

Occurrence and Seasonal Dynamics of ALNs in Freshwater Lakes Are Influenced by Their Biological Environment

Maxime Fuster, Hermine Billard, Gisèle Bronner, Télesphore Sime-Ngando, Jonathan Colombet

▶ To cite this version:

Maxime Fuster, Hermine Billard, Gisèle Bronner, Télesphore Sime-Ngando, Jonathan Colombet. Occurrence and Seasonal Dynamics of ALNs in Freshwater Lakes Are Influenced by Their Biological Environment. Microbial ecology, In press, 10.1007/s00248-022-01974-1. hal-03936443

HAL Id: hal-03936443 https://hal.science/hal-03936443

Submitted on 17 Jan2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Occurrence and seasonal dynamics of ALNs in

2 freshwater lakes are influenced by their biological

3

environment

4

Maxime Fuster *¹, Hermine Billard ¹, Gisèle Bronner ¹, Télesphore Sime-Ngando ¹, Jonathan
Colombet ¹

7 ¹ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, LMGE, F-63000, Clermont-Ferrand, France

8 * Corresponding author: maxime.fuster@ojce.fr

9

10 Abstract

11 Aster Like Nanoparticles (ALNs) are femtoentities, recently discovered in different aquatic 12 environments, whose intrinsic nature and ecological features remain to be determined. In this study, we investigate the *in-situ* temporal dynamics of ALNs during one year in 3 different 13 14 lakes, in relation with the physico-chemical and biological environment. ALN abundances in investigated lakes showed a marked seasonal dynamic (from no detectable to $4.28 \pm 0.75 \times 10^6$ 15 ALNs.mL⁻¹), with characteristic peaks in spring. We recorded correlation between ALNs and 16 17 some prokaryotic phyla suggesting a broad and non-specific relationship. From their seasonal dynamics and potential link with prokaryotes, we conclude that ALNs represent an important 18 ecological actor in the functioning of aquatic ecosystems. 19

20

21 Keywords: Aster Like Nanoparticles (ALNs) – Femtoplankton – Seasonal variability –
 22 Ecological significance

23 <u>1/ INTRODUCTION</u>

The ecological importance of planktonic communities closely depends on their diversity and 24 the associated spatio-temporal dynamics, in response to environmental changes. In the past, the 25 ecological importance of picoplankton $(0.2-2 \ \mu m)$ [1, 2] and nanoplankton $(2-20 \ \mu m)$ [3, 4] 26 making up the bulk of the so-called microbial loop has been studied extensively. Their roles in 27 28 the flow of energy and matter on a global scale has become evident [5]. Highlighting the importance of viruses resulted in the inclusion of femtoplankton (< $0.2 \mu m$) in ecological 29 30 models [6–8]. Viruses have long been considered as the main, if not the only, compartment of 31 femtoplankton, mainly because of their interactions within the microbial loop where they shunt microbial particles into dissolved matter, *i.e.* the 'viral shunt' [9, 10]. However, in the recent 32 years, the discovery of an unsuspected diversity of femtoplankton entities as Candidate Phyla 33 34 Radiation (CPR), Diapherotrites-Parvarchaeota-Aenigmarchaeota-Nanoarchaeota-Nanohaloarchaeota (DPANN), Bio-Mineral Organic Particles (BMOPs), Extracellular 35 Vesicles (EVs), and Aster Like Nanoparticles (ALNs), leads to a necessary reconsideration of 36 37 the ecological role of femtoplankton compartment, at least through the study of the diversity and dynamics of their representatives (Colombet et al., [11]). Attempts to evaluate the 38 quantitative and qualitative importance of CPR / DPANN [12, 13], BMOPs [14], EVs [15] 39 40 underscores this necessity. Likewise, pioneering reports on ALNs also showed that these 41 entities might have important ecological functions [16, 17]. ALNs are original aster-like 42 entities composed of C, O, Ca, N, K with distinct morphotypes depending on the number of 43 arms (4, 11 and 20 arms) present and their budding (Fig 1). Their size (on average from 110 to 430 nm) and volume (less than $1.4 \times 10^{-3} \mu m^3$) lower than the minimum required for life 44 expression (Theoretical Minimal Cell Volume, *i.e.* 0.008 µm³) [18], together with their organic 45 46 nature, their sensitivity to different biocidal treatments, and their capacity to change their numbers in the absence of potential hosts [16], question their exact nature. The presence of 47

48 nucleic acids remains to be proven [11, 16]. However, (i) their ability to colonize different continental and coastal aquatic environments according to their trophic status [17], (ii) their 49 capabilities to produce bloom situations, with abundance peaks reaching up to $9.0 \pm 0.5 \times 10^7$ 50 51 ALNs.mL⁻¹ [16], and (iii) their putative functional interactions with prokaryotes [16, 17] strongly suggest that they are potentially significant actors in the flow of energy and matter 52 transiting in aquatic systems. To understand the quantitative and functional importance of 53 54 ALNs in the environment and their exact nature, it seems to be crucial to realize ecological studies integrating temporal variations of ALNs in various ecosystems considering possible 55 environmental forcing parameters. 56

For this purpose, we monitored the *in-situ* dynamic of ALNs as well as the physico-chemical
and biological parameters in three lakes of the Massif Central, France, during a one-year period.
Our results indicate that ALNs are new dynamic players in the ecology of aquatic systems,
with potential links with microbial phyla.

61

62 2/ MATERIALS & METHODS

63

2.1/ Study sites and sample collections

Samples were collected every month during one year (10-2018 to 09-2019) in three artificial 64 freshwater lakes: Fargette (45°44'39''N; 3°27'21''E; 465 m altitude; surface area 1.2 ha; 65 maximum depth 2.5 m), Lapeyrouse (46°13'20''N ; 2°53'15''E ; 490 m altitude ; surface area 66 12 ha; maximum depth 6 m) and Saint-Gervais-d'Auvergne (St. Gervais) (46°02'15"N; 67 2°48'43''E; 680 m altitude; surface area 10.5 ha; maximum depth 4.5 m). These lakes are in 68 69 the French Massif Central (within a 120 km area). Fargette is a hyper eutrophic lake while St. 70 Gervais and Lapeyrouse are eutrophic lakes with a significant human presence. Five liters of 71 water was collected at the surface (0-40cm) of the deepest point of the lake, using a sampling

72 bottle (5L-Niskin-type, Bionef, Fr). St. Gervais has the particularity of having been emptied 73 during November, December 2018 and January 2019 and returned to its maximum depth in 74 December 2019. During the empty period, samples were taken from a permanent puddle at the 75 center of the lake supplied by the upstream source flowing into the lake. Monitoring of the number of Aster Like Nanoparticles (ALNs) in this upstream source was performed during all 76 the survey, in addition to the lake. Samples of virus-like particles (VLPs), prokaryotes and 77 78 Aster Like Nanoparticles (ALNs) were fixed with 1% (v/v) formaldehyde and stored at 4°C until counts within 4 hours following sampling. Samples of heterotrophic eukaryotes (HE) were 79 fixed with 1% (v/v) formaldehyde and stored at -20° C until counts. 80

Unfixed samples for analyses of phytoplanktonic communities, microbial diversity, nutrients
concentrations and pH measurements were transported at 4°C and treated in the 4 hours
following sampling.

