

## Restrictions on the use of "double le" in chinese Hilary Chappell

### ▶ To cite this version:

Hilary Chappell. Restrictions on the use of "double le" in chinese. Cahiers de linguistique - Asie Orientale, 1986, 15 (2), pp.223-252. 10.3406/clao.1986.1205 . hal-03931432

## HAL Id: hal-03931432 https://hal.science/hal-03931432

Submitted on 6 Feb 2023

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# Restrictions on the use of "double le" in chinese Hilary Chappell

#### Résumé

Cet article met en évidence le fonctionnement de la construction en "double le" en chinois courant. (La forme "double le" est employée comme étiquette qui marque la co-occurrence du -le verbal-perfectif avec le prédicatif-inchoatif, ce qui produit une configuration syntactique de la forme : SN ... V-LE-SN-LE) . Les énoncés en "double le" expriment invariablement l'affirmation de l'accomplissement d'un événement attendu dans le contexte donné. Ils peuvent en plus être divisés en deux catégories selon le type du syntagme postverbal (± pluriel) . Avec des SN postverbaux singuliers, les énoncés en "double le" expriment, mis à part le sens invariant, qu'il suffit pour l'événement de n'avoir eu lieu qu'une fois. Avec des SN postverbaux pluriels, par contre, c'est le dépassement d'une attente concernant les modalités de l'événement qui s'ajoute au sens invariant.

#### Citer ce document / Cite this document :

Chappell Hilary. Restrictions on the use of "double le" in chinese. In: Cahiers de linguistique - Asie orientale, vol. 15 2, 1986. pp. 223-252;

doi : https://doi.org/10.3406/clao.1986.1205

https://www.persee.fr/doc/clao\_0153-3320\_1986\_num\_15\_2\_1205

Fichier pdf généré le 18/05/2018



## Hilary CHAPPELL

# RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF "DOUBLE LE" IN CHINESE<sup>(•)</sup>

#### RESUME

Cet article met en évidence le fonctionnement de la construction en "double <u>le</u>" en chinois courant. (La forme "double <u>le</u>" est employée comme étiquette qui marque la co-occurrence du <u>-le</u> verbal-perfectif avec <u>le</u> prédicatif-inchoatif, ce qui produit une configuration syntactique de la forme : SN ... V-LE-SN-LE).

Les énoncés en "double <u>le</u>" expriment invariablement l'affirmation de l'accomplissement d'un événement attendu dans le contexte donné. Ils peuvent en plus être divisés en deux catégories selon le type du syntagme postverbal (± pluriel). Avec des SN postverbaux singuliers, les énoncés en "double <u>le</u>" expriment, mis à part le sens invariant, qu'il suffit pour l'événement de n'avoir eu lieu qu'une fois. Avec des SN postverbaux pluriels, par contre, c'est le dépassement d'une attente concernant les modalités de l'événement qui s'ajoute au sens invariant.

 I would like to thank Sandra Thompson for making available to me the (unpublished) 170 page transcription of a taped interview on women's liberation in China. This is labelled *Transcription 2* in the analysis.

C.L.A.O. Vol. XV N°2 Décembre 1986, pp. 223-252.

#### INTRODUCTION

This paper looks at the use of double le in standard Chinese (Mandarin) in the aspectual marking of the predicate and its effects on sentential meaning[1]. "Double le" is used here as a label to denote sentences where the two homonymous but functionally different morphemes le in Chinese co-occur, namely, verbal, perfective -le (<liao 'finish') which marks the verb, acting as an (atonal) suffix and the modal particle, sentence-final le (<lai 'come', cf. Chao 1968:246) which marks the predicate as a whole with an inchoative function.

As double le can only be detected when an NP follows the main verb due to haplology of two consecutive le's (q.v. Chao 1968:246-7: \* $le \ le \rightarrow le$ ), the use of double le presupposes a configuration with a postverbal noun: Verb - LE - Noun Phrase - LE (cf. Rygaloff 1973: 110). This postverbal noun phrase may be realised as the singular or plural object of a transitive verb, a temporal noun phrase or a verb measure. Hence, the co-occurrence of the two le's in Chinese is restricted to certain syntactic forms[2]:

 $NP_1(topic) [NP_2(subject)] - V - LE - NP_3 - LE$ 

where NP<sub>3</sub> = { referential NP temporal NP verb measure }

- (1) Zhei ge wenti shàng ge xingqi yijing taolùn-le liàng tian le. (Zh.) this - CL. - question - last - CL. - week - already - discuss - LE two - day - LE
   "He for this - meller welve already discussed it for two days
  - "As for this problem, we've already discussed it for two days last week".
- [1] This paper was first presented in Paris at the Journées d'Etudes de Langues Orientales; 6-7 June 1985, held in the Centre de Recherches Linguistiques d'Asie Orientale (CRLAO). I am indebted to my language consultant, Wang Weiguó (b. 1954, Changchun, N. China) for his assistance in this research, all errors remain, of course, my own. This work was carried out as part of a larger project on aspect in Chinese (see Chappell - in prep.) during a research stay at Cologne University in 1985-86. I thank the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for its financial support. I would also like to thank Sandra Thompson for her comments on an earlier version of this paper.
- [2] Note that a subtype with non-referential generic object NPs is also treated in section 1. There is some disagreement amongst speakers as to the acceptability of this form. (See also Footnote 8 below). Referential NPs are typically marked by numeral + classifier or demonstrative + classifier in postverbal position in Chinese.

#### RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF "DOUBLE LE" IN CHINESE

Apart from Zheng (1980), which specifically deals with this construction, double le has only been given separate treatment from verbal -le and sentential le in a relatively small number of grammars of Chinese or monographs on aspect in Chinese, amongst others Lin (1981) and Kalousková (1964). It is also referred to briefly in Rygaloff (1973), Li & Thompson (1981), Marney (1977), Teng (1979) and Liu (1964). In this paper, we set out to show that the meaning of sentences containing double le is not equal to the combination of the temporal, aspectual and modal meanings of verbal -le and sentential le. Nor are the syntactic constraints pertaining to double le the same as those on the use of each morpheme le separately with respect to their individual syntactic behaviour.

The meaning of double le is shown to be semantically marked through its concomitant expression of the speaker's viewpoint in terms of an event fulfilling certain expectations in contrast to the use of either verbal -le or sentential le alone, which lack this particular feature of meaning[3].

We begin discussion of the double le construction where verbal -le and sentential le co-occur by examining sets of minimal pairs in a first attempt to separate out the meanings of sentences containing verbal -le, sentential le and double le respectively:

- (2) Xiǎo Mei zài Fǎguô zhù -le shĩ niân (\*mîngtiān yào zǒu)
   Xiao Mei at France live LE ten year (tomorrow want go)
   "Xiao Mei lived in France for ten years (\*and is intending to leave tomorrow)".
- (3) Xião Mei zài Făguo zhù shi nian le (mingtiān yào zǒu)
   Xiao Mei at France live ten year LE (tomorrow want go)
   "Xiao Mei has lived in France for ten years now (and is leaving tomorrow)".
- (4) Xião Mei zài Făguo zhù -le shi nian le (`mingtiān yào zǒu) Xiao - Mei - at - France - live - LE - ten - year - LE (`tomorrow want - go)
  "Xiao Mei has been living in France for ten years so far (`and is leaving tomorrow)".

An example such as (2) would be likely to be found in narrative in the relating of past events that are understood to have no impact on the present moment of speaking. (To this extent, verbal

[3] Hereafter the labels "verbal -le" (or "perfective -le") and "sentence-final le" will be used as abbreviations for "sentences aspectually marked by verbal -le only" and "sentences aspectually marked by sentence-final -le only" respectively.

