M . Assume that all these points are distinct and denote by \mathcal{P} the set of all these points. Further assume that every point of \mathcal{P} is isolated. Choose a family of pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods U_α^\pm around these points, each diffeomorphic to the closed 3-ball. Denote by $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{U_\alpha^\pm\})$ the manifold obtained by

removing the interior of the U_α^\pm 's and for each α , gluing together ∂U_α^- and ∂U_α^+ along an orientation-reversing diffeomorphism. Since the space of orientation-reversing diffeomorphisms of S^2 is path-connected, the diffeomorphism type of this manifold does not depend on the choice of gluing diffeomorphisms. We refrain from calling $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{U_\alpha^\pm\})$ a 'connected sum', since it need *not* be connected.

Remark 2.1. This construction is more general than the notion of connected sum along a graph from [BBM11] described in the introduction of this paper in two respects: the pieces need not be compact, and the resulting manifold need not be connected. The motivation for this greater generality comes from surgical solutions: this operation is the one needed to reconstruct the manifold before surgery from the manifold after surgery in the backward inductive arguments in Section 7.

The manifold $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{U_\alpha^\pm\})$ may a priori depend on the choice of the points $\{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ and the open sets $\{U_\alpha^\pm\}$. First we show that, up to diffeomorphism, it does not depend on $\{U_\alpha^\pm\}$:

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be an oriented 3-manifold and $\{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ be as above. Let $\{U_\alpha^\pm\}$ (resp. $\{V_\alpha^\pm\}$) be a family of pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods of the points p_α^\pm , each of which is diffeomorphic to a closed 3-ball. Then $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{U_\alpha^\pm\})$ is diffeomorphic to $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{V_\alpha^\pm\})$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $V_\alpha^\pm \subset U_\alpha^\pm$ for every α . By Alexander's theorem, $U_\alpha^\pm \setminus V_\alpha^\pm$ is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times [0, 1]$. Hence $M \setminus \bigcup U_\alpha^\pm$ is diffeomorphic to $M \setminus \bigcup V_\alpha^\pm$. \square

As a result, we will sometimes abuse notation by writing $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\})$ for $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{U_\alpha^\pm\})$.

By contrast, the diffeomorphism type of $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\})$ may in fact depend on the choice of basepoints. We illustrate this in the following example.

Example. Let M be the disjoint union of $M_0 = S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and an infinite sequence $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of copies of $\mathbb{R}P^3$. Choose a point p_i^- in each X_i and a sequence of distinct points p_i^+ in M_0 exiting every compact set. Let us denote by L (resp. R) the half-cylinder $S^2 \times (-\infty, 0]$ (resp. $S^2 \times (0, \infty)$). We distinguish three cases:

- If all but finitely many of the p_i^+ 's are in L , we obtain a manifold $M_\#^1$.
- If all but finitely many of the p_i^+ 's are in R , we obtain a manifold $M_\#^2$.
- Otherwise we call the result $M_\#^3$.

Then $M_\#^1$ is not diffeomorphic to $M_\#^3$, since $S^2 \times [0, \infty)$ properly embeds into $M_\#^1$ but not into $M_\#^3$. The manifolds $M_\#^1$ and $M_\#^2$ are diffeomorphic, although Proposition 2.3 below does not apply.

We now show that the construction does not depend on the choice of basepoints under an additional hypothesis:

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be an oriented 3-manifold and $\{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ and $\{q_\alpha^\pm\}$ be two families of pairs of points as above. Suppose that there is a locally finite family of pairs of continuous curves $\{\gamma_\alpha^\pm\}$, parametrised by $[0, 1]$ and such that for each α , we have $\gamma_\alpha^+(0) = p_\alpha^+$, $\gamma_\alpha^+(1) = q_\alpha^+$, $\gamma_\alpha^-(0) = p_\alpha^-$, and $\gamma_\alpha^-(1) = q_\alpha^-$. Then $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\})$ is diffeomorphic to $M_\#(\{q_\alpha^\pm\})$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the curves γ_α^\pm are either constant maps or embeddings. Furthermore we may assume that they are pairwise disjoint except maybe at the endpoints. By symmetry it is sufficient to prove that $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\})$ and $M_\#(\{\gamma_\alpha^\pm(1/2)\})$ are diffeomorphic.

Let $\{W_\alpha^\pm\}$ be a family of closed pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods of $\gamma_\alpha^\pm([0, 1/2])$ diffeomorphic to a closed 3-ball. It is clear then that $M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{W_\alpha^\pm\})$ is diffeomorphic to $M_\#(\{\gamma_\alpha^\pm(1/2)\}, \{W_\alpha^\pm\})$. \square

2.2. GL-parameters and GL-sums

We present below the construction of connected sums with precise control of the scalar curvature. We restrict the discussion to 3-manifolds, although part of the construction works in any dimension $n \geq 3$.

Definition 2.4. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian 3-manifold, p be a point of M and $\{e_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. A triple (ρ_0, σ, η) of positive real numbers is called a *set of GL parameters at p* (with respect to g) if the following requirements are met:

- $\rho_0 \leq \min\{\frac{1}{2}\text{inj}_M(p), 1\}$;
- the scalar curvature of g is greater than σ on the ball $B_{\rho_0}(p)$;
- the C^2 norm of g in exponential coordinates in the basis $\{e_k\}$ is bounded from above by η^{-1} .

The motivation comes from the following result, which is essentially due to Gromov and Lawson, although the precise control of the geometry is not made explicit in their paper.

Proposition 2.5. *For all $\rho_0, \sigma, \eta > 0$ there exists $\rho_2 = \rho_2(\rho_0, \sigma, \eta) \in (0, \rho_0)$ such that the following holds. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian 3-manifold of positive scalar curvature, p be a point of M and $\{e_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. If (ρ_0, σ, η) is a set of GL-parameters at p , then there is a metric g' on $B_{\rho_0}(p) \setminus \{p\}$ with the following properties:*

- the metric g' coincides with g near $\partial B_{\rho_0}(p)$;
- $(B_{\rho_2}(p) \setminus \{p\}, g')$ is isometric to a half-cylinder;
- the scalar curvature of g' is greater than $\frac{9}{10}\sigma$.

Moreover, if $|D^k \text{Rm}_g| \leq C_k$ on $B_{\rho_0}(p)$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \bar{k}\}$, then $|D^k \text{Rm}_{g'}| \leq C'_k$ on $B_{\rho_0}(p) \setminus \{p\}$, where C'_k depends on C_0, \dots, C_k and ρ_0, σ, η .

Proof. The main step is the construction of a submanifold M' of the Riemannian product $B_{\rho_0}(p) \times \mathbb{R}$. This manifold is obtained by revolution along the \mathbb{R} axis of a carefully chosen planar curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. We identify $B_{\rho_0}(p)$ with $B_{\rho_0}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ using exponential normal coordinates in the basis $\{e_k\}$. This being fixed, [GL80] proves the existence of a curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with the following properties:

- (1) the image of γ is contained in the region $\{(\rho, t) : \rho \geq 0, t \geq 0\}$;
- (2) the image of γ contains the horizontal half-line $\{\rho \geq \rho_1, t = 0\}$ for some $0 < \rho_1 < \rho_0$;
- (3) the image of γ contains the vertical half-line $\{\rho = \rho_2, t \geq t_2\}$ for some $0 < \rho_2 < \rho_1$ and $t_2 > 0$;
- (4) the induced metric on $M' = \{(x, t) : (|x|, t) \in \gamma\}$ as a submanifold of the Riemannian product $B_{\rho_0}(p) \times \mathbb{R}$ has positive scalar curvature.

The curve γ satisfying (1)–(4) is not unique. Inspecting the construction in [GL80, pp. 425–429], we see that the choice of γ can be refined so that M' has scalar curvature greater than $\frac{9}{10}\sigma$.

By choosing the radius ρ_2 small enough depending on η , the induced metric on $M' \cap \{t \geq t_2\}$ can be made arbitrarily close to the cylindrical metric on $S_{\rho_2}^2(0) \times [0, \infty)$, where $S_{\rho_2}^2(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the standard sphere of radius ρ_2 . Using a cutoff function, we can deform the induced metric on $M' \cap \{t_2 \leq t \leq t_3\}$, for some $t_3 > t_2$, into a metric $g_{M'}$ which agrees with the original metric for $t \leq t_2$ and is isometric to $S_{\rho_2}^2(0) \times [t_3, \infty)$ when $t \geq t_3$. Identifying $B_{\rho_0}(p) \setminus \{p\} \approx B_{\rho_0}(0) \setminus \{0\}$ and M' in such a way that $S_{\rho_2}(p) = \partial B_{\rho_2}(p)$ corresponds to $M' \cap \{t = t_3 + 1\}$, we obtain the required metric g' on $B_{\rho_0}(p) \setminus \{p\}$ by pulling back $g_{M'}$. Once $\gamma(\rho_0, \sigma, \eta)$ and the cutoff function are chosen, the estimates on the derivatives of the curvature of g' follow from the definition of M' as a submanifold of the product $B_{\rho_0}(p) \times \mathbb{R}$. \square

Throughout the paper, we fix for each triple (ρ_0, σ, η) a curve $\gamma = \gamma(\rho_0, \sigma, \eta)$ satisfying properties (1)–(4) in the proof of Proposition 2.5, in such a way that for given positive numbers $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\eta}$, whenever $\rho_0 \geq \bar{\rho}, \sigma \geq \bar{\sigma}$ and $\eta \geq \bar{\eta}$, then g' is controlled in terms of the geometry of g and $\bar{\rho}, \bar{\sigma}, \bar{\eta}$. We call such γ 's *GL-curves*.

We now define the notion of GL-sum. Let C, σ be two positive constants and (M, g) be an oriented Riemannian 3-manifold with geometry bounded by C and scalar curvature greater than σ . Let $\{(p_\alpha^-, p_\alpha^+)\}_\alpha$ be a finite or countable family of pairs of points of M . For each α and each $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$ fix a positive orthonormal basis $\{e_{\alpha,k}^\epsilon\}$ at $T_{p_\alpha^\epsilon}M$. Let $\{(\rho_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha, \eta_\alpha)\}_\alpha$ be a family of triples of positive real numbers such that for each α , the triple $(\rho_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha, \eta_\alpha)$ is a set of GL-parameters at both p_α^+ and p_α^- . Further assume that the balls $B_{\rho_\alpha}(p_\alpha^\pm)$ are all pairwise disjoint, and that $\inf \rho_\alpha = \rho > 0$ and $\inf \eta_\alpha = \eta > 0$ (one also has $\inf \sigma_\alpha \geq \sigma$). For each α , let $\rho_{2,\alpha} = \rho_{2,\alpha}(\rho_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha, \eta_\alpha)$ be given by Proposition 2.5 and denote by U_α^\pm the closure of $B(p_\alpha^\pm, \rho_{2,\alpha})$.

Then the *GL-sum* associated to the above data is the Riemannian 3-manifold $(M_\#, g_\#)$, where $M_\# = M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{U_\alpha^\pm\})$ is the manifold defined in Subsection 2.1, and $g_\#$ is as follows: for each α , we glue together $(B_{\rho_0}(p_\alpha^+) \setminus B_{\rho_2}(p_\alpha^+), g')$ and $(B_{\rho_0}(p_\alpha^-) \setminus B_{\rho_2}(p_\alpha^-), g')$ (with the metric g' given in each case by Proposition 2.5) by identifying their boundaries, which are isometric to $S_{\rho_2}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, by the orientation-reversing isometry obtained by composing exponential maps and the identification of the tangent spaces given by the linear map sending $\{e_{\alpha,1}^+, e_{\alpha,2}^+, e_{\alpha,3}^+\}$ to $\{-e_{\alpha,1}^-, e_{\alpha,2}^-, e_{\alpha,3}^-\}$.

Sometimes we will use the notation $(M, g)_\#$ for $(M_\#, g_\#)$. When we need to be more precise, we will specify the basepoints and use the notation $(M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}), g_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}))$ or $(M, g)_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\})$, or even indicate all parameters: $(M, g)_\#(\{(\rho_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha, \eta_\alpha)\}, \{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{e_{k,\alpha}^\pm\})$. In the special case of a classical connected sum $M_1 \# M_2$ we use the notation $g_1 \# g_2$.

Remark 2.6. By construction, each sphere along which some gluing has been done is straight with respect to $g_\#$. As a consequence, if $(M_\#, g_\#)$ is a GL-sum of closed manifolds, then $g_\#$ is a GL-metric (cf. Definition 1.7.)

Observe that the metric $g_\#$ has scalar curvature $\geq \frac{9}{10}\sigma$, and geometry bounded by a constant C' depending only on C, ρ, σ, η . However, the bounded geometry of (M, g) alone does not suffice to control η . In order to do this, we need bounds on the derivative of the curvature.