84

85

2.2/ Abiotic parameters measurements

Dissolved oxygen content (mg.L⁻¹), temperature (°Celsius) and conductivity (µSiemens.cm⁻¹) 86 were measured *in-situ* with a submersible probe (ProDSS YSI, Yellow Springs, Ohio, USA), 87 while pH was measured in the laboratory (probe Thermo Scientific, Orion Star A111, Waltham, 88 MA). Organic phosphorus, chlorides and total organic nitrogen were analyzed by UV-VIS 89 90 spectrophotometry (NF ISO 15923-1). Total organic carbon was determined by IR 91 spectrophotometry after persulfate oxidation (NF EN 1484) and total organic calcium, 92 potassium, magnesium and sodium were determined by ion chromatography (NF EN ISO 14911). These standardized and normed measurements were carried out by an accredited 93 institute (Eurofins, Saint-Etienne, France NF EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005 COFRAC 1-2091). 94

97

2.3/ Biotic parameters measurements

2.3.1/ Phytoplanktonic communities

The biomasses of different groups of the Nanophytoplankton (i.e. Chlorophyceae, 98 cyanobacteria, Cryptophyceae and diatoms) were inferred from in situ measurements of 99 100 chlorophyll а. phycoerythrin and phycocyanin $(\mu g.L^{-1})$ using a submersible 101 spectrofluorometric probe (BBE FluoroProbe, Moldaenke GmbH, DE). Counts of 102 picophytoplankton populations were determined by flow cytometry as described elsewhere 103 [19] using a BD FACSCalibur (BD Sciences, San Jose, CA) equipped with an air-cooled laser, 104 delivering 15 mW at 488 nm with the standard filter set-up.

- 105
- 106

2.3.2/ VLP, Prokaryote, Heterotrophic eukaryote and ALN counts

Counts of VLPs, prokaryotes and heterotrophic eukaryotes (HE) were performed in triplicates 107 108 by flow cytometry according to Brussaard [20] and Christaki et al., [21] respectively using a BD FACSAria Fusion SORP (BD Sciences, San Jose, CA) equipped with an air-cooled laser, 109 delivering 50 mW at 488 nm with 502 longpass, and 530/30 bandpass filter set-up. ALN (and 110 111 their different forms) detection and quantification were realized by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using a Jeol JEM 2100-Plus microscope (JEOL, Akishima, Tokyo, Japan) 112 operating at 80 kV and x50,000 magnifications as described in Colombet et al.,[16]. 113 114 ALN/prokaryote ratios were determined in triplicate following uranyl salt contrasting of particles centrifuged at 18,000xg; 20 min; 14°C directly onto 400-mesh electron microscopy 115 copper grids covered with carbon-coated Formvar film (Pelanne Instruments, Toulouse, 116 France). The number of ALN results from the multiplication of this ratio-fold by prokaryote 117 118 concentration obtained by cytometry.

2.3.3/ Diversity of microbial communities

121 <u>Nucleic acid extractions and amplifications</u>

For each unfixed sample, microbial communities were collected on a 0.2 µm (Millipore) 122 123 polycarbonate filter (until saturation, pressure < 25 kPa) and stored at -20°C until DNA extraction. The filters were covered with a lysing buffer (lysozyme 2 mg.ml⁻¹, SDS 0.5%, 124 Proteinase K 100 µg.mL⁻¹ and RNase A 8.33 µg.mL⁻¹ in TE buffer pH 8) at 37°C for 90 125 126 minutes. A CTAB 10% / NaCl 5M solution was added, and the samples were incubated at 65°C 127 for 30 minutes. The nucleic acids were extracted with phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol 128 (25:24:1); the aqueous phase containing the nucleic acids was recovered and purified by adding chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (24:1). The nucleic acids were then precipitated with a 129 130 mixture of glycogen 5mg.mL⁻¹, sodium acetate 3M and ethanol 100% overnight at -20°C. The 131 DNA pellet was rinsed with ethanol (70%), dried and dissolved in the TE buffer. DNA was 132 then purified using a commercial kit (NucleoSpin® gDNA Clean-up, Macherey-Nagel).

133 For archae, amplification of the V4-V6 region of the small subunit rDNA was amplified using the universal archae 519F and 1017R primers [22] (Tab s1). For bacteria, the V4-V5 region of 134 135 the bacterial small subunit rDNA was amplified using the universal bacteria 515F and 928R 136 primers [23] (Tab s1). In addition, the ITS region of fungi was amplified using common fungi 137 primers ITS7f and ITS4r [24] (Tab s1). Each PCR was performed in a total volume of 50µL containing 1x final reaction buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.2mM dNTP, 100 µg.mL⁻¹BSA, 0.2 µM of 138 each primer, 0.025 U.µL⁻¹ PROMEGA GoTaq HotsStart G2 and 5 µL of DNA. The different 139 140 PCR programs are detailed in the supplemental (Tab s1).

141

142 <u>Amplicon analysis and taxonomic affiliation</u>

Bacterial sequencing data were processed with the PANAM2 pipeline [25]. Briefly, sequence
reads were assembled with Vsearch [26], excluding reads <200pb, with ambiguous calls (N)

145 or having mismatch in the forward/reverse primer. Demultiplexed amplicons were clustered into OTUs using Vsearch at a 95% id threshold. Representative sequence of OTUs were 146 compared against the SILVA SSURef 115 database restricted to sequences with length > 1,200 147 148 pb, quality score > 75% and a pintail value > 50. Archaea and Fungi sequencing data were analysed through the FROGs pipeline [27]. Sequence reads were assembled with Vsearch [26], 149 excluding reads < 200pb, with ambiguous calls (N) or having mismatch in the forward/reverse 150 151 primer. Demultiplexed amplicons were clustered into OTUs using Swarm [28] with distance (d) = 1. OTUs sequences were compared against the SILVA 132 16s database for archaea and 152 153 Unite Fungi 8,0 database for fungi.

