

Transfer of multi-DNA patches by colloidal stamping

Rawan Khalaf, Andrea Viamonte, Etienne Ducrot, Rémi Mérindol, Serge Ravaine

▶ To cite this version:

Rawan Khalaf, Andrea Viamonte, Etienne Ducrot, Rémi Mérindol, Serge Ravaine. Transfer of multi-DNA patches by colloidal stamping. Nanoscale, 2023, 15 (2), pp.573-577. 10.1039/d2nr05016a . hal-03932109

HAL Id: hal-03932109 https://hal.science/hal-03932109v1

Submitted on 10 Jan2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Transfer of multi-DNA patches by colloidal stamping

Rawan Khalaf,^{a+} Andrea Viamonte,^a Etienne Ducrot,^{*a} Rémi Mérindol^{*b} and Serge Ravaine^{*a}

Patchy particles have received great attention due to their ability to develop directional and selective interactions and serve as building units for the self-assembly of innovative colloidal molecules and crystalline structures. However, synthesizing particles with multiple dissimilar patches is still highly challenging and lacks efficient methods, these building blocks would open paths towards a broader range of ordered materials and their inherent properties. Herein, we describe a new approach to pattern functional DNA patches at the surface of particles, by use of colloidal stamps. DNA inks are transferred only at the contact zones between the target particle and the stamps thanks to selective strand-displacement reactions. The produced DNA-patchy particles are ideal candidates to act as advanced precision/designer building blocks to self-assemble the next generation of colloidal materials.

Introduction

Over the past decades, scientists have aspired to fabricate functional materials by colloidal self-assembly.¹ Although many beautiful examples of self-assembled colloidal molecules² or colloidal crystals³ from particles with well-defined shapes and composition have been reported so far, colloidal systems cannot be targeted towards most of the sophisticated structures that Nature built. Indeed, the latter require encoding the building units with information to guide their self-assembly by programming their geometry as well as the directionality, valence, range of their pair interactions. Several strategies have been developed to address this challenge, including the attachment of molecules that recognize one another onto the surface of particles.⁴ Among the wide range of binding groups that have been employed, synthetic DNA strands have been proven to be very versatile and promising as a tremendous number of orthogonal interactions can be programmed based on the design of nucleotide sequences, giving access to highly specific programmable interactions. DNA-coated particles have thus been extensively employed as building blocks for the selfassembly of clusters with precise symmetries⁵ and crystalline lattices.⁶ To further control both the valence of the particles and the directionality of the bonds they form with their partners, a number of groups have recently proposed strategies to regioselectively pattern particles with DNA patches.⁷ Sleiman et al. successfully transferred DNA motifs from a parent 3D DNA template to gold⁸ and polymeric nanoparticles.⁹ Two-¹⁰ and three¹¹-dimensional DNA origami structures were used as stamping platforms to transfer DNA inks onto gold nanoparticles. In both cases, the printed nanoparticles were released from the frame by a strand displacement reaction.¹² In order to create micron-sized particles with several dissimilar patches, we translate this strategy by using colloidal particles coated with DNA inks as stamps. The colloidal stamps can assemble with support colloids via DNA hybridization. The injection of eject strands allowed us to transfer the DNA inks at the contact zones between support and stamp particles (Fig. 1a) leading to patchy particles. We also take benefit of packing constraints to control the number of stamp particles that can park around the support ones^{5a}, which finally defines the

number of transferred patches.

Experimental

Synthesis of DNA-coated particles

Azidated 3-(trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate (TPM) particles were prepared through the azidation of chlorine groups present at the surface of particles previously synthesized according to the protocol developed by Wang et al.6e (see ESI). After synthesis the particles were imaged by TEM and SEM. Fig. S1 shows that they are spherical and monodisperse in size. Their surface is smooth, which has been shown to be required to allow an homogeneous distribution of DNA strands during the former step.13 In order to functionalize monodisperse polystyrene (PS) particles with azide groups, we followed the protocol developed by Oh et al.,6d which relies on the physical entrapment of an azidated PS-b-PEO copolymer (PS-b-PEO-N₃). For this, the PS particles are swollen with tetrahydrofuran (THF) to allow the PS block of the copolymer to penetrate in the PS particles (see ESI). After evaporation of the THF, the PS block of the copolymer is physically trapped in the PS particles while the PEO block and the terminal azide group form a brush at the surface, swollen by water and exposed to the surrounding media. Azidated particles were further functionalized with DNA following the protocol described by Wang et al.^{6e} that ensures a dense surface coverage of the colloids with DNA (see ESI). The process relies on the strain-promoted azide-alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAC) to graft DNA strands end-functionalized with a dibenzocyclooctyne moiety (DBCO) onto azide functionalized particles.

