

Mosquito vector competence for dengue is modulated by insect-specific viruses

Roenick Proveti Olmo, Yaovi M. H. Todjro, Eric R. G. R. Aguiar, João Paulo

P. de Almeida, Flávia V. Ferreira, Juliana N. Armache, Isaque J. S. de Faria,

Alvaro G. A. Ferreira, Siad C. G. Amadou, Ana Teresa S. Silva, et al.

To cite this version:

Roenick Proveti Olmo, Yaovi M. H. Todjro, Eric R. G. R. Aguiar, João Paulo P. de Almeida, Flávia V. Ferreira, et al.. Mosquito vector competence for dengue is modulated by insect-specific viruses. Nature Microbiology, 2023, 8 (1), pp.135-149. 10.1038/s41564-022-01289-4. hal-03942205

HAL Id: hal-03942205 <https://hal.science/hal-03942205v1>

Submitted on 15 Apr 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

3 4 5

⁹**Mosquito vector competence for** ¹⁰**dengue is modulated by insect** ¹¹**specific viruses**

12

8

13 Roenick P. Olmo^{1,2*}, Yaovi M. H. Todjro^{1*}, Eric R. G. R. Aguiar^{1,3*}, João Paulo P. de 14 Almeida¹, Flávia V. Ferreira¹, Juliana N. Armache¹, Isaque J. S. de Faria¹, Alvaro G. 15 A. Ferreira⁴, Siad C. G. Amadou¹, Ana Teresa S. Silva¹, Kátia P. R. de Souza¹, Ana 16 Paula P. Vilela¹, Antinea Babarit², Cheong H. Tan⁵, Mawlouth Diallo⁶, Alioune Gaye⁶, 17 Christophe Paupy⁷, Judicaël Obame-Nkoghe^{8,9}, Tessa M. Visser¹⁰, Constantianus J. 18 M. Koenraadt¹⁰, Merril A. Wongsokarijo¹¹, Ana Luiza C. Cruz¹², Mariliza T. Prieto¹³, 19 Maisa C. P. Parra¹⁴, Maurício L. Nogueira^{14,15}, Vivian Avelino-Silva¹⁶, Renato N. 20 Mota¹⁷, Magno A. Z. Borges¹⁸, Betânia P. Drumond¹², Erna G. Kroon¹², Mario 21 $\;\;\;\;$ Recker 19,20 , Luigi Sedda 21 , Eric Marois 2 , Jean-Luc Imler 2 & João T. Marques $^{1,2\#}$ 22 23 ¹ Department of Biochemistry and Immunology, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, 24 Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, 31270-901 Belo Horizonte, Brazil. 25 ²Université de Strasbourg, CNRS UPR9022, INSERM U1257, 67084 Strasbourg, 26 France. ³ Department of Biological Science (DCB), Center of Biotechnology and Genetics 28 (CBG), State University of Santa Cruz (UESC), 45662-900, Ilhéus, Brazil. 29 Mosquitos Vetores: Endossimbiontes e Interação Patógeno-Vetor, Instituto René 30 Rachou-Fiocruz, Belo Horizonte 30190-002, MG, Brazil 31 ⁵ Environmental Health Institute, Vector Biology & Control Division, National

- 32 Environment Agency, 138667, Singapore.
- 33 ⁶ Pôle de Zoologie Médicale, Institut Pasteur de Dakar, Dakar, Senegal
- 34 Maladies Infectieuses et Vecteurs : Écologie, Génétique, Évolution et Contrôle
- 35 (MIVEGEC); Université de Montpellier, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement,
- 36 CNRS, 34394 Montpellier, France.
- 87 ⁸ Laboratoire de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire, Département de Biologie, Université
- 38 des Sciences et Techniques de Masuku, 901 Franceville, Gabon.
- 39 ⁹ Écologie des Systèmes Vectoriels, Centre Interdisciplinaire de Recherches Médicales
- 40 de Franceville, 769 Franceville, Gabon.
- ¹⁰ 41 Laboratory of Entomology, Wageningen University & Research, 6708, Wageningen,
- 42 The Netherlands.
- 43 ¹¹ Central Laboratory of the Bureau of Public Health, Paramaribo, Suriname.
- ¹² Department of Microbiology, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal
- 45 de Minas Gerais (UFMG), 31270-901, Belo Horizonte, Brazil.
- 46 ¹³ Secretaria Municipal de Saúde, Seção de Controle de Vetores, Santos City Hall,
- 47 11013-151, Santos, Brazil.
- ¹⁴ 48 Laboratory of Research in Virology, Faculdade de Medicina de São José do Rio 49 Preto (FAMERP), 15090-000, São José do Rio Preto, Brazil.
- 50 ¹⁵ Departament of Pathology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, TX, USA.
- 51 ¹⁶ Department of Infectious and Parasitic Diseases, Faculdade de Medicina da
- 52 Universidade de São Paulo (FMUSP), 01246-903, Cerqueira Cesar, Brazil.
- 53 ¹⁷ Health Surveillance (Zoonosis Control), Brumadinho City Hall, 35460-000,
- 54 Brumadinho, Brazil.
- 55 ¹⁸ Center for Biological and Health Sciences, Universidade Estadual de Montes Claros,
- 56 39401-089, Montes Claros, Brazil.
- 57 ¹⁹ Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Penryn
- 58 TR10 9FE, United Kingdom
- 59 ²⁰ Institute of Tropical Medicine, Universitätsklinikum Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
- 60 ²¹ Lancaster Medical School, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YG, United
- 61 Kingdom.
- 62 * These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 63 # Corresponding author, email: $\frac{1}{2}$ tm@ufmg.br; joao.marques@unistra.fr
- 64

ABSTRACT

Aedes aegypti **and** *Aedes albopictus* **mosquitoes are the main vectors for dengue virus (DENV) and other arboviruses, including Zika virus (ZIKV). Understanding the factors that affect transmission of arboviruses from mosquitoes to humans is a priority, because it could inform public health and targeted interventions. Reasoning that interactions among viruses in the vector insect might affect transmission, we analysed the viromes of 815 urban** *Aedes* **mosquitoes collected from 12 countries worldwide. Two mosquito-specific viruses, Phasi Charoen-like virus (PCLV) and Humaita Tubiacanga virus (HTV) were the most abundant in** *A. aegypti* **worldwide. Spatiotemporal analyses of virus circulation in an endemic urban area revealed a 200% increase in chances of having DENV in wild** *A. aegypti* **mosquitoes when both HTV and PCLV were present. Using a mouse model in the laboratory, we showed that the presence of HTV and PCLV increased the ability of mosquitoes to transmit DENV and ZIKV to a vertebrate host. By transcriptomic analysis, we found that, in DENV infected mosquitoes, HTV and PCLV block the downregulation of histone H4, which we identify as an important pro-viral host factor in vivo.**

MAIN

Dengue fever is the fastest growing vector-borne disease worldwide and causes an 85 estimated 400 million new infections every year¹⁻⁴. In addition, over the past decades, several other arboviruses, including ZIKV and chikungunya (CHKV), have emerged and caused a substantial burden of disease. Increased transmission of arboviruses has been underpinned by increased geographic reach of the main vector mosquitoes, 89 A. aegypti and A. albopictus^{4,5}, mainly due to climate change, because warming produces ideal conditions for mosquitoes. Vector abundance, assessed using cross-sectional surveys, has long been used as a proxy for infection risk, but the incidence of arbovirus infection does not directly correlate with mosquito abundance⁶. We still lack a complete understanding of the factors that affect rates of transmission to humans.

Virologic surveillance of adult *Aedes* mosquitoes by metagenomic analysis can lead to early identification of circulating arboviruses, and help raise preparedness to inform 98 public health measures that can curtail or even prevent outbreaks⁷. In addition to arboviruses, these surveillance efforts have also identified an enormously diverse set 100 of insect-specific viruses (ISVs) in *Aedes* mosquitoes ⁸⁻¹². Although ISVs do not infect vertebrates, they have been shown to affect the capacity of the mosquito to be infected,

maintain and transmit arboviruses, which together comprise vector competence and 103 will therefore affect the incidence of infection in humans 7,13,14 .

In order to carry out a comprehensive characterization of the viromes of mosquitoes that can harbour arboviruses, and inform risk assessment and public health strategies to mitigate arbovirus disease, we collected in the wild and performed metagenomic analysis of over 800 adult *Aedes* mosquitoes, and report our findings here.

RESULTS

Virome analysis of *Aedes* **mosquitoes**

Adult *A. aegypti* and *A. albopictus* mosquitoes were collected from the field in 12 different sites from six countries on four continents (**Fig. 1a**). In total, 815 adult mosquitoes were pooled according to species, location and date of collection, resulting in 91 samples derived from 69 *A. aegypti* and 22 *A. albopictus.* Details of the pools are described in **Supplementary Table 1**. Whole mosquito samples were used to extract RNA and construct small RNA libraries that were sequenced and analyzed using a 118 shotgun metagenomic strategy previously optimized to detect viruses (See ref.). Briefly, this strategy is based on the detection of virus-derived small RNAs that are used to assemble longer contiguous sequences for further characterization. In total, we identified putative viral 1448 contigs present in our mosquito samples (**Fig. 1b,c**). Data curation (described in **Fig. 2a** and in the methods section) suggested that these contigs represent at least 12 different viruses based on the phylogeny of polymerase genes (**Fig. 2b**), including seven known viruses previously identified as ISVs. Out of these, three remain unclassified while the other four belong to the *Phenuiviridae*, *Xinmoviridae*, *Bunyaviridae* and *Flaviviridae* families **(Fig. 2b)**. No known arboviruses were detected in our metagenomic analysis. The five remaining viral polymerase sequences showed low similarity to the closest known reference in Genbank. Phylogenetic analyses confirmed that they are likely new viral species (**Extended Data Fig. 2**), belonging to the *Partitiviridae*, *Totiviridae*, *Rhabdoviridae*, *Narnaviridae* and *Virgaridae* families (**Fig. 2b**). These viruses were named according to their classification (**Fig. 2b**). All new viruses were most closely related to known ISVs (**Extended Data Fig. 2**), but their final classification requires biological characterization.