154

155 **2.4/ Data analysis**

Differences in physico-chemical and biological variables between seasons (Spring: March-156 157 May, Summer: June-August, Autumn: September-November, Winter: December-February) and lakes were tested by Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn tests. To investigate the potential proximity 158 between our sampling points, function of lakes or seasons, principal component analysis (PCA) 159 was used with the FactoMineR package. Potential relationships among all variables were tested 160 161 by linear pair-wise correlations (Pearson correlation analyses). Potential relationships between 162 the presence/absence of ALNs and OTUs were tested by pairwise correlations (Spearman 163 correlation analyses).

164 Link between the log-transformed abundance of ALNs and prokaryotes was tested by linear 165 regression using data obtained in the 3 lakes and those obtained from a previous study in 166 Colombet *et al.*,[16]. Cross effects of measured parameters were tested by the omega-square 167 method $\omega 2$ [29].

168

170 <u>3/ RESULTS</u>

171

3.1/ Environmental parameters

172

3.1.1/ Physico-chemical environment of the studied sites

Absolute values and seasonal variations in oxygen content, temperature and pH were 173 174 characteristics of freshwater lakes in temperate regions. The three lakes showed similar average 175 values (p > 0.05, Table 1-A) with marked statistical differences (p < 0.01) between seasons (Table 1-B). As expected, temperature was inversely correlated to oxygen ($r^2 = -0.77$, p < 0.01). 176 The summer and autumn warming periods of the lakes corresponded to oxygen depletion while 177 178 the cold spring and winter periods corresponded to a rise in oxygenation of lake waters (Table 1-B). pH was stable during spring, summer and autumn and decreased significantly in winter 179 180 (p < 0.01) (Table 1-B).

The availability of mineral elements (K, Mg, Na, Ca) showed different distributions between lakes (**Table 1-A**). K and Mg had similar distributions with significant differences (p < 0.01) between the three lakes, with highest and lowest values recorded respectively in Fargette and St. Gervais (**Table 1-A**). Na concentration was significantly lower in Fargette compared to St. Gervais and Lapeyrouse (p < 0.01). Ca was significantly lower in St. Gervais compared to Fargette and Lapeyrouse (p < 0.01) (**Table 1-A**).

The main chemical factors that discriminated the three lakes appeared to be productivity indicators such as Phosphorus (P), Total Organic Carbon (TOC) or Total Nitrogen (TN). P, TOC and TN were significantly higher in Fargette compared to Lapeyrouse while St. Gervais displayed intermediate values (p < 0.01) (**Table 1-A**). At the seasonal scale, no significant variation (p > 0.05) was recorded for the three lakes in the availability of mineral elements, P, TOC and TN (**Table 1-B**).

<u>3.1.2/ Standing stocks of Viruses like particles, prokaryotes, phytoplankton and heterotrophic eukaryotes</u>

The variations of biological environment (Viruses Like Particles (VLPs), prokaryotes, 196 197 phytoplankton and heterotrophic eukaryotes (HE)) between lakes and seasons are listed in **Table 1** and **Figs s1-s2**. The average numbers of VLPs were similar for the three lakes (p > 1)198 199 0.05) (Table 1-A). Prokaryote and HE numbers followed the spatio-temporal dynamic of the 200 productivity indicators (P, TOC and TN) with highest average recorded in Lake Fargette 201 compared to Lapeyrouse (p < 0.01) and no significant difference with lake St. Gervais (p > 1202 0.05). Lapeyrouse had higher content in nanophytoplankton and lower content in picophytoplankton compared to Fargette and St. Gervais (p < 0.01). 203

At the seasonal scale, no significant variations (p > 0.05) were recorded for the three lakes (**Table 1-B**), excepted for HE. HE dynamic was significantly affected by seasons (p < 0.01) with a maximum number in autumns and minimal number in winter. Detailed lake-specific monthly variations for each biological parameter are presented in **Figs s1-s2**.

208

3.1.3/ Composition of communities

209 Metabarcoding of bacterial, archaeal, and fungal communities revealed the predominance of 210 the same phyla, whatever the lake and the season (Fig s3). Bacterial communities in the three lakes were dominated by Proteobacteria (30%), Bacteroïdetes (15%) and unclassified bacteria 211 212 (13%) (Fig s3). Euryarchaeota (43%), Nanoarchaeota (40%) and Crenarchaeota (11%) were 213 the major phyla for Archaea (Fig s3). While the fungus community is dominated by 214 unclassified fungi (40%), major known phyla correspond to Chytridiomycota (27%) and 215 Basidiomycota (17%) (Fig s3). The mean percentage of the relative abundance of these major 216 phyla presents important temporal variations specific to each lake. For Lake Fargette, we can 217 notice a peak of Proteobacteria in March and April (More than 60% of total abundance), 218 Bacteroidetes in May (50%) and the dominance of unclassified fungi in April (98%) (Fig s3).

In St. Gervais, Bacteroidetes (40%) increase in March, Euryarchaeota in February and April
(50%), and Basidiomycota in December (90%) (Fig s3). For Lapeyrouse lake, Bacteroidetes
increase in October and May (35 and 40 % respectively) (Fig s3).

222

3.2/ Aster-Like Nanoparticles distribution

As for productivity indicators (P, TOC, TN) and prokaryotes concentrations, significant 223 224 differences between ALN anbundances (p < 0.01) were recorded between lakes (**Table 1-A**). As the above-mentioned parameters, the highest and lowest annual mean abundance of Aster 225 226 Like Nanoparticles (ALNs) were recorded in lake Fargette $(6.12 \pm 11.7 \text{ x}10^5 \text{ ALNs.mL}^{-1})$ and Lapeyrouse $(0.83 \pm 1.99 \text{ x}10^5 \text{ ALNs.mL}^{-1})$. St. Gervais had an integrmediate average value 227 $(2.32 \pm 2.60 \text{ x}10^5 \text{ ALNs.mL}^{-1})$ (Table 1-A). ALN dynamic was significantly affected by 228 seasons (p < 0.01) with remarkable spring peaks in the three lakes, recorded in March 2019 229 (Table 1-B). In these lakes, ALN abundances fluctuated from undetectable to a low range from 230 231 October 2018 to February 2019 before a strong increase towards the main peaks recorded in March 2019 (from no detectable to 10^6 for Fargette, 2.4 x 10^5 to 7.0 x 10^5 for St. Gervais and 232 from no detectable to 10^5 ALNs.mL⁻¹ for Lapevrouse) (Fig 2-A), immediately followed by a 233 234 decline in April in the three lakes. A second increasing phase was recorded from May until 235 July, especially in St. Gervais.