From Hamilton [Ham95, Corollaries 4.11 and 4.12] we have the following:

Lemma 2.7. *For each $n \geq 2$ there is a universal constant $c(n) \in (0, 1/2)$ with the following property. Let C_0, C_1, C_2 be positive numbers and let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold such that $|D^k \text{Rm}| \leq C_k$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$. Then the C^2 norm of g in exponential coordinates is bounded from above in $B_{c(n)C_0^{-1/2}}(p)$ by a constant depending only on C_0, C_1, C_2 .*

Therefore when $|D^k \text{Rm}| \leq C_k, k = 0, 1, 2$, and $\rho_\alpha < c(3)C_0^{-1/2}$, we have a positive lower bound for η . In the context of a Ricci flow with bounded curvature, the extra bounds on the derivatives of the curvature are provided by the so-called Shi estimates [Shi89]. We note that the GL-sum construction preserves bounds on the derivatives of the curvature, as remarked in Proposition 2.5. Therefore starting from a metric with bounds on the derivatives of the curvature, Lemma 2.7 and Proposition 2.5 imply that one can iterate the GL construction keeping the geometry under control.

2.3. Continuity of the GL-sum construction

We give below a generalisation of [Mar12, Proposition 6.1] that we will need.

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian 3-manifold and \bar{k} be a natural number. Given a finite family $A_k, k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \bar{k}\}$, of positive real numbers, we denote $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}} = (A_k)_{k=0}^{\bar{k}}$ and we say that g has *geometry bounded by $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}$* if $\text{inj}(g) \geq A_0^{-1/2}$ and $|D^k \text{Rm}(g)| \leq A_k$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \bar{k}\}$. Generalising the definition of the space $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^C(M)$, we denote by $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}}(M)$ the space of complete Riemannian metrics on M with scalar curvature $\geq \sigma$ and geometry bounded by $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}$. In Section 5.2 we will fix $\bar{k} = \lceil \epsilon^{-1} \rceil$ (the integer part of ϵ^{-1}), where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small parameter depending on the interpolation lemmas of [Mar12] and on the metric surgery process. We may denote $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A}_{\lceil \epsilon^{-1} \rceil}$, omitting the index. Given $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}$ and $n \leq \bar{k}$, let $\mathcal{A}_n := (A_k)_{k=0}^n$. With these notations, when g has geometry bounded by \mathcal{A}_2 , Lemma 2.7 gives a lower bound $\eta(\mathcal{A}_2) > 0$ for the parameter η in balls of radius less than $c(3)A_0^{-1/2}$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let \bar{k} be a natural number. For every $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}} = (A_k)_{k=0}^{\bar{k}}, \rho \in (0, \min\{c(3)A_0^{-1/2}, 1\})$, and $\sigma, \eta > 0$, there exist $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}^\# = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}^\#(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}, \rho, \sigma, \eta) = (A_k^\#)_{k=0}^{\bar{k}}$ with the following property. Let M be an oriented 3-manifold and g_t a continuous path of metrics with scalar curvature $\geq \sigma$ and geometry bounded by $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{k}}$. Let $p_{\alpha,t}^\pm$ be continuous paths of points of M , and $\{e_{k,\alpha}^\pm(t)\}$ be continuous paths of positive orthonormal bases of*

$(T_{p_{\alpha,t}^{\pm}} M, g_t)$. Let $(\rho_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha})$ be a triple which is for each t a set of GL-parameters at $p_{\alpha,t}^{\pm}$ with respect to g_t . Suppose that $\rho_{\alpha} \geq \rho$, $\sigma_{\alpha} \geq \sigma$, $\eta_{\alpha} \geq \eta$ and there exist pairwise disjoint closed 3-balls $\{W_{\alpha}^{\pm}\}$ such that $B_{g_t}(p_{\alpha,t}^{\pm}, 2\rho_{\alpha}) \subset W_{\alpha}^{\pm}$ for all t . Let $(M_{\#,t}, g_{\#,t})$ denote the GL-sum $(M, g_t)_{\#}(\{(\rho_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha})\}, \{p_{\alpha,t}^{\pm}\}, \{e_{k,\alpha}^{\pm}(t)\})$. Then there exist positive diffeomorphisms $\phi_t : M_{\#,0} \rightarrow M_{\#,t}$ such that

- (1) ϕ_t is the identity on $M \setminus \bigcup W_{\alpha}^{\pm} \subset M_{\#,0} \cap M_{\#,t}$;
- (2) the pulled-back metrics $\phi_t^*(g_{\#,t})$ define a continuous path on $M_{\#,0}$, with scalar curvature $\geq \frac{9}{10}\sigma$ and geometry bounded by $\mathcal{A}_k^{\#}$;
- (3) $\phi_t^*(g_{\#,t}) = g_t$ on $M \setminus \bigcup W_{\alpha}^{\pm} \subset M_{\#,0}$.

Proof. It is enough to argue in a pair W_{α}^{\pm} . By standard arguments there is an isotopy h_t from W_{α}^{\pm} into itself which is the identity on $\partial W_{\alpha}^{\pm}$ such that

- h_t maps $p_{\alpha,0}^{\pm}$ to $p_{\alpha,t}^{\pm}$;
- $(h_t)_*$ sends $\{e_{k,\alpha}^{\pm}(0)\}$ to $\{e_{k,\alpha}^{\pm}(t)\}$;
- h_t coincides with $\exp_t \circ (h_t)_* \circ \exp_0^{-1}$ from $B_{g_0}(p_{\alpha,0}^{\pm}, \rho_{\alpha})$ to $B_{g_t}(p_{\alpha,t}^{\pm}, \rho_{\alpha})$.

The isotopy is compatible with the identifications of the GL-sum construction and yields the required family of diffeomorphisms. \square

Remark 2.9. It follows that $t \mapsto g_{\#,t}$ is a continuous path in $\mathcal{R}_{9\sigma/10}^{\mathcal{A}_k^{\#}}(M_{\#,0})/\text{Diff}(M_{\#,0})$. Another consequence is that up to isotopy and diffeomorphism, a GL-sum $(M, g)_{\#}(\{(\rho_{\alpha}, \sigma_{\alpha}, \eta_{\alpha})\}, \{p_{\alpha}^{\pm}\}, \{e_{k,\alpha}^{\pm}\})$ does not depend on the positive orthonormal basis $\{e_{k,\alpha}^{\pm}\}$. Therefore we will frequently drop the mention of the basis, which implicitly will always be positive and orthonormal. We observe that taking a negative basis may change the diffeomorphism type of the resulting manifold.

2.4. Two lemmas

For later reference we collect two technical results from [Mar12]. For simplicity let us introduce a notation. If (ρ, σ, η) are GL-parameters with respect to some Riemannian manifold and $\gamma = \gamma(\rho, \sigma, \eta)$ is the associated GL-curve, we denote by $\#_{\gamma}$ the associated GL-sum operation (for some choice of basepoints and of orthonormal bases).

Lemma 2.10 (cf. [Mar12, p. 835]). *Let B^- and B^+ be balls of constant sectional curvature in $(0, A]$, and let $p^{\pm} \in B^{\pm}$. Let $\gamma_1 = \gamma(\rho_1, \sigma_1, \eta_1)$ and $\gamma_2 = \gamma(\rho_2, \sigma_2, \eta_2)$ be two GL-curves, where $(\rho_i, \sigma_i, \eta_i)$ are GL-parameters suitable for both balls, $i = 1, 2$. Then the GL-sums $B^- \#_{\gamma_1} B^+$ and $B^- \#_{\gamma_2} B^+$ performed at p^{\pm} are isotopic, without changing the metric near ∂B^{\pm} , through metrics of scalar curvature $\geq \bar{\sigma} = \min\{\frac{9}{10}\sigma_1, \frac{9}{10}\sigma_2\} > 0$ and geometry bounded by $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \bar{\mathcal{A}}(A, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) < \infty$.*

The following lemma shows that, applying [Mar12, Prop. 3.3], we can continuously deform a standard cylinder in such a way that in its middle it becomes isometric to a subset of a round sphere.

Lemma 2.11 (cf. [Mar12, Prop. 3.3]). *Let (S^3, h) be a standard 3-sphere of scalar curvature σ and let $x \in S^3$. Denote by h' the metric on $S^3 \setminus \{-x, x\}$ obtained by applying the GL-sum construction with parameters (ρ, σ, η) at both x and $-x$, and let $\bar{\sigma}'$ be the (constant) scalar curvature of h' near $\pm x$. Let $(S^2 \times (a, b), g)$ be a standard cylinder of scalar curvature $\bar{\sigma}'$, and let $(a', b') \subset [a, b]$. Then there exists an isotopy (g_t) on the cylinder such that*

- (1) $g_t = g$ on $S^2 \times (a, a')$ and $S^2 \times (b', b)$;
- (2) $g_0 = g$ and $(S^2 \times (a', b'), g_1)$ is isometric to a subset of $(S^3 \setminus \{-x, x\}, h')$;
- (3) each metric g_t has scalar curvature greater than $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}'(\rho, \sigma, \eta) > 0$ and geometry bounded by $\bar{A} = \bar{A}(\rho, \sigma, \eta) < \infty$.

3. Metric surgery

In this section, we recall some notions and results from [BBM11]. Throughout the paper we denote by $d\theta^2$ the round metric of scalar curvature 1 on S^2 , and whenever $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval, we denote by g_{cyl} the product metric $d\theta^2 + ds^2$ on $S^2 \times I$. We also use this notation on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, working in polar coordinates. The origin 0 of \mathbb{R}^3 is called the *tip* and the radial coordinate is denoted by r .

A *standard initial metric* is a complete metric on \mathbb{R}^3 which is rotationally symmetric, has bounded non-negative sectional curvature, is isometric to g_{cyl} on some neighbourhood of infinity and is round on some neighbourhood of the tip. As in [BBM11, Section 3.2] (cf. also [BB⁺10, Section 7.1]), we fix a particular standard initial metric g_u which is cylindrical outside $B(0, 3)$ and round of sectional curvature $1/2$ near the tip. We also fix a smooth, nonincreasing function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with support in $[0, 5]$, and set $g_{\text{std}} = e^{-2f(r)}g_u$. The function f is chosen so that metric surgery is distance-nonincreasing and preserves the so-called Hamilton–Ivey pinching property, none of which is important in the present paper. The metric g_{std} is also a standard initial metric. It has scalar curvature ≥ 1 everywhere and positive sectional curvature on $B(0, 5)$.

Let g be a Riemannian metric on $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$ which is ε -close to the metric g_{cyl} in the $C^{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}$ topology. We now describe a surgery operation which turns $(S^2 \times (-4, 4), g)$ into a Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{S}^- \sqcup \mathcal{S}^+, g_{\text{surg}})$, where $\mathcal{S}^- \sqcup \mathcal{S}^+$ is a disjoint union of copies of the open ball $B(0, 9) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ and the metric g_{surg} is defined as follows. Let $\psi^- : S^2 \times (-4, 4) \rightarrow B(0, 9)$ be the embedding given by $(\theta, s) \mapsto (5 - s, \theta)$ in polar coordinates. Fix a function $\chi : \bar{B}(0, 9) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\chi \equiv 0$ on $B(0, 3)$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ outside $B(0, 4)$.

Let g_{surg}^- be the Riemannian metric on \mathcal{S}^- defined as follows:

$$g_{\text{surg}}^- = \begin{cases} g_{\text{std}} & \text{on } B(0, 3), \\ \chi e^{-2f}(\psi^-)_*g + (1 - \chi)g_{\text{std}} & \text{when } 3 \leq r \leq 4, \\ e^{-2f}(\psi^-)_*g & \text{when } 4 \leq r \leq 5, \\ (\psi^-)_*g & \text{when } 5 \leq r \leq 9. \end{cases}$$

If $g = g_{\text{cyl}}$, one can check that $g_{\text{surg}}^- = g_{\text{std}}$. More generally, g_{surg}^- is $\delta'(\varepsilon)$ -close to g_{std} for some $\delta'(\varepsilon)$ going to zero with ε . Notice that the metric on $S^2 \times (-4, 0)$ remains

unchanged (up to a diffeomorphism). This construction thus amounts to capping off an ‘almost standard cylinder’.

Likewise, we define a metric g_{surg}^+ on \mathcal{S}^+ using the embedding $\psi^+ : S^2 \times (-4, 4) \rightarrow B(0, 9)$ given in polar coordinates by $(\theta, s) \mapsto (5 + s, \theta)$. Finally, we let g_{surg} be the metric on $\mathcal{S}^- \sqcup \mathcal{S}^+$ whose restriction to \mathcal{S}^- (resp. to \mathcal{S}^+) is g_{surg}^- (resp. g_{surg}^+).

From [BBM11, section 3.3], we get the following result. Let $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be the number defined in [BBM11, Lemma 3.6].

Theorem 3.1. *There exists $\delta_0 \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon_0}{10})$ and a function $\delta' : (0, \delta_0] \rightarrow (0, \varepsilon_0/10)$ going to zero at zero with the following property. Let $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and let g be a metric on $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$ which is δ -close to g_{cyl} . Then the Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{S}^-, g_{\text{surg}}^-)$ (resp. $(\mathcal{S}^+, g_{\text{surg}}^+)$) has the following properties:*

- (1) *All sectional curvatures are positive on $B(0, 4)$.*
- (2) *The scalar curvature is $\geq R_g$ on $r^{-1}((4, 9))$.*
- (3) *The smallest eigenvalue of the curvature operator of g_{surg}^\pm is larger than or equal to the smallest eigenvalue of Rm_g on $r^{-1}((4, 9))$.*
- (4) *The metric g_{surg}^\pm is $\delta'(\delta)$ -close to g_{std} .*

Our next goal is to define metric surgery on a neck in a Riemannian manifold. For technical reasons, it is useful to allow the length of the neck to vary.