Hilary CHAPPELL

-le acts like a simple past or preterite tense found in many of the Indo-European languages, although it is not obligatory as an overt marker for this meaning). This accounts for why it is incompatible with the following clause mingtian yao zou ("is intending to leave tomorrow"), given the disparate time references of each clause. Sentence (3) with the inchoative sentence-final le is interpreted as a state of affairs which still holds at the moment of speech, although the point of inception of this state of affairs is understood to be prior to the moment of speaking. Unlike the double le construction in (4), there is no strong implication that this state of affairs will continue. Such an implication is not part of its meaning, and clauses such as mingtian yao zou are thus compatible with it.

Sentence (4) however, with double le implies that the subject, having already lived in France for ten years, will continue to live there, which causes it to be incompatible with the following clause for a different reason to (2). To some extent, double lefunctions like the English present continuous perfect "have been V-ing" which too carries the implication of the action proceeding into the future. With regard to this point, Kalouskova (1964:71-2) states that double le has

"... une nuance significative spéciale - la période indiquée par A<sup>t</sup> (l'adverbial de temps - HC) finit au moment présent et l'action /ou l'état/ peut continuer dans l'avenir".

That this feature of meaning is present is in fact opinio communis amongst a diverse group of linguists including Zheng (1980:103), Lin (1981:142), Marney (1977:28-29), Teng (1979:64), Rygaloff (1973:110-111) and Li & Thompson (1981:270). However, none state is as an invariant feature in contrast to the present analysis where it is postulated for the type of double *le* sentence with a plural postverbal NP[4].

[4] Zheng Huaide states that double le "han you 'xiànzài wèi zh'' de yisī hai chang you 'jiāng yào jixu-xiàqu' de yisī" ("has the meaning of 'up to the present' and often, also has the meaning of 'is going to continue on'"). Li (1981:142) states that double le "indicates that the action is still in progress or is to be continued". Marney (1977:28-29) translates double le sentences in the following way: tā chi le zhongguo fàn le "he has eaten the Chinese food (so far - and other foods remain to be eaten") as does similarly Rygaloff (1973:110): wõ hē-le liàng-bēi jiu le "j'ai déjà bu deux verres de vin" (= "j'en suis à deux verres ; et ie compte continuer"). Li & Thompson (1981:270) discuss the category of sentences containing sentence-final le expressing "progress so far" which can also be marked by verbal -le. They claim however that the implication of "more poems to be memorized" for their example (112) (given slightly attered as (19) below) is not an invariant feature of double le sentences (1981:218, Footnote 5) in contra-

distinction to my analysis.

Although double le and sentence le both relate an event to the moment of speaking (that is, have perfect interpretations, as part of their meaning), double le differs from sentence le in another significant way (as foreshadowed above) which will now be elaborated upon:

All double le sentences encode an affirmation of a (contextually) given assumption that a certain event has taken place. This is their "common denominator" (cf. Seiler 1986:11-14) - their shared invariant feature.

However, to explicate the function of "double *le*" in a precise way, we need to divide double *le* sentences into two main types: those with singular postverbal NPs which may be either referential or generic in nature and those with plural postverbal NPs, plurality being overtly expressed through the use of numerals (+ classifiers). The *specific* interpretation is thus tied to the kind of postverbal NP.

The case of the singular postverbal NP is the simpler to explain: Double le sentences of this type not only express the common feature of the affirmation of the occurrence of the event but also contain the overall implication of there being no immediate requirement for this event to happen again (informally: "once is enough for the time being"). Thus there is no "so far" implication for this type. This interpretation is clear in example (15) Yeye  $h\bar{e} \ le \ jiu \ le$  "Grandfather has had his drink of wine' (e.g. daily allowed glass - and shouldn't have any more to drink). This feature of meaning is not present in correlate sentences containing only sentence final le. The invariant meaning of this type of double le sentence can be given in terms of the following semantic representation and diagram of inferential possibilities, negative and neutral in nature[5]:

"Double le" with singular postverbal NPs:

Even E has happened once as expected (e.g. agent X has carried out a particular action; a state involving subject X has come about) and I (= speaker) think that

Inferential possibilities for speaker	
neutral	-ve
"nothing more needs to happen now (= at given time) with respect to event E"	"once is enough. Event E shouldn't take place again"

[5] This type of semantic representation is based on Wierzbicka's (e.g. 1980) use of a small number of semantic primitives (present in every natural language) to form a metalanguage to be applied in the explication of not only lexical items but also complex syntactic structures.

Secondly, double le sentences with a plural postverbal NP are used to express in addition to the basic meaning, the surpassing of expectations with respect to the given event. Sentence (4) above (with double le) differs further from sentence (3) (with only inchoative le) in that the period of time which has elapsed during the course of events is understood to have surpassed the norm in length. This is a function of the speaker's viewpoint and may be manifested according to the individual example with a plural postverbal NP as either an excessive amount (with object NPs), excessive length of time (with temporal NPs) or excessive number of times (with verb classifiers following the verb). Furthermore, the situation can be viewed positively by the speaker in terms of admiration or praise ("so much !", "so long !") or negatively, as criticism or complaint ("too much", "too long"). The event is also considered to be one that can recurr in succession into the future. These features will be clearly seen in the following discussion of examples. The semantic representation for this type of double *le* sentence is given below with the inferential possibilities listed in the table:

"Double le" with plural postverbal NPs:

Event E has happened to such an extent (plural postverbal NP) that I (= speaker) say that this is more than anyone could have expected. I think event E will continue to happen.

Infer	Inferential possibilities for speaker		
Person	+ve	-ve	
2, 3	"so much !"	"too much"	
	"so long !" etc.	"too long" etc.	
	e.g. PRAISE ADMIRATION	CRITICISM	
1	SELF PRAISE	COMPLAINT	

Before proceeding to the main analysis of data, we will discuss briefly these postulated semantic features (given in the semantic representations above), that is, the invariants of the two types of double *le* sentences:

The affirmation of an assumption that a certain event has already taken place, inhering in every double *le* sentence, means that the event in question must be one that is known to both speaker and hearer - the event is 'old' information, understood as 'given' in the particular context. As a result, we wouldn't expect a double *le* sentence to open a segment of discourse, borne out by the three transcriptions consulted where the double *le* sentences all occur well into the text (see section II below).

Secondly, and as a corollary to this, double *le* sentences cannot be used as answers to pure information questions (for example, those using interrogative pronouns or the question particle *ma*) since there is not necessarily any mention of the event in this type of question, let alone assumption of its possible occurrence. This accounts for the unacceptability of (5) as an answer to the given question :

- (5) Nǐ kū shénmo ? you - cry - what
  "Why are you crying ?"
  - \*Gēge tī - le wǒ yi jiǎo le.
  - \*Gege ti Le wo yi jiao le. elder: - kick - LE - I - once - CL.: - LE brother foot

(An answer with the predicate marked only by verbal -le is the appropriate one: 'My elder brother kicked me' and not 'My elder brother has already kicked me once")

Moreover, in all the examples from spontaneous speech (given in II), the event expected to have already taken place is foreshadowed in the preceding discourse, often explicitly mentioned: example (61) below Wo gongzuo-le ... wu-liu nian le 'I've worked for 5 or 6 years so far' was the response elicited to the following question:

 Ní gōngzuò dàgài jĩ nian le you - work - probably - how:many - year - LE
 "For how many years is it likely you've been working ?"

and not by, for example, the  $m\alpha$  question (7), to which (6) would be an incorrect answer:

(7) Nǐ xiànzài yǒu gōngzuò ma ?
 you - now - have - work - Q
 "Do you work now ?"