Characterization

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were taken using a Hitachi H600 microscope operating at an acceleration voltage of 75 kV. The samples for TEM observation were supported on conventional carbon-coated copper grids. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were taken using a Hitachi S4500 microscope at an accelerating voltage of 5 kV. Confocal fluorescence microscopy images were taken using a Leica SP2 confocal laser scanning microscope as well as a ZEISS LSM980 equipped with an Airyscan detector.

Results and discussion

DNA strands A and B (Table S1) have first been grafted onto azidated TPM and PS particles, respectively. The coated particles are referred to as TPM_A and PS_B . We then functionalized/inked the stamp TPMA particles with the Ink₅₆₅ (see Table S1 for details) by adding a large excess of ink to a suspension of particles (Fig. 1b). The ink consists in two hybridized DNA strands, T-X-A* and X*₅₆₅-B*, the latter being modified with the fluorescent dye Atto565. As the domain A* is complementary to the sequence A at the surface of the TPM particles, the ink sticks on their surface due to the formation of A/A* duplexes. The particles and strands were maintained in a buffer enriched in magnesium ions and at low temperature in order to strengthen the DNA duplexes and prevent strand migration (see ESI). The excess of ink was subsequently washed away by centrifugation/dispersion steps. As Ink₅₆₅ is also complementary to strands B, the TPM_A~Ink₅₆₅ stamp particles were mixed with a 40:1 excess of PS_B particles to form preferentially small clusters with only one TPMA particle at the core and PS_B satellites (Fig. 1c). This ultimately maximizes the number of one-patch PS particles produced in the process. When the assembly is completed, Y*488-B* strands (labelled

with Alexa488) are added to hybridize with the remaining B strands available on the PSB particles and passivate their surface (Fig. 1d). Fig. 2a shows that clusters made of one TPM_A particle surrounded by PS_B particles were obtained. A detailed analysis reveals that the number of PS_B particles in the clusters varies from 1 to 7. Their relative amounts have been determined by statistical analysis performed over 100 clusters (Fig. 2c-i). Some rare clusters (~5 %) formed of one PS_B particle in contact with two TPM_A particles could be observed as well as a large amount of free PS_A particles. Thanks to the density difference between TPM (1.2 g.cm⁻³ ¹⁴) and PS (1.06 g.cm⁻³), we successfully removed most of these free PS particles (Fig. 2b) by sedimentation in a PBS based buffer solution of intermediate density (1.07 g.cm⁻³) prepared by mixing H_2O and D_2O (see ESI). The relative proportions of the different clusters remained unchanged during this purification stage, as indicated in Fig. 2ci, proving that the clusters are sufficiently robust and do not break during centrifugation. The final step to form patchy particles consists in disassembling the clusters formed by the



Fig. 1 General scheme and key steps. a) General schematic representation of the preparation of particles with DNA patches by colloidal stamping following sequentially the subsequent steps. b) Inking: the ink, formed by the association of T-X-A* and X*-B*, is hybridized at the surface of the bare stamp particle decorated with an A* DNA brush. c) Assembly: in the contact zone, formation of duplexes between the support particle decorated by B strands and the stamp thanks to the B* domain exposed by the ink. d) Passivation: the surface of the support particle, outside of the contact zone is passivated by hybridization between the B strands of the surface and Y*-B* strands. e) Eject: strand displacement reaction to separate the stamp from the support particle, leading to the formation of a X*-B* patch at the contact zone and the recovery of patchy particles exposing the strand Y* on the surface with patches of X*. f) Schematic representation of the DNA strands and assemblies used in this study.



Fig. 2 Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of clusters obtained by incubating 1.6 μ m TPM_A~Ink₅₆₅ and 1.5 μ m PS_B particles in a 1:40 ratio a) before and b) after purification. c-i) Zoom on the different clusters containing 1 to 7 PS particles. Their relative amounts (in %) in the sample before/after purification are given at the top right corner of each image. j) Confocal fluorescence microscopy image of the patchy PS particles resulting from the strand-displacement disassembly of TPM_A~Ink₅₆₅~PS_B clusters. Scale bar of 10 μ m for a, b and j and 5 μ m for c to i.

stamp and support particles leaving the fluorescent part of the ink on the support particle only at the contact point between them. To do so, we injected the Eject_x strand which binds to the toehold T of Ink₅₆₅ and replaces the strand X^*_{565} -B*. This breaks the duplex X/X* that was holding the stamp and support particles and results in the release of the support particle, which still carries the red fluorescent strands X^*_{565} -B* at the former contact point with the stamp (Fig. 1e and S2). Fig. 2j and Movie S1 show that PS particles with one red fluorescent part fluorescent parts parts parts are mostly obtained, validating the developed strategy.