All 12 identified viruses, 7 known and 5 new, had RNA genomes, either single-stranded (of positive and negative polarity) or double-stranded (**Fig. 2b**). The small RNA profile observed for these viruses shows clear production of siRNAs (**Extended Data Fig. 3**),

which results from the activity of the RNAi pathway during active viral replication in the 140 mosquito host ^{8,15–19}. Viruses detected in *Aedes* mosquitoes were strictly species-specific and often associated with specific locations (**Fig. 2c**). Out of the 12 identified viruses, 10 were found in *A. aegypti* and two in *A. albopictus* suggesting a less diverse virome in the latter even when accounting for a lower number of samples. Indeed, looking at the diversity of the mosquito virome per country, a single virus species was detected in each *A. albopictus* population while 4-6 different viruses were present in *A. aegypti* (**Fig. 2d**). In addition, comparing different mosquito species that were collected from the same sites in Caratinga, Montes Claros, Lope, Franceville and Singapore, we observe that *A. aegypti* had higher virome diversity than *A. albopictus* in 4 out of 5 cases (**Fig. 2c**).

Using the small RNAs mapping to each virus contig as a proxy for abundance, we found that viral loads varied for the same virus in different locations and also between different viruses (**Extended Data Fig. 4a**). Detection of these new viruses in the original RNA samples from wild mosquitoes used for the metagenomic analysis was confirmed by RT-qPCR and conventional PCR (**Extended data Fig. 4b,c**). These results validated our small RNA sequencing strategy. Detection of Orbis virgavirus (OVV) by RT-qPCR failed in samples from Suriname, but this was attributed to polymorphisms in the primer annealing region (**Extended data Fig. 4d**). For each virus, the viral load determined by small RNA abundance corresponded with qRT-PCR detection. However, the relative quantification of viruses by qRT-PCR and small RNA abundance was unique for each virus, with some being underestimated (PCLV) or overestimated (Aslam narnavirus) (**Extended data Fig. 4e**).

Biogeography of mosquito viruses

Three of twelve locations had five or more viruses circulating in the local *A. aegypti* population: Santos, Paramaribo and Singapore (**Fig. 2c**). Notably, these are all port cities, which are likely to have a continuous influx of mosquitoes. Three different lines of laboratory *A. aegypti* mosquitoes lacked any viruses according to our analysis (**Fig. 2c**). Most of the viruses we detected were present in mosquitoes at single sites but five were present on at least two continents (**Fig. 2d**). In *A. aegypti*, two known ISVs, PCLV and HTV, were present in more than half of the samples (**Fig. 2c**), with the remaining eight viruses found in less than 20% of the samples. No viruses were found with a prevalence higher than 20% in *A. albopictus* and only one was present in multiple sites (Fig. **2c,d**). HTV and PCLV in *A. aegypti* had the highest viral loads of all viruses in either mosquito species (**Extended Data Fig. 4**) but were not present in any

samples of *A. albopictus*. Notably, HTV and PCLV were either absent, or present at very low viral loads in *A. aegypti* mosquitoes collected in Africa (**Fig. 2c**), where 178 transmission of arboviruses, such as DENV and ZIKV is low (**Extended data Fig. 4f**)^{1,2}. High loads of HTV and PCLV were observed in mosquitos sampled in areas with high 180 DENV/ZIKV incidence, namely Asia and South America (**Extended data Fig. 4f**)^{1,2}. We hypothesized that there was a positive association between ISVs and arboviruses, which was unexpected (competition between RNA viruses in the same host would be 183 more likely).

Circulation of ISVs and arboviruses in the wild

In order to examine the spatiotemporal dynamics of the two major resident viruses in wild mosquitoes, HTV and PCLV, we chose to focus on one of the 12 sites used for the metagenomic analysis using a collection of archived mosquito RNA samples, 515 *A. aegypti* and 24 *A. albopictus*, previously collected over a year, August 2010 to July 2011, in Caratinga city, southeast Brazil (**Fig. 3a**). This dataset was previously used to 191 assess DENV circulation in an endemic urban area²⁰. Based on our metagenomics approach, we detected three viruses in Caratinga mosquitoes, OVV, HTV and PCLV (**Fig. 2c**), which we confirmed using RT-qPCR. OVV, HTV and PCLV were detected in wild *A. aegypti* but were absent from *A. albopictus,* even though both species were often captured in the same traps (**Fig. 3b**). OVV was only detected in three individual mosquitoes, and we focused our analyses on HTV and PCLV, which were present at prevalence of 61% and 83%, respectively (**Fig. 3b,c**). Based on this survey of individual mosquitoes by RT-qPCR, we confirmed that HTV and PCLV were highly prevalent in natural mosquito populations during the whole period of collections and independent of the location within the city (**Fig. 3d** and **Extended Data Fig. 5a**). Moreover, we observed a strong positive association between the presence of HTV and PCLV in mosquitoes (*p*<1E-10, chi-squared test), suggesting that co-infection might be advantageous for these viruses.

HTV and PCLV are presumed to be ISVs although they are poorly 205 characterized to date $8,21,22$. We detected HTV and PCLV in different tissues, including 206 the salivary glands, which suggested that they could be transmitted by a mosquito bite (**Extended Data Fig. 5b,c**). To assess the possibility that HTV and PCLV could be transmitted to humans, we analyzed human blood samples collected concomitantly with mosquitoes in Caratinga city, southeast Brazil from February to July 2011. Human blood samples and mosquitoes from Caratinga were previously analyzed by RT-qPCR 211 for the presence of DENV 20 and these data were used for comparison. Plotting the numbers of this previous analyses, we observe that DENV was detected in less than

5% of mosquitoes, but in more than 30% of human blood samples (**Fig. 3c**). We did not detect HTV or PCLV in RNA extracted from human blood samples from Caratinga, despite their high prevalence in mosquitoes, suggesting that these viruses are unable to productively infect humans (**Fig. 3c**). Furthermore, HTV and PCLV do not grow in mammalian cell lines, such as Vero cells, reinforcing the idea that they are ISVs (**Extended Data Fig. 5d,e**). HTV and PCLV were detected in mosquito eggs, which suggests that these viruses are maintained in mosquitos by vertical transmission (**Extended Data Fig. 5c**).

Our data indicate that HTV and PCLV are not infectious to humans, but we 222 wanted to understand whether they may affect how arboviruses are transmitted. In the dataset of mosquitoes from Caratinga city analyzed here, we observed a statistically significant enrichment of HTV and PCLV in individuals that also harbored DENV (**Fig. 3E**). Statistical analyses revealed that both HTV alone (OR 2.59, [1.09,716] 95%CI) and HTV/PCLV co-infections (OR 3.06, [1.29,8.46] 95% CI) are both associated with 227 the presence of DENV in mosquitoes, whereas PCLV alone had no statistically significant association with DENV. However, due to positive association between HTV and PCLV that we identified in mosquitoes from Caratinga (*p*<1E-10, chi-squared test, described above), it is hard to dissect the contribution of each virus. We note that our analysis is based on a small sample of mosquitoes, it and could be affected by physical, ecological or environmental factors. However, in Caratinga, a town that is only 3 km wide and 3 km long, ecological conditions are likely homogenous on the same collection date. Population density was not found to be important in a previous study from our group²³. In addition, our analyses found neither geographic nor temporal patterns of virus distribution in Caratinga (**Fig. 3d** and **Extended data Fig. 5a**). Thus, our observations using field samples strongly indicate a positive interaction between 238 two ISVs, HTV and PCLV, and the arbovirus DENV in mosquitoes.

HTV and PCLV increase arbovirus replication in mosquitoes

Since we had access to ovitraps from Rio de Janeiro, where HTV and PCLV were also found at high prevalence, we obtained a few hundred eggs from wild *A. aegypti* mosquitoes and reared them in the laboratory for 2 generations. From the F2 of lab-reared population, we pooled eggs from 5 individual females that were either free of 245 any virus, or carried both HTV and PCLV to produce two separate mosquito lines (see Methods for details). We were unable to isolate lines carrying only HTV or PCLV, 247 reinforcing the strong association observed in our wild sample cohort.

We exposed the two separate mosquito lines that were ISV free or co-infected 250 with HTV/PCLV to blood feeding on mice previously infected with DENV or ZIKV using an infectious blood meal. We found that mosquitoes carrying HTV and PCLV had similar prevalence and viral loads of DENV in the midgut at 4, 8 and 14 days post feeding (d.p.f.) to that present in ISV-free mosquitoes (**Fig. 4a,b**). At 14 d.p.f., we observed a trend towards higher viral load of DENV in the midgut of mosquitoes carrying HTV/PCLV but this trend was not statistically significant (**Fig. 4b**). In the carcass of mosquitoes, we observed a five-fold significant increase in DENV levels at 8 and 14 d.p.f. in individuals carrying HTV/PCLV compared to ISV free controls (**Fig. 4c**). Mosquitoes with HTV/PCLV also displayed higher susceptibility to ZIKV than ISV-free mosquitoes (**Fig. 4d-f**). ZIKV RNA levels were significantly increased at 4, 8 and 14 d.p.f. in the midgut of mosquitoes carrying HTV and PCLV compared to ISV free controls (**Fig. 4e**). In the carcass, we observed a fivefold increase in dissemination at 4 d.p.f. and significantly 10-fold higher viral loads at 8 d.p.f. in the presence of HTV and PCLV. Overall, our results demonstrate increased systemic DENV and ZIKV infection in mosquitoes carrying HTV/PCLV compared to virus-free controls.

To further investigate the specific effect of HTV and PCLV during the systemic phase of infection, we directly injected ZIKV into the mosquito haemocele, which bypasses the stage of midgut infection (**Extended Data Fig. 6a**). Here, we also observed increased viral replication in the carcass of HTV/PCLV carrying wild mosquitoes compared to ISV-free controls (**Extended Data Fig. 6a-c**).

Our mosquito colonies established from individuals with and without ISVs were derived from the same but highly heterogenous wild population. It is therefore possible that our 273 selection generated colonies composed of individuals that differed with regards to their 274 genetic backgrounds in addition to the presence of ISVs. To rule out a role for the genetic background, we next performed experiments with laboratory mosquitoes that are genetically more homogenous. Laboratory mosquitoes were artificially infected with HTV and PCLV to test whether ISVs have a direct impact on the susceptibility to arboviruses (**Extended data Fig. 6d**). Notably, HTV and PCLV loads and tissue 279 tropism during artificial injection were similar to naturally infected mosquitoes after 8 days post injection (**Extended Data Fig. 5b**). Artificially infected laboratory mosquitoes had increased systemic ZIKV RNA levels at 8 d.p.f. compared to controls, similar to what we observed for lines carrying HTV and PCLV derived from wild populations (**Extended data Fig. 6d-f**). Increased systemic viral replication was also observed when laboratory mosquitoes were artificially infected with HTV and PCLV before being injected with ZIKV (**Extended Data Fig. 6g-i**). Although artificially infected lab

mosquitoes did not show increased ZIKV replication in the midgut, this can be explained by the fact that naturally infected mosquitoes have more marked effects due to presence of HTV and PCLV throughout development (**Extended Data Fig. 5b**).