Only (6) and not (7) contains the assumption that the interviewee works, appropriate for the following double le answer.

When the expected event does not already act as a 'given' in the preceding discourse, sequentiality is violated as (5) above shows.

The 'once is enough' constraint (exemplified primarily in section I.1.(ii)) that pertains to double *le* sentences with a singular postverbal NP can be determined from applying such sentences to incongruous contexts, for example to a context where duration of an event is an extra-linguistic or contextual given. Consider the example with the V-O compound shuijiào 'sleep (a sleep)'. It would be possible to construct a double *le* sentence with this predicate to confirm that a small child, for example, had taken its (daily) nap (and thus done what it's supposed to do) but anomalous if applied to the case of the Grimm's fairy tale character "Sleeping Beauty" (Dornröschen):

(8) \*Tā shuì-le jiào le
3sg-sleep-LE - sleep - LE
"She's had her nap". (\*for Sleeping Beauty)

Here the double *le* sentence type with a plural postverbal NP would be appropriate for use in describing Sleeping Beauty's 100 year sleep, since this type encodes, in addition to the basic meaning, the surpassing of expectations ("so many years already"):

(9) Ta yijing shui -le jiushi jiu nian le 3sg-already-sleep--LE-90+9-year-LE "She's already slept for 99 years (and will continue to sleep (for another year))".

Thus, given the context, if its semantic features are not compatible with these postulated invariants, then the double le sentence will be anomalous, that is, inappropriate for use.

It is hence equally difficult to find a suitable context for the following example (when considered in isolation):

 (10) ??Wo de nü pengyou yijing huaiyùn -le ba ge yùe le I - L - woman - friend - already - pregnant - LE - 8 - CL. month - LE
 "Wo sinlfniend has been pregnant fon 8 month - already"

"My girlfriend has been pregnant for 8 months already".

Given our extralinguistic knowledge about the normal length of pregnancy, an interpretation cannot be easily made for (10) in terms of 8 months 'surpassing expectations' in somehow being longer than usual (only possible perhaps if one thought the girlfriend in question wasn't that far into her pregnancy).

On the other hand, if we change the postverbal NP in (10) to shi ge yùe 'ten months' then this interpretation can be easily made, the baby being overdue.

(11) Wô de nü péngyou yijing huaiyùn -le shi ge yùe le.
 I - L - woman - friend - already - pregnant - LE - 10 - CL. - month - LE

"My girlfriend has been pregnant for 10 months already !"

Finally, a brief look at some kinds of syntactic behaviour associated with double le sentences: Returning to our point that the meaning of double le sentences is not merely equal to the addition of the semantic features belonging to verbal le and to sentence-final le, this is confirmed by the following evidence: It is predictable from the usage of verbal -le that the latter is suppressed in the negated form of a double -le sentence, also that modal verbs cannot combine with it (cf. amongst others, Teng 1979:42; Rygaloff 1973:111-112; Li & Thompson 1981:430). This is shown by (12) and (13) respectively:

- (12) Wǒ yǐjing liǎng tiān méi chàng (\*-le) gē le
  I already 2 day NEG. sing (\*-LE) song LE
  (e.g. singer complaining about disappointed expectations:)
  "I haven't sung for already two days now".
- (13) Beijing dui (\*néng) ti -le liàng chàng le Peking - team - (\*can) - kick-LE - two - CL. - LE "The Peking team has played two games so far".

Negated events and events conditioned by modality cannot, of course, convey the notion of fulfilment of an expectation.

The presence of sentence-final le also determines that the resultant state of affairs (following on from event) is understood to be still "in force" at the given reference point in time (but not the further inference that the event may continue into the future, possible for one category of double le sentence shown by the contrast between (3) and (4) above). The 'perfect' reading for both double le and sentence-final le accounts for the unacceptability of (14):

(14) Tā airén lái (-le) sān tiān le, (\*zuotiān you zou -le) 3sg - spouse - come - (-LE) - three - day - LE (\*yesterday - again leave-LE)

"Her husband has been here for three days now (\*and he left yesterday)".

However, none of these facts predict that the acceptability of double le with temporal and aspectual adverbs is at variance with that for sentence-final le used alone. Let us briefly consider the aspectual adverb yijing 'already' and the temporal adverb jiu 'then':

Whereas yljing 'already' is obligatory with certain types of sentences with sentence-final le, this is not the case for double le where it is optionally present.

Specifically the structure

V + transitive + O NP + LE [+monosyllabic]

requires the obligatory co-occurrence of yijing, otherwise it can-

not stand alone (cf. examples (18), (21ii), (22ii), (40) below)[6]. Secondly, with respect to the use of the temporal adverb *jiu* 

'then', we find that apart from double *le* sentences referring to future and past events (as for example, in pluperfect contexts, q.v. section I.5 below), it is not syntactically required.

Rygaloff (1973:117) also points out that the adverb hai'still' is not compatible with -le ... le although it is with sentence-final le used alone.

Hence co-occurrence restrictions with adverbs are different for double le sentences as opposed to those only marked by sentencefinal le or verbal -le.

The 'semantics prior' approach advocated by, amongst others, Wierzbicka (1980), and Dixon (1984), and similarly by Seiler (1986) and Li & Thompson (1981) within functionalist frameworks, is used in this analysis. In brief, the standpoint is taken that syntactic constructions and their associated syntactic behaviour need to be explicated in terms of their underlying semantics or pragmatic function.

This we set out to do by investigating co-occurrence restric-

[6] The obligatory co-occurrence of the adverb y i j i ng 'already' will be indicated for all the examples with sentence-final le (and the relevant structure) by an asterisk placed outside of parentheses: \*(x). The unacceptability of this type of sentence when yijing is not present can be grammatically resolved in the following three ways (without resorting to the use of yijing): (i) upon placing the structure into the context of a complex sentence, e.g., the co-ordinate structure given in (3); (ii) when the verb is complex, e.g., bisyllabic and resultative verbs do not require the presence of yijing when occurring with sentence-final le as in (16) below: (iii) when both morphemes *le* are present: Monosyllabic verbs marked by double *le* are exemplified by (17), (21i), (22i), (50) and (51) in the text. All the double le examples were checked with the language consultant for compatibility with  $y\dot{i}j\dot{i}ng$  and found not to structu-rally require it. When  $y\dot{i}j\ddot{i}ng$  does co-occur, it serves to reinforce the meaning of "affirmation of occurrence of an expected event" since the adverb itself implies completion/perfectivity of an event. For this reason, notably the V-O activity verb compounds, such as  $h\bar{e}-j\bar{i}u$  'drink (alcohol)' and  $ch\bar{i}-f\bar{a}n$  'eat (food)' cannot occur with yijing and sentence-final le (cf. examples (26)-(28) below) due to their non-perfective habitual meaning. Finally intransitive and stative verbs marked by sentence-final le can occur optionally with yijing q.v. si 'die' in (38); pao 'run' in (42); mang 'busy' in (47), zou 'walk' in (43); zhu 'live' in (3) and *jiehun* 'marry' in (30).

#### RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF "DOUBLE LE" IN CHINESE

tions with different verb types (transitive, stative etc.) and verb classes (modal, resultative etc.) as well as their co-occurrence with temporal categories. Using this method, we can discover the syntactic constraints pertaining to double *le* and thereby explicate its semantically marked nature in contrast to the two other sentence types containing *le*.