Definition 3.2. Let ε, L be positive real numbers and (M, g) be a Riemannian 3-manifold. An (ε, L) -neck in M is an open subset $N \subset M$ for which there is a $\mathcal{C}^{[\varepsilon^{-1}]+2}$ diffeomorphism $\phi : S^2 \times (-L, L) \rightarrow N$, called a *parametrisation*, and a number $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda\phi^*g$ is ε -close to g_{cyl} in the $\mathcal{C}^{[\varepsilon^{-1}]+2}$ topology (defined by g_{cyl}). The set $\phi(S^2 \times \{0\})$ is called the *middle sphere* of N . When $L = \varepsilon^{-1}$ we simply say that N is an ε -neck.

We recall that ε -closeness in the $\mathcal{C}^{[\varepsilon^{-1}]+2}$ topology between the metrics yields control on the derivatives of the curvature up to order $[\varepsilon^{-1}]$. In what follows, ε -closeness will always be understood in this topology.

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian 3-manifold, and $N \subset M$ be an $(\varepsilon, 4)$ -neck. Let ϕ, λ be as above and S be the middle sphere of the neck. We let *metric surgery on N* (or along S) be the procedure of replacing (M, g) by the Riemannian manifold (M_+, g_+) , where

$$M_+ = ((M \setminus S) \sqcup \mathcal{S}^- \sqcup \mathcal{S}^+)/\sim$$

identifying $\phi(S^2 \times (-4, 0])$ with $\mathcal{S}^- \setminus B(0, 5)$ via $\psi^- \circ \phi^{-1}$ and $\phi(S^2 \times [0, 4))$ with $\mathcal{S}^+ \setminus B(0, 5)$ via $\psi^+ \circ \phi^{-1}$, and

$$\begin{cases} g_+ = g & \text{on } M \setminus S, \\ g_+ = \lambda^{-1}(\lambda\phi^*g)_{\text{surg}} & \text{on } \mathcal{S}^- \sqcup \mathcal{S}^+. \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.3. If g is \mathcal{C}^∞ -smooth, then the manifold (M_+, g_+) is $\mathcal{C}^{[\varepsilon^{-1}]+2}$ -smooth. Furthermore if g satisfies $|D^k \text{Rm}| \leq A_k$ for $k \leq [\varepsilon^{-1}]$, then g_+ satisfies $|D^k \text{Rm}| \leq B_k$ for $k \leq [\varepsilon^{-1}]$, where $\mathcal{B} = \{B_k\}$ depends on $\mathcal{A} = \{A_k\}$ only.

Remark 3.4. The value 4 above can be replaced by any positive value L (replacing \mathcal{S}^\pm with $B(0, 5 + L)$ if $L < 4$). In particular this works for $L = \varepsilon^{-1}$, so that we have defined the notion of surgery on an ε -neck.

In some sense, the metric surgery process can be reversed by the GL-sum construction, as shown by the following result. Choose orientations on the manifolds $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$, \mathcal{S}^- and \mathcal{S}^+ in such a way that the diffeomorphisms ψ^- and ψ^+ are positive. Let $\mathcal{S}^- \# \mathcal{S}^+$ be the connected sum with basepoints the tips. We choose once and for all an identification between $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$ and $\mathcal{S}^- \# \mathcal{S}^+$ which coincides with ψ^- and ψ^+ near the boundary (as in [Mar12, proof of Lemma 6.2]). This does not depend on the choice of orientations. Let ε_3 be the constant given by [Mar12, Lemma 6.3]. From that lemma we get

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_3)$. Let g be a Riemannian metric on $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$ which is ε -close to g_{cyl} on $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$. Then $(\mathcal{S}^- \# \mathcal{S}^+, g_{\text{surg}}^- \# g_{\text{surg}}^+)$ can be continuously deformed back into $(S^2 \times (-4, 4), g)$ through metrics which all coincide with g near the ends of $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$, have scalar curvature greater than $9/10$ and geometry bounded by some $\mathcal{B}_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}$.*

If N is an $(\varepsilon, 4)$ -neck we use its parametrisation to identify it with $\mathcal{S}^- \# \mathcal{S}^+$. Finally, if (M_+, g_+) is obtained from (M, g) by surgery in a family of disjoint necks, then we can identify $(M_+)_{\#}$ with M canonically. This identification is the identity on the complement of the necks. The above lemma shows that $(g_+)_{\#}$ is isotopic to g .

4. Connecting two GL-metrics: proof of Theorem A

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem A. This theorem follows immediately from Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 below, which are proven in Subsections 4.1 and 4.2 respectively.

Given a spherical splitting $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\alpha\}$ of a 3-manifold M and two metrics g, h on M , we will say that $g = h$ near \mathcal{S} if for every α there is a neighbourhood of S_α on which g and h coincide.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a non-compact 3-manifold. Let (g, h, \mathcal{S}) be a triple such that g and h are GL-metrics on M that belong to $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$, and \mathcal{S} is a spherical splitting which is straight for both g and h . Suppose that $g = h$ near \mathcal{S} . Then g is isotopic to h modulo diffeomorphism.*

Proposition 4.2. *Let M be a non-compact 3-manifold, let $g, g' \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ be two GL-metrics on M and let \mathcal{S}' be a spherical splitting straight for g' . Then there exists a metric $h \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$, isotopic to g modulo diffeomorphism, such that $h = g'$ near \mathcal{S}' . (In particular, \mathcal{S}' is straight for h .)*

4.1. Proof of Lemma 4.1

We start with a lemma which allows us to combine paths of metrics defined on compact submanifolds in an exhaustion. In what follows, the *support* of a path of metrics g_t on a manifold M is the closure of the subset of M where $t \mapsto g_t$ fails to be constant.

Lemma 4.3. *Let M be a 3-manifold and C be a positive constant. Let $\emptyset = K_{-1} \subset K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \dots$ be an exhaustion of M by compact submanifolds. Suppose we are given a sequence of continuous paths $h^{(\ell)} : [\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ such that*

- (i) *for each ℓ we have $h^{(\ell)}(\frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}) = h^{(\ell+1)}(\frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2})$;*
- (ii) *for each ℓ , the path $h^{(\ell)}$ has support in $K_\ell \setminus K_{\ell-1}$;*
- (iii) *for each ℓ , the metric $h^{(\ell)}(\frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2})$ has geometry bounded by C .*

Then there is a unique continuous path $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ which extends the $h^{(\ell)}$'s. Furthermore, $h(1)$ has geometry bounded by C .

Proof. By (i) there is a unique extension h of the paths $h^{(\ell)}$ to the interval $[0, 1)$. By (ii), $h(t)$ has a limit in the C_{loc}^∞ topology when t goes to 1. We set $h(1)$ equal to this limit, thus obtaining a continuous map defined on $[0, 1]$. Condition (iii) ensures that $h(1)$ has geometry bounded by C . \square

Remark 4.4. A typical application of Lemma 4.3 is as follows: M is a disjoint union of closed manifolds M_ℓ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$; we have a metric $g \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$, which we wish to deform to another metric with nice properties, and we are given a collection of paths $g^{(\ell)} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1(M_\ell)$ such that $g^{(\ell)}(0) \equiv g$ on M_ℓ for all ℓ , and all final metrics $g^{(\ell)}(1)$ have geometry uniformly bounded by some constant C . We first reparametrise those paths so that the domain of $g^{(\ell)}$ is $[\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}]$ for every ℓ . We then extend them to continuous paths $h^{(\ell)} : [\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ constant outside M_ℓ . We stay in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ due to the hypothesis that g has bounded geometry together with the fact that each path has compact support. Note that we are *not* claiming that the paths have image in $\mathcal{R}_1^{C'}(M)$ for a uniform C' . We then set $K_\ell := \bigcup_{k \leq \ell} M_k$ for all ℓ and apply Lemma 4.3 to obtain the global deformation of g .

Note that the ‘obvious’ way of combining the paths, without reparametrising, could lead to a path of metrics which does not stay in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$.

Below, we sometimes have paths of metrics which lie in $\mathcal{R}_{9/10}^{\text{bg}}(M)$ rather than $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$, because we take GL-sums. This is not a problem because of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5. *Let M be a 3-manifold. Let g, g' be two metrics in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$. If there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that g, g' are isotopic in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\text{bg}}(M)$, then g, g' are isotopic in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$.*

Proof. Let g_t be an isotopy from g to g' in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\text{bg}}(M)$. For each t we have $\sqrt{\sigma} g_t \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$. Hence we can isotope g linearly to $\sqrt{\sigma} g$, follow $\sqrt{\sigma} g_t$, and finally isotope $\sqrt{\sigma} g'$ to g' . \square

The following technical result will allow us to obtain an isotopy on a GL-sum manifold from isotopies on its components.

Lemma 4.6. *Let M be an oriented 3-manifold which is a disjoint union of closed manifolds. Let $\{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ and $\{q_\alpha^\pm\}$ be two families of pairs of points of M such that for every $\epsilon \in \{\pm\}$ and every α , the points p_α^ϵ and q_α^ϵ lie in the same connected component of M .*

Let g_t be a path of metrics in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$. Let ρ and η be positive numbers such that $(\rho, 1, \eta)$ is a set of GL-parameters at $\{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ with respect to g_0 (resp. at $\{q_\alpha^\pm\}$ with respect to g_1) and such that the 2ρ -balls for g_0 centred at $\{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ (resp. the 2ρ -balls for g_1 centred at $\{q_\alpha^\pm\}$) are round and pairwise disjoint.

Then there is a continuous path of metrics $g_\#(t)$ on $M_\# = M_\#(\{(\rho, 1, \eta)\}, \{p_\alpha^\pm\})$ with scalar curvature greater than $9/10$ and bounded geometry such that $g_\#(0) = (g_0)_\#(\{(\rho, 1, \eta)\}, \{p_\alpha^\pm\})$ and $(M_\#, g_\#(1))$ is isometric to $(M, g_1)_\#(\{(\rho, 1, \eta)\}, \{q_\alpha^\pm\})$.

Proof. In the compact case this follows from Proposition 2.8, so we assume that M is non-compact and denote by $\{M_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ its components, which by hypothesis are closed. We begin by some reductions.

Up to slightly moving points of $\{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ and applying Proposition 2.8 to get a corresponding deformation of $(g_0)_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\})$, we can assume that for all $x \in \{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ and $y \in \{q_\alpha^\pm\}$ one has $x \neq y$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that the support of the restriction of g_t to the interval $[\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}]$ is contained in M_ℓ . As g_0 and g_1 have geometry bounded by a constant C , it follows that g_t has geometry bounded by a constant depending on ℓ when $t \in [\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}]$.

We then deform $(M_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}), (g_0)_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\})) = (M, g_0)_\#$, up to diffeomorphism, as follows. We apply Lemma 2.11 to deform each GL-neck $B_{g_0}(p^-, \rho) \# B_{g_0}(p^+, \rho)$, where $p^\pm \in \{p_\alpha^\pm\}$, into the GL-sum

$$B_{g_0}(p^-, \rho) \# S^3(p^\pm) \# B_{g_0}(p^+, \rho)$$

where $S^3(p^\pm)$ is a round 3-sphere of scalar curvature 1, and the GL-sum is made at $\{(p^-, x(p^-)), (p^+, x(p^+))\}$ where $x(p^-) = -x(p^+) \in S^3(p^\pm)$. This has the effect of replacing $(M, g_0)_\#$ by the GL-sum $(M \sqcup X, g_0 \sqcup g_X)_\#(\{(p_\alpha^-, x(p_\alpha^-)), (p_\alpha^+, x(p_\alpha^+))\}_\alpha)$, where (X, g_X) is the disjoint union of the round 3-spheres $S^3(p_\alpha^\pm)$. This allows us to consider the GL-sums made on a component (M_ℓ, g_t) independently of the other components of M , the component M_ℓ being connected to a fixed disjoint union of 3-spheres along the path.

We now begin the construction of the isotopy, with initial point the manifold $(M \sqcup X, g_0 \sqcup g_X)_\#$. In every component M_ℓ , choose pairwise disjoint paths $[0, 1] \rightarrow p_{\alpha,t}^\epsilon$, constant outside $[\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}]$, connecting p_α^ϵ to q_α^ϵ (the paths are disjoint thanks to the first simplification above; we also choose paths of positive orthonormal bases which we do not mention). By compactness of $M_\ell \times [\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}]$, there exist $\rho^{(\ell)}, \eta^{(\ell)} > 0$ such that $(\rho^{(\ell)}, 1, \eta^{(\ell)})$ is a set of GL-parameters at $p_{\alpha,t}^\epsilon$ with respect to every metric g_t such that $t \in [\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}]$. Moreover, we choose $\rho^{(\ell)}$ small enough so that there exists a family of pairwise disjoint 3-balls $W_\alpha^{\epsilon,(\ell)} \subset M_\ell$ such that $B_{g_t}(p_{\alpha,t}^\epsilon, 2\rho^{(\ell)}) \subset W_\alpha^{\epsilon,(\ell)}$ for all $t \in [\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}]$.