Notably, in wild mosquitoes, ZIKV infection had a positive impact on PCLV levels in the midgut of infected mosquitoes (**Extended data Fig. 6j,k**), which suggests a mutual beneficial interaction between these viruses. As mentioned before, HTV was not detected in the midgut even in the presence of ZIKV (**Extended data Fig. 6l**). Neither HTV nor PCLV were consistently affected by ZIKV infection in the carcass, although we observed an increase in PCLV levels and a reduction in HTV levels in single time points (**Extended data Fig. 6m,n**).

Transmission of DENV and ZIKV is increased by ISVs

We tested whether increased ZIKV and DENV levels in mosquitoes carrying HTV and PCLV led to increased amounts of arboviruses in mosquito salivary glands, and increased transmission to a vertebrate host. Wild HTV/PCLV positive mosquitoes showed faster kinetics and higher prevalence of ZIKV infection in salivary glands compared to ISV-free controls (**Fig. 4g** and **Extended Data Fig. 6o-p**). We simulated vectorial transmission in a susceptible animal model using mice deficient for type I and 305 type II interferon receptors^{24,25} (Fig. 4h). We opted to test ZIKV transmission because the mouse model for this virus is more robust than for DENV. Mice were incubated with ZIKV-infected mosquitoes at 6, 8 and 12 d.p.f. and viremia was analyzed in these animals. No viremia was observed in mice bitten by mosquitoes at 6 d.p.f. (**Fig. 4i**). Mosquitoes were able to efficiently transmit ZIKV to 5 out of 5 mice at 8 d.p.f. but only in the presence of HTV and PCLV (**Fig. 4i**). At 12 d.p.f., mosquitoes with or without HTV/PCLV were able to equally transmit ZIKV to 3 out of 3 mice (**Fig. 4i**). Thus, the presence of ISVs is associated with shortening of the extrinsic incubation period (EIP) of ZIKV, which is the time required for infected mosquitoes to become infectious to a vertebrate host. While mosquitoes carrying HTV and PCLV were able to transmit ZIKV between 7 and 8 days, ISV free individuals required between 9 and 12 days. Thus, the presence of ISVs in mosquitoes could lead to shortening of the EIP between 1 and 5 days although our experiments did not allow us to pinpoint the exact difference. Furthermore, mosquitoes carrying HTV and PCLV and analyzed at both 8 and 12 d.p.f. had significantly higher ZIKV levels compared to ISV free controls (**Fig. 4j**).

To further elucidate the impact of HTV and PCLV infection on the EIP we applied a previously developed mathematical model 26,27 . Our modelling demonstrates that even

small changes in EIP could have a large impact on the number of human infections (**Fig. 4k**). For example, shortening the EIP from 10 days to 8 days, within the range of the difference we observed between mosquitoes with and without ISVs in the laboratory, could lead to a 5-fold increase in the number of infections (**Fig. 4k**), which can be explained by the short average life expectancy of *Aedes* mosquitoes in the wild. As a consequence, arboviral prevalence in mosquitoes is also increased due to both the increased availability of infected humans and faster viral kinetics inside mosquitoes (**Fig. 4l**), providing a link between our field observations and laboratory experiments. Although this model was parameterized for DENV transmission, the results should broadly hold for other arboviral diseases transmitted by the same mosquito vector, including ZIKV.

HTV and PCLV modulate histone H4 expression in mosquitoes

In order to probe the biological mechanisms by which ISVs affect systemic dissemination of arboviruses in *A. aegypti* mosquitoes, we analyzed the transcriptome of RNA harvested from entire mosquito carcasses at different times after DENV infection (4, 8 and 14 d.p.f.). Overall, we found that the presence of HTV and PCLV had little effect on the transcriptome of DENV infected mosquitoes (**Extended data Fig. 7a**). Only 100/ 10000 genes analysed were significantly up- or down regulated and less than 10 were common between time points (**Extended data Fig. 7b**). Of interest, genes associated with known antiviral pathways, such as Toll, IMD, Jak-STAT, autophagy and RNA interference did not show any consistent differences in expression (**Extended data Fig. 7c**). Next, we compared the transcriptome of DENV infected and non-infected individuals from groups of mosquitoes carrying HTV and 347 PCLV, or virus-free controls, using Gene Set Enrichment analysis (GSEA)²⁸ (Fig. 5a). We focused our analysis on the carcass of mosquitoes infected by DENV at 8 and 14 d.p.f. where the presence of HTV and PCLV had the strongest effect (**Fig. 5a**). This analysis identified 7 biological pathways that were significantly affected both by the presence of HTV / PCLV and DENV infection in at least one time point (**Fig. 5b**). Notably, all pathways were downregulated during DENV infection and upregulated by the presence of HTV and PCLV, as indicated by the enrichment score (NES) (**Fig. 5b**). Out of these, four pathways were significantly affected in at least 6 out of 8 comparisons: *nucleosome*, *nucleosome assembly*, *DNA templated transcription initiation* and *protein heterodimerization activity* (**Fig. 5b**). Analysis of genes responsible for the significant enrichment, showed that they were almost the same for these 4 biological pathways (**Fig. 5c**). Indeed, histones represented the majority of genes differentially regulated by DENV infection and the presence of HTV and PCLV,

with histone H4 topping the list (**Fig. 5c**). Thus, we used RT-qPCR to analyze histone H4 expression and validate our observations in independent experiments using ZIKV. Histone H4 expression was significantly downregulated by ZIKV infection in the carcass of infected mosquitoes in a time-dependent manner (**Fig. 5d,e**), which was prevented by the presence of HTV and PCLV (**Fig. 5e**). Furthermore, levels of histone H4 were significantly higher in the presence of HTV and PCLV at every time point tested when compared to ISV free controls (**Fig. 5e**). We also observed upregulation of histone H4 in the midgut, but only at 4 d.p.i. (**Extended Data Fig. 7d,e**). Importantly, differential expression of histone H4 between mosquitoes with or without HTV/PCLV was only observed in the presence of DENV and ZIKV infections (**Fig. 5f,g**). We showed that artificial infection of laboratory mosquitoes with HTV and PCLV alone did not significantly affect histone H4 expression (**Extended Data Fig. 7f,g**).

Histone genes are highly conserved, often found in multiple copies that lack polyadenylation signals 29 . Yet, there are non-canonical histone genes that possess polyadenylation signals. The genome of *A. aegypti* encodes at least 299 histone genes in the assembled chromosomes (chr.) and another 135 copies present in extra Supercontigs (**Extended Data Fig. 8a**). In comparison, humans encode only about 80 histone genes in total²⁹, despite having a larger genome. Most histone genes (267 out of 299) were found in a single cluster on chr. 3 (**Extended Data Fig. 8a,b**). With regards to histone H4 we identified 66 genes in total and 59 in the cluster on chr. 3 (**Extended Data Fig. 8a**). Histone H4 genes showed high similarity, with almost 100% aminoacid conservation but some sequence variation at the nucleotide level, especially in the copies outside of the chr.3 cluster (**Extended Data Fig. 8c,d**). Histone H4 genes that have clear polyadenylation signals were the most detected in our dataset and in the available transcriptome of mosquito tissues since they all were prepared using polyA selection (**Extended Data Fig. 8d**). Interestingly, the effect of HTV and PCLV on histone H4 RNA levels was not significant when we analyzed polyadenylated copies, which represented less than 10% of all histone H4 expression (**Extended Data Fig. 8e**). This suggests HTV and PCLV may primarily affect non-polyadenylated histones that are coordinately synthesized with DNA replication during 391 the S-phase of cell division and are stable after incorporation into chromatin .

Silencing of histone H4 and DENV replication in mosquitoes

We applied dsRNA-mediated gene silencing to knock down histone H4 expression in adult mosquitos prior to infection with DENV or ZIKV (**Fig. 5h** and **Extended Data Fig. 8f,g**). Mosquitos silenced for histone H4 before infection had significantly reduced

DENV levels in the midgut at 4 and 8 d.p.i., although the difference was not significant at the later time point (**Fig. 5i**). As a result, we observed slower kinetics of infection in the carcass with lower prevalence at 4 d.p.i. and reduced viral loads at later time points (**Fig. 5j**). These results indicate that histone H4 is an important pro-viral host factor during DENV infection. As observed for the effect of HTV and PCLV, histone H4 affected the kinetics of DENV infection but was not essential for viral replication. Together, our data suggest that two highly prevalent ISVs, HTV and PCLV, affect mosquito vector competence for DENV and ZIKV by preventing the downregulation of histone H4, a novel proviral host factor. To test this hypothesis, we directly targeted histone H4 in mosquitoes carrying HTV and PCLV by using dsRNA mediated gene silencing. We did not observe any changes in histone H4 expression in mosquitoes injected with cognate dsRNA (**Extended Data Fig. 8h**). This is in stark contrast to the efficient silencing triggered by the same dsRNA sequence in mosquitoes that did not carry HTV and PCLV (**Extended Data Fig. 8g**). Notably, another dsRNA targeting the AGO2 nuclease that is central to the RNA interference pathways was able to trigger efficient silencing in the same mosquitoes carrying HTV and PCLV (**Extended Data Fig. 8i**). These results again point to a specific effect of HTV and PCLV on histone H4 expression in mosquitoes.

DISCUSSION

We report positive interactions between ISVs and arboviruses in mosquitoes in the wild and in the laboratory. Previously ISVs have mainly been reported to interfere with 419 arbovirus replication in mosquitoes (superinfection exclusion) 7,31,32 . As HTV and PCLV are the most abundant ISVs that we detected in wild *A. aegypti* mosquitoes, it is feasible that they can have a substantial impact on the global transmission of DENV and ZIKV.