In the final section, the actual usage of double *le* in spontaneous conversation is examined with the aid of three transcriptions. The results of this discourse analysis are then matched with those for the syntactic and semantic analysis.

#### I. SYNTACTIC AND SEMANTIC ANALYSIS

1. Double le with transitive verbs

(i) Transitive verbs with plural objects

Some of the semantic features particular to the double *le* construction become apparent in the following examples with transitive verbs and plural referential object NPs:

(15) Tamen lià fanyì -le wù pian le (Zh.)
 they - two - translate - LE - five - CL. - piece - LE
 "The two of them have translated five pieces so far".

The implication of (15) is that the subjects have already translated more articles than expected, an implication which is not present in the correlate example, containing only a sentence-final le, given in (16).

 (16) Tāmen liǎ fānyì wù piān le they - two - translate - 5 - CL. - piece - LE "The two of them have translated five pieces now".

Sentence (16) merely denotes the inception of a new state of affairs, holding at the moment of speaking and is neutral with respect to this feature of meaning encoded by double *le*: the surpassing of expectations. Similarly, in example (17), the learning of 15 lessons is understood to be an impressive achievement on the part of the subject:

(17) Cong nian chu dào xiànzài, wò yijing xue -le shiwù kè le ! from - year - begin - to - now - I - already - study - LE - 15 lessons - LE
"From the beginning of the year up until now, I've already learnt fifteen lessons !".

As the subject is identical with the speaker in this example, the overall semantic effect is one of either self-praise or of the speaker wanting to be complimented on having learnt so much. When only sentence-final le is present, such implications are no longer to be found: Compare (17) with (18) below:

(18) Wǒ \*(yǐjīng) xue shiwù kè le
 I - already - study - 15 - lesson - LE
 "I've already learnt fifteen lessons !".

Example (18) does not contain the "so much !" implication and would be appropriate for a context where the subject, "I", still has more lessons to learn. This is not to say that (17) could not be used in a similar context to (18) but rather to point out once more that the implications of use, particularly in terms of speaker's viewpoint, are different. This can be seen clearly in the contrast between examples (19) and (20) which follow. Both could be used in a context where the subject is only half way through a task:

- (19) Tang shi san bài shòu, wò bèi -chulai-le yibànr le Tang - poem - 300 - CL. - I - learn - out - LE - one:half - LE "So far I've learnt half of the '300 poems of the Tang Dynasty' off by heart !" (semantically marked: "so many poems already").
- (20) Tang shi san bài shòu, wò bèi -chulai yibànr le Tang - poem - 300 - CL. - I - learn - out - one:half - LE "I've now learnt half of the '300 poems of the Tang Dynasty' off by heart". (semantically neutral: "I still have 150 to go").

Up to now, we have only looked at sentences where the speaker's viewpoint is positive. Let us now consider some examples with a negative speaker's viewpoint. Here the implication of "too many" or "too much" is present, lending such double *le* sentences the overtones of criticism:

- (21) (i) Zhèi lèi shu tā yijing mài-le wù lìu bèn le (jintian yòu this kind book 3sg already buy LE 5 5 CL. LE mài-le yì bèn) (Zh.)
  (today again buy LE 1 CL.)
  "S/he's already bought five or six of this kind of book, (and today s/he bought another one)".
- (22) (i) Nǐ qǐng -le bā ge péngyou le. Hải yào qǐng duōshǎo ? (DeF.) You - invite - LE - 8 - CL. - friend - LE - still - want - invite how:many
  "You've invited eight friends so far. How many more are you going to invite ?"

In (21)(i), criticism of the subject is implied for having bought too many of the one kind of book and similarly in (22)(i), the speaker's viewpoint that eight guests already suffices, if not being too many, is clearly expressed.

Again, the correlate sentence of (21)(i), containing only sentence-final le, expresses neutrally that at the given point of time, the subject had bought five or six of this kind of book, appropriate in the context, for example, of library requisitioning:

(21) (ii) Tā \*(yǐjing) mài wù lìu bèn le 3sg - already - buy - five - six - CL. - LE "S/he's already bought five or six volumes (of this kind of book) now".

The correlate of (22)(i) could be the neutral answer to how many guests have been invited so far to a wedding:

(22) (ii) Nǐ \*(yǐjīng) qǐng bā ge péngyou le you - already - invite - 8 - CL. - friend - LE "You've already invited eight friends (up to now)".

From the examples discussed so far it is clear that the double le construction is semantically marked in contrast to the corresponding sentences containing only sentence-final le, neutral in implication with respect to this feature[7].

(ii) Transitive verbs with generic and singular objects

(a) Generic NPs

With singular referential and non-referential object NPs, a subset of double le sentences is formed with a meaning slightly different from that of sentences with modified plural postverbal nouns, for speakers who accept this type[8]. Here, as outlined in

- [7] This is corroborated by the extra-linguistic factor that my language consultant, although finding all the double le examples given grammatical, consistently 'improved their style' by either adding the adverb yijing 'already' or by deleting the first le(verbal -le) to form semantically neutral sentences with only sentence-final le present. He gave the explanation that for sentences out of context, two le's were "superfluous".
- [8] It should be pointed out that this subtype was not found stylistically acceptable by my language consultant, Wang Weiguó. Two native speakers at CRLAO in Paris, Xu Dan and Fu Jingji, although finding this sentence type seemingly bizarre out of context, were, however,able to find suitable contexts for use. I thank them for their comments and examples. Note also that is deemed an acceptable form in several textbooks for Chinese: cf. Lin (1981:142); Liu (1964:76); DeFrancis (1963) and Chao (1968). Seven of this type were found in the three transcriptions, analyzed in section II.

the introduction, there is not a dichotomization into favourable and unfavourable events with respect to inferential possibilities, but rather one of neutral or negative viewpoints concerning the invariant specific to this sentence type of "once is expected and enough".

Let us first consider the 'atelic V-O compounds which denote activities such as kan-shu lit. 'read-book' - "read", chi-fanlit. 'eat-food' - "eat" or he-jiu lit. 'drink-alcohol' - "drink" which all contain non-referential unmodified object nouns.

Here, the O NP is both generic and cognate. Although verbal -le cannot co-occur with non-referential objects as the sole aspect marker to form independent sentences (q.v. Chappell (in prep.) and Marney 1977:29-30), in combination with sentence-final le this is possible. Double le used in these circumstances, besides consistently confirming an expectation in all the examples tested, expresses that the action or activity has been carried out once as required: Note also that the "so far" interpretation of the double le sentences with plural postverbal NPs is absent.