For each $t \in [\frac{\ell}{\ell+1}, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}]$, define the Riemannian manifold $(M_{\#,t}^{(\ell)}, g_{\#,t}^{(\ell)})$ as the GL-sum $(M \sqcup X, g_t \sqcup g_X)_\#(\{(p_\alpha^-, x(p_\alpha^-)), (p_{\alpha,t}^+, x(p_{\alpha,t}^+))\}_\alpha)$, using GL-parameters $(\rho^{(\ell)}, 1, \eta^{(\ell)})$ when $p_\alpha^\epsilon \in M_\ell$ and $(\rho, 1, \eta)$ when $p_\alpha^\epsilon \notin M_\ell$. From Proposition 2.8, each metric $g_{\#,t}^{(\ell)}$ has

scalar curvature greater than $9/10$ and geometry bounded by a constant depending on ℓ ; moreover, there exist diffeomorphisms $\phi_t^{(\ell)} : M_{\#, \ell/(\ell+1)}^{(\ell)} \rightarrow M_{\#, t}^{(\ell)}$ such that the pulled-back metrics $(\phi_t^{(\ell)})^* g_{\#, t}^{(\ell)}$ define a continuous path on $M_{\#, \ell/(\ell+1)}^{(\ell)}$. The manifolds $M_{\#, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}}^{(\ell)}$ and $M_{\#, \frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}}^{(\ell+1)}$ differ only on a union of GL-necks connected to M_ℓ and to $M_{\ell+1}$, due to the difference in the GL-parameters used to define these necks: from $(\rho^{(\ell)}, 1, \eta^{(\ell)})$ to $(\rho, 1, \eta)$ in the case of M_ℓ and from $(\rho, 1, \eta)$ to $(\rho^{(\ell+1)}, 1, \eta^{(\ell+1)})$ in the case of $M_{\ell+1}$. We identify them by identifying the corresponding necks. Pulling back the paths $g_{\#, t}^{(\ell)}$ by diffeomorphisms $\phi_{\ell/(\ell+1)}^{(\ell-1)} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{1/2}^{(0)}$ and concatenating, we obtain on the manifold $M_{\#, 0}^{(0)}$ a piecewise continuous path of metrics defined on $[0, 1)$. Now, the GL-sums are made with different parameters creating discontinuities of the path at times $\frac{\ell+1}{\ell+2}$; thanks to Lemma 2.10 they can be smoothed out and we obtain a continuous path $g_\#(t)$ defined on $[0, 1)$, with scalar curvature greater than $9/10$ and bounded geometry. From the construction above, for any exhaustion $K_0 \subset K_1 \subset \dots$ of $M_{\#, 0}^{(0)}$ by compact subsets, $t \mapsto g_\#(t)$ is constant on K_j for all t close enough to 1. It follows that $t \mapsto g_\#(t)$ extends continuously to the interval $[0, 1]$. Moreover, denoting $(M_{\#, 1}^{(\infty)}, g_{\#, 1}^{(\infty)})$ the GL-sum $(M \sqcup X, g_1 \sqcup g_X)_{\#}(\{(\rho, 1, \eta)\}, \{(p_{\alpha, 1}^-, x(p_{\alpha}^-), (p_{\alpha, 1}^+, x(p_{\alpha}^+))_{\alpha}\})$, then the sequence of diffeomorphisms $\phi_{\ell/(\ell+1)}^{(\ell)} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{1/2}^{(0)}$ converges to an isometry from $(M_{\#, 0}^{(0)}, g_\#(1))$ to $(M_{\#, 1}^{(\infty)}, g_{\#, 1}^{(\infty)})$. It is easy to further deform $(M_{\#, 1}^{(\infty)}, g_{\#, 1}^{(\infty)})$ into $(M_{\#}(\{q_{\alpha}^{\pm}\}), (g_1)_{\#}(\{q_{\alpha}^{\pm}\}))$, using Lemma 2.11. This concludes the proof of Lemma 4.6. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Fix an orientation of M . Let $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$ (resp. $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{h})$) be the oriented Riemannian manifold obtained from (M, g) (resp. (M, h)) by metric surgery along \mathcal{S} . By construction, each of the metrics \widehat{g}, \widehat{h} has scalar curvature greater than or equal to 1 and geometry bounded by some constant C .

Since \mathcal{S} is a spherical splitting, every component of \widehat{M} is closed. We denote by $\{M_\ell\}$ the collection of those components. Applying Theorem 1.9 to each M_ℓ , we get a family of continuous paths $\widehat{g}_t^{(\ell)}$ in $\mathcal{R}_1(\widehat{M}_\ell)$ and positive diffeomorphisms $\widehat{\psi}^{(\ell)} : \widehat{M}_\ell \rightarrow \widehat{M}_\ell$ such that for each l , we have $\widehat{g}_0^{(\ell)} = \widehat{g}|_{M_\ell}$ and $\widehat{\psi}_*^{(\ell)} \widehat{g}_1^{(\ell)} = \widehat{h}|_{M_\ell}$.

Applying Lemma 4.3 and Remark 4.4, we get a path \widehat{h}_t in $\mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(\widehat{M})$. Denote by p_α^\pm the tips in \widehat{M} of the caps S_α^\pm added by the metric surgery process. Note that the tips are the same with respect to both metrics \widehat{g} and \widehat{h} , as also are the balls $B_{2\rho_C}(p_\alpha^\pm)$ for a suitable radius ρ_C ; furthermore, these balls are round and pairwise disjoint.

Denote by $\widehat{g}_\# = (\widehat{g})_{\#}(\{p_\alpha^\pm\})$ (resp. $\widehat{h}_\# = (\widehat{h})_{\#}(\{p_\alpha^\pm\})$) the GL-sum metric constructed with GL-parameters $(\rho_C, 1, \eta_C)$ for an appropriate η_C . We identify the corresponding manifold $\widehat{M}_\#$ with M as in the last paragraph of Section 3.

We deduce from Lemma 3.5 that $\widehat{g}_\#$ is isotopic to g and that $\widehat{h}_\#$ is isotopic to h (with uniformly bounded geometry). To finish the proof, we need to isotope $\widehat{g}_\#$ to $\widehat{h}_\#$ modulo diffeomorphism.

Let $\widehat{\psi} : \widehat{M} \rightarrow \widehat{M}$ be the positive diffeomorphism defined by setting $\widehat{\psi} = \widehat{\psi}^{(\ell)}$ on \widehat{M}_ℓ . Define $q_\alpha^\pm = \widehat{\psi}^{-1}(p_\alpha^\pm)$. For each α and each $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$, choose a positive

orthonormal basis $\{e_{k,\alpha}^\epsilon\}$ at p_α^ϵ . Set $f_{k,\alpha}^\pm = \widehat{\psi}^*(e_{k,\alpha}^\pm)$. Since $\widehat{\psi}$ is a positive isometry from $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}_1)$ to $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{h})$ sending $\{q_\alpha^\pm\}$ to $\{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ and $\{f_{k,\alpha}^\pm\}$ to $\{e_{k,\alpha}^\pm\}$, it induces an isometry from $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}_1)_\#(\{q_\alpha^\pm\}, \{f_{k,\alpha}^\pm\})$ to $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{h})_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}, \{e_{k,\alpha}^\pm\}) = (\widehat{M}_\#, \widehat{h}_\#)$. As a consequence of Lemma 4.6, we can isotope the former manifold—modulo diffeomorphism—to $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}_0)_\#(\{p_\alpha^\pm\}) = (M_\#, g_\#)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. \square

4.2. Proof of Proposition 4.2

We start with a technical lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let M be a 3-manifold. Let $(g, \mathcal{S}, g', \mathcal{S}')$ be a quadruple such that*

- (i) $g \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ is a GL-metric and $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\alpha\}$ is a spherical splitting straight for g ;
- (ii) $g' \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ and $\mathcal{S}' = \{S'_\beta\}$ is a spherical system straight for g' ;
- (iii) the components of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are mutually disjoint.

Then there exists $h = h(g, \mathcal{S}, g', \mathcal{S}') \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ such that $h = g$ near \mathcal{S} and $h = g'$ near \mathcal{S}' .

Proof. By assumption, M admits a metric g of bounded geometry and uniformly positive scalar curvature. Theorem 1.3 then implies that each connected component M_i of M is a connected sum of members of a finite family \mathcal{F}_i of spherical manifolds. But [BBM11, Theorem 1.4] shows that \mathcal{F}_i depends only on the bound on the geometry and the lower bound on the scalar curvature. Therefore there exists a finite collection \mathcal{F} of spherical manifolds such that M is a connected sum of members of \mathcal{F} .

For each α, β , let U_α (resp. U'_β) be a straight tube with respect to g containing S_α (resp. with respect to g' containing S'_β). Assume that all these tubes are pairwise disjoint. Let \widehat{M} be a 3-manifold obtained from M , by splitting every U_α and U'_β along a pair of straight spheres on each side of the S_α and of the S'_β , and glueing 3-balls to the boundary. All components of \widehat{M} are closed. All components containing some S_α or some S'_β are topological 3-spheres. All other components are connected sums of members of \mathcal{F} .

We define a metric \widehat{h} on \widehat{M} as follows. On components containing some S_α (resp. S'_β) we let \widehat{h} be the metric obtained from g (resp. g') by metric surgery on the straight tubes. In particular $\widehat{h} = g$ near S_α and $\widehat{h} = g'$ near S'_β . On the other components we let \widehat{h} be a GL-sum of round metrics on members of \mathcal{F} , of uniformly bounded geometry and scalar curvature ≥ 2 .

Finally, we define $h \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ by doing the GL-sum of $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{h})$ in such a way that $h = g$ near S_α and $h = g'$ near S'_β . \square

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\alpha\}$ be a spherical splitting that is straight for g . By local finiteness of \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' , up to replacing \mathcal{S} by a subsystem there exists a subsystem $\mathcal{S}'' \subset \mathcal{S}'$ such that every component of \mathcal{S} is disjoint from every component of \mathcal{S}'' .

By Lemma 4.7 there exists a metric $h' = h(g, \mathcal{S}, g', \mathcal{S}') \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ such that $h' = g$ near \mathcal{S} and $h' = g'$ near \mathcal{S}'' . Applying Lemma 4.1 to the triple (h', g, \mathcal{S}) we see that h' and g are isotopic modulo diffeomorphism.

By Lemma 4.7 there exists a metric $h = h(h', \mathcal{S}'', g', \mathcal{S}' \setminus \mathcal{S}'') \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\text{bg}}(M)$ such that $h = h'$ near \mathcal{S}'' and $h = g'$ near $\mathcal{S}' \setminus \mathcal{S}''$. Since $h' = g'$ near \mathcal{S}'' , we deduce that $h = g'$ near \mathcal{S}' . It follows from Lemma 4.1 applied to (h, h', \mathcal{S}'') that h is isotopic to h' modulo diffeomorphism. Hence h is isotopic to g modulo diffeomorphism, as required. \square

5. Surgical solutions of Ricci flow

In this section we recall the basic properties of the surgical solutions constructed in [BBM11].

5.1. Evolving metrics and surgical solutions

Definition 5.1. An *evolving Riemannian 3-manifold* is a family $\{(M(t), g(t))\}_{t \in I}$ of (possibly empty or disconnected) Riemannian 3-manifolds indexed by an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. It is *piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 -smooth* if there exists a subset J of I which is discrete as a subset of \mathbb{R} and satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) On each connected component of $I \setminus J$, $t \mapsto M(t)$ is constant and $t \mapsto g(t)$ is \mathcal{C}^1 -smooth.
- (ii) For each $t_0 \in J$, $M(t) = M(t_0)$ for all $t < t_0$ close enough to t_0 and $t \mapsto g(t)$ is left-continuous at t_0 .
- (iii) For each $t_0 \in J \setminus \sup I$, $t \mapsto (M(t), g(t))$ has a right limit at t_0 , denoted by $(M_+(t_0), g_+(t_0))$.

A time $t \in I$ is *singular* if $t \in J$ and regular otherwise.

Definition 5.2. A piecewise \mathcal{C}^1 -smooth evolving 3-manifold $(M(t), g(t))$ is called a *surgical solution* if the following hold:

- (i) The Ricci flow equation $\frac{dg}{dt} = -2\text{Ric}_{g(t)}$ is satisfied at all regular times.
- (ii) For each singular time t we have $R_{\min}(g_+(t)) \geq R_{\min}(g(t))$.
- (iii) For each singular time t there is a locally finite collection $\mathcal{S}(t)$ of disjoint embedded 2-spheres in $M(t)$ and a manifold $M'(t)$ such that
 - (a) $M'(t)$ is obtained from $M(t) \setminus \mathcal{S}(t)$ by capping off 3-balls;
 - (b) $M_+(t)$ is a union of connected components of $M'(t)$ and $g_+(t) = g(t)$ on $M_+(t) \cap M(t)$;
 - (c) each component of $M'(t) \setminus M_+(t)$ is spherical or diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 , $S^2 \times S^1$, $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}P^3 \# \mathbb{R}P^3$ or a punctured $\mathbb{R}P^3$.