We also showed that HTV and PCLV increase histone H4 expression during 424 DENV infection and that histone H4 is a pro-viral host factor for the replication of DENV in mosquitoes. We propose that ISVs increase DENV infection through upregulation of histone H4. However, we were unable to establish a direct connection between the 427 regulation of histone H4 expression and the increase in vector competence. Regarding 428 the role of histone H4 as a putative proviral factor, it is worth mentioning that C protein from flaviviruses interacts with histones and is capable of interfering with nucleosome 430 assembly ³³. Recent work further suggests that the C protein of Yellow fever virus and possibly other flaviviruses mimics the tail of histone H4 and regulates gene expression 432 to favour infection . Thus, downregulation of histone H4 may be part of a coordinated host response to limit the ability of flaviviruses to control gene expression, which could be counteracted by HTV and PCLV. We observed no major changes in gene 435 expression in the presence of HTV and PCLV, which suggests that the proviral role of histone H4 is not achieved through major regulation of gene expression. Rather, replication-dependent histones seem to be preferentially regulated by HTV and PCLV, which could point to a mechanism involving cell division. Notably, replication-439 dependent histones are targeted by RNA interference , which could provide a 440 connection with the role of this pathway in the antiviral defense of mosquitoes 16,36 .

Although previous studies have reported interactions between ISVs and 442 arboviruses, most were performed in cell lines $37-40$. One exception is Nhumirim virus (NHUV) and Cell fusing agent virus (CFAV), which were shown to interfere with 444 replication of arboviruses in the same family as West Nile virus, DENV and ZIKV $31,41,42$. Interestingly, in cell lines, PCLV either inhibited or did not affect the replication of ZIKV^{21,22}. Also since we could not test the presence of PCLV alone in adult mosquitoes, we cannot rule out that HTV has the predominant proviral effect.

Further work will be needed to understand how HTV and PCLV regulate histone H4 during DENV and ZIKV infection, and whether this mechanism affects other arboviruses such as CHIKV. Understanding how ISVs affect vector competence could reveal alternative strategies for controlling arbovirus transmission.

METHODS

Ethics statement

All procedures involving vertebrate animals were approved by the ethical review committee of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (CEUA 337/2016 and 118/2022 to J.T.M.). Mosquito were collected in Gabon under the research authorization AR0013/17/MESRS/CENAREST/CG/CST/CSAR delivered by CENASREST. Unlinked anonymous testing of human blood samples was approved by the ethics committee in research (COEP) of Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (number 415/04 to EGK).

Human blood samples

 Human samples were previously reported²⁰. Forty-four blood samples were collected from patients that sought medical attention in the city of Caratinga between February and July of 2011 by professional nurses as part of a city surveillance plan. Blood samples were mixed with EDTA as an anticoagulant and stored at 4 °C. Serum was obtained from blood samples and inactivated at 56 °C for 30 min. Total RNA extraction from human blood samples was performed using Trizol LS Reagent (Invitrogen).

Data reporting

No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. Experiments were not randomized and investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment.

Mosquito collection in the field

Locations of mosquito collections are described in the **Supplementary Table 1**. Field traps were used to collect adult mosquitoes that were further identified using morphological characteristics. Whole mosquitoes were ground in TRIzol (Invitrogen) and kept refrigerated prior to RNA extraction. Collection and processing of individual mosquitoes from Caratinga for spatiotemporal analysis of virus circulation were 483 previously reported²⁰. RNA samples of these mosquitoes were re-analyzed in this work.

RNA extraction

RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer protocol with minor modifications. Briefly, individual mosquito samples or tissues were collected in 1,5 mL tubes, 3-5 glass beads (1 mm diameter) and ice-cold TRIzol were

490 added before being homogenized in a Mini-BeadBeater-16 (Biospec[®]) for 90 seconds. Glycogen (Ambion) was added (10µg per sample) to facilitate pellet visualization upon RNA precipitation. RNAs were resuspended in RNAse-free water (Ambion) and stored at -80˚C.

Small RNA library construction

Different strategies for library construction were implemented and are indicated in **Supplementary Table 1**. The strategy was determined according to RNA quality evaluated by the 2100 Bioanalyzer system (Agilent). Libraries were built using total RNA or size selected small RNAs (18-30 nt), depending on quality and yield of the sample. In the case of low RNA yield, especially when the source was a single mosquito, total RNA was directly used as input for library preparation. For samples with more than 20 ug of RNA available, small RNAs were selected by size (18–30 nt) on a denaturing PAGE. For samples with more than 20 ug of total RNA that displayed a degradation profile (*i.e.*, lack of sharp ribosomal RNA peaks), total RNA was subjected to oxidation using sodium periodate $43,44$, prior to size selection. Oxidized and non-oxidized size selected RNAs (18-30 nt) were used as input for library 507 construction. In all cases, libraries were prepared utilizing the TruSeq[®] Small RNA 508 Library Prep Kit (Illumina®) or NEBNext[®] Multiplex Small RNA Library Prep Set for 509 Illumina[®] (New England BioLabs inc.) following protocols recommended by the manufacturers. Libraries were pooled and sequenced at the GenomEast sequencing platform at the Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire in Strasbourg, France.

Small RNA-based metagenomics for virus identification

After sequencing, raw sequenced reads from small RNA libraries were submitted to 516 adaptor trimming using cutadapt v1.12, discarding sequences with low Phred quality (< 20), ambiguous nucleotides and/or with length shorter than 15 nt. Remaining 518 sequences were mapped to reference sequences of A. aegypti (AaeL5) ⁴⁶ or A. 519 albopictus⁴⁷ using Bowtie⁴⁸ v1.1.2 allowing no mismatches. Size profiles of small RNAs matching reference sequences and 5' nt frequency were calculated using in-house Perl v5.16.3, BioPerl library v1.6.924 and R v3.3.1 scripts. Plots were made in R using ggplot2 v2.2.0 package. Sequences that did not present similarities with bacteria or the host genomes were used for contig assembly and subsequent 524 analyses. Assembly was performed essentially as previously described with the 525 following changes: (1) We replaced Velvet assembler by SPAdes 50 on the second round of contig assembly. (2) Assembled contigs ranging from 50 to 199 nt were

characterized solely based on sequence similarity search against Viral RefSeq 528 Database . (3) Contigs greater than 200nt were characterized based on sequence similarity against the NCBI NT and NR databases and submitted to pattern-based 530 strategies. (4) For manual curation of putative viral contigs, top 5 BLAST hits were analyzed to rule out similarity to other organisms, ORF organization and small RNA size profile (distribution and coverage) were analyzed to differentiate between viruses. Contigs containing truncated ORFs and small RNA profiles without the presence of 534 symmetric small RNA peaks at 21 nt were considered to be EVEs as described 15 . (5) 535 Manually curated viral contigs were grouped using CD-HIT requiring 90% of coverage with 90% of identity to remove redundancy. Representative contigs were used for co-occurrence analysis based on small RNA abundance on each of the small RNA libraries available. Contigs grouped into a single cluster (Hierarchical clustering based on Pearson correlation) were then used as trusted on SPAdes for a re-assembly step using all the libraries in which that viral sequence was found. In total, we assembled 7260 contigs larger than 200 nt (**Fig. 1b,** assembly metrics in **Supplementary Table 2**). 1448/ 7240 contigs were identified as putative viral sequences using sequence similarity searches against non-redundant nucleotide and protein databases (NT and NR, respectively) at GenBank (**Fig. 1b, Supplementary Table 3**). Although the number of contigs assembled per library varied greatly, we observed high abundance and diversity of viral contigs in most samples (**Fig. 1c**). Comparing results from the two mosquito species, the percentage of viral contigs was substantially smaller in *A. albopictus* libraries compared with *A. aegypti* (**Fig. 1c**). In addition, we noted more variation in the number of assembled contigs, and larger proportions of unknown contigs, in libraries from *A. albopictus*, probably because this species is less studied compared to *A. aegypti* (**Fig. 1c**). Most animal genomes contain integrated viral sequences known as endogenous viral elements (EVEs) that are 553 transcribed and generate small RNAs $54-56$. In order to discriminate sequences of viruses from EVEs, we took advantage of the small RNA profile associated with ORF 555 analysis and contig size (**Fig. 2a**) ¹⁵. This filter identified 446 putative EVE sequences that were removed from the initial viral contigs (**Fig. 2a**). The remaining viral contigs, representing putative viruses, were grouped into 158 unique clusters (based on sequence similarity) (**Supplementary Table 4**). In 19 clusters, parts of contigs had significant similarity to two different viruses. Our results suggested that these were misassemblies and thus they were removed from further analyses (**Fig. 2a**). Contigs representing the remaining clusters were used to evaluate co-occurrence in the 91 small RNA libraries from *A. aegypti* and *A. albopictus* (**Extended Data Fig. 1**). The occurrence of contigs in each library was indicated by the normalized number of small

RNA reads mapped to each reference. Across the small RNA libraries, contigs that consistently co-occurred and shared similar expression profiles were considered probable fragments from the same viral genome (**Fig. 2a**). This analysis yielded a total of 12 clusters of co-occurring contigs and 3 single contigs that had no additional partners. Contigs from each cluster were further analyzed based on the closest reference sequence to determine the putative organization of fragments along the viral 570 genome. This analysis showed that clusters #2 and #3 contained contigs belonging to the same virus, PCLV, and were considered together for further analyses (**Extended Data Fig. 1**). To further classify the clusters and single contigs, we focused on the ones that represented sequences encoding viral polymerases. We were able to identify clear polymerase sequences in each of the 11 clusters and in one out the 3 single contigs.

Phylogenetic analyses

Assembled viral contigs were submitted to analysis of conserved domains to identify RdRp-related regions using NCBI Conserved Domain Search (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi). For each putative virus, the largest RdRp segment was used to identify virus relatives at NCBI sequence databases (NT and NR) using sequence-similarity searches through BLAST tool. 583 Multiple alignments were performed using the MAFFT online tool available at https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/mafft/. For putative new viruses identified at protein level (*Narnaviridae, Partitiviridae, Rhabdoviridae, Totivirdae* and *Virgaviridae*), amino acid sequences were selected, and phylogenetic analyses were carried out on 587 CIPRES Portal version 3.3 (https://www.phylo.org/portal2)⁵⁸. The best-fit model of 588 protein evolution was selected in ModelTest-NG for each viral species, using Maximum Likelihood (ML) method. For the virus from *Totiviridae* family, an additional strategy was also applied using nucleotide sequences where the best-fit model was 591 defined using MEGA-X tool , and the tree was constructed using ML method. For all phylogenetic trees, clade robustness was assessed using bootstrap method (1000 593 pseudoreplicates) and trees were edited using iTOL version 5.7 (https://itol.embl.de/).