The mean distribution combined from the three lakes of different forms (**Fig 1**) varied with time (**Fig 2-B**). The 4-10 arms forms were recorded preferentially from October (15%) to November (27%) and showed highest values from March (45%) to July (35%) during and following the spring peaks of ALNs (**Fig 2-B**). The 11 arms forms dominated the ALNs communities in October (71%), December (100%), January (87%), April (43%) and May (61%) mainly when the lowest concentrations of ALNs were recorded, whereas the 11 budding arms form dominated from June (53%) to September (63%) (**Fig 2-B**). The 20 arms were detected from October (13%) to November (13%) and had highest values from February (35%)
to April (15%) concomitantly with the spring peaks of ALNs (Fig 2-B). During the ALN peaks
of (March 2019), the contributions of the 4 different forms (4-10, 11, 11 budding and 20 arms)
were at 45, 25, 21 and 9%, respectively (Fig 2-B). When the abundances of ALNs were
particularly low, *i.e.*, in December and January (Fig 2-A), only the 11-arm form was
represented, with absence or very low proportion of the budding form.

All parameters and ALNs were integrated in a principal component analysis (**Fig 3**). 54.1% of the variance is explained by the first 2 axes. This analysis showed a pronounced inter-lake variability, mainly due to the biological variables. Like the patterns of ALN abundance, Fargette Lake was clearly distinguished from Lake Lapeyrouse with St. Gervais in intermediary (**Fig 3-A**). The summer/winter seasonal discrimination with spring and autumn in between is classic for temperate zone lake systems (**Fig 3-B**). The remarkable point of Fargette in March stands out clearly from this analysis which coincides with the bloom of ALNs.

256

257 <u>3.3/ Interaction between Aster-like Nanoparticles and their</u> 258 <u>environment</u>

There was no significant correlation between ALNs and any of the measured physico-chemical parameters (**Table 2**). In contrast, significant correlations ($p \le 0.05$) were recorded between ALNs and their biotic environment, primarily with VLPs ($r^2 = 0.47$) and prokaryotes ($r^2 = 0.32$) (**Table 2**).

263 Linear regression of data including data obtained from a former study in lake Fargette 264 (Colombet et al.,[16]), revealed a significant relation between ALNs and prokaryotes (**Fig 4**). 265 The regression line linking number of ALNs as a function of number of prokaryotes appears 266 highly significant (ALN concentration = -3 + 1.2 x prokaryotes concentration, $R^2 = 0.43$, $\omega^2 =$ 267 0.43, one way ANOVA (F(1,62) = 49.14, p < 0.001)) (Fig 4). As revealed by $\omega^2 = 0.43$, one 268 of the two parameters can explain 43 % of the distribution of the other (Fig 4).

No significant correlations were observed between ALNs and archaeal OTUs during the sampling period in the three lakes (**Table 3**). On the other hand, ALNs were significantly correlated (p < 0.01) with 5 bacterial phyla (Acidobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Firmicutes, Planctomycetes, Proteobacteria) and 2 fungal phyla (Ascomycota and Chytridiomycota) (**Table 3**).

274

275 <u>4/ DISCUSSION</u>

276 Here we report the seasonal fluctuations of Aster Like Nanoparticles (ALNs) concentrations in 277 3 different lakes. These entities were first described in 2019 [16] and colonize different continental and coastal environments, reaching important concentrations in the order of 10^7 278 ALNs.mL⁻¹ [17]. We recently demonstrated that their distribution could be influenced by the 279 280 trophic state of the environment [17]. These pioneering works result in a partial understanding 281 of the ecology of ALNs. Information on their spatial and seasonal dynamics in relation to their 282 environment are crucial and could allow us to (i) reveal their environmental forcing factors, (ii) understand their contribution to the flow of matter and energy and (iii) perhaps open a 283 284 window on their exact nature.

The seasonal variations of ALN abundances were similar in the three lakes which were in a restricted geographical area (French Massif Central) and were apparently driven more by differences in biological parameters. This is in agreement with our previous study [17] were ALNs increased in environments with high microbial density and nutrients content, such as Lake Fargette. The study of the temporal dynamics of ALNs revealed a marked seasonality. The seasonal patterns of ALNs in the three lakes was relatively similar, with characteristic 291 peaks in spring. The ALNs communities exhibited a rapid growth capacity with a high amplitude of abundance variations (from undetectable to $4.28 \pm 0.74 \times 10^{6}$ ALNs.mL⁻¹ between 292 two consecutive time point in lake Fargette for example). ALNs are therefore a rapid 293 mobilization and sequestration factor of their constituent elements. Colombet and colleagues 294 295 [16] showed that Ca is a preponderant constitutive element of ALNs. It is therefore very likely 296 that ALNs have a key role in the aquatic Ca cycle. Considering the biomass dynamics of ALNs 297 can therefore be fundamental in understanding the flow of elements through aquatic 298 ecosystems, as has been demonstrated for viruses for example [8].

Seasonal variations in the form of bloom are a recurring pattern in aquatic microbial and viral communities [8, 30–32]. All the models showing this kind of dynamics (free or symbiotic), have a prominent role in the functioning of the ecosystem. It is therefore undoubtedly that ALNs are intrinsic actors in the ecology of aquatic systems. However, the understanding of their ecology is intimately linked to the understanding of their control factors.

304 Different factors can explain temporal variations of microbial entities, including geographic 305 and environmental factors [33], abiotic and biotic factors, bottom-up and top-down factors [34], 306 or the presence/absence of hosts for the life cycle such as for viruses [35]. The case of lake 307 Saint Gervais, which was emptied for several weeks, makes it possible to specify here that the 308 ALNs are aboriginal to the lake. Indeed, during the drying phase and until the peak of ALNs, 309 we carried out regular sampling in the tributaries feeding the lake without ever detecting the 310 presence of ALNs. The controlling factors of ALNs are thus intrinsic to the lake. No abiotic 311 factors seem to have an impact on the variations of ALNs abundance in lake ecosystems.