For a singular time t , the components of $M'(t) \setminus M_+(t)$ are called *discarded components*. The surgical solution is *extinct* if for some t , all components are discarded, i.e. $M_+(t) = M(t) = \emptyset$.

Remark 5.3. When the initial metric has uniformly positive scalar curvature and each $g(t)$ is complete with bounded sectional curvature, we deduce from the maximum principle and property (ii) a lower bound for the scalar curvature which blows up in finite time; thus under these hypotheses the solution must be extinct.

In [BBM11] we constructed a special class of surgical solutions. These are known as (r, δ, κ) -surgical solutions and use the same three parameters as Perelman's Ricci flow with surgery and one more which serves as a curvature threshold to trigger the surgery. We will not need the precise definition here. For us, the two main properties of (r, δ, κ) -solutions are that whenever t is a singular time, the manifold $(M_+(t), g_+(t))$ is obtained from $(M(t), g(t))$ by metric surgery on ε -necks, and the discarded components are covered by so-called canonical neighbourhoods. We have already reviewed metric surgery in Section 3. In the next subsection, we discuss the notion of a canonical neighbourhood.

5.2. Canonical neighbourhoods and locally canonical metrics

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian 3-manifold and $\varepsilon, C > 0$ be constants. There are four types of canonical neighbourhoods: necks, caps, ε -round components and C -components. We already defined the notion of an ε -neck in Section 3. A component X of M is ε -round if after scaling to make $R(x) = 1$ at some point, X is ε -close to a round metric of scalar curvature one. A component of M is a C -component if it is diffeomorphic to S^3 or $\mathbb{R}P^3$, and has positive sectional curvature and geometry bounded by C after scaling. More precision can be given on the geometry of these neighbourhoods (see e.g. [BB⁺10, Definition 4.2.8] or [Mar12, p. 837]).

An ε -cap is an open subset $\mathcal{C} \subset M$ diffeomorphic to a 3-ball or to $\mathbb{R}P^3$ minus a 3-ball, with an ε -neck $N \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $\bar{Y} = \mathcal{C} \setminus N$ is a compact submanifold with boundary. The boundary $\partial\bar{Y}$ of the core Y (interior of $\mathcal{C} \setminus N$) is required to be the middle sphere of an ε -neck. An (ε, C) -cap is an ε -cap such that, after rescaling so that $R(x) = 1$ for some point x in the cap, the diameter, volume and curvature ratios at any two points are bounded by C .

Definition 5.4. A point x in (M, g) is said to be the centre of an (ε, C) -canonical neighbourhood if it lies in the middle sphere of an ε -neck, or in the core of an (ε, C) -cap, or in an ε -round component or in a C -component. If each point of (M, g) is the centre of an (ε, C) -canonical neighbourhood, we will say that g is ε -locally canonical.

We now fix the constants ε, C , refining the choice made in [BBM11], so that the interpolation lemmas of [Mar12] hold. Set $\varepsilon = \min(\delta_0, \varepsilon_3)$, where δ_0 is the constant from Theorem 3.1 and ε_3 is from [Mar12, Lemma 6.3]. Then set $C = \max(100, 2C_{\text{sol}}(\varepsilon/2), 2C_{\text{st}}(\beta(\varepsilon)\varepsilon/2))$ as in [BBM11, p. 947].

From [BBM11, Section 5.2] we have the following existence result, which can be taken as a black box for the rest of the paper:

Theorem 5.5. *Given a positive number A , there exist a positive number τ and a tuple $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}$ with the following property. Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold with geometry bounded by A , and with scalar curvature greater than or equal to 1. Then there exists an extinct surgical solution $(M(\cdot), g(\cdot))$ defined on $[0, 2]$ such that $(M(0), g(0)) = (M, g)$ and satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) For all $t \in [0, 2]$, we have $g(t) \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{Q}_0}(M(t))$.

- (2) *The solution is smooth on $[0, \tau]$ and, for all $t \in [\tau, 2]$, we have $g(t) \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{Q}}(M(t))$.*
- (3) *At each singular time t , the manifold $M'(t)$ has a metric $g'(t) \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{Q}}(M'(t))$ obtained from $g(t)$ by metric surgeries in ε -necks, and such that*
- (a) $g_+(t) = g'(t)$ on $M_+(t)$;
 - (b) *all discarded components of $(M'(t), g'(t))$ are ε -locally canonical.*
- (4) *The number of singular times is finite and bounded by a constant depending on A .*

In the proof of Theorem B, Theorem 5.5 will allow us to isotope an arbitrary metric to a GL-sum of ε -locally canonical ones. Thus it is useful to be able to isotope locally canonical metrics to GL-metrics. This is the purpose of the next lemma:

Lemma 5.6. *Given $\mathcal{A}_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}$ there exists $\mathcal{B}_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}$ such that the following holds. Let M be a connected 3-manifold and let g be an ε -locally canonical metric belonging to $\mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{A}_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}}(M)$. Then there exists an isotopy $g_t \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{B}_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}}(M)$ such that $g_0 = g$ and g_1 is a GL-metric.*

Proof. If M is compact, every metric is a GL-metric (the empty collection is a spherical splitting), so we assume that M is non-compact. Let $g \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{A}_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}}(M)$ be an ε -locally canonical metric. From [BBM11, proof of Proposition 7.2] we have three cases:

1. M is covered by ε -necks and is diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, or
2. M is covered by ε -necks and one ε -cap diffeomorphic to B^3 , and M is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^3 , or
3. M is covered by ε -necks and one ε -cap diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^3 \setminus \{\text{point}\}$, and M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}P^3 \setminus \{\text{point}\}$.

Take a maximal family of disjoint ε -necks. From the above we see that the middle spheres of these necks form a spherical splitting of M . Thus it suffices to deform the metric g to a metric with respect to which these spheres are straight.

In each neck we apply the following deformation. We use the parametrisation to identify a neighbourhood of the middle sphere with $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$. Let h be the pulled-back rescaled metric on $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$. Now, we choose a cutoff function $\eta : (-4, 4) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\eta(s) = 1$ on $[-2, 2]$ and $\eta(s) = 0$ when $|s|$ is close to 4. Then for $t \in [0, 1]$ we set $h_t = (1 - \eta(s)t)h + \eta(s)t g_{\text{cyl}}$, where s is the radial coordinate. We observe that $h_1 = g_{\text{cyl}}$ on $S^2 \times [-2, 2]$ and that the deformation h_t is constant near the boundary of $S^2 \times (-4, 4)$. It follows that the rescaled pushed-forward metric on M defines the required isotopy. \square

6. Isotopies of uniformly bounded geometry

In this section we show that if (M, g) is isotopic to a GL-metric in some $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ then, after a GL-sum for suitable parameters, $(M_\#, g_\#)$ is isotopic to a GL-metric in some $\mathcal{R}_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{B}}(M_\#)$, with \mathcal{B} and σ' depending on \mathcal{A} , σ and the parameters. The following proposition gives a more precise statement.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\sigma > 0$. For all $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}$ and $\rho \in (0, \min\{c(3)A_0^{-1/2}, 1\})$, there exist $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^\# = \bar{\mathcal{A}}^\#_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}$ and $\eta > 0$ with the following property. Let (M, g) be an oriented 3-manifold and $\{(p_\alpha^-, p_\alpha^+)\}$ be a family of pairs of points. Set $\mathcal{P} = \{p_\alpha^\pm\} \subset M$ and $(M_\#, g_\#) = (M, g)_\#(\{(\rho, \sigma, \eta)\}, \{p_\alpha^\pm\})$. Suppose that*

- (a) *for all $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$, if $x \neq y$, then $B_g(x, 3\rho) \cap B_g(y, 3\rho) = \emptyset$, and g is round on $B_g(x, 3\rho)$;*
- (b) *g is isotopic in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ to some GL-metric.*

Then $g_\#$ is isotopic in $\mathcal{R}_{9\sigma/10}^{\bar{\mathcal{A}}^\#}(M_\#)$ to some GL-metric.

We first explain some of the key ideas informally. Let g_t be an isotopy in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ from g to some GL-metric. We would like to apply Proposition 2.8, moving basepoints p_α^\pm along continuous paths if necessary, to get a continuous GL-sum $(M, g_t)_\#$. The main difficulty is that we have no control of $d_{g_t}(x, y)$ for $x \neq y \in \mathcal{P}$. For example, a compact component of M containing an unspecified number of p_α^\pm could have a diameter becoming small along the path of metrics g_t ; therefore the points p_α^\pm become close to each other. Moreover, a reparametrisation trick, as in Lemma 4.6, is not possible as we are dealing with possibly non-compact components and the metric g_1 need not a priori satisfy assumption (a).

The trick is to first deform continuously $(M_\#, g_\#)$ into a manifold which is obtained by connecting sum around points which are far away. Looking at p_α^+ and p_α^- , the GL-sum gives rise to a metric on the cylinder joining neighbourhoods of these two points which is close to g_{cyl} . We modify it continuously, applying twice Lemma 2.11, so that it becomes $B_\rho(p_\alpha^-) \# S^3(p_\alpha^-) \# S^3(p_\alpha^+) \# B_\rho(p_\alpha^+)$ where $S^3(p_\alpha^\pm)$ are round 3-spheres which will undergo only very small deformations during the process (see Sublemma 6.5). Then we perform a surgery on this topological cylinder by cutting it between the two spheres and glueing 3-balls on either side.

Doing this for every α creates some distance between the points p_α^+ and p_α^- , so that we can deal with them separately. Note that they may remain in the same connected component or not. The next step is to produce a set $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} \subset \mathcal{P}$ whose points remain sufficiently separated during the isotopy (see Lemma 6.3). Each $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}$ has a neighbourhood which contains only finitely many points of \mathcal{P} , say (p_1, \dots, p_n) . We then do the GL-sum of $S^3(p_0)$ with n round spheres and we continuously move each p_i in one of them. They will be only slightly deformed and hence the points will remain far apart.

This process deforms $(M_\#, g_\#)$ into a manifold isometric to a GL-sum $(M \sqcup X, g \sqcup g_X)_\#$, where (X, g_X) is a GL-sum of round spheres, and where the connected sum is made between M and X along a sparse set of points, which remain far from each other along $g_t \sqcup g_X$. We can then safely apply Proposition 2.8 to get a continuous path $(g_t \sqcup g_X)_\#$ with controlled geometry. We summarise the idea by saying that it amounts to ‘externalising’ the connected sum.

We now turn to the formal proof.

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Let \mathcal{A} , ρ and σ be as in the statement of Proposition 6.1 and let g_t be an isotopy in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(M)$ such that $g_0 = g$ and g_1 is a GL-metric.

Let $\eta = \eta(\mathcal{A}_2)$ be the constant given by Lemma 2.7. Let $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}^\#(\mathcal{A}, \rho, \sigma, \eta)$ be the constant of Proposition 2.8. Set $\sigma' = \frac{9}{10}\sigma$. Then $(M_\#, g_\#) = (M, g)_\#(\{(\rho, \sigma, \eta)\}, \{p_\alpha^\pm\})$ has scalar curvature greater than σ' and geometry bounded by \mathcal{A}' . Let $\rho' = \min\{c(3)\mathcal{A}'_0^{-1/2}, \frac{1}{10}\rho\}$, $\eta' = \eta(\mathcal{A}'_2)$ be the constant given by Lemma 2.7, and $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ be the constants given by Lemma 2.10 applied to $\gamma_1 = \gamma(\rho, \sigma, \eta)$, $\gamma_2 = \gamma(\rho', \sigma', \eta')$. We denote by $\bar{\bar{\sigma}} = \bar{\bar{\sigma}}(\rho, \sigma, \eta)$ and $\bar{\bar{\mathcal{A}}} = \bar{\bar{\mathcal{A}}}(\rho, \sigma, \eta)$ the constants given by Lemma 2.11. We then define $\mathcal{A}'' = \min\{\mathcal{A}^\#(\mathcal{A}', \rho', \sigma'), \bar{\mathcal{A}}, \bar{\bar{\mathcal{A}}}\}$ and $\sigma'' = \min\{(9/10)^2\sigma, \bar{\bar{\sigma}}\}$.

Definition 6.2. We say that a subset $\mathcal{P} \subset M$ is ρ -separated for an isotopy g_t if

$$\forall x \neq y \in \mathcal{P}, \quad \bigcup_t B_{g_t}(x, \rho) \cap \bigcup_t B_{g_t}(y, \rho) = \emptyset.$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{P} = \{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ is 3ρ -separated, then there exist pairwise disjoint topological 3-balls W_α^\pm such that $B_{g_t}(p_\alpha^\pm, 2\rho) \subset W_\alpha^\pm$ for all t , as in the assumptions of Proposition 2.8 (with fixed p_α^\pm).