- (23) Wõ mèimei kàn le shū le
  1sg younger sister read LE book LE
  "Our (younger) sister has done the reading".
  (Context: "She has done the required reading for an exam or read a prescribed book")
- (24) Xiǎo dìdi chī-le fàn le little - younger brother - eat - LE - food - LE "(Our) little brother has had his meal".
  (Context: "Parents checking with elder children that the baby has been fed while they were out")
- (25) Yeye he le jiu le grandfather - drink - LE - alcohol - LE "Grandfather has had his drink of wine".
  (Context: "Granddaughter informing mother that he's had his daily allowed glass")

For this type of double le sentence there is a marked contrast with the meaning of the correlate sentences modified purely by sentence final le: The latter can encode a change in habit as the specific manifestation of the general meaning of inchoative le(inception of a new state of affairs) whereas the features of meaning which the double le sentences in (23) to (25) have in common, are as stated, the fulfilment of an expectation that a particular event will take place, moreover one that the subject is supposed to do. Compare examples (26) to (28) below (with sentence-final *le* only), with the double *le* correlates in (23) to (25) above[9]:

- (26) Wǒ mèimei kàn shū le
  I younger sister read book LE
  "My younger sister reads books now".
  (Possible context: she never used to read before).
- (27) Xiào dìdi chỉ fàn le little brother - eat - food - LE "Our little brother eats food now". (Possible context: a baby who's gone onto solids)
- (28) Yéye hē jiu le grandfather - drink - wine - LE "Grandfather drinks now".
  (Possible context: he never used to drink alcohol before)

Other separable verb compounds composed of verb + non-cognate object such as jiehun lit. 'tie-marriage' - "marry" can also be modified by double *le* to express the same general meaning of the event having taken place (once), again serving as a confirmation that a certain expectation has been fulfilled. Hence, example (29) could be used in the context of a ball held in Beijing for young 'unmarrieds' where one young person wants to act as matchmaker and introduce two people to one another but another remarks that the subject, Xiao Li, is already married[10]:

(29) Xiǎo Lǐ jié-le hūn le
 Xiao Li - tie - LE - marriage - LE
 "Xiao Li is married".

The implication of (29) is that the subject being already married has fulfilled the given expectation of this kind of ball (He doesn't need to do it again). The "once is enough" implication present in sentences with singular objects shows how closely related in meaning they are to those with plural objects and a "too

- [9] Examples (26) to (28) may, of course, have non "change-of-habit" readings but the O-NP is still undestood as indefinite in nature, e.g. (17) in another context could mean "Our little brother has dined (i.e. eaten his meal)".
- [10] For the examples with double le (8), (23) to (25), (29), (33), (35) & (36), the language consultant preferred the use of V-guo ... le for the context of an activity/action that the subject was supposed to have carried out. Most of the contexts for such sentences were provided by the students at C.R.L.A.O.

much" implication[11]. The counterpart to (29) with sentential *le* has a purely stative meaning:

(30) Tā jie-hūn le
3sg - marry - LE
"He's married now".

Again, it serves as a neutral statement of fact.

Verb compounds which are not compatible with the semantic features of the subject carrying out an action once, nor with the speaker expecting this event to occur are, it follows, excluded: For example, consider the V-O compound  $f\bar{a}-f\bar{e}ng$  lit. 'emit-madness' - "go mad" or "have a nervous breakdown" in (31):

(31) ??Tā fā -le fēng le
3sg - emit - LE - madness - LE
("S/he has gone mad").

Normally it would be very difficult to find a context for (31) since it does not represent an action (consciously carried out by the subject) let alone one that under normal circumstances the speaker might expect to have occurred. Speakers rejected this sentence across the board when presented in isolation. This sentence was however originally found in the transcription of a taped conversation where precisely this context surrounded it: i.e. of the speaker expecting the subject to undergo this event in the given circumstances. Double le is used here with an exaggerated dramatic effect:

The taped interview deals with a comparison of American and Chinese lifestyles with respect to the status of women in both societies. The interviewer is of the opinion that the young woman in the USA is under much greater pressure than her Chinese counterpart to succeed in matters of love and says (Transcription 2:81):

- (32) Zài qingchàng ba, shiwàng le, zhèige yixiàzi xiàng-bu -guòlai at love-life RP disappoint LE this CL. all:at:once le, jiu <u>fa -le feng</u> le. think not come:over LE then emit LE madness LE
  "(She) gets disappointed in her love-life, and all at once she can't cope with it and has a nervous breakdown".
- [11] We find here an interesting parallel to the two possible interpretations for another construction with sentence-final *le* marking adjectives which is described by Chao (1968:798): "With adjectives, this inchoative *le* may imply an excessive degree, as in *Tang shyan le*. 'The soup is too salty', although in a context in which the soup was at first found to be not salty enough, then *Tang shyan le* will mean 'The soup is salty (enough) now'".

The O NP of these V-O compounds is unmodified in each case and cannot be interpreted as an individualized or 'real' object.

(b) Referential NPs

However, even when the O NP is singular and referential, that is, not part of a V-O compound (and thus treated as a separate entity, as opposed to a kind of incorporated object), the use of double le proves to be equally semantically marked and to carry the "once is enough" meaning as well. This can be seen from the comparison of examples (33) and (34) below which show a further way in which double le differs from sentence final le: whether the event is understood to be completed or not:

- (33) Duōminggē yijing chàng-le zhèi shòu ge le
   Domingo already sing LE this CL. song LE
   "Domingo has already sung this song (and once is enough)".
- (34) Duomingge yijing chang zhèi shou ge le
   Domingo already sing this CL. song LE
   "Domingo is already singing this song now".

With double le in (33), the sentence expresses that the subject has already sung the particular song once. It could be used, for example, as an answer to an opera director's instruction: Ràng tā chàng zhèi shou gē "Have him sing this song". The implication is that Domingo needn't or shouldn't sing this song again -"once is enough (He should sing a different song)".

With sentence-final le as in (34), one appropriate context would be where two people are listening to an opera and one explains to the other that Placido Domingo's best song is a certain one from La Traviata. The speaker replies with (34) to point out that the opera star has already started singing this very song. As pointed out above, sentence-final le encodes the inception of a new state of affairs 'currently' in effect. With a singular object such as *zhèi shǒu gē* "this song", the ingressive interpretation of (34) "has already started to sing" is possible, not the case, however, for double le which contains a perfective verbal -le indicating that the subject has finished carrying out the singing of the particular song at the moment of speaking.

In conclusion, the interpretation of "once is expected and enough" for double *le* sentences with singular object NPs is invariantly present, regardless of whether the object NP is referential or generic, as the final comparison of sentences (35) and (36) show:

- (35) (Context: I borrow a record for a friend and take it to her place but she doesn't want to listen to it, saying):
  Wo yijing ting -le nèi ge chàngpiān le
  I-already listen LE this CL. record LE
  "I've already heard that record". (once is enough, it's not new to me anymore).
- (36) Yeye kàn -le bàozhǐ le grandfather - read - LE - newspaper - LE "Grandfather has read the newspaper".
  (1it. 'has done newspaper reading' where bàozhǐ "newspaper" is nonreferential).
  (Context: you don't need to offer him the newspaper as he's already gone through it once)

Thus, for double *le* sentences with singular object NPs both non-referential and referential, the overall interpretation is identical: one of fulfilment of an expectation by means of a single event. The event is thus not understood as being one that will continue on into the future.

#### 2. Double le with intransitive verbs

The use of double le is not only found with transitive verbs and their co-occurring objects but also with intransitive verbs such as pao "run", qu "go" and stative verbs such as mang "busy". Stative and intransitive verbs need, however, to combine with either a postverbal temporal NP or a verb classifier (denoting the number of times an action is performed) to fulfil the syntactic conditions for forming a double le sentence. In combination with double le, a temporal NP will indicate the period of time which has elapsed since the event took place, up to the moment of speaking. Example (37) would serve as the appropriate answer in the situation where a person, by chance, looks up an old acquaintance and a stranger answers the door :

(37) Ta si -le shi nian le
3sg - die - LE - ten - year - LE
"S/he died ten years ago".

The counterpart sentence with sentence-final le would, on the other hand, be quite inappropriate, being too neutral and emotionless for this situation:

(38) Tā si shi nian le
3sg - die - 10 - year - LE
"S/he's been dead for 10 years".