Some non-patchy PS particles that were not completely removed by centrifugation and a few two-patch particles (~5%), which result from the disassembly of the clusters in which one PS particle is in contact with two TPM particles are also observed.

We firstly extended our strategy to prepare particles with multiple identical patches precisely located at their surface. To do so, we prepared clusters with different controlled morphologies by the random parking^{5a} of an excess of large PS spheres functionalized with DNA strands A and Ink₅₆₅ on smaller TPM particles functionalized with DNA strands B. Due to packing constraints inherent in the ratio of radii between large and small spheres, only a fixed number of large spheres can park, leading to a population of clusters with well-defined coordination. When the assembly is completed, the Y*₄₈₈-B* strands, complementary to DNA strands B, are added to passivate the surface of TPM_B particles. Fig. 3a-c shows confocal images of the DNA-colloidal clusters obtained when TPM particles with a diameter of 1.1, 1.6, and 2 µm are employed, respectively. Different clusters made of one TPM core and different numbers of PS satellites are observed (Fig. 3d-g and S3). The relative proportions of each type of clusters obtained for different values of the size ratio α of PS/TPM, are listed in Table 1. One can note that when α is 4.39, clusters made of one or two PS particles attached to one TPM sphere are mainly formed. Decreasing α to 3.02 and 2.42 led to the formation of higher proportions of clusters containing 3 and 4 PS particles, as expected.



Fig. 3 Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of the clusters obtained by incubating TPM_B and PS_A~Ink₅₆₅ in a 1:40 ratio followed by the addition of the Y*₄₈₈-B* passivation strand. The diameter of the TPM particles is: a) 1.1 µm (α = 4.39), b) and d-g) 1.6 µm (α = 3.02), c) 2 µm (α = 2.42). h) Confocal fluorescence microscopy images (Alexa488, green channel; Atto565, red channel) with transmission microscopy (grey channel) of PS_A~Ink₅₆₅~TPM_B & Y*₄₈₈-B* (α = 3.02) clusters after strand displacement reaction using Eject_x strand. i-I) Zoom on the patchy TPM particles with an increasing number of patches obtained after strand displacement reaction using Eject_x (α = 3.02). Scale bar of 10 µm for a to h and 2 µm for i to l.

 Table 1 Compositions of the batches resulting from the mixing of TPMB of different sizes with PSA~Ink565 in a 1:40 number ratio determined by statistical analysis of confocal fluorescence images over about 100 clusters.

α	•	•• •••		*	-	
4.39	3	39	50	8	0	
3.02	0	20	29	44	7	
2.42	0	3	27	39	31	

After injection of the eject strands $Eject_x$ in the clusters suspension, non-fluorescent PS particles and TPM particles with red fluorescent patches are observed, proving the transfer of the fluorescent DNA from $PS_A \sim Ink_{565}$ (Fig. 3h and S3). More precisely, Fig. 3i-l show that 1.6 μ m TPM particles with one to four patches are obtained. Similar results were obtained with 1.1 μ m and 2 μ m TPM particles (Fig. S5), validating the strategy based on the combination of colloidal parking and colloidal stamping.

Lastly, we further extended our strategy to prepare particles with multiple dissimilar patches. We first divided the PS particles into two batches, and coated one batch with Ink₅₆₅ and the other with Ink₆₄₇ (Table S1). The two batches were then mixed together and TPM_B particles functionalized with B strands were added in а number ratio TPM_B:PS_A~Ink₅₆₅:PS_A~Ink₆₄₇ of 1:20:20. The sample was kept in the fridge at 4 °C for 24 h to maximize the formation of clusters. Then, $Y^{\ast}{}_{488}\text{-}B^{\ast}$ strands were added to hybridize with the B strands outside of the contact zones and passivate the surface of the TPM_B particles.