The first step is to divide points of \mathcal{P} into disjoint open connected subsets U_j of M , each containing finitely many elements of \mathcal{P} , and in some sense not too close to each other. This will allow us, by moving the basepoints independently in each U_i , to deform the GL-sum $g_\#$ with controlled geometry.

Lemma 6.3. *Up to moving points of \mathcal{P} a distance less than $20\rho' \leq 2\rho$, there exist a subset $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} \subset \mathcal{P}$, a family $(U_j)_{j \in J \subset \mathbb{N}}$ of disjoint open connected subsets of M and a family (O_k) of open subsets of M such that*

- \mathcal{P}_{sep} is 1-separated for g_t , for all t ;
- the $7\rho'$ -neighbourhood of \mathcal{P} is contained in $\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$ and for all j , $|\mathcal{P} \cap U_j| < \infty$ and $|\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} \cap U_j| = 1$;
- $\bigcup_k O_k = \bigcup_j U_j$ and each O_k is a union of finitely many U_j ;
- for all $k \neq l$, $d_g(O_k, O_l) \geq 6\rho'$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that M is connected. If \mathcal{P} is finite, then we set $U_0 = M$, $O_0 = U_0$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} = \{p_0\}$, where p_0 is an arbitrary point of \mathcal{P} .

Suppose that \mathcal{P} is infinite. Up to slightly moving some points of \mathcal{P} , we will define $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} = \{p_0, p_1, \dots\} \subset \mathcal{P}$ and $(U_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} \cap U_j = \{p_j\}$. We use the following property, which is easily derived from the continuity of $t \mapsto g_t$ and the compactness of $[0, 1]$: for every $p \in M$ and $R_0 > 0$, there exist a compact subset $K \subset M$ and $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$\forall s, t \in [0, 1], \quad B_{g_s}(p, R_0) \subset K \subset B_{g_t}(p, R_1).$$

This property implies that for R large enough depending on p , for any $x \in M$ the inequality $d_g(p, x) \geq R$ implies that $\{p, x\}$ is 1-separated for g_t . Fix some $p = p_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ and a radius $R_0 > 1$. Set $\tilde{R}_0 = R_0 - 20\rho'$. Up to moving points of $(\bar{B}_g(p_0, R_0) \setminus B_g(p_0, \tilde{R}_0)) \cap \mathcal{P}$ inside $B_g(p_0, \tilde{R}_0)$, we can assume that the closed annulus $\bar{B}_g(p_0, R_0) \setminus B_g(p_0, \tilde{R}_0)$ does not intersect \mathcal{P} . Let $\tilde{U}_0 = B_g(p_0, \tilde{R}_0 + 7\rho')$. We add to \tilde{U}_0 all the connected components of $M \setminus \tilde{U}_0$ containing only finitely many elements of \mathcal{P} ; there are finitely many such components. This defines an open connected subset $U_0 \subset M$ such that

- the set $U_0 \cap \mathcal{P}$ is finite;
- for every component C of $M \setminus U_0$, the set $C \cap \mathcal{P}$ is infinite;
- $\partial U_0 \subset \partial B(p_0, \tilde{R}_0 + 7\rho')$;
- the $7\rho'$ -neighbourhood of $\mathcal{P} \cap U_0$ is contained in U_0 .

Set $O_0 = U_0$. Let C_1, \dots, C_k be the connected components of $M \setminus (B(p_0, R_0 - 7\rho') \cup O_0)$. Note that $d_g(C_i, O_0) \geq 6\rho'$ and the $7\rho'$ -neighbourhood of ∂C_i does not intersect \mathcal{P} . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ choose a point $p_i \in C_i$ far enough from ∂C_i and such that for every $t \in [0, 1]$, the set $\{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is 1-separated with respect to g_t . Choose a radius $R_1 > 2d(p_i, \partial C_i)$ for all $i \geq 1$. Set $\tilde{R}_1 = R_1 - 20\rho'$, and for every i , set $\tilde{U}_i := \text{Int}(C_i) \cap B(p_i, \tilde{R}_1 + 7\rho')$. Perform, after restriction to $\text{Int}(C_i)$, the previous construction starting from $\text{Int}(C_i) \cap B(p_i, R_1)$, i.e. move points of $\text{Int}(C_i) \cap B(p_i, R_1) \cap \mathcal{P}$ inside $\text{Int}(C_i) \cap B(p_i, \tilde{R}_1)$.

Define $U_i \subset \text{Int}(C_i)$ by adding to \tilde{U}_i the connected components of $\text{Int}(C_i) \setminus \tilde{U}_i$ containing finitely many elements of \mathcal{P} . Thus $U_i \cap \mathcal{P}$ is finite, and for each component C of $\text{Int}(C_i) \setminus U_i$, the set $C \cap \mathcal{P}$ is infinite. Furthermore, $\partial U_i \cap \text{Int}(C_i)$ is contained in $\partial B(p_i, \tilde{R}_1 + 7\rho')$, and the $7\rho'$ -neighbourhood of $\mathcal{P} \cap U_i$ is contained in U_i .

Observe that the U_i 's are pairwise disjoint. Setting $O_1 = U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_k$, we have $d(O_0, O_1) \geq 6\rho'$. We now iterate the construction on $M \setminus (O_0 \cup O_1 \cup B(p_0, R_0 - 7\rho') \cup \dots \cup B(p_i, R_1 - 7\rho'))$. \square

Up to deforming $g_\#$ slightly by moving the basepoints, we can assume that $\mathcal{P} \supset \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}$ as given by Lemma 6.3. The deformation of $g_\#$ which 'externalises' most of its GL-sums is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *There exist a 3-manifold X , a GL-metric $g_X \in \mathcal{R}_1^A(X)$, and an injective map $x : \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} \rightarrow X$ with the following properties:*

- (1) $x(\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}) \subset X$ is 3ρ -separated for g_X , and g_X is round on its 3ρ -neighbourhood.
- (2) The GL-sum $(M \sqcup X, g \sqcup g_X)_\#(\{(\rho, \sigma, \eta)\}, \{(p, x(p)) : p \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}\})$ has scalar curvature greater than σ' and geometry bounded by \mathcal{A}' , and $(M \sqcup X)_\#$ is diffeomorphic to $M_\#$.
- (3) $(M_\#, g_\#)$ is isotopic modulo diffeomorphism to $(M \sqcup X, g \sqcup g_X)_\#$ through metrics with scalar curvature greater than σ'' and geometry bounded by \mathcal{A}'' .

Proof. We construct an isotopy $\{g_\#^t\}_{t \in [0, 0.5]}$ on $M_\#$, and a manifold (X, g_X) as above, such that $(M_\#, g_\#^5)$ is isometric to $(M \sqcup X, g \sqcup g_X)_\#$.

Recall that the GL-sum construction associates to each pair $p^\pm \in \{p_\alpha^\pm\}$ a GL-neck

$$B_\rho(p^-) \# B_\rho(p^+) \approx S^2 \times I \subset M_\#$$

where the metric $g_\#$ is cylindrical near the middle of the neck. For each p^\pm we denote by $S^3(p^-)$ and $S^3(p^+)$ two round 3-spheres with scalar curvature σ , and we fix two points $x(p^-) \in S^3(p^-)$ and $x(p^+) \in S^3(p^+)$.

Sublemma 6.5. *There exists an isotopy $\{g_{\#}^t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ which deforms each GL-neck $B_{\rho}(p^-) \# B_{\rho}(p^+)$, without changing the metric near the boundary, through metrics with scalar curvature $\geq \bar{\sigma}$ and geometry bounded by $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$, into the GL-sum*

$$B_{\rho}(p^-) \# S^3(p^-) \# S^3(p^+) \# B_{\rho}(p^+)$$

made with parameters (ρ, σ, η) at $\{(p^-, x(p^-)), (-x(p^-), -x(p^+)), (x(p^+), p^+)\}$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.11 twice. \square

Undoing each GL-sum $S^3(p^-) \# S^3(p^+)$ of $(M_{\#}, g_{\#}^1)$ splits the manifold into a manifold $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}_1)$ where \widehat{M} is diffeomorphic to M and $\widehat{g}_1 \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{A}'}(\widehat{M})$. Our goal is to construct an isotopy $\{\widehat{g}_t\}_{t \in [1,5]}$ on \widehat{M} . The isotopy $g_{\#}^t$ will be obtained from \widehat{g}_t by reconnecting each pair $S^3(p^-) \# S^3(p^+)$.

Observe that $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}_1)$ is simply the manifold obtained from (M, g) by replacing each ball $B_{\rho}(p)$ by $B_{\rho}(p) \# S^3(p)$, for all $p \in \{p_{\alpha}^{\pm}\}$. We denote by $H(p) \subset S^3(p)$ the hemisphere centred on $-x(p)$ (which is on the opposite of the hemisphere connected to $B_{\rho}(p)$). Therefore $H(p) \subset B_{\rho}(p) \# S^3(p) \subset \widehat{M}$.

Sublemma 6.6. *There exist a 3-manifold \widehat{X} and a GL-metric $g_{\widehat{X}} \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{A}'}(\widehat{X})$, an injective map $\widehat{x} : \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ and an isotopy $(\widehat{g}_t)_{t \in [1,5]}$ on \widehat{M} such that*

- (1) \mathcal{P}_{sep} is 3ρ -separated for (\widehat{g}_t) , and $\widehat{x}(\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}})$ is 3ρ -separated for $g_{\widehat{X}}$;
- (2) $\widehat{g}_t = \widehat{g}_1$ on all hemispheres $H(p^-)$ and $H(p^+)$;
- (3) \widehat{g}_t , resp. \widehat{g}_5 , has scalar curvature greater than σ'' (resp. σ') and geometry bounded by \mathcal{A}'' (resp. \mathcal{A}');
- (4) $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}_5)$ is isometric to $(M \sqcup \widehat{X}, g \sqcup g_{\widehat{X}}) \# (\{(p, \widehat{x}(p)) : p \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}\})$. Moreover $H(p) \subset \widehat{X}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.3, the set \mathcal{P} is divided into open connected subsets U_j of M and these subsets are gathered into open subsets O_k such that each O_k is a union of finitely many U_j and $d(O_k, O_l) \geq 6\rho'$ when $k \neq l$. Let $V_k \subset (M, g)$ denote the $3\rho'$ -neighbourhood of O_k . By construction, the V_k 's are pairwise disjoint. For each k , let \widehat{V}_k be the corresponding subset in \widehat{M} . The \widehat{V}_k 's are also pairwise disjoint.

We will construct isotopies $(\widehat{g}_t^{\widehat{V}_k})_{t \in [1,5]}$ on \widehat{M} , with support in \widehat{V}_k , and then define the isotopy $(\widehat{g}_t)_{t \in [1,5]}$ on \widehat{M} by

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{g}_t = \widehat{g}_t^{\widehat{V}_k} & \text{on } \widehat{V}_k, \forall k, \\ \widehat{g}_t = \widehat{g}_1 & \text{on } \widehat{M} \setminus \bigcup_k \widehat{V}_k. \end{cases}$$

The $(\widehat{g}_t^{\widehat{V}_k})$ are constructed independently of each other. Fix k and the subset \widehat{V}_k . For the sake of simplicity we now drop the index k . To $\widehat{V} = \widehat{V}_k$ we associate a compact GL-manifold $(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}, g_{\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}})$, which in fact is simply a (possibly non-connected) GL-sum of a finite number of round 3-spheres, and an isotopy $\widehat{g}_t^{\widehat{V}}$ defined on \widehat{M} with support in \widehat{V} , starting from \widehat{g}_1 . The set $V = V_k$ is the $3\rho'$ -neighbourhood of $O = O_k$. The open set O is a union $U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_N}$.

For simplicity, we first discuss the case where $N = 1$ and perform a four-step deformation of \widehat{g}_1 into a metric \widehat{g}_5 . The general case will be tackled later.

Dropping indices again, we consider $U = U_{i_1}$ and denote $\mathcal{P} \cap U = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ where $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} = \{p_1\}$. We denote by $\text{can}^{(n)}$ a metric on $S^3 \# \dots \# S^3$ obtained by GL-sum of n round 3-spheres of scalar curvature σ , made with parameters (ρ, σ, η) .

Step 1. Deform $(B_\rho(p_1) \# S^3(p_1), \widehat{g}_1)$, without changing the metric near the boundary or on the hemisphere $H(p_1)$, through metrics with scalar curvature $\geq \bar{\sigma}$ and geometry bounded by $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$, into the GL-sum

$$(B_\rho(p_1) \# \underbrace{S^3 \# \dots \# S^3}_{n \text{ spheres}}, g \# \text{can}^{(n)})$$

Let $\widehat{g}_2^{\widehat{V}} \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma'}^{\mathcal{A}'}(\widehat{M})$ be the resulting metric.