Double le in (37) implies however that time has passed too quickly since this event (for a speaker connected with the person)

or emphasizes that the addressee has arrived much too late. - How is it, that after all this time, the addressee still hasn't heard about it ?[12]

It is clearly apparent from (37) above and (39) which follows, that the identical semantic implications hold for double le with intransitive verbs and a temporal NP as for the former with transitive verbs. These have the specific modality of the period of time being longer than the speaker would have expected:

(39) Xiào Zhang qù-le bànnian le (Zh.)
 Xiao Zhang - go - LE - half:year - LE
 "Xiao Zhang has been away for half a year now".

The use of double le implies two features of meaning here: 1. Xiao Zhang is still away

2. From the point of view of the speaker, the period of his absence has been too long (why doesn't he come back ?).

The correlate with only sentence-final le:

(40) Xião Zhāng \*(yījīng) qù bànnian le Xiao Zhang - already - go - half:year - LE "He's been away for half a year already" is a neutral statement of fact.

Secondly, the speaker's viewpoint expressed concomitantly with double *le* of the subject's action leading to results which exceed expectations is realised in the particular case of intransitive verbs with verb classifiers through the implication of "too many times" (negatively) or "so many times" (positively). (Note that verb classifiers function in Chinese to indicate the number of times an action is repeated).

(41) Wèi Lào Li de shì, wò yijing pào-le san tàng le for - Lao Li - L - matter - I - already - run - LE - 3 - time - LE "On account of Lao Li's business, I've already run around three times now".

Example (41) implies strongly that three times is already enough. Again, if we compare (41) with its correlate modified only by sentence-final *le* given in (42), the marked versus neutral dichotomy is once more apparent:

[12] Note that there is no correlate independent sentence only modified by verbal -le \*Ta si -le shi nian. If this can be interpreted at all, it would mean "He died ten years ago" with the implication: "and now he's come back to life". This meaning is, however, grammatically rendered by -guo, if re-incarnation is to be expressed: Ta si-guo shi nian. The reason for the unacceptability of si 'die' in this sentence lies with its non-durative nature. (42) Wǒ (yǐjing) pǎo sān tàng le
I-already - run - 3 - time - LE
"I've already run around three times so far".

Example (42) could be used in a context where the subject is hoping to have the chance to do another errand for Lao Li, if not as a simple statement of fact. Note that when the speaker's viewpoint is negative and the speaker is identical to the subject as in (41), the overall effect is not one of self-criticism as we might expect - by analogy to the case of the positive speaker's viewpoint (2nd, 3rd p. "praise" v.s. 1st p. "self-praise") but rather one of complaint or criticism of others. This is the same overall semantic effect as when the subject is 2nd or 3rd person (the speaker's viewpoint being negative) as in (43) below:

(43) Tamen yijing zou-le chabuduo shi li lù le. they - already - go - LE - almost - ten - <u>li</u> - road - LE Hai yào zou duo yuàn ? (DeF.) still - want - go - how - far "They've already walked ten (Chinese) miles. How much farther do they want to go ?".

Example (43) serves as a complaint, perhaps from someone waiting for these people to return, again carrying the strong implication that the subject has already walked far enough.

3. Double le with stative verbs

Double le also occurs with some stative verbs that can have an active reading such as mang "be busy (doing something)" but not with non-action verbs depicting either transitory spontaneous feelings such as gaoxing "be happy, delighted" or those depicting inherent physical features such as gao "tall". Compare (44) with both (45) and (46) which are both ungrammatical:

- (44) Xiào Dong yijing mang-le liàng ge zhongtou le
   Xiao Dong already busy LE two CL. hour LE
   "Xiao Dong has been busy for two hours already".
- (45) \*Zhang Tongxue gaoxing-le liàng ge zhongtou le Zhang Tongxue - happy - LE - two - CL. - hour - LE ("Classmate Zhang has been happy for two hours now").
- (46) \*Mèimei you gao -le san cùn le younger sister - again - tall - LE - three - inch - LE ("Our younger sister has grown another three inches taller").

Examples (45) and (46) form grammatical sentences however with either verbal -le alone (past event descriptive sentences) or with sentence-final le alone (inception of a new state of affairs).

RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF "DOUBLE LE" IN CHINESE

Example (44) is interpreted to mean that the subject is extremely tired as a result of working for two hours at something (it being implied that two hours is a long time), perhaps with the further implication that the addressee should help him.

The same paradigm can be set up for stative verbs as for transitive (cf. examples (2), (3) and (4)) and intransitive ones with regard to their differing implicative structures when combined with double le (cf. (44)); sentence-final le (47) and verbal -le (48) respectively:

- (47) Tā yijing mang liàng ge zhongtou le, hai zài mang ne
   3sg already busy two CL. hour LE still DUR busy RP
   "He's already been busy for two hours and is still at it"
- (48) Xiào hair bìng, tā wèi zhèi ge shìqing mang -le liàng ge little - child - sick - 3sg - for - this - CL. - matter - busy zhongtou LE - two - CL. - hour "As her little child was sick, she was busy for two hours".

A final example is presented below with a postverbal quantity NP, which serves as an expression of anxiety on behalf of the overweight subject as one specific interpretation of the "too much" implication:

(49) Wo péngyou yijing pang-le shi bang le I - friend - already - fat - LE - ten - pound - LE "My friend has already put on ten pounds (and he's still gaining weight)".

4. Restrictions on verb classes

Restrictions on verb classes co-occurring with double le are semantically determined rather than syntactically, a point which is already partly clear from the fact that some stative verbs with active readings may occur with it, shown in section 3 above. In fact, with animate subjects only verbs of intentional or controlled action are permitted with double le, proof of which is also to be found amongst syntactically transitive verbs which due to their lexical meaning (as non-control verbs) cannot co-occur with double le[13]: One such verb class is the formally transitive verba sentiendi. Compare the grammaticality of these with that of their controlled action counterparts in (50) and (51) below:

[13] There is however an exception to this rule. The verb  $y\delta u$  "have, there is", can be used in double *le* sentences as the main verb see examples (66) and (68) below, whereas other stative verbs such as shi "be" and xiang "resemble" follow the rule as predicted. The type of verb is however unrestricted with inanimate subjects cf. examples (64), (65) & (67).

- (50) Gùkèmen yijing wén (\*wén-dào)-le hèn duō minggùi de xiāngcustomers - already - sniff (smell) - LE - very - many - expensive shui le L - perfume - LE "The customers have already tried out (=sniffed) lots of expensive perfumes". (\*accidentally smell)
- (51) Hangjiamen chang (\*chang-dào) -le xǔduō Fǎguô hôngjĩu le expert:PL-taste-( accidentally:taste) LE many France red:wine LE
  "The experts have been tasting many French red wines". (\*accidentally taste)

As a result of this constraint, verbs which are syntactically transitive such as  $zh\bar{i}dao$  "know" and modal verbs such as hui "can" are also incompatible with double le for the same semantic reasons, since they too do not have an active meaning:

- (52) \*Wǒ jičjie zhīdao-le zhèi jiàn shìqing le
  I-elder sister-know-LE-this-CL.-matter-LE
  ("My elder sister has known this matter").
- (53) \*Tā bàba huì-le Èwen le
  3sg father can LE Russian LE
  ("His/her father can speak Russian").

Finally, double *le* does not occur with resultative verb compounds:

- (54) ? Wǒ xiě-wan -le ershi fēng xin le I-write:finish-LE-20-CL.-letter-LE
- (55) ? Xiào mao zhuàng-pò -le zhi men le little-cat-bump:break - LE - paper - door - LE

The reason for this incompatibility lies in the completive nature of resultative verb compounds which conflicts with the perfect or "current relevance" interpretation of double le. As explicated above, double le and sentence-final le sentences share the common feature of indicating the situation at the moment of speaking with respect to some action or event, that is, they have what is commonly termed a "perfect" reading. Double le with plural postverbal NPs as in (54) indicates over and above this, the extent to which a controlled action has been carried out or how many times it has been repeated, seen also from the examples cited above. Furthermore, the use of this type of double le implies that the action may be continued into the future. These three aspects of meaning cause its incompatibility with the resultative compound verbs which indicate, unmarked for aspect, completive events that have no interpretation of continuation into the future or effect on the present.

RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF "DOUBLE LE" IN CHINESE

5. Time categories and double le

Without any overt reference to time, a double le sentence is understood as referring to the present time, as explained in section 4 above. According to Reichenbach's scheme(q.v. 1947:288), point of speech (S) and point of reference (R) coincide, while the point of event (E) has an inception point in the past which continues on until at least the point of speech.



A double le sentence may, however, by means of the adverbial of time, jiu 'then', be displaced into the future or the past:

(56) Dào 1990 nian, nèi ge gongsi \*(jiù) jiàn -le san zuò dà arrive - 1990 - year - that - CL. - company - then - build - LE loù le 3 - CL. - big - building - LE "By 1990, that company will have built three apartment blocks"

This sentence has the following Reichenbachian structure :



where the reference time is "by the year 1990" and no longer identical to the time of speech. Compare (56) with (57) which has a reference time in the past:

(57) Qùnian zhèi ge shihou, wǒ \*(jìu) yǐjing xué -le yi nian last:year - this - CL. - time - I - then - already - study - LE Zhōngwén le. one - year - Chinese - LE
"By this time last year, I'd already studied one year of Chinese".

Teng (1979:65) also claims that although double le does not often occur in past contexts, its time reference being "now", "when it does occur with a past time phrase, the immediacy adverb jiu is obligatory and the meaning is 'had already been so by that time'". Sentences such as (57) with a past time context have this Reichenbachian structure:



Hence, provided reference time is post-event time, the double le sentence will be well-formed with respect to its temporal interpretation, regardless of the actual speech time. Specifically, double le can be used in contexts where the future perfect or the pluperfect would be used in English but in both these cases, the temporal adverb  $ji\hat{u}$  has to be used in order to allow the essential interpretation of the event having "current relevance" for the point of reference (R).

Finally, double *le* cannot be used for habitual expressions unlike sentence-final *le* sentences:

(58) Wǒ zhǔrén xiànzài měitian hē (\*le) san bēi kāfēi le I-boss-now-every:day-drink-(\*LE)-3-CL.-coffee-LE "My boss drinks three cups of coffee every day now". (Implied context: for health reasons, he's cut down on coffee drinking to three cups)

The use of sentence-final *le* signals invariably the inception of a new state of affairs, here specifically, a change of habit. Contrasting to this, double *le* denotes a finite event or a finite number of events in series. The given action may be repeated or carried out over a certain period of time but it is expected to have an end, even if at some point in the future.

#### II. DISCOURSE ANALYSIS

The transcriptions of three "spontaneous conversations" carried out in an unrehearsed and informal interview form were analysed for examples of double *le* sentences, retrospectively to the semantic and syntactic analysis presented above.

The task was to see if the contexts and the use of these examples showed them to contain the identical invariant semantic features postulated for the elicited sentences discussed above. The 13 examples collected from the three transcriptions are listed in turn below and briefly commented upon. The pertinent semantic implication for each example is given in brackets.

Transcription 1: Kubler & Ho (1984). Varieties of Spoken Standard Chinese II.: A Speaker from Taipei.

Four conversations between speakers of Taiwan Mandarin, who talk about their personal history, work and work-related matters.

Within 54 minutes of taped conversation, five examples were found, four of which were uttered by the same speaker and one by the interviewer (in a separate conversation from that with the former).

- I. Chou Shu-yen (woman), 28 yrs old, b. in southern Taiwan.
- 1. Context: The interviewee is talking about the construction of a luxurious apartment building in Taipei:

RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF "DOUBLE LE" IN CHINESE

- (59) ... Zuijin zài diànshì guǎnggào hěn dà recently - on - T.V. - advertise - very - big
  ... guāngshi nèi ge guǎnggào jìu zuò -le liǎngqiān duō wàn le just - that - CL. - advertising - then - make - LE - 2,000 - more -10,000 - LE) (1984:100)
  "they've done a lot of advertising on T.V. lately (...) Just in terms of this advertising, they've done more than twenty million dollars worth so far". [emphasizing "SO MUCH !"]
- 2. Context: Selling of units in apartment block:

(60) Shǔyú wǒmen de yǒu liǎng bǎi hù zuǒyòu nà, mài ... yǐjīng belonging - we\_L\_ there:be - two - 100 - CL. - about - well:then - mài -le lìu-qi qishi hù zuǒyòu le sell - ... already - sell - LE - six-seven - seventy - CL. - about - LE "Those (apartments) belonging to us, number approximately 200 units. Well then, sold are ... we've already sold about 60 or 70 units so far". (1984:101)
[emphasizing "SO MANY units !" - more than the speaker thinks that the interviewer would have expected to hear]

- 3. Context: Interviewer asks Chou Shu-yen how long she has worked:
- (61) Wǒ gōng zuò -le ... ei ... wù-lìu nian le I-work-LE-... (hesitation) - 5-6-year - LE (1984:101) "I've worked for five or six years so far". [emphasizing "SO MANY" years already, again more than she thinks the interviewer expected to hear]
- 4. Context: Interviewer asks about Chou's marital status:
- (62) Wǒ jiéhūn ... wǒ yǐjīng ... ei ... jiéhūn-le liǎng niǎn I-marry-... I-already (hesitation) - marry - LE - two - year bàn le half-LE (1984:103)
  "Yes (I'm married). I've been married for more than two and a half years now". [emphasizing "SO LONG"]
- II. George T.C. Ho, 26 yrs old, b. Taipei
- 5. Context: Wants to ask 63 year old interviewee about changes in Taiwan in last 20-30 years:
- (63) ... nǐ zài Taiwan ... yǐ jing ... shēnghuo-le zhème jĩu le, ... you - at - Taiwan ... already ... live - LE - so - long - LE duì bu duì right - NEG. - right (1984:134)
  "You've already lived in Taiwan for such a long time, right ?" [emphasizing "SO LONG"]

Summation: All examples carry the implication of the event "exceeding expectations" and, apart from (63), all have explicitly plural postverbal NPs.

Transcription 2: Women's Liberation in China (unpublished)

Discussion between a recent émigrée to the USA and an interviewer (who has lived for a considerable time in the USA) on the position of women in Chinese society as compared with the American. Both are speakers of standard Chinese and are originally from Beijing (Peking).

Six examples of double le were found within a conversation lasting approximately 60 minutes. All were uttered by the (male) interviewer.

- 1. Context: The interviewer is talking about the diachronic change in meaning of the word tàitai "lady, Mrs.":
- (64) Kěshi guờ -le chàbuduō yi -bǎi duō niân ne hàishi but - pass - LE - almost - one - 100 - more - year - RP - or bù dào yi -bǎi niân, hūrân jiàn-zhe 'tàitai' ya, jìu NEG. - reach - one - 100 - year - suddenly - see - PROG - taitai - RP biànchéng -le lìngwài yi -ge yìsi le (p. 21) then - change - LE - another - one - CL. - meaning - LE "But after about a bit more than 100 years had passed, or not even 100 years, (we) suddenly see that tàitai has changed to another meaning". [affirming occurrence of an event that was foreshadowed in preceding discourse]
- 2. Context:

Emigrée:

Nan-nü fēnxiào, wénhuà dà géming yihou jiu quanbù dou boy-girl - separate:school - culture - big - revolution - after shi nan-nü hexiào then - whole - all - be - boy-girl - co-educational:school "After the Cultural Revolution, girls' and boys' school all became co-educational schools".

Interviewer:

(65) Nà, Shidà Nüfùzhōng yế jùubiànchéng -le shénmo Shidà well:then - Shida Nüfuzhong - also - then - change - LE - what -Fùzhōng le ? Shida Fuzhong - LE (p. 58)
"So the Affiliated Girls' Middle School of Peking Normal University then became the Affiliated Middle School or something ?"
[an expected change in terms of preceding information given by interviewee] RESTRICTIONS ON THE USE OF "DOUBLE LE" IN CHINESE

- 3. See example (32) above
- 4. Context: Interviewer asks if the old way of thinking in China still persists regarding the necessity of bearing a male child. (This forms part of a more general discussion on restrictions on family size).
- (66) Nàmo, yǒu méi yǒu rén shuō shi, āiyā wǒ xiànzài yǐjing well:then - there:be - NEG. - there:be - people - say - be - (sigh) yǒu -le san ge haizi le, san ge dou shi nü de I - now - already - have - LE - three - CL. - child - LE - three - CL. all - be - girl - DE (p. 127)
  "Well then, are there people who say (sighing), I've already got three children, but all are girls". [a negative "TOO MANY" implication]
- 5. Context: Interviewer mentions mass meeting of women in California where he claims a slogan was shouted about not having to wear bras.
- (67) Hăoxiàng bă zhèi ge năizhào biànchéng -le yì zhǒng zhèi ge seem BA this CL. bra become LE one kind this CL. fùnü yundòng de biaozhì le shìde women movement L symbol LE similar (p. 150-151)
  "It seemed that the bra has become a kind of symbol of the women's movement".
  [affirmation of speaker's expectation that something like this would happen in the circumstances he initially des-cribes]
- 6. Context: Interviewee points out that the ideal situation has not been achieved yet (regarding the position of women in China), as it's only 20 years since the changes began.
- (68) Èrshi duō nian zhèi yijing yǒu le hàoxiang shi jibènxìng
  20 more year this already have LE seem be basic de gàibiàn le
  L change LE (p. 173)
  "In the last twenty years or so, the basic changes have already occurred, it seems".
  [affirmation that the kind of changes one would expect to occur, have]
- Summation: Only (66) contains a numerically modified postverbal NP in this group of examples, and thus expresses a result which is more than expected. The remaining five all express the fulfilment of an expectation with regard to the given (singular) event.

Transcription 3: Liang et al. (1982) Varietes of Spoken Standard Chinese I.: A Speaker from Tianjin.

An unrehearsed conversation on the topic of *xiangsheng* "comic dialogue".

Two examples of double *le* with a generic postverbal NP were found within 50 minutes of conversation: These came, however, from interwoven sample passages of *xiangsheng* performed by a native speaker of standard Chinese from Beijing: Hou Baolin. Since *xiangsheng* requires a spontaneous verbal performance, the 2 examples are included in this study.

- 1. Context: Discussing the speech style of the Beijing dialect used on stage:
- (69) Women shuo de Beijinghua bu shi yiban
  we speak L Beijing:dialect NEG. be normal Beijinghua ... Shi jingguo -le tilian le
  Beijing:dialect ... be pass:through LE refinement LE
  (1982:30)
  "The Beijing dialect we speak, isn't the normal Beijing dialect ... It's undergone refinement".

2. (same context):

 (70) Jingguo -le yishù jiagong le pass:through - LE - art - processing - LE (1982:31)
 "Its undergone artistic polishing".

[In both cases, we have an affirmation of what is considered necessary and expected for *xiangsheng* performers, whose artistry lies in their clever use of words and language].

#### CONCLUSION

These 13 examples of double le from spontaneous speech - six with plural and seven with singular or non-referential postverbal NPs - confirm the predictions about its usage given in the preceding syntactic and semantic analysis.

All sentences contained an affirmation of the basic assumption that a particular event had taken place. For double *le* sentences where the postverbal noun is singular (and either referential or generic), we find that this type expresses the speaker's view that the given event need not take place again ("once is expected or enough").

Secondly, for double le sentences with plural postverbal nouns, the implication is present that an event has taken place to an extent which is more than expected or assumed to be likely in the given context. This sentence type carries either a negative or positive speaker's viewpoint - such as criticism or praise of the subject, where the subject is in 2nd or 3rd person. For 1st person subjects, where the speaker is identical to the subject, the interpretation is either one of self-praise or complaining (about the situation or someone else).

These features were held responsible for the semantically marked nature of double le sentences as opposed to correlate sentences with only sentence-final le or only perfective le.

Hilary CHAPPELL University of Cologne

#### REFERENCES

- CHAO Yuen-ren (1968). A Grammar of Spoken Chinese. Berkeley: University of California, 846 p.
- CHAPPELL Hilary (in prep.), Aspect in Colloquial Standard Chinese, to appear in Hansjakob Seiler (ed.), akup (= Arbeiten des Kölner Universalien Projekts). Cologne: Dept. of Linguistics.
- DEFRANCIS John (1963). Beginning Chinese. New Haven: Yale, 536 p. (= DeF)
- DIXON R.M.W. (1984), "The semantic basis of syntactic properties", Proceedings of the Tenth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society (Berkeley), pp. 583-595.
- KALOUSKOVA J. (1964). Etudes sur les aspects des verbes dans la langue chinoise moderne. Prague : Oriental Institute, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 155 p.
- KUBLER Cornelius C. and George T.C. HO (1984). Varieties of Spoken Standard Chinese II: A Speaker from Taipei. Dordrecht: Foris, 113 p.
- LI Charles N. and Sandra A. THOMPSON (1981). Mandarin Chinese: A Functional Reference Grammar. Berkeley: University of California, 691 p.
- LIANG James C.P., John DEFRANCIS and Y.H. HAN (1982). Varieties of Spoken Standard Chinese I: A Speaker from Tianjin. Dordrecht: Foris, 113 p.
- LIN Helen (1981). Essential Grammar for Modern Chinese. Boston : Cheng & Tsui, 304 p.

- LIU Mau-Tsai (1964). Deutsch-chinesische Syntax: Ein praktisches Handbuch der modernen chinesischen Umgangssprache. Berlin : Walter de Gruyter, 298 p.
- MARNEY John (1977). A Handbook of Modern Chinese Grammar. San Francisco : Chinese Materials Center, 78 p.
- REICHENBACH Hans (1947/1966). Elements of Symbolic Logic. New York : The Free Press, 444 p.
- RYGALOFF Alexis (1973). Grammaire élémentaire du chinois. Paris : Presses Universitaires de France, 261 p.
- SEILER Hansjakob (1986). Language, Object and Order. Tübingen : Gunter Narr, 192 p.
- TENG Shou-hsin (1979). A Basic Course in Chinese Grammar. San Francisco : Chinese Materials Center, 135 p.
- WIERZBICKA Anna (1980). Lingua mentalis : The Semantics of Natural Language. Sydney : Academic Press, 367 p.
- ZHENG Huaide 詳述 (1980), "'zhù-le san nian' he 'zhù-le san nian le 」住了三年7 和 」住了三年了 ['lived for three years' versus 'has been living for three years']", Zhongguo Yuwen (Beijing), 155, pp. 103-105. (= Zh)