Fig. 4 Confocal fluorescence microscopy images of the clusters obtained by incubating TPM_B, PS_A~Ink₅₆₅ and PS_A~Ink₆₄₇ in a 1:20:20 number ratio followed by the addition of the passivation strand Y*₄₈₈-B*. The diameter of the TPM particles is 1.6 μ m (α = 3.02). k) Confocal fluorescence microscopy image of the patchy particles obtained after injection of Eject_x and Eject_z. The inset shows a TPM particle with one red and one blue fluorescent patches. I) Confocal fluorescence microscopy image of a cluster made of one TPM particle surrounded by one PS_A~Ink₄₈₈, one PS_A~Ink₅₆₅ and one PS_A~Ink₆₄₇ particles. m) Confocal fluorescence microscopy image of the patchy 1.6 μ m TPM particles obtained after injection of Eject_x, Eject_y and Eject_z. Scale bar of 10 μ m for a, 5 μ m for b to m, and 2 μ m for k inset.

Different clusters made of one TPM core and different numbers of PS_A~Ink₅₆₅ and PS_A~Ink₆₄₇ are observed (Fig. 4a-j). The relative proportions of each type of clusters are listed in Table 2. After injection of the eject strands Eject_x and Eject_z in the clusters suspension, non-fluorescent PS particles and TPM particles with red and/or blue fluorescent patches are observed, proving the transfer of the fluorescent DNA from PS_A~Ink₅₆₅ and PS_A~Ink₆₄₇ (Fig. 4k and S6 and Movie S2). When we worked with three batches of PS_A particles coated with Ink₄₈₈, Ink₅₆₅ and Ink₆₄₇, respectively, and mixed them with TPM_B particles in a number ratio TPM_B:PS_A~Ink₄₈₈:PS_A~Ink₅₆₅:PS_A~Ink₆₄₇ of 1:13:13:13, we observed the formation of a few clusters made of one TPM particles surrounded by varying numbers of PS_A~Ink₄₈₈, PS_A~Ink₅₆₅ and PS_A~Ink₆₄₇ particles (Fig. 4I). After injection of Eject_x, Eject_Y and Eject_z, TPM particles with red and/or blue and/or green fluorescent patches are observed, proving once again the efficiency of our approach (Fig. 4m and Movie S3).

Table 2 Compositions of the batches resulting from the mixing of TPM_B with $PS_A \sim Ink_{565}$ and $PS_A \sim Ink_{647}$ in a 1:20:20 number ratio determined by statistical analysis of confocal fluorescence images over about 100 clusters.

٠	•	•	••••			*	~	•	~
7	22	26	8	19	13	0	2	2	1

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have synthesized micron-sized particles with one or several identical and distinct DNA patches by combining colloidal parking and the transfer of DNA strands at the contact zones with colloidal stamps thanks to strand-displacement reactions. Our strategy is versatile and can be extended to a gallery of hard particles and soft systems whose shape and size could be independently varied, opening the way to the synthesis of new DNA-patchy building blocks and the comprehensive study of their assembly into novel structures, such as alternating polymers or rings, dendrimers or gyroid crystals.

Author Contributions

R. Mérindol, E. Ducrot and S. Ravaine conceived this research. R. Mérindol and E. Ducrot designed the experimental process and revised the manuscript. R. Khalaf performed most of the experiments. A. Viamonte helped R. Khalaf to perform some of the experiments. R. Khalaf, E. Ducrot and S. Ravaine performed the data analysis. S. Ravaine wrote the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank S. Yao and I-S. Jo for the functionalization of the copolymer. R. Khalaf thanks the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation and Campus France for her PhD grant. This work was supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (POESY project, ANR-18-CE09-0019). We acknowledge funding from IdEx Bordeaux, a program of the French government managed by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-10-IDEX-03-02), and from Région Nouvelle-Aquitaine (AAPR 2020-2019-8330510).

References

 (a) X. Bouju, E. Duguet, F. Gauffre, C. R. Henry, M. L. Kahn, P. Mélinon and S. Ravaine, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1706558; (b) G. M. Whitesides and M. Boncheva, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2002, **99**, 4769–4774; (c) D. Frenkel, *Science*, 2002, **296**, 65-66.