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.11 ($n - 1$ times). □

Next we choose points $x'(p_2), \dots, x'(p_n) \in B_\rho(p_1) \# S^3(p_1)$ so that the balls $B_{6\rho}(x'(p_i))$ (for the metric $g \# \text{can}^{(n)}$) are round, pairwise disjoint and at distance $\geq 6\rho'$ from $H(p_1)$ (this is possible since the number of 3-spheres is large enough). Our next goal is to deform $\widehat{g}_2^{\widehat{V}}$ by moving each basepoint p_i to $x'(p_i)$, using paths in $V \# S^3(p_1)$. Before doing that, we need to adjust the parameters of the GL-sum to be compatible with the metric $g \# \text{can}^{(n)}$ (a priori, the parameters (ρ, σ, η) we begin with are compatible with g only). Note that the metric $g \# \text{can}^{(n)}$ has scalar curvature greater than σ' and geometry bounded by \mathcal{A}' . Hence it admits (ρ', σ', η') as GL-parameters at any point, by definition of ρ' and η' .

Step 2. For each $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, deform $\widehat{g}_2^{\widehat{V}}$ on $B_\rho(p_i) \# S^3(p_i)$, without changing the metric near the boundary or on the hemisphere $H(p_i)$, through metrics with scalar curvature greater than $\bar{\sigma}$ and geometry bounded by $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$, into the GL-sum made at $\{(p_i, x(p_i))\}$ with parameters (ρ', σ', η') . Let $\widehat{g}_3^{\widehat{V}} \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma''}^{\mathcal{A}''}(\widehat{M})$ be the resulting metric.

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.10. □

The crucial step is the following.

Step 3. There is an isotopy $(\widehat{g}_t^{\widehat{V}})_{t \in [3,4]}$ with scalar curvature greater than σ'' and geometry bounded by \mathcal{A}'' , which is constant on the hemispheres $H(p_i)$, $i \geq 1$, such that $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{g}_4^{\widehat{V}})$ is isometric to the GL-sum

$$\left(V \# S^3(p_1) \# \bigsqcup_{i \geq 2} S^3(p_i), g \# \text{can}^{(n)} \# \bigsqcup_{i \geq 2} \text{can} \right)$$

made at $(p_1, x(p_1))$ and $\{(x'(p_i), x(p_i)) : i \geq 2\}$ (with parameters (ρ, σ, η) for $i = 1$ and (ρ', σ', η') for $i \geq 2$).

Proof. Recall that $\text{can}^{(n)}$ is a metric on S^3 isometric to a GL-sum of n round spheres of scalar curvature σ . We will apply Proposition 2.8 iteratively on the manifold $M \#$

$S^3(p_1) \sqcup \bigcup_{i \geq 2} S^3(p_i)$ with parameters (ρ', σ', η') , moving points $p_i \rightsquigarrow x'(p_i)$ along paths in $V \# S^3(p_1)$. The proposition cannot be applied a priori by moving simultaneously the $n - 1$ paths, because this would require having pairwise disjoint $3\rho'$ -neighbourhoods for these paths. As the paths must traverse the neck $B_\rho(p_1) \# B_\rho(x(p_1))$, this cannot be achieved with a radius ρ' independent of n . The trick is to apply the proposition iteratively by moving only one point at a time, i.e. we move $p_i \rightsquigarrow x'(p_i)$, the other points $x'(p_2), \dots, x'(p_{i-1}), p_{i+1}, \dots, p_n$ being fixed. In order to do this, it is sufficient to check the following claim:

Claim. *For each $i \geq 2$, there exists a continuous path from p_i to $x'(p_i)$ in*

$$(V \# S^3(p_1)) \setminus \bigcup_{j \geq 2, j \neq i} B_{6\rho'}(p_j) \cup B_{6\rho'}(x'(p_j))$$

and whose $3\rho'$ -neighbourhood is contained in $V \# S^3(p_1) \setminus H(p_1)$.

Let us prove this claim. Let $i \geq 2$. To begin we prove that there exists a path in U from p_i to p_1 , which remains at distance $\geq 6\rho'$ from all p_j ($j \geq 2, j \neq i$). By definition of U (cf. Lemma 6.3), each ball $B_{6\rho'}(p_j)$ is compactly contained in U . Note that $d(p_j, p_k) \geq 12\rho'$ for $k \neq j$, and $6\rho'$ is smaller than the injectivity radius. Since U is connected, there is a path in U from p_i to p_1 . If it intersects $B_{6\rho'}(p_j)$, we can replace the part of the path in $B_{6\rho'}(p_j)$ by an arc in the boundary sphere $S_{6\rho'}(p_j)$. This arc is contained in U and at distance $\geq 6\rho'$ from any other p_k . Hence $U \setminus \bigcup_{j \geq 2, j \neq i} B_{6\rho'}(p_j)$ is path-connected. Similarly in $(S^3(p_1), \text{can}^{(n)})$, there exists a path joining $x(p_1)$ to $x'(p_i)$, disjoint from $B_{6\rho'}(x'(p_j))$ ($j \geq 2, j \neq i$) and at distance $\geq 6\rho'$ from $H(p_1)$. Using these two paths, we can find a path in the connected sum $U \# S^3(p_1)$ (made at $(p_1, x(p_1))$) joining p_i to $x'(p_i)$ and at distance $\geq 6\rho'$ from $p_j, x'(p_j)$ and $H(p_1)$. Recalling that the $3\rho'$ -neighbourhood of U is contained in V , it follows that the $3\rho'$ -neighbourhood of the path is contained in $V \# S^3(p_1) \setminus H(p_1)$. This proves the claim, and concludes the proof of Step 3. \square

Step 4. *For each $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, deform $\widehat{g}_4^{\widehat{V}}$ on $B_\rho(x'(p_i)) \# S^3(p_i)$, without changing the metric near the boundary or on the hemisphere $H(p_i)$, through metrics with scalar curvature greater than $\bar{\sigma}$ and geometry bounded by \widehat{A} , into the GL-sum made at $\{(x'(p_i), x(p_i))\}$ with parameters (ρ, σ, η) . Let $\widehat{g}_5^{\widehat{V}} \in \mathcal{R}_{\sigma'}^{\widehat{A}}(\widehat{M})$ be the resulting metric.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.10 again. (Note: There is an abuse of notation in the statement: $\widehat{g}_4^{\widehat{V}}$ is a metric defined on \widehat{M} , and in particular on its submanifold $B_\rho(p_i) \# S^3(p_i)$, but can be seen as a metric on $B_\rho(x'(p_i)) \# S^3(p_i)$ only modulo the isometry of Step 3.) \square

We define $(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}, g_{\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}})$ as the GL-sum

$$(S^3(p_1) \# S^3(p_2) \# \dots \# S^3(p_n), \text{can}^{(n)} \# \text{can} \# \dots \# \text{can}) \quad (1)$$

made at $\{(x'(p_i), x(p_i)) : i \geq 2\}$ with parameters (ρ, σ, η) . Then $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{g}_5^{\widehat{V}})$ is isometric to the GL-sum

$$(V \sqcup \widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}, g \sqcup g_{\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}})_{\#}(\{(p_1, x(p_1))\})$$

where the point $x(p_1) \in S^3(p_1)$ is considered as a point of $X_{\widehat{V}}$.

This concludes the construction of $\widehat{g}_t^{\widehat{V}}$ and $(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}, g_{\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}})$ when $N = 1$.

Let us now deal with the general case. Write $[1, 5]$ as a union of successive intervals, $[1, 5] = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_N$. Recall that $O = O_k = U_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_N}$, and V is the $3\rho'$ -neighbourhood of O . On I_1 we use the four-step procedure above (modulo the obvious reparametrisation) on the set U_{i_1} . Let $t_1 = \max I_1$. The metric $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{g}_1^{\widehat{V}})$ is isometric to the GL-sum of (V, g) with (X_1, g_{X_1}) (a finite connected GL-sum of round 3-spheres as in (1)) and a finite number of round 3-spheres $S^3(p_\alpha)$. The points used for this GL-sum are the elements of $(\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} \cap U_{i_1}) \cup (\mathcal{P} \cap (U_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup U_{i_N}))$ and corresponding points in X_1 and the $S^3(p_\alpha)$. Starting from this new GL-sum, apply on U_{i_2} during the interval I_2 the four-step procedure as above. Then pull back the deformation to \widehat{V} by the isometry. Let (X_2, g_{X_2}) be the compact connected GL-sum of round 3-spheres created at this step. Iterate. The GL-manifold $(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}, g_{\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}}})$ is then defined as the disjoint union $\bigcup_{i=1}^N (X_i, g_{X_i})$.

Having defined isotopies $(\widehat{g}_t^{\widehat{V}_k})_{t \in [1,5]}$ on \widehat{M} , with support in \widehat{V}_k , we thus define the isotopy $(\widehat{g}_t)_{t \in [1,5]}$ on \widehat{M} by

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{g}_t = \widehat{g}_t^{\widehat{V}_k} & \text{on } \widehat{V}_k, \forall k, \\ \widehat{g}_t = \widehat{g}_1 & \text{on } \widehat{M} \setminus \bigcup_k \widehat{V}_k. \end{cases}$$

We define $(\widehat{X}, g_{\widehat{X}})$ as the disjoint union of the $(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}_k}, g_{\widehat{X}_{\widehat{V}_k}})$. For each $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}$ the point $x(p) \in S^3(p)$ can be considered as a point in \widehat{X} , and we set $\widehat{x}(p) = x(p)$. That finishes the proof of Sublemma 6.6. \square

We conclude the proof of Lemma 6.4. To define $\{g_t^t\}_{t \in [1,5]}$, we reconnect $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g}_t)$ into $(M_\#, g_\#^t)$ by doing the GL-sum $H(p^-) \# H(p^+)$ for all pairs of hemispheres, with parameters (ρ, σ, η) (recall that \widehat{g}_t is constant on the hemispheres). Thus we set $g_\#^t = (g_t) \# (\{-x(p_\alpha^-), -x(p_\alpha^+)\})_\alpha$. Similarly, we define (X, g_X) to be $(\widehat{X}, g_{\widehat{X}}) \# (\{-x(p_\alpha^-), -x(p_\alpha^+)\})_\alpha$. \square

We conclude the proof of Proposition 6.1 using Lemmas 6.3 and 6.4. These lemmas imply that $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}} \sqcup x(\mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}) \subset M \sqcup X$ is 3ρ -separated for $g_t \sqcup g_X$. Therefore Proposition 2.8 applies on the manifold $(M \sqcup X, g_t \sqcup g_X)$ with basepoints $\{(p, x(p)) : p \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}\}$ (which are fixed), and with parameters ρ, σ, η . This proposition gives a deformation $(M \sqcup X, g_t \sqcup g_X)_\#$, with scalar curvature greater than σ' and geometry bounded by \mathcal{A}' , towards the GL-sum $(M \sqcup X, g_1 \sqcup g_X)_\#$, where g_1 and g_X are GL-metrics. Denote by \mathcal{S}^M (resp. \mathcal{S}^X) a straight spherical splitting of (M, g_1) (resp. (X, g_X)). After slightly deforming the GL-sum $(g_1 \sqcup g_X)_\#$ by moving basepoints $(p, x(p))$ (for $p \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}$), we can assume that $\mathcal{S}^M \sqcup \mathcal{S}^X$ embeds in $(M \sqcup X)_\#$ and is straight for $(g_1 \sqcup g_X)_\#$. Denoting by $\mathcal{S}^\#$ a collection of straight spheres associated to the GL-sum at $\{(p, x(p)) : p \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{sep}}\}$, $\mathcal{S}^\# \sqcup \mathcal{S}^M \sqcup \mathcal{S}^X$ is a straight spherical splitting of $(M \sqcup X, g_1 \sqcup g_X)_\#$. By applying dilations, we can finally arrange that the isotopy starting from $g_\#$ has scalar curvature greater than $9\sigma/10$, and geometry bounded by some $\bar{\mathcal{A}}^\#$. \square

7. Isotopy to a GL-metric: proof of Theorem B

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem B, which we restate below.

Theorem 7.1. *For every $A > 0$ there exists $B = B(A) > 0$ such that for every 3-manifold M and every metric $g \in \mathcal{R}_1^A(M)$, there exists a GL-metric $g' \in \mathcal{R}_1^B(M)$ isotopic to g in $\mathcal{R}_1^B(M)$.*

Proof. Let $A > 0$. Theorem 5.5 gives a number $\tau > 0$ and a tuple $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_{[\varepsilon^{-1}]}$.

Let M be a 3-manifold and $g \in \mathcal{R}_1^A(M)$. Fix an orientation of M . By Theorem 5.5 and Remark 5.3 we get a surgical solution $(M(\cdot), g(\cdot))$ with geometry bounded by \mathcal{Q}_0 and singular times $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_{j+1} < 2$ such that $M(t) = \emptyset$ when $t \in (t_{j+1}, 2]$. Thanks to Theorem 5.5, the number $j + 1$ of surgeries is bounded by a number depending on A only. Let us set $t_0 = 0$.

For convenience we set $M_0 = M$ and $g_0(t) = g(t)$ on $[t_0, t_1]$. Moreover we set, for all $i \geq 1$, $M_i = M_+(t_i)$; we define g_i on $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ by $g_i(t_i) = g_+(t_i)$ and, for all $t \in (t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $g_i(t) = g(t)$. We set $(M'_i, g'_i) = (M'(t_i), g'(t_i))$. Recall that $M'(t_i)$ is the union of the post-surgery manifold M_i and all discarded components. Finally, we set $M_{j+1} = \emptyset$.