Mosquitoes

Wild *A. aegypti* mosquitoes used in cage experiments were F2 to F5 generations derived from eggs collected in the Rio de Janeiro city (Urca neighborhood) in Brazil and were kindly provided by Dr. Rafael M. de Freitas from Fiocruz-RJ and Dr. Luciano 600 A. Moreira from Fiocruz-MG. The laboratory Red-eye strain was kindly provided by

Prof. Pedro C. Oliveira from the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro - UFRJ. *A. aegypti* mosquitoes were maintained in a climatic chamber at 28°C and 70-80% relative humidity, in a 14:10 hour light:dark photoperiod, and 10% sucrose solution *ad libitum*. Mosquito cages contained individuals that emerged in a 48h-interval.

Generation of HTV+/PCLV+ and HTV–/PCLV– mosquito lines

Mosquito lines persistently infected with PCLV and HTV or non-infected counterparts were generated from F2 generations of wild mosquitoes. Three days after a blood meal, F2 mated females were individually isolated in tubes containing a filter paper and 0.5 cm of water and were allowed to lay eggs for 24 hours. Individual females were collected, and the total RNA was isolated using TRIzol (Invitrogen) following the standard protocol. Detection of HTV and PCLV was performed by RT-qPCR using the primers described in **Supplementary Table 5**. Eggs corresponding to 5 female mosquitoes infected with HTV, PCLV or both viruses were pooled prior to hatching. Pools of eggs from 5 females negative for both viruses were pooled similarly to create virus free lines. Subsequent detection of HTV and PCLV was performed to confirm correct identification of lines. Lines generated from females carrying a single virus (HTV or PCLV) were tested and found to carry both viruses. Therefore, only virus free and double infected lines were expanded for two more generations for experiments described in this work.

Artificial infection of naïve laboratory *A. aegypti* **mosquitoes with HTV and PCLV**

Extracts of naturally infected *A. aegypti* mosquitoes were used as source for HTV and PCLV since we were not able to produce these viruses in cell culture. Viral stocks were produced from pools of 15 *A. aegypti* naturally infected with HTV and PCLV or non-infected mosquitoes (virus-free controls), that were grinded using pestles in 1200 µL of L-15 Leibovitz medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). 628 Samples were centrifuged at 3000 \times g for 15 minutes at 4°C for clarification. Supernatants were collected and passed through a 0.22 µm filter, aliquoted, and stored at -80°C prior use. Infection with HTV and PCLV or mock control was performed by microinjecting 69 nL of the extract into naïve laboratory mosquitoes (*A. aegypti* RedEye strain) using a Nanoject II microinjector (Drummond).

Infection of Vero cells with HTV and PCLV

Filtered *A. aegypti* extracts (500 µL) containing HTV and PCLV (obtained as described above) were transferred into T-25 flasks containing 90% confluent Vero cells in non-supplemented DMEM medium. After one hour of viral adsorption, 4.5 mL of DMEM medium supplemented with penicillin/streptomycin and 10% of FBS were added to 639 cells, that were incubated at 37° C and 5% CO₂. 100 μ L aliquots of the supernatant were collected during each passage on days 1, 3 and 5 after exposure to HTV and PCLV. Virus in the supernatant was assessed by RT-qPCR. Vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) was added as a spike immediately before RNA extraction to be used as an internal control.

DENV and ZIKV propagation

646 Viral isolates of DENV1 (MV09) and ZIKV (PE243/2015)⁶³ were propagated in C6/36 *A. albopictus* cells or Vero cells respectively. For DENV1 propagation, C6/36 cells were maintained on L15 medium supplemented with 5% FBS (fetal bovine serum) and 1x 649 Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Gibco) as described²⁰. Cells were seeded to 70% confluence and infected at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.01 and maintained for 6 to 9 days at 28˚C. For ZIKV propagation, a similar procedure was followed using Vero cells that were maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum) and 1x Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Gibco). Vero cells were seeded to a confluence of 70- 80% infected with ZIKV at a MOI of 0.01 and maintained for 6 days in culture. For both viruses the supernatant was collected and clarified by centrifugation to generate virus stocks that were kept at -80˚C prior to use. Mock-infected supernatants used as controls were prepared under same procedure without virus infection. Titration of DENV1 was performed in BHK-21 cells while ZIKV was titrated in Vero cells, both using the plaque assay method to determine viral titer.

DENV and ZIKV infection in mosquitoes

Natural infection in mosquitoes was performed using mice deficient for interferon-I and 663 interferon-II receptors as described 19 . Briefly, infection in AG129 mice was established 664 by intraperitoneal injection of approximately 10 6 PFU of DENV1 or 10 6 PFU of ZIKV. Infected mice were anaesthetized 3 days post infection (peak of viraemia) using ketamine/xylazine (80/8 mg per kg) and placed on top of the netting-covered containers with adult mosquito females. Mosquitoes were allowed to feed on mice for 30 minutes to 1 hour, alternating on the same animal between cages every 10 min if two groups were to be compared. Viremia of each mouse was tested by RT-qPCR immediately after feeding and the experiment would be discarded if a discrepancy of more than 10x in viral load was observed. For infections by membrane feeding, 5-6 day old adult females were starved for 24h and fed with a mixture of blood and virus 673 supernatant containing 10^7 PFU/mL of DENV serotype 1 utilizing a glass artificial feeding system covered with pig intestine membrane as previously described 19 . After

blood feeding, fully engorged females were selected and kept in standard rearing conditions until collection at different time points. Mosquitoes infected by injection were anesthetized with cold at 4˚C and kept on ice during the whole procedure. Virus stocks were diluted with L15 medium (Gibco) and injections were carried out using the microinjector Nanoject II (Drummond) with a volume of 69 nL. Each individual mosquito was injected with 16 PFU of DENV or ZIKV. Mosquitoes were harvested at different days post injection for dissection and RNA extraction. Tissues (midguts, salivary glands, and ovaries) were dissected in ice-cold 1x phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.01% Triton X-100 (Sigma). Remnants of mosquito tissues were considered as carcass, as illustrated in figure schemes. Tissues or mosquitoes were 685 ground in TRIzol (Invitrogen) using glass beads as previously described 19 and kept frozen at –80˚C until RNA extraction as described above.

Mouse model for vectorial transmission of arboviruses

Groups of mosquitoes naturally infected with HTV and PCLV or PCLV/HTV-free siblings were fed on the same ZIKV-infected AG129 mouse as described above. One mouse was used per time point of transmission that was evaluated. Engorged females were kept after feeding and, three days later, allowed to lay eggs for 48 hours in dark cups containing 1 cm of water and a paper sheet attached to their walls. At 6-, 8-, and 12-days post feeding, 10 to 12 mosquito females of each group were allowed to feed on naïve AG129 mice for up to 3 hours. Mice were bled 3 days after mosquito biting and viral RNA levels were quantified by RT-qPCR. Viral loads were also quantified by RT-qPCR in engorged mosquitoes from each group after feeding on a naïve mouse.

dsRNA mediated gene silencing

RNA transcription was performed using T7 Megascript kit (Ambion) following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, template DNA containing T7 promoter sequences in both 5' and 3' extremities was obtained by RT-PCR for dsAGO2 and dsH4, or by PCR amplification from plasmid pDSAG (Addgene #62289) for dsGFP. Primer sequences are provided in **Supplementary Table 5**. Adult 4-day-old females were intrathoracically injected with 69 nl of a dsRNA solution (7.2 μg μl−1) diluted in annealing buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl) using a nano-injector Nanoject II (Drummond Scientific Company). Mosquitoes were allowed to recover for 48 h before further experiments. Once recovered, dsRNA-injected mosquitoes were allowed to feed or were microinjected with virus following the procedures described above.

RT-qPCR and RT-PCR

Total RNA extracted from individual mosquitoes or individual tissues were reverse transcribed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (RT) using random primers (hexamers) for initiation. Negative controls were prepared following the same protocol without adding reverse transcriptase. cDNA was subjected to polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using the kit GoTaq Hot Start Green PCR Master Mix (Promega) or quantitative 718 polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) utilizing the kit Power SYBR® Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) following manufacturer instructions. Results were expressed using the 2-ΔCt method relative to the endogenous control *rpL32*. Primer sequences are listed in **Supplementary Table 5**. In experiments designed to differentiate the expression of Histone H4 polyA transcripts versus non-polyA, cDNAs were 723 synthesized starting from the same amount of total RNA in two independent reactions 724 either using random hexamers or anchored oligo dT_{22} as reverse transcription initiators. Subsequent quantifications by RT-qPCR were performed as described above.

Mathematical model

To investigate the effect of variations in the extrinsic incubation period (EIP) on the cumulative incidence of DENV infections, we used a previously developed spatially 731 explicit, individual-based meta-population model 28 . Briefly, humans and mosquitoes are defined with a unique state representing their current epidemiological status and, in case of humans, their infection history. Human individuals are considered to be either susceptible, exposed, infectious or recovered with respect to each serotype, allowing up to four sequential infections. For mosquitoes, only the susceptible, exposed, and infectious states of the epidemiologically relevant adult life-stage are considered. The sizes of the respective populations are kept constant with deaths being replaced by births. For simplicity, rather than accounting for seasonality through changes in mosquito densities or temperature-dependent variations in EIP, this is done here by varying daily mosquito biting rates and given as

741
$$
a_v(t) = a_0(\beta + (1-\beta)\sin(t\pi/364)^4),
$$

where *t* denotes time days and assuming a 364-day year. Both human and vector mortality rates are age-dependent, *i.e.*, the per capita risk of death is assumed to increase with age, which prevents individuals living beyond biologically reasonable ages. For computational efficiency, individuals' life expectancies are assigned at birth. Spatial structure is included by subdividing humans and mosquitoes into a spatially organised sets of non-overlapping communities, where individuals mix homogeneously. Mosquitoes preferentially bite humans in their own community and adjacent communities but can also bite individuals of non-adjacent communities with low probability to account for (daily) human mobility and associated long-distant transmission events. Parameter values are listed in **Supplementary Table 6** and were chosen to capture the qualitative dynamics of DENV in a high-transmission setting with four co-circulating serotypes (DENV1-4).

The model exhibits pronounced demographic and epidemiological stochasticities that arise from the fully probabilistic nature of state transitions and result in significant inter-annual oscillations in both disease incidence and relative prevalence of the four co-circulating serotypes. To investigate the effect of shortening, or lengthening the extrinsic incubation period, we ran the model for a period of 100 years and recorded the total annual number of infections for the last 50 years, discarding the transient dynamics. Due to the stochastic nature of the model, we averaged the 50-year cumulative incidence over five model runs for each value of the EIP. Mosquito and human prevalence was equally evaluated over a 50-year time period but taken as the proportion of infected individuals on a single day during the seasonal peak, resulting in 50 individual data points.