312 It's interesting to note that with equal temperature between spring and fall, we recorded a 313 significant development of ALNs in spring. The development of ALNs could therefore be more 314 associated with the seasonal succession of biological communities forced by the interaction of 315 physico-chemical environmental variables rather than with a single physico-chemical variable. 316 Among biotic factors measured, correlations with prokaryotes and VLPs were identified. We 317 further explored these putative links to the microbial environment by integrating data previously acquired in Fargette Lake [16]. The linear regression model used allows us to 318 highlight a strong link between ALNs and prokaryotes. The w2 allowed us to estimate that 47 319 % of the variation in number of ALNs could be explained by the prokaryotes. These results 320 321 support the observations described in Colombet et al., [16] where one can clearly distinguish a close physical interaction between ALNs and prokaryotes. These data raise the hypothesis that 322 323 ALNs could have strong interactions with prokaryotes. One of the particularities of ALNs is 324 their pleomorphism. Each form (see description in Colombet et al., [16]) has a particular seasonal dynamic (Fig 2-B). In the hypothesis that they are dependent on each other within a 325 326 developmental cycle, it is likely that a partner, possibly prokaryotic, may intervene at certain 327 stages and regulate the dynamics of the different forms. 11-arms forms could be a resistant 328 form.

To identify more precisely which microbial communities may be involved in these interactions 329 330 with ALNs, we analyzed the covariation of the presence of ALNs with those of the different 331 bacterial, archaeal, and fungal phyla identified in the three lakes. ALNs appeared strongly positively correlated ($r^2 > 0.7$, p < 0.05) with some fungal (Ascomycota and Chytridiomycota) 332 and bacterial (Acidobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Firmicutes, Planctomycetes, Proteobacteria) 333 334 OTUs in lakes. Ascomycota is the most common fungal phylum in aquatic environments [36] and Chytridiomycota is a phylum with a huge implication in the regulation of aquatic 335 336 organisms, especially influencing directly or indirectly the microbial loop [37, 38]. Although 337 we cannot rule out a direct relationship, it is more likely that fungi interact indirectly with 338 ALNs via a third-party microbe. The positive correlations between ALNs and prokaryotes seem 339 to target a large heterogeneity of bacterial phyla. Acidobacteria, Cyanobacteria, Firmicutes, Planctomycetes, and Proteobacteria represent most lake bacteria [39]. Thus, although we 340 341 cannot formally infer a direct relationship, these data suggest that the spectrum of possible prokaryotic partners for ALNs may be broad and non-specific. 342

343 The data acquired here allow a better understanding of the ecology of ALNs, namely, a 344 pronounced seasonal dynamic in the form of spring "bloom" that can be controlled by the microbial and probably prokaryotic environment. The hypothesis that ALNs have strong 345 346 interactions with prokaryotes is emerging but will have to be verified in the future as well as 347 the possibility of a development cycle linking the different morphotypes. The functionality of ALNs is certain for the transfer of their constitutive elements within the microbial network but 348 their role on other microbial components (neutrality, mutualism, parasitism...) remains to be 349 350 determined. Through their dynamics, ALNs are undoubtedly new actors to consider in the 351 functioning of aquatic ecosystems. More generally, our data highlight the seasonal importance 352 of overlooked femtoplankton in aquatic ecosystems and their potential roles in the ecosystem

353 functioning.

Author's contribution MF, HB and JC designed and performed the experiments. MF and GB
 analyze genomic datas. MF, HB, GB, TSN and JC wrote the manuscript. All authors have read,
 commented, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

357

Funding Information MF was supported by a PhD fellowship from the CPER 2015-2020 SYMBIOSE
challenge program (French Ministry of Research, UCA, CNRS, INRA, Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes Region,
FEDER). This study is a contribution to the "C NO LIMIT" project funded by the Interdisciplinary
Mission of the French National Center of Scientific Research (CNRS) Program X-life, 2018 edition.
This study is a contribution to the "NANOPOULPE" project funded by the Interdisciplinary Mission of
the French National Center of Scientific Research (CNRS) Program Origines, 2020. This research was
also financed by the French government IDEX-ISITE initiative 16-IDEX-0001 (CAP 20-25).

365

Acknowledgments This study benefited from equipment and technical assistance of CYSTEM
 platform UCA-PARTNER (University of Clermont Auvergne UCA), Clermont-Ferrand, France) and
 the Laboratoire Microorganismes: Genome et Environment (LMGE, UMR6023 CNRS-UCA,
 Clermont-Ferrand, France). The authors thanks Thomas Ruiz (LMGE) for helpful comments and
 discussions on the manuscript.