Let (\mathbf{P}_i) be the following property:

(\mathbf{P}_i) There exist $\mathcal{B}^i = (\mathcal{B}_k^i)_{0 \leq k \leq [\varepsilon^{-1}]}$ and a map $h_i : [t_i, 2] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^{B_0^i}(M_i)$ such that

- (1) $h_i(t_i) = g_i(t_i)$ and each component of $(M_i, h_i(2))$ is a GL-metric;
- (2) $h_i(t)$ has geometry bounded by \mathcal{B}^i when $t \in [\max(t_i, \tau), 2]$.

We will prove that (\mathbf{P}_i) holds for all $i \in \{0, \dots, j\}$ by backward induction. This will show that (\mathbf{P}_0) is true, which gives the required conclusion.

Let us first prove that (\mathbf{P}_j) holds. We consider the Riemannian manifold $(M_j, g_j(t_j))$. We need to construct a metric isotopy $h_j(t)$ on M_j for $t \in [t_j, 2]$.

We set $h_j(t) = g_j(t)$ on $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$. Item (1) of Theorem 5.5 shows that this path lies in $\mathcal{R}_1^{Q_0}(M_j)$, and item (2) of that theorem proves that (2) of Property (\mathbf{P}_j) holds after restriction to $[\max(t_j, \tau), t_{j+1}]$. We want to extend h_j to the interval $[t_{j+1}, 2]$. Recall that the split Riemannian manifold (M'_{j+1}, g'_{j+1}) is ε -locally canonical since $M_{j+1} = \emptyset$. Lemma 5.6 then applies to each component of (M'_{j+1}, g'_{j+1}) and provides an isotopy $[t_{j+1}, 2] \ni t \mapsto g'_{j+1}(t) \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{B}'}(M'_{j+1})$, where $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Q})$, such that each component of $(M'_{j+1}, g'_{j+1}(2))$ is a GL-metric. We denote by $(M'_{j+1})_{\#}$ the manifold obtained from (M'_{j+1}, g'_{j+1}) by GL-sum at tips of pairs of caps produced by the metric surgery for appropriate parameters. We now identify $(M'_{j+1})_{\#}$ with M_j . Applying Proposition 6.1 and a rescaling, we deduce an isotopy $[t_{j+1}, 2] \ni t \mapsto h'_j(t) \in \mathcal{R}_1^{\mathcal{B}''}(M_j)$, starting from the GL-sum $((M'_{j+1})_{\#}, g'_{j+1}(t_{j+1})_{\#})$, such that each component of $(M_j, h'_j(2))$ is a GL-metric. Using Lemma 3.5 in a small interval near t_{j+1} in $[t_{j+1}, 2]$, we isotope each surgery neck of $(M_j, h_j(t_{j+1}))$ to the corresponding GL-sum of caps of $(M_j, h'_j(t_{j+1}))$. Denoting by h_j the corresponding isotopy on $[t_{j+1}, 2]$, we obtain a continuous path h_j on $[t_j, 2]$. This proves property (\mathbf{P}_j) .

Let us now prove that $(\mathbf{P}_{i+1}) \Rightarrow (\mathbf{P}_i)$. We assume that (\mathbf{P}_{i+1}) holds. Then there exists $\mathcal{B}^{i+1} = (\mathcal{B}_k^{i+1})_{0 \leq k \leq [\varepsilon^{-1}]}$ and a continuous path $h_{i+1} : [t_{i+1}, 2] \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_1^{B_0^{i+1}}(M_{i+1})$ satisfying (1) and (2). We have to prove that there exists \mathcal{B}^i such that each connected component of $(M_i, g_i(t_i))$ is isotopic in $\mathcal{R}_1^{B_0^i}$ to a GL-metric by an isotopy satisfying (2).

On $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ we set $h_i(t) = g_i(t)$ as before. Then $M'_{i+1} \supset M_{i+1}$ and $M'_{i+1} \setminus M_{i+1}$ is the union of the discarded components. The components of (M'_{i+1}, g'_{i+1}) are now of two types: those of M_{i+1} , which satisfy (\mathbf{P}_{i+1}) by the induction assumption, and those of $M'_{i+1} \setminus M_{i+1}$, which are ε -locally canonical for g'_{i+1} . On M_{i+1} we denote by $g'_{i+1}(t)$ an isotopy defined for $t \in [t_{i+1}, 2]$ and given by (\mathbf{P}_{i+1}) . On $M'_{i+1} \setminus M_{i+1}$ we denote by $g'_{i+1}(t)$ the isotopy defined for $t \in [t_{i+1}, 2]$ and given by Lemma 5.6. As above we obtain an isotopy $h'_i(t)$ on M_i defined for $t \in [t_{i+1}, 2]$, by applying Proposition 6.1 and a rescaling to (M'_{j+1}, g'_{j+1}) . We conclude again using Lemma 3.5.

This completes the proof of Theorem 7.1. \square

Appendix A. Construction of metrics with uniformly positive scalar curvature

We prove Theorem 1.3, which we restate for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem A.1. *Let M be an oriented, connected 3-manifold. Then M admits a complete Riemannian metric of uniformly positive scalar curvature and bounded geometry if and only if there exists a finite collection \mathcal{F} of spherical 3-manifolds such that M is a connected sum of members of \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. The ‘only if’ part is proven in [BBM11], except that the conclusion there allows for factors which are diffeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^1$. Those factors can be removed by adding extra edges in the graph.

We prove the ‘if’ part using the material of Section 2. Let (G, X) be a pair presenting M as a connected sum. First we notice that the graph can be modified so that every vertex has degree at most 3: for each vertex v of degree $d \geq 4$ (if any), replace v by a finite tree T_v with d leaves and such that every vertex of T_v has degree at most 3; for each such v , fix an arbitrary vertex w_v of T_v ; then associate X_v to w_v and S^3 to the other vertices of T_v . The resulting manifold is diffeomorphic to M .

Next we put on each X_v an arbitrary Riemannian metric with scalar curvature ≥ 1 , geometry bounded by some constant C and such that each X_v contains a disjoint union of three metric balls of radius $C^{-1/2}$. We fix a number $\rho \in (0, C^{-1/2})$. In each X_v we choose a finite set of points x_i with cardinality the degree of v , and such that the metric balls of radius ρ around the x_i ’s are pairwise disjoint. We remove those metric balls, getting a collection of punctured 3-manifolds. Let Y be their disjoint union. Finally, we choose a neck N_e for each edge of G and glue N_e to the corresponding boundary spheres of Y . The resulting manifold is diffeomorphic to M ; by our generalisation of the Gromov–Lawson construction (see Subsection 2.2), it carries a complete metric with uniformly positive scalar curvature and bounded geometry. \square

Appendix B. Deforming metrics of positive scalar curvature on closed manifolds

We explain how to prove Theorem 1.9, which we restate for convenience:

Theorem B.1. *Let M be a closed, oriented 3-manifold such that $\mathcal{R}_1(M) \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{R}_1(M)/\text{Diff}^+(M)$ is path-connected in the C^∞ topology.*

Proof. There are three differences between Theorems 1.2 and 1.9: (1) the manifold M need not be connected; (2) we work with $\mathcal{R}_1(M)$ as opposed to $\mathcal{R}_+(M)$; (3) we work with $\text{Diff}^+(M)$ instead of $\text{Diff}(M)$.

Point (1) does not pose any problem since we can work with each component separately. Point (2) is dealt with by the following trick:

Lemma B.2. *Let g, g' be two metrics in $\mathcal{R}_1(M)$ which are isotopic in $\mathcal{R}_+(M)$. Then they are isotopic in $\mathcal{R}_1(M)$.*

Proof. Let g_t be an isotopy from g to g' . Since M is compact, there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that $g_t \in \mathcal{R}_\sigma$ for all t . Thus Lemma 4.5 applies. \square

To deal with point (3) above, we need to track down all places in [Mar12] where diffeomorphisms are introduced. Recall that the proof has two parts: showing that any $g \in \mathcal{R}_+(M)$ is isotopic to a canonical metric; and showing that canonical metrics on M are isotopic modulo diffeomorphism. Canonical metrics are defined on pages 841 and 842: a metric g on M is canonical if it is isometric to $(\widehat{M}, \widehat{g})$, a GL-sum of a standard $S^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ and of spherical manifolds with constant sectional curvature metrics (for some choice of basepoints and orthonormal bases). We can always assume this isometry to be positive, orienting \widehat{M} by the choice of the bases, reversing all bases if necessary. The important point is that arguments on page 842, based on Milnor's and de Rham's theorems, imply that any two canonical metrics on M lie in the same path-connected component of the moduli space $\mathcal{R}_+(M)/\text{Diff}^+(M)$. For the second part of the proof, it is thus unnecessary to control the sign of diffeomorphisms, if any. \square

Acknowledgments. This research was partially supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche through project GTO ANR-12-BS01-0014. The second author is supported by the ERC Advanced Grant 320939, GETOM (GEometry and Topology of Open Manifolds). The fourth author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant NSF-DMS-1509027.

References

- [BB⁺10] Bessières, L., Besson, G., Boileau, M., Maillot, S., Porti, J.: Geometrisation of 3-manifolds. EMS Tracts in Math. 13, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich (2010) [Zbl 1244.57003](#) [MR 2683385](#)
- [BBM11] Bessières, L., Besson, G., Maillot, S.: Ricci flow on open 3-manifolds and positive scalar curvature. *Geom. Topol.* **15**, 927–975 (2011) [Zbl 1237.53064](#) [MR 2821567](#)
- [BH15] Belegradek, I., Hu, J.: Connectedness properties of the space of complete nonnegatively curved planes. *Math. Ann.* **362**, 1273–1286 (2015) [Zbl 1327.58014](#) [MR 3368099](#)
- [BH16] Belegradek, I., Hu, J.: Erratum to: Connectedness properties of the space of complete nonnegatively curved planes. *Math. Ann.* **364**, 711–712 (2016) [Zbl 06540673](#) [MR 3451405](#)
- [Car88] Carr, R.: Construction of manifolds of positive scalar curvature. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **307**, 63–74 (1988) [Zbl 0654.53049](#) [MR 0936805](#)
- [CWY10] Chang, S., Weinberger, S., Yu, G.: Taming 3-manifolds using scalar curvature. *Geom. Dedicata* **148**, 3–14 (2010) [Zbl 1201.53047](#) [MR 2721617](#)

- [GL80] Gromov, M., Lawson, H. B., Jr.: The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **111**, 423–434 (1980) [Zbl 0463.53025](#) [MR 0577131](#)
- [GL83] Gromov, M., Lawson, H. B., Jr.: Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **58**, 83–196 (1983) [Zbl 0538.53047](#) [MR 0720933](#)
- [Ham95] Hamilton, R. S.: A compactness property for solutions of the Ricci flow. *Amer. J. Math.* **117**, 545–572 (1995) [Zbl 0840.53029](#) [MR 1333936](#)
- [Hit74] Hitchin, N.: Harmonic spinors. *Adv. Math.* **14**, 1–55 (1974) [Zbl 0284.58016](#) [MR 0358873](#)
- [KS88] Kreck, M., Stolz, S.: A diffeomorphism classification of 7-dimensional homogeneous Einstein manifolds with $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ -symmetry. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **127**, 373–388 (1988) [Zbl 0649.53029](#) [MR 0932303](#)
- [Mar12] Marques, F. C.: Deforming three-manifolds with positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **176**, 815–863 (2012) [Zbl 1319.53028](#) [MR 2950765](#)
- [MT07] Morgan, J., Tian, G.: Ricci Flow and the Poincaré Conjecture. *Clay Math. Monogr.* 3, Amer. Math. Soc. (2007) [Zbl 1179.57045](#) [MR 2334563](#)
- [Per03] Perelman, G.: Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds. [arXiv:math/0303109](#) (2003) [Zbl 1130.53002](#)
- [RS01] Rosenberg, J., Stolz, S.: Metrics of positive scalar curvature and connections with surgery. In: *Surveys on Surgery Theory*, Vol. 2, *Ann. of Math. Stud.* 149, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 353–386 (2001) [Zbl 0971.57003](#) [MR 1818778](#)
- [Shi89] Shi, W.-X.: Deforming the metric on complete Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.* **30**, 223–301 (1989) [Zbl 0676.53044](#) [MR 1001277](#)
- [SY79] Schoen, R., Yau, S. T.: Existence of incompressible minimal surfaces and the topology of three-dimensional manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **110**, 127–142 (1979) [Zbl 0431.53051](#) [MR 0541332](#)
- [Wey16] Weyl, H.: Über die Bestimmung einer geschlossenen konvexen Fläche durch ihr Linienelement. *Zürich. Naturf. Ges.* **61**, 40–72 (1916) [JFM 46.1115.03](#)
- [Yau82] Yau, S. T.: Problem section. In: *Seminar on Differential Geometry*, *Ann. of Math. Stud.* 102, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 669–706 (1982) [Zbl 0479.53001](#) [MR 0645762](#)