Poly-A selection, RNA library construction, and transcriptomic analysis

RNA samples from individual mosquitoes were pooled and RNA quality was verified using the 2100 Bioanalyzer system (Agilent). mRNA libraries were constructed using 769 the kits NEBNext[®] Poly(A) mRNA Magnetic Isolation Module and NEBNext[®] Ultra[™] II 770 Directional RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina[®] (New England BioLabs inc.) following the manufacturer protocol. Libraries were pooled and sequenced at the GenomEast sequencing platform at the Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire in Strasbourg, France. Sequenced reads with an average quality score above phred 25 had adaptors removed using Trimmomatic v0.39 and were further mapped to the 775 decoyed transcriptome of A. aegypti (Vectorbase release 48) using Salmon v1.3.0^{64,65}. Quasi-mapping quantifications were imported into R v3.6.3 and data normalization was 777 performed using the packages EdgeR v3.28.1 and TMM $66,67$. Differentially expressed genes were inferred using the exactTest function assuming a natural dispersion of 40% in gene expression, whose input was used in the function decideTestsDGE. Fold change plots were created using the package ggplot2 v3.3.6 and Euler diagrams generated with the package venneuler v1.1-3. Heatmaps were generated using the R

packages tydiverse v1.3.1 and gplots v3.1.3. Ranked lists of gene expression for each 783 comparison was used as input for Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) 28 using the 784 R package fgsea v1.12.0 68 and in-house developed gene-sets comprising Gene Ontology annotation, pathways, and genes of interest. Sets with adjusted *p*-value < 0.1 were considered in our analysis.

Analysis of Histone H4 genes in *A. aegypti*

789 Alignment of putative *histone H4* genes was performed using T-Coffee webserver ⁶⁹ with the variant M-Coffee that allows to combine multiple outputs from different aligners (MAFFT, Clustal and Muscle). The model (TN93 + I) for the phylogenetic 792 reconstruction was defined using SMS within PhyMI server $70,71$. Finally, the Maximum-likelihood tree was constructed with PhyMl requiring 1000 bootstrap replicates and 794 edited using iTOL server ⁶¹. The *Histone H4* polyadenilation signature was defined according to the presence of a canonical polyadenylation signal (AAUAAA) as 796 previously described ³⁵. The *histone H4* expression heatmap shown in **Extended Data** *Fig. 8d* was produced in R v4.0.2 using the package gplots v3.1.3 and show transcripts TPM counts and normalized by Z-score (row) and sorted according to the phylogenetic tree. Libraries were obtained at the Sequence Read Archive (SRA/NCBI) and accession numbers are shown.

Statistical analyses

Evaluation of statistical significance was performed using GraphPad Prism 9.0 or R-cran v3.3.1 software unless stated otherwise. Viral loads of RT-qPCR positive-only individual mosquito/tissues were log transformed and subjected to Mann-Whitney *U* test. Pairwise comparisons of virus infection prevalence was evaluated by Fisher's exact test or sequentially by a generalized linear model considering the interaction between time points and their prevalence, followed by ANOVA type II using the car package v3.1-0 on R. Presence and absence of DENV in mosquitoes was modelled 810 with univariate and multivariate zero-inflated binomial model (ZIB) 72,73 , since 95% of the collections are zeroes. The covariate or covariates (in case of multivariate model) was/were the same for the logit and logistic parts of the model. In particular, we considered PCLV, HTV and their interaction. Model selection was carried out by AIC 814 and BIC comparison 74 . The Vuong test was conducted a priori to test if the zero inflated binomial model was statistically significant and better (in terms of AIC and BIC) than a 816 non-zero inflated model. Data were analysed using R and the 'pscl' package v1.5.5 75 . Finally, we tested the presence of spatial autocorrelation in the two viruses – via 818 variogram analyses - but no significant autocorrelations have been found (results

not reported).

Data availability

- Small RNA and transcriptome libraries from this study have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) at NCBI (project accession PRJNA722589). Sequences spanning the RdRP region from newly discovered viruses were deposited in GenBank under accession MZ556103-MZ556111. Accession numbers for small RNA libraries are provided in **Supplementary Table 1**.
-

Code availability

All scripts used in this work were deposited in GitHub and can be accessed on https://github.com/ericgdp/sRNA-virome code version 1.0.

Acknowledgments

We thank all members of the ZIKAlliance consortium, especially Anna-Bella Failloux and Alain Kohl, who helped establish a network of collaborators and contributed with fruitful discussions. We thank current and former members of the Marques laboratory and the M3i unit - Insect Models of Innate Immunity, especially Stephanie Blandin and Nelson Martins, for suggestions and discussions.

Funding

This work of the Interdisciplinary Thematic Institute IMCBio, as part of the ITI 2021- 2028 program of the University of Strasbourg, CNRS and Inserm, was supported by 842 IdEx Unistra (ANR-10-IDEX-0002), by SFRI-STRAT'US project (ANR 20-SFRI-0012), and EUR IMCBio (IMCBio ANR-17-EURE-0023) under the framework of the French Investments for the Future Program as well as from the previous Labex NetRNA (ANR-845 10-LABX-0036) to J.T.M and J.-L.I.. This work has been also supported by grants from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) to J.T.M. and E.R.G.R.A.; Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (FAPEMIG), Rede Mineira de Imunobiológicos (grant # REDE-00140-16), Rede Mineira de Biomoléculas (grant # REDE-00125-16), Instituto Nacional de Ciência e Tecnologia de Vacinas (INCTV), fonds régional de coopération pour la recherche FRCT2020 Région Grand-Est – ViroMod and Institute for Advanced Studies of the University of Strasbourg (USIAS fellowship 2019) to J.T.M.; Google Latin American Research Award (LARA 2019) to J.T.M. and J.P.P.A.; FAPESP (Grant #13/21719-3) to M.L.N. This work was also supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under ZIKAlliance grant agreement No 734548 and Investissement d'Avenir Programs (ANR-10-LABX-0036 and ANR- 11-EQPX-0022) to J.-L.I. and J.T.M., and ANR PRC TIGERBRIDGE, grant number: 16-CE35-0010-01 to

C.P. J.T.M., B.P.D., E.G.K and M.L.N. are CNPq Research Fellows. M.L.N. is partly funded by the Centers for Research in Emerging Infectious Diseases (CREID), "The Coordinating Research on Emerging Arboviral Threats Encompassing the Neotropics (CREATE-NEO)" grant U01 AI151807 by the National Institutes of Health (NIH/USA). This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior — Brasil (CAPES) — Finance Code 001 to J.T.M. and V.A.S. R.P.O. received a post-doctoral fellowship from CAPES.

Contributions

- R.P.O., E.R.G.R.A., J.-L.I. and J.T.M conceived the project. Methodology: R.P.O.,
- Y.M.H.T., E.R.G.R.A., J.P.P.A., J.N.A., L.S. and J.T.M. designed the experiments and
- performed computational analysis. R.P.O., Y.M.H.T., I.J.S.F., F.V.F., A.G.A.F.,
- S.C.G.A., A.T.S.S., K.P.R.S., A.P.P.V., A.B. performed experiments. C.H.T., M.D.,
- A.G., C.P., J.O.N., T.M.V., C.J.M.K., M.A.W., A.L.C.C., M.T.P., M.C.P.P., M.L.N.,
- V.A.S., R.N.M., M.A.Z.B., B.P.D., E.G.K., E.M. provided samples and reagents. M.R.
- performed the mathematical modelling. R.P.O., Y.M.H.T., E.R.G.R.A., J.-L.I. and
- J.T.M. wrote the manuscript. All authors read and contributed to manuscript editing.

Competing interests

Authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Figure Legends

Fig. 1 The virome of *A. aegypti* **and** *A. albopictus* **mosquitoes.**

a, World map indicating sites of mosquito collection. Pie charts show the proportion of *A. aegypti* and *A. albopictus* in red and blue, respectively, at each collection site. Adult mosquitoes were captured either using traps or human baits. Laboratory strains of mosquitoes analyzed in this work are indicated at the bottom. **b**, Overview of our 886 analysis pipeline. Captured mosquitoes were morphologically identified by species and
887 stored in a biobank of RNA samples, that were pooled to prepare small RNA libraries 887 stored in a biobank of RNA samples, that were pooled to prepare small RNA libraries
888 for high-throughput sequencing. Using our metagenomic pipeline, assembled contigs for high-throughput sequencing. Using our metagenomic pipeline, assembled contigs were classified into viral and non-viral sequences based on similarity against reference
890 databases. Sequences that lack any similarity to known references are indicated as $\,$ databases. Sequences that lack any similarity to known references are indicated as $\,$ 891 $\,$ unknown. $\,$ c. Individual results from our metagenomic analysis for each of the 91 small $\,$ unknown. **c**, Individual results from our metagenomic analysis for each of the 91 small RNA libraries in this study. Bars indicate the total number of contigs and the proportion of viral, non-viral and unknown contigs per library.

Fig. 2 A highly diverse and distinct collection of viruses in *A. aegypti* **and** *A.* 896 **albopictus.**
897 **a**. Overview

a, Overview of the strategy for manual curation of viral contigs to confirm the origin and remove sequences potentially derived from EVEs. Curation consisted of BLAST search for similar viral sequences, inspection of predicted ORF structure including continuity and extension throughout each contig, and evaluation of the small RNA 901 profile for the identification of signatures of siRNA production (symmetrical 902 accumulation of RNAs with 20-23 nt length that mapped to each strand with no 5' base accumulation of RNAs with 20-23 nt length that mapped to each strand with no 5' base preference) or piRNA production (accumulation of 24-29 nt length RNAs with 5' U preference); and overall contig coverage by small RNAs. Remaining viral contigs were clustered by sequence similarity and co-ocurrence to identify groups of contigs that belong to same viruses. Re-assembly was perfomed within these groups and resulting contigs were analyzed for the presence of domains. Potential polymerases identified were used to classify viruses based on sequence similarity and phylogeny. **b**, Host, 909 virus genomic organization, family, and closest reference on GenBank identified by
910 BLAST similarity searches for each of the 12 viruses identified in our datasets. New 910 BLAST similarity searches for each of the 12 viruses identified in our datasets. New 911 viral species are indicated in red while previously known viruses are in black. 911 viral species are indicated in red while previously known viruses are in black.
912 Sequence similarity and accession number according to the closest viral sequence at Sequence similarity and accession number according to the closest viral sequence at the nucleotide (nt) or protein (aa) levels are indicated. **c**, Viral load shown as a heatmap for each of the twelve viruses in mosquito populations from each collection site or laboratory strains. In the heatmap, white indicates absence of a virus and *NA* indicates 916 absence of samples from a given location. Prevalence of each virus is shown on the 917 right as number of samples with detectable virus over the total. Number of individuals right as number of samples with detectable virus over the total. Number of individuals 918 per pool and number of species per collection site are described on the 919 **Supplementary Table 1. d** Pie-charts represent the overall burden of virus and viral **Supplementary Table 1**. **d**, Pie charts represent the overall burden of virus and viral 920 diversity for A, aequati and A, albopictus populations in each collection site across the diversity for *A. aegypti* and *A. albopictus* populations in each collection site across the word. X indicates that no viral contigs have been identified.

Fig. 3 Concurrent detection of HTV, PCLV and DENV.

a, Location of the study site, the city of Caratinga in the Southeast of Brazil. **b**, 925 Prevalence of individual and co-infections by OVV, HTV and PCLV tested by RT-
926 **program and PCR c**. Prevalence of DENV, HTV and PCLV separately in individual A, aequotic qPCR. **c**, Prevalence of DENV, HTV and PCLV separately in individual *A. aegypti* mosquitoes and human blood samples accessed by RT-qPCR. **d**, Monthly prevalence of HTV and PCLV separately in individual *A. aegypti* mosquitoes. **e**, Prevalence of HTV

929 and PCLV co-infection in DENV infected (DENV+) and DENV non-infected (DENV-)
930 mosquitoes. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Fisher's exact test. mosquitoes. Statistical significance was determined by two-tailed Fisher's exact test. **f**, Likelihood of DENV infection in mosquitoes carrying HTV or PCLV and HTV shown by odds ratio.

Fig. 4 Effects of insect specific viruses on DENV and ZIKV transmission. a-g Strategy to evaluate the interference of HTV and PCI V on DENV (a-c) of

a-g, Strategy to evaluate the interference of HTV and PCLV on DENV (**a-c**) or ZIKV (**d-g**) infection in wild mosquito populations. HTV/PCLV infected or virus free mosquitoes were exposed to DENV (**a**) or ZIKV (**d**) on a blood meal. Viral loads and prevalence of infection were measured in the midgut (**b,e**), carcass (**c,f**) and salivary glands (**g**) of mosquitoes at the indicated days post feeding (d.p.f.). Pie charts below each group indicate the prevalence of DENV or ZIKV infection at each time point. **h**, Strategy to compare the ability of mosquitoes with or without HTV and PCLV to transmit ZIKV to the susceptible AG129 mouse model. **i**, Viremia in mice was 943 determined 3 days after exposure to mosquito bites, comparing animals that were
944 bitten by mosquitoes carrying or not HTV and PCLV at 6, 8 and 12 days post oral bitten by mosquitoes carrying or not HTV and PCLV at 6, 8 and 12 days post oral infection. *ND* – not detected. Pie charts below each group indicate the prevalence of infection. (**j**) ZIKV RNA levels in mosquitoes used in the transmission experiment in **i**. 947 NS – non-significant. **k-I** Spatially explicit, individual-based meta-population model
948 showing the effect of EIP duration on the number of human infections (**k**) and virus showing the effect of EIP duration on the number of human infections (**k**) and virus 949 prevalence in mosquitoes (**I**) taken as a proportion of infected individuals on a single
950 day during the seasonal peak over a 50 year period (n=50). In box plots of **b. c. e. f.** i. day during the seasonal peak over a 50 year period (*n*=50). In box plots of *b*, *c*, *e*, *f*, *j*, 951 and *I*, boxes show the second and third interquartile ranges divided by the median
952 while whiskers represent maximum and minimum values. Statistical significance was 952 while whiskers represent maximum and minimum values. Statistical significance was 953 determined by two-tailed Mann–Whitney U-test. In \boldsymbol{k} lines represent the averaged determined by two-tailed Mann–Whitney U-test. In *k*, lines represent the averaged cumulative incidence over five model runs for each value of the EIP, while shadows depict confidence intervals. Numbers of infected midguts (*b*,*e*), carcasses (*c*,*f*), or 956 whole mosquitoes (*j*) over the total number tested are indicated above each column.
957 Each dot represents an individual sample. Statistical significance of the prevalence of 957 Each dot represents an individual sample. Statistical significance of the prevalence of 958 infection was determined in \boldsymbol{b} . \boldsymbol{c} . \boldsymbol{e} . \boldsymbol{f} . \boldsymbol{i} , and \boldsymbol{i} by two-tailed Fisher's exact test or infection was determined in *b*, *c*, *e*, *f*, *i*, and *j* by two-tailed Fisher's exact test or, in *g*, using a binomial generalized linear model followed by ANOVA type II testing for time points as factor.

Fig. 5 HTV and PCLV regulate the expression of proviral histone H4 during DENV 963 **infection.**
964 **a** Overall

a, Overall strategy to identify biological pathways associated with HTV and PCLV interaction with DENV. HTV/PCLV infected and virus free wild mosquito populations 966 were allowed to feed on DENV-infected mice. The transcriptome of DENV infected and
967 – non-infected mosquitoes from HTV/PCLV and virus free groups were analyzed non-infected mosquitoes from HTV/PCLV and virus free groups were analyzed separately at 8- and 14-days post feeding. Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was performed for each comparison. **b**, Biological processes significantly enriched 970 (adjusted *p*-value < 0.01) in the comparisons of DENV-infected versus non-infected
971 mosquitoes and PCLV/HTV infected versus virus free controls are shown. **c**. Overlap mosquitoes and PCLV/HTV infected versus virus free controls are shown. **c**, Overlap 972 of leading-edge genes belonging to the 4 biological processes enriched in at least 6
973 out of 8 comparisons. Size of each circle represents the number of leading-edge 973 out of 8 comparisons. Size of each circle represents the number of leading-edge
974 oenes. Histogram shows that histone genes represent the maiority in the leading edge 974 genes. Histogram shows that histone genes represent the majority in the leading edge
975 of significantly enriched processes. **d-e**. Histone H4 levels in the carcass of mosquitoes of significantly enriched processes. **d-e**, Histone H4 levels in the carcass of mosquitoes at 2, 4, and 8 d.p.i. with ZIKV in wild mosquitoes carrying HTV/PCLV or virus free controls (**e**). **f**-**g**. Differential expression of histone H4 levels in the carcass of 978 mosquitoes in the presence of HTV and PCLV is only observed in DENV infected
979 individuals, h-i. Silencing of histone H4 mRNA in adult mosquitoes exposed to DENV. individuals. **h-j**, Silencing of histone H4 mRNA in adult mosquitoes exposed to DENV. DENV infection was analyzed at 4 and 8 d.p.f. in the midgut (**i**) and carcass (**j**) of silenced (dsH4) and control (dsGFP) mosquitoes. Pie charts below each group

982 indicate the prevalence of DENV or ZIKV infection. *d.p.f.* – days post feeding. *d.p.i.* – 983 days post injection. *NS* – non-significant. In box plots of **e. q. h.** and **i.** boxes show the 983 days post injection, *NS* – non-significant. In box plots of *e*, *g*, *h*, and *j,* boxes show the second and third interquartile ranges divided by the median while whiskers represent 985 maximum and minimum values. Numbers of infected samples over the total number
986 tested are indicated above each column. Statistical significance was determined by 986 tested are indicated above each column. Statistical significance was determined by
987 two-tailed one-way ANOVA with Tukey's correction for multiple comparisons (e.g) or 987 two-tailed one-way ANOVA with Tukey's correction for multiple comparisons (**e**,**g**) or 988 by two-tailed Mann-Whitney U-test (**h**,**i**). Each dot represents an individual sample. 989 Statistical significance of prevalence of infection was determined by two-tailed Fisher's exact test.

- (2013).
- 3. Messina, J. P. *et al.* Global spread of dengue virus types: mapping the 70 year history. *Trends Microbiol* **22**, 138–146 (2014).
- 4. Franklinos, L. H. V., Jones, K. E., Redding, D. W. & Abubakar, I. The effect of global change on mosquito-borne disease. *Lancet Infect Dis* **19**, e302–e312 (2019).
- 5. Kraemer, M. U. G. *et al.* Past and future spread of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 854–863 (2019).
- 6. Cromwell, E. A. *et al.* The relationship between entomological indicators of Aedes aegypti abundance and dengue virus infection. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **11**, e0005429 (2017).
- 7. de Almeida, J. P., Aguiar, E. R., Armache, J. N., Olmo, R. P. & Marques, J. T. The virome of vector mosquitoes. *Curr Opin Virol* **49**, 7–12 (2021).
- 8. Aguiar, E. R. G. R. *et al.* Sequence-independent characterization of viruses based
- on the pattern of viral small RNAs produced by the host. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, 6191–6206 (2015).
- 9. Boyles, S. M. *et al.* Under-the-Radar Dengue Virus Infections in Natural Populations of Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes. *mSphere* **5**, (2020).
- 10. Ramos-Nino, M. E. *et al.* High prevalence of Phasi Charoen-like virus from wild-
- caught Aedes aegypti in Grenada, W.I. as revealed by metagenomic analysis.
- *PLoS One* **15**, e0227998 (2020).
- 11. Shi, C. *et al.* Stable distinct core eukaryotic viromes in different mosquito species from Guadeloupe, using single mosquito viral metagenomics. *Microbiome* **7**, 121 (2019).
- 12. Zakrzewski, M. *et al.* Mapping the virome in wild-caught Aedes aegypti from Cairns and Bangkok. *Sci Rep* **8**, 4690 (2018).
- 13. Patterson, E. I., Villinger, J., Muthoni, J. N., Dobel-Ober, L. & Hughes, G. L.
- Exploiting insect-specific viruses as a novel strategy to control vector-borne disease. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* **39**, 50–56 (2020).
- 14. Vasilakis, N. & Tesh, R. B. Insect-specific viruses and their potential impact on arbovirus transmission. *Curr Opin Virol* **15**, 69–74 (2015).
- 15. Aguiar, E. R. G. R., Olmo, R. P. & Marques, J. T. Virus-derived small RNAs: molecular footprints of host-pathogen interactions. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev RNA* **7**, 824–837 (2016).
- 16. Morazzani, E. M., Wiley, M. R., Murreddu, M. G., Adelman, Z. N. & Myles, K. M.
- Production of virus-derived ping-pong-dependent piRNA-like small RNAs in the mosquito soma. *PLoS Pathog* **8**, e1002470 (2012).
- 17. Myles, K. M., Wiley, M. R., Morazzani, E. M. & Adelman, Z. N. Alphavirus-derived
- small RNAs modulate pathogenesis in disease vector mosquitoes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 19938–19943 (2008).
- 18. Frangeul, L., Blanc, H., Saleh, M.-C. & Suzuki, Y. Differential Small RNA Responses against Co-Infecting Insect-Specific Viruses in Aedes albopictus Mosquitoes. *Viruses* **12**, (2020).
- 19. Olmo, R. P. *et al.* Control of dengue virus in the midgut of Aedes aegypti by ectopic
- expression of the dsRNA-binding protein Loqs2. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 1385–1393 (2018).
- 20. Sedda, L. *et al.* The spatial and temporal scales of local dengue virus transmission
- in natural settings: a retrospective analysis. *Parasit Vectors* **11**, 79 (2018).

- 21. Schultz, M. J., Frydman, H. M. & Connor, J. H. Dual Insect specific virus infection limits Arbovirus replication in Aedes mosquito cells. *Virology* **518**, 406–413 (2018).
- 22. Fredericks, A. C. *et al.* Aedes aegypti (Aag2)-derived clonal mosquito cell lines
- reveal the effects of pre-existing persistent infection with the insect-specific bunyavirus Phasi Charoen-like virus on arbovirus replication. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **13**, e0007346 (2019).
- 23. Sedda, L., Taylor, B. M., Eiras, A. E., Marques, J. T. & Dillon, R. J. Using the intrinsic growth rate of the mosquito population improves spatio-temporal dengue risk estimation. *Acta Trop* **208**, 105519 (2020).
- 24. Lin, J.-J. *et al.* Aggressive organ penetration and high vector transmissibility of epidemic dengue virus-2 Cosmopolitan genotype in a transmission mouse model.
- *PLoS Pathog* **17**, e1009480 (2021).
- 25. Sun, P. *et al.* A mosquito salivary protein promotes flavivirus transmission by activation of autophagy. *Nat Commun* **11**, 260 (2020).
- 26. Lourenço, J. & Recker, M. Natural, persistent oscillations in a spatial multi-strain disease system with application to dengue. *PLoS Comput Biol* **9**, e1003308 (2013).
- 27. Lourenço, J. *et al.* Epidemiological and ecological determinants of Zika virus transmission in an urban setting. *Elife* **6**, e29820 (2017).
- 28. Subramanian, A. *et al.* Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based
- approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**, 15545–15550 (2005).
- 29. Flaus, A., Downs, J. A. & Owen-Hughes, T. Histone isoforms and the oncohistone code. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* **67**, 61–66 (2021).
- 30. Lyons, S. M. *et al.* A subset of replication-dependent histone mRNAs are expressed as polyadenylated RNAs in terminally differentiated tissues. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, 9190–9205 (2016).
- 31. Baidaliuk, A. *et al.* Cell-Fusing Agent Virus Reduces Arbovirus Dissemination in *Aedes aegypti* Mosquitoes *In Vivo*. *J Virol* **93**, e00705-19, /jvi/93/18/JVI.00705- 19.atom (2019).
- 32. Blitvich, B. J. & Firth, A. E. Insect-specific flaviviruses: a systematic review of their discovery, host range, mode of transmission, superinfection exclusion potential and genomic organization. *Viruses* **7**, 1927–1959 (2015).
- 33. Colpitts, T. M., Barthel, S., Wang, P. & Fikrig, E. Dengue virus capsid protein binds core histones and inhibits nucleosome formation in human liver cells. *PLoS One* **6**, e24365 (2011).
- 34. Mourão, D. *et al. A histone-like motif in yellow fever virus contributes to viral replication*. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2020.05.05.078782 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.05.05.078782.
- 35. Girardi, E. *et al.* Histone-derived piRNA biogenesis depends on the ping-pong partners Piwi5 and Ago3 in Aedes aegypti. *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, 4881–4892 (2017).
- 36. Varjak, M. *et al.* Aedes aegypti Piwi4 Is a Noncanonical PIWI Protein Involved in Antiviral Responses. *mSphere* **2**, e00144-17 (2017).
- 37. Parry, R. & Asgari, S. Aedes Anphevirus: an Insect-Specific Virus Distributed Worldwide in *Aedes aegypti* Mosquitoes That Has Complex Interplays with *Wolbachia* and Dengue Virus Infection in Cells. *J Virol* **92**, e00224-18, /jvi/92/17/e00224-18.atom (2018).
- 38. Zhang, G., Asad, S., Khromykh, A. A. & Asgari, S. Cell fusing agent virus and dengue virus mutually interact in Aedes aegypti cell lines. *Sci Rep* **7**, 6935 (2017).
- 39. Nasar, F., Erasmus, J. H., Haddow, A. D., Tesh, R. B. & Weaver, S. C. Eilat virus
- induces both homologous and heterologous interference. *Virology* **484**, 51–58 (2015).
- 40. Kenney, J. L., Solberg, O. D., Langevin, S. A. & Brault, A. C. Characterization of a novel insect-specific flavivirus from Brazil: potential for inhibition of infection of
- arthropod cells with medically important flaviviruses. *J Gen Virol* **95**, 2796–2808 (2014).
- 41. Romo, H., Kenney, J. L., Blitvich, B. J. & Brault, A. C. Restriction of Zika virus infection and transmission in *Aedes aegypti* mediated by an insect-specific flavivirus. *Emerging Microbes & Infections* **7**, 1–13 (2018).
- 42. Goenaga, S. *et al.* Potential for Co-Infection of a Mosquito-Specific Flavivirus,
- Nhumirim Virus, to Block West Nile Virus Transmission in Mosquitoes. *Viruses* **7**, 5801–5812 (2015).
- 43. Alefelder, S., Patel, B. K. & Eckstein, F. Incorporation of terminal phosphorothioates into oligonucleotides. *Nucleic Acids Res* **26**, 4983–4988 (1998).
- 44. Marques, J. T. *et al.* Loqs and R2D2 act sequentially in the siRNA pathway in Drosophila. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **17**, 24–30 (2010).
- 45. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet j.* **17**, 10 (2011).
- 46. Matthews, B. J. *et al.* Improved reference genome of Aedes aegypti informs arbovirus vector control. *Nature* **563**, 501–507 (2018).
- 47. Chen, X.-G. *et al.* Genome sequence of the Asian Tiger mosquito, Aedes
- albopictus, reveals insights into its biology, genetics, and evolution. *Proc Natl Acad*
- *Sci U S A* **112**, E5907-5915 (2015).
- 48. Langmead, B., Trapnell, C., Pop, M. & Salzberg, S. L. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. *Genome Biol* **10**, R25 (2009).
- 49. Zerbino, D. R. Using the Velvet de novo assembler for short-read sequencing technologies. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* **Chapter 11**, Unit 11.5 (2010).
- 50. Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* **19**, 455–477 (2012).

- 51. O'Leary, N. A. *et al.* Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current
- status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, D733-745 (2016).
- 52. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* **215**, 403–410 (1990).
- 53. Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S. & Li, W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-
- generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3150–3152 (2012).
- 54. Aguiar, E. R. G. R. *et al.* A single unidirectional piRNA cluster similar to the flamenco locus is the major source of EVE-derived transcription and small RNAs
- in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes. *RNA* **26**, 581–594 (2020).
- 55. Whitfield, Z. J. *et al.* The Diversity, Structure, and Function of Heritable Adaptive Immunity Sequences in the Aedes aegypti Genome. *Curr Biol* **27**, 3511-3519.e7 (2017).
- 56. Palatini, U. *et al.* Comparative genomics shows that viral integrations are abundant
- and express piRNAs in the arboviral vectors Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus.
- *BMC Genomics* **18**, 512 (2017).
- 57. Katoh, K., Misawa, K., Kuma, K. & Miyata, T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid
- multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**, 3059–3066 (2002).
- 58. Miller, M. A., Pfeiffer, W. & Schwartz, T. The CIPRES science gateway: a community resource for phylogenetic analyses. in *Proceedings of the 2011*
- *TeraGrid Conference on Extreme Digital Discovery TG '11* 1 (ACM Press, 2011).
- doi:10.1145/2016741.2016785.
- 59. Darriba, D. *et al.* ModelTest-NG: A New and Scalable Tool for the Selection of DNA and Protein Evolutionary Models. *Mol Biol Evol* **37**, 291–294 (2020).
- 60. Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. & Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular
- Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**,
- 1547–1549 (2018).
- 61. Letunic, I. & Bork, P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new
- developments. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**, W256–W259 (2019).
- 62. Barletta, A. B. F. *et al.* Microbiota activates IMD pathway and limits Sindbis infection in Aedes aegypti. *Parasit Vectors* **10**, 103 (2017).
- 63. Donald, C. L. *et al.* Full Genome Sequence and sfRNA Interferon Antagonist Activity of Zika Virus from Recife, Brazil. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **10**, e0005048 (2016).
- 64. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).
- 65. Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A. & Kingsford, C. Salmon provides
- fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat Methods* **14**, 417– 419 (2017).
- 66. Robinson, M. D. & Oshlack, A. A scaling normalization method for differential expression analysis of RNA-seq data. *Genome Biol* **11**, R25 (2010).
- 67. Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics*
- **26**, 139–140 (2010).
- 68. Korotkevich, G. *et al. Fast gene set enrichment analysis*. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/060012 (2016) doi:10.1101/060012.
- 69. Di Tommaso, P. *et al.* T-Coffee: a web server for the multiple sequence alignment of protein and RNA sequences using structural information and homology extension. *Nucleic Acids Res* **39**, W13-17 (2011).
- 70. Guindon, S. *et al.* New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst Biol* **59**, 307–321 (2010).
- 71. Lefort, V., Longueville, J.-E. & Gascuel, O. SMS: Smart Model Selection in PhyML. *Mol Biol Evol* **34**, 2422–2424 (2017).
- 72. Lambert, D. Zero-Inflated Poisson Regression, with an Application to Defects in Manufacturing. *Technometrics* **34**, 1 (1992).

- 73. Hilbe, J. M. *Negative Binomial Regression*. (2007).
- 74. Cameron, A. C., Cameron, A. C., & Cambridge University Press. *Regression*
- *analysis of count data*. (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
- 75. Zeileis, A., Kleiber, C. & Jackman, S. Regression Models for Count Data in *R*. *J.*
- *Stat. Soft.* **27**, (2008).
-

–1 Year of mosquito capture $\overline{0}$

NS

NS

NS

 $p = 0.0189$