371

372 **Conflicts of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

373 **REFERENCES**

1. Fogg GE (1986) Review Lecture - Picoplankton. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London

375 Series B Biological Sciences 228:1-30. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1986.0037 376 Callieri C, Stockner JG (2002) Freshwater autotrophic picoplankton: a review. J Limnol 61:1. 2. 377 https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2002.1 378 Malone TC (1971) The Relative Importance of Nannoplankton and Netplankton as Primary 3. 379 Producers in Tropical Oceanic and Neritic Phytoplankton Communities1. Limnology and 380 Oceanography 16:633-639. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1971.16.4.0633 381 4. Watson S, Kalff J (1981) Relationships between Nannoplankton and Lake Trophic Status. 382 Can J Fish Aquat Sci 38:960–967. https://doi.org/10.1139/f81-129 383 5. Pomerov L, leB. Williams P, Azam F, Hobbie J (2007) The Microbial Loop. Oceanog 20:28-384 33. https://doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2007.45 385 Fuhrman JA, Noble RT (1995) Viruses and protists cause similar bacterial mortality in coastal 6. 386 seawater. Limnology and Oceanography 40:1236-1242. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1995.40.7.1236 387 Fuhrman JA (1999) Marine viruses and their biogeochemical and ecological effects. Nature 7. 388 399:541-548. https://doi.org/10.1038/21119 389 Sime-Ngando T, Colombet J (2009) Virus et prophages dans les écosystèmes aquatiques. 8. 390 Canadian Journal of Microbiology 55:95-109 391 9. Sieburth JMcN, Smetacek V, Lenz J (1978) Pelagic ecosystem structure: Heterotrophic 392 compartments of the plankton and their relationship to plankton size fractions 1. Limnology and 393 Oceanography 23:1256–1263. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1978.23.6.1256 394 Jover LF, Effler TC, Buchan A, et al (2014) The elemental composition of virus particles: 10. implications for marine biogeochemical cycles. Nat Rev Microbiol 12:519-528. 395 396 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3289 397 11. Colombet J, Fuster M, Billard H, Sime-Ngando T (2020) Femtoplankton: What's New? 398 Viruses 12:881. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12080881 399 Brown CT, Hug LA, Thomas BC, et al (2015) Unusual biology across a group comprising 12. 400 more than 15% of domain Bacteria. Nature 523:208-211. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14486 401 13. Hug LA, Baker BJ, Anantharaman K, et al (2016) A new view of the tree of life. Nat 402 Microbiol 1:1-6. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.48 403 Wu C-Y, Martel J, Wong T-Y, et al (2016) Formation and characteristics of biomimetic 14. 404 mineralo-organic particles in natural surface water. Sci Rep 6:28817. 405 https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28817 406 15. Biller SJ, Schubotz F, Roggensack SE, et al (2014) Bacterial Vesicles in Marine Ecosystems. 407 Science 343:183-186. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1243457 408 16. Colombet J, Billard H, Viguès B, et al (2019) Discovery of High Abundances of Aster-Like 409 Nanoparticles in Pelagic Environments: Characterization and Dynamics. Frontiers in Microbiology 410 10:2376. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02376 411 Fuster M, Billard H, Mandart M, et al (2020) Trophic conditions influence widespread 17. distribution of Aster-Like nanoparticles within aquatic environments. Microbial Ecology 80:741. 412 413 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-020-01541-6 414 National Research Council (US) Steering Group for the Workshop on Size Limits of Very 18. 415 Small Microorganisms (1999) Size Limits of Very Small Microorganisms: Proceedings of a 416 Workshop. National Academies Press (US), Washington (DC) 417 Marie D, Rigaut-Jalabert F, Vaulot D (2014) An improved protocol for flow cytometry 19. 418 analysis of phytoplankton cultures and natural samples. Cytometry Part A 85:962–968. 419 https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.22517 420 Brussaard CPD (2004) Optimization of procedures for counting viruses by flow cytometry. 20. 421 Appl Environ Microbiol 70:1506–1513. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1506-1513.2004 422 21. Christaki U, Courties C, Massana R, et al (2011) Optimized routine flow cytometric

423 enumeration of heterotrophic flagellates using SYBR Green I. Limnology and Oceanography: 424 Methods 9:329-339. https://doi.org/10.4319/lom.2011.9.329 425 22. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, et al (2013) Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA 426 gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids 427 Research 41:e1. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks808 428 Wang Y, Qian P-Y (2009) Conservative Fragments in Bacterial 16S rRNA Genes and Primer 23. 429 Design for 16S Ribosomal DNA Amplicons in Metagenomic Studies. PLOS ONE 4:e7401. 430 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0007401 431 24. Ihrmark K, Bödeker ITM, Cruz-Martinez K, et al (2012) New primers to amplify the fungal 432 ITS2 region--evaluation by 454-sequencing of artificial and natural communities. FEMS Microbiol 433 Ecol 82:666-677. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01437.x 434 Taib N, Mangot J-F, Domaizon I, et al (2013) Phylogenetic Affiliation of SSU rRNA Genes 25. 435 Generated by Massively Parallel Sequencing: New Insights into the Freshwater Protist Diversity. 436 PLOS ONE 8:e58950. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0058950 437 Kim M, Morrison M, Yu Z (2011) Evaluation of different partial 16S rRNA gene sequence 26. 438 regions for phylogenetic analysis of microbiomes. J Microbiol Methods 84:81-87. 439 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2010.10.020 440 27. Escudié F, Auer L, Bernard M, et al (2018) FROGS: Find, Rapidly, OTUs with Galaxy 441 Solution. Bioinformatics 34:1287-1294. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx791 442 Mahé F, Czech L, Stamatakis A, et al (2022) Swarm v3: towards tera-scale amplicon 28. 443 clustering. Bioinformatics 38:267–269. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab493 444 29. Olejnik S, Algina J (2000) Measures of Effect Size for Comparative Studies: Applications, 445 Interpretations, and Limitations. Contemporary Educational Psychology 25:241–286. 446 https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.2000.1040 447 30. Weinbauer MG (2004) Ecology of prokaryotic viruses. FEMS Microbiology Reviews 448 28:127-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2003.08.001 449 Diao M, Sinnige R, Kalbitz K, et al (2017) Succession of Bacterial Communities in a 31. 450 Seasonally Stratified Lake with an Anoxic and Sulfidic Hypolimnion. Frontiers in Microbiology 451 8:2511. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02511 452 32. Lymer D, Logue JB, Brussaard CPD, et al (2008) Temporal variation in freshwater viral and 453 bacterial community composition. Freshwater Biology 53:1163-1175. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-454 2427.2007.01882.x 455 Yannarell AC, Triplett EW (2005) Geographic and Environmental Sources of Variation in 33. 456 Lake Bacterial Community Composition. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71:227–239. 457 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.1.227-239.2005 458 34. Muylaert K, Van der Gucht K, Vloemans N, et al (2002) Relationship between Bacterial 459 Community Composition and Bottom-Up versus Top-Down Variables in Four Eutrophic Shallow 460 Lakes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 68:4740-4750. 461 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.10.4740-4750.2002 462 Tijdens M, Hoogveld HL, Kamst-van Agterveld MP, et al (2008) Population Dynamics and 35. 463 Diversity of Viruses, Bacteria and Phytoplankton in a Shallow Eutrophic Lake. Microb Ecol 56:29-464 42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-007-9321-3 465 36. Jones EBG, Suetrong S, Sakayaroj J, et al (2015) Classification of marine Ascomycota, 466 Basidiomycota, Blastocladiomycota and Chytridiomycota. Fungal Diversity 73:1–72. 467 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0339-4 468 Jobard M, Rasconi S, Sime-Ngando T (2010) Diversity and functions of microscopic fungi: a 37. 469 missing component in pelagic food webs. Aquat Sci 72:255-268. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-010-470 0133-z

471	38. Gleason FH, Kagami M, Lefevre E, Sime-Ngando T (2008) The ecology of chytrids in
472	aquatic ecosystems: roles in food web dynamics. Fungal Biology Reviews 22:17–25.
473	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fbr.2008.02.001
474	39. Newton RJ, Jones SE, Eiler A, et al (2011) A Guide to the Natural History of Freshwater
475	Lake Bacteria. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 75:14–49.
476	https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00028-10
477	
478	
479	
480	
481	
482	
483	
484	
485	
486	
487	
488	
489	
490	
491	
492	
493	
494	
495	
496	
497	
498	
499	
500	
501	
502	
503	
504	
505	
506	
507	
508	
509	
510	
511	
512	
513	
514	



- 515 516
- 517

518 <u>Figure 1.</u> Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) micrographs of different morphotypes of 519 aster-like nanoparticles (ALNs).

520 A-C, 4-10 arms forms. D-F, 11 arms forms and their budding variants G-I. J-L 20 arms forms.

- 521 Scale Bars = 100 nm
- 522
- 523

Table 1. Mean ± SD of physico-chemical and biological characteristics according to the lakes (A) or the <u>seasons (B)*.</u>

(A)	Fargette	St. Gervais	Lapeyrouse
O_2^{ns} (mg.L ⁻¹)	8.46 ± 3.45	9.36 ± 3.02	9.86 ± 2.07
Temperature ^{ns} (°C)	12.63 ± 7.13	12.79 ± 8.04	13.32 ± 7.72
pH ^{ns}	7.54 ± 0.67	7.88 ± 0.83	7.53 ± 0.56
K (mg.L ⁻¹)	31.15 ± 5.53 ^a	$2.78\pm0.51~^{\text{b}}$	5.11 ± 0.49 $^{\circ}$
Mg (mg.L ⁻¹)	$4.84\pm0.52~^{\rm a}$	$3.22\pm1.13~^{\text{b}}$	4.23 ± 0.48 $^{\rm c}$
Na (mg.L ⁻¹)	$8.98\pm2.27~^{\rm a}$	12.52 ± 1.29 ^b	$13.04 \pm 1.41 \ ^{\text{b}}$
Ca (mg.L ⁻¹)	$22.94\pm2.44~^a$	$17.61\pm6.08~^{\rm b}$	24.06 ± 2.75 $^{\rm a}$
P (mg.L ⁻¹)	0.23 ± 0.06 a	0.14 ± 0.12 ab	0.06 ± 0.02 $^{\rm b}$
T.O.C. (mg.L ⁻¹)	$23.92\pm4.34~^a$	10.78 ± 3.70 $^{\rm b}$	10.67 ± 1.05 ^b
T.N. (mg.L ⁻¹)	4.73 ± 2.65 ^a	3.13 ± 1.89^{ab}	1.75 ± 0.67 $^{\rm b}$
VLP ^{ns} (VLPs.mL ⁻¹)	$1.31 \pm 0.70 \ x10^8$	$1.08 \pm 0.88 \ x \ 10^8$	$0.78 \pm 0.66 \ x \ 10^8$
Prokaryotes (cells.mL ⁻¹)	$2.73 \pm 1.77 \; x10^{7 \; a}$	$1.59 \pm 0.92 \ x \ 10^{7} \ ^{a}$	$0.39\pm 0.36\;x\;10^{7b}$
Nanophytoplankton (μ g.L ⁻¹)	178.20 ± 98.80 ^a	106.27 ± 70.84 ^a	222.44 ± 13.13 ^b
Picophytoplankton (cells.mL ⁻¹)	$4.62 \pm 2.01 \ x \ 10^{5 \ a}$	$4.97 \pm 6.43 \ x \ 10^{5 \ a}$	$0.76\pm 0.93\;x\;10^{5\;b}$
Heterotrophic eukaryotes (cells.mL ⁻¹)	$2.34 \pm 1.46 \; x10^{4 \; a}$	$2.08 \pm 1.56 \; x10^4 \; ^{ab}$	$1.23 \pm 0.77 \; x10^{4 \; b}$
ALN (ALNs.mL ⁻¹)	$6.12 \pm 11.7 \ x10^{5} \ a$	$2.32 \pm 2.60 \; x10^{5 \; ab}$	$0.83 \pm 1.99 \; x10^{5 \; b}$

	<u>Carrie</u> a	C o	A 4	
(В)	Spring	Summer	Autumn	winter
O ₂ (mg.L ⁻¹)	10.22 ± 2.80 bc	6.29 ± 1.31 ª	8.46 ± 1.82 ac	11.94 ± 1.93 ^b
Temperature (°C)	12.70 ± 3.18 $^{\rm a}$	$22.39\pm2.54~^{b}$	$11.87\pm6.68~^{a}$	$4.70\pm1.36~^{\rm c}$
pH	8.31 ± 0.44 ^a	7.69 ± 0.44 $^{\rm a}$	$7.60\pm0.83~^{ab}$	$7.01\pm0.29~^{b}$
K ^{ns} (mg.L ⁻¹)	11.75 ± 12.18	14.94 ± 16.23	13.97 ± 15.02	11.40 ± 11.84
Mg ^{ns} (mg.L ⁻¹)	3.97 ± 0.95	4.45 ± 0.87	4.37 ± 1.15	3.59 ± 0.96

Na ^{ns} (mg.L ⁻¹)	11.95 ± 2.75	12.54 ± 2.15	11.40 ± 2.43	10.16 ± 2.27
Ca ^{ns} (mg.L ⁻¹)	21.33 ± 4.08	23.78 ± 3.64	21.86 ± 5.42	19.18 ± 5.80
P^{ns} (mg.L ⁻¹)	0.14 ± 0.12	0.16 ± 0.08	0.17 ± 0.13	0.11 ± 0.09
T.O.C. ^{ns} (mg.L ⁻¹)	14.34 ± 7.78	16.10 ± 6.56	17.44 ± 7.95	12.60 ± 6.21
T.N. ^{ns} (mg.L ⁻¹)	3.46 ± 3.28	2.61 ± 1.34	3.14 ± 1.95	3.59 ± 2.20
VLP ^{ns} (VLPs.mL ⁻¹)	$0.88 \pm 0.51 \ x \ 10^8$	$1.32 \pm 0.87 \text{ x}$ 10^8	$\begin{array}{c} 1.07 \pm 1.09 \\ x10^8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.95 \pm 0.44 \ x \\ 10^8 \end{array}$
Prokaryotes ^{ns} (cells.mL ⁻¹)	$2.09 \pm 2.33 \text{ x } 10^7$	$1.46 \pm 1.10 \text{ x}$ 10^{7}	$\begin{array}{c} 1.33 \pm 1.25 \\ x10^7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.39 \pm 1.05 \ x \\ 10^7 \end{array}$
Nanophytoplankton ^{ns} (μ g.L ⁻¹)	137.84 ± 138.11	103.03 ± 88.35	111.31 ± 78.03	56.79 ± 45.02
Picophytoplankton ^{ns} (cells.mL ⁻¹)	$2.69 \pm 2.77 \ x \ 10^5$	$5.32 \pm 6.32 \text{ x}$ 10^5	$\begin{array}{c} 4.27 \pm 4.58 \; x \\ 10^5 \end{array}$	$1.54 \pm 1.38 \text{ x}$ 10^5
Heterotrophic eukaryotes (cells.mL ⁻¹)	$1.80 \pm 1.75 \ x \ 10^{4} \ ab$	$2.35 \pm 0.91 \text{ x}$ $10^{4 \text{ ac}}$	$2.69 \pm 1.20 \text{ x}$ $10^{4 \text{ c}}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.69 \pm 0.39 \; x \\ 10^{4 \; b} \end{array}$
ALN (ALNs.mL ⁻¹)	$7.14 \pm 13.30 \ x10^{5} \ a$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.11 \pm 2.16 \\ x10^{5 \ ab} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.72 \pm 2.50 \\ x 10^{5 \ ab} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.39 \pm 2.55 \\ x 10^{5 \ b} \end{array}$

531 O₂ : Oxygen; K: Potassium; Mg: Magnesium; Na: Sodium; Ca: Calcium; P: Phosphorus; T.O.C.: Total

532 Organic Carbon; T.N.: Total Nitrogen; VLP: Viral Like Particles; ALN: Aster Like Nanoparticles

533 ^{a,b,c} indicate significant differences between lakes or seasons (*Dunn test*, p < 0.01)

534 ^{ns} indicate no effect of lakes or seasons on this parameter.

535 * = data are obtained from mean of the 3 lakes



561 <u>Figure 2</u>. Dynamics of Aster Like Nanoparticles (ALNs) concentrations (A) and their different
 562 forms (B).

563 Total ALNs concentration in the 3 lakes during the 12 months of sampling (A). Dynamics of the

- different forms during this period (B)*. ND = No Detectable. * = data are obtained from mean of the 3 lakes



(A) Individuals according to the lakes



571 572

573 <u>Figure 3</u>. Principal Component Analysis of individuals (sampling points) in function of lakes (A) 574 or seasons (B).

- 575 F: Fargette ; S: St. Gervais ; L: Lapeyrouse ; Oct: October ; Nov: November ; Dec: December ; Jan: January ; Feb:
- 576 February ; Mar: March ; Apr: April ; May: May ; Jun: June ; Jul: July ; Aug: August ; sep: September

577 <u>Table 2</u>. Pearson correlation between the Aster Like Nanoparticles (ALNs) and the

578 environmental variables in the 3 lakes.

	O ₂	T°c	pН	K	Mg	Na	Ca	Р	TOC	TN	VLP	Prok	NPP	PPP	Н
ALN	- 0.26	0.28	0.24	0.22	-0.01	0.21	0	0.23	0.25	0.17	0.47 *	0.32 *	0.31	0.26	-0.
Prok: proka HE:Heterotr	ryotes; V ophic Eu	LP: V karyot	iral Li æs; T°	ke Pai c: Ter	ticles;	N.P.F ure; O	P:Nanc ₂: Ox	ophytoj ygen;	plankto T.O.C	on; P.l : Tota	P.P: Pi Il orga	cophy nic Ca	toplan arbon;	kton; T.N:	
Total Nitrog Pearson test,	gen; Mg: Mg: $^*p \le 0.05$	Magne	sium; Ì	K: Pot	assium	ı; Ca: (Calciu	m; Na	: Sodiu	ım; P:	Phosp	horus			





623 <u>Figure 4</u>: Linear regression between ALNs concentration and concentration of prokaryotes.

624 Linear regression $(y = -3 + 1,2^*x)$ was performed with data from the 3 lakes during the 12 months of 625 sampling and data from Colombet *et al.*, [13].

636 <u>Table 3</u>. Significant Spearman correlation coefficients between the presence of ALNs and the 637 presence of OTUs.

Kingdom	Phylum	Lake	\mathbb{R}^2
Γ	Acidobacteria	St. Gervais	0.85
	Cyanobacteria	St. Gervais	0.71
	Cyanobacteria	Lapeyrouse	0.71
Bacteria	Firmicutes	Fargettes	0.82
	Planctomycetes	Fargettes	0.77
		Fargettes_1	0.77
	Proteobacteria	Fargettes _2	1
		St. Gervais	0.84
	I	Fargettes_1	0.77
	Ascomycota	Fargettes _2	0.77
		St. Gervais _1	0.85
Fungi		St. Gervais_2	0.85
	Chytridiomycota	Lapeyrouse	0.84

•

642 Positive correlation analyses (Spearman's test, p < 0.01) between the presence of different OTUs (and 643 their affiliations) and the presence of ALNs.

 $_(x) = different OTU$

650 <u>Table S1</u>: Primers used for the amplification and their PCR programs.

	Bacteria	Archae	Fungi			
Forward primer	515f-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTA	519f- CAGCMGCCGCGGTAA	its7f-GTGARTCATCGAATCTTTG			
Reverse Primer	928r- CCCCGYCAATTCMTTTRAGT	1017r- GGCCATGCACCWCCTCTC	its4r-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC			
	95°c 3mn	95°c 3mn	95°c 3mn			
	x32	x32	x32			
	98°c 20s	98°c 20s	98°c 20s			
Program	65°c 40s	68°c 30s	57°c 30s			
	72°c 30s	72°c 30s	72°c 30s			
	 72°c. 10mn	72°c. 5mn	 72°c. 5mn			





🔶 Chlorophyceae --- Cryptophyceae --- Cyanobacteria + Diatoms

Figure S2. Variation of different groups of nanophytoplanktonic communities (Chlorophyceae, Cryptophyceae, Cyanobacteria and Diatoms) in our 3 lakes over the 12-months sampling period.



Archaea

Fungi

Bacteria

<u>Figure S3</u>. Variation of the different bacterial, archaeal and fungal phyla in the 3 lakes during the 12months of sampling period.