- 2 (a) R. Mérindol, E. Duguet and S. Ravaine, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2019, 14, 3232-3239; (b) W. Li, H. Palis, R. Mérindol, J. Majimel, S. Ravaine and E. Duguet, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, 49, 1955-1976.
- 3 (a) H. Zheng and S. Ravaine, *Crystals*, 2016, 6, 54; (b) Z. Cai, Z. Li, S. Ravaine, M. He, Y. Song, Y. Yin, H. Zheng, J. Teng and A. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, 50, 5898-5951.
- 4 E. Elacqua, X. Zheng, C. Shillingford, M. Liu and M. Weck, Acc. Chem. Res., 2017, **50**, 2756–2766.
- 5 (a) N. B. Schade, M. C. Holmes-Cerfon, E. R. Chen, D. Aronzon, J. W. Collins, J. A. Fan, F. Capasso and V. N. Manoharan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, **110**, 148303; (b) K.-T. Wu, L. Feng, R. Sha, R. Dreyfus, A. Y. Grosberg, N. C. Seeman and P. M. Chaikin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2012, **109**, 18731–18736; (c) M. Dwivedi, S. L. Singh, A. S. Bharadwaj, V. Kishore and A. V. Singh, *Micromachines*, 2022, **13**, 1102; (d) J. Lowensohn, B. Oyarzún, G. N. Paliza, B. M. Mognetti and W. B. Rogers, *Phys. Rev. X*, **2019**, **9**, 041054.
- 6 (a) N. Geerts and E. Eiser, *Soft Matter*, 2010, **6**, 4647-4660; (b)
 W. B. Rogers, W. M. Shih and V. N. Manoharan, *Nat Rev Mater*, 2016, **1**, 16008; (c) J. T. McGinley, I. Jenkins, T. Sinno and J. C. Crocker, *Soft Matter*, 2013, **9**, 9119-9128; (d) J. S. Oh,
 Y. Wang, D. J. Pine and G.-R. Yi, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 8337– 8344; (e) Y. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Zheng, É. Ducrot, M.-G. Lee, G.-R. Yi, M. Weck and D. J. Pine, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 10760–10766.
- 7 (a) X. Zheng, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, D. J. Pine and M. Weck, Chem. Mater., 2016, 28, 3984-3989. (b) Y. Wang, Y. Wang, D. R. Breed, V. N. Manoharan, L. Feng, A. D. Hollingsworth, M. Weck and D. J. Pine, Nature, 2012, 491, 51-56; (c) L. Feng, R. Dreyfus, R. Sha, N. C. Seeman and P. M. Chaikin, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 2779-2783; (d) G. Yao, J. Li, Q. Li, X. Chen, X. Liu, F. Wang, Z. Qu, Z. Ge, R. P. Narayanan, D. Williams, H. Pei, X. Zuo, L. Wang, H. Yan, B. L. Feringa and C. Fan, Nat. Mater., 2020, 19, 781–788; (e) S. Ravaine and E. Duguet, Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science, 2017, 30, 45-53; (f) E. Duguet, C. Hubert, C. Chomette, A. Perro and S. Ravaine, C. R. Chimie, 2016, 19, 173-182; (g) M. Y. Ben Zion, X. He, C. C. Maass, R. Sha, N. C. Seeman and P. M. Chaikin, Science, 2017, 358, 633-636; (h) Y. Zhang, X. He, R. Zhuo, R. Sha, J. Brujic, N. C. Seeman and P. M. Chaikin, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 2018, 115, 9086-9091; (i) J. A. Diaz A., J.-S. Oh, G.-R. Yi and D. J. Pine, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 2020, 117, 10645–10653.
- 8 T. G. W. Edwardson, K. L. Lau, D. Bousmail, C. J. Serpell and H. F. Sleiman, *Nature Chemistry*, 2016, **8**, 162–170.
- 9 T. Trinh, C. Liao, V. Toader, M. Barłóg, H. S. Bazzi, J. Li and H. F. Sleiman, *Nature Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 184–192.
- Y. Zhang, J. Chao, H. Liu, F. Wang, S. Su, B. Liu, L. Zhan, J. Shi, L. Wang, W. Huang, L. Wang and C. Fan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 8036-8040.
- 11 Y. Xiong, S. Yang, Y. Tian, A. Michelson, S. Xiang, H. Xin and O. Gang, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 6823–6833.
- 12 E. W. Gehrels, W. B. Rogers and V. N. Manoharan, *Soft Matter*, 2018, **14**, 969-984.
- 13 Y. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Zheng, É. Ducrot, J. S. Yodh, M. Weck and D. J. Pine, *Nat Commun*, 2015, **6**, 7253.
- 14 C. van der Wel, R. K. Bhan, R. W. Verweij, H. C. Frijters, Z. Gong, A. D. Hollingsworth, S. Sacanna and D. J. Kraft, *Langmuir*, 2017, **33**, 8174–